

**CULTURAL RESOURCE ASSESSMENT SURVEY
PROPOSED POND SITE ALTERNATIVES AND RE-EVALUATION
TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM**

**Florida Department of Transportation
District 7
I-275 (SR 93) Design Change Re-evaluation
Project Development and Environment Study
from south of 54th Avenue South to north of 4th Street North
Pinellas County, Florida**

Work Program Item Segment Number: 424501-1

ETDM Project Number: 12556

Federal-Aid Project Number: Not Available

Prepared by:
Archaeological Consultants, Inc
Sarasota, Florida

Prepared for:
HDR Inc.
Tampa, Florida

AUGUST 2019

The environmental review, consultation, and other actions required by applicable federal environmental laws for this project are being, or have been, carried out by the Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) pursuant to Title 23, Section 327 of the United States Code (23 U.S.C. § 327) and a Memorandum of Understanding dated December 14, 2016, and executed by FHWA and FDOT.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.	INTRODUCTION.....	1
2.	PROJECT DESCRIPTION, PURPOSE AND NEED, DESIGN CHANGE DESCRIPTION	4
3.	ENVIRONMENTAL OVERVIEW	5
4.	HISTORIC AND PREHISTORIC OVERVIEWS.....	14
5.	BACKGROUND RESEARCH AND ARCHAEOLOGICAL/HISTORICAL CONSIDERATIONS	14
6.	SURVEY, LABORATORY METHODS AND CURATION	16
7.	RESULTS AND CONCLUSIONS	18
8.	BIBLIOGRAPHY	43

APPENDICES

- Appendix A: Project Map showing Segments A, B and C and Concept Plans
- Appendix B: SHPO Concurrence Letter
- Appendix C: FMSF Forms
- Appendix D: Survey Log

Figures

Figure 1.	Location of the I-275 project limits and proposed pond sites, Pinellas County.	3
Figure 2.	Environmental setting of the APE and previously recorded cultural resources within one half mile.	7
Figure 3.	Environmental setting of the APE and previously recorded cultural resources within one half mile.	8
Figure 4.	Environmental setting of the APE and previously recorded cultural resources within one half mile.	9
Figure 5.	Environmental setting of the APE and previously recorded cultural resources within one half mile.	10
Figure 6.	Approximate location of shovel tests within the pond APE and recorded cultural resources.	19
Figure 7.	Approximate location of shovel tests within the pond APE and recorded cultural resources.	20
Figure 8.	Approximate location of shovel tests within the pond APE and recorded cultural resources.	21
Figure 9.	Approximate location of shovel tests within the pond APE and recorded cultural resources.	22
Figure 10.	Approximate location of shovel tests within the pond APE and recorded cultural resources.	23
Figure 11.	Approximate location of shovel tests within the pond APE and recorded cultural resources.	24
Figure 12.	Approximate location of shovel tests within the pond APE and recorded cultural resources.	25
Figure 13.	Approximate location of shovel tests within the pond APE and recorded cultural resources.	26
Figure 14.	Current aerial of St. Petersburg Theatre showing construction dates for different portions.	30

Tables

Table 1. TRS coordinates for the APE..... 5
Table 2. Previously recorded archaeological sites within one half mile of the APE. 15
Table 3. Previously recorded historic resources in close proximity to proposed pond sites..... 16
Table 4. Archaeological data. 18
Table 5. Historical/architectural field survey results. 27

Photos

Photo 1. Current conditions of proposed pond 12A..... 11
Photo 2. Proposed pond 14A in the ROW median..... 11
Photo 3. Looking south at current conditions of proposed pond 18B..... 12
Photo 4. Southbound ROW conditions in the vicinity of 22nd Avenue..... 12
Photo 5. Proposed northbound bridge widening conditions at 30th Avenue North..... 13
Photo 6. Looking east at area of proposed pedestrian trail adjacent and west of the Howard Frankland Bridge.. 13
Photo 7. Looking north at proposed pond 13B. 14
Photo 8. 4301 31st Street S (8PI13236), facing west..... 28
Photo 9. 4025 31st Street S (8PI13237), facing west..... 29
Photo 10. West elevation of the theatre, facing northeast..... 29
Photo 11. 1438 33rd Street S (8PI13238), facing east. 31
Photo 12. 1430 33rd Street S (8PI13239), facing east. 32
Photo 13. 1240 Fargo Street S (8PI13240), facing east. 33
Photo 14. 1155 32nd Street S (8PI13241), facing west..... 34
Photo 15. 1147 32nd Street S (8PI13242), facing west..... 35
Photo 16. 2308 7th Avenue S (8PI11652), facing south..... 36
Photo 17. 2435 46th Avenue N (8PI13243), facing west..... 37
Photo 18. 4600 Xenia Street N (8PI13244), facing southwest..... 38
Photo 19. 4610 Xenia Street N (8PI13245), facing west. 39
Photo 20. 4614 Xenia Street N (8PI13246), facing west. 40
Photo 21. 4620 Xenia Street N (8PI13247), facing west. 41
Photo 22. 4628 Xenia Street N (8PI13248), facing west. 42

**PROPOSED POND SITE ALTERNATIVES AND RE-EVALUATION
TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM
I-275 (SR 93)
FROM SOUTH OF 54TH AVENUE SOUTH TO
NORTH OF 4TH STREET NORTH
PINELLAS COUNTY, FLORIDA
Financial Project ID No.: 424501-1**

1. INTRODUCTION

Archaeological Consultants, Inc. (ACI) conducted a Cultural Resource Assessment Survey (CRAS) of 13 proposed pond sites on behalf of the Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT), District Seven, as part of the design for proposed improvements to I-275 (SR 93) (hereinafter referred to as I-275) from south of 54th Avenue South to north of 4th Street North in Pinellas County, Florida (**Figure 1**). In addition to the proposed pond sites, a re-evaluation of the corridor was conducted. The plans associated with the re-evaluation for new design include pavement widening and removal, barrier wall installation, bridge widening, creation of a pedestrian trail and pedestrian overpass bridge, as well as noise wall barriers (see **Appendix A** for the concept plans). This work, which included background research, ground surface reconnaissance and systematic/judgmental archaeological testing, and a historical/architectural field survey, was conducted in April and August 2019. This is a federally funded project.

The purpose of the CRAS was to locate and identify any cultural resources within the Area of Potential Effects (APE), and to assess their significance in terms of eligibility for listing in the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP). As defined in 36 CFR Part § 800.16(d), the APE is the “geographic area or areas within which an undertaking may directly or indirectly cause alterations in the character or use of historic properties, if any such properties exist.” The archaeological APE was defined as the area contained within the footprint of each of the proposed pond sites and in areas where design changes are proposed to occur. The historic/architectural APE was defined as the archaeological APE and properties adjacent to the existing ROW within 200-feet (ft) of the I-275 corridor, as well as the immediately adjacent parcels of each proposed pond site.

This CRAS was initiated in accordance with Section 106 of the *National Historic Preservation Act* of 1966, as amended by Public Law 89-665; the *Archaeological and Historic Preservation Act*, as amended by Public Law 93-291; Executive Order 11593; and Chapter 267, *Florida Statutes (FS)*. All work was carried out in conformity with Part 2, Chapter 8 (“Archaeological and Historical Resources”) of the Florida Department of Transportation’s (FDOT’s) *Project Development and Environment (PD&E) Manual* (FDOT 2019), and the Florida Division of Historical Resources’ (FDHR) standards contained in the *Cultural Resource Management Standards and Operational Manual* (FDHR 2003), as well as with the provisions contained in the Chapter 1A-46, *Florida Administrative Code (FAC)*. Principal Investigators meet the *Secretary of the Interior’s Professional Qualification Standards* (48 FR 44716) for archaeology, history, architecture, architectural history, or historic architecture.

Prior to this current survey, ACI conducted background research at the Florida Master Site File (FMSF), the NRHP, and reviewed the previously prepared CRAS for this portion of I-275 (ACI 2015; FDHR Survey #22658), as well as the CRAS Technical Memorandum Addendum for 6 Stormwater Management Facilities (SMF) (ACI 2016; FDHR Survey #22781) and the Preliminary Pond Memorandum for 25 proposed pond sites (ACI 2019). These surveys did not reveal any NRHP eligible

or listed historic or prehistoric resources within the APE. As a result of the archaeological field survey, no previously unrecorded historic or prehistoric archaeological sites were recorded and no evidence of the four previously recorded archaeological sites located within and adjacent to the APE (8PI00901, 8PI00902, 8PI01212, 8PI01258) was found.

Historic/architectural background research also included a review of the previous I-275 CRAS and memos (ACI 2015, 2016, 2019), the FMSF, and the NRHP; the research indicated ten historic resources (8PI11652, 8PI12273, 8PI12341, 8PI12343, 8PI12345, 8PI12418, 8PI12723, 8PI12724, 8PI12354, 8PI12433) were previously recorded within the historic APE. These include one linear resource, the Orange Belt Railway (8PI12273), two building complexes (8PI12724 & 8PI12354), five Frame Vernacular style buildings (8PI11652, 8PI12341, 8PI12343, 8PI12723, and 8PI12433), one Mission style building (8PI12345), and one Masonry Vernacular style building (8PI12418). Of these, eight (8PI12341, 8PI12343, 8PI12345, 8PI12418, 8PI12723, 8PI12724, 8PI12354, and 8PI12433) were evaluated as ineligible for listing in the NRHP by the State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO). The Orange Belt Railway (8PI12273) was evaluated as having insufficient information and resource 8PI11652 has not been evaluated by the SHPO. A review of relevant quadrangle maps, historic aerial photographs, and Pinellas County property appraiser's website data revealed the potential for 13 additional historic resources 50 years of age or older (constructed 1969 or earlier) within the APE (Twitty 2019).

Historical/architectural field survey resulted in the identification and evaluation of 13 newly identified resources (8PI13236-13248); and the identification and re-evaluation of one previously recorded historic resource (8PI11652). These include two Frame Vernacular (8PI11652 & 8PI13248) and twelve Masonry Vernacular (8PI13236-8PI13247) style buildings constructed between circa (ca.) 1925 and ca. 1966. Out of the 14 identified historic resources, 13 appear ineligible for listing in the NRHP, either individually or as part of a historic district (8PI11652; 8PI13236; 8PI13238-8PI13248). These resources are common examples of their respective architectural styles without significant historical associations, and therefore, none appear eligible for listing in the NRHP. However, the St. Petersburg City Theatre (8PI13237) appears eligible at the local level under Criterion A, for its association with the history of Performing Arts/Theater, Education, and Entertainment in St. Petersburg, Florida.

Based on the results of the background research and field survey, there are no significant archaeological sites within the APE; however, one historic resource, the St. Petersburg City Theatre (8PI13237) appears eligible at the local level under Criterion A, in the areas of Performing Arts/Theater, Education, and Entertainment. This resource was identified as part of the re-evaluation of the I-275 corridor and is not located within or adjacent to any of the proposed pond sites. No additional enhancements are proposed for this area of I-275; therefore, this segment of the project does not have the potential for any indirect (visual or audible) or cumulative effects outside the immediate footprint of construction. Since there will be no changes to the existing roadway adjacent to this resource, it appears that the proposed undertaking will have no involvement with any NRHP listed, determined eligible, or potentially eligible resources within the APE.




Figure 1. Location of the I-275 project limits and proposed pond sites, Pinellas County.

2. PROJECT DESCRIPTION, PURPOSE AND NEED, DESIGN CHANGE DESCRIPTION

Project Description: The Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT), District Seven is conducting a Design Change Re-evaluation to evaluate and document proposed changes to the originally approved Type II Categorical Exclusion (CE) and subsequent Re-evaluation for I-275 (SR 93) from south of 54th Avenue South to north of 4th Street North in Pinellas County, Florida. A Project Development and Environment (PD&E) study was conducted for the 16.3-mile corridor to analyze the need for operational improvements and evaluate the location, conceptual design, and social, economic, and environmental effects of any proposed improvements. Following a Public Hearing held on September 29, 2015, FHWA approved the Type II CE for this project on July 15, 2016.

Following approval of the Type II CE, FDOT performed a Design Change Re-evaluation in 2017 to evaluate a change to the approved Typical Section of Segment C (from Dr. MLK, Jr. Boulevard to north of 4th Street North). The 2017 Re-evaluation assessed the repurposing of one of the two approved express lanes to accommodate the provision of three general use through lanes, one auxiliary lane, and one express lane in each direction for this segment of the study corridor. The 2017 Design Change Re-evaluation was approved by FDOT on April 26, 2017.

FDOT is currently conducting another Design Change Re-evaluation to assess impacts of accommodating improvements for a second express lane in Segment C and the addition of two express lanes in Segment B from north of I-375 to south of Gandy Boulevard. These proposed improvements would tie-in with planned improvements to the Howard Frankland Bridge (FPID 422904-2 and 422904-4). This re-evaluation also analyzes replacing the I-275 ramp bridges on 4th Street North over Big Island Gap.

The current re-evaluation also analyzes replacing the I-275 ramp bridges on 4th Street North over Big Island Gap, providing trail connections from the Howard Frankland Bridge to 4th Street North and Ulmerton Road, and ramp connection modifications at the Gandy Boulevard and Gateway Expressway interchange areas. To meet drainage and stormwater requirements, pond sites will be needed to accommodate new impervious surface due to widening to accommodate express lanes. Several of these new pond site locations will be outside of the existing right of way.

Purpose and Need: The purpose of this project is to provide for operational improvements that maximize capacity within the I-275 corridor, improve lane continuity, and connect I-275 within Pinellas County to the future network of express lanes planned for the Tampa Bay Region. Improvements are needed within the I-275 corridor to help improve existing traffic congestion, enhance safety, and better accommodate future travel demands associated with projected growth in employment and population. The addition of express lanes is included in the Pinellas County Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) 2040 Long Range Transportation Plan (LRTP).

I-275 is a vital link in the local and regional transportation network and serves as a critical evacuation route. As a major north-south corridor through Pinellas County, I-275 links the Tampa Bay Region with the remainder of the state and the nation supporting commerce, trade, and tourism. Preserving the operational integrity and regional functionality of I-275 is critical to the mobility and economy of the Tampa Bay Region.

Design Change Description: The current Design Change Re-evaluation includes a typical section change to extend two buffer-separated express lanes in both directions from I-375 to north of 4th Street North, as well as a 12-ft wide outside shoulder to accommodate bus-on-shoulder operations from I-375

to Gandy Boulevard. This concept supersedes the 2017 Design Change Re-evaluation concept. The current Design Change Re-evaluation also includes trail connections from the Howard Frankland Bridge to 4th Street North and Ulmerton Road. To accommodate the new trail connection, the 4th Street North bridge over Big Island Gap will undergo either widening or reconstruction.

The Gateway Expressway interchange area will also be modified under this re-evaluation. Ramps located to the south of the Gateway area will carry drivers from northbound I-275 Express Lanes to Gateway Expressway, as well as carry drivers from the Gateway Expressway to southbound I-275 Express Lanes. In addition, access to southbound I-275 from the Gandy Boulevard interchange will be modified by connecting the westbound-to-southbound loop on ramp and the eastbound-to-southbound on ramp into a frontage road system that provides one entry point onto southbound I-275. Finally, additional drainage and stormwater requirements, such as pond sites, will be needed to accommodate the new impervious surface due to the express lane widening. Several of these new pond site locations will be outside of the existing right of way.

3. ENVIRONMENTAL OVERVIEW

The APE is contained within various Townships (T), Ranges (R), and Sections (S) listed in **Table 1**. All of the land within the APE is level to nearly level and poorly drained and large portions have been altered by urban development (**Figures 2-5**). The APE is located within the Mid-peninsula physiographic zone (White 1970), which is characterized by gently rolling topography with a series of low hills and valleys paralleling the coast. The elevation ranges from near sea level to 20 ft above mean sea level (amsl) and is situated within the Gulf Coastal Lowlands. Geologically, the area is underlain by shelly sediments of the Plio-Pleistocene, which is surficially evidenced by shelly sand and clay (Knapp 1980; Scott 2001; Scott et al. 2001).

Table 1. TRS coordinates for the APE.

Township	Range	Sections
32 South	16 East	2, 3, 10, and 11
31 South	16 East	1, 2, 11, 12, 13, 24, 26, 27, 34, and 35
30 South	16 East	6, 12, 13, 14, 23 through 26, 35, and 36

Two primary soils associations characterize the APE: the Myakka-Immokalee-Pomello association and the Wabasso-Elred-Oldsmar association. The former is dominant in the southern portion of the APE and makes up 43 percent of Pinellas County. These are nearly level and gently sloping, poorly drained and moderately well drained sandy soils that have layers weakly cemented with organic matter at depths of 40 inches or less. They cover areas characterized by broad flats between sloughs, low ridges and knolls, and many small, shallow, grassed ponds. Some areas are in native vegetation, consisting of saw palmetto, scattered slash and sand pine, gallberry, runner oak, and grasses (United States Department of Agriculture [USDA] 1972:4). The latter are nearly level, poorly drained sandy soils, some of which have layers weakly cemented with organic matter. A large part is in native vegetation consisting of scattered stands of slash pine, saw palmetto, myrtle, gallberry, and grasses. Much of the land covered by this soil association has been developed for urban use, particularly within the St. Petersburg and Pinellas Park areas (USDA 1972:5). Mixed in with these associations are Urban land association and the Made land-Palm Beach association. Soils of the Urban land association are 75 percent or more covered by urban structures and are heavily modified by urban development. Similarly reflecting the human modification of the natural landscape are the local soils of the Made land-Palm Beach association; these soils are nearly level, extensively altered, and formed by diking, dredging and filling.

Although some of the natural vegetation remains, most of the APE is highly disturbed by the construction of the interstate, streets, avenues, above and below ground utilities, and commercial/residential/industrial development (**Photos 1-7**).




Figure 2. Environmental setting of the APE and previously recorded cultural resources within one half mile.




Figure 3. Environmental setting of the APE and previously recorded cultural resources within one half mile.




Figure 4. Environmental setting of the APE and previously recorded cultural resources within one half mile.




Figure 5. Environmental setting of the APE and previously recorded cultural resources within one half mile.



Photo 1. Current conditions of proposed pond 12A.



Photo 2. Proposed pond 14A in the ROW median.



Photo 3. Looking south at current conditions of proposed pond 18B.



Photo 4. Southbound ROW conditions in the vicinity of 22nd Avenue.
In this area of I-275, pavement widening and bridge widening will occur (see Sheet 12, **Appendix A**).



Photo 5. Proposed northbound bridge widening conditions at 30th Avenue North (see Sheet 13, **Appendix A**).



Photo 6. Looking east at area of proposed pedestrian trail adjacent and west of the Howard Frankland Bridge. Trail will be to the right of rocks in photo (see Sheet 30, **Appendix A**).



Photo 7. Looking north at proposed pond 13B.

4. HISTORIC AND PREHISTORIC OVERVIEWS

In-depth historic and prehistoric overviews are included in the CRAS PD&E study conducted for FDOT and not repeated here. This survey, *A Cultural Resource Assessment Survey Project Development and Environment (PD&E) Study I-275/SR93 From South of 54th Avenue South to North of 4th Street North, Pinellas County, Florida* was prepared by ACI in 2015 (FDHR Survey #22658). This CRAS has been approved by the SHPO (Bendus 2015).

5. BACKGROUND RESEARCH AND ARCHAEOLOGICAL/HISTORICAL CONSIDERATIONS

A review of archaeological and historical literature, records, and other documents and data pertaining to the project area was conducted. The focus of this research was to ascertain the types of cultural resources known in the general area, their temporal/cultural affiliations, site location information, and other relevant data. This included a review of the sites listed in the NRHP, the FMSF (April 2019 update), published books and articles, and cultural resource survey reports. In addition to the FMSF, other data relative to the background research were obtained from the files of ACI.

Archaeological Considerations: Typically, for CRAS projects, research designs are formulated prior to initiating fieldwork to delineate project goals and strategies. Primarily, an attempt is made to understand, based on prior investigations, the spatial distribution of known resources. Such knowledge serves not only to generate an informed set of expectations concerning the kinds of sites which might be anticipated to occur within the project area, but also provides a valuable regional perspective, and a basis for evaluating any new sites discovered.

The FMSF search indicated that 15 previously recorded archaeological sites are located within one half mile of the APE (**Table 2**) and were recorded during various archaeological surveys conducted in the general area of the APE since 1978; these are discussed in ACI's 2015 report (ACI 2015:4-1, 4-2, 4-3).

During ACI's 2015 survey, no evidence of any of the sites was found within the APE, and the portion of each site contained within the APE was presumed destroyed by ground altering activities. Evaluation of the site areas contained outside the project APE was beyond the scope of the 2015 CRAS; however, updated FMSF forms were prepared and submitted to the SHPO. As a result of ACI's 2015 survey, the SHPO determined there was insufficient information to make an evaluation for 8PI00901 and 8PI00902 and determined that 8PI01212 and 8PI0158 were not eligible for listing in the NRHP (Bendus 2015).

Table 2. Previously recorded archaeological sites within one half mile of the APE.

Site No.	Site Name	Site Type	Culture	SHPO Evaluation
8PI00229	Hart Creek	Lithic scatter	Archaic	Not evaluated
8PI00742	No Name	Historic Refuse	Historic unspecified	Not evaluated
8PI00901	Sawgrass Lake #1	Lithic scatter/quarry	Archaic, Transitional	Insufficient Info
8PI00902	Sawgrass Lake #2	Artifact scatter	Archaic, Transitional, Manasota, Safety Harbor	Insufficient Info
8PI01192	New Publix	Shell Midden	Prehistoric	Not evaluated
8PI01194	Village Green	Lithic Scatter	Paleo, Archaic	Not evaluated
8PI01197	Broadwaters	Lithic Scatter	Archaic	Not evaluated
8PI01198	Whitehall Gardens	Shell midden	Archaic	Not evaluated
8PI01201	Maximo Moorings	Lithic Scatter	Archaic	Not evaluated
8PI01212	Turner's Creek	Lithic scatter	Archaic	Not eligible
8PI01214	Glen Lake	Lithic Scatter	Transitional	Not evaluated
8PI01215	Evensen	Lithic Scatter	Paleo, E. Archaic	Not evaluated
8PI01237	Edward White Hospital	Lithic Scatter	M. Archaic	Not evaluated
8PI01253	Emerson Ave. Mound	Mound	Prehistoric	Not evaluated
8PI01258	Gandy Exit	Lithic scatter	Archaic	Not eligible

Based on these data, and other regional site location predictive models and regional studies (Burger 1982; de Montmollin 1983; Deming 1980; Janus Research 1990, 1992, 2004; Weisman and Collins 2004) informed expectations concerning the types of sites likely to occur, as well as their probable environmental settings, were generated.

Historical Considerations: In 2015, ACI conducted a CRAS of this segment of I-275 which resulted in recording and updating 325 historic resources (FDHR Survey #22658). This total includes 309 buildings, 13 building complex resource groups, one historic district, one railroad, and one cemetery. In 2016 ACI also prepared an associated Pond Technical Memorandum (FDHR Survey #22781). Based on the results of these reports, ten historic resources (8PI11652, 8PI12273, 8PI12341, 8PI12343, 8PI12345, 8PI12418, 8PI12723, 8PI12724, 8PI12354, and 8PI12433) were previously recorded within or immediately adjacent to twelve of the proposed pond sites (**Table 3; Figures 2-5**). These include one linear resource, the Orange Belt Railway (8PI12273), two building complexes (8PI12724 & 8PI12354), five Frame Vernacular style buildings (8PI11652, 8PI12341, 8PI12343, 8PI12723, and 8PI12433), one Mission style building (8PI12345), and one Masonry Vernacular style building

(8PI12418). Of these, eight (8PI12341, 8PI12343, 8PI12345, 8PI12418, 8PI12723, 8PI12724, and 8PI12433) were evaluated as ineligible for listing in the NRHP by the SHPO. The Orange Belt Railway is located adjacent to pond 12A and was determined to have insufficient information by the SHPO in 2015.

In addition, the cultural resource survey *Dome Industrial Park Project II Redevelopment Area Historic Structure Study, Pinellas County, Florida* was conducted in 2008 by the Urban Group, Inc (Urban 2008; Survey No. 19803). As a result of this survey, the Frame Vernacular style building at 2308 7th Avenue S (8PI11652) was recorded. This resource has not been evaluated by the SHPO. Other buildings recorded during this 2008 survey have since been demolished and the FMSF was notified during the I-275 CRAS.

Table 3. Previously recorded historic resources in close proximity to proposed pond sites.

FMSF No.	Address/Site Name	Year Built	Style/Type	SHPO Evaluation	Pond
8PI11652	2308 7th Avenue S	1925	Frame Vernacular	Not Evaluated	Adjacent Pond 7B
8PI12273	Orange Belt Railway		Linear Resource	Insuf. Info.	Adjacent Pond 12A
8PI12341	2159 27th Ave N	1952	Frame Vernacular	Ineligible	Adjacent Pond 13B
8PI12724	2178 28th Ave N Bldgs.		Building Complex	Ineligible	Within Pond 13B
8PI12723	2168 28th Ave N	1932	Frame Vernacular	Ineligible	Within Pond 13B
8PI12343	2178 28th Ave N	1932	Frame Vernacular	Ineligible	Within Pond 13B
8PI12345	2179 28th Ave N	1926	Mission	Ineligible	Within Pond 13B
8PI12418	2334 42nd Ave N	1957	Masonry vernacular	Ineligible	Adjacent Pond 15A
8PI12354	Silver Lake Mobile Home Park		Building Complex	Ineligible	Adjacent to easement for Pond 16A
8PI12433	4600 24 th Street N	1937	Frame Vernacular	Ineligible	Adjacent to easement for Pond 16A

A review of relevant quadrangle maps, historic aerial photographs, and Pinellas County property appraiser’s website data revealed the potential for 13 new historic resources 50 years of age or older (constructed 1969 or earlier) within the APE (Twitty 2019). In addition, several 1973 buildings, part of the Meadow Lawn Pinellas Addition Subdivision developed in 1971, were noted south of proposed pond site 18A.

6. SURVEY, LABORATORY METHODS AND CURATION

The FDHR’s Module Three, *Guidelines for Use by Historic Professionals*, indicates that the first stage of archaeological field survey is a reconnaissance of the project area to “ground truth,” or ascertain the validity of the predictive model (FDHR 2003). During this part of the survey, the researcher assesses whether the initial predictive model needs adjustment based on disturbance or conditions such as constructed features (i.e., parking lots, buildings, etc.), underground utilities, landscape alterations (i.e., ditches and swales, mined land, dredged and filled land, agricultural fields), or other constraints that may affect the archaeological potential. Additionally, these Guidelines indicate that non-systematic “judgmental” testing may be appropriate in urbanized environments where pavement, utilities, and constructed features make systematic testing unfeasible; in geographically restricted areas such as proposed pond sites; or within project areas that have limited high and moderate probability zones, but

where a larger subsurface testing sample may be desired. While predictive models are useful in determining preliminary testing strategies in a broad context, it is understood that testing intervals may be altered due to conditions encountered by the field crew at the time of survey. A reasonable and good faith effort has been made to document any historic properties located on the property (ACHP n.d.). The reasonable and good faith effort is exemplified via the background research, sample field investigation, and field survey discussed in this report.

Archaeological: Archaeological field survey methods included both ground surface reconnaissance and systematic (25 m and 100 m) subsurface shovel testing as well as judgmental shovel testing in the pond APE and in select areas along the corridor where design changes will occur (see **Appendix A** for the concept plans showing design changes). Each shovel test measured 0.5 m in diameter and was planned to be dug to a depth of 1 m. Soil from each test pit was screened through a .64 centimeters (cm) mesh hardware cloth to maximize the recovery of artifacts. The locations of all shovel tests were plotted using a Juno 5 Series GPS Unit, and, following the recording of relevant data such as stratigraphic profile, all test pits were refilled.

Laboratory Procedures and Curation: No cultural materials were recovered, thus no laboratory methods were utilized. All project related information (field notes, photographs, maps) will be housed at ACI in Sarasota (ACI Project File No. P1458A), pending transfer to a FDOT designated repository for permanent storage and curation. The survey log and a copy of this report will be sent to the FDHR in Tallahassee for permanent curation.

Inadvertent/Unanticipated Discovery of Cultural Remains: In the unlikely event that human remains are encountered during the course of project development, the procedures outlined in Chapter 872, *FS* will be followed. All activities in the immediate vicinity of the discovery will be suspended, and the FDOT, District Seven, Environmental Administrator will be contacted. A professional archaeologist will also be contacted to evaluate the importance of the discovery. The area will be examined by the archaeologist, who, in consultation with staff of the FDOT and SHPO will determine if the discovery is significant or potentially significant. In the event the discovery is found to be not significant, the work may immediately resume. If, on the other hand, the discovery is found to be significant or potentially significant, then project development activities in the immediate vicinity of the discovery will continue to be suspended until such time as a mitigation plan, acceptable to SHPO, is developed and implemented, after which project development activities may then resume.

Historical: Historic/architectural field methodology consisted of a field survey of the project APE to determine and verify the location of all buildings and other historic resources (i.e. bridges, roads, cemeteries) that are 50 years of age or older (built in or prior to 1969), and to establish if any such resources could be determined eligible for listing in the NRHP. The field survey focused on the assessment of existing conditions for all previously recorded historic resources located within the project APE, and the presence of unrecorded historic resources within the project area. For each property, photographs were taken, and information needed for the completion of FMSF forms was gathered. In addition to architectural descriptions, each historic resource was reviewed to assess style, historic context, condition, and potential NRHP eligibility. Also, informant interviews would have been conducted, if possible, with knowledgeable persons to obtain site-specific building construction dates and/or possible associations with individuals or events significant to local or regional history.

7. RESULTS AND CONCLUSIONS

Archaeological Results: The archaeological field survey included both ground surface reconnaissance and the excavation of a total 92 shovel tests (**Figures 6-13**). Sixty of these were placed in the proposed pond sites (pond APE) (**Table 4**) and the remainder (N-32) were placed where design changes were being proposed (i.e., areas of pavement widening, barrier wall installation, bridge widening, pedestrian trail and pedestrian overpass bridge, and noise walls barriers). All test pits were excavated judgmentally or at 100 m intervals except within proposed pond sites 18A and 18B;

ACI placed several test pits at a 25 m interval in proposed pond site 18B and at a 25 m interval in the north portion of proposed pond site 18A, the area adjacent to the site. As a result, no new archaeological sites were discovered within the APE and no evidence of any of the four known archaeological sites (within and adjacent to the APE) was found. None of the four FMSF forms was updated since they were updated in 2015. Additionally, since 2015, two of the sites (8PI01212 and 8PI01258) were determined not eligible by the SHPO and the other two sites (8PI00901 and 8PI00902) were determined to have insufficient information for a determination. A complete discussion of these four sites can be found in ACI's 2015 report (FMSF Survey #22658). The archaeological testing revealed a highly altered stratigraphic profile in most of the APE that included pale brown or grayish/brown sand to 100 cm and, in most cases, the presence of gravelly fill. A few tests were terminated at shallow depths because of the amount of fill and in a few cases, water and clay. The existing conditions of the proposed pond sites are provided in **Table 4**; **Photographs 1-7** show the current conditions within select portions of the APE. Also note that **Figures 6-13** show shovel test locations within areas where design changes will occur; please refer to **Appendix A** for the full set of concept plans that show the proposed design changes.

Table 4. Archaeological data.

Pond	ZAP	#Tests	Results	Environment/Notes
2A	Low	2	All negative	Swale between interstate ramps; manufactured ROW
7B	Low	4	All negative	Land that previously contained buildings
11C	Low	6	All negative	Pre-existing retention pond surrounded by spoil deposits
12A	Low	4	All negative	Paved concrete partially intact throughout area
13B	Low	2	All negative	Presence of residential buildings, streets, utilities
14A	Low	3	All negative	Swale between north and southbound interstate; manufactured ROW
15A	Low	2	All negative	Urban land: mobile homes, streets, utilities
16A	Low	4	All negative	Urban land: concrete buildings, streets, utilities
17A	Low	4	All negative	Entrance/exit ramp ROW; manufactured land
18A	Moderate	10	All negative	Portion vacant, a portion contains residences, retention pond in southwest corner
18B	Moderate	10	All negative	Hydric hammock circumscribed by a school, gas pipeline, canal, and retention pond
19A	Low	4	All negative	Entrance/exit ramp ROW; manufactured land
20A	Low	5	All negative	Cleared field; reclaimed land prepared for industrial construction




Figure 6. Approximate location of shovel tests within the pond APE and recorded cultural resources. See concept plan sheets 1-4 (**Appendix A**) for proposed design changes.




Figure 7. Approximate location of shovel tests within the pond APE and recorded cultural resources. See concept plan sheets 4-9 (Appendix A) for proposed design changes.




Figure 8. Approximate location of shovel tests within the pond APE and recorded cultural resources. See concept plan sheets 10-13 (**Appendix A**) for proposed design changes.




Figure 9. Approximate location of shovel tests within the pond APE and recorded cultural resources. See concept plan sheets 14-16 (Appendix A) for proposed design changes.




Figure 10. Approximate location of shovel tests within the pond APE and recorded cultural resources. See concept plan sheets 17-20 (**Appendix A**) for proposed design changes.




Figure 11. Approximate location of shovel tests within the pond APE and recorded cultural resources. See concept plan sheets 21-23 (**Appendix A**) for proposed design changes.




Figure 12. Approximate location of shovel tests within the pond APE and recorded cultural resources. See concept plan sheets 24-26 (**Appendix A**) for proposed design changes.



Figure 13. Approximate location of shovel tests within the pond APE and recorded cultural resources. See concept plan sheets 27-30 (**Appendix A**) for proposed design changes.

Historical/Architectural Results: Historic/architectural background research included a review of the previous I-275 CRAS, the FMSF, and the NRHP indicated ten historic resources (8PI11652, 8PI12273, 8PI12341, 8PI12343, 8PI12345, 8PI12418, 8PI12723, 8PI12724, 8PI12354, and 8PI12433) were previously recorded within the historic APE (**Table 3; Figures 2-5**). As a result of the historical/architectural field survey 13 historic resources (8PI13236-13248) were newly identified, recorded, and evaluated; and one previously recorded historic resource (8PI11652) was re-evaluated and updated (**Table 5; Figures 6, 7 and 9**). These include two Frame Vernacular (8PI11652 & 8PI13248) and twelve Masonry Vernacular (8PI13236-8PI13247) style buildings constructed between circa (ca.) 1925 and ca. 1966.

Out of the 14 identified historic resources, 13 appear ineligible for listing in the NRHP, either individually or as part of a historic district (8PI11652; 8PI13236; 8PI13238-8PI13248). These resources are common examples of their respective architectural styles without significant historical associations, and therefore, none appear eligible for listing in the NRHP. The St. Petersburg City Theatre (8PI13237) appears eligible at the local level under Criterion A, for its association with the history of Performing Arts/Theater, Education, and Entertainment in St. Petersburg, Florida. Descriptions and photographs of all fourteen resources follow, and copies of the new and updated FMSF forms are contained in **Appendix C**.

Table 5. Historical/architectural field survey results.

FMSF No.	Address/Site Name	Build Date	Type/Style	NRHP Eligibility Recommendation	Pond
8PI13236	4301 31st Street S	1966	Masonry Vernacular	Ineligible	NA
8PI13237	4025 31st Street S	1955	Masonry Vernacular	Eligible	NA
8PI13238	1438 33rd Street S	1962	Masonry Vernacular	Ineligible	NA
8PI13239	1430 33rd Street S	1963	Masonry Vernacular	Ineligible	NA
8PI13240	1240 Fargo Street S	1959	Masonry Vernacular	Ineligible	NA
8PI13241	1155 32nd Street S	1958	Masonry Vernacular	Ineligible	NA
8PI13242	1147 32nd Street S	1958	Masonry Vernacular	Ineligible	NA
*8PI11652	2308 7th Avenue S	1925	Frame Vernacular	Ineligible	Adjacent Pond 7B
8PI13243	2435 46th Avenue N	1952	Masonry Vernacular	Ineligible	Within Pond 16A
8PI13244	4600 Xenia Street N	1953	Masonry Vernacular	Ineligible	Within Pond 16A
8PI13245	4610 Xenia Street N	1953	Masonry Vernacular	Ineligible	Within Pond 16A
8PI13246	4614 Xenia Street N	1959	Masonry Vernacular	Ineligible	Within Pond 16A
8PI13247	4620 Xenia Street N	1957	Masonry Vernacular	Ineligible	Within Pond 16A
8PI13248	4628 Xenia Street N	1950	Frame Vernacular	Ineligible	Within Pond 16A

*Denotes previously recorded resource.

In addition, no historic resources were identified within or immediately adjacent to proposed pond site 18A. Buildings located within the proposed pond site 18A were constructed between 1995 and 2001; while the buildings located immediately adjacent were constructed between 1973 and 1995.



Photo 8. 4301 31st Street S (8PI13236), facing west.

8PI13236: The Masonry Vernacular style building at 4301 31st Street S was constructed circa 1966 and is currently used as an assisted living facility (**Photo 8**). The one-story, H-shaped plan rests on a continuous foundation of concrete block and has a concrete block structural system. The hip roof over the primary mass is covered with composition shingles. There are three entryways on the east elevation through double full-view glass doors beneath the principal roof overhang, facing the parking lot. There is a full-length incised porch that creates an open walkway on the east elevation of the central block and multiple porches with screen enclosures on the north and south elevations of the wing segments. Visible windows include individual and paired, aluminum one-over-one single-hung sash units. Distinguishing architectural features include artstone and overhanging roof with boxed rafters. Building alterations include reroofing and the addition of living space on the north and south elevations beneath a flat roof. There are extended hip roof canopies on the north and south elevations for patient pick-up and drop-off. Overall, the building has been altered, lacks sufficient architectural features, and is not a significant embodiment of a type, period, or method of construction. In addition, background research did not reveal any historic associations with significant persons and/or events. As a result, 8PI13236 does not appear eligible for listing in the NRHP, either individually or as part of a historic district.



Photo 9. 4025 31st Street S (8PI13237), facing west.



Photo 10. West elevation of the theatre, facing northeast.

8PI13237: The Masonry Vernacular style theatre at 4025 31st Street S was constructed circa 1955 (**Photos 9-10**). The one-story, irregular plan rests on a continuous foundation of concrete block and has a concrete block structural system partially clad in stucco. The flat roof over the primary mass is covered with built-up membrane. The main entrance is on the north and south elevations through double full-view metal frame glass doors with transom and sidelights beneath a flat roof. Windows include individual and paired, metal, two-stacked and grouped (4) four-stacked awning units; and 20-light picture unit. Distinguishing architectural features include buttress, concrete sills, crawl space vents, and wall louvered vents. The building appears to have been reroofed. The building expanded in the 1960s on the south elevation to accommodate for additional rooms and the verandah was enclosed

to create the lobby on the east elevation (St Petersburg 2019). Another addition was made to the south elevation in the mid-1990s to provide new restrooms that meet the American with Disabilities Act (ADA) standards (St Petersburg 2019).

The St. Petersburg community theatre was founded in 1925 as the Sunshine Players and was renamed as the St. Petersburg Little Theatre in 1933 (St Petersburg 2019). The non-profit organization was established during Florida’s boom years and continues to play a part in St. Petersburg through community produced productions and youth and adult performing arts educational activities. Prior to the 1950s, play production was held at various locations throughout St. Petersburg and during the war shows were held in an old grist mill on Second Avenue North (St Petersburg 2019). In the mid-1950s the property was purchased at the current location and a new theatre was developed. Over the years, the building has undergone multiple expansions to accommodate the growing needs of the theatre (Figure 14). In 2011, the name changed to St. Petersburg City Theatre and in 2017, the theatre was in threat of closing. With support from the community, the theatre was saved and continues to offer live performances and educational programs.




Figure 14. Current aerial of St. Petersburg Theatre showing construction dates for different portions. Google Earth 2019.

Art and culture have played a significant role in the development of St. Petersburg from its inception. The theatre retains significance and integrity as an entertainment and education facility within the community of St. Petersburg. While the property is not the original location affiliated with the establishment of the 1920s theatre, it did become the permanent location of the theatre company that was built in the 1950s. The property continues to play an important role and represents the cultural significance of performing arts within the community. Therefore, the property appears eligible at the local level under Criterion A in the areas of Performing Arts/Theater, Education, and Entertainment. The building, however, does not appear architecturally eligible for listing in the NRHP under Criterion C, either individually or as part of a historic district based on non-historic alterations, lack of sufficient architectural features, and is not a significant embodiment of a type, period, or method of construction.



Photo 11. 1438 33rd Street S (8PI13238), facing east.

8PI13238: The Masonry Vernacular style residence at 1438 33rd Street S was constructed circa 1962 (**Photo 11**). The one-story, irregular plan building rests on a continuous foundation of concrete block and has a concrete block structural system clad in stucco and artificial masonry veneer. The cross-gable roof over the principal mass and the gable dormer are clad in composition shingles. The main entrance is on the west elevation through single full-view glass storm door with security grill atop concrete steps with a metal railing. Visible windows include individual and paired, vinyl one-over-one single-hung sash units. Distinguishing features include artificial masonry veneer with painted shutters, minimal roof overhang with boxed eave return, and a gable dormer with vent. The building appears to have been reroofed and has new windows. In addition, the east elevation has a non-historic addition. The building is in good condition and retains some of its original architectural features. Overall, the building has been altered, lacks sufficient architectural features, and is not a significant embodiment of a type, period, or method of construction. In addition, background research did not reveal any historic associations with significant persons and/or events. As a result, 8PI13238 does not appear eligible for listing in the NRHP, either individually or as part of a historic district.



Photo 12. 1430 33rd Street S (8PI13239), facing east.

8PI13239: The Masonry Vernacular style residence at 1430 33rd Street S was constructed circa 1963 (**Photo 12**). The one-story, irregular plan building rests on a continuous foundation of concrete block and has a concrete block structural system clad in stucco. The hip roof over the principal mass is clad in composition shingles. The main entrance is on the west elevation through a single storm door and panel door with a fanlight, within an open, partial-width porch with a concrete stoop beneath the principal roof overhang. Visible windows include individual and paired, vinyl one-over-one single-hung sash units. Distinguishing features include quoining and minimal roof overhang with boxed rafter. The building appears to have been reroofed and has new windows. The building is in good condition and retains some of its original architectural features. Overall, the building has been altered, lacks sufficient architectural features, and is not a significant embodiment of a type, period, or method of construction. In addition, background research did not reveal any historic associations with significant persons and/or events. As a result, 8PI13239 does not appear eligible for listing in the NRHP, either individually or as part of a historic district.



Photo 13. 1240 Fargo Street S (8PI13240), facing east.

8PI13240: The Masonry Vernacular style residence at 1240 Fargo Street S was constructed circa 1959 (**Photo 13**). The one-story, irregular plan building rests on a concrete slab foundation and has a concrete block structural system partially clad in artbrick. The gable roof over the principal mass is clad in built-up membrane. The main entrance is on the west elevation through a single panel door within an open, partial-width porch with a concrete stoop beneath a flat roof and the principal roof overhang. A utility room is attached to the south elevation beneath the principal roof. Visible windows include individual, aluminum, one-over-one single-hung sash; individual, aluminum, four-stacked awning; and individual, aluminum, nine-stacked jalousie units. Distinguishing features include artbrick partially clad on facade, roof overhang with boxed rafters, and concrete sills. The building appears to have been reroofed. The building is in good condition and retains some of its original architectural features. Overall, the building has been altered, lacks sufficient architectural features, and is not a significant embodiment of a type, period, or method of construction. In addition, background research did not reveal any historic associations with significant persons and/or events. As a result, 8PI13240 does not appear eligible for listing in the NRHP, either individually or as part of a historic district.



Photo 14. 1155 32nd Street S (8PI13241), facing west.

8PI13241: The Masonry Vernacular style residence at 1155 32nd Street S was constructed circa 1958 (**Photo 14**). The one-story, rectangular plan building rests on a concrete slab foundation and has a concrete block structural system clad in stucco. The flat roof over the principal mass is clad in built-up membrane. The main entrance is on the east elevation through a single panel door beneath a flat roof overhang. Visible windows include individual, one-over-one single-hung sash units. Distinguishing features include roof overhang with boxed rafters. The building appears to have been reroofed. Overall, the building has been altered, lacks sufficient architectural features, and is not a significant embodiment of a type, period, or method of construction. In addition, background research did not reveal any historic associations with significant persons and/or events. As a result, 8PI13241 does not appear eligible for listing in the NRHP, either individually or as part of a historic district.



Photo 15. 1147 32nd Street S (8PI13242), facing west.

8PI13242: The Masonry Vernacular style residence at 1147 32nd Street S was constructed circa 1958 (**Photo 15**). The one-story, rectangular plan building rests on a concrete slab foundation and has a concrete block structural system clad in stucco. The flat roof over the principal mass is clad in built-up membrane. The main entrance is on the east elevation through a single panel door beneath a flat roof overhang. Visible windows include individual, one-over-one single-hung sash units. Distinguishing features include roof overhang with boxed rafters. The building appears to have been reroofed. Overall, the building has been altered, lacks sufficient architectural features, and is not a significant embodiment of a type, period, or method of construction. In addition, background research did not reveal any historic associations with significant persons and/or events. As a result, 8PI13242 does not appear eligible for listing in the NRHP, either individually or as part of a historic district.



Photo 16. 2308 7th Avenue S (8PI11652), facing south.

8PI11652: The Frame Vernacular style residence at 2308 7th Avenue S was constructed circa 1925 and is adjacent to proposed Pond 7B (**Photo 16**). The one-story, rectangular plan building rests on a pier foundation of concrete block and has a wood-frame structural system clad in wood siding. The front-gabled roof is clad in composition shingles. The main entrance is on the north elevation through single door within an open, partial-width porch with brick piers topped with tapered square columns. An enclosed, partial-width porch beneath the principal roof is located on the south elevation. Visible windows include individual one-over-one single-hung sash units; all windows are covered by wooden planks. Distinguishing features include gable end vents, and porch brick piers topped with tapered square columns. The building appears to have been reroofed and has new siding. Overall, the building has been altered, lacks sufficient architectural features, and is not a significant embodiment of a type, period, or method of construction. In addition, background research did not reveal any historic associations with significant persons and/or events. As a result, 8PI11652 does not appear eligible for listing in the NRHP, either individually or as part of a historic district.



Photo 17. 2435 46th Avenue N (8PI13243), facing west.

8PI13243: The Masonry Vernacular style residence at 2435 46th Avenue N was constructed circa 1952 and is within proposed Pond 16A (**Photo 17**). The one-story, irregular plan building rests on a continuous foundation of concrete block and has a concrete block structural system. The shed and flat roofs over the principal mass are clad in built-up membrane. The main entrance is on the east elevation through single panel door within an attached carport beneath a flat roof with a concrete block square column. Visible windows include individual and paired, aluminum three- and four-stacked awning units, and individual and paired one-over-one and six-over-six single-hung sash units. Distinguishing features include board & batten beneath the shed roof overhang, and security grilles on windows. The building appears to have been reroofed, security grilles added to windows, and one window has been removed and encased with concrete block. Several non-historic additions include, a flat roof metal carport and a metal shed roof on the north elevation; two open porches with flat roofs, one with metal supports and one with wood post supports, on the south elevation; and an enclosed, partial-width porch beneath a flat roof on the west elevation. Overall, the building has been altered, lacks sufficient architectural features, and is not a significant embodiment of a type, period, or method of construction. In addition, background research did not reveal any historic associations with significant persons and/or events. As a result, 8PI13243 does not appear eligible for listing in the NRHP, either individually or as part of a historic district.



Photo 18. 4600 Xenia Street N (8PI13244), facing southwest.

8PI13244: The Masonry Vernacular style residence at 4600 Xenia Street N was constructed circa 1953 and is within proposed Pond 16A (**Photo 18**). The one-story, irregular plan building rests on a continuous foundation of concrete block and has a concrete block structural system clad in stucco. The flat roof over the principal mass is clad in built-up membrane. The main entrance is on the east elevation through a single panel door with one-light beneath the principal roof overhang. Visible windows include individual six-over-six single-hung sash units. Distinguishing features include broad flat roof overhang. The building alterations include reroofing, new windows, and the attached carport was enclosed. A non-historic addition with a gable roof is located on the west elevation. Overall, the building has been altered, lacks sufficient architectural features, and is not a significant embodiment of a type, period, or method of construction. In addition, background research did not reveal any historic associations with significant persons and/or events. As a result, 8PI13244 does not appear eligible for listing in the NRHP, either individually or as part of a historic district.



Photo 19. 4610 Xenia Street N (8PI13245), facing west.

8PI13245: The Masonry Vernacular style residence at 4610 Xenia Street N was constructed circa 1953 and is within proposed Pond 16A (**Photo 19**). The one-story, rectangular plan building rests on a concrete slab foundation and has a concrete block structural system clad in stucco and the enclosed porch is clad in wood siding. The hip roof over the principal mass is clad in composition shingles. The main entrance is on the east elevation through a single panel door beneath a hip roof that enters into an enclosed partial-width porch. Visible windows include picture with a central pane flanked by paired four-stacked casement units, and individual one-over-one single-hung sash units. Distinguishing features include roof overhang, concrete sills, and picture window units. Building alterations include reroofing. Overall, the building has been altered, lacks sufficient architectural features, and is not a significant embodiment of a type, period, or method of construction. In addition, background research did not reveal any historic associations with significant persons and/or events. As a result, 8PI13245 does not appear eligible for listing in the NRHP, either individually or as part of a historic district.



Photo 20. 4614 Xenia Street N (8PI13246), facing west.

8PI13246: The Masonry Vernacular style residence at 4614 Xenia Street N was constructed circa 1959 and is within proposed Pond 16A (**Photo 20**). The one-story, rectangular plan building rests on a concrete slab foundation and has a concrete block structural system. The side-gabled roof over the principal mass is clad in composition shingles. The main entrance is on the east elevation through a single panel door with nine-light beneath a shed roof extension with wood post supports. Visible windows include individual, aluminum three-stacked awning units. Distinguishing features include roof overhang and concrete sills. Building alterations include reroofing. The building has an attached garage beneath the principal roof on the north elevation. Overall, the building has been altered, lacks sufficient architectural features, and is not a significant embodiment of a type, period, or method of construction. In addition, background research did not reveal any historic associations with significant persons and/or events. As a result, 8PI13246 does not appear eligible for listing in the NRHP, either individually or as part of a historic district.



Photo 21. 4620 Xenia Street N (8PI13247), facing west.

8PI13247: The Masonry Vernacular style residence at 4620 Xenia Street N was constructed circa 1957 and is within proposed Pond 16A (**Photo 21**). The one-story, rectangular plan building rests on a continuous foundation of concrete block and has a concrete block structural system. The side-gabled roof over the principal mass is clad in composition shingles. The main entrance is on the north elevation through a single door within an attached carport beneath the principal roof. Visible windows include individual, one-over-one single-hung sash units. Distinguishing features include roof overhang, concrete sills, crawl space vents. Building alterations include reroofing and new windows. A 1975 concrete block shed is located on the east elevation. Overall, the building has been altered, lacks sufficient architectural features, and is not a significant embodiment of a type, period, or method of construction. In addition, background research did not reveal any historic associations with significant persons and/or events. As a result, 8PI13247 does not appear eligible for listing in the NRHP, either individually or as part of a historic district.



Photo 22. 4628 Xenia Street N (8PI13248), facing west.

8PI13248: The Frame Vernacular style residence at 4628 Xenia Street N was constructed circa 1950 and is within proposed Pond 16A (**Photo 22**). The one-story, irregular plan building rests on a pier foundation of concrete block and has a wood-frame structural system clad in drop siding. The side-gabled roof over the principal mass is clad in composition shingles. The addition on the east elevation is partially clad in artbrick and stucco with a flat roof clad in built-up membrane. The main entrance is on the east elevation through a single panel door beneath the flat roof overhang. Visible windows include individual, one-over-one single-hung sash units, and individual and paired, aluminum, three-stacked awning units. Distinguishing features include gable end vents, artbrick base, and roof overhang with exposed rafters. Building alterations include reroofing. A dilapidated wood-frame shed is located on the north elevation. Overall, the building has been altered, lacks sufficient architectural features, and is not a significant embodiment of a type, period, or method of construction. In addition, background research did not reveal any historic associations with significant persons and/or events. As a result, 8PI13248 does not appear eligible for listing in the NRHP, either individually or as part of a historic district.

Conclusions: Background research indicated that no previously recorded historic or prehistoric archaeological sites were located within the APE. Archaeological field survey yielded negative results.

As a result of the historical/architectural field survey, 13 historic resources were newly recorded and one previously recorded resource was reevaluated and updated. Out of the 14 identified historic resources, 13 appear ineligible for listing in the NRHP, either individually or as part of a historic district (8PI11652; 8PI13236; 8PI13238-8PI13248). These resources are common examples of their respective architectural styles without significant historical associations, and therefore, none appear eligible for listing in the NRHP. The St. Petersburg City Theatre (8PI13237) appears eligible at the local level under Criterion A, for its association with the history of Performing Arts/Theater, Education, and Entertainment in St. Petersburg, Florida.

Based on the results of the background research and field survey, there are no archaeological sites eligible or considered eligible for listing in the NRHP within the APE. However, one historic resource,

the St. Petersburg City Theatre (8PI13237), appears eligible at the local level under Criterion A, in the areas of Performing Arts/Theater, Education, and Entertainment. This resource was identified as part of the re-evaluation of the I-275 corridor and is not located within or adjacent to any of the proposed pond sites. No additional enhancements are proposed for this area of I-275; therefore, this segment of the project does not have the potential for any indirect (visual or audible) or cumulative effects outside the immediate footprint of construction. Since there will be no changes to the existing roadway adjacent to this resource, it appears that the proposed undertaking will have no involvement with any NRHP listed, determined eligible, or potentially eligible resources within the APE.

8. BIBLIOGRAPHY

Archaeological Consultants, Inc. (ACI)

- 2015 Cultural Resource Research Survey, Project Development and Environment (PD&E) Study, Interstate 275 (I-275) from South of 54th Avenue to South of 4th Street North, Pinellas County, Florida (FDHR Survey #22658). ACI, Sarasota and FDOT, District Seven, Tampa.
- 2016 Cultural Resource Assessment Survey Technical Memorandum Addendum Stormwater Management Facility (SMF) Sites I-275/SR 93 from South of 54th Avenue South to North of 4th Street North, Pinellas County, Florida (FDHR Survey #22781). ACI, Sarasota.
- 2019 Preliminary Cultural Resource Assessment Survey Technical Memorandum, Proposed Pond Site Alternatives Interstate 275 (I-275) from South of 54th Avenue to South of 4th Street North, Pinellas County, Florida. ACI, Sarasota and FDOT, District Seven, Tampa.

Advisory Council on Historic Preservation

- n.d. Meeting the “Reasonable and Good Faith” Identification Standard in Section 106 Review. Accessed at <http://www.achp.gov/>

Bendus, Robert

- 2015 SHPO Concurrence Letter, File Number 15-2223: Cultural Resource Research Survey, Project Development and Environment (PD&E) Study, Interstate 275 (I-275) from South of 54th Avenue to South of 4th Street North, Pinellas County, Florida. DHR, Tallahassee.

Burger, B. W.

- 1982 Cultural Resource Management in Manatee County, Florida: The Prehistoric Resource Base. MA thesis, Department of Anthropology, University of South Florida, Tampa.

de Montmollin, Wanda

- 1983 *Environmental Factors and Prehistoric Site Location in the Tampa Bay Area*. MA thesis, Department of Anthropology, University of South Florida, Tampa.

Deming, Joan

- 1980 The Cultural Resources of Hillsborough County: An Assessment of Prehistoric Resources. Historic Tampa/Hillsborough County Preservation Board, Tampa.

Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT)

- 1970 Aerial Photograph KU-682-5H-13, March 10. *Aerial Photo Look Up System (APLUS)*. Aerial Photography Archive.
- 2019 Project Development and Environment Manual, Part 2, Chapter 8, Archaeological and Historical Resources. FDOT, Tallahassee.

Florida Division of Historical Resources (FDHR)

- 2003 Cultural Resource Management Standards and Operational Manual. FDHR, Tallahassee.

Florida Master Site File (FMSF)

- n.d. Various site file forms. FDHR, Tallahassee.

Janus Research

- 1990 Preliminary Cultural Resource Assessment of the Florida Power Corporation to Lake Tarpon to Kathleen 500 kV Transmission Line Corridor, Pinellas, Hillsborough, Pasco, and Polk Counties, Florida. Janus Research, Inc., Tampa.
- 1992 An Archaeological Resource Inventory and Archaeological Site Predictive Model for Manatee County, Florida. Janus Research, Inc., Tampa.
- 2004 Updated Archaeological Site Predictive Model for the Unincorporated Areas of Hillsborough County, Florida. Janus Research, Inc., Tampa.

Knapp, Michael S.

- 1980 Environmental Geology Series: Tampa Sheet. *Map Series 97*. Florida Department of Natural Resources, Bureau of Geology, Tallahassee.

Parsons, Timothy

- 2016 SHPO Concurrence Letter, File Number 2015-2223(c): Cultural Resource Assessment Survey Technical Memorandum Addendum Stormwater Management Facility (SMF) Sites I-275/SR 93 from South of 54th Avenue South to North of 4th Street North, Pinellas County, Florida. FDHR, Tallahassee

St. Petersburg City Theatre

- 2019 History. Electronic document, <http://www.spcitytheatre.org/about/>, accessed April 22, 2019.

Scott, Thomas M.

- 2001 Text to Accompany the Geologic Map of Florida. *Open File Report 80*. Florida Geological Survey, Tallahassee.

Scott, Thomas M., Kenneth M. Campbell, Frank R. Rupert, Jonathan D. Arthur, Thomas M. Missimer, Jacqueline M. Lloyd, J. William Yon, and Joel G. Duncan

- 2001 Geologic Map of the State of Florida. *Map Series 146*. Florida Geological Survey, Tallahassee.

The Urban Group, Inc.

- 2008 Dome Industrial Park Project II Redevelopment Area Historic Structure Study. FDHR, Tallahassee.

Twitty, Mike

2019 Pinellas County Property Appraisers, Pinellas County.

United States Department of Agriculture (USDA)

1972 *Pinellas County Soil Survey, Florida*. USDA Soil Conservation Services, Washington, D.C.

United States Geological Survey (USGS)

1956a Pass-A-Grille, Fla., photorevised 1981, photoinspected 1983.


1956b Safety Harbor, Fla., photorevised 1987.

1956c St. Petersburg, Fla., photorevised 1969.

White, William A.

1970 Geomorphology of the Florida Peninsula. *Geological Bulletin 51*. Florida Department of Natural Resources, Bureau of Geology, Tallahassee.


APPENDIX A
Concept Plans



LEGEND:		PEDESTRIAN TRAIL		SEAGRASS		FLOOD PLAINS		PROPOSED LA R/W		ITS CAMERA	
	PAVEMENT WIDENING/RECONSTRUCTION		PEDESTRIAN TRAIL		SEAGRASS		FLOOD PLAINS		PROPOSED LA R/W		ITS CAMERA
	PAVEMENT REMOVAL		EXPRESS LANE BRIDGE		WETLANDS		CONTAMINATION		EXISTING LA R/W		EL = EXPRESS LANES
	BARRIER WALL		EXPRESS LANES		SURFACE WATER		POTENTIAL RESIDENTIAL RELOCATION		PROPOSED EASEMENT		GUL = GENERAL USE LANES
	BRIDGE WIDENING		BRIDGES		MANGROVES		POTENTIAL NOISE BARRIER		PREFERRED SMF SITE & PROPOSED SMF R/W		AUX = AUXILIARY LANES
			KENWOOD HISTORIC DISTRICT								

August 30, 2019
 Concept Plans
 Design Change Re-evaluation
 SHEET NO. 1

Aerial Photos Jan. '18 - Apr. '18
 8/30/2019 10:19:57 AM I:\TPA\PRJ\000014672\CADD\42450112201\roadway\PLANRD02.DGN




LEGEND:		PEDESTRIAN TRAIL		SEAGRASS		FLOOD PLAINS		PROPOSED LA R/W		ITS CAMERA	
	PAVEMENT WIDENING/RECONSTRUCTION		EXPRESS LANE BRIDGE		WETLANDS		CONTAMINATION		PROPOSED LA R/W		EL = EXPRESS LANES
	PAVEMENT REMOVAL		EXPRESS LANES		SURFACE WATER		POTENTIAL RESIDENTIAL RELOCATION		EXISTING LA R/W		GUL = GENERAL USE LANES
	BARRIER WALL		BRIDGES		MANGROVES		POTENTIAL NOISE BARRIER		PROPOSED EASEMENT		AUX = AUXILIARY LANES
	BRIDGE WIDENING		KENWOOD HISTORIC DISTRICT						PREFERRED SMF SITE & PROPOSED SMF R/W		Aerial Photos Jan. '18 - Apr. '18

Draft August 30, 2019

Concept Plans
Design Change Re-evaluation

SHEET NO. 2

CTOMPKINS 8/30/2019 10:20:11 AM I:\TPA\PRJ\000014672\CADD\42450112201\roadway\PLANRD03.DGN




LEGEND:		SEAGRASS		FLOOD PLAINS		PROPOSED LA R/W		ITS CAMERA	
PAVEMENT WIDENING/RECONSTRUCTION	PEDESTRIAN TRAIL	SEAGRASS	WETLANDS	CONTAMINATION	PROPOSED LA R/W	ITS CAMERA	EL = EXPRESS LANES	EL = EXPRESS LANES	EL = EXPRESS LANES
PAVEMENT REMOVAL	EXPRESS LANE BRIDGE	SURFACE WATER	MANGROVES	POTENTIAL RESIDENTIAL RELOCATION	EXISTING LA R/W	ITS CAMERA	GUL = GENERAL USE LANES	GUL = GENERAL USE LANES	GUL = GENERAL USE LANES
BARRIER WALL	EXPRESS LANES	BRIDGES	KENWOOD HISTORIC DISTRICT	POTENTIAL NOISE BARRIER	PROPOSED EASEMENT	ITS CAMERA	AUX = AUXILIARY LANES	AUX = AUXILIARY LANES	AUX = AUXILIARY LANES
BRIDGE WIDENING	BRIDGES	KENWOOD HISTORIC DISTRICT			PREFERRED SMF SITE & PROPOSED SMF R/W	ITS CAMERA			

Draft August 30, 2019

Concept Plans
Design Change Re-evaluation

SHEET NO. 3

Aerial Photos Jan. '18 - Apr. '18
 CTOMPkins 8/30/2019 10:20:25 AM I:\TPA\PRJ\000014672\CADD\42450112201\roadway\PLANRD04.DGN




LEGEND:		PEDESTRIAN TRAIL		SEAGRASS		FLOOD PLAINS		PROPOSED LA R/W		ITS CAMERA	
	PAVEMENT WIDENING/RECONSTRUCTION		PEDESTRIAN TRAIL		SEAGRASS		FLOOD PLAINS		PROPOSED LA R/W		ITS CAMERA
	EXPRESS LANE BRIDGE		EXPRESS LANE BRIDGE		WETLANDS		CONTAMINATION		EXISTING LA R/W		EL = EXPRESS LANES
	PAVEMENT REMOVAL		EXPRESS LANES		SURFACE WATER		POTENTIAL RESIDENTIAL RELOCATION		PROPOSED EASEMENT		GUL = GENERAL USE LANES
	BARRIER WALL		BRIDGES		MANGROVES		POTENTIAL NOISE BARRIER		PREFERRED SMF SITE & PROPOSED SMF R/W		AUX = AUXILIARY LANES
	BRIDGE WIDENING		KENWOOD HISTORIC DISTRICT								

Draft August 30, 2019

Concept Plans
Design Change Re-evaluation

SHEET NO. 4

Aerial Photos Jan. '18 - Apr. '18
CTOMPKINS 8/30/2019 10:20:38 AM I:\TPA\PRJ\000014672\CADD\42450112201\roadway\PLANRD05.DGN





Draft August 30, 2019

LEGEND:		PEDESTRIAN TRAIL		SEAGRASS		FLOOD PLAINS		PROPOSED LA R/W		ITS CAMERA	
	PAVEMENT WIDENING/RECONSTRUCTION		EXPRESS LANE BRIDGE		WETLANDS		CONTAMINATION		PROPOSED LA R/W		EL = EXPRESS LANES
	PAVEMENT REMOVAL		EXPRESS LANES		SURFACE WATER		POTENTIAL RESIDENTIAL RELOCATION		EXISTING LA R/W		GUL = GENERAL USE LANES
	BARRIER WALL		BRIDGES		MANGROVES		POTENTIAL NOISE BARRIER		PROPOSED EASEMENT		AUX = AUXILIARY LANES
	BRIDGE WIDENING		KENWOOD HISTORIC DISTRICT						PREFERRED SMF SITE & PROPOSED SMF R/W		



I-275 (SR 93) DESIGN CHANGE RE-EVALUATION




LEGEND:		PEDESTRIAN TRAIL		SEAGRASS		FLOOD PLAINS		PROPOSED LA R/W		ITS CAMERA	
	PAVEMENT WIDENING/RECONSTRUCTION		PEDESTRIAN TRAIL		SEAGRASS		FLOOD PLAINS		PROPOSED LA R/W		ITS CAMERA
	PAVEMENT REMOVAL		EXPRESS LANE BRIDGE		WETLANDS		CONTAMINATION		EXISTING LA R/W		EL = EXPRESS LANES
	BARRIER WALL		EXPRESS LANES		SURFACE WATER		POTENTIAL RESIDENTIAL RELOCATION		PROPOSED EASEMENT		GUL = GENERAL USE LANES
	BRIDGE WIDENING		BRIDGES		MANGROVES		POTENTIAL NOISE BARRIER		PREFERRED SMF SITE & PROPOSED SMF R/W		AUX = AUXILIARY LANES
			KENWOOD HISTORIC DISTRICT								Aerial Photos Jan. '18 - Apr. '18

Draft August 30, 2019

Concept Plans
Design Change Re-evaluation

SHEET NO.
6

8/30/2019 10:21:02 AM I:\TPA\PRJ\000014672\CADD\42450112201\roadway\PLANRD07.DGN




Draft August 30, 2019

PAVEMENT WIDENING/RECONSTRUCTION	PEDESTRIAN TRAIL	SEAGRASS	FLOOD PLAINS	PROPOSED LA R/W	ITS CAMERA
PAVEMENT REMOVAL	EXPRESS LANE BRIDGE	WETLANDS	CONTAMINATION	EXISTING LA R/W	EL = EXPRESS LANES
BARRIER WALL	EXPRESS LANES	SURFACE WATER	POTENTIAL RESIDENTIAL RELOCATION	PROPOSED EASEMENT	GUL = GENERAL USE LANES
BRIDGE WIDENING	BRIDGES	MANGROVES	POTENTIAL NOISE BARRIER	PREFERRED SMF SITE & PROPOSED SMF R/W	AUX = AUXILIARY LANES
	KENWOOD HISTORIC DISTRICT				Aerial Photos Jan. '18 - Apr. '18

Concept Plans
Design Change Re-evaluation

SHEET NO.

7



THIS STORMWATER MANAGEMENT POND MAY POTENTIALLY BE REDUCED IN SIZE OR ELIMINATED, PENDING COMPLETION OF A FDOT LED ENVIRONMENTAL LOOK AROUND (ELA) FEASIBILITY STUDY AND EXECUTION OF MULTI-AGENCY AGREEMENTS.



Draft August 30, 2019

LEGEND:		ENVIRONMENTAL FEATURES		PROPOSED LA R/W		OTHER FEATURES	
PAVEMENT WIDENING/RECONSTRUCTION	PEDESTRIAN TRAIL	SEAGRASS	WETLANDS	PROPOSED LA R/W	ITS CAMERA	EL = EXPRESS LANES	AUX = AUXILIARY LANES
PAVEMENT REMOVAL	EXPRESS LANE BRIDGE	SURFACE WATER	MANGROVES	EXISTING LA R/W	PROPOSED EASEMENT	GUL = GENERAL USE LANES	
BARRIER WALL	EXPRESS LANES	BRIDGES		PREFERRED SMF SITE & PROPOSED SMF R/W	POTENTIAL RESIDENTIAL RELOCATION		
BRIDGE WIDENING	KENWOOD HISTORIC DISTRICT	MANGROVES			POTENTIAL NOISE BARRIER		



Concept Plans
Design Change Re-evaluation

SHEET NO.
8



I-275 (SR 93) DESIGN CHANGE RE-EVALUATION

Imagine Museum




LEGEND:		PEDESTRIAN TRAIL		SEAGRASS		FLOOD PLAINS		PROPOSED LA R/W		ITS CAMERA	
	PAVEMENT WIDENING/RECONSTRUCTION		EXPRESS LANE BRIDGE		WETLANDS		CONTAMINATION		PROPOSED LA R/W		EL = EXPRESS LANES
	PAVEMENT REMOVAL		EXPRESS LANES		SURFACE WATER		POTENTIAL RESIDENTIAL RELOCATION		EXISTING LA R/W		GUL = GENERAL USE LANES
	BARRIER WALL		BRIDGES		MANGROVES		POTENTIAL NOISE BARRIER		PROPOSED EASEMENT		AUX = AUXILIARY LANES
	BRIDGE WIDENING		KENWOOD HISTORIC DISTRICT						PREFERRED SMF SITE & PROPOSED SMF R/W		

Concept Plans
Design Change Re-evaluation

Draft August 30, 2019

SHEET NO. 9




Draft August 30, 2019

LEGEND:		PEDESTRIAN TRAIL		SEAGRASS		FLOOD PLAINS		PROPOSED LA R/W		ITS CAMERA	
	PAVEMENT WIDENING/RECONSTRUCTION		PEDESTRIAN TRAIL		SEAGRASS		FLOOD PLAINS		PROPOSED LA R/W		ITS CAMERA
	PAVEMENT REMOVAL		EXPRESS LANE BRIDGE		WETLANDS		CONTAMINATION		EXISTING LA R/W		EL = EXPRESS LANES
	BARRIER WALL		EXPRESS LANES		SURFACE WATER		POTENTIAL RESIDENTIAL RELOCATION		PROPOSED EASEMENT		GUL = GENERAL USE LANES
	BRIDGE WIDENING		BRIDGES		MANGROVES		POTENTIAL NOISE BARRIER		PREFERRED SMF SITE & PROPOSED SMF R/W		AUX = AUXILIARY LANES
	KENWOOD HISTORIC DISTRICT		KENWOOD HISTORIC DISTRICT		MANGROVES		POTENTIAL NOISE BARRIER		PREFERRED SMF SITE & PROPOSED SMF R/W		


Concept Plans
Design Change Re-evaluation

SHEET NO. 10



Draft August 30, 2019

LEGEND:	PAVEMENT WIDENING/RECONSTRUCTION	PEDESTRIAN TRAIL	SEAGRASS	FLOOD PLAINS	PROPOSED LA R/W	ITS CAMERA
PAVEMENT REMOVAL	EXPRESS LANE BRIDGE	WETLANDS	CONTAMINATION	EXISTING LA R/W	EL = EXPRESS LANES	GUL = GENERAL USE LANES
BARRIER WALL	EXPRESS LANES	SURFACE WATER	POTENTIAL RESIDENTIAL RELOCATION	PROPOSED EASEMENT	AUX = AUXILIARY LANES	
BRIDGE WIDENING	BRIDGES	MANGROVES	POTENTIAL NOISE BARRIER	PREFERRED SMF SITE & PROPOSED SMF R/W		
	KENWOOD HISTORIC DISTRICT					




THIS STORMWATER MANAGEMENT POND MAY POTENTIALLY BE REDUCED IN SIZE OR ELIMINATED, PENDING COMPLETION OF A FDOT LED ENVIRONMENTAL LOOK AROUND (ELA) FEASIBILITY STUDY AND EXECUTION OF MULTI-AGENCY AGREEMENTS.

Draft August 30, 2019

PAVEMENT WIDENING/RECONSTRUCTION	PEDESTRIAN TRAIL	SEAGRASS	FLOOD PLAINS	PROPOSED LA R/W	ITS CAMERA
PAVEMENT REMOVAL	EXPRESS LANE BRIDGE	WETLANDS	CONTAMINATION	EXISTING LA R/W	EL = EXPRESS LANES
BARRIER WALL	EXPRESS LANES	SURFACE WATER	POTENTIAL RESIDENTIAL RELOCATION	PROPOSED EASEMENT	GUL = GENERAL USE LANES
BRIDGE WIDENING	BRIDGES	MANGROVES	POTENTIAL NOISE BARRIER	PREFERRED SMF SITE & PROPOSED SMF R/W	AUX = AUXILIARY LANES
	KENWOOD HISTORIC DISTRICT				Aerial Photos Jan. '18 - Apr. '18


Concept Plans
Design Change Re-evaluation

SHEET NO.
12



Draft August 30, 2019

LEGEND:	PAVEMENT WIDENING/RECONSTRUCTION	PEDESTRIAN TRAIL	SEAGRASS	FLOOD PLAINS	PROPOSED LA R/W	ITS CAMERA
PAVEMENT REMOVAL	EXPRESS LANE BRIDGE	WETLANDS	CONTAMINATION	EXISTING LA R/W	EL = EXPRESS LANES	GUL = GENERAL USE LANES
BARRIER WALL	EXPRESS LANES	SURFACE WATER	POTENTIAL RESIDENTIAL RELOCATION	PROPOSED EASEMENT	AUX = AUXILIARY LANES	
BRIDGE WIDENING	BRIDGES	MANGROVES	POTENTIAL NOISE BARRIER	PREFERRED SMF SITE & PROPOSED SMF R/W	Aerial Photos Jan. '18 - Apr. '18	
	KENWOOD HISTORIC DISTRICT					



THIS STORMWATER MANAGEMENT POND MAY POTENTIALLY BE REDUCED IN SIZE OR ELIMINATED, PENDING COMPLETION OF A FDOT LED ENVIRONMENTAL LOOK AROUND (ELA) FEASIBILITY STUDY AND EXECUTION OF MULTI-AGENCY AGREEMENTS.

Silver Lake Mobile Home Resort

R
SMF 15A

SMF 14A




Draft August 30, 2019

LEGEND:	PAVEMENT WIDENING/RECONSTRUCTION	PEDESTRIAN TRAIL	SEAGRASS	FLOOD PLAINS	PROPOSED LA R/W	ITS CAMERA
PAVEMENT REMOVAL	EXPRESS LANE BRIDGE	WETLANDS	SURFACE WATER	CONTAMINATION	EXISTING LA R/W	EL = EXPRESS LANES
BARRIER WALL	EXPRESS LANES	MANGROVES	POTENTIAL RESIDENTIAL RELOCATION	PROPOSED EASEMENT	POTENTIAL NOISE BARRIER	GUL = GENERAL USE LANES
BRIDGE WIDENING	BRIDGES			PREFERRED SMF SITE & PROPOSED SMF R/W		AUX = AUXILIARY LANES
	KENWOOD HISTORIC DISTRICT					

Concept Plans
Design Change Re-evaluation

SHEET NO.
14

THIS STORMWATER MANAGEMENT POND MAY POTENTIALLY BE REDUCED IN SIZE OR ELIMINATED, PENDING COMPLETION OF A FDOT LED ENVIRONMENTAL LOOK AROUND (ELA) FEASIBILITY STUDY AND EXECUTION OF MULTI-AGENCY AGREEMENTS.





LEGEND:		PEDESTRIAN TRAIL		SEAGRASS		FLOOD PLAINS		PROPOSED LA R/W		ITS CAMERA	
	PAVEMENT WIDENING/RECONSTRUCTION		PEDESTRIAN TRAIL		SEAGRASS		FLOOD PLAINS		PROPOSED LA R/W		ITS CAMERA
	PAVEMENT REMOVAL		EXPRESS LANE BRIDGE		WETLANDS		CONTAMINATION		EXISTING LA R/W		EL = EXPRESS LANES
	BARRIER WALL		EXPRESS LANES		SURFACE WATER		POTENTIAL RESIDENTIAL RELOCATION		PROPOSED EASEMENT		GUL = GENERAL USE LANES
	BRIDGE WIDENING		BRIDGES		MANGROVES		POTENTIAL NOISE BARRIER		PREFERRED SMF SITE & PROPOSED SMF R/W		AUX = AUXILIARY LANES
			KENWOOD HISTORIC DISTRICT								

Concept Plans
Design Change Re-evaluation

SHEET NO.
15

Draft August 30, 2019




Draft August 30, 2019

PAVEMENT WIDENING/RECONSTRUCTION	PEDESTRIAN TRAIL	SEAGRASS	FLOOD PLAINS	PROPOSED LA R/W	ITS CAMERA
PAVEMENT REMOVAL	EXPRESS LANE BRIDGE	WETLANDS	CONTAMINATION	EXISTING LA R/W	EL = EXPRESS LANES
BARRIER WALL	EXPRESS LANES	SURFACE WATER	POTENTIAL RESIDENTIAL RELOCATION	PROPOSED EASEMENT	GUL = GENERAL USE LANES
BRIDGE WIDENING	BRIDGES	MANGROVES	POTENTIAL NOISE BARRIER	PREFERRED SMF SITE & PROPOSED SMF R/W	AUX = AUXILIARY LANES
	KENWOOD HISTORIC DISTRICT				Aerial Photos Jan. '18 - Apr. '18


Concept Plans
Design Change Re-evaluation

SHEET NO.
16




Draft August 30, 2019

PAVEMENT WIDENING/RECONSTRUCTION	PEDESTRIAN TRAIL	SEAGRASS	FLOOD PLAINS	PROPOSED LA R/W	ITS CAMERA
PAVEMENT REMOVAL	EXPRESS LANE BRIDGE	WETLANDS	CONTAMINATION	EXISTING LA R/W	EL = EXPRESS LANES
BARRIER WALL	EXPRESS LANES	SURFACE WATER	POTENTIAL RESIDENTIAL RELOCATION	PROPOSED EASEMENT	GUL = GENERAL USE LANES
BRIDGE WIDENING	BRIDGES	MANGROVES	POTENTIAL NOISE BARRIER	PREFERRED SMF SITE & PROPOSED SMF R/W	AUX = AUXILIARY LANES
	KENWOOD HISTORIC DISTRICT				Aerial Photos Jan. '18 - Apr. '18



Draft August 30, 2019



LEGEND:	PAVEMENT WIDENING/RECONSTRUCTION	PEDESTRIAN TRAIL	SEAGRASS	FLOOD PLAINS	PROPOSED LA R/W	ITS CAMERA
PAVEMENT REMOVAL	EXPRESS LANE BRIDGE	WETLANDS	CONTAMINATION	EXISTING LA R/W	EL = EXPRESS LANES	GUL = GENERAL USE LANES
BARRIER WALL	EXPRESS LANES	SURFACE WATER	POTENTIAL RESIDENTIAL RELOCATION	PROPOSED EASEMENT	AUX = AUXILIARY LANES	
BRIDGE WIDENING	BRIDGES	MANGROVES	POTENTIAL NOISE BARRIER	PREFERRED SMF SITE & PROPOSED SMF R/W	Aerial Photos Jan. '18 - Apr. '18	
	KENWOOD HISTORIC DISTRICT					



THIS STORMWATER MANAGEMENT POND MAY POTENTIALLY BE REDUCED IN SIZE OR ELIMINATED, PENDING COMPLETION OF A FDOT LED ENVIRONMENTAL LOOK AROUND (ELA) FEASIBILITY STUDY AND EXECUTION OF MULTI-AGENCY AGREEMENTS.

Draft August 30, 2019

LEGEND:	PAVEMENT WIDENING/RECONSTRUCTION	PEDESTRIAN TRAIL	SEAGRASS	FLOOD PLAINS	PROPOSED LA R/W	ITS CAMERA
PAVEMENT REMOVAL	EXPRESS LANE BRIDGE	WETLANDS	CONTAMINATION	EXISTING LA R/W	PROPOSED EASEMENT	EL = EXPRESS LANES
BARRIER WALL	EXPRESS LANES	SURFACE WATER	POTENTIAL RESIDENTIAL RELOCATION	POTENTIAL NOISE BARRIER	PREFERRED SMF SITE & PROPOSED SMF R/W	GUL = GENERAL USE LANES
BRIDGE WIDENING	BRIDGES	MANGROVES				AUX = AUXILIARY LANES
	KENWOOD HISTORIC DISTRICT					Aerial Photos Jan. '18 - Apr. '18




LEGEND:		PEDESTRIAN TRAIL		SEAGRASS		FLOOD PLAINS		PROPOSED LA R/W		ITS CAMERA	
	PAVEMENT WIDENING/RECONSTRUCTION		PEDESTRIAN TRAIL		SEAGRASS		FLOOD PLAINS		PROPOSED LA R/W		ITS CAMERA
	EXPRESS LANE BRIDGE		EXPRESS LANE BRIDGE		WETLANDS		CONTAMINATION		EXISTING LA R/W		EL = EXPRESS LANES
	PAVEMENT REMOVAL		EXPRESS LANES		SURFACE WATER		POTENTIAL RESIDENTIAL RELOCATION		PROPOSED EASEMENT		GUL = GENERAL USE LANES
	BARRIER WALL		BRIDGES		MANGROVES		POTENTIAL NOISE BARRIER		PREFERRED SMF SITE & PROPOSED SMF R/W		AUX = AUXILIARY LANES
	BRIDGE WIDENING		KENWOOD HISTORIC DISTRICT								Aerial Photos Jan. '18 - Apr. '18

Draft August 30, 2019


Concept Plans
Design Change Re-evaluation

SHEET NO.
20




Draft August 30, 2019

LEGEND:	PAVEMENT WIDENING/RECONSTRUCTION	PEDESTRIAN TRAIL	SEAGRASS	FLOOD PLAINS	PROPOSED LA R/W	ITS CAMERA
PAVEMENT REMOVAL	EXPRESS LANE BRIDGE	WETLANDS	CONTAMINATION	EXISTING LA R/W	EL = EXPRESS LANES	GUL = GENERAL USE LANES
BARRIER WALL	EXPRESS LANES	SURFACE WATER	POTENTIAL RESIDENTIAL RELOCATION	PROPOSED EASEMENT	AUX = AUXILIARY LANES	
BRIDGE WIDENING	BRIDGES	MANGROVES	POTENTIAL NOISE BARRIER	PREFERRED SMF SITE & PROPOSED SMF R/W	Aerial Photos Jan. '18 - Apr. '18	
	KENWOOD HISTORIC DISTRICT					




Draft August 30, 2019

LEGEND:	PAVEMENT WIDENING/RECONSTRUCTION	PEDESTRIAN TRAIL	SEAGRASS	FLOOD PLAINS	PROPOSED LA R/W	ITS CAMERA
PAVEMENT REMOVAL	EXPRESS LANE BRIDGE	WETLANDS	CONTAMINATION	EXISTING LA R/W	EL = EXPRESS LANES	GUL = GENERAL USE LANES
BARRIER WALL	EXPRESS LANES	SURFACE WATER	POTENTIAL RESIDENTIAL RELOCATION	PROPOSED EASEMENT	AUX = AUXILIARY LANES	
BRIDGE WIDENING	BRIDGES	MANGROVES	POTENTIAL NOISE BARRIER	PREFERRED SMF SITE & PROPOSED SMF R/W	Aerial Photos Jan. '18 - Apr. '18	
	KENWOOD HISTORIC DISTRICT					



Draft August 30, 2019

LEGEND:	PAVEMENT WIDENING/RECONSTRUCTION	PEDESTRIAN TRAIL	SEAGRASS	FLOOD PLAINS	PROPOSED LA R/W	ITS CAMERA
PAVEMENT REMOVAL	EXPRESS LANE BRIDGE	WETLANDS	SURFACE WATER	CONTAMINATION	EXISTING LA R/W	EL = EXPRESS LANES
BARRIER WALL	EXPRESS LANES	MANGROVES	POTENTIAL RESIDENTIAL RELOCATION	POTENTIAL NOISE BARRIER	PROPOSED EASEMENT	GUL = GENERAL USE LANES
BRIDGE WIDENING	BRIDGES	KENWOOD HISTORIC DISTRICT	POTENTIAL NOISE BARRIER	PREFERRED SMF SITE & PROPOSED SMF R/W	Aerial Photos Jan. '18 - Apr. '18	AUX = AUXILIARY LANES




Draft August 30, 2019

LEGEND:		PEDESTRIAN TRAIL		SEAGRASS		FLOOD PLAINS		PROPOSED LA R/W		ITS CAMERA	
	PAVEMENT WIDENING/RECONSTRUCTION		EXPRESS LANE BRIDGE		WETLANDS		CONTAMINATION		PROPOSED LA R/W		ITS CAMERA
	PAVEMENT REMOVAL		EXPRESS LANES		SURFACE WATER		POTENTIAL RESIDENTIAL RELOCATION		EXISTING LA R/W		EL = EXPRESS LANES
	BARRIER WALL		BRIDGES		MANGROVES		POTENTIAL NOISE BARRIER		PREFERRED SMF SITE & PROPOSED SMF R/W		GUL = GENERAL USE LANES
	BRIDGE WIDENING		KENWOOD HISTORIC DISTRICT								AUX = AUXILIARY LANES

Concept Plans
Design Change Re-evaluation


SHEET NO.
24



Draft August 30, 2019

LEGEND:	PAVEMENT WIDENING/RECONSTRUCTION	PEDESTRIAN TRAIL	SEAGRASS	FLOOD PLAINS	PROPOSED LA R/W	ITS CAMERA
PAVEMENT REMOVAL	EXPRESS LANE BRIDGE	WETLANDS	POTENTIAL RESIDENTIAL RELOCATION	EXISTING LA R/W	EL = EXPRESS LANES	GUL = GENERAL USE LANES
BARRIER WALL	EXPRESS LANES	SURFACE WATER	POTENTIAL NOISE BARRIER	PROPOSED EASEMENT	AUX = AUXILIARY LANES	Aerial Photos Jan. '18 - Apr. '18
BRIDGE WIDENING	BRIDGES	MANGROVES		PREFERRED SMF SITE & PROPOSED SMF R/W		
	KENWOOD HISTORIC DISTRICT					

SEE SHEET 32




Draft August 30, 2019

LEGEND:	PAVEMENT WIDENING/RECONSTRUCTION	PEDESTRIAN TRAIL	SEAGRASS	FLOOD PLAINS	PROPOSED LA R/W	ITS CAMERA
PAVEMENT REMOVAL	EXPRESS LANE BRIDGE	WETLANDS	CONTAMINATION	EXISTING LA R/W	EL = EXPRESS LANES	
BARRIER WALL	EXPRESS LANES	SURFACE WATER	POTENTIAL RESIDENTIAL RELOCATION	PROPOSED EASEMENT	GUL = GENERAL USE LANES	
BRIDGE WIDENING	BRIDGES	MANGROVES	POTENTIAL NOISE BARRIER	PREFERRED SMF SITE & PROPOSED SMF R/W	AUX = AUXILIARY LANES	
	KENWOOD HISTORIC DISTRICT				Aerial Photos Jan. '18 - Apr. '18	

Concept Plans
Design Change Re-evaluation

SHEET NO.
26





Draft August 30, 2019

LEGEND:	PAVEMENT WIDENING/RECONSTRUCTION	PEDESTRIAN TRAIL	SEAGRASS	FLOOD PLAINS	PROPOSED LA R/W	ITS CAMERA
PAVEMENT REMOVAL	EXPRESS LANE BRIDGE	WETLANDS	CONTAMINATION	EXISTING LA R/W	EL = EXPRESS LANES	GUL = GENERAL USE LANES
BARRIER WALL	EXPRESS LANES	SURFACE WATER	POTENTIAL RESIDENTIAL RELOCATION	PROPOSED EASEMENT	AUX = AUXILIARY LANES	
BRIDGE WIDENING	BRIDGES	MANGROVES	POTENTIAL NOISE BARRIER	PREFERRED SMF SITE & PROPOSED SMF R/W	Aerial Photos Jan. '18 - Apr. '18	
	KENWOOD HISTORIC DISTRICT					

Concept Plans
Design Change Re-evaluation

SHEET NO.
27




Draft August 30, 2019

LEGEND:	PAVEMENT WIDENING/RECONSTRUCTION	PEDESTRIAN TRAIL	SEAGRASS	FLOOD PLAINS	PROPOSED LA R/W	ITS CAMERA
PAVEMENT REMOVAL	EXPRESS LANE BRIDGE	WETLANDS	POTENTIAL RESIDENTIAL RELOCATION	EXISTING LA R/W	ITS CAMERA	EL = EXPRESS LANES
BARRIER WALL	EXPRESS LANES	SURFACE WATER	POTENTIAL NOISE BARRIER	PROPOSED EASEMENT	ITS CAMERA	GUL = GENERAL USE LANES
BRIDGE WIDENING	BRIDGES	MANGROVES	POTENTIAL NOISE BARRIER	PREFERRED SMF SITE & PROPOSED SMF R/W	ITS CAMERA	AUX = AUXILIARY LANES
	KENWOOD HISTORIC DISTRICT					Aerial Photos Jan. '18 - Apr. '18

Concept Plans
Design Change Re-evaluation

SHEET NO.
28




Draft August 30, 2019

LEGEND:	PAVEMENT WIDENING/RECONSTRUCTION	PEDESTRIAN TRAIL	SEAGRASS	FLOOD PLAINS	PROPOSED LA R/W	ITS CAMERA
PAVEMENT REMOVAL	EXPRESS LANE BRIDGE	WETLANDS	CONTAMINATION	EXISTING LA R/W	EL = EXPRESS LANES	GUL = GENERAL USE LANES
BARRIER WALL	EXPRESS LANES	SURFACE WATER	POTENTIAL RESIDENTIAL RELOCATION	PROPOSED EASEMENT	AUX = AUXILIARY LANES	Aerial Photos Jan. '18 - Apr. '18
BRIDGE WIDENING	BRIDGES	MANGROVES	POTENTIAL NOISE BARRIER	PREFERRED SMF SITE & PROPOSED SMF R/W		
	KENWOOD HISTORIC DISTRICT					


Concept Plans
Design Change Re-evaluation

SHEET NO.
29



Draft August 30, 2019

LEGEND:	PAVEMENT WIDENING/RECONSTRUCTION	PEDESTRIAN TRAIL	SEAGRASS	FLOOD PLAINS	PROPOSED LA R/W	ITS CAMERA
PAVEMENT REMOVAL	EXPRESS LANE BRIDGE	WETLANDS	POTENTIAL RESIDENTIAL RELOCATION	EXISTING LA R/W	EL = EXPRESS LANES	GUL = GENERAL USE LANES
BARRIER WALL	EXPRESS LANES	SURFACE WATER	POTENTIAL NOISE BARRIER	PROPOSED EASEMENT	AUX = AUXILIARY LANES	Aerial Photos Jan. '18 - Apr. '18
BRIDGE WIDENING	BRIDGES	MANGROVES		PREFERRED SMF SITE & PROPOSED SMF R/W		
	KENWOOD HISTORIC DISTRICT					




SEE SHEET 32

Draft August 30, 2019

LEGEND:	PAVEMENT WIDENING/RECONSTRUCTION	PEDESTRIAN TRAIL	SEAGRASS	FLOOD PLAINS	PROPOSED LA R/W	ITS CAMERA
PAVEMENT REMOVAL	EXPRESS LANE BRIDGE	WETLANDS	CONTAMINATION	EXISTING LA R/W	EL = EXPRESS LANES	GUL = GENERAL USE LANES
BARRIER WALL	EXPRESS LANES	SURFACE WATER	POTENTIAL RESIDENTIAL RELOCATION	PROPOSED EASEMENT	AUX = AUXILIARY LANES	
BRIDGE WIDENING	BRIDGES	MANGROVES	POTENTIAL NOISE BARRIER	PREFERRED SMF SITE & PROPOSED SMF R/W	Aerial Photos Jan. '18 - Apr. '18	
	KENWOOD HISTORIC DISTRICT					

Concept Plans
Design Change Re-evaluation

SHEET NO.
31



LEGEND:									
	PAVEMENT WIDENING/RECONSTRUCTION		PEDESTRIAN TRAIL		SEAGRASS		FLOOD PLAINS		PROPOSED LA R/W
	PAVEMENT REMOVAL		EXPRESS LANE BRIDGE		WETLANDS		CONTAMINATION		EXISTING LA R/W
	BARRIER WALL		EXPRESS LANES		SURFACE WATER		POTENTIAL RESIDENTIAL RELOCATION		PROPOSED EASEMENT
	BRIDGE WIDENING		BRIDGES		MANGROVES		POTENTIAL NOISE BARRIER		PREFERRED SMF SITE & PROPOSED SMF R/W
			KENWOOD HISTORIC DISTRICT						



ITS CAMERA
 EL = EXPRESS LANES
 GUL = GENERAL USE LANES
 AUX = AUXILIARY LANES
 Aerial Photos Jan. '18 - Apr. '18

Concept Plans
 Design Change Re-evaluation

SHEET NO. 32

Draft August 30, 2019

SEE SHEET 27




Draft August 30, 2019

LEGEND:	PAVEMENT WIDENING/RECONSTRUCTION	PEDESTRIAN TRAIL	SEAGRASS	FLOOD PLAINS	PROPOSED LA R/W	ITS CAMERA
PAVEMENT REMOVAL	EXPRESS LANE BRIDGE	WETLANDS	POTENTIAL RESIDENTIAL RELOCATION	EXISTING LA R/W	EL = EXPRESS LANES	GUL = GENERAL USE LANES
BARRIER WALL	EXPRESS LANES	SURFACE WATER	POTENTIAL NOISE BARRIER	PROPOSED EASEMENT	AUX = AUXILIARY LANES	
BRIDGE WIDENING	BRIDGES	MANGROVES	PREFERRED SMF SITE & PROPOSED SMF R/W			
	KENWOOD HISTORIC DISTRICT					

Concept Plans
Design Change Re-evaluation

APPENDIX B
SHPO Concurrence Letter



Florida Department of Transportation

2015-2223

RICK SCOTT
GOVERNOR

11201 N. McKinley Drive
Tampa, FL 33612-6456

JIM BOXOLD
SECRETARY

April 8, 2015

Ms. Cathy Kendall
Environmental Protection Specialist
Federal Highway Administration
Florida Division
545 John Knox Road, Suite 200
Tallahassee, Florida 32303

RE: Cultural Resource Assessment Survey
I-275/SR 93 from South of 54th Avenue South to North of 4th Street North
Financial Project ID No.: 424501-1
FAP No.: TBD
Pinellas County, Florida

~~2015 APR 38 P 2: 23~~
2015 MAY - 8 P 2: 24
RECEIVED
BUREAU OF
HISTORIC PRESERVATION

Dear Ms. Kendall:

The Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT), District Seven, is conducting a Project Development and Environment (PD&E) Study to evaluate the need for capacity and operational improvements along 16.3 miles of Interstate 275 (I-275) (State Road (SR) 93) from south of 54th Avenue South to north of 4th Street North in Pinellas County, Florida. This study will document the need for the improvements as well as the procedures utilized to develop and evaluate various improvements including elements such as proposed typical sections, special designation of travel lanes, preliminary horizontal alignments, and interchange enhancement alternatives.

Enclosed are two copies of the Cultural Resource Assessment Survey (CRAS) (March 2015) that was prepared for the above referenced project. Also enclosed are 327 Florida Master Site File (FMSF) forms (8PI901, 8PI902, 8PI1212, 8PI1258, 8PI714, 8PI726, 8PI819, 8PI6956, 8PI7256, 8PI7272, 8PI7410, 8PI7502, 8PI7837, 8PI7839, 8PI7970, 8PI10191, 8PI11102, 8PI11108, 8PI11113, 8PI11176, 8PI12172 through 8PI12477); a CD containing the FMSF photographs and pdf files of the FMSF forms and CRAS (for the State Historic Preservation Officer [SHPO]); a CD containing a pdf file of the CRAS and FMSF forms (for FHWA); and a Survey Log Sheet. As agreed, the FMSF forms for FHWA are all contained on the CD and not provided as hard copies since there are so many forms.

The CRAS included background research and a field survey. The purpose was to locate and identify any archaeological sites and historic resources located within the

Ms. Cathy Kendall

I-275/SR 93 from South of 54th Avenue South to North of 4th Street North

Financial Project ID No.: 424501-1; FAP No.: TBD

April 8, 2015

Page 2 of 4

project area of potential effect (APE) and to assess their significance in terms of eligibility for listing in the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP). The archaeological Area of Potential Effect (APE) was defined as the existing right of way; the historical APE includes the existing right of way as well as immediately adjacent properties within 200 feet. Proposed pond and floodplain compensation sites were not identified in the PD&E Study and will be evaluated later during design.

The background research suggested a Moderate Degree of Effect for archaeological resources. Background research indicated that five previously recorded archaeological sites are located within a 500 foot buffer, four of which are adjacent to the I-275 corridor within the proposed project APE (8PI901, 8P902, 8PI1212, 8PI1258). As a result of surface reconnaissance and limited archaeological testing, all four sites, as contained within the project APE, are presumed destroyed. There is insufficient information to determine the potential NRHP eligibility of 8PI901 and 8PI902, since most of each site area is situated outside the project APE. Both 8PI1212 and 8PI1258, mostly contained within the project APE, are considered ineligible for listing in the NRHP due to the loss of physical integrity. Systematic survey of the entire project corridor was not required since additional ROW is not being proposed, the existing ROW has been severely altered by roadway development and other impacts, and work within the ROW posed a safety issue for the field team.

The background research suggested a Moderate Degree of Effect for historical resources. Historical/architectural field survey resulted in the identification and evaluation of 325 historic resources within the I-275 project APE. This includes 19 that were previously recorded and 306 that are newly identified. This total includes 309 structures, 13 building complex resource groups, one historic district, one railroad, and one cemetery. Of these, 16 are listed, eligible, or considered potentially eligible for listing in the NRHP. Field survey also revealed that 10 previously recorded historic resources are no longer extant.

Based on the results of background research and field surveys, the significant historic resources located within the I-275 project APE include the Kenwood Historic District (8PI11176), which was listed in the NRHP in 2003, and some contributing resources. Ten previously identified contributing resources (8PI6929, 8PI7256, 8PI7272, 8PI7410, 8PI7502, 8PI7837, 8PI7839, 8PI7970, 8PI11102, and 8PI11108) are located within the I-275 project APE. Field survey indicated that one previously recorded historic resource (8PI6956) situated adjacent to the boundaries of the Kenwood Historic District, but not originally included, appears to be potentially eligible as a contributing resource to a revised Kenwood Historic District. It is not, however, considered individually NRHP-eligible. The Jordan Park Elementary School (8PI6901) at 2390 9th Avenue South was determined NRHP eligible by the SHPO in 2005. Finally, three historic resources are considered potentially eligible for listing in the NRHP: the Norwood School (8PI714) at 2154 27th Avenue North, Papa's Dream (8PI726) at 1850 Central Avenue, and The Manhattan Casino (8PI819) at 642 22nd Street. There is insufficient information to

Ms. Cathy Kendall
I-275/SR 93 from South of 54th Avenue South to North of 4th Street North
Financial Project ID No.: 424501-1; FAP No.: TBD
April 8, 2015
Page 3 of 4

determine the eligibility of two historic resources: the Mt. Moriah Primitive Baptist Church (8PI12276) and the Orange Belt Railway/CSX Railroad (8PI12273).

This information is being provided in accordance with the provisions of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966 (as amended), which are implemented by the procedures contained in 36 CFR, Part 800, as well as the provisions contained in the revised Chapter 267, Florida Statutes.

Provided you approve the recommendations and findings in the enclosed cultural resource document, please coordinate with the SHPO for concurrence. The unbound copy of the document; the original FMSF forms; CD with FMSF photos, forms, and CRAS; and Survey Log Sheet are for the SHPO. The bound copy of the document and the CD with the CRAS and FMSF pdf file is for your files.

If you have any questions, please contact me at (813) 975-6456 or todd.bogner@dot.state.fl.us or Rebecca Spain Schwarz at (813) 281- 8308 or rebecca.spain-schwarz@atkinsglobal.com.

Sincerely,



Todd L. Bogner
Environmental Specialist III
District Seven Cultural Resource Coordinator

Enclosure

cc: Phillip Bello (FHWA) Roy Jackson (FDOT CEMO) Robin Rhinesmith (FDOT)
Sara Hall-Wagner (FDOT) Matthew Wey (HDR)
Rebecca Spain Schwarz (Atkins/GEC)

The FHWA finds the Cultural Resource Assessment Survey provided with this letter to be complete and sufficient and approves / does not approve the above recommendations and findings. Or, the FHWA finds the attached Technical Memorandum contains insufficient information.

The FHWA requests the SHPO's opinion on the sufficiency of the report provided with the letter and the SHPO's opinion on the recommendations and findings contained in this letter and in the comment block below.

FHWA Comments:

This finding does not include stormwater facility and floodplain compensation areas needed to address project impacts.

for James Christian
Division Administrator
Florida Division
Federal Highway Administration

5/6/15
Date

The Florida State Historic Preservation Officer finds the attached Cultural Resource Assessment Survey complete and sufficient and concurs with the recommendations and findings provided in this cover letter for SHPO/DHR Project File Number 15-2223. Or, the SHPO finds the attached Technical Memorandum contains insufficient information.

SHPO Comments:

None

for Robert F. Bendus, Director
Division of Historical Resources
and State Historic Preservation Officer

6/2/15
Date

APPENDIX C
FMSF Forms

Original
 Update



HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM

FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE

Version 4.0 1/07

Site #8 **PI11652**
Field Date 4-12-2019
Form Date 4-26-2019
Recorder # _____

Shaded Fields represent the minimum acceptable level of documentation.
Consult the Guide to Historical Structure Forms for detailed instructions.

Site Name(s) (address if none) 2308 7th Ave S Multiple Listing (DHR only) _____
Survey Project Name CRAS Re-evaluation I-275 Survey # (DHR only) _____
National Register Category (please check one) building structure district site object
Ownership: private-profit private-nonprofit private-individual private-nonspecific city county state federal Native American foreign unknown

LOCATION & MAPPING

Street Number 2308 Direction _____ Street Name 7th Street Type Avenue Suffix Direction S
Address: _____
Cross Streets (nearest / between) between 23rd St S & 8th Ave S
USGS 7.5 Map Name ST. PETERSBURG USGS Date 1956 Plat or Other Map PB 5, pg 46
City / Town (within 3 miles) Saint Petersburg In City Limits? yes no unknown County Pinellas
Township 31S Range 16E Section 26 ¼ section: NW SW SE NE Irregular-name: _____
Tax Parcel # 26-31-16-72882-000-0090 Landgrant _____
Subdivision Name PRATHER'S SECOND ROYAL Block _____ Lot 9
UTM Coordinates: Zone 16 17 Easting 335986 Northing 3072026
Other Coordinates: X: _____ Y: _____ Coordinate System & Datum _____
Name of Public Tract (e.g., park) _____

HISTORY

Construction Year: 1925 approximately year listed or earlier year listed or later
Original Use Private Residence (House/Cottage/Cabin) From (year): 1925 To (year): curr
Current Use _____ From (year): _____ To (year): _____
Other Use _____ From (year): _____ To (year): _____
Moves: yes no unknown Date: _____ Original address _____
Alterations: yes no unknown Date: _____ Nature reroof, new siding
Additions: yes no unknown Date: _____ Nature _____
Architect (last name first): _____ Builder (last name first): _____
Ownership History (especially original owner, dates, profession, etc.) GLORIA MOORER (2000), ANNIE J DANDY (1983), BEATRICE G MCGRIFF

Is the Resource Affected by a Local Preservation Ordinance? yes no unknown Describe _____

DESCRIPTION

Style Frame Vernacular Exterior Plan Rectangular Number of Stories 1
Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Wood siding 2. _____ 3. _____
Roof Type(s) 1. Gable 2. _____ 3. _____
Roof Material(s) 1. Composition shingles 2. _____ 3. _____
Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.) 1. _____ 2. _____
Windows (types, materials, etc.) SHS, individual, 1/1; wood planks cover the windows

Distinguishing Architectural Features (exterior or interior ornaments) gable end vents, porch brick piers topped with tapered square columns

Ancillary Features / Outbuildings (record outbuildings, major landscape features; use continuation sheet if needed.) _____

DHR USE ONLY		OFFICIAL EVALUATION	DHR USE ONLY	
NR List Date _____	SHPO – Appears to meet criteria for NR listing: <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no <input type="checkbox"/> insufficient info	Date _____	Init. _____	
<input type="checkbox"/> Owner Objection	KEEPER – Determined eligible: <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no	Date _____		
	NR Criteria for Evaluation: <input type="checkbox"/> a <input type="checkbox"/> b <input type="checkbox"/> c <input type="checkbox"/> d (see National Register Bulletin 15, p. 2)			

DESCRIPTION (continued)

Chimney: No. ____ Chimney Material(s): 1. _____ 2. _____
 Structural System(s): 1. Wood frame 2. _____ 3. _____
 Foundation Type(s): 1. Piers 2. _____
 Foundation Material(s): 1. Concrete Block 2. _____
 Main Entrance (stylistic details) N elev: through a single door beneath a gable roof

Porch Descriptions (types, locations, roof types, etc.) N/ENTRANCE: open, partial-width, beneath a gable roof supported by brick piers topped with tapered square columns; S elev: enclosed, partial-width beneath principal roof

Condition (overall resource condition): excellent good fair deteriorated ruinous

Narrative Description of Resource The one-story building has a low-pitched front-gabled roof. The open porch sits beneath a gable roof with brick piers topped with tapered square columns.

Archaeological Remains _____ Check if Archaeological Form Completed

RESEARCH METHODS (check all that apply)

FMSF record search (sites/surveys) library research building permits Sanborn maps
FL State Archives/photo collection city directory occupant/owner interview plat maps
property appraiser / tax records newspaper files neighbor interview Public Lands Survey (DEP)
cultural resource survey (CRAS) historic photos interior inspection HABS/HAER record search
other methods (describe) USDA historic aerial photographs (PALMM) & FDOT APlus aerial maps
 Bibliographic References (give FMSF manuscript # if relevant, use continuation sheet if needed) Publication of Archival Library & Museum Materials (PALMM), accessible online at: <http://susdl.fcla.edu/>

OPINION OF RESOURCE SIGNIFICANCE

Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing individually? yes no insufficient information
 Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing as part of a district? yes no insufficient information
 Explanation of Evaluation (required, whether significant or not; use separate sheet if needed) The building is not a significant embodiment of a type, period, or method of construction. Background research did not reveal any historic associations with significant persons and/or events.
 Area(s) of Historical Significance (see National Register Bulletin 15, p. 8 for categories: e.g. "architecture", "ethnic heritage", "community planning & development", etc.)
 1. _____ 3. _____ 5. _____
 2. _____ 4. _____ 6. _____

DOCUMENTATION

Accessible Documentation Not Filed with the Site File - including field notes, analysis notes, photos, plans and other important documents

1) Document type All materials at one location Maintaining organization Archaeological Consultants Inc
 Document description Files, photos, research, documentation File or accession #'s P1458A
 2) Document type _____ Maintaining organization _____
 Document description _____ File or accession #'s _____

RECORDER INFORMATION

Recorder Name Kimberly M. Irby Affiliation Archaeological Consultants Inc
 Recorder Contact Information 8110 Blaikie Court, Ste. A / Sarasota, FL / 34240 / aciflorida@comcast.net
 (address / phone / fax / e-mail)

Required Attachments

- ① USGS 7.5' MAP WITH STRUCTURE LOCATION PINPOINTED IN RED
- ② LARGE SCALE STREET, PLAT OR PARCEL MAP (available from most property appraiser web sites)
- ③ PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, ARCHIVAL B&W PRINT OR DIGITAL IMAGE FILE
 If submitting an image file, it must be included on disk or CD AND in hard copy format (plain paper is acceptable).
 Digital image must be at least 1600 x 1200 pixels, 24-bit color, jpeg or tiff.



PHOTOGRAPHS



AERIAL MAP





USGS St. Petersburg
Township 31 South, Range 16 East, Section 26



Original
 Update



HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM

FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE

Version 4.0 1/07

Site #8 **PI13236**
Field Date 4-12-2019
Form Date 4-19-2019
Recorder # _____

Shaded Fields represent the minimum acceptable level of documentation.
Consult the Guide to Historical Structure Forms for detailed instructions.

Site Name(s) (address if none) 4301 31ST ST S Multiple Listing (DHR only) _____
Survey Project Name CRAS Re-evaluation I-275 Survey # (DHR only) _____
National Register Category (please check one) building structure district site object
Ownership: private-profit private-nonprofit private-individual private-nonspecific city county state federal Native American foreign unknown

LOCATION & MAPPING

Address: 4301 31st Street S
Street Number Direction Street Name Street Type Suffix Direction
Cross Streets (nearest / between) between Desoto Way S & Ponce De Leon Way S
USGS 7.5 Map Name PASS-A-GRILLE BEACH USGS Date 1956 Plat or Other Map _____
City / Town (within 3 miles) Saint Petersburg In City Limits? yes no unknown County Pinellas
Township 32S Range 16E Section 2 ¼ section: NW SW SE NE Irregular-name: _____
Tax Parcel # 02-32-16-00000-230-0200 Landgrant _____
Subdivision Name ENGLEHART ADD TO LAKEWOOD Block 1 Lot 1
UTM Coordinates: Zone 16 17 Easting 334781 Northing 3068274
Other Coordinates: X: _____ Y: _____ Coordinate System & Datum _____
Name of Public Tract (e.g., park) _____

HISTORY

Construction Year: 1966 approximately year listed or earlier year listed or later
Original Use Club or Lodge building From (year): 1966 To (year): 1984
Current Use Apartment From (year): 2009 To (year): curr
Other Use Unknown From (year): 1984 To (year): 2009
Moves: yes no unknown Date: _____ Original address _____
Alterations: yes no unknown Date: _____ Nature reroof,
Additions: yes no unknown Date: _____ Nature N & S elevations
Architect (last name first): _____ Builder (last name first): _____
Ownership History (especially original owner, dates, profession, etc.) Sumilang Investment Group LLC (2009), CANA IV Corporation (1984), Grand Lodge of FL, Independent Order of Odd Fellows
Is the Resource Affected by a Local Preservation Ordinance? yes no unknown Describe _____

DESCRIPTION

Style Masonry Vernacular Exterior Plan Central Block Symmetric Wings Number of Stories 1
Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Concrete block 2. _____ 3. _____
Roof Type(s) 1. Hip 2. Flat 3. _____
Roof Material(s) 1. Composition shingles 2. _____ 3. _____
Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.) 1. _____ 2. _____
Windows (types, materials, etc.) SHS, aluminum, individual & paired, 1/1
Distinguishing Architectural Features (exterior or interior ornaments) artstone, roof overhang

Ancillary Features / Outbuildings (record outbuildings, major landscape features; use continuation sheet if needed.) _____

DHR USE ONLY		OFFICIAL EVALUATION		DHR USE ONLY	
NR List Date	SHPO – Appears to meet criteria for NR listing: <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no <input type="checkbox"/> insufficient info	Date	_____	Init.	_____
<input type="checkbox"/> Owner Objection	KEEPER – Determined eligible: <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no	Date	_____		
	NR Criteria for Evaluation: <input type="checkbox"/> a <input type="checkbox"/> b <input type="checkbox"/> c <input type="checkbox"/> d (see National Register Bulletin 15, p. 2)				

DESCRIPTION (continued)

Chimney: No. ___ Chimney Material(s): 1. ___ 2. ___
Structural System(s): 1. Concrete block 2. ___ 3. ___
Foundation Type(s): 1. Continuous 2. ___
Foundation Material(s): 1. Concrete Block 2. ___
Main Entrance (stylistic details) E elev: through double full-view glass doors beneath the principal roof overhang

Porch Descriptions (types, locations, roof types, etc.) multiple open with screen enclosures on the north and south elevations beneath the principal roof.

Condition (overall resource condition): [] excellent [x] good [] fair [] deteriorated [] ruinous

Narrative Description of Resource The building has an H-shaped plan with two extended hip roof canopies on the north and south elevations. The main entrance is centrally located in the central block with an open walkway beneath the roof overhang.

Archaeological Remains [] Check if Archaeological Form Completed

RESEARCH METHODS (check all that apply)

- [x] FMSF record search (sites/surveys) [] library research [] building permits [] Sanborn maps
[] FL State Archives/photo collection [] city directory [] occupant/owner interview [] plat maps
[x] property appraiser / tax records [] newspaper files [] neighbor interview [] Public Lands Survey (DEP)
[] cultural resource survey (CRAS) [] historic photos [] interior inspection [] HABS/HAER record search
[x] other methods (describe) USDA historic aerial photographs (PALMM) & FDOT APlus aerial maps

Bibliographic References (give FMSF manuscript # if relevant, use continuation sheet if needed) Publication of Archival Library & Museum Materials (PALMM), accessible online at: http://susdl.fcla.edu/

OPINION OF RESOURCE SIGNIFICANCE

Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing individually? [] yes [x] no [] insufficient information

Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing as part of a district? [] yes [x] no [] insufficient information

Explanation of Evaluation (required, whether significant or not; use separate sheet if needed) The building is not a significant embodiment of a type, period, or method of construction. Background research did not reveal any historic associations with significant persons and/or events.

Area(s) of Historical Significance (see National Register Bulletin 15, p. 8 for categories: e.g. "architecture", "ethnic heritage", "community planning & development", etc.)

- 1. ___ 3. ___ 5. ___
2. ___ 4. ___ 6. ___

DOCUMENTATION

Accessible Documentation Not Filed with the Site File - including field notes, analysis notes, photos, plans and other important documents

- 1) Document type All materials at one location Maintaining organization Archaeological Consultants Inc
Document description Files, photos, research, documentation File or accession #'s P1458A
2) Document type ___ Maintaining organization ___
Document description ___ File or accession #'s ___

RECORDER INFORMATION

Recorder Name Kimberly M. Irby Affiliation Archaeological Consultants Inc

Recorder Contact Information 8110 Blaikie Court, Ste. A / Sarasota, FL / 34240 / aciflorida@comcast.net
(address / phone / fax / e-mail)

Required Attachments
1 USGS 7.5' MAP WITH STRUCTURE LOCATION PINPOINTED IN RED
2 LARGE SCALE STREET, PLAT OR PARCEL MAP (available from most property appraiser web sites)
3 PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, ARCHIVAL B&W PRINT OR DIGITAL IMAGE FILE
If submitting an image file, it must be included on disk or CD AND in hard copy format (plain paper is acceptable). Digital image must be at least 1600 x 1200 pixels, 24-bit color, jpeg or tiff.



PHOTOGRAPHS




AERIAL MAP





USGS Pass-A-Grille Beach
Township 32 South, Range 16 East, Section 2





HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM

FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE

Version 4.0 1/07

Site #8 **PI13237**
Field Date 4-12-2019
Form Date 4-19-2019
Recorder # _____

Original
 Update

Shaded Fields represent the minimum acceptable level of documentation.
Consult the Guide to Historical Structure Forms for detailed instructions.

Site Name(s) (address if none) 4025 31ST ST S Multiple Listing (DHR only) _____
Survey Project Name CRAS Re-evaluation I-275 Survey # (DHR only) _____
National Register Category (please check one) building structure district site object
Ownership: private-profit private-nonprofit private-individual private-nonspecific city county state federal Native American foreign unknown

LOCATION & MAPPING

Address: 4025 31st Street S
Street Number Direction Street Name Street Type Suffix Direction
Cross Streets (nearest / between) between Ponce De Leon Way S & 40th Ave S
USGS 7.5 Map Name PASS-A-GRILLE BEACH USGS Date 1956 Plat or Other Map _____
City / Town (within 3 miles) Saint Petersburg In City Limits? yes no unknown County Pinellas
Township 32S Range 16E Section 2 ¼ section: NW SW SE NE Irregular-name: _____
Tax Parcel # 02-32-16-00000-220-0400 Landgrant _____
Subdivision Name _____ Block _____ Lot _____
UTM Coordinates: Zone 16 17 Easting 334804 Northing 3068559
Other Coordinates: X: _____ Y: _____ Coordinate System & Datum _____
Name of Public Tract (e.g., park) _____

HISTORY

Construction Year: 1955 approximately year listed or earlier year listed or later
Original Use Movie theater/Playhouse From (year): 1955 To (year): curr
Current Use _____ From (year): _____ To (year): _____
Other Use _____ From (year): _____ To (year): _____
Moves: yes no unknown Date: _____ Original address _____
Alterations: yes no unknown Date: _____ Nature reroof
Additions: yes no unknown Date: _____ Nature E & S elevations
Architect (last name first): _____ Builder (last name first): _____
Ownership History (especially original owner, dates, profession, etc.) ST PETERSBURG CITY THEATRE INC

Is the Resource Affected by a Local Preservation Ordinance? yes no unknown Describe _____

DESCRIPTION

Style Masonry Vernacular Exterior Plan Irregular Number of Stories 1
Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Concrete block 2. _____ 3. _____
Roof Type(s) 1. Flat 2. _____ 3. _____
Roof Material(s) 1. Built-up 2. _____ 3. _____
Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.) 1. _____ 2. _____
Windows (types, materials, etc.) awning, metal, individual & paired 2-stacked and grouped (4) 4-stacked; picture with 20-light
Distinguishing Architectural Features (exterior or interior ornaments) buttress, concrete sills, crawl space vents, wall louvered vents

Ancillary Features / Outbuildings (record outbuildings, major landscape features; use continuation sheet if needed.) _____

DHR USE ONLY		OFFICIAL EVALUATION	DHR USE ONLY	
NR List Date	SHPO – Appears to meet criteria for NR listing: <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no <input type="checkbox"/> insufficient info	Date _____	Init. _____	
<input type="checkbox"/> Owner Objection	KEEPER – Determined eligible: <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no	Date _____		
	NR Criteria for Evaluation: <input type="checkbox"/> a <input type="checkbox"/> b <input type="checkbox"/> c <input type="checkbox"/> d (see National Register Bulletin 15, p. 2)			

DESCRIPTION (continued)

Chimney: No. ___ Chimney Material(s): 1. ___ 2. ___
Structural System(s): 1. Concrete block 2. ___ 3. ___
Foundation Type(s): 1. Continuous 2. ___
Foundation Material(s): 1. Concrete Block 2. ___
Main Entrance (stylistic details) N & S elev: through double full-view glass metal frame doors with transom and side lights beneath flat roof
Porch Descriptions (types, locations, roof types, etc.)

Condition (overall resource condition): [] excellent [] good [x] fair [] deteriorated [] ruinous
Narrative Description of Resource see continuation sheet

Archaeological Remains [] Check if Archaeological Form Completed

RESEARCH METHODS (check all that apply)

[x] FMSF record search (sites/surveys) [] library research [] building permits [] Sanborn maps
[] FL State Archives/photo collection [] city directory [] occupant/owner interview [] plat maps
[x] property appraiser / tax records [] newspaper files [] neighbor interview [] Public Lands Survey (DEP)
[] cultural resource survey (CRAS) [] historic photos [] interior inspection [] HABS/HAER record search
[x] other methods (describe) USDA historic aerial photographs (PALMM) & FDOT APlus aerial maps
Bibliographic References (give FMSF manuscript # if relevant, use continuation sheet if needed) Publication of Archival Library & Museum Materials (PALMM), accessible online at: http://susdl.fcla.edu/; http://www.spcitytheatre.org/about/

OPINION OF RESOURCE SIGNIFICANCE

Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing individually? [x] yes [] no [] insufficient information
Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing as part of a district? [] yes [x] no [] insufficient information
Explanation of Evaluation (required, whether significant or not; use separate sheet if needed) see continuation sheet

Area(s) of Historical Significance (see National Register Bulletin 15, p. 8 for categories: e.g. "architecture", "ethnic heritage", "community planning & development", etc.)
1. Performing arts/theater 3. Entertainment/recreation 5.
2. Education 4. 6.

DOCUMENTATION

Accessible Documentation Not Filed with the Site File - including field notes, analysis notes, photos, plans and other important documents
1) Document type All materials at one location Maintaining organization Archaeological Consultants Inc
Document description Files, photos, research, documentation File or accession #'s P1458A
2) Document type
Document description File or accession #'s

RECORDER INFORMATION

Recorder Name Kimberly M. Irby Affiliation Archaeological Consultants Inc
Recorder Contact Information 8110 Blaikie Court, Ste. A / Sarasota, FL / 34240 / aciflorida@comcast.net
(address / phone / fax / e-mail)

Required Attachments
1 USGS 7.5' MAP WITH STRUCTURE LOCATION PINPOINTED IN RED
2 LARGE SCALE STREET, PLAT OR PARCEL MAP (available from most property appraiser web sites)
3 PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, ARCHIVAL B&W PRINT OR DIGITAL IMAGE FILE
If submitting an image file, it must be included on disk or CD AND in hard copy format (plain paper is acceptable). Digital image must be at least 1600 x 1200 pixels, 24-bit color, jpeg or tiff.

CONTINUATION SHEET

ARCHITECTURAL DESCRIPTION

The Masonry Vernacular style theatre at 4025 31st Street S was constructed circa 1955. The one-story, irregular plan rests on a continuous foundation of concrete block and has a concrete block structural system partially clad in stucco. The flat roof over the primary mass is covered with built-up membrane. The main entrance is on the north and south elevations through double full-view metal frame glass doors with transom and sidelights beneath a flat roof. Windows include individual and paired, metal, two-stacked and grouped (4) four-stacked awning units; and 20-light picture unit. Distinguishing architectural features include buttress, concrete sills, crawl space vents, and wall louvered vents. The building appears to have been reroofed. The building expanded in the 1960s on the south elevation to accommodate for additional rooms and the verandah was enclosed to create the lobby on the east elevation (St Petersburg 2019). Another addition was made to the south elevation in the mid-1990s to provide new restrooms that meet the American with Disabilities Act (ADA) standards (St Petersburg 2019).

NARRATIVE DESCRIPTION

The St. Petersburg community theatre was founded in 1925 as the Sunshine Players and was renamed as the St. Petersburg Little Theatre in 1933 (St Petersburg 2019). The non-profit organization was established during Florida's boom years and continues to play a part in St. Petersburg through community produced productions and youth and adult performing arts educational activities. Prior to the 1950s, play production was held at various locations throughout St. Petersburg and during the war shows were held in an old grist mill on Second Avenue North (St Petersburg 2019). In the mid-1950s the property was purchased at the current location and a new theatre was developed. Over the years, the building has undergone multiple expansions to accommodate the growing needs of the theatre (**Figure 1**). In 2011, the name changed to St. Petersburg City Theatre and in 2017, the theatre was in threat of closing. With support from the community, the theatre was saved and continues to offer live performances and educational programs.




Figure 1. Current aerial of St. Petersburg Theatre showing construction dates for different portions. Google Earth 2019.

CONTINUATION SHEET**EXPLANATION OF EVALUATION**

Art and culture have played a significant role in the development of St. Petersburg from its inception. The theatre retains significance and integrity as an entertainment and education facility within the community of St. Petersburg. While the property is not the original location affiliated with the establishment of the 1920s theatre, it did become the permanent location of the theatre company that was built in the 1950s. The property continues to play an important role and represents the cultural significance of performing arts within the community. Therefore, the property appears eligible at the local level under Criterion A in the areas of Performing Arts/Theater, Education, and Entertainment. The building, however, does not appear architecturally eligible for listing in the NRHP under Criterion C, either individually or as part of a historic district based on non-historic alterations, lack of sufficient architectural features, and is not a significant embodiment of a type, period, or method of construction.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

St. Petersburg City Theatre

2019 History. Electronic document, <http://www.spcitytheatre.org/about/>, accessed April 22, 2019.



PHOTOGRAPH






AERIAL MAP





USGS Pass-A-Grille Beach
Township 32 South, Range 16 East, Section 2





HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM

FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE

Version 4.0 1/07

Site #8 **PI13238**
Field Date 4-12-2019
Form Date 4-25-2019
Recorder # _____

Original
 Update

Shaded Fields represent the minimum acceptable level of documentation.
Consult the Guide to Historical Structure Forms for detailed instructions.

Site Name(s) (address if none) 1438 33rd ST S Multiple Listing (DHR only) _____
Survey Project Name CRAS Re-evaluation I-275 Survey # (DHR only) _____
National Register Category (please check one) building structure district site object
Ownership: private-profit private-nonprofit private-individual private-nonspecific city county state federal Native American foreign unknown

LOCATION & MAPPING

Street Number 1438 Direction _____ Street Name 33rd Street Type Street Suffix Direction S
Address: _____
Cross Streets (nearest / between) between 15th Ave S & 13th Ave S
USGS 7.5 Map Name ST. PETERSBURG USGS Date 1956 Plat or Other Map PB 5, pg 86
City / Town (within 3 miles) Saint Petersburg In City Limits? yes no unknown County Pinellas
Township 31S Range 16E Section 26 ¼ section: NW SW SE NE Irregular-name: _____
Tax Parcel # 26-31-16-32724-007-0170 Landgrant _____
Subdivision Name GRAND CENTRAL SUB No.1 Block 7 Lot 17
UTM Coordinates: Zone 16 17 Easting 334598 Northing 3071298
Other Coordinates: X: _____ Y: _____ Coordinate System & Datum _____
Name of Public Tract (e.g., park) _____

HISTORY

Construction Year: 1962 approximately year listed or earlier year listed or later
Original Use Private Residence (House/Cottage/Cabin) From (year): 1962 To (year): curr
Current Use _____ From (year): _____ To (year): _____
Other Use _____ From (year): _____ To (year): _____
Moves: yes no unknown Date: _____ Original address _____
Alterations: yes no unknown Date: _____ Nature reroof, new windows
Additions: yes no unknown Date: _____ Nature E elevation
Architect (last name first): _____ Builder (last name first): _____
Ownership History (especially original owner, dates, profession, etc.) MICHAEL SUGGS (1997), GWENDOLYN KNIGHT (1971), BENNIE ROLLINS

Is the Resource Affected by a Local Preservation Ordinance? yes no unknown Describe _____

DESCRIPTION

Style Masonry Vernacular Exterior Plan Irregular Number of Stories 1
Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco 2. Masonry veneer-artificial 3. _____
Roof Type(s) 1. Cross-gabled 2. _____ 3. _____
Roof Material(s) 1. Composition shingles 2. _____ 3. _____
Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.) 1. Gable dormer 2. _____
Windows (types, materials, etc.) SHS, vinyl, individual & paired, 1/1

Distinguishing Architectural Features (exterior or interior ornaments) artificial masonry veneer with painted shutters, minimal roof overhang with boxed eave return, gable dormer with vent

Ancillary Features / Outbuildings (record outbuildings, major landscape features; use continuation sheet if needed.) _____

DHR USE ONLY		OFFICIAL EVALUATION	DHR USE ONLY	
NR List Date _____	SHPO – Appears to meet criteria for NR listing: <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no <input type="checkbox"/> insufficient info	Date _____	Init. _____	
<input type="checkbox"/> Owner Objection	KEEPER – Determined eligible: <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no	Date _____		
	NR Criteria for Evaluation: <input type="checkbox"/> a <input type="checkbox"/> b <input type="checkbox"/> c <input type="checkbox"/> d (see National Register Bulletin 15, p. 2)			

DESCRIPTION (continued)

Chimney: No. ___ Chimney Material(s): 1. ___ 2. ___
Structural System(s): 1. Concrete block 2. ___ 3. ___
Foundation Type(s): 1. Continuous 2. ___
Foundation Material(s): 1. Concrete Block 2. ___
Main Entrance (stylistic details) W elev: through single full-view glass storm door with security grill atop concrete steps with a metal railing
Porch Descriptions (types, locations, roof types, etc.)

Condition (overall resource condition): [] excellent [x] good [] fair [] deteriorated [] ruinous
Narrative Description of Resource The building has a minimal roof overhang with boxed eave returns and a gable dormer with vent. The front facade is clad in artificial masonry veneer with white painted shutters.

Archaeological Remains [] Check if Archaeological Form Completed

RESEARCH METHODS (check all that apply)

[x] FMSF record search (sites/surveys) [] library research [] building permits [] Sanborn maps
[] FL State Archives/photo collection [] city directory [] occupant/owner interview [] plat maps
[x] property appraiser / tax records [] newspaper files [] neighbor interview [] Public Lands Survey (DEP)
[] cultural resource survey (CRAS) [] historic photos [] interior inspection [] HABS/HAER record search
[x] other methods (describe) USDA historic aerial photographs (PALMM) & FDOT APlus aerial maps
Bibliographic References (give FMSF manuscript # if relevant, use continuation sheet if needed) Publication of Archival Library & Museum Materials (PALMM), accessible online at: http://susdl.fcla.edu/

OPINION OF RESOURCE SIGNIFICANCE

Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing individually? [] yes [x] no [] insufficient information
Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing as part of a district? [] yes [x] no [] insufficient information
Explanation of Evaluation (required, whether significant or not; use separate sheet if needed) The building is not a significant embodiment of a type, period, or method of construction. Background research did not reveal any historic associations with significant persons and/or events.
Area(s) of Historical Significance (see National Register Bulletin 15, p. 8 for categories: e.g. "architecture", "ethnic heritage", "community planning & development", etc.)
1. ___ 3. ___ 5. ___
2. ___ 4. ___ 6. ___

DOCUMENTATION

Accessible Documentation Not Filed with the Site File - including field notes, analysis notes, photos, plans and other important documents
1) Document type All materials at one location Maintaining organization Archaeological Consultants Inc
Document description Files, photos, research, documentation File or accession #'s P1458A
2) Document type ___ Maintaining organization ___
Document description ___ File or accession #'s ___

RECORDER INFORMATION

Recorder Name Kimberly M. Irby Affiliation Archaeological Consultants Inc
Recorder Contact Information 8110 Blaikie Court, Ste. A / Sarasota, FL / 34240 / aciflorida@comcast.net
(address / phone / fax / e-mail)

Required Attachments
1 USGS 7.5' MAP WITH STRUCTURE LOCATION PINPOINTED IN RED
2 LARGE SCALE STREET, PLAT OR PARCEL MAP (available from most property appraiser web sites)
3 PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, ARCHIVAL B&W PRINT OR DIGITAL IMAGE FILE
If submitting an image file, it must be included on disk or CD AND in hard copy format (plain paper is acceptable). Digital image must be at least 1600 x 1200 pixels, 24-bit color, jpeg or tiff.



PHOTOGRAPH



AERIAL MAP





USGS St. Petersburg
Township 31 South, Range 16 East, Section 26





HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM

FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE

Version 4.0 1/07

Site #8 **PI13239**
Field Date 4-12-2019
Form Date 4-25-2019
Recorder # _____

Original
 Update

Shaded Fields represent the minimum acceptable level of documentation.
Consult the Guide to Historical Structure Forms for detailed instructions.

Site Name(s) (address if none) 1430 33rd ST S Multiple Listing (DHR only) _____
Survey Project Name CRAS Re-evaluation I-275 Survey # (DHR only) _____
National Register Category (please check one) building structure district site object
Ownership: private-profit private-nonprofit private-individual private-nonspecific city county state federal Native American foreign unknown

LOCATION & MAPPING

Street Number 1430 Direction _____ Street Name 33rd Street Type Street Suffix Direction S
Address: _____
Cross Streets (nearest / between) between 15th Ave S & 13th Ave S
USGS 7.5 Map Name ST. PETERSBURG USGS Date 1956 Plat or Other Map PB 5, pg 86
City / Town (within 3 miles) Saint Petersburg In City Limits? yes no unknown County Pinellas
Township 31S Range 16E Section 26 ¼ section: NW SW SE NE Irregular-name: _____
Tax Parcel # 26-31-16-32724-007-0180 Landgrant _____
Subdivision Name GRAND CENTRAL SUB No.1 Block 7 Lot 18
UTM Coordinates: Zone 16 17 Easting 334598 Northing 3071311
Other Coordinates: X: _____ Y: _____ Coordinate System & Datum _____
Name of Public Tract (e.g., park) _____

HISTORY

Construction Year: 1963 approximately year listed or earlier year listed or later
Original Use Private Residence (House/Cottage/Cabin) From (year): 1963 To (year): curr
Current Use _____ From (year): _____ To (year): _____
Other Use _____ From (year): _____ To (year): _____
Moves: yes no unknown Date: _____ Original address _____
Alterations: yes no unknown Date: _____ Nature reroof, new windows
Additions: yes no unknown Date: _____ Nature _____
Architect (last name first): _____ Builder (last name first): _____
Ownership History (especially original owner, dates, profession, etc.) JASON T MURRAY (1983), BENNIE ROLLINS

Is the Resource Affected by a Local Preservation Ordinance? yes no unknown Describe _____

DESCRIPTION

Style Masonry Vernacular Exterior Plan Irregular Number of Stories 1
Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco 2. _____ 3. _____
Roof Type(s) 1. Hip 2. _____ 3. _____
Roof Material(s) 1. Composition shingles 2. _____ 3. _____
Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.) 1. _____ 2. _____
Windows (types, materials, etc.) SHS, vinyl, individual & paired, 1/1

Distinguishing Architectural Features (exterior or interior ornaments) quoining, minimal roof overhang with boxed rafter

Ancillary Features / Outbuildings (record outbuildings, major landscape features; use continuation sheet if needed.) _____

DHR USE ONLY		OFFICIAL EVALUATION		DHR USE ONLY	
NR List Date	SHPO – Appears to meet criteria for NR listing: <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no <input type="checkbox"/> insufficient info	Date	_____	Init.	_____
<input type="checkbox"/> Owner Objection	KEEPER – Determined eligible: <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no	Date	_____		
	NR Criteria for Evaluation: <input type="checkbox"/> a <input type="checkbox"/> b <input type="checkbox"/> c <input type="checkbox"/> d (see National Register Bulletin 15, p. 2)				

DESCRIPTION (continued)

Chimney: No. ____ Chimney Material(s): 1. _____ 2. _____
 Structural System(s): 1. Concrete block 2. _____ 3. _____
 Foundation Type(s): 1. Continuous 2. _____
 Foundation Material(s): 1. Concrete Block 2. _____

Main Entrance (stylistic details) W elev: through single storm door and panel door with fanlight beneath the principal roof overhang

Porch Descriptions (types, locations, roof types, etc.) W/ENTRANCE: open, partial-width, on a concrete stoop beneath the principal roof overhang

Condition (overall resource condition): excellent good fair deteriorated ruinous

Narrative Description of Resource The building has a minimal roof overhang with boxed rafters. The front wall has stucco quoining on the corners.

Archaeological Remains _____ Check if Archaeological Form Completed

RESEARCH METHODS (check all that apply)

FMSF record search (sites/surveys) library research building permits Sanborn maps
FL State Archives/photo collection city directory occupant/owner interview plat maps
property appraiser / tax records newspaper files neighbor interview Public Lands Survey (DEP)
cultural resource survey (CRAS) historic photos interior inspection HABS/HAER record search
other methods (describe) USDA historic aerial photographs (PALMM) & FDOT APlus aerial maps

Bibliographic References (give FMSF manuscript # if relevant, use continuation sheet if needed) Publication of Archival Library & Museum Materials (PALMM), accessible online at: <http://susdl.fcla.edu/>

OPINION OF RESOURCE SIGNIFICANCE

Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing individually? yes no insufficient information

Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing as part of a district? yes no insufficient information

Explanation of Evaluation (required, whether significant or not; use separate sheet if needed) The building is not a significant embodiment of a type, period, or method of construction. Background research did not reveal any historic associations with significant persons and/or events.

Area(s) of Historical Significance (see National Register Bulletin 15, p. 8 for categories: e.g. "architecture", "ethnic heritage", "community planning & development", etc.)

1. _____ 3. _____ 5. _____
 2. _____ 4. _____ 6. _____

DOCUMENTATION

Accessible Documentation Not Filed with the Site File - including field notes, analysis notes, photos, plans and other important documents

1) Document type All materials at one location Maintaining organization Archaeological Consultants Inc
 Document description Files, photos, research, documentation File or accession #'s P1458A
 2) Document type _____ Maintaining organization _____
 Document description _____ File or accession #'s _____

RECORDER INFORMATION

Recorder Name Kimberly M. Irby Affiliation Archaeological Consultants Inc

Recorder Contact Information 8110 Blaikie Court, Ste. A / Sarasota, FL / 34240 / aciflorida@comcast.net
 (address / phone / fax / e-mail)

Required Attachments

- ① USGS 7.5' MAP WITH STRUCTURE LOCATION PINPOINTED IN RED
- ② LARGE SCALE STREET, PLAT OR PARCEL MAP (available from most property appraiser web sites)
- ③ PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, ARCHIVAL B&W PRINT OR DIGITAL IMAGE FILE
 If submitting an image file, it must be included on disk or CD AND in hard copy format (plain paper is acceptable).
 Digital image must be at least 1600 x 1200 pixels, 24-bit color, jpeg or tiff.



PHOTOGRAPHS



AERIAL MAP





USGS St. Petersburg
Township 31 South, Range 16 East, Section 26



Original
 Update



HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM

FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE

Version 4.0 1/07

Site #8 **PI13240**
Field Date 4-12-2019
Form Date 4-25-2019
Recorder # _____

Shaded Fields represent the minimum acceptable level of documentation.
Consult the Guide to Historical Structure Forms for detailed instructions.

Site Name(s) (address if none) 1240 Fargo ST S Multiple Listing (DHR only) _____
Survey Project Name CRAS Re-evaluation I-275 Survey # (DHR only) _____
National Register Category (please check one) building structure district site object
Ownership: private-profit private-nonprofit private-individual private-nonspecific city county state federal Native American foreign unknown

LOCATION & MAPPING

Address: 1240 Fargo Street S
Street Number Direction Street Name Street Type Suffix Direction
Cross Streets (nearest / between) between 13th Ave S & 11th Ave S
USGS 7.5 Map Name ST. PETERSBURG USGS Date 1956 Plat or Other Map PB 5, pg 86
City / Town (within 3 miles) Saint Petersburg In City Limits? yes no unknown County Pinellas
Township 31S Range 16E Section 26 ¼ section: NW SW SE NE Irregular-name: _____
Tax Parcel # 26-31-16-32724-003-0160 Landgrant _____
Subdivision Name GRAND CENTRAL SUB No.1 Block 3 Lot 16
UTM Coordinates: Zone 16 17 Easting 334680 Northing 3071486
Other Coordinates: X: _____ Y: _____ Coordinate System & Datum _____
Name of Public Tract (e.g., park) _____

HISTORY

Construction Year: 1959 approximately year listed or earlier year listed or later
Original Use Private Residence (House/Cottage/Cabin) From (year): 1959 To (year): curr
Current Use _____ From (year): _____ To (year): _____
Other Use _____ From (year): _____ To (year): _____
Moves: yes no unknown Date: _____ Original address _____
Alterations: yes no unknown Date: _____ Nature reroof
Additions: yes no unknown Date: _____ Nature _____
Architect (last name first): _____ Builder (last name first): _____
Ownership History (especially original owner, dates, profession, etc.) WILLIAM DAVID JONES (2011), HELEN JONES (1988), CLARENCE TERRELL (1976), ANNIE RUTH BUSH (1971), DOROTHY DIKMAN
Is the Resource Affected by a Local Preservation Ordinance? yes no unknown Describe _____

DESCRIPTION

Style Masonry Vernacular Exterior Plan Irregular Number of Stories 1
Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Concrete block 2. Artbrick, artstone 3. _____
Roof Type(s) 1. Gable 2. _____ 3. _____
Roof Material(s) 1. Built-up 2. _____ 3. _____
Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.) 1. _____ 2. _____
Windows (types, materials, etc.) SHS, aluminum, individual, 1/1; awning, aluminum, individual, 4-stacked; jalousie, aluminum, individual, 9-stacked
Distinguishing Architectural Features (exterior or interior ornaments) artbrick partially clad on facade, roof overhang with boxed rafters, concrete sills

Ancillary Features / Outbuildings (record outbuildings, major landscape features; use continuation sheet if needed.) _____

DHR USE ONLY		OFFICIAL EVALUATION		DHR USE ONLY	
NR List Date _____	SHPO – Appears to meet criteria for NR listing: <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no <input type="checkbox"/> insufficient info	Date _____	Init. _____		
<input type="checkbox"/> Owner Objection	KEEPER – Determined eligible: <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no	Date _____			
	NR Criteria for Evaluation: <input type="checkbox"/> a <input type="checkbox"/> b <input type="checkbox"/> c <input type="checkbox"/> d (see National Register Bulletin 15, p. 2)				

DESCRIPTION (continued)

Chimney: No. ___ Chimney Material(s): 1. ___ 2. ___
Structural System(s): 1. Concrete block 2. ___ 3. ___
Foundation Type(s): 1. Slab 2. ___
Foundation Material(s): 1. Concrete, Generic 2. ___
Main Entrance (stylistic details) W elev: through a single panel door beneath a flat roof with wrought iron supports

Porch Descriptions (types, locations, roof types, etc.) W/ENTRANCE: open, partial-width, atop a concrete stoop beneath a flat roof with wrought iron supports

Condition (overall resource condition): []excellent [x]good []fair []deteriorated []ruinous

Narrative Description of Resource The building has a roof overhang with boxed rafters. The front facade is partially clad in artificial artbrick. A utility room is attached to the south elevation beneath the principal roof.

Archaeological Remains [] Check if Archaeological Form Completed

RESEARCH METHODS (check all that apply)

- [x]FMSF record search (sites/surveys) []library research []building permits []Sanborn maps
[]FL State Archives/photo collection []city directory []occupant/owner interview []plat maps
[x]property appraiser / tax records []newspaper files []neighbor interview []Public Lands Survey (DEP)
[]cultural resource survey (CRAS) []historic photos []interior inspection []HABS/HAER record search
[x]other methods (describe) USDA historic aerial photographs (PALMM) & FDOT APlus aerial maps

Bibliographic References (give FMSF manuscript # if relevant, use continuation sheet if needed) Publication of Archival Library & Museum Materials (PALMM), accessible online at: http://susdl.fcla.edu/

OPINION OF RESOURCE SIGNIFICANCE

Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing individually? []yes [x]no []insufficient information

Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing as part of a district? []yes [x]no []insufficient information

Explanation of Evaluation (required, whether significant or not; use separate sheet if needed) The building is not a significant embodiment of a type, period, or method of construction. Background research did not reveal any historic associations with significant persons and/or events.

Area(s) of Historical Significance (see National Register Bulletin 15, p. 8 for categories: e.g. "architecture", "ethnic heritage", "community planning & development", etc.)

- 1. ___ 3. ___ 5. ___
2. ___ 4. ___ 6. ___

DOCUMENTATION

Accessible Documentation Not Filed with the Site File - including field notes, analysis notes, photos, plans and other important documents

- 1) Document type All materials at one location Maintaining organization Archaeological Consultants Inc
Document description Files, photos, research, documentation File or accession #'s P1458A
2) Document type ___ Maintaining organization ___
Document description ___ File or accession #'s ___

RECORDER INFORMATION

Recorder Name Kimberly M. Irby Affiliation Archaeological Consultants Inc

Recorder Contact Information 8110 Blaikie Court, Ste. A / Sarasota, FL / 34240 / aciflorida@comcast.net
(address / phone / fax / e-mail)

Required Attachments
1 USGS 7.5' MAP WITH STRUCTURE LOCATION PINPOINTED IN RED
2 LARGE SCALE STREET, PLAT OR PARCEL MAP (available from most property appraiser web sites)
3 PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, ARCHIVAL B&W PRINT OR DIGITAL IMAGE FILE
If submitting an image file, it must be included on disk or CD AND in hard copy format (plain paper is acceptable). Digital image must be at least 1600 x 1200 pixels, 24-bit color, jpeg or tiff.



PHOTOGRAPHS



AERIAL MAP





USGS St. Petersburg
Township 31 South, Range 16 East, Section 26





HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM

FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE

Version 4.0 1/07

Site #8 **PI13241**
Field Date 4-12-2019
Form Date 4-25-2019
Recorder # _____

Original
 Update

Shaded Fields represent the minimum acceptable level of documentation.
Consult the Guide to Historical Structure Forms for detailed instructions.

Site Name(s) (address if none) 1155 32nd ST S Multiple Listing (DHR only) _____
Survey Project Name CRAS Re-evaluation I-275 Survey # (DHR only) _____
National Register Category (please check one) building structure district site object
Ownership: private-profit private-nonprofit private-individual private-nonspecific city county state federal Native American foreign unknown

LOCATION & MAPPING

Street Number 1155 Direction _____ Street Name 32nd Street Type Street Suffix Direction S
Address: _____
Cross Streets (nearest / between) SW end of 32nd Ave S
USGS 7.5 Map Name ST. PETERSBURG USGS Date 1956 Plat or Other Map PB 11, pg 71
City / Town (within 3 miles) Saint Petersburg In City Limits? yes no unknown County Pinellas
Township 31S Range 16E Section 26 ¼ section: NW SW SE NE Irregular-name: _____
Tax Parcel # 26-31-16-93996-003-0070 Landgrant _____
Subdivision Name VICK'S SUB Block 3 Lot 7
UTM Coordinates: Zone 16 17 Easting 334725 Northing 3071562
Other Coordinates: X: _____ Y: _____ Coordinate System & Datum _____
Name of Public Tract (e.g., park) _____

HISTORY

Construction Year: 1958 approximately year listed or earlier year listed or later
Original Use Private Residence (House/Cottage/Cabin) From (year): 1958 To (year): curr
Current Use _____ From (year): _____ To (year): _____
Other Use _____ From (year): _____ To (year): _____
Moves: yes no unknown Date: _____ Original address _____
Alterations: yes no unknown Date: _____ Nature reroof, new windows
Additions: yes no unknown Date: _____ Nature _____
Architect (last name first): _____ Builder (last name first): _____
Ownership History (especially original owner, dates, profession, etc.) PRECISE RENTALS LLC (2011), DAVID ARROYO (2006), TRIDENT REALTY INVESTMENTS LTD CO
Is the Resource Affected by a Local Preservation Ordinance? yes no unknown Describe _____

DESCRIPTION

Style Masonry Vernacular Exterior Plan Rectangular Number of Stories 1
Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco 2. _____ 3. _____
Roof Type(s) 1. Flat 2. _____ 3. _____
Roof Material(s) 1. Built-up 2. _____ 3. _____
Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.) 1. _____ 2. _____
Windows (types, materials, etc.) SHS, individual, 1/1

Distinguishing Architectural Features (exterior or interior ornaments) roof overhang with boxed rafters

Ancillary Features / Outbuildings (record outbuildings, major landscape features; use continuation sheet if needed.) _____

DHR USE ONLY		OFFICIAL EVALUATION	DHR USE ONLY	
NR List Date _____	SHPO – Appears to meet criteria for NR listing: <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no <input type="checkbox"/> insufficient info	Date _____	Init. _____	
<input type="checkbox"/> Owner Objection	KEEPER – Determined eligible: <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no	Date _____		
	NR Criteria for Evaluation: <input type="checkbox"/> a <input type="checkbox"/> b <input type="checkbox"/> c <input type="checkbox"/> d (see National Register Bulletin 15, p. 2)			

DESCRIPTION (continued)

Chimney: No. ____ Chimney Material(s): 1. _____ 2. _____
 Structural System(s): 1. Concrete block 2. _____ 3. _____
 Foundation Type(s): 1. Slab 2. _____
 Foundation Material(s): 1. Concrete, Generic 2. _____
 Main Entrance (stylistic details) E elev: through a single panel door beneath a flat roof overhang

Porch Descriptions (types, locations, roof types, etc.) E/ENTRANCE: open, partial-width, on a flat concrete pad beneath a flat roof overhang

Condition (overall resource condition): excellent good fair deteriorated ruinous
 Narrative Description of Resource Overall, this building is a typical example of a Masonry Vernacular style building found throughout Pinellas County.

Archaeological Remains _____ Check if Archaeological Form Completed

RESEARCH METHODS (check all that apply)

FMSF record search (sites/surveys) library research building permits Sanborn maps
FL State Archives/photo collection city directory occupant/owner interview plat maps
property appraiser / tax records newspaper files neighbor interview Public Lands Survey (DEP)
cultural resource survey (CRAS) historic photos interior inspection HABS/HAER record search
other methods (describe) USDA historic aerial photographs (PALMM) & FDOT APlus aerial maps
 Bibliographic References (give FMSF manuscript # if relevant, use continuation sheet if needed) Publication of Archival Library & Museum Materials (PALMM), accessible online at: <http://susdl.fcla.edu/>

OPINION OF RESOURCE SIGNIFICANCE

Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing individually? yes no insufficient information
 Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing as part of a district? yes no insufficient information
 Explanation of Evaluation (required, whether significant or not; use separate sheet if needed) The building is not a significant embodiment of a type, period, or method of construction. Background research did not reveal any historic associations with significant persons and/or events.
 Area(s) of Historical Significance (see National Register Bulletin 15, p. 8 for categories: e.g. "architecture", "ethnic heritage", "community planning & development", etc.)
 1. _____ 3. _____ 5. _____
 2. _____ 4. _____ 6. _____

DOCUMENTATION

Accessible Documentation Not Filed with the Site File - including field notes, analysis notes, photos, plans and other important documents
 1) Document type All materials at one location Maintaining organization Archaeological Consultants Inc
 Document description Files, photos, research, documentation File or accession #'s P1458A
 2) Document type _____ Maintaining organization _____
 Document description _____ File or accession #'s _____

RECORDER INFORMATION

Recorder Name Kimberly M. Irby Affiliation Archaeological Consultants Inc
 Recorder Contact Information 8110 Blaikie Court, Ste. A / Sarasota, FL / 34240 / aciflorida@comcast.net
 (address / phone / fax / e-mail)


<p>Required Attachments</p>	<p>1 USGS 7.5' MAP WITH STRUCTURE LOCATION PINPOINTED IN RED</p>
	<p>2 LARGE SCALE STREET, PLAT OR PARCEL MAP (available from most property appraiser web sites)</p>
	<p>3 PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, ARCHIVAL B&W PRINT OR DIGITAL IMAGE FILE If submitting an image file, it must be included on disk or CD AND in hard copy format (plain paper is acceptable). Digital image must be at least 1600 x 1200 pixels, 24-bit color, jpeg or tiff.</p>



PHOTOGRAPHS




AERIAL MAP





USGS St. Petersburg
Township 31 South, Range 16 East, Section 26



Original
 Update



HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM

FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE

Version 4.0 1/07

Site #8 **PI13242**
Field Date 4-12-2019
Form Date 4-25-2019
Recorder # _____

Shaded Fields represent the minimum acceptable level of documentation.
Consult the Guide to Historical Structure Forms for detailed instructions.

Site Name(s) (address if none) 1147 32nd ST S Multiple Listing (DHR only) _____
Survey Project Name CRAS Re-evaluation I-275 Survey # (DHR only) _____
National Register Category (please check one) building structure district site object
Ownership: private-profit private-nonprofit private-individual private-nonspecific city county state federal Native American foreign unknown

LOCATION & MAPPING

Address: 1147 32nd Street S
Street Number Direction Street Name Street Type Suffix Direction
Cross Streets (nearest / between) SW end of 32nd Ave S
USGS 7.5 Map Name ST. PETERSBURG USGS Date 1956 Plat or Other Map PB 11, pg 71
City / Town (within 3 miles) Saint Petersburg In City Limits? yes no unknown County Pinellas
Township 31S Range 16E Section 26 ¼ section: NW SW SE NE Irregular-name: _____
Tax Parcel # 26-31-16-93996-003-0060 Landgrant _____
Subdivision Name VICK'S SUB Block 3 Lot 6
UTM Coordinates: Zone 16 17 Easting 334724 Northing 3071577
Other Coordinates: X: _____ Y: _____ Coordinate System & Datum _____
Name of Public Tract (e.g., park) _____

HISTORY

Construction Year: 1958 approximately year listed or earlier year listed or later
Original Use Private Residence (House/Cottage/Cabin) From (year): 1958 To (year): curr
Current Use _____ From (year): _____ To (year): _____
Other Use _____ From (year): _____ To (year): _____
Moves: yes no unknown Date: _____ Original address _____
Alterations: yes no unknown Date: _____ Nature reroof
Additions: yes no unknown Date: _____ Nature _____
Architect (last name first): _____ Builder (last name first): _____
Ownership History (especially original owner, dates, profession, etc.) CHRISTINE FREEMAN (2019), ZYANYA INVEST INC (2011), DAVID ARROYO (2006), TRIDENT REALTY INVESTMENTS LTD CO (2006), MICHAEL R HESTER
Is the Resource Affected by a Local Preservation Ordinance? yes no unknown Describe _____

DESCRIPTION

Style Masonry Vernacular Exterior Plan Rectangular Number of Stories 1
Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco 2. _____ 3. _____
Roof Type(s) 1. Flat 2. _____ 3. _____
Roof Material(s) 1. Built-up 2. _____ 3. _____
Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.) 1. _____ 2. _____
Windows (types, materials, etc.) SHS, aluminum, individual, 1/1

Distinguishing Architectural Features (exterior or interior ornaments) roof overhang with boxed rafters

Ancillary Features / Outbuildings (record outbuildings, major landscape features; use continuation sheet if needed.) _____

DHR USE ONLY		OFFICIAL EVALUATION	DHR USE ONLY	
NR List Date	SHPO – Appears to meet criteria for NR listing: <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no <input type="checkbox"/> insufficient info	Date _____	Init. _____	
<input type="checkbox"/> Owner Objection	KEEPER – Determined eligible: <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no	Date _____		
	NR Criteria for Evaluation: <input type="checkbox"/> a <input type="checkbox"/> b <input type="checkbox"/> c <input type="checkbox"/> d (see National Register Bulletin 15, p. 2)			

DESCRIPTION (continued)

Chimney: No. ____ Chimney Material(s): 1. _____ 2. _____
 Structural System(s): 1. Concrete block 2. _____ 3. _____
 Foundation Type(s): 1. Slab 2. _____
 Foundation Material(s): 1. Concrete, Generic 2. _____
 Main Entrance (stylistic details) E elev: through a single panel door beneath a flat roof overhang

Porch Descriptions (types, locations, roof types, etc.) E/ENTRANCE: open, partial-width, on a flat concrete pad beneath a flat roof overhang

Condition (overall resource condition): excellent good fair deteriorated ruinous

Narrative Description of Resource Overall, this building is a typical example of a Masonry Vernacular style building found throughout Pinellas County.

Archaeological Remains _____ Check if Archaeological Form Completed

RESEARCH METHODS (check all that apply)

FMSF record search (sites/surveys) library research building permits Sanborn maps
 FL State Archives/photo collection city directory occupant/owner interview plat maps
 property appraiser / tax records newspaper files neighbor interview Public Lands Survey (DEP)
 cultural resource survey (CRAS) historic photos interior inspection HABS/HAER record search
 other methods (describe) USDA historic aerial photographs (PALMM) & FDOT APlus aerial maps

Bibliographic References (give FMSF manuscript # if relevant, use continuation sheet if needed) Publication of Archival Library & Museum Materials (PALMM), accessible online at: <http://susdl.fcla.edu/>

OPINION OF RESOURCE SIGNIFICANCE

Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing individually? yes no insufficient information

Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing as part of a district? yes no insufficient information

Explanation of Evaluation (required, whether significant or not; use separate sheet if needed) The building is not a significant embodiment of a type, period, or method of construction. Background research did not reveal any historic associations with significant persons and/or events.

Area(s) of Historical Significance (see National Register Bulletin 15, p. 8 for categories: e.g. "architecture", "ethnic heritage", "community planning & development", etc.)

1. _____ 3. _____ 5. _____
 2. _____ 4. _____ 6. _____

DOCUMENTATION

Accessible Documentation Not Filed with the Site File - including field notes, analysis notes, photos, plans and other important documents

1) Document type All materials at one location Maintaining organization Archaeological Consultants Inc
 Document description Files, photos, research, documentation File or accession #'s P1458A
 2) Document type _____ Maintaining organization _____
 Document description _____ File or accession #'s _____

RECORDER INFORMATION

Recorder Name Kimberly M. Irby Affiliation Archaeological Consultants Inc
 Recorder Contact Information 8110 Blaikie Court, Ste. A / Sarasota, FL / 34240 / aciflorida@comcast.net
 (address / phone / fax / e-mail)

Required Attachments

- ① USGS 7.5' MAP WITH STRUCTURE LOCATION PINPOINTED IN RED
- ② LARGE SCALE STREET, PLAT OR PARCEL MAP (available from most property appraiser web sites)
- ③ PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, ARCHIVAL B&W PRINT OR DIGITAL IMAGE FILE
 If submitting an image file, it must be included on disk or CD AND in hard copy format (plain paper is acceptable).
 Digital image must be at least 1600 x 1200 pixels, 24-bit color, jpeg or tiff.



PHOTOGRAPH



AERIAL MAP





USGS St. Petersburg
Township 31 South, Range 16 East, Section 26



Original
 Update



HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM

FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE

Version 4.0 1/07

Site #8 **PI13243**
Field Date 4-12-2019
Form Date 4-26-2019
Recorder # _____

Shaded Fields represent the minimum acceptable level of documentation.
Consult the Guide to Historical Structure Forms for detailed instructions.

Site Name(s) (address if none) 2435 46th Avenue N Multiple Listing (DHR only) _____
Survey Project Name CRAS Re-evaluation I-275 Survey # (DHR only) _____
National Register Category (please check one) building structure district site object
Ownership: private-profit private-nonprofit private-individual private-nonspecific city county state federal Native American foreign unknown

LOCATION & MAPPING

Address: 2435 46th Avenue N
Street Number Direction Street Name Street Type Suffix Direction
Cross Streets (nearest/between) NW intersection of 46th Ave N & Xenia St N
USGS 7.5 Map Name ST. PETERSBURG USGS Date 1956 Plat or Other Map PB 25, pg 46
City / Town (within 3 miles) Saint Petersburg In City Limits? yes no unknown County Pinellas
Township 31S Range 16E Section 2 ¼ section: NW SW SE NE Irregular-name: _____
Tax Parcel # 26-31-02-38502-000-0080 Landgrant _____
Subdivision Name HEWITT'S LAKESIDE Block _____ Lot 8-9
UTM Coordinates: Zone 16 17 Easting 335884 Northing 3077713
Other Coordinates: X: _____ Y: _____ Coordinate System & Datum _____
Name of Public Tract (e.g., park) _____

HISTORY

Construction Year: 1952 approximately year listed or earlier year listed or later
Original Use Private Residence (House/Cottage/Cabin) From (year): 1952 To (year): curr
Current Use _____ From (year): _____ To (year): _____
Other Use _____ From (year): _____ To (year): _____
Moves: yes no unknown Date: _____ Original address _____
Alterations: yes no unknown Date: _____ Nature reroof, enclosed window
Additions: yes no unknown Date: _____ Nature attached carport, roof lines, porch
Architect (last name first): _____ Builder (last name first): _____
Ownership History (especially original owner, dates, profession, etc.) JESSICA K SELDIN (2002), FRANCIS E DEWITT

Is the Resource Affected by a Local Preservation Ordinance? yes no unknown Describe _____

DESCRIPTION

Style Masonry Vernacular Exterior Plan Irregular Number of Stories 1
Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Concrete block 2. Board and batten 3.
Roof Type(s) 1. Shed 2. Flat 3.
Roof Material(s) 1. Built-up 2. Sheet metal:standing seam 3.
Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.) 1. 2.
Windows (types, materials, etc.) SHS, individual & paired, 1/1, 6/6; awning, aluminum, individual & paired, 3- & 4-
stacked; all windows protected with security grilles
Distinguishing Architectural Features (exterior or interior ornaments) board & batten beneath shed roof overhang, security grilles
on windows

Ancillary Features / Outbuildings (record outbuildings, major landscape features; use continuation sheet if needed.) _____

DHR USE ONLY		OFFICIAL EVALUATION	DHR USE ONLY	
NR List Date	SHPO – Appears to meet criteria for NR listing: <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no <input type="checkbox"/> insufficient info	Date _____	Init. _____	
<input type="checkbox"/> Owner Objection	KEEPER – Determined eligible: <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no	Date _____		
	NR Criteria for Evaluation: <input type="checkbox"/> a <input type="checkbox"/> b <input type="checkbox"/> c <input type="checkbox"/> d (see National Register Bulletin 15, p. 2)			

DESCRIPTION (continued)

Chimney: No. ____ Chimney Material(s): 1. _____ 2. _____
 Structural System(s): 1. Concrete block 2. _____ 3. _____
 Foundation Type(s): 1. Continuous 2. _____
 Foundation Material(s): 1. Concrete Block 2. _____
 Main Entrance (stylistic details) E elev: through a single panel door within attached carport beneath a flat roof

Porch Descriptions (types, locations, roof types, etc.) S elev: two open, partial-width beneath a flat roof; W elev: enclosed, partial-width beneath a flat roof

Condition (overall resource condition): excellent good fair deteriorated ruinous

Narrative Description of Resource The building has an attached carport beneath a flat roof with a concrete block square column and a metal shed roof with metal supports was added to the carport entrance. A second metal carport with flat roof was added to the north elevation.

Archaeological Remains _____ Check if Archaeological Form Completed

RESEARCH METHODS (check all that apply)

FMSF record search (sites/surveys) library research building permits Sanborn maps
FL State Archives/photo collection city directory occupant/owner interview plat maps
property appraiser / tax records newspaper files neighbor interview Public Lands Survey (DEP)
cultural resource survey (CRAS) historic photos interior inspection HABS/HAER record search
other methods (describe) USDA historic aerial photographs (PALMM) & FDOT APlus aerial maps

Bibliographic References (give FMSF manuscript # if relevant, use continuation sheet if needed) Publication of Archival Library & Museum Materials (PALMM), accessible online at: <http://susdl.fcla.edu/>

OPINION OF RESOURCE SIGNIFICANCE

Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing individually? yes no insufficient information

Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing as part of a district? yes no insufficient information

Explanation of Evaluation (required, whether significant or not; use separate sheet if needed) The building is not a significant embodiment of a type, period, or method of construction. Background research did not reveal any historic associations with significant persons and/or events.

Area(s) of Historical Significance (see National Register Bulletin 15, p. 8 for categories: e.g. "architecture", "ethnic heritage", "community planning & development", etc.)

1. _____ 3. _____ 5. _____
 2. _____ 4. _____ 6. _____

DOCUMENTATION

Accessible Documentation Not Filed with the Site File - including field notes, analysis notes, photos, plans and other important documents

1) Document type All materials at one location Maintaining organization Archaeological Consultants Inc
 Document description Files, photos, research, documentation File or accession #'s P1458A
 2) Document type _____ Maintaining organization _____
 Document description _____ File or accession #'s _____

RECORDER INFORMATION

Recorder Name Kimberly M. Irby Affiliation Archaeological Consultants Inc

Recorder Contact Information 8110 Blaikie Court, Ste. A / Sarasota, FL / 34240 / aciflorida@comcast.net
 (address / phone / fax / e-mail)

Required Attachments


- ① USGS 7.5' MAP WITH STRUCTURE LOCATION PINPOINTED IN RED
- ② LARGE SCALE STREET, PLAT OR PARCEL MAP (available from most property appraiser web sites)
- ③ PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, ARCHIVAL B&W PRINT OR DIGITAL IMAGE FILE
 If submitting an image file, it must be included on disk or CD AND in hard copy format (plain paper is acceptable).
 Digital image must be at least 1600 x 1200 pixels, 24-bit color, jpeg or tiff.



PHOTOGRAPHS



AERIAL MAP





USGS St. Petersburg
Township 31 South, Range 16 East, Section 2



Original
 Update



HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM

FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE

Version 4.0 1/07

Site #8 **PI13244**
Field Date 4-12-2019
Form Date 4-26-2019
Recorder # _____

Shaded Fields represent the minimum acceptable level of documentation.
Consult the Guide to Historical Structure Forms for detailed instructions.

Site Name(s) (address if none) 4600 Xenia Street N Multiple Listing (DHR only) _____
Survey Project Name CRAS Re-evaluation I-275 Survey # (DHR only) _____
National Register Category (please check one) building structure district site object
Ownership: private-profit private-nonprofit private-individual private-nonspecific city county state federal Native American foreign unknown

LOCATION & MAPPING

Street Number 4600 Direction _____ Street Name Xenia Street Type Street Suffix Direction N
Address: _____
Cross Streets (nearest / between) between 46th Ave N & dead end on Xenia St N
USGS 7.5 Map Name ST. PETERSBURG USGS Date 1956 Plat or Other Map PB 25, pg 46
City / Town (within 3 miles) Saint Petersburg In City Limits? yes no unknown County Pinellas
Township 31S Range 16E Section 2 ¼ section: NW SW SE NE Irregular-name: _____
Tax Parcel # 26-31-02-38502-000-0090 Landgrant _____
Subdivision Name HEWITT'S LAKESIDE Block _____ Lot 9
UTM Coordinates: Zone 16 17 Easting 335894 Northing 3077728
Other Coordinates: X: _____ Y: _____ Coordinate System & Datum _____
Name of Public Tract (e.g., park) _____

HISTORY

Construction Year: 1953 approximately year listed or earlier year listed or later
Original Use Private Residence (House/Cottage/Cabin) From (year): 1953 To (year): curr
Current Use _____ From (year): _____ To (year): _____
Other Use _____ From (year): _____ To (year): _____
Moves: yes no unknown Date: _____ Original address _____
Alterations: yes no unknown Date: _____ Nature reroof, enclosed carport, new windows
Additions: yes no unknown Date: _____ Nature west elevation
Architect (last name first): _____ Builder (last name first): _____
Ownership History (especially original owner, dates, profession, etc.) MICHAEL CORSON (2017), ROBERT A HAVENER (2003), JUDSON P ROSS (2001), RICHARD A PERSECHINO TR (1998), LILLIAN M BROWN
Is the Resource Affected by a Local Preservation Ordinance? yes no unknown Describe _____

DESCRIPTION

Style Masonry Vernacular Exterior Plan Irregular Number of Stories 1
Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco 2. _____ 3. _____
Roof Type(s) 1. Flat 2. Gable 3. _____
Roof Material(s) 1. Built-up 2. Composition shingles 3. _____
Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.) 1. _____ 2. _____
Windows (types, materials, etc.) SHS, individual, 6/6; fixed
Distinguishing Architectural Features (exterior or interior ornaments) broad flat roof overhang
Ancillary Features / Outbuildings (record outbuildings, major landscape features; use continuation sheet if needed.) _____

DHR USE ONLY		OFFICIAL EVALUATION	DHR USE ONLY	
NR List Date _____	SHPO – Appears to meet criteria for NR listing: <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no <input type="checkbox"/> insufficient info	Date _____	Init. _____	
<input type="checkbox"/> Owner Objection	KEEPER – Determined eligible: <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no	Date _____		
	NR Criteria for Evaluation: <input type="checkbox"/> a <input type="checkbox"/> b <input type="checkbox"/> c <input type="checkbox"/> d (see National Register Bulletin 15, p. 2)			

DESCRIPTION (continued)

Chimney: No. ___ Chimney Material(s): 1. ___ 2. ___
Structural System(s): 1. Concrete block 2. ___ 3. ___
Foundation Type(s): 1. Continuous 2. ___
Foundation Material(s): 1. Concrete Block 2. ___
Main Entrance (stylistic details) E elev: through a single panel door with one-light beneath the principal flat roof overhang.
Porch Descriptions (types, locations, roof types, etc.)

Condition (overall resource condition): [] excellent [x] good [] fair [] deteriorated [] ruinous
Narrative Description of Resource The attached carport beneath a flat roof has been enclosed to create additional living space.

Archaeological Remains [] Check if Archaeological Form Completed

RESEARCH METHODS (check all that apply)

[x] FMSF record search (sites/surveys) [] library research [] building permits [] Sanborn maps
[] FL State Archives/photo collection [] city directory [] occupant/owner interview [] plat maps
[x] property appraiser / tax records [] newspaper files [] neighbor interview [] Public Lands Survey (DEP)
[] cultural resource survey (CRAS) [] historic photos [] interior inspection [] HABS/HAER record search
[x] other methods (describe) USDA historic aerial photographs (PALMM) & FDOT APlus aerial maps
Bibliographic References (give FMSF manuscript # if relevant, use continuation sheet if needed) Publication of Archival Library & Museum Materials (PALMM), accessible online at: http://susdl.fcla.edu/

OPINION OF RESOURCE SIGNIFICANCE

Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing individually? [] yes [x] no [] insufficient information
Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing as part of a district? [] yes [x] no [] insufficient information
Explanation of Evaluation (required, whether significant or not; use separate sheet if needed) The building is not a significant embodiment of a type, period, or method of construction. Background research did not reveal any historic associations with significant persons and/or events.
Area(s) of Historical Significance (see National Register Bulletin 15, p. 8 for categories: e.g. "architecture", "ethnic heritage", "community planning & development", etc.)
1. ___ 3. ___ 5. ___
2. ___ 4. ___ 6. ___

DOCUMENTATION

Accessible Documentation Not Filed with the Site File - including field notes, analysis notes, photos, plans and other important documents
1) Document type All materials at one location Maintaining organization Archaeological Consultants Inc
Document description Files, photos, research, documentation File or accession #'s P1458A
2) Document type ___ Maintaining organization ___
Document description ___ File or accession #'s ___

RECORDER INFORMATION

Recorder Name Kimberly M. Irby Affiliation Archaeological Consultants Inc
Recorder Contact Information 8110 Blaikie Court, Ste. A / Sarasota, FL / 34240 / aciflorida@comcast.net
(address / phone / fax / e-mail)

Required Attachments
1 USGS 7.5' MAP WITH STRUCTURE LOCATION PINPOINTED IN RED
2 LARGE SCALE STREET, PLAT OR PARCEL MAP (available from most property appraiser web sites)
3 PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, ARCHIVAL B&W PRINT OR DIGITAL IMAGE FILE
If submitting an image file, it must be included on disk or CD AND in hard copy format (plain paper is acceptable). Digital image must be at least 1600 x 1200 pixels, 24-bit color, jpeg or tiff.



PHOTOGRAPHS



AERIAL MAP





USGS St. Petersburg
Township 31 South, Range 16 East, Section 2



Original
 Update



HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM

FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE

Version 4.0 1/07

Site #8 **PI13245**
Field Date 4-12-2019
Form Date 4-26-2019
Recorder # _____

Shaded Fields represent the minimum acceptable level of documentation.
Consult the Guide to Historical Structure Forms for detailed instructions.

Site Name(s) (address if none) 4610 Xenia Street N Multiple Listing (DHR only) _____
Survey Project Name CRAS Re-evaluation I-275 Survey # (DHR only) _____
National Register Category (please check one) building structure district site object
Ownership: private-profit private-nonprofit private-individual private-nonspecific city county state federal Native American foreign unknown

LOCATION & MAPPING

Address: 4610 Street Number Direction Xenia Street Name Street Type Street Suffix Direction N
Cross Streets (nearest / between) between 46th Ave N & dead end on Xenia St N
USGS 7.5 Map Name ST. PETERSBURG USGS Date 1956 Plat or Other Map PB 25, pg 46
City / Town (within 3 miles) Saint Petersburg In City Limits? yes no unknown County Pinellas
Township 31S Range 16E Section 2 ¼ section: NW SW SE NE Irregular-name: _____
Tax Parcel # 26-31-02-38502-000-0100 Landgrant _____
Subdivision Name HEWITT'S LAKESIDE Block _____ Lot 10
UTM Coordinates: Zone 16 17 Easting 335887 Northing 3077749
Other Coordinates: X: _____ Y: _____ Coordinate System & Datum _____
Name of Public Tract (e.g., park) _____

HISTORY

Construction Year: 1953 approximately year listed or earlier year listed or later
Original Use Private Residence (House/Cottage/Cabin) From (year): 1953 To (year): curr
Current Use _____ From (year): _____ To (year): _____
Other Use _____ From (year): _____ To (year): _____
Moves: yes no unknown Date: _____ Original address _____
Alterations: yes no unknown Date: _____ Nature reroof
Additions: yes no unknown Date: _____ Nature _____
Architect (last name first): _____ Builder (last name first): _____
Ownership History (especially original owner, dates, profession, etc.) TONY DAVENPORT (2011), DOMINIC C ASTARITA (2003), KENNETH W PSCHORR (1985), DALE E THOMPSON (1980), KARL E OTT (1975), MARY VANDENBOSCH
Is the Resource Affected by a Local Preservation Ordinance? yes no unknown Describe _____

DESCRIPTION

Style Masonry Vernacular Exterior Plan Rectangular Number of Stories 1
Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco 2. Wood siding 3. _____
Roof Type(s) 1. Hip 2. _____ 3. _____
Roof Material(s) 1. Composition shingles 2. _____ 3. _____
Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.) 1. _____ 2. _____
Windows (types, materials, etc.) Picture - central pane flanked by paired 4-stacked casement; SHS, individual, 1/1

Distinguishing Architectural Features (exterior or interior ornaments) roof overhang, picture window units, concrete sills

Ancillary Features / Outbuildings (record outbuildings, major landscape features; use continuation sheet if needed.) _____

DHR USE ONLY		OFFICIAL EVALUATION	DHR USE ONLY	
NR List Date	SHPO – Appears to meet criteria for NR listing: <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no <input type="checkbox"/> insufficient info	Date _____	Init. _____	
<input type="checkbox"/> Owner Objection	KEEPER – Determined eligible: <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no	Date _____		
	NR Criteria for Evaluation: <input type="checkbox"/> a <input type="checkbox"/> b <input type="checkbox"/> c <input type="checkbox"/> d (see National Register Bulletin 15, p. 2)			

DESCRIPTION (continued)

Chimney: No. ___ Chimney Material(s): 1. ___ 2. ___
Structural System(s): 1. Concrete block 2. ___ 3. ___
Foundation Type(s): 1. Slab 2. ___
Foundation Material(s): 1. Concrete, Generic 2. ___
Main Entrance (stylistic details) E elev: through a single panel door beneath a hip roof.

Porch Descriptions (types, locations, roof types, etc.) E/ENTRANCE: enclosed, partial-width beneath a hip roof

Condition (overall resource condition): []excellent [x]good []fair []deteriorated []ruinous

Narrative Description of Resource The building is a typical example of a Masonry Vernacular style building found throughout Pinellas County and is not a significant embodiment of a type, period, or method of construction.

Archaeological Remains [] Check if Archaeological Form Completed

RESEARCH METHODS (check all that apply)

- [x] FMSF record search (sites/surveys) [] library research [] building permits [] Sanborn maps
[] FL State Archives/photo collection [] city directory [] occupant/owner interview [] plat maps
[x] property appraiser / tax records [] newspaper files [] neighbor interview [] Public Lands Survey (DEP)
[] cultural resource survey (CRAS) [] historic photos [] interior inspection [] HABS/HAER record search
[x] other methods (describe) USDA historic aerial photographs (PALMM) & FDOT APlus aerial maps

Bibliographic References (give FMSF manuscript # if relevant, use continuation sheet if needed) Publication of Archival Library & Museum Materials (PALMM), accessible online at: http://susdl.fcla.edu/

OPINION OF RESOURCE SIGNIFICANCE

Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing individually? []yes [x]no []insufficient information

Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing as part of a district? []yes [x]no []insufficient information

Explanation of Evaluation (required, whether significant or not; use separate sheet if needed) The building is not a significant embodiment of a type, period, or method of construction. Background research did not reveal any historic associations with significant persons and/or events.

Area(s) of Historical Significance (see National Register Bulletin 15, p. 8 for categories: e.g. "architecture", "ethnic heritage", "community planning & development", etc.)

- 1. ___ 3. ___ 5. ___
2. ___ 4. ___ 6. ___

DOCUMENTATION

Accessible Documentation Not Filed with the Site File - including field notes, analysis notes, photos, plans and other important documents

- 1) Document type All materials at one location Maintaining organization Archaeological Consultants Inc
Document description Files, photos, research, documentation File or accession #'s P1458A
2) Document type ___ Maintaining organization ___
Document description ___ File or accession #'s ___

RECORDER INFORMATION

Recorder Name Kimberly M. Irby Affiliation Archaeological Consultants Inc

Recorder Contact Information 8110 Blaikie Court, Ste. A / Sarasota, FL / 34240 / aciflorida@comcast.net
(address / phone / fax / e-mail)

Required Attachments
1 USGS 7.5' MAP WITH STRUCTURE LOCATION PINPOINTED IN RED
2 LARGE SCALE STREET, PLAT OR PARCEL MAP (available from most property appraiser web sites)
3 PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, ARCHIVAL B&W PRINT OR DIGITAL IMAGE FILE
If submitting an image file, it must be included on disk or CD AND in hard copy format (plain paper is acceptable). Digital image must be at least 1600 x 1200 pixels, 24-bit color, jpeg or tiff.



PHOTOGRAPHS



AERIAL MAP





USGS St. Petersburg
Township 31 South, Range 16 East, Section 2



Original
 Update



HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM

FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE

Version 4.0 1/07

Site #8 **PI13246**
Field Date 4-12-2019
Form Date 4-26-2019
Recorder # _____

Shaded Fields represent the minimum acceptable level of documentation.
Consult the Guide to Historical Structure Forms for detailed instructions.

Site Name(s) (address if none) 4614 Xenia Street N Multiple Listing (DHR only) _____
Survey Project Name CRAS Re-evaluation I-275 Survey # (DHR only) _____
National Register Category (please check one) building structure district site object
Ownership: private-profit private-nonprofit private-individual private-nonspecific city county state federal Native American foreign unknown

LOCATION & MAPPING

Address: 4614 Xenia Street N
Street Number Direction Street Name Street Type Suffix Direction
Cross Streets (nearest / between) between 46th Ave N & dead end on Xenia St N
USGS 7.5 Map Name ST. PETERSBURG USGS Date 1956 Plat or Other Map PB 25, pg 46
City / Town (within 3 miles) Saint Petersburg In City Limits? yes no unknown County Pinellas
Township 31S Range 16E Section 2 ¼ section: NW SW SE NE Irregular-name: _____
Tax Parcel # 26-31-02-38502-000-0110 Landgrant _____
Subdivision Name HEWITT'S LAKESIDE Block _____ Lot 11
UTM Coordinates: Zone 16 17 Easting 335889 Northing 3077767
Other Coordinates: X: _____ Y: _____ Coordinate System & Datum _____
Name of Public Tract (e.g., park) _____

HISTORY

Construction Year: 1959 approximately year listed or earlier year listed or later
Original Use Private Residence (House/Cottage/Cabin) From (year): 1959 To (year): curr
Current Use _____ From (year): _____ To (year): _____
Other Use _____ From (year): _____ To (year): _____
Moves: yes no unknown Date: _____ Original address _____
Alterations: yes no unknown Date: _____ Nature reroof
Additions: yes no unknown Date: _____ Nature _____
Architect (last name first): _____ Builder (last name first): _____
Ownership History (especially original owner, dates, profession, etc.) CRAIG H TYLER (2013), DOMINIC C ASTARITA (2007), WILLIAM G TIDWELL (1996), KENNETH THAYER (1977), MARY VANDENBOSCH
Is the Resource Affected by a Local Preservation Ordinance? yes no unknown Describe _____

DESCRIPTION

Style Masonry Vernacular Exterior Plan Rectangular Number of Stories 1
Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Concrete block 2. _____ 3. _____
Roof Type(s) 1. Gable 2. _____ 3. _____
Roof Material(s) 1. Composition shingles 2. _____ 3. _____
Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.) 1. Shed extension 2. _____
Windows (types, materials, etc.) awning, aluminum, individual, 3-stacked
Distinguishing Architectural Features (exterior or interior ornaments) roof overhang, concrete sills

Ancillary Features / Outbuildings (record outbuildings, major landscape features; use continuation sheet if needed.) _____

DHR USE ONLY		OFFICIAL EVALUATION		DHR USE ONLY	
NR List Date	SHPO – Appears to meet criteria for NR listing: <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no <input type="checkbox"/> insufficient info	Date	_____	Init.	_____
<input type="checkbox"/> Owner Objection	KEEPER – Determined eligible: <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no	Date	_____		
	NR Criteria for Evaluation: <input type="checkbox"/> a <input type="checkbox"/> b <input type="checkbox"/> c <input type="checkbox"/> d (see National Register Bulletin 15, p. 2)				

DESCRIPTION (continued)

Chimney: No. ___ Chimney Material(s): 1. ___ 2. ___
Structural System(s): 1. Concrete block 2. ___ 3. ___
Foundation Type(s): 1. Slab 2. ___
Foundation Material(s): 1. Concrete, Generic 2. ___
Main Entrance (stylistic details) E elev: through a single panel door with 9-light beneath shed roof extension

Porch Descriptions (types, locations, roof types, etc.)

Condition (overall resource condition): [] excellent [x] good [] fair [] deteriorated [] ruinous

Narrative Description of Resource The building has an attached garage beneath the principal roof on the north elevation. The main entrance is on the east elevation beneath a shed roof extension with wood post supports.

Archaeological Remains [] Check if Archaeological Form Completed

RESEARCH METHODS (check all that apply)

- [x] FMSF record search (sites/surveys) [] library research [] building permits [] Sanborn maps
[] FL State Archives/photo collection [] city directory [] occupant/owner interview [] plat maps
[x] property appraiser / tax records [] newspaper files [] neighbor interview [] Public Lands Survey (DEP)
[] cultural resource survey (CRAS) [] historic photos [] interior inspection [] HABS/HAER record search
[x] other methods (describe) USDA historic aerial photographs (PALMM) & FDOT APlus aerial maps

Bibliographic References (give FMSF manuscript # if relevant, use continuation sheet if needed) Publication of Archival Library & Museum Materials (PALMM), accessible online at: http://susdl.fcla.edu/

OPINION OF RESOURCE SIGNIFICANCE

Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing individually? [] yes [x] no [] insufficient information

Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing as part of a district? [] yes [x] no [] insufficient information

Explanation of Evaluation (required, whether significant or not; use separate sheet if needed) The building is not a significant embodiment of a type, period, or method of construction. Background research did not reveal any historic associations with significant persons and/or events.

Area(s) of Historical Significance (see National Register Bulletin 15, p. 8 for categories: e.g. "architecture", "ethnic heritage", "community planning & development", etc.)

- 1. ___ 3. ___ 5. ___
2. ___ 4. ___ 6. ___

DOCUMENTATION

Accessible Documentation Not Filed with the Site File - including field notes, analysis notes, photos, plans and other important documents

- 1) Document type All materials at one location Maintaining organization Archaeological Consultants Inc
Document description Files, photos, research, documentation File or accession #'s P1458A
2) Document type ___ Maintaining organization ___
Document description ___ File or accession #'s ___

RECORDER INFORMATION

Recorder Name Kimberly M. Irby Affiliation Archaeological Consultants Inc

Recorder Contact Information 8110 Blaikie Court, Ste. A / Sarasota, FL / 34240 / aciflorida@comcast.net
(address / phone / fax / e-mail)

Required Attachments
1 USGS 7.5' MAP WITH STRUCTURE LOCATION PINPOINTED IN RED
2 LARGE SCALE STREET, PLAT OR PARCEL MAP (available from most property appraiser web sites)
3 PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, ARCHIVAL B&W PRINT OR DIGITAL IMAGE FILE
If submitting an image file, it must be included on disk or CD AND in hard copy format (plain paper is acceptable). Digital image must be at least 1600 x 1200 pixels, 24-bit color, jpeg or tiff.



PHOTOGRAPH



AERIAL MAP





USGS St. Petersburg
Township 31 South, Range 16 East, Section 2





HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM

FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE

Version 4.0 1/07

Site #8 **PI13247**
Field Date 4-12-2019
Form Date 4-26-2019
Recorder # _____

Original
 Update

Shaded Fields represent the minimum acceptable level of documentation.
Consult the Guide to Historical Structure Forms for detailed instructions.

Site Name(s) (address if none) 4620 Xenia Street N Multiple Listing (DHR only) _____
Survey Project Name CRAS Re-evaluation I-275 Survey # (DHR only) _____
National Register Category (please check one) building structure district site object
Ownership: private-profit private-nonprofit private-individual private-nonspecific city county state federal Native American foreign unknown

LOCATION & MAPPING

Address: 4620 Xenia Street N
Street Number Direction Street Name Street Type Suffix Direction
Cross Streets (nearest / between) between 46th Ave N & dead end on Xenia St N
USGS 7.5 Map Name ST. PETERSBURG USGS Date 1956 Plat or Other Map PB 25, pg 46
City / Town (within 3 miles) Saint Petersburg In City Limits? yes no unknown County Pinellas
Township 31S Range 16E Section 2 ¼ section: NW SW SE NE Irregular-name: _____
Tax Parcel # 26-31-02-38502-000-0120 Landgrant _____
Subdivision Name HEWITT'S LAKESIDE Block _____ Lot 12
UTM Coordinates: Zone 16 17 Easting 335887 Northing 3077787
Other Coordinates: X: _____ Y: _____ Coordinate System & Datum _____
Name of Public Tract (e.g., park) _____

HISTORY

Construction Year: 1957 approximately year listed or earlier year listed or later
Original Use Private Residence (House/Cottage/Cabin) From (year): 1957 To (year): curr
Current Use _____ From (year): _____ To (year): _____
Other Use _____ From (year): _____ To (year): _____
Moves: yes no unknown Date: _____ Original address _____
Alterations: yes no unknown Date: _____ Nature reroof, new windows
Additions: yes no unknown Date: _____ Nature _____
Architect (last name first): _____ Builder (last name first): _____
Ownership History (especially original owner, dates, profession, etc.) TAN NGUYEN (2005), EDWARD GREGORY WASMUND (2002), RICK W BEARDSLEY JR (1999), WESLEY H TUGGEY (1989), LILLIAN L MARTIN (1979), HAROLD L HOLLEMBEAK
Is the Resource Affected by a Local Preservation Ordinance? yes no unknown Describe _____

DESCRIPTION

Style Masonry Vernacular Exterior Plan Rectangular Number of Stories 1
Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Concrete block 2. _____ 3. _____
Roof Type(s) 1. Gable 2. _____ 3. _____
Roof Material(s) 1. Composition shingles 2. _____ 3. _____
Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.) 1. _____ 2. _____
Windows (types, materials, etc.) SHS, individual, 1/1

Distinguishing Architectural Features (exterior or interior ornaments) roof overhang, concrete sills, crawl space vents

Ancillary Features / Outbuildings (record outbuildings, major landscape features; use continuation sheet if needed.) A 1975 concrete block shed is located on the east elevation.

DHR USE ONLY		OFFICIAL EVALUATION		DHR USE ONLY	
NR List Date	SHPO – Appears to meet criteria for NR listing: <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no <input type="checkbox"/> insufficient info	Date	_____	Init.	_____
<input type="checkbox"/> Owner Objection	KEEPER – Determined eligible: <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no	Date	_____		
	NR Criteria for Evaluation: <input type="checkbox"/> a <input type="checkbox"/> b <input type="checkbox"/> c <input type="checkbox"/> d (see National Register Bulletin 15, p. 2)				

DESCRIPTION (continued)

Chimney: No. ___ Chimney Material(s): 1. ___ 2. ___
Structural System(s): 1. Concrete block 2. ___ 3. ___
Foundation Type(s): 1. Continuous 2. ___
Foundation Material(s): 1. Concrete Block 2. ___
Main Entrance (stylistic details) E elev: through a single door within an attached carport beneath the principal roof

Porch Descriptions (types, locations, roof types, etc.)

Condition (overall resource condition): [] excellent [x] good [] fair [] deteriorated [] ruinous

Narrative Description of Resource The building has an attached carport beneath the principal roof on the north elevation. Overall, the building is a typical example of a Masonry Vernacular style building found throughout Pinellas County

Archaeological Remains [] Check if Archaeological Form Completed

RESEARCH METHODS (check all that apply)

- [x] FMSF record search (sites/surveys) [] library research [] building permits [] Sanborn maps
[] FL State Archives/photo collection [] city directory [] occupant/owner interview [] plat maps
[x] property appraiser / tax records [] newspaper files [] neighbor interview [] Public Lands Survey (DEP)
[] cultural resource survey (CRAS) [] historic photos [] interior inspection [] HABS/HAER record search
[x] other methods (describe) USDA historic aerial photographs (PALMM) & FDOT APlus aerial maps

Bibliographic References (give FMSF manuscript # if relevant, use continuation sheet if needed) Publication of Archival Library & Museum Materials (PALMM), accessible online at: http://susdl.fcla.edu/

OPINION OF RESOURCE SIGNIFICANCE

Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing individually? [] yes [x] no [] insufficient information

Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing as part of a district? [] yes [x] no [] insufficient information

Explanation of Evaluation (required, whether significant or not; use separate sheet if needed) The building is not a significant embodiment of a type, period, or method of construction. Background research did not reveal any historic associations with significant persons and/or events.

Area(s) of Historical Significance (see National Register Bulletin 15, p. 8 for categories: e.g. "architecture", "ethnic heritage", "community planning & development", etc.)

- 1. ___ 3. ___ 5. ___
2. ___ 4. ___ 6. ___

DOCUMENTATION

Accessible Documentation Not Filed with the Site File - including field notes, analysis notes, photos, plans and other important documents

- 1) Document type All materials at one location Maintaining organization Archaeological Consultants Inc
Document description Files, photos, research, documentation File or accession #'s P1458A
2) Document type ___ Maintaining organization ___
Document description ___ File or accession #'s ___

RECORDER INFORMATION

Recorder Name Kimberly M. Irby Affiliation Archaeological Consultants Inc

Recorder Contact Information 8110 Blaikie Court, Ste. A / Sarasota, FL / 34240 / aciflorida@comcast.net
(address / phone / fax / e-mail)

Required Attachments
1 USGS 7.5' MAP WITH STRUCTURE LOCATION PINPOINTED IN RED
2 LARGE SCALE STREET, PLAT OR PARCEL MAP (available from most property appraiser web sites)
3 PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, ARCHIVAL B&W PRINT OR DIGITAL IMAGE FILE
If submitting an image file, it must be included on disk or CD AND in hard copy format (plain paper is acceptable). Digital image must be at least 1600 x 1200 pixels, 24-bit color, jpeg or tiff.



PHOTOGRAPH



AERIAL MAP





USGS St. Petersburg
Township 31 South, Range 16 East, Section 2





HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM

FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE

Version 4.0 1/07

Site #8 **PI13248**
Field Date 4-12-2019
Form Date 4-26-2019
Recorder # _____

Original
 Update

Shaded Fields represent the minimum acceptable level of documentation.
Consult the Guide to Historical Structure Forms for detailed instructions.

Site Name(s) (address if none) 4628 Xenia Street N Multiple Listing (DHR only) _____
Survey Project Name CRAS Re-evaluation I-275 Survey # (DHR only) _____
National Register Category (please check one) building structure district site object
Ownership: private-profit private-nonprofit private-individual private-nonspecific city county state federal Native American foreign unknown

LOCATION & MAPPING

Address: 4628 Xenia Street N
Street Number Direction Street Name Street Type Suffix Direction
Cross Streets (nearest / between) between 46th Ave N & dead end on Xenia St N
USGS 7.5 Map Name ST. PETERSBURG USGS Date 1956 Plat or Other Map PB 25, pg 46
City / Town (within 3 miles) Saint Petersburg In City Limits? yes no unknown County Pinellas
Township 31S Range 16E Section 2 ¼ section: NW SW SE NE Irregular-name: _____
Tax Parcel # 26-31-02-38502-000-0130 Landgrant _____
Subdivision Name HEWITT'S LAKESIDE Block _____ Lot 13-14
UTM Coordinates: Zone 16 17 Easting 335888 Northing 3077809
Other Coordinates: X: _____ Y: _____ Coordinate System & Datum _____
Name of Public Tract (e.g., park) _____

HISTORY

Construction Year: 1950 approximately year listed or earlier year listed or later
Original Use Private Residence (House/Cottage/Cabin) From (year): 1950 To (year): curr
Current Use _____ From (year): _____ To (year): _____
Other Use _____ From (year): _____ To (year): _____
Moves: yes no unknown Date: _____ Original address _____
Alterations: yes no unknown Date: _____ Nature reroof
Additions: yes no unknown Date: _____ Nature E & S elevations
Architect (last name first): _____ Builder (last name first): _____
Ownership History (especially original owner, dates, profession, etc.) CLAUDE H HINSON JR (1990), JERRY LOVE

Is the Resource Affected by a Local Preservation Ordinance? yes no unknown Describe _____

DESCRIPTION

Style Frame Vernacular Exterior Plan Irregular Number of Stories 1
Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Drop siding 2. Artbrick, artstone 3. _____
Roof Type(s) 1. Gable 2. Flat 3. _____
Roof Material(s) 1. Composition shingles 2. Built-up 3. _____
Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.) 1. _____ 2. _____
Windows (types, materials, etc.) SHS, individual, 1/1; awning, aluminum, individual & paired, 3-stacked

Distinguishing Architectural Features (exterior or interior ornaments) gable end vents, artbrick base, roof overhang with exposed rafters

Ancillary Features / Outbuildings (record outbuildings, major landscape features; use continuation sheet if needed.) A dilapidated wood-frame shed is located on the north elevation.

DHR USE ONLY		OFFICIAL EVALUATION	DHR USE ONLY	
NR List Date	SHPO – Appears to meet criteria for NR listing: <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no <input type="checkbox"/> insufficient info	Date _____	Init. _____	
<input type="checkbox"/> Owner Objection	KEEPER – Determined eligible: <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no	Date _____		
	NR Criteria for Evaluation: <input type="checkbox"/> a <input type="checkbox"/> b <input type="checkbox"/> c <input type="checkbox"/> d (see National Register Bulletin 15, p. 2)			

DESCRIPTION (continued)

Chimney: No. ___ Chimney Material(s): 1. ___ 2. ___
Structural System(s): 1. Wood frame 2. ___ 3. ___
Foundation Type(s): 1. Piers 2. ___
Foundation Material(s): 1. Concrete Block 2. ___
Main Entrance (stylistic details) E elev: through a single panel door beneath the flat roof overhang

Porch Descriptions (types, locations, roof types, etc.)

Condition (overall resource condition): [] excellent [] good [x] fair [] deteriorated [] ruinous

Narrative Description of Resource The building has an attached garage beneath a flat roof on the north elevation. Overall, the building is a typical example of a Frame Vernacular style building found throughout Pinellas County and is not a significant embodiment of a type or period.

Archaeological Remains [] Check if Archaeological Form Completed

RESEARCH METHODS (check all that apply)

- [x] FMSF record search (sites/surveys) [] library research [] building permits [] Sanborn maps
[] FL State Archives/photo collection [] city directory [] occupant/owner interview [] plat maps
[x] property appraiser / tax records [] newspaper files [] neighbor interview [] Public Lands Survey (DEP)
[] cultural resource survey (CRAS) [] historic photos [] interior inspection [] HABS/HAER record search
[x] other methods (describe) USDA historic aerial photographs (PALMM) & FDOT APlus aerial maps

Bibliographic References (give FMSF manuscript # if relevant, use continuation sheet if needed) Publication of Archival Library & Museum Materials (PALMM), accessible online at: http://susdl.fcla.edu/

OPINION OF RESOURCE SIGNIFICANCE

Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing individually? [] yes [x] no [] insufficient information

Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing as part of a district? [] yes [x] no [] insufficient information

Explanation of Evaluation (required, whether significant or not; use separate sheet if needed) The building is not a significant embodiment of a type, period, or method of construction. Background research did not reveal any historic associations with significant persons and/or events.

Area(s) of Historical Significance (see National Register Bulletin 15, p. 8 for categories: e.g. "architecture", "ethnic heritage", "community planning & development", etc.)

- 1. ___ 3. ___ 5. ___
2. ___ 4. ___ 6. ___

DOCUMENTATION

Accessible Documentation Not Filed with the Site File - including field notes, analysis notes, photos, plans and other important documents

- 1) Document type All materials at one location Maintaining organization Archaeological Consultants Inc
Document description Files, photos, research, documentation File or accession #'s P1458A
2) Document type ___ Maintaining organization ___
Document description ___ File or accession #'s ___

RECORDER INFORMATION

Recorder Name Kimberly M. Irby Affiliation Archaeological Consultants Inc

Recorder Contact Information 8110 Blaikie Court, Ste. A / Sarasota, FL / 34240 / aciflorida@comcast.net
(address / phone / fax / e-mail)

Required Attachments
1 USGS 7.5' MAP WITH STRUCTURE LOCATION PINPOINTED IN RED
2 LARGE SCALE STREET, PLAT OR PARCEL MAP (available from most property appraiser web sites)
3 PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, ARCHIVAL B&W PRINT OR DIGITAL IMAGE FILE
If submitting an image file, it must be included on disk or CD AND in hard copy format (plain paper is acceptable). Digital image must be at least 1600 x 1200 pixels, 24-bit color, jpeg or tiff.



PHOTOGRAPHS



AERIAL MAP





USGS St. Petersburg
Township 31 South, Range 16 East, Section 2



APPENDIX D
Survey Log

Ent D (FMSF only) _____



Survey Log Sheet

Florida Master Site File
Version 4.1 1/07

Survey # (FMSF only) _____

Consult *Guide to the Survey Log Sheet* for detailed instructions.

Identification and Bibliographic Information

Survey Project (name and project phase) I-275 PD&E, S of 54th Ave S to N of 4th St N, Phase I

Report Title (exactly as on title page) Cultural Resource Assessment Survey, Proposed Pond Sites Alternatives and Reevaluation Technical Memorandum, I-275/SR 93 from South of 54th Avenue South to North of 4th Street North, Pinellas County, Florida (WPI Segment No. 424501-1)

Report Authors (as on title page, last names first) 1. ACI 3. _____
2. _____ 4. _____

Publication Date (year) 2019 Total Number of Pages in Report (count text, figures, tables, not site forms) _____

Publication Information (Give series, number in series, publisher and city. For article or chapter, cite page numbers. Use the style of *American Antiquity*.)
P14058A, ACI, Sarasota

Supervisors of Fieldwork (even if same as author) Names Marion Almy

Affiliation of Fieldworkers: Organization Archaeological Consultants Inc City Sarasota

Key Words/Phrases (Don't use county name, or common words like *archaeology, structure, survey, architecture, etc.*)

1. I-275 3. _____ 5. _____ 7. _____
2. _____ 4. _____ 6. _____ 8. _____

Survey Sponsors (corporation, government unit, organization or person directly funding fieldwork)

Name FDOT District Seven Organization _____

Address/Phone/E-mail 11201 N. McKinley Dr., Tampa, FL 33612-6456

Recorder of Log Sheet Lee Hutchinson Date Log Sheet Completed 8-21-2019

Is this survey or project a continuation of a previous project? No Yes: Previous survey #s (FMSF only) _____

Mapping

Counties (List each one in which field survey was done; attach additional sheet if necessary)

1. Pinellas 3. _____ 5. _____
2. _____ 4. _____ 6. _____

USGS 1:24,000 Map Names/Year of Latest Revision (attach additional sheet if necessary)

1. Name <u>PASS-A-GRILLE BEACH</u>	Year <u>1981</u>	4. Name _____	Year _____
2. Name <u>SAFETY HARBOR</u>	Year <u>1987</u>	5. Name _____	Year _____
3. Name <u>ST. PETERSBURG</u>	Year <u>1987</u>	6. Name _____	Year _____

Description of Survey Area

Dates for Fieldwork: Start 4-8-2019 End 8-14-2019 Total Area Surveyed (fill in one) _____ hectares 500 acres

Number of Distinct Tracts or Areas Surveyed 17

If Corridor (fill in one for each) Width: _____ meters 200 feet Length: _____ kilometers 16.3 miles

Research and Field Methods

Types of Survey (check all that apply): archaeological architectural historical/archival underwater
damage assessment monitoring report other(describe): _____

Scope/Intensity/Procedures Background research, archaeological survey of 13 ponds and in areas where design changes are proposed (corridor, ramps, noise walls, barrier walls), historical/architectural survey, photos taken, report prepared

Preliminary Methods (check as many as apply to the project as a whole)

Florida Archives (Gray Building) library research- local public local property or tax records other historic maps
Florida Photo Archives (Gray Building) library-special collection - nonlocal newspaper files soils maps or data
Site File property search Public Lands Survey (maps at DEP) literature search windshield survey
Site File survey search local informant(s) Sanborn Insurance maps aerial photography
other (describe): internet

Archaeological Methods (check as many as apply to the project as a whole)

Check here if NO archaeological methods were used.
surface collection, controlled shovel test-other screen size block excavation (at least 2x2 m)
surface collection, uncontrolled water screen soil resistivity
shovel test-1/4" screen posthole tests magnetometer
shovel test-1/8" screen auger tests side scan sonar
shovel test 1/16" screen coring pedestrian survey
shovel test-unscreened test excavation (at least 1x2 m) unknown
other (describe): _____

Historical/Architectural Methods (check as many as apply to the project as a whole)

Check here if NO historical/architectural methods were used.
building permits demolition permits neighbor interview subdivision maps
commercial permits exposed ground inspected occupant interview tax records
interior documentation local property records occupation permits unknown
other (describe): _____

Survey Results (cultural resources recorded)


Site Significance Evaluated? Yes No
Count of Previously Recorded Sites 1 Count of Newly Recorded Sites 13
Previously Recorded Site #'s with Site File Update Forms (List site #'s without "8". Attach additional pages if necessary.) PI11652

Newly Recorded Site #'s (Are all originals and not updates? List site #'s without "8". Attach additional pages if necessary.) PI13236-PI13248

Site Forms Used: Site File Paper Form Site File Electronic Recording Form

REQUIRED: ATTACH PLOT OF SURVEY AREA ON PHOTOCOPY OF USGS 1:24,000 MAP(S)

SHPO USE ONLY SHPO USE ONLY SHPO USE ONLY
Origin of Report: 872 CARL UW 1A32 # _____ Academic Contract Avocational
Grant Project # _____ Compliance Review: CRAT # _____
Type of Document: Archaeological Survey Historical/Architectural Survey Marine Survey Cell Tower CRAS Monitoring Report
Overview Excavation Report Multi-Site Excavation Report Structure Detailed Report Library, Hist. or Archival Doc
MPS MRA TG Other: _____
Document Destination: _____ Plotability: _____



I-275 Corridor Reevaluation & Preferred Pond Sites
 Townships 29, 30 and 31 South, Ranges 16 and 17 East
 USGS Safety Harbor, Saint Petersburg, and Pass-a-Grille Beach
 Pinellas County.

CRAS Corridor Reevaluation and Preferred Pond Sites
 Technical Memorandum
 I-275/SR93 From South of 54th Avenue South
 To North of 4th Street North. Pinellas County, Florida,
 FPID No.: 424501-1.