

**FINAL NOISE STUDY  
TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM**

**State Road 60**

**(From Courtney Campbell Causeway to Fish Creek)  
Hillsborough County, Florida**

**Work Program Item Segment Number: 255630 1  
State Project Number: 10140-1552  
Federal Aid Project Number: To be Assigned**

Prepared for:

**Florida Department of Transportation  
District 7  
11201 North McKinley Drive  
Tampa, Florida 33612-6456**

**April 1999  
(Revised April 12, 1999)**

---

**FINAL NOISE STUDY  
TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM**

**State Road 60**

**(From Courtney Campbell Causeway to Fish Creek)  
Hillsborough County, Florida**

**Work Program Item Segment Number: 255630 1  
State Project Number: 10140-1552  
Federal Aid Project Number: To be Assigned**

Prepared for:

**Florida Department of Transportation  
District 7  
11201 North McKinley Drive  
Tampa, Florida 33612-6456**

Prepared by:

**PBS&J  
5300 West Cypress Street  
Suite 300  
Tampa, Florida 33607-1066**

**April 1999  
(Revised April 12, 1999)**

---

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) is planning to implement improvements to S.R. 60 in the vicinity of the Veterans Expressway interchange with Courtney Campbell Causeway. The project, located in Hillsborough County, begins at the terminus of the Veterans Expressway and ends on S.R. 60 south of Fish Creek.

The objectives of the noise study are to identify noise sensitive sites adjacent to the proposed project, compare and evaluate traffic noise at these sites with and without the project, and evaluate the need for and effectiveness of noise abatement measures. Construction noise and predicted noise level isopleths for the build condition are also addressed.

Results for the design year (2025) Build Alternative indicate that 14 residences may experience outdoor traffic noise levels that approach the Federal Highway Administration Noise Abatement Criteria for Activity Category B. Noise levels at the sites are predicted to range from 65.8 to 66.7 dBA. Predicted increases above existing noise levels range from 1.3 to 6.9 dBA. No noise sensitive sites are predicted to experience interior noise levels that approach or exceed the FHWA Noise Abatement Criteria for Activity Category E.

Noise Abatement measures were evaluated for the affected noise sensitive sites. Abatement measures considered include traffic system management, alignment modifications, property acquisition, land use controls and noise barriers. Noise barriers were determined to be a feasible and cost reasonable abatement measure for the 14 residences in the Dana Shores and Venetian Shores subdivisions.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

Title	Page
<b>EXECUTIVE SUMMARY</b>	i
<b>TABLE OF CONTENTS</b>	ii
<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	iii
<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	iv
<b>1.0 INTRODUCTION</b>	1
1.1 Existing Facility	1
1.2 Proposed Improvements	2
<b>2.0 METHODOLOGY</b>	3
<b>3.0 TRAFFIC NOISE ANALYSIS</b>	4
3.1 Noise Sensitive Receivers	4
3.2 Measured Noise Levels	6
3.3 Predicted Noise Levels	8
3.4 Noise Analysis	8
3.5 Noise Abatement Techniques	11
3.5.1 Traffic System Management Measures	11
3.5.2 Alignment Modifications	12
3.5.3 Property Acquisition	12
3.5.4 Land Use Controls	12
3.5.5 Noise Barriers	12
3.6 Noise Barrier Analysis	13
3.7 Conclusions	16
<b>4.0 CONSTRUCTION NOISE</b>	17
<b>5.0 PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT</b>	17
<b>6.0 REFERENCES</b>	18
<b>APPENDICES</b>	
Appendix A Existing and Build Traffic Data	
Appendix B Validation	
Appendix C Predicted Existing Noise Levels	
Appendix D Predicted Build Noise Levels	
Appendix E Modeled Noise Barrier Locations and Results	

## LIST OF TABLES

---

<u>Table Number</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Page</u>
1	Traffic Data	4
2	FHWA Noise Abatement Criteria	5
3	Validation	7
4	Distance to Noise Level Isopleths	8
5	Existing and Future Noise Levels	10
6	Noise Barrier Analysis	14
7	Traffic Noise Abatement Considerations for a Noise Wall at the Dana Shores and Venetian Shores Subdivisions	15

# LIST OF FIGURES

---

<b>Figure Number</b>	<b>Title</b>	<b>Follows Page Number</b>
1	Project Location Map	1
2	Courtney Campbell Causeway with Frontage Roads - Typical Sections	2
3	Mainline Express Lanes and C/D Lanes - Typical Sections	2
4	Ramp Typical Sections	2
5	Proposed Courtney Campbell Causeway Interchange	2
6	Receiver Locations	9

## 1.0 INTRODUCTION


---

The Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) is planning to implement improvements to Memorial Highway (S.R. 60) from the Veterans Expressway (S.R. 589) terminus (north of the Courtney Campbell interchange) to the I-275 interchange in Hillsborough County. The project segment addressed in this Final Noise Study Technical Memorandum is referred to as the Courtney Campbell interchange segment which begins at the terminus of the Veterans Expressway, includes an 1.28 km (0.8 mile) segment on the Courtney Campbell Causeway and ends on S.R. 60 south of Fish Creek in Hillsborough County (see Figure 1, Project Location Map).

The purpose of this study is to predict and evaluate the noise level changes related to the proposed improvements. Specifically, this study addresses noise level changes and evaluates noise abatement considerations along S.R. 60 from the Courtney Campbell Causeway to Fish Creek. The study was prepared using the methodology established in Title 23 CFR, Part 772, Procedures for Abatement of Highway Traffic Noise and Construction Noise, and the FDOT Project Development and Environment (PD&E) Manual, Part 2, Chapter 17 (October 1998).

### 1.1 Existing Facility

At present, the Courtney Campbell interchange segment is predominately at grade with one overpass providing free flow movement in the southbound direction. Northbound travel is also free flow; however, travel to and from the Courtney Campbell Causeway is interrupted by two signalized intersections. In addition to the delays imposed by these signals, the interchange includes numerous operational and safety deficiencies. These deficiencies include an undesirable geometric design of the easternmost intersection with Memorial Highway and a causeway section that allows several uncontrolled left turn movements.



# COURTNEY CAMPBELL CAUSEWAY

FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

**S.R. 60**  
 NOISE STUDY  
 TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM  
 Hillsborough County, Florida  
**PROJECT LOCATION MAP**

W.P.I. Segment No. 255630 1  
 S.P.N. 10140-1552  
 FAP To be Assigned


FIGURE 1

The Courtney Campbell Causeway in the vicinity of the interchange is currently a six-lane undivided facility. Within the vicinity of the interchange, commercial development is adjacent to both sides of the Courtney Campbell Causeway. A frontage road provides local access to businesses on the north side of the causeway. The hotel and office complex on the south side of the causeway are accessed by a service road, which is controlled by one of the traffic signals in the interchange area. The project site is also constrained on the east by Tampa International Airport (TIA). Proposed future runway construction limits the interchange height and affects ramp configuration.

## **1.2 Proposed Improvements**

The project will include three eastbound and three westbound through lanes along S.R. 60, two-lane, undivided north and south frontage roads, and ramps to and from Memorial Highway. The proposed typical sections for the improvements with frontage roads are depicted in Figure 2, Courtney Campbell Causeway with Frontage Roads. The superelevated section of the interchange along with the typical section for S.R. 589 (Veterans Expressway) are shown in Figure 3, Mainline Express Lanes and C/D Lanes. Figure 4, Ramp Typical Sections, shows the proposed one-lane ramp (A3), the two-lane ramps (A1, A5 and A6) and the three-lane ramp (A4). The proposed improvement configuration is shown in Figure 5, Proposed Courtney Campbell Causeway Interchange.

The project also involves a northerly shift in alignment. This alignment would maintain the existing right-of-way (ROW) on the south side of the causeway and require complete acquisition of the parcels on the north side of the causeway. Consequently, the residential areas known as Dana Shores and Venetian Shores, which currently receive limited shielding from the traffic noise by the commercial buildings, would be more exposed to the roadway.




# COURTNEY CAMPBELL CAUSEWAY WITH FRONTAGE ROADS

FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION


**S.R. 60**  
 NOISE STUDY  
 TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM  
 Hillsborough County, Florida  
**TYPICAL SECTIONS**

W.P.I. Segment No. 255630 1  
 S.P.N. 10140-1552  
 FAP To be Assigned

FIGURE 2



**SUPERELEVATED SECTION**




**TYPICAL SECTION (S.R. 589)**

**MAINLINE EXPRESS LANES AND C/D LANES**

**FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

**S.R. 60  
NOISE STUDY  
TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM  
Hillsborough County, Florida  
TYPICAL SECTIONS**

W.P.I. Segment No. 255630 1  
S.P.N. 10140-1552  
FAP To be Assigned



ONE-LANE RAMP (RAMP A3)

TWO-LANE RAMP (RAMPS A1, A5 & A6)


THREE-LANE RAMP (RAMP A4)

**RAMP TYPICAL SECTIONS**

FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

**S.R. 60**  
 NOISE STUDY  
 TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM  
 Hillsborough County, Florida  
**TYPICAL SECTIONS**

W.R.I. Segment No. 255630 1  
 S.P.N. 10140-1552  
 FAP To be Assigned



COREL \ DIST\_7 \ S.R. 60 \ NOISE \ REPORT \ FIG-5.CDR \ 3-26-89

**PROPOSED  
COURTNEY CAMPBELL  
CAUSEWAY INTERCHANGE**

**FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

**S.R. 60**  
**NOISE STUDY TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM**  
Hillsborough County, Florida

W.P.I. Segment No. 255630 1  
S.P.N. 10140-1552  
FAP To be Assigned

**FIGURE 5**

## 2.0 METHODOLOGY

---

All noise levels generated for this study were produced using the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) Traffic Noise Model (TNM), Version 1.0. All noise levels, measured and predicted, are expressed in decibels (dB) on the "A"-scale (dBA). This scale most closely approximates the response characteristics of the human ear for low level sound. All noise levels are reported as  $L_{Aeq1h}$  values, which theoretically contain the same amount of acoustic energy as the actual time-varying, A-weighted, sound level over a period of one hour.

The traffic volumes utilized for input into the TNM computer model were obtained from the FDOT, District 7, Planning Department. Speeds were assigned to the modeled roadways based on existing speed limits.

The traffic segments and applicable side roads and ramps are shown in Table 1 with the corresponding volumes and speeds. A peak hour factor (K) of 10.61 percent for Existing and Build Alternative traffic was used to determine hourly traffic volumes from Annual Average Daily Traffic (AADT) figures. A truck factor of 3 percent was divided evenly between medium and heavy trucks (i.e., 1.5 percent each). The directional factor (D) is 58.58 percent. Appendix A contains the Existing and Build Traffic Data provided by FDOT.

**Table 1  
Traffic Data**

Segment	Existing 1998	KM/H (MPH)	Build 2025	KM/H (MPH)
Ramp A1	1425 <sup>1</sup>	55 km/h (35 mph)	2175 <sup>1</sup>	55 km/h (35 mph)
Ramp A3	N/A	N/A	1698 <sup>1</sup>	55 km/h (35 mph)
Ramp A4	2583 <sup>1</sup>	55 km/h (35 mph)	1379 <sup>2</sup>	55 km/h (35 mph)
Ramp A5	N/A	N/A	1167 <sup>1</sup>	55 km/h (35 mph)
Ramp A6	600 <sup>1</sup>	55 km/h (35 mph)	825 <sup>1</sup>	55 km/h (35 mph)
Courtney Campbell Causeway (Westbound)	3183 <sup>1</sup>	80 km/h (50 mph)	4244 <sup>1</sup>	80 km/h (50 mph)
Courtney Campbell Causeway (Eastbound)	2251 <sup>1</sup>	80 km/h (50 mph)	3001 <sup>1</sup>	80 km/h (50 mph)
Northbound C/D-Segment 1	N/A	N/A	3426 <sup>2</sup>	80 km/h (50 mph)
Northbound C/D-Segment 2	N/A	N/A	2784 <sup>2</sup>	70 km/h (45 mph)
Southbound C/D	N/A	N/A	4200 <sup>2</sup>	80 km/h (50 mph)
Northbound Mainline	N/A	N/A	2700 <sup>2</sup>	88 km/h (55 mph)

<sup>1</sup> Denotes peak-hour demand volume.

<sup>2</sup> Denotes level of service "C" (LOS C) volume.

Source: Florida Department of Transportation, District 7, December 1998.

### 3.0 TRAFFIC NOISE ANALYSIS

#### 3.1 Noise Sensitive Receivers

Noise sensitive receivers are any property (owner occupied, rented, or leased) where frequent exterior human use occurs and where a lowered noise level would be of benefit. The FHWA has established noise levels at which noise abatement must be considered. These noise levels are referred to as the Noise Abatement Criteria (NAC). As shown in Table 2, the NAC vary according to the activity category. When future predicted traffic noise levels "approach" or exceed the NAC, the FHWA requires that noise abatement measures be considered. The FDOT considers the term "approach" to mean within 2 dBA of the FHWA criteria.

**Table 2**  
**FHWA Noise Abatement Criteria**

Activity Category	Leq(h)	Description of Land Use Activity Category
A	57 (Exterior)	Lands on which serenity and quiet are of extraordinary significance and serve an important public need and where the preservation of those qualities is essential if the area is to continue to serve its intended purpose.
B	67 (Exterior)	Picnic areas, recreation areas, playgrounds, active sports areas, parks, residences, motels, hotels, schools, churches, libraries, and hospitals.
C	72 (Exterior)	Developed lands, properties, or activities not included in Categories A or B.
D	--	Undeveloped lands.
E	52 (Interior)	Residences, motels, hotels, public meeting rooms, schools, churches, libraries, hospitals, and auditoriums.

Source: 23 CFR Part 772, Procedures for Abatement of Highway Traffic Noise and Construction Noise, Federal Highway Administration, U.S. Department of Transportation, as amended.

The existing land uses in the project area are primarily commercial, consisting of restaurants and hotels. The project would require acquisition of commercial properties to the north of the Courtney Campbell Causeway. Behind the commercial properties, residential properties abut a waterway which would be adjacent to the proposed roadway. Noise sensitive sites identified along the waterway are part of the Dana Shores and Venetian Shores subdivisions. These noise sensitive sites are in Activity Category B of the NAC.

The southern portion of Skyway Park is also located in the vicinity of the project. The park, located west of the Veterans Expressway and north of the Courtney Campbell Causeway, was surveyed for outdoor use. The portions of the park developed for regular recreational use are located over 500 m (1,640 ft) north of the Courtney Campbell Causeway. Only a bicycle/jogging path exists within the vicinity of the Courtney Campbell Causeway and there were signs of only sporadic activity along the bicycle path (two runners and one bicyclist were observed). Use of the path is infrequent. Additionally, the park is within the 65 dBA day-night noise level (DNL) contour for existing and future aircraft operational conditions at Tampa International Airport (TIA). For these reasons, the portion of Skyway Park in the vicinity of the project was not considered sensitive to traffic noise.

Residences were represented by receiver points. The receivers were located in accordance with the PD&E Manual, Part 2, Chapter 17, (revised 10-9-98) as follows:

1. Unless the area of exterior frequent use was identified elsewhere, residential receptor sites were placed at the edge of the dwelling unit closest to the major traffic noise source or as dictated by professional judgement.
2. Where more than one unit was clustered together, single sites were analyzed as representative of the group.
3. Receptor heights for first floor receivers were always assumed to be 1.5 m (5 feet) above ground and second story receivers at 4.6 m (15 feet) above ground level.

### **3.2 Measured Noise Levels**

Field measurements were taken in accordance with the FHWA Measurement of Highway Related Noise. Field measurements were obtained using a Metrosonics 308-dBA Dosimeter. The dosimeter is calibrated before and after each monitoring period using a Metrosonics Sound Level Calibrator. Both the Dosimeter and the calibrator are professionally calibrated by Metrosonics once a year. Speeds were recorded with an MPH, Model K-15, K-band, hand held, radar gun.

To validate the TNM computer model, a field measurement was taken within the project area. Site selection for the field measurement was based on a location where a representative sampling of free-flow traffic could be obtained. Vehicle counts, vehicle classifications, and vehicle speeds were also recorded. The field measurement and the validation results using the TNM computer model are shown in Table 3. The computer model is predicting noise levels at an accepted level of accuracy if the difference between the measured and predicted noise levels are within the FDOT tolerance standard of 3 dBA. As shown in Table 3, the ability of the TNM computer model to accurately predict noise levels for this project segment was not confirmed, as the levels are not within the FDOT tolerance standard.

**Table 3**  
**Validation\***

Location	Time/ Date	Field Measure	Computer Validation*	Decibel Difference
3901 West Eden Rock Circle	1/29/99 4:30 P.M.	64.7 dBA	60.5 dBA	4.2 dBA

\* Computer output is provided in Appendix B.  
Source: PBS&J, Inc., January 1999.

The reason the model did not validate was because of aircraft noise generated by takeoff operations at Tampa International Airport (TIA). Five aircraft departed during the noise monitoring event with maximum noise levels ranging from 67 to 79 dBA (average of 73 dBA). Aircraft noise was noticeable for at least 30 seconds for each aircraft takeoff. Therefore, aircraft were a significant noise source for at least 25 percent of the 10-minute noise monitoring period.

Aircraft noise is expressed as a day-night noise level (DNL). The DNL metric is similar to that used for expressing traffic noise ( $L_{Aeq1h}$ ) with an averaging time of 24 hours rather than 1 hour. Additionally, the DNL accounts for increased sensitivity to noise during nighttime hours by adding an additional 10 dBA to measured noise levels between the hours of 10 PM and 7 AM. Noise sensitive areas are considered to be affected by aircraft noise when the predicted DNL is 65 dBA or greater. Data provided by the Hillsborough County Aviation Authority (HCAA) shows the two eastern most residences as being located within the 65 dBA DNL contour for existing conditions. For year 2003, the 65 dBA DNL contour passes close to the eastern most residences, but none of the residences are within the 65 dBA contour.

Based on the noise data provided by HCAA and the noise monitoring performed for validation, aircraft operations are a significant noise source at the Dana Shores and Venetian Shores subdivisions with the amount of noise attributable to aircraft varying widely within a given 1-hour averaging period. Although the model did not validate, it is close to the

accepted level of accuracy and should be a good indicator of noise attributable to motor vehicle traffic.

### 3.3 Predicted Noise Levels

Noise level isopleths associated with the Build Alternative were determined using the TNM computer model and traffic volumes and speed data provided by FDOT. Isopleths, or points of equal noise level, are identified for two specific noise levels. The 65 dBA isopleth distance is included in order to identify those receptors for which noise abatement considerations are warranted. The 67 dBA isopleth distance is included for use by local officials in planning noise compatible future land uses adjacent to the proposed facility.

The isopleth distances are indicated in Table 4. All reported distances are measured from the proposed centerline of the Courtney Campbell Causeway.

**Table 4  
Distance to Noise Level Isopleths\***

Noise Level	End of Versailles Drive	End of Fountainbleu Drive	Southeast Corner of Venetian Shores	Southeast Corner of Nature Trail
65 dBA	154 meters (m) 505 feet (ft)	130 m 427 ft	120 m 394 ft	125 m 410 ft
67 dBA	120 m 394 ft	105 m 344 ft	101 m 331 ft	110 m 361 ft


\* All distances are measured from the proposed centerline of the Courtney Campbell Causeway.  
Source: PBS&J, Inc., January 1999.

### 3.4 Noise Analysis

Based on the isopleth distance data, a review of land use data, proximity of noise sensitive sites to the Courtney Campbell Causeway and its proposed interchange with S.R. 60, and field verification of noise sensitive site locations, a total of 28 receivers were evaluated for the Build Alternative. All the evaluated receivers are residences. The locations of the

receivers are shown in Figure 6. As indicated, the receivers are labeled from 1 to 28 beginning at the western end of the project. Predicted noise levels are summarized in Table 5. All predictions are for exterior uses.

For the Build Alternative, a total of 14 residences are predicted to approach the NAC for the build condition. These residences are located in the first-row of residences abutting the waterway.



R-# Receiver Number

FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

**S.R. 60**  
NOISE STUDY  
TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM  
Hillsborough County, Florida  
**RECEIVER LOCATIONS**

W.P.I. Segment No. 255630 1  
S.P.N. 10140-1552  
FAP To be Assigned

FIGURE 6

**Table 5**  
**Existing and Future Noise Levels**

Receiver ID	Traffic Segment	Location	Dwelling Units Represented	1999 Existing	2025 Build	Difference <sup>1</sup> dBA
1	CCC <sup>2</sup>	3902 Versailles Drive	1	58.6	59.9	1.3
2	CCC	3901 Versailles Drive	1	61.4	63.9	2.5
3	CCC	3903 Versailles Drive	2	64.6	66.0	1.4
4	CCC	3907 Versailles Drive	2	64.6	66.0	1.4
5	CCC	3911 Versailles Drive	2	64.6	65.8	1.2
6	CCC	3915 Versailles Drive	1	62.0	64.3	2.3
7	CCC	3917 Versailles Drive	1	58.5	60.7	2.2
8	CCC	3908 Versailles Drive	5	55.8	57.7	1.9
9	CCC	3908 Fontainebleau Drive	1	58.1	60.8	2.7
10	CCC	3906 Fontainebleau Drive	1	60.7	62.7	2.0
11	CCC	3904 Fontainebleau Drive	2	63.4	64.8	1.4
12	CCC	3905 Fontainebleau Drive	1	60.8	63.4	2.6
13	CCC	3907 Fontainebleau Drive	1	57.5	61.5	4.0
14	CCC	3908 West Eden Rock Circle	1	57.4	60.9	3.5
15	CCC	3906 West Eden Rock Circle	1	61.5	64.3	2.8
16	CCC	3902 West Eden Rock Circle	4	60.5	65.8	5.3
17	CCC	3905 East Eden Rock Circle	1	60.2	63.9	3.7
18	CCC	3909 East Eden Rock Circle	1	56.5	62.4	5.9
19	CCC	3908 East Eden Rock Circle	2	55.0	60.0	5.0
20	CCC	3904 Venetian Way	1	59.0	64.5	5.5
21	CCC	3902 Venetian Way	1	59.9	66.6	6.7
22	CCC	3901 Venetian Way	1	60.2	66.7	6.5
23	CCC	3903 Venetian Way	1	57.0	63.1	6.1
24	CCC	3904 Doral Street	1	58.3	62.7	4.4
25	CCC	3902 Doral Drive	1	59.7	66.6	6.9
26	CCC	3901 Doral Street	1	59.2	66.1	6.9
27	CCC	3903 Doral Street	1	57.4	63.5	6.1
28	CCC	3905 Doral Street	1	57.3	63.4	6.1

<sup>1</sup> Difference between Build and Existing.

<sup>2</sup> CCC stands for Courtney Campbell Causeway  
Source: PBS&J, Inc., January 1999.

In addition to approaching or exceeding the NAC, sensitive sites are considered affected if the Build Alternative is predicted to cause a substantial increase in the noise level. The FDOT defines the term "substantial increase" as 15 or more dBA above the existing noise level as a direct result of the transportation improvement project. Comparing the existing to the build condition, the range of increase for the predicted noise levels is from 1.2 to 6.9 dBA. The largest increases occur in the eastern portions of the project where the Courtney Campbell Causeway alignment is shifted to the north. The TNM computer model output tables for Predicted Existing and Predicted Build Noise Levels are provided in Appendix C and Appendix D, respectively.

### **3.5 Noise Abatement Techniques**

As stipulated by 23 CFR Part 772, the FHWA requires that noise abatement measures be evaluated if noise levels at sensitive sites approach or exceed the NAC. Therefore, abatement was evaluated for the 14 first-row residences affected by traffic noise. Abatement measures considered include traffic system management, alignment modifications, property acquisition, land use controls and noise barriers.

#### **3.5.1 Traffic System Management Measures**

Traffic system management measures which limit motor vehicle speeds and reduce traffic volumes can be used as mitigation measures. However, these measures also negate the purpose of providing a facility that can accommodate forecasted traffic volumes. For example, a substantial speed reduction on S.R. 60 would lower traffic noise levels; however, the capacity of the roadway to handle traffic would also be reduced. As one of the few routes in the area traversing Tampa Bay and connecting Hillsborough and Pinellas Counties, reducing traffic volumes or prohibiting truck traffic is not a viable mitigation measure. Therefore, traffic system management is not considered a feasible abatement measure.

### **3.5.2 Alignment Modifications**

---

Alignment modification generally involves orientating and/or siting the roadway at sufficient distances from noise sensitive areas so as to minimize traffic noise. Since the existing roadway is built on a man-made causeway with water on both sides, alignment alternatives are limited to those that use the existing causeway and provide for a connection using the existing interchange ROW. There is not sufficient room to shift the alignment in order to abate traffic noise.

### **3.5.3 Property Acquisition**

Property acquisition programs to provide noise buffer zones or space for noise barrier construction are not feasible due to the limited availability of vacant land along the man-made causeway or near the noise sensitive sites.

### **3.5.4 Land Use Controls**

Another noise abatement measure is the use of proper land use controls to minimize noise sensitive sites that may be affected by traffic noise. This area is currently fully developed and is expected to remain as residential use; therefore, land use controls are not feasible.

### **3.5.5 Noise Barriers**

Noise barriers reduce noise levels by blocking the sound path between a roadway and noise sensitive sites. To be effective in reducing traffic noise, a noise barrier must be relatively long, continuous (with no intermittent openings) and sufficiently high enough to provide the necessary reduction in noise levels. Barriers are most often used on high speed, limited access facilities where noise levels are high and there is adequate space for continuously long and sufficiently high barriers.

In order for a barrier to be considered feasible and economically reasonable it must meet the following minimum conditions:

1. Provide a minimum insertion loss (I. L.) (noise reduction) of at least 5 dBA with a design goal of 8 to 12 dBA being desirable.
2. Cost must not exceed \$30,000 per benefitted receiver unless a higher level of expenditure can be justified by other circumstances.

However, other important factors such as community desires, adjacent land uses, safety and barrier constructability and maintenance also play important roles. These criteria are evaluated more closely during the engineering design phase of the project.

### **3.6 Noise Barrier Analysis**

In order to analyze the effectiveness of noise barriers, the TNM computer program was utilized. The following discusses the feasibility and reasonableness of providing noise barriers at the affected noise sensitive sites and describes the modeling results where applicable.

A thorough examination was conducted to determine the placement of barrier walls. Engineering and safety criteria were considered. Because many portions of the proposed improvements are elevated, noise barriers were located along the shoulder of the proposed roadway.

In order to provide abatement to all of the front-row residences within the project limits, two noise walls would be required. The first noise wall would begin along the off-ramp from southbound Veterans Expressway to westbound Courtney Campbell Causeway and terminate along the off-ramp from westbound Courtney Campbell Causeway to the proposed northern frontage road. The second noise wall would begin along the northern frontage road and continue west along the Courtney Campbell Causeway (see Appendix E for Modeled Noise

Barrier Locations and Results). A summary of the noise barrier evaluation is provided in Table 6. As shown, wall heights from 2.74 m (9 ft) to 3.66 m (12 ft) meet the minimum insertion loss of 5 dBA and are below the cost reasonable criteria of \$30,000 per benefitted receiver. Table 7 presents the evaluation of the 21 Traffic Noise Abatement Considerations to be utilized in the assessing the feasibility and reasonableness of providing a noise wall at this location.

**Table 6  
Noise Barrier Analysis**

Wall Height	#/Rec 5 dBA	#/Rec 6 dBA	#/Rec 7 dBA	#/Rec 8 dBA	Wall Length	Total Cost*	Total Benefitted Rec	Cost/Rec**
2.74 m (9 ft)	14	6	2	0	1052 m (3451 ft)	\$623,800	22	\$28,355
3.05 m (10 ft)	11	6	6	0	977 m (3205 ft)	\$641,900	23	\$27,909
3.36 m (11 ft)	13	5	6	1	947 m (3107 ft)	\$685,400	25	\$27,416
3.66 m (12 ft)	13	5	2	5	902 m (2959 ft)	\$711,000	25	\$28,440

\* Cost is calculated based on \$215.28 per square meter (\$20.00 sq. ft.)

\*\*Receivers counted in cost analysis are those receiving at least 5 dBA I.L.

Source: PBS&J, Inc., January 1999.

**Table 7**  
**Traffic Noise Abatement Considerations**  
**For a Noise Wall at the Dana Shores and Venetian Shores Subdivisions**

EVALUATION CRITERIA	EXPLANATION
1. Relationship of future levels to the abatement	Receivers approach the NAC.
2. Insertion Loss	At least 22 receivers would achieve a minimum of 5 dBA I.L.
3. Safety	Sight distance and clear recovery were considered.
4. Community desires	No documented comments to date. Public coordination is on-going.
5. Accessibility	The wall does not affect accessibility.
6. Land use stability	Land use in the area is expected to remain stable.
7. Local controls	Hillsborough County has an ordinance limiting residential fences to 6 feet high. However, the ordinance is not applicable to noise barriers on FDOT ROW.
8. Views of local officials with jurisdiction	The finalized Noise Study will be distributed to local agencies upon approval.
9. Relative noise level increases resulting from project	The Build Alternative is expected to increase noise levels from 1.2 to 6.9 dBA above existing conditions.
10. The difference in noise levels between build and no-build alternatives	No-Build traffic volumes were not available. Based on a comparison of existing, build and LOS C volumes, levels for the No-Build Alternative would be nearly the same as existing noise levels.
11. Antiquity	The area has been used as residential for at least 25 years.
12. Constructability	A review of the current design revealed no constructability issues that would make a noise wall not feasible.
13. Maintainability	The wall was placed within the proposed ROW at the roadway shoulder.
14. Aesthetics	Careful consideration should be given to the nature of the surrounding area and the function of the roadway to determine the aesthetic compatibility of the noise walls.
15. ROW needs including access rights, easements for construction and/or maintenance, and additional land	No additional ROW should be required.
16. Cost	Meets cost criteria.
17. Utilities	The current design does not show any conflict between the noise wall location and utilities.
18. Drainage	The current design shows a guardrail in the location of the noise wall. Some modifications to the drainage would be needed to accommodate a noise wall.
19. Special land use considerations	None
20. Other environmental considerations	None
21. Additional considerations	None

Source: PBS&J, Inc., January 1999.

### 3.7 Conclusions

---

Noise barriers appear to be a reasonable and feasible abatement measure for the affected residences located in the Dana Shores and Venetian Shores subdivisions. The noise barriers can provide at least a 5 dBA insertion loss to about 22 to 25 residences depending on the wall height. A 3.66 m (12 ft) wall, 902 m (2,959 ft) long, is recommended because it will provide the greatest insertion loss, ranging from 5 to 8 dBA, to the maximum number of residences. At \$28,440 per benefitted residence, the cost is below the cost criteria. All 14 sites predicted to approach the NAC of 67 dBA are included in the residences that would receive at least a 5 dBA insertion loss.

The FDOT is committed to construct feasible noise abatement measures at the affected locations identified in Table 5 contingent upon the following conditions:

- Detailed noise analysis performed for any substantial revision to the current design supports the need for abatement;
- Reasonable cost analyses indicates that the economic cost of the barriers will not exceed the guidelines at the time of construction;
- Community input regarding desires, types, heights, and locations of barriers has been solicited by the District Office;
- Preferences regarding compatibility with adjacent land uses, particularly as addressed by officials having jurisdiction over such land uses, has been noted;
- Safety and engineering aspects, as related to the roadway user and the adjacent property owners, have been reviewed; and
- Any other mitigating circumstances found in Section 17-4.6.1 of the PD&E Manual have been analyzed.

#### **4.0 CONSTRUCTION NOISE**

---

During the construction phase of the proposed project, short-term noise may occur as a result of both stationary and mobile construction equipment. The construction noise will be temporary at any one location.

Construction noise will be controlled by adherence to the controls listed in the most recent edition of the FDOT Standard Specifications for Road and Bridge Construction [1999].

Specific noise problems that may arise during construction of the project will be addressed by the Construction Engineer in cooperation with the appropriate FDOT District Environmental Specialist.

#### **5.0 PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT**

An ongoing public involvement process is being carried out during the design phase of this project. During future public involvement, FDOT will provide details and solicit comments from the public regarding the results of the noise study. The results of the public involvement phase will be included in the reevaluation carried out for the construction authorization.

Coordination with local agencies and officials is also ongoing. Once finalized, a copy of this report will be provided to appropriate local planning authorities.

## 6.0 REFERENCES

---

1. Title 23 CFR, Part 772, Federal Highway Administration, U.S. Department of Transportation, Procedures for Abatement of Highway Traffic Noise and Construction Noise, April 1, 1998 Edition.
2. Florida Department of Transportation, Standard Specifications for Road and Bridge Construction, 1999.
3. FHWA Measurement of Highway Related Noise, May 1996.
4. Florida Department of Transportation, Florida Project Development and Environment Manual, Volumes 1 and 2.

---

## **APPENDICES**

- Appendix A Existing and Build Traffic Data**
- Appendix B Validation**
- Appendix C Predicted Existing Noise Levels**
- Appendix D Predicted Build Noise Levels**
- Appendix E Modeled Noise Barrier Locations and Results**

---

**Appendix A**  
**Existing and Build Traffic Data**

# MEMORANDUM

Department of Transportation  
District Seven Planning MS 7-340

**DATE:** February 28, 1997

**TO:** Irwin Prescott, Project Management

**FROM:** Fawzi Bitar, Systems Planning Coordinator *FB*

**COPIES:** K. Coughlin, File

**SUBJECT:** W.P.I. # : 7113871  
State Proj. # : 10140-1552  
State Road : Courtney Campbell Cswy /Memorial Interchange  
County : Hillsborough

Per Mr. Coughlin's request dated December 31, 1996, enclosed are:

- 1) A location map.
- 2) A table showing:
  - a) Location Numbers.
  - b) Location Description.
  - c) Number of Lanes.
  - e) The 2005, 2015, and 2025 projected traffic.
  - d) Truck Percent.
- 3) The 18 KIP Equivalent Single Axle Load Accumulation.
- 4)  $K = 10.61\%$   $D = 58.58\%$

The 2025 traffic forecast was developed after reviewing:

- A) The Historical count trend analyses.
- B) The Tampa Bay Regional Transportation Analyses Model output.


I have followed The Design Traffic Procedure adopted by FDOT.

/FKB  
Enclosure

LOS C (vph)  
 From Generalized Tables  
 Table 5-7 (1998 LOS Handbook)  
 Existing



Eisenhower SR 589



① LOCATION  
 2/28/97

MEMORIAL SR 60


# LOS C (vph)

From Generalized Tables  
Table 5-7 (1990 LOS Manual)

## Build



### Eisenhower SR589




### MEMORIAL SR60

① LOCATION

2/28/97


# ExistingAADT




① LOCATION  
2/28/97

MEMORIAL SR 60

2025 AADT



Eisenhower SR 589



MEMORIAL SR 60

① LOCATION

2/28/97

**Appendix B**  
**Validation**



Doebler/Fentriss 52721

04-Feb-99  
TNM 1.0

Results: Sound Levels  
 Project/Contract: 60458.01/FDOT 7  
 Run: Validation  
 Barrier Design: Input Heights

Average pavement type shall be used unless a State highway agency substantiates the use of a different type with approval of FHWA.

Atmospherics: deg C, 50% RH

Receiver											
Name	Dwelling Units	Existing LAeq1h	No Barrier				With Barrier				
			LAeq1h		Increase over Existing		Noise Reduction				
			Calculated	Criterion	Calculated	Substantial Increase	Type Impact	Calculated LAeq1h	Calculated	Goal	Calculated Minus Goal
		dBA	dBA	dBA	dB	dB		dBA	dB	dB	dB
3901 E EDEN ROCK CIRCLE	1	0.0	60.5	65.0	60.5	15	---	60.5	0.00	5	-5.00

**Appendix C**  
**Predicted Existing Noise Levels**



Doehler/Fentress 52721

25-Mar-99  
TNM 1.0

Results: Sound Levels  
 Project/Contract: 60458.01/FDOT 7  
 Run: 1999 Existing Scenario  
 Barrier Design: Input Heights  
 Atmospherics: deg C, 50% RH

Average pavement type shall be used unless  
 a State highway agency substantiates the use  
 of a different type with approval of FHWA.

Receiver Name	Dwelling Units	Existing LAeq1h DBA	No Barrier			Type Impact	With Barrier			
			Calculated LAeq1h DBA	Criterion dB	Increase over Existing Calculated dB		Substantial Increase dB	Calculated LAeq1h dB	Calculated dB	Goal dB
Receiver 1	1	0.0	58.6	65.0	58.6	10	58.6	0.00	8	-8.00
Receiver 2	1	0.0	61.4	65.0	61.4	10	61.4	0.00	8	-8.00
Receiver 3	2	0.0	64.6	65.0	64.6	10	64.6	0.00	8	-8.00
Receiver 4	2	0.0	64.6	65.0	64.6	10	64.6	0.00	8	-8.00
Receiver 5	2	0.0	64.6	65.0	64.6	10	64.6	0.00	8	-8.00
Receiver 6	1	0.0	62.0	65.0	62.0	10	62.0	0.00	8	-8.00
Receiver 7	1	0.0	58.5	65.0	58.5	10	58.5	0.00	8	-8.00
Receiver 8	5	0.0	55.8	65.0	55.8	10	55.8	0.00	8	-8.00
Receiver 9	1	0.0	58.1	65.0	58.1	10	58.1	0.00	8	-8.00
Receiver 10	1	0.0	60.7	65.0	60.7	10	60.7	0.00	8	-8.00
Receiver 11	2	0.0	63.4	65.0	63.4	10	63.4	0.00	8	-8.00
Receiver 12	1	0.0	60.8	65.0	60.8	10	60.8	0.00	8	-8.00
Receiver 13	1	0.0	57.5	65.0	57.5	10	57.5	0.00	8	-8.00
Receiver 14	1	0.0	57.4	65.0	57.4	10	57.4	0.00	8	-8.00
Receiver 15	1	0.0	61.5	65.0	61.5	10	61.5	0.00	8	-8.00
Receiver 16	4	0.0	60.5	65.0	60.5	10	60.5	0.00	8	-8.00
Receiver 17	1	0.0	60.2	65.0	60.2	10	60.2	0.00	8	-8.00
Receiver 18	1	0.0	56.5	65.0	56.5	10	56.5	0.00	8	-8.00
Receiver 19	2	0.0	55.0	65.0	55.0	10	55.0	0.00	8	-8.00
Receiver 20	1	0.0	59.0	65.0	59.0	10	59.0	0.00	8	-8.00
Receiver 21	1	0.0	59.9	65.0	59.9	10	59.9	0.00	8	-8.00
Receiver 22	1	0.0	60.2	65.0	60.2	10	60.2	0.00	8	-8.00
Receiver 23	1	0.0	57.0	65.0	57.0	10	57.0	0.00	8	-8.00
Receiver 24	1	0.0	58.3	65.0	58.3	10	58.3	0.00	8	-8.00
Receiver 25	1	0.0	59.7	65.0	59.7	10	59.7	0.00	8	-8.00
Receiver 26	1	0.0	59.2	65.0	59.2	10	59.2	0.00	8	-8.00
Receiver 27	1	0.0	57.4	65.0	57.4	10	57.4	0.00	8	-8.00
Receiver 28	1	0.0	57.3	65.0	57.3	10	57.3	0.00	8	-8.00

**Appendix D**  
**Predicted Build Noise Levels**



Doebler/Fentriss 52721

30-Mar-99  
TNM 1.0

Results: Sound Levels  
Project/Contract: 60458.01/FDOT 7  
Run: 2025 Build Scenario  
Barrier Design: Input Heights


Atmospherics: deg C, 50% RH

Average pavement type shall be used unless a State highway agency substantiates the use of a different type with approval of FHWA.

Receiver											
Name	Dwelling Units	Existing LAeq1h	No Barrier				With Barrier				
			LAeq1h		Increase over Existing		Type Impact	Noise Reduction			
			Calculated	Criterion	Calculated	Substantial Increase		Calculated LAeq1h	Calculated	Goal	Calculated Minus Goal
		dBA	dBA	dBA	dB	dB		dBA	dB	dB	dB
Receiver 1	1	58.6	59.9	65.0	1.3	15	---	59.9	0.00	5	-5.00
Receiver 2	1	61.4	63.9	65.0	2.5	15	---	63.9	0.00	5	-5.00
Receiver 3	2	64.6	66.0	65.0	1.4	15	Snd Lvl	66.0	0.00	5	-5.00
Receiver 4	2	64.6	66.0	65.0	1.4	15	Snd Lvl	66.0	0.00	5	-5.00
Receiver 5	2	64.6	65.8	65.0	1.2	15	Snd Lvl	65.8	0.00	5	-5.00
Receiver 6	1	62.0	64.3	65.0	2.3	15	---	64.3	0.00	5	-5.00
Receiver 7	1	58.5	60.7	65.0	2.2	15	---	60.7	0.00	5	-5.00
Receiver 8	5	55.8	57.7	65.0	1.9	15	---	57.7	0.00	5	-5.00
Receiver 9	1	58.1	60.8	65.0	2.7	15	---	60.8	0.00	5	-5.00
Receiver 10	1	60.7	62.7	65.0	2.0	15	---	62.7	0.00	5	-5.00
Receiver 11	2	63.4	64.8	65.0	1.4	15	---	64.8	0.00	5	-5.00
Receiver 12	1	60.8	63.4	65.0	2.6	15	---	63.4	0.00	5	-5.00
Receiver 13	1	57.5	61.5	65.0	4.0	15	---	61.5	0.00	5	-5.00
Receiver 14	1	57.4	60.9	65.0	3.5	15	---	60.9	0.00	5	-5.00
Receiver 15	1	61.5	64.3	65.0	3.0	15	---	64.3	0.00	5	-5.00
Receiver 16	4	60.5	65.8	65.0	5.3	15	Snd Lvl	65.8	0.00	5	-5.00
Receiver 17	1	60.2	63.9	65.0	3.7	15	---	63.9	0.00	5	-5.00
Receiver 18	1	56.5	62.4	65.0	5.9	15	---	62.4	0.00	5	-5.00
Receiver 19	2	55.0	60.0	65.0	5.0	15	---	60.0	0.00	5	-5.00
Receiver 20	1	59.0	64.5	65.0	5.5	15	---	64.5	0.00	5	-5.00
Receiver 21	1	59.9	66.6	65.0	6.7	15	Snd Lvl	66.6	0.00	5	-5.00
Receiver 22	1	60.2	66.7	65.0	6.5	15	Snd Lvl	66.7	0.00	5	-5.00
Receiver 23	1	57.0	63.1	65.0	6.1	15	---	63.1	0.00	5	-5.00
Receiver 24	1	58.3	62.7	65.0	4.4	15	---	62.7	0.00	5	-5.00
Receiver 25	1	59.7	66.6	65.0	6.9	15	Snd Lvl	66.6	0.00	5	-5.00
Receiver 26	1	59.2	66.1	65.0	6.9	15	Snd Lvl	66.1	0.00	5	-5.00
Receiver 27	1	57.4	63.5	65.0	6.1	15	---	63.5	0.00	5	-5.00
Receiver 28	1	57.3	63.4	65.0	6.1	15	---	63.4	0.00	5	-5.00

---

**Appendix E**  
**Modeled Noise Barrier Locations and Results**



## RECEIVER AND BARRIER LOCATIONS

--- Barrier Location (11-foot high barrier)

R-# Receiver Number



25-Mar-99  
TNM 1.0

Doehler/Fentress 52721  
 Results: Sound Levels  
 Project/Contract: 60458.01/FDOT 7  
 Run: 2025 Build Scenario  
 Barrier Design: Case 2 - Adjusted 9-foot  
 Atmospheric deg C, 50% RH

Average pavement type shall be used unless  
 a State highway agency substantiates the use  
 of a different type with approval of FHWA.

Receiver Name	Dwelling Units	Existing LAeqth dBA	LAeqth			No Barrier		With Barrier			
			Calculated dBA	Criterion dBA	dB	Increase over Existing dB	Type Impact	Calculated LAeqth dBA	Calculated dB	Goal dB	Calculated Minus Goal dB
Receiver 1	1	58.6	59.9	65.0	1.3	15	---	57.7	2.20	5	-2.80
Receiver 2	1	61.4	63.9	65.0	2.5	15	---	60.7	3.20	5	-1.80
Receiver 3	2	64.6	65.9	65.0	1.3	15	Snd Lvl	60.9	5.00	5	0.00
Receiver 4	2	64.6	66.0	65.0	1.4	15	Snd Lvl	60.6	5.40	5	0.40
Receiver 5	2	64.6	65.9	65.0	1.3	15	Snd Lvl	60.2	5.70	5	0.70
Receiver 6	1	62.0	64.5	65.0	2.5	15	---	59.9	4.60	5	-0.40
Receiver 7	1	58.5	61.0	65.0	2.5	15	---	57.4	3.60	5	-1.40
Receiver 8	5	55.8	57.8	65.0	2.0	15	---	55.0	2.80	5	-2.20
Receiver 9	1	58.1	60.9	65.0	2.8	15	---	56.9	4.00	5	-1.00
Receiver 10	1	60.7	62.8	65.0	2.1	15	---	58.4	4.40	5	-0.60
Receiver 11	2	63.4	64.7	65.0	1.3	15	---	59.4	5.30	5	0.30
Receiver 12	1	60.8	63.4	65.0	2.6	15	---	58.4	5.00	5	0.00
Receiver 13	1	57.5	61.2	65.0	3.7	15	---	57.8	3.40	5	-1.60
Receiver 14	1	57.4	61.0	65.0	3.6	15	---	57.4	3.60	5	-1.40
Receiver 15	1	61.5	64.6	65.0	3.1	15	---	58.9	5.70	5	0.70
Receiver 16	4	60.5	65.8	65.0	5.3	15	Snd Lvl	58.9	6.90	5	1.90
Receiver 17	1	60.2	63.9	65.0	3.7	15	---	58.1	5.80	5	0.80
Receiver 18	1	56.5	62.3	65.0	5.8	15	---	57.2	5.10	5	0.10
Receiver 19	2	55.0	59.9	65.0	4.9	15	---	55.0	4.90	5	-0.10
Receiver 20	1	59.0	64.5	65.0	5.5	15	---	57.8	6.70	5	1.70
Receiver 21	1	59.9	66.5	65.0	6.6	15	Snd Lvl	59.1	7.40	5	2.40
Receiver 22	1	60.2	66.7	65.0	6.5	15	Snd Lvl	59.6	7.10	5	2.10
Receiver 23	1	57.0	63.0	65.0	6.0	15	---	57.8	5.20	5	0.20
Receiver 24	1	58.3	63.1	65.0	4.8	15	---	58.4	4.70	5	-0.30
Receiver 25	1	59.7	66.3	65.0	6.6	15	Snd Lvl	59.6	6.70	5	1.70
Receiver 26	1	59.2	65.9	65.0	6.7	15	Snd Lvl	60.7	5.20	5	0.20
Receiver 27	1	57.4	63.3	65.0	5.9	15	---	60.6	2.70	5	-2.30
Receiver 28	1	57.3	63.2	65.0	5.9	15	---	60.8	2.40	5	-2.60



Doebler/Fentriss 52721

25-Mar-99

TNM 1.0

**Results: Barrier Descriptions**

Project/Contract: 60458.01/FDOT 7

Run: 2025 Build Scenario

Barrier Design: Case 2 - Adjusted 9-foot

<b>Barriers</b>										
Name	Type	Heights Along Barrier			Length	If Wall	If Berm			Cost
		Min	Avg	Max		Area	Volume	Top Widt	Run:Rise	
		m	m	m	m	sq m	cu m	m	m:m	\$
Barrier 1	W	2.74	2.74	2.74	564	1,547				\$332,900
Barrier 2	W	2.74	2.74	2.74	493	1,351				\$290,900
Total for 9-foot					1,057	2,898				\$623,800

**Total Benefited Receivers for 9-foot barrier**

**22**

**Cost/Receiver**

**\$28,355**



Doebler/Fentriss 52721  
 Results: Sound Levels  
 Project/Contract: 60458.01/FDOT 7  
 Run: 2025 Build Scenario  
 Barrier Design: Case 2 - Adjusted 10-foot

25-Mar-99  
 TNM 1.0

Atmospheric deg C, 50% RH

Average pavement type shall be used unless  
 a State highway agency substantiates the use  
 of a different type with approval of FHWA.

Receiver											
Name	Dwelling Units	Existing LAeq1h	No Barrier					With Barrier			
			LAeq1h		Increase over Existing		Type Impact	Noise Reduction			
			Calculated	Criterion	Calculated	Substantial Increase		Calculated LAeq1h	Calculated	Goal	Calculated Minus Goal
		dB	dB	dB	dB	dB		dB	dB	dB	dB
Receiver 1	1	58.6	59.9	65.0	1.3	15	---	57.8	2.10	5	-2.90
Receiver 2	1	61.4	63.9	65.0	2.5	15	---	60.5	3.40	5	-1.60
Receiver 3	2	64.6	66.0	65.0	1.4	15	Snd Lvl	61.0	5.00	5	0.00
Receiver 4	2	64.6	66.0	65.0	1.4	15	Snd Lvl	60.5	5.50	5	0.50
Receiver 5	2	64.6	65.9	65.0	1.3	15	Snd Lvl	59.9	6.00	5	1.00
Receiver 6	1	62.0	64.4	65.0	2.4	15	---	59.4	5.00	5	0.00
Receiver 7	1	58.5	61.0	65.0	2.5	15	---	56.9	4.10	5	-0.90
Receiver 8	5	55.8	57.8	65.0	2.0	15	---	54.8	3.00	5	-2.00
Receiver 9	1	58.1	60.9	65.0	2.8	15	---	56.7	4.20	5	-0.80
Receiver 10	1	60.7	62.8	65.0	2.1	15	---	58.3	4.50	5	-0.50
Receiver 11	2	63.4	64.7	65.0	1.3	15	---	59.7	5.00	5	0.00
Receiver 12	1	60.8	63.4	65.0	2.6	15	---	58.4	5.00	5	0.00
Receiver 13	1	57.5	61.2	65.0	3.7	15	---	57.2	4.00	5	-1.00
Receiver 14	1	57.4	61.0	65.0	3.6	15	---	57.3	3.70	5	-1.30
Receiver 15	1	61.5	64.6	65.0	3.1	15	---	58.6	6.00	5	1.00
Receiver 16	4	60.5	65.8	65.0	5.3	15	Snd Lvl	58.5	7.30	5	2.30
Receiver 17	1	60.2	63.9	65.0	3.7	15	---	57.8	6.10	5	1.10
Receiver 18	1	56.5	62.3	65.0	5.8	15	---	57.1	5.20	5	0.20
Receiver 19	2	55.0	59.9	65.0	4.9	15	---	55.3	4.60	5	-0.40
Receiver 20	1	59.0	64.5	65.0	5.5	15	---	57.7	6.80	5	1.80
Receiver 21	1	59.9	66.4	65.0	6.5	15	Snd Lvl	58.7	7.70	5	2.70
Receiver 22	1	60.2	66.7	65.0	6.5	15	Snd Lvl	59.3	7.40	5	2.40
Receiver 23	1	57.0	63.0	65.0	6.0	15	---	57.6	5.40	5	0.40
Receiver 24	1	58.3	63.1	65.0	4.8	15	---	58.2	4.90	5	-0.10
Receiver 25	1	59.7	66.3	65.0	6.6	15	Snd Lvl	59.4	6.90	5	1.90
Receiver 26	1	59.2	65.9	65.0	6.7	15	Snd Lvl	60.6	5.30	5	0.30
Receiver 27	1	57.4	63.4	65.0	6.0	15	---	60.4	3.00	5	-2.00
Receiver 28	1	57.3	63.2	65.0	5.9	15	---	60.7	2.50	5	-2.50



Doebler/Fentriss 52721

25-Mar-99

TNM 1.0

**Results: Barrier Descriptions**

Project/Contract: 60458.01/FDOT 7

Run: 2025 Build Scenario

Barrier Design: Case 2 - Adjusted 10-foot

Barriers										
Name	Type	Heights Along Barrier			Length	If Wall	If Berm			Cost
		Min	Avg	Max		Area	Volume	Top Widt	Run:Rise	
		m	m	m	m	sq m	cu m	m	m:m	\$
Barrier 1	W	3.05	3.05	3.05	554	1,691				\$364,000
Barrier 2	W	3.05	3.05	3.05	423	1,291				\$277,900
Total for 10-foot					977	2,982				\$641,900

**Total Benefited Receivers for 10-foot barrier**

**23**

**Cost/Receiver**

**\$27,909**



25-Mar-99  
TNM 1.0

Doehler/Fentriss 52721  
Results: Sound Levels  
Project/Contract: 60458.01/FDOT 7  
Run: 2025 Build Scenario  
Barrier Design: Case 2 - Adjusted 11-foot  
Atmospheri deg C, 50% RH

Average pavement type shall be used unless  
a State highway agency substantiates the use  
of a different type with approval of FHWA.

Receiver	Name	Dwelling Units	Existing LAeq1h dBA	LAeq1h			No Barrier		Type Impact	With Barrier		
				Calculated dBA	Criterion dBA	Calculated dB	Substantial Increase dB	Calculated dBA		Calculated dB	Goal dB	Calculated Minus Goal dB
Receiver 1		1	58.6	59.9	65.0	1.3	15	---	57.5	2.40	5	-2.60
Receiver 2		1	61.4	63.9	65.0	2.5	15	---	60.3	3.60	5	-1.40
Receiver 3		2	64.6	66.0	65.0	1.4	15	Snd Lvl	61.0	5.00	5	0.00
Receiver 4		2	64.6	66.0	65.0	1.4	15	Snd Lvl	60.2	5.80	5	0.80
Receiver 5		2	64.6	65.9	65.0	1.3	15	Snd Lvl	59.6	6.30	5	1.30
Receiver 6		1	62.0	64.4	65.0	2.4	15	---	58.9	5.50	5	0.50
Receiver 7		1	58.5	61.0	65.0	2.5	15	---	56.2	4.80	5	-0.20
Receiver 8		5	55.8	57.8	65.0	2.0	15	---	53.9	3.90	5	-1.10
Receiver 9		1	58.1	60.9	65.0	2.8	15	---	55.8	5.10	5	0.10
Receiver 10		1	60.7	62.8	65.0	2.1	15	---	57.9	4.90	5	-0.10
Receiver 11		2	63.4	64.7	65.0	1.3	15	---	59.5	5.20	5	0.20
Receiver 12		1	60.8	63.4	65.0	2.6	15	---	58.2	5.20	5	0.20
Receiver 13		1	57.5	61.2	65.0	3.7	15	---	56.8	4.40	5	-0.60
Receiver 14		1	57.4	61.0	65.0	3.6	15	---	57.0	4.00	5	-1.00
Receiver 15		1	61.5	64.6	65.0	3.1	15	---	58.2	6.40	5	1.40
Receiver 16		4	60.5	65.8	65.0	5.3	15	Snd Lvl	58.1	7.70	5	2.70
Receiver 17		1	60.2	63.9	65.0	3.7	15	---	57.7	6.20	5	1.20
Receiver 18		1	56.5	62.3	65.0	5.8	15	---	56.9	5.40	5	0.40
Receiver 19		2	55.0	59.9	65.0	4.9	15	---	55.4	4.50	5	-0.50
Receiver 20		1	59.9	64.5	65.0	5.5	15	---	57.8	6.70	5	1.70
Receiver 21		1	59.9	66.4	65.0	6.5	15	Snd Lvl	58.4	8.00	5	3.00
Receiver 22		1	60.2	66.7	65.0	6.5	15	Snd Lvl	59.0	7.70	5	2.70
Receiver 23		1	57.0	63.0	65.0	6.0	15	---	57.4	5.60	5	0.60
Receiver 24		1	58.3	63.1	65.0	4.8	15	---	57.9	5.20	5	0.20
Receiver 25		1	59.7	66.3	65.0	6.6	15	Snd Lvl	59.2	7.10	5	2.10
Receiver 26		1	59.2	65.9	65.0	6.7	15	Snd Lvl	60.4	5.50	5	0.50
Receiver 27		1	57.4	63.3	65.0	5.9	15	---	60.3	3.00	5	-2.00
Receiver 28		1	57.3	63.2	65.0	5.9	15	---	60.5	2.70	5	-2.30



Doebler/Fentriss 52721

25-Mar-99

TNM 1.0

**Results: Barrier Descriptions**

Project/Contract: 60458.01/FDOT 7

Run: 2025 Build Scenario

Barrier Design: Case 2 - Adjusted 11-foot

Barriers										
Name	Type	Heights Along Barrier			Length	If Wall	If Berm			Cost
		Min	Avg	Max		Area	Volume	Top Widt	Run:Rise	
		m	m	m	m	sq m	cu m	m	m:m	\$
Barrier 1	W	3.36	3.36	3.36	554	1,863				\$401,000
Barrier 2	W	3.36	3.36	3.36	393	1,321				\$284,400
Total for 11-foot					947	3,184				\$685,400

**Total Benefited Receivers for 11-foot barrier**

**25**

**Cost/Receiver**

**\$27,416**



Doebler/Fentriss 52721  
 Results: Sound Levels  
 Project/Contract: 60458.01/FDOT 7  
 Run: 2025 Build Scenario  
 Barrier Design: Case 2 - Adjusted 12-foot

25-Mar-99  
 TNM 1.0

Atmospherics: deg C, 50% RH

Average pavement type shall be used unless  
 a State highway agency substantiates the use  
 of a different type with approval of FHWA.

Receiver											
Name	Dwelling Units	Existing LAeq1h dBA	No Barrier				With Barrier				
			LAeq1h		Increase over Existing		Type Impact	Noise Reduction			
			Calculated dBA	Criterion dBA	Calculated dB	Substantial Increase dB		Calculated LAeq1h dBA	Calculated dB	Goal dB	Calculated Minus Goal dB
Receiver 1	1	58.6	59.9	65.0	1.3	15	---	57.5	2.40	5	-2.60
Receiver 2	1	61.4	63.9	65.0	2.5	15	---	60.2	3.70	5	-1.30
Receiver 3	2	64.6	66.0	65.0	1.4	15	Snd Lvl	61.0	5.00	5	0.00
Receiver 4	2	64.6	66.0	65.0	1.4	15	Snd Lvl	60.1	5.90	5	0.90
Receiver 5	2	64.6	65.9	65.0	1.3	15	Snd Lvl	59.4	6.50	5	1.50
Receiver 6	1	62.0	64.4	65.0	2.4	15	---	58.6	5.80	5	0.80
Receiver 7	1	58.5	61.0	65.0	2.5	15	---	55.9	5.10	5	0.10
Receiver 8	5	55.8	57.8	65.0	2.0	15	---	54.0	3.80	5	-1.20
Receiver 9	1	58.1	60.9	65.0	2.8	15	---	55.9	5.00	5	0.00
Receiver 10	1	60.7	62.8	65.0	2.1	15	---	58.3	4.50	5	-0.50
Receiver 11	2	63.4	64.7	65.0	1.3	15	---	59.5	5.20	5	0.20
Receiver 12	1	60.8	63.4	65.0	2.6	15	---	58.3	5.10	5	0.10
Receiver 13	1	57.5	61.2	65.0	3.7	15	---	56.6	4.60	5	-0.40
Receiver 14	1	57.4	61.0	65.0	3.6	15	---	56.8	4.20	5	-0.80
Receiver 15	1	61.5	64.6	65.0	3.1	15	---	57.9	6.70	5	1.70
Receiver 16	4	60.5	65.8	65.0	5.3	15	Snd Lvl	57.7	8.10	5	3.10
Receiver 17	1	60.2	63.9	65.0	3.7	15	---	57.6	6.30	5	1.30
Receiver 18	1	56.5	62.3	65.0	5.8	15	---	56.7	5.60	5	0.60
Receiver 19	2	55.0	59.9	65.0	4.9	15	---	55.3	4.60	5	-0.40
Receiver 20	1	59.0	64.5	65.0	5.5	15	---	57.8	6.70	5	1.70
Receiver 21	1	59.9	66.4	65.0	6.5	15	Snd Lvl	58.2	8.20	5	3.20
Receiver 22	1	60.2	66.7	65.0	6.5	15	Snd Lvl	58.9	7.80	5	2.80
Receiver 23	1	57.0	63.0	65.0	6.0	15	---	57.4	5.60	5	0.60
Receiver 24	1	58.3	63.1	65.0	4.8	15	---	57.8	5.30	5	0.30
Receiver 25	1	59.7	66.3	65.0	6.6	15	Snd Lvl	59.1	7.20	5	2.20
Receiver 26	1	59.2	65.9	65.0	6.7	15	Snd Lvl	61.0	4.90	5	-0.10
Receiver 27	1	57.4	63.3	65.0	5.9	15	---	60.7	2.60	5	-2.40
Receiver 28	1	57.3	63.2	65.0	5.9	15	---	60.6	2.60	5	-2.40



Doebler/Fentriss 52721

25-Mar-99

TNM 1.0

**Results: Barrier Descriptions**

Project/Contract: 60458.01/FDOT 7

Run: 2025 Build Scenario

Barrier Design: Case 2 - Adjusted 12-foot

Barriers										
Name	Type	Heights Along Barrier			Length	If Wall	If Berm			Cost
		Min	Avg	Max		Area	Volume	Top Widt	Run:Rise	
		m	m	m	m	sq m	cu m	m	m:m	\$
Barrier 1	W	3.66	3.66	3.66	539	1,975				\$425,100
Barrier 2	W	3.66	3.66	3.66	363	1,328				\$285,900
Total for 12-foot					902	3,303				\$711,000

**Total Benefitted Receivers for 12-foot barrier**

**25**

**Cost/Receiver**

**\$28,440**