

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>Section</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Page</u>
	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	S-1
	TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	i
	LIST OF TABLES.....	viii
	LIST OF FIGURES	xiii
	SUMMARY AND COMMITMENTS	S-1
S.1	Introduction.....	S-1
S.2	Proposed Action.....	S-2
S.3	The Purpose and Need	S-2
S.4	Background.....	S-3
S.5	Alternatives Considered.....	S-4
	S.5.1 No-Build Alternative	S-4
	S.5.2 Technology Alternatives.....	S-5
	S.5.3 Alignment Alternatives.....	S-6
	S.5.4 Summary.....	S-7
S.6	Alternatives Considered and Dismissed	S-8
S.7	Preferred Alternative.....	S-8
S.8	Summary of Impacts	S-11
	S.8.1 Wetlands	S-13
	S.8.2 Wildlife and Habitat.....	S-13
	S.8.3 Floodplains and Floodways	S-14
	S.8.4 Contamination Sites.....	S-14
	S.8.5 Section 4 (f) Sites.....	S-15
	S.8.6 Community Services.....	S-16
	S.8.7 Noise Impacts.....	S-17
	S.8.8 Vibration Impacts.....	S-18
	S.8.9 Air Quality	S-19
	S.8.10 Energy Consumption	S-20
	S.8.11 Historic and Archeological Resources.....	S-20
	S.8.12 Relocations.....	S-21
	S.8.13 Transportation Impacts	S-22
	S.8.14 Public Safety	S-23
	S.8.15 Total Cost for Construction	S-24
S.9	Comprehensive Planning and Coordination	S-24
S.10	Public Involvement Program	S-27
S.11	Areas of Controversy	S-28
S.12	Unresolved Issues with Other Agencies	S-28



TABLE OF CONTENTS (cont.)

<u>Section</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Page</u>
	S.12.1 I-4 Wildlife Crossing	S-28
	S.12.2 Coordination with Federal Aviation Administration (FAA).....	S-28
	S.12.3 Coordination with Walt Disney World Resort.....	S-28
	S.12.4 Coordination with FRA and FHWA	S-29
	S.12.5 Coordination with Local Government	S-29
S.13	Permits Required.....	S-29
S.14	Commitments.....	S-30
 1	 PURPOSE AND NEED.....	 1-1
1.1	Introduction.....	1-1
1.2	Purpose.....	1-2
1.3	Need	1-3
1.3.1	Transportation Demand and Travel Growth	1-4
1.3.2	Capacity	1-6
1.3.3	Safety	1-8
1.3.4	Social Demands/Economic Development.....	1-9
1.3.5	Air Quality	1-11
1.3.6	Modal Inter-Relationship	1-12
1.4	Background of Florida High Speed Rail.....	1-12
1.5	References/Notes	1-14
 2	 ALTERNATIVES.....	 2-1
2.1	Historical Overview of High Speed Rail	2-1
2.2	No-Build Alternative	2-2
2.3	Design/Build Alternative Analysis	2-3
2.3.1	Preliminary Corridors	2-4
2.3.2	Corridors for Further Evaluation	2-16
2.3.3	Design/Build Alternatives Selection Process.....	2-17
2.4	Design/Build Alternatives.....	2-19
2.4.1	Design/Build Alignment Variations	2-19
2.4.2	Operations	2-20
2.4.3	Bridge Structures	2-22
2.4.4	Retaining Walls.....	2-23
2.4.5	Barriers.....	2-24
2.4.6	Drainage.....	2-25
2.4.7	Highway Modification	2-27
2.4.8	Operational And Maintenance Facility.....	2-28

TABLE OF CONTENTS (cont.)

<u>Section</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Page</u>
2.5	Stations.....	2-29
2.5.1	Preliminary Station Locations.....	2-30
2.5.2	Viable Station Locations.....	2-34
2.5.3	Design/Build Station Locations	2-35
2.6	Preferred Alternative.....	2-35
2.7	Environmentally Preferred Alternative.....	2-38
2.8	References.....	2-38
3	AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT	3-1
3.1	Social and Economic Characteristics.....	3-1
3.1.1	Population Characteristics	3-1
3.1.2	Employment and Economic Characteristics	3-3
3.2.	Existing Land Use.....	3-6
3.2.1	Corridor A: East of the Hillsborough River to U.S. 41 in Tampa and Corridor B: U.S. 41 to the Bypass Canal in Hillsborough County.....	3-6
3.2.2	Corridor B: U.S. 41 in Tampa to East of I-75, Hillsborough County and Corridor C: East of I-75, Hillsborough County, to West Entry of the Polk Parkway, Polk County	3-6
3.2.3	Corridor D: West Entry of Polk Parkway, Polk County, to Celebration Area, Osceola County	3-6
3.2.4	Corridor E: Celebration Area, Osceola County, to Orlando International Airport, Orange County.....	3-7
3.3	Future Land Use Plans	3-7
3.3.1	Corridor A: East of the Hillsborough River to U.S. 41 in Tampa and Corridor B: U.S. 41 in Tampa to East of I-75, Hillsborough County	3-7
3.3.2	Corridor C: East of I-75, Hillsborough County to the West Entry of the Polk Parkway, Polk County.....	3-8
3.3.3	Corridor D: West Entry of Polk Parkway, Polk County to Celebration Area, Osceola County	3-8
3.3.4	Corridor E: Celebration Area, Osceola County to Orlando International Airport, Orange County.....	3-9
3.4	Future Transportation Plans.....	3-9
3.4.1	Long Range Transportation Plans.....	3-9
3.4.2	Local Government Transportation Planning.....	3-10
3.5	Community Services.....	3-12
3.5.1	Schools.....	3-12
3.5.2	Community Facilities.....	3-13
3.5.3	Parks and Recreation.....	3-14

TABLE OF CONTENTS (cont.)

<u>Section</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Page</u>
	3.5.4 Cemeteries.....	3-15
	3.5.5 Churches	3-16
3.6	Archaeological and Historic Resources	3-17
	3.6.1 Inventory of Archaeological and Historic Resources	3-18
3.7	Physical Environment	3-28
	3.7.1 Air Quality	3-28
	3.7.2 Noise	3-32
	3.7.3 Vibration	3-40
	3.7.4 Water Quality.....	3-44
	3.7.5 Floodways and Floodplain.....	3-44
	3.7.6 Hydrology and Drainage.....	3-45
	3.7.7 Topography, Soils, and Geology	3-46
	3.7.8 Contamination.....	3-51
3.8	Natural Resources	3-52
	3.8.1 Natural Communities.....	3-52
	3.8.2 Wetlands	3-54
	3.8.3 Wildlife and Habitat.....	3-57
	3.8.4 Farmlands.....	3-59
3.9	Transportation	3-60
	3.9.1 Existing Railroad Conditions/Operations	3-60
	3.9.2 Existing Highway Conditions/Operations	3-61
	3.9.3 Existing Modes of Public Transportation	3-63
3.10	References.....	3-67
4	ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES	4-1
4.1	Community Impacts.....	4-1
	4.1.1 Community Cohesion	4-1
	4.1.2 Community and Land Use Impacts.....	4-4
	4.1.3 Economic Impacts.....	4-7
	4.1.4 Safety and Public Health.....	4-8
	4.1.5 Relocation and Right of Way Impacts	4-13
	4.1.6 Environmental Justice.....	4-17
	4.1.7 Archaeological and Historic Resources	4-19
	4.1.8 Recreational/Parkland	4-33
	4.1.9 Secondary and Cumulative Impacts.....	4-38
4.2	Natural and Physical Impacts.....	4-39
	4.2.1 Visual/Aesthetic.....	4-39
	4.2.2 Air Quality	4-41
	4.2.3 Noise	4-53
	4.2.4 Vibration	4-67
	4.2.5 Wetlands	4-78

TABLE OF CONTENTS (cont.)

<u>Section</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Page</u>
	4.2.6 Aquatic Preserves.....	4-84
	4.2.7 Water Quality Impact Evaluation	4-84
	4.2.8 Outstanding Florida Waters	4-86
	4.2.9 Contamination.....	4-86
	4.2.10 Wild and Scenic Rivers.....	4-90
	4.2.11 Floodplain and Floodway Impact Evaluation	4-90
	4.2.12 Coastal Zone Consistency	4-92
	4.2.13 Coastal Barrier Resources.....	4-92
	4.2.14 Wildlife and Habitat.....	4-92
	4.2.15 Farmlands.....	4-107
	4.2.16 Energy	4-107
	4.2.17 Utilities.....	4-112
4.3	Transportation Impacts	4-115
	4.3.1 Impacts to Freight Rail Operations	4-115
	4.3.2 Impacts to Highway Operations.....	4-116
	4.3.3 Ridership and Revenue	4-119
	4.3.4 Impact to Other Travel Modes.....	4-123
	4.3.5 Station Access and Traffic Impacts	4-124
4.4	Construction Impacts	4-127
	4.4.1 Design/Build Alternatives.....	4-127
	4.4.2 No-Build Alternative	4-128
	4.4.3 Preferred Alternative.....	4-129
4.5	The Relationship Between Local Short-Term Uses and Man's Environment and the Maintenance and Enhancement of Long-Term Productivity	4-129
4.6	Irreversible and Irretrievable Commitment of Resources.....	4-129
4.7	Summary of Impacts and Mitigation	4-130
	4.7.1 Evaluation Matrix	4-130
	4.7.2 Required Permits and Review Agencies.....	4-136
4.8	References/Notes	4-137
4.9	References Cited	4-138
5	SECTION 4(f) EVALUATION.....	5-1
5.1	Section 4(f) Evaluation of Perry Harvey Sr. Park.....	5-1
	5.1.1 Proposed Section 4(f) Action.....	5-2
	5.1.2 Description of Perry Harvey Sr. Park	5-2
	5.1.3 Impacts on Perry Harvey Sr. Park.....	5-3
	5.1.4 Avoidance Alternatives.....	5-3
	5.1.5 Measures to Minimize Harm	5-6
	5.1.6 Coordination	5-6
	5.1.7 Preferred Alternative.....	5-7

TABLE OF CONTENTS (cont.)

<u>Section</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Page</u>
	5.1.8 Mitigation.....	5-7
5.2	References.....	5-8
6	COMMENTS AND COORDINATION.....	6-1
6.1	Coordination with Agencies	6-1
	6.1.1 Notice of Intent	6-1
	6.1.2 Advance Notification	6-1
	6.1.3 Agency Coordination Meetings	6-3
6.2	Community Outreach.....	6-7
	6.2.1 Metropolitan Planning Organizations and Committees.....	6-7
	6.2.2 Elected Officials and Small Group Meetings	6-8
6.3	Public Information Workshops	6-10
	6.3.1 Public Notification.....	6-10
	6.3.2 First Series of Public Information Workshops.....	6-11
	6.3.3 Second Series of Public Information Workshops	6-13
	6.3.4 Second Series of Public Information Workshops Results.....	6-13
	6.3.5 Newsletter And Web Page	6-16
6.4	Draft Environmental Impact Statement Coordination	6-17
	6.4.1 Public Hearings.....	6-17
	6.4.2 Agency Comments.....	6-21
6.5	References.....	6-52
7	LIST OF PREPARERS.....	7-1
8	LIST OF AGENCIES, ORGANIZATIONS, AND PERSONS TO WHOM COPIES OF THE STATEMENT ARE SENT	8-1
8.1	Federal Agencies.....	8-1
8.2	State Agencies.....	8-2
8.3	Local Agencies.....	8-3
	8.3.1 Regional Planning Councils.....	8-3
	8.3.2 Water Management Districts	8-3
	8.3.3 Local Planning Agencies	8-3
	8.3.4 Metropolitan Planning Organizations	8-3
8.4	Other Agencies.....	8-3

TABLE OF CONTENTS (cont.)

<u>Section</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Page</u>
APPENDICES		
	Appendix A (Under Separate Cover)	
	A1: Conceptual Plans	
	A2: Technology Differences	
	A3: Corridor Impact Matrix	
	A4: Typical Sections, Stations, and Maintenance	
	Appendix B: Agency Coordination	
	B-1: SHPO Concurrence Letter-CRAS Methodology (February/ March 2003)	
	B-2: SHPO Concurrence Letter-Corridor Study CRAS Findings (April 2003)	
	B-3: MOA for Use of I-4/I-275 ROW (FDOT/FHWA/FRA/FHSRA)	
	B-4: SHPO Concurrence Letter-PD&E CRAS Findings (September 2003)	
	B-5: SHPO Concurrence Letter-Section 106 Findings (January 2004)	
	B-6: City of Tampa-Letter of Park Significance for Perry Harvey Sr. Park (March 2003)	
	B-7: City of Tampa-Letter of Park Significance for Nuccio Parkway Linear Park (March 2003)	
	B-8: SFWMD-Letter of Significance for Shingle Creek Greenway (April 2003)	
	B-9: WQIE Checklist and Water Quality Criteria	
	B-10: FDEP (State Clearinghouse) Coastal Zone Consistency Letter (October 2003)	
	B-11: NRCS Concurrence Letter-Farmlands (April 2003)	
	B-12: Notice of Intent	
	B-13: Advance Notification Package	
	B-14: Advance Notification Comments	
	B-15: Orange County-Letter of Preference for OCCC Station Site (October 2002)	
	Appendix C (Under Separate Cover)	
	Preliminary Engineering Plans	

LIST OF TABLES

<u>Table</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Page</u>
S-1	Roadway Improvements within the Study Area	S-4
S-2	Summary of Operations by Technology	S-6
S-3	Summary of Design/Build Alternatives by Alignment and Technology...	S-7
S-4	Design/Build Alternatives Evaluation Matrix (Preferred Alternative Highlighted)	S-12
S-5	High Speed Rail Study Area Long Range Transportation Plans	S-25
S-6	High Speed Rail Study Area Transportation Elements.....	S-26
1-1	Summary of Population Trends in FHSR Tampa-Orlando Corridor.....	1-5
1-2	Summary of Employment Trends in FHSR Tampa-Orlando Corridor	1-5
1-3	Hotel Room Growth in FHSR Tampa-Orlando Corridor	1-6
1-4	Existing and Future Roadway Capacity.....	1-7
1-5	Roadway Improvements within the Study Area	1-9
2-1	Milestones in the Combined EIS/DBOM&F Process.....	2-3
2-2	Summary of Alignments Evaluated in Corridor A Segment 1- Hillsborough River to Morgan/Harrison Street	2-5
2-3	Summary of Alignments Evaluated in Corridor A Segment 2 – Morgan/Harrison Streets to Kennedy Boulevard/Lee Roy Selmon Crosstown Expressway	2-6
2-4	Summary of Alignments Evaluated in Corridor A Segment 3 – Kennedy Boulevard/Lee Roy Selmon Crosstown Expressway to 36 th /37 th Street.....	2-7
2-5	Summary of Alignments Evaluated in Corridor A Segment 4 – 36 th /37 th Street to U.S. 41/I-4 Interchange	2-8
2-6	Summary of Alignments Evaluated in Corridor B Segment 1 – In I-4 Median U.S. 41/I-4 Interchange to West of Mango Road/C.R. 579.....	2-9
2-7	Summary of Alignments Evaluated in Corridor B Segment 2 – CSX A-Line to I-4	2-9
2-8	Summary of Alignments Evaluated in Corridor C East of I-75 to East of Hillsborough/Polk County Line.....	2-10
2-9	Summary of Alignments Evaluated in Corridor D Segment 1 – Hillsborough/Polk County Line to I-4/Socrum Loop Interchange	2-11
2-10	Summary of Alignments Evaluated in Corridor D Segment 2 – I-4/Socrum Loop Interchange to West of I-4/C.R. 557 Interchange	2-12
2-11	Summary of Alignments Evaluated in Corridor D Segment 3 – West of I-4/C.R. 557 Interchange to East of I-4/World Drive Interchange.....	2-13

LIST OF TABLES (cont.)

<u>Table</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Page</u>
2-12	Summary of Alignments Evaluated in Corridor E Segment 1 – World Drive Interchange to Osceola Parkway	2-14
2-13	Summary of Alignments Evaluated in Corridor E Segment 2 – Osceola Parkway to John Young Parkway	2-15
2-14	Summary of Alignments Evaluated in Corridor E Segment 4 – John Young Parkway to Orlando International Airport.....	2-16
2-15	Retained Routes	2-17
2-16	Viable Corridors.....	2-17
2-17	Design/Build Alternatives.....	2-18
3-1	Population Statistics by County and in Florida 1980, 1990, 2000, 2010, and 2020.....	3-1
3-2	Age Characteristics by County and in Florida Year 2000	3-2
3-3	Racial Composition by County and in Florida Years 1980-2000.....	3-3
3-4	Total Households and Persons per Household by County and in Florida Years 1990 and 2000	3-3
3-5	Labor Force and Unemployment by County and in Florida Years 1999 and 2000.....	3-4
3-6	Employment by Industry Group by County and in Florida Year 2000.....	3-4
3-7	Percent Below the Poverty Level and Median Household Income and Number of Households	3-5
3-8	High Speed Rail Study Area LRTPs.....	3-10
3-9	High Speed Rail Study Area Transportation Elements.....	3-11
3-10	Potentially Affected Schools.....	3-12
3-11	Potentially Affected Community Facilities	3-14
3-12	Potentially Affected Parks	3-15
3-13	Potentially Affected Cemeteries	3-16
3-14	Potentially Affected Churches	3-16
3-15	NRHP-Listed and NRHP-Eligible Cultural Resource	3-19
3-16	National Ambient Air Quality Standards.....	3-29
3-17	Monitor Summary Data	3-31
3-18	FRA Noise Impact Criteria	3-35
3-19	Summary of Existing Ambient Noise Measurement Results	3-37
3-20	Ground-Borne Vibration and Noise Impact Criteria	3-42
3-21	Ground-Borne Vibration and Noise Impact Criteria for Special Buildings	3-42
3-22	Elevation Data.....	3-47
3-23	Soils Encountered in SPT and Power Auger Borings.....	3-50
3-24	Ranked Potential Contamination Sites By Corridor	3-52
3-25	Existing Natural Communities within the FHSR Study Area	3-53

LIST OF TABLES (cont.)

<u>Table</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Page</u>
3-26	FLUCCS Categories and Corresponding USFWS Code for Wetlands Identified in the FHSR Study Area	3-54
3-27	Wetland Acreage by FLUCCS Category and Corridor Alignments.....	3-57
3-28	2001 Roadway Network LOS by Corridor	3-62
4-1	Community Service Evaluation Matrix	4-6
4-2	Summary of Present Value (PV) of Economic Benefits and Costs Tampa to Orlando in 2002 \$	4-8
4-3	Comparison of Relocations.....	4-15
4-4	ROW Costs Corridors, Stations, and Maintenance Facilities	4-17
4-5	Potential Impacts to Historic Resources along Alternatives 1, 2, 5, and 6.....	4-22
4-6	Potential Impacts to Historic Resources along Alternatives 3, 4, 7, and 8	4-25
4-7	Effects Analysis for Historic Resources Associated with the FHSR Preferred Alternative.....	4-30
4-8	Current Visual/Aesthetic Design Standards.....	4-40
4-9	Annual Person Vehicle Miles Traveled (VMT).....	4-41
4-10	Vehicle Occupancy Rates	4-41
4-11	Reduction/Addition of Motor Vehicle Miles Traveled (VMT)	4-42
4-12	Motor Vehicle Emissions.....	4-43
4-13	Gas Turbine Train Technology Emission Factors	4-43
4-14	Gas Turbine Train Technology Annual Fuel Consumption (2010).....	4-44
4-15	Power Usage for the Electric Train.....	4-44
4-16	Emission Factors for Coal-Fired Facility.....	4-44
4-17	Annual Emissions by Train Technology.....	4-45
4-18	Annual Vehicle Miles Associated with O&M Activities	4-45
4-19	Motor Vehicle Emission Factors for O&M Activities.....	4-46
4-20	Annual Motor Vehicle Emissions from O&M Activities	4-46
4-21	Annual Electric Power Consumption for O&M Facilities.....	4-47
4-22	Annual Emissions from Electric Power Consumption at O&M Facilities.....	4-47
4-23	Total Annual Emissions for O&M Activities and Facilities.....	4-48
4-24	Gas Turbine Train Technology Net Change in Emissions	4-48
4-25	Electric Train Technology Net Change in Emissions	4-49
4-26	Train Emissions within Hillsborough County	4-50
4-27	Annual Electric Power Consumption for O&M Facilities in Hillsborough County.....	4-50
4-28	Total Annual Emissions for O&M Activities and Facilities in Hillsborough County.....	4-51
4-29	Gas Turbine Train Technology Net Change in Emissions within Hillsborough County.....	4-51

LIST OF TABLES (cont.)

<u>Table</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Page</u>
4-30	Electric Train Technology Net Change in Emissions within Hillsborough County.....	4-52
4-31	Residential Noise Impacts for Alternative 1	4-54
4-32	Residential Noise Impacts for Alternative 2	4-55
4-33	Residential Noise Impacts for Alternative 3	4-56
4-34	Residential Noise Impacts for Alternative 4	4-56
4-35	Residential Noise Impacts for Alternative 5	4-57
4-36	Residential Noise Impacts for Alternative 6	4-59
4-37	Residential Noise Impacts for Alternative 7	4-60
4-38	Residential Noise Impacts for Alternative 8	4-61
4-39	Residential Noise Impact Summary.....	4-62
4-40	Comparison of SEL Noise Level with Technology and Train Consist	4-63
4-41	Evaluation Matrix Noise Impacts (Moderate & Severe)	4-63
4-42	Comparison of Technology Noise Impact on Alignment E2.....	4-64
4-43	Noise Impacts for Institutional Land Use	4-64
4-44	Potential Noise Barrier Mitigation Treatments.....	4-66
4-45	Residential Noise Impacts for Preferred Alternative	4-67
4-46	Vibration Impacts for Alternative 1	4-69
4-47	Vibration Impacts for Alternative 2	4-70
4-48	Vibration Impacts for Alternative 3.....	4-71
4-49	Vibration Impacts for Alternative 4.....	4-71
4-50	Vibration Impacts for Alternative 5.....	4-72
4-51	Vibration Impacts for Alternative 6.....	4-73
4-52	Vibration Impacts for Alternative 7	4-74
4-53	Vibration Impacts for Alternative 8.....	4-74
4-54	Vibration Impact Summary.....	4-75
4-55	Evaluation Matrix Vibration Impacts	4-75
4-56	Residential Vibration Impacts for Preferred Alternative	4-76
4-57	Recommended Locations for Vibration Mitigation.....	4-77
4-58	Proposed Wetland Impacts for the FHSR Alignments/Alternatives.....	4-80
4-59	Wetland Impact Analysis Matrix	4-82
4-60	Evaluation Matrix Natural Environment Impacts (Acres).....	4-83
4-61	Potential Hazardous Material Sites for Alternatives 1 and 5.....	4-87
4-62	Potential Hazardous Material Sites for Alternatives 2 and 6.....	4-88
4-63	Potential Hazardous Material Sites Alternatives 3 and 7.....	4-88
4-64	Potential Hazardous Material Sites Alternatives 4 and 8.....	4-89
4-65	Impact Evaluation Matrix Potential Contamination Sites	4-90
4-66	Potentially Impacted Floodplains and Floodways	4-91
4-67	Summary of Potentially Impacted Floodplains and Floodways	4-92
4-68	Potentially Occurring Listed Wildlife Species	4-94
4-69	Protected Species Evaluation Summary	4-98

LIST OF TABLES (cont.)

<u>Table</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Page</u>
4-70	Wood Stork Colonies within 18.6 Miles (Core Foraging Area) of the Proposed Corridors	4-104
4-71	Summary of Estimated Train Propulsion Energy Consumption for the Year 2010	4-109
4-72	Summary of Estimated Highway Energy Consumption Change for the Year 2010	4-109
4-73	Summary of Estimated O&M Energy Consumption (MBTU per year)...	4-111
4-74	Summary of Estimated Net Energy Consumption (Change from 2010 No-Build in MBTU), Including Thermal Losses for Electric Power Generation.....	4-111
4-75	Utilities within Alternatives 1 through 8	4-112
4-76	2010 Tampa-Orlando Ridership and Revenue Estimates	4-121
4-77	Design/Build Alternatives Impact Evaluation Matrix (Preferred Alternative Highlighted)	4-132
6-1	Metropolitan Planning Organization Meetings.....	6-7
6-2	Local Briefings.....	6-9
6-3	High Speed Rail Public Information Workshops.....	6-11
6-4	High Speed Rail Public Information Workshops.....	6-13
6-5	High Speed Rail Public Hearings	6-17
6-6	Comment Tabulation	6-18

LIST OF FIGURES

<u>Figure</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>On or Follows Page</u>
S-1	Project Location Map	S-2
S-2	Design Build Alternatives.....	S-6
S-3	Corridors/Stations for Further Evaluation	S-8
S-4	Preferred Alternative	S-12
1-1	Existing and Future Level of Service	1-6
2-1	Study Corridors	2-2
2-2	Study Corridors – Corridor A	2-5
2-3	Study Corridors – Corridor B	2-8
2-4	Study Corridors – Corridor C	2-10
2-5	Study Corridors – Corridor D	2-10
2-6	Study Corridors – Corridor E	2-13
2-7	Corridors/Stations for Further Evaluation	2-16
2-8	Design Build Alternatives.	2-18
2-9	Operation and Maintenance Facilities	2-28
2-10	Viable Station Locations	2-30
2-11	Preferred Alternative	2-37
3-1	Existing Land Use, City of Tampa – Corridors A and B.....	3-6
3-2	Existing Land Use, Hillsborough County – Corridors B and C	3-6
3-3	Existing Land Use, Plant City – Corridor C	3-6
3-4	Existing Land Use, Polk County and City of Lakeland – Corridor D	3-6
3-5	Existing Land Use, Osceola County – Corridors D and E	3-7
3-6	Existing Land Use, Orange County – Corridor E	3-7
3-7	Future Land Use, City of Tampa – Corridors A and B	3-7
3-8	Future Land Use, Hillsborough County – Corridors B and C	3-8
3-9	Future Land Use, Plant City – Corridor C	3-8
3-10	Future Land Use, Polk County and City of Lakeland – Corridor D	3-8
3-11	Future Land Use, Osceola County – Corridors D and E	3-9
3-12	Future Land Use, Orange County – Corridor E	3-9
3-13	Community Services, Corridor A	3-12
3-14	Community Services, Corridor B	3-12
3-15	Community Services, Corridor C	3-12
3-16	Community Services, Corridor D	3-12
3-17	Community Service, Corridor E	3-12
3-18	Location of NHRP-Listed, Eligible, and Potentially Eligible Historic Resources	3-18
3-19	Examples of Typical Outdoor Noise Exposure	3-32

LIST OF FIGURES (Cont.)

<u>Section</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>On or Follows Page</u>
3-20	Noise Monitoring Sites	3-36
3-21	Typical Ground-Borne Vibration Levels and Criteria	3-40
3-22	Ground-Borne Vibration Measurement Locations	3-43
3-23	Transfer Mobility at 100 Feet	3-43
3-24	Locally Preferred Alternative	3-64
3-25	Station Area Planning Districts/Downtown	3-64
4-1	Number of Permanent Jobs Created for Floridians by Development of the FHSR System.....	4-7
4-2	Net Present Value of High Speed Rail Benefits per Corridor Mile	4-7
4-3	Net Present Value of High Speed Rail Benefits	4-7
4-4	Potential Contamination Sites	4-87
5-1	Perry Harvey Park Section 4(f) Resource	5-2
5-2	Perry Harvey Sr. Park Avoidance Alignments	5-4
5-3	Section 4(f) Historic Properties Avoidance Alignments	5-7