MEETING SUMMARY

PROJECT ADVISORY GROUP (PAG) MEETING #1

September 19, 2019
2:00 PM – 4:00 PM

The Florida Department of Transportation – District 7 is conducting a Corridor Planning Study to evaluate the multimodal needs and develop potential solutions for the US-19 Frontage Roads within Pinellas County. A Project Advisory Group (PAG) was established to act as a sounding board for this project. The following identifies the PAG members in attendance and outlines the key comments that were discussed during the meeting.

**Attendees:**
- Alex Henry, FDOT
- Ken Spitz, FDOT
- Bill Riha, FDOT
- Chelsea Favero, Forward Pinellas
- Al Bartolotta, Forward Pinellas
- Rodney Chatman, Forward Pinellas
- Joan Rice, Pinellas County
- Caroline Lanford, Pinellas County
- Barry Westmar, City of Largo
- Daniel Villano, Florida Highway Patrol
- Frances Leong Sharp, City of Dunedin
- Ric Hartman, City of Clearwater
- Lauren Matzke, City of Clearwater
- Cory Marteus, City of Clearwater
- Robert Tefft, City of Pinellas Park
- Heather Sobush, PSTA
- Matt Weaver, Element Engineering Group
- Matt Wey, Wey Engineering
- Jennifer Musselman, Kittelson & Associates
- Ryan Casburn, Kittelson & Associates
- Patty Hurd, Kittelson & Associates

**Meeting Goal:**
The goal of the meeting was to provide an overview of the project and gather information from the PAG on the existing conditions of the corridor and the desired future vision of the corridor.

**Meeting Highlights:**

**Frontage Roads Today**
Attendees were asked to select one word that describes the frontage roads today:

- Slightly Congested
- Access
- Okay
- High Speed
- Unpleasant
- Land Use
- Unsafe
- Auto Centric
- Scary
- Opportunity
- Fast
- Difficult to Access/Get In and Out
Project Schedule

The proposed schedule is 20-months, with the study ending in February 2021. The project will be conducted in three phases:

1. Define the Problem
2. Define the Purpose and Need
3. Define and Evaluate Alternative Concepts

The following graphic illustrates the timing of deliverables and public involvement milestones as they related to PAG engagement opportunities:

Ongoing Studies within Study Area:

The Study Team asked if there were any ongoing local studies or projects that overlapped with the FDOT study area. The following projects were mentioned:

- Pinellas County 126th Ave PD&E (Joan Rice) with bridge connection over Cross Bayou
- City of Largo Special Area Plan at Roosevelt Boulevard
- Gateway Expressway
- FDOT Pedestrian Overpasses
- Belleair Road Complete Streets Study
- Completion of the Pinellas Trail Loop

Break Out Group Discussion:

PAG members broke out into small groups related to each municipality and shared specific opportunities and challenges in each area. The following summarizes the key themes discussed.

Land Use:

- Redevelopment of existing parcels and urban infill anticipated along US 19, with multifamily residential development being the primary land use type.
- There is a multifamily redevelopment plan slated for the 49th Street area.
- There may be opportunity to consolidate properties to get better developments.
Multi-Modal:

- Pedestrian crossings along the frontage road are important. Provide new opportunities for crossing US 19.
- The right-turn on red pedestrian conflict is an issue at intersections.
- Streetlight data was suggested to identify areas of high pedestrian usage.
- Sidewalk widths and utility conflicts are issues with pedestrian safety.
- It is important that the frontage roads cater to all users, not just automobiles.
- There is a need for more multimodal infrastructure (safety for pedestrians, bicyclist, and transit users).
- The frontage roads are right of way constrained. It was suggested to amend the local government land development code to allow for wider sidewalks.
- Safety at intersections is a very important aspect of the study; especially for pedestrians crossing east-west. Opportunities to provide more protection for pedestrian crossings through use of leading pedestrian interval, protected left turns, and no right turn on red.
- There is a greater need to cater to transit along the frontage roads and create less friction for transit through congestion management.
- In the one lane areas, people try to jump the bus at bus stops.
- Cyclists must use the sidewalk in some areas.
- Sidewalks are narrow (4ft.).
- There are only bike lanes in one direction of travel. People do use the bike lanes where they are present, but most people use the sidewalk.
- Difficult for transit to access the Walmart. It has to loop around the signal.

Traffic & Access Management:

- Existing signal timing set to minimize queuing effects on US 19 off ramps and is only adjusted when the County receives public complaints.
- The angle of driveways affects safety for vehicles turning off of frontage into driveways.
- Speed and access management important factors (high speeds coming off of the ramps and low speed turning movements into and out of driveways).
- There may be poor visibility for driveways located close to a US 19 off ramp.
- Providing access to a back road or parallel local road may relieve congestion on the frontage roads.
- Consider a model ordinance for access management of frontage roads as part of this study and incorporate into land development code.
- Wayfinding signs on the frontage road may help drivers find their route easier.
- Deceleration lanes, lighting, midblock crossings are some treatments that can improve safety along the US 19 frontage roads.
- Frontage road usage is low in the Largo area because there are not a lot of major east-west roads that tie into the frontage road.
- Gateway Expressway will have an effect on the usage of US 19. It may decrease demand on the surface roads and increase traffic and conflicts on US 19.
- Much of the congestion issues along the frontage road are related to peak hour periods, much less congestion during off peak hours.
- Major intersections are dangerous
- U-turns at high speed are problematic; especially the Texas U-Turns at interchanges
Agency Coordination:

- Alternatives for the frontage roads should be coordinated with local partners. If we stay within the right-of-way and existing curb, there will be limited options.
- City staff are open to exploring land use code changes to make space for multi-modal improvements. The Forward Pinellas Board could act as a consensus building body before taking land use recommendations to individual City Councils. The City of Clearwater has already updated their code.
- School buses use the frontage road frequently. It was suggested that Tammi Weeks (TCC schoolboard representative) or Stephanie Carrier be contacted for information about school bus routes.

Stakeholders to Interview:

PAG Member provided the following suggestions for stakeholder interviews:

- School District
- Countryside and Clearwater Malls
- Clearwater Parks and Recreation Department
- Freight/ logistics groups
- Chamber of Commerce
- Neighborhood Associations

Al Bartolotta (Forward Pinellas) offered to review initial list and suggest persons to add.

Attachments

- Presentation Slides
Presentation Overview

- WELCOME & INTRODUCTIONS
- PAG ROLE & RESPONSIBILITIES
- PROJECT OVERVIEW AND ACTIVITIES
- OVERALL SCHEDULE
- TABLE EXERCISE / REPORT BACK
- WRAP-UP & NEXT STEPS
Welcome & Introductions
Welcome & Introductions

Project Management, Transportation and Land Use Planning, Traffic Analysis, Public Involvement

Safety Assessment, Roadway Concepts

Strategic Advisor

Tell us—
Your name and the role at the agency you represent
One word to describe the frontage roads today
Welcome & Introductions

- Forward Pinellas
- Pinellas County
- Pinellas County Safety & Emergency Services
- City of Pinellas Park
- City of Largo
- City of Clearwater
- City of Dunedin
- PSTA
- Florida Highway Patrol
- FDOT

Project Advisory Group

PAG Roles & Responsibilities
PAG Roles & Responsibilities

• Be a champion of the safety planning efforts and the intended outcome of the PAG

• Be a salesperson on behalf of the PAG to other agency partners and community organizations

• Provide guidance and input throughout the project

• Be an advocate to get the word out and solicit input from others

Project Overview & Activities
Project Overview & Activities

Project Purpose

Objectively evaluate possible changes to improve multimodal safety, operations, and connectivity.

Provide the local municipalities recommendations for land use changes that may help facilitate the recommended multimodal improvements to the roadway.
Project Overview & Activities

Tasks

Task 1: Define the Problem
Task 2: Define the Purpose & Need
Task 3: Define, Evaluate & Select Alternative Concepts

// Task #1

Questions this phase is designed to answer

Define the Problem

Who are the users? What is their current experience?
What is the role of the frontage road today?
Project Overview & Activities

/ Task #2

Questions this phase is designed to answer

Define the Purpose and Need

What are the needs and opportunities for each user?
What is the future vision for the corridor?

---

Project Overview & Activities

/ Task #3

Questions this phase is designed to answer

Define and Evaluate the Alternative Concepts

What land use and transportation solutions exist to solve the problems identified?
What is the effect of the alternative(s) on each mode?
What alternatives best meet the needs identified?
Are there fatal flaws for implementation?
Project Overview & Activities

Does anyone in the group know or is aware of any effort that overlaps, or feeds into/impacts this study?

Are there any potential obstacles we may encounter as we engage the community?

Are there any landmines we should be aware about?
Overall Schedule

Key Milestones

- Department Kick-Off
- Project Advisory Group #1
- Stakeholder Interviews
- PAG #2
- Jurisdiction Workshops
- PAG #3-5

Summer 2019
- Define the Problem
- Define the Purpose and Need
- Define and Evaluate the Alternatives
- Guiding Principles
- Planning Context Summary Report
- Evaluation Criteria
- Safety Report
- Corridor Alternatives and Strategies Report

Winter 2021
#1. Review project background, roles & responsibilities, project schedule, purpose & scope

#2. Review feedback from preliminary engagement activities during opportunities & needs workshop. Review existing conditions analysis and safety assessment. Review project purpose and need, guiding principles

#3. Review stakeholder and public input. Review evaluation criteria and land use scenarios for each focus area

#4. Review multimodal improvement alternatives comparative analysis and solicit input on preferred alternative(s)

#5. Present project summary and review final roadway and land use concepts

### PAG Meetings

- INFORMATION REVIEWED
  - Frontage roads case studies
  - Corridor-wide land use and safety mapping
  - Review of previous planning studies
  - Preliminary findings
  - Context Classification

- OUTCOMES
  - Identify issues and opportunities
  - Identify focus areas for each jurisdiction

### Stakeholder Interviews

**FORMAT:** 1-hour interviews with PAG Members, Chambers of Commerce, Economic Development Councils, Major Business Owners, Residential Associations
Jurisdiction Workshops

**FORMAT:** 2-day work session in each focus area with participation from PAG Members, Major Business Owners, and Residential Associations

**INFORMATION REVIEWED**
- Detailed traffic operations analysis for focus areas
- Detailed safety analysis for focus areas
- Preliminary concept ideas and land use scenarios

**OUTCOMES**
- Road safety audit for each focus area
- Business/homeowners focus group
- Develop transportation and land use alternatives for each focus area

5

Table Exercise
Ice Breaker:
What’s the outcome you want to see out of this study? (3 post-it notes)

Table Exercise

Break-Up Activity (Maps):
- Are there any specific issues or areas of concern that we should focus on?
- Are there areas within the study area where there should be a focus in growth, redevelopment or densification that would help materialize community vision plans?
- Are there areas that are not likely to change or have attributes that should be protected?

Report Back
Any ideas how agency partners can help us?
Who should we talk to?
Wrap-up & Next Steps

- Opportunities & Needs Workshop – November 19-20, 2019
- PAG Meeting #2 – Early 2020
Thank You!

Project Website
www.fdotd7studies.com/us19frontageroads

Project Team Contacts:
- Alex Henry (FDOT) - Alex.Henry@dot.state.fl.us
- Jennifer Musselman (KAI) - j Musselman@kittel son.com
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