

**DRAFT**

**CULTURAL RESOURCE ASSESSMENT SURVEY  
ADDENDUM TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM**

**Interstate 75 / SR 93A**

**From south of US 301/SR 43 to North of Bruce B. Downs Boulevard**

**Project Development and Environment Study**



**Florida Department of Transportation  
District 7**

Work Program Item Segment Number: 419235-3

ETDM Numbers: 8002 & 14267

Hillsborough County, Florida

The environmental review, consultation, and other actions required by applicable federal environmental laws for this project are being, or have been, carried out by FDOT pursuant to 23 U.S.C. § 327 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated December 14, 2016 and executed by FHWA and FDOT.

December 2021

**Interstate 75/SR 93A  
Project Development & Environment (PD&E) Study**

**From South of US 301/SR 43  
To North of Bruce B. Downs Boulevard**

***Draft Cultural Resource Assessment Survey  
Addendum Technical Memorandum***

Work Program Item Segment No. 419235-3  
Hillsborough County, Florida

Prepared for:



Florida Department of Transportation  
District Seven

Prepared by:

Archaeological Consultants, Inc.  
8110 Blaikie Court, Suite A  
Sarasota, Florida 34240

In association with:

WSP  
2202 N. West Shore Boulevard, Ste. 300  
Tampa, FL 33607

December 2021

## Table of Contents

---

SECTION 1	INTRODUCTION .....	1
1.1	PD&E STUDY PURPOSE .....	1
1.2	PROJECT PURPOSE AND NEED .....	1
1.2.1	Purpose .....	1
1.2.2	Need .....	1
1.3	PROJECT DESCRIPTION .....	1
1.4	EXISTING FACILITY AND PROPOSED IMPROVEMENTS .....	2
1.4.1	Existing Facility .....	2
1.5	REPORT PURPOSE .....	5
SECTION 2	ENVIRONMENTAL SETTING .....	8
SECTION 3	HISTORIC OVERVIEW .....	10
SECTION 4	BACKGROUND RESEARCH & CONSIDERATIONS .....	12
SECTION 5	STUDY METHODOLOGY .....	14
SECTION 6	INADVERTENT/UNANTICIPATED DISCOVERY OF CULTURAL REMAINS .....	15
SECTION 7	LABORATORY METHODS AND CURATION .....	16
SECTION 8	SURVEY RESULTS .....	16
SECTION 9	CONCLUSIONS .....	33
SECTION 10	BIBLIOGRAPHY .....	34

## List of Figures

---

Figure 1.	419235-3 Project Location Map .....	3
Figure 2.	419235-3 Existing Typical Sections .....	4
Figure 3.	419235-3 Preferred Build Typical Section .....	5
Figure 4.	Location of the southwest quadrant I-75 (SR 93A) and I-4 interchange study area, Hillsborough County .....	6
Figure 5.	Soil types within the APE .....	8
Figure 6.	1939 and 1991 setting of the project location .....	11
Figure 7.	Location of previously recorded cultural resources within the southwest quadrant I-75 (SR 93A) and I-4 interchange study area .....	13
Figure 8.	Location of shovel tests and cultural resources within the APE. ....	18
Figure 9.	Location of shovel tests and cultural resources within the APE. ....	19

## List of Tables

---

Table 1.	Previously recorded historic resources within the APE. ....	12
Table 2.	Historic resources within the APE. ....	20

## List of Photos

---

Photo 1.	Looking north towards I-4 (east of Falkenburg Road), sound barrier wall, and utilities. .	9
Photo 2.	Looking west at sample vegetation within the APE, west of Falkenburg Road. ....	9
Photo 3.	Looking west at easement along I-4 at on ramp from US 92, west part of APE. ....	9
Photo 4.	Sample stratigraphy showing hard pan at the bottom. ....	16
Photo 5.	Sample stratigraphy showing mottling of soil.....	16
Photo 6.	Looking northeast towards site 8HI05051. ....	17
Photo 7.	Looking west at site 8HI05051. ....	17
Photo 8.	5219 Eureka Springs Road (8HI08706), looking east. ....	21
Photo 9.	9602 E US 92 Highway, Stepp’s Towing (8HI15186), looking north.....	22
Photo 10.	5279 Eureka Springs Road (8HI15187), looking south. ....	23
Photo 11.	5287 Eureka Springs Road (8HI15188), looking south.....	24
Photo 12.	5288 Eureka Springs Road (8HI15189), looking east. ....	25
Photo 13.	10002 Hines Road (8HI15190), looking south.....	26
Photo 14.	10004 Hines Road (8HI15191), looking south.....	27
Photo 15.	10006 Hines Road (8HI15192), looking south.....	28
Photo 16.	10008 Hines Road (8HI15193), looking south.....	29
Photo 17.	10005 Hines Road (8HI15194), looking northwest. ....	30
Photo 18.	10010 Hines Road (8HI15195), looking south.....	31
Photo 19.	10012 Hines Road (8HI15196), looking south.....	32
Photo 20.	5515 N Falkenburg Road (8HI15197), looking south. ....	33

## Appendices

---

- Appendix A: SHPO Correspondence
- Appendix B: FMSF Forms
- Appendix C: Demolished Building Letter
- Appendix D: Survey Log

# SECTION 1 INTRODUCTION

## 1.1 PD&E STUDY PURPOSE

The objective of the PD&E Study is to assist the FDOT and the OEM in determining the type, location, and conceptual design of the proposed improvements for I-75 to safely and efficiently accommodate future travel demand. This study documents the need for the improvements as well as the procedures utilized to develop and evaluate various improvements, including elements such as proposed typical sections, preliminary horizontal alignments, and intersection enhancement alternatives.

The PD&E study satisfies all applicable requirements, including the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), to qualify for federal-aid funding of subsequent development phases (design, right-of-way [ROW] acquisition, and construction).

This project was screened through the FDOT's Efficient Transportation Decision Making (ETDM) process as ETDM Project Nos. 8002 and 14267. ETDM Project No. 14267 includes project limits from Moccasin Wallow Road in Manatee County to north of Bruce B. Downs in Hillsborough County. An updated Advance Notification package was sent on February 29, 2016. An ETDM Programming Screen Summary Report was published on March 29, 2007, containing comments from the Environmental Technical Advisory Team (ETAT) on the project's effects on various natural, physical, and social resources. Based on the ETAT comments, the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) determined that this project qualified as a Type 2 Categorical Exclusion.

## 1.2 PROJECT PURPOSE AND NEED

### 1.2.1 Purpose

The purpose of the project is to evaluate alternatives to address the corridor's capacity and relieve congestion. These improvements are expected to enhance the overall safety and improve the operating conditions of the facility within the project limits.

### 1.2.2 Need

I-75 is a south-north interstate highway that is a major trade and tourism corridor. I-75 is part of the highway network that provides access to regional intermodal facilities such as several general aviation airports, MacDill Air Force Base, several seaports, transit stations, cruise ship terminals and major CSX intermodal rail facilities. It is part of the SIS and is a vital link in the transportation network that connects the Tampa Bay region to the remainder of the state and the nation.

I-75 is a critical evacuation route as shown on the Florida Division of Emergency Management's evacuation route network. Improvements to I-75 will improve evacuation efforts, when needed, will enhance access to activity centers in the area, and movement of goods and freight in the greater Tampa Bay region. Statewide and regional transportation plans and studies by FDOT and the Hillsborough County Transportation Planning Organization (TPO) identify the need for interstate improvements.

## 1.3 PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT), District Seven, is conducting a Project Development and Environment (PD&E) study to evaluate improvements along approximately 18 miles of I-75 from

south of US 301/SR 43 to north of County Road (CR) 581/Bruce B. Downs Boulevard including the southwest quadrant of the I-75 and Interstate 4 (I-4) interchange from the Tampa Bypass Canal east to the I-4/I-75 interchange in Hillsborough County, Florida. The design year for the improvements is 2045. This PD&E study is being conducted concurrently with the PD&E study for the section of I-75 that extends from Moccasin Wallow Road in Manatee County to south of US 301 in Hillsborough County (WPI Segment No. 419235-2). The project location map is shown on **Figure 1**.

The proposed project consists of widening I-75 within the existing median to include two express lanes in each direction within the project limits. Operational improvements will occur at the interchanges to accommodate the widening. Right-of-way will be needed for some of the interchange improvements, stormwater management facilities (SMF), and floodplain compensation (FPC) sites.

## **1.4 EXISTING FACILITY AND PROPOSED IMPROVEMENTS**


### **1.4.1 Existing Facility**

I-75 is a limited access (L.A.) freeway that travels in a generally south-north direction from a southern terminus at SR 826 (Palmetto Expressway) in Hialeah, Florida, to a northern terminus in Sault Sainte Marie, Michigan, near the border with Canada. In Florida, I-75 is included in the State Highway System (SHS), designated as SR 93A; the Strategic Intermodal System (SIS); and the Federal Aid Interstate System. I-75 serves as a major evacuation route throughout the state.


Within the project limits I-75 consists of a six-lane, divided, limited access facility functionally classified as a Principal Arterial – Interstate Rural. The roadway is generally three lanes in each direction, with auxiliary lanes in each direction, at various locations. All travel lanes are 12-foot wide with 12-foot outside shoulders, 10-foot (ft) being paved, and an 88-foot grassed median separating the two directions of travel. The section from south of US 301 to SR 60 also contains a two 12-foot lane collector-distributor system in each direction, with 6-foot inside and 8-foot outside shoulders. Refer to **Figure 2** for existing I-75 mainline typical sections.

The existing L.A. ROW varies throughout the study limits; however, in most areas, the minimum ROW width is 348 ft. The posted speed limit is 70 miles per hour (mph).

There are eight interchanges along I-75 within the project limits. They are located at US 301, Selmon Expressway, SR 60, Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. Boulevard, I-4, Fowler Avenue, Fletcher Avenue, and Bruce B. Downs Boulevard. The study area includes 67 bridges, including crossings over the Hillsborough River, Memorial Gardens Slough, Mango Lake Drainage Canal, Harney Flats Canal, Tampa Bypass Canal, and Cowhouse Creek.



**Figure 1. 419235-3 Project Location Map**



**Figure 2. 419235-3 Existing Typical Sections**

### **1.4.2 Proposed Improvements**

All alternatives have been evaluated with regard to costs, operational factors, and environmental impacts. Based on these evaluations, a Preferred Build Alternative utilizing one typical section was identified for the I-75 mainline within the study area.


The Preferred Build Alternative Typical Section includes the existing mainline lanes to be designated as General Use Lanes (GULs). The three 12-foot lanes in each direction will remain from south of US 301 to north of Bruce B. Downs Boulevard and the auxiliary lane will remain from US 301 to SR 60. Outside shoulders will remain at 12-ft wide. Adjacent to the GULs, within the median, two 12-foot Express Lanes (ELs) with 12 to 15-foot inside shoulders will be added in each direction. The inside shoulders will be 15-ft wide where median barrier is proposed and 12-ft wide (10-foot paved) in bifurcated areas. The ELs will be separated from the GULs by a 4-foot painted and delineated buffer. The Preferred Build Typical Section can be found in **Figure 3**.

Ingress and egress connections between the ELs and GULs will be located within the limits of the project in each direction. The ELs are proposed to be managed by limiting direct access for traffic to/from existing interchanges, collection of tolls, vehicle occupancy and/or vehicle type.

As previously stated, there are eight interchanges along I-75 within the project limits. They are located at US 301, Selmon Expressway, SR 60, Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. Boulevard, I-4, Fowler Avenue, Fletcher Avenue, and Bruce B. Downs Boulevard. Right-of-way will be needed for some of the interchange improvements. The Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. Boulevard interchange improvements are currently being constructed as part of a separate design-build project (WPI Segment No. 429251-1) and considered as an existing condition for this project. No improvements are proposed at the Bruce B. Downs Boulevard interchange under this study.



The proposed improvements will include construction of 22 SMF and 11 FPC sites. A number of these SMF and FPC sites within common drainage basins are combined at a single location, and several of the SMFs are located at existing interchange locations within the existing ROW. Additional ROW may be required at some SMF and FPC locations for constructing the offsite SMF and FPC sites.



**Figure 3. 419235-3 Preferred Build Typical Section**

### **1.5 REPORT PURPOSE**


A Cultural Resource Assessment Survey (CRAS) was prepared by Archaeological Consultants, Inc. (ACI) in 2009 for this PD&E Study. A Pond Site Technical Memorandum and a Historic Resources Survey Update (HRSU) were later prepared in 2020 for the PD&E Study (ACI 2009, 2020a, 2020b). The CRAS resulted in the discovery of additional evidence of 10 previously recorded archaeological sites. The Pond Site survey resulted in additional evidence of four of the previously recorded sites and one new site. However, none of these resources is within the current survey limits. The CRAS identified 23 historic resources and the HRSU resulted in the identification of 24 new historic resources. Of these 47 historic resources, 46 were determined ineligible for listing in the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP) and one historic resource was determined NRHP eligible. However, none of these resources is located within the current survey limits. The previous CRAS report, Pond Site memorandum, and HRSU prepared for the PD&E Study in 2009 and 2020 were reviewed and approved by the State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO) (ACI 2009 and 2020a, b; Kammerer 2010 [DHR Project File No.: 2009-7642]; Parsons 2021 [DHR Project File No.: 2020-5847C]; **Appendix A**). These reports cover the entirety of the project area and this addendum focuses on the additional area identified at the I-75 and I-4 interchange area (**Figure 4**).



**Figure 4. Location of the southwest quadrant I-75 (SR 93A) and I-4 interchange study area, Hillsborough County.**

This current CRAS Addendum Technical Memorandum is being prepared to survey the southwest quadrant of the I-75 and I-4 interchange from the I-4 ramps to west of the Tampa Bypass Canal associated with the FDOT proposed improvements to I-75 from south of US 301 to north of Bruce B. Downs Boulevard, in Hillsborough County (**Figure 4**). This area was not previously surveyed. The purpose of this survey was to locate and identify any cultural resources within the project Area of Potential Effects (APE) and to assess their significance in terms of eligibility for listing in the NRHP. As defined in 36 CFR Part § 800.16(d), the APE is the “geographic area or areas within which an undertaking may directly or indirectly cause alterations in the character or use of historic properties, if any such properties exist.” The archaeological APE is defined as the area contained within the footprint of the proposed undertaking. The historic resources APE extends 300-ft from the edge of proposed ROW. The archaeological and historic/architectural field survey was conducted in November 2021.

All work was conducted to comply with Section 106 of the *National Historic Preservation Act* of 1966, as amended by Public Law 89-665; the *Archaeological and Historic Preservation Act*, as amended by Public Law 93-291; Executive Order 11593; and Chapter 267, *Florida Statutes (FS)*. All work was carried out in conformity with Part 2, Chapter 8 (“Archaeological and Historical Resources”) of the FDOT’s *PD&E Manual* (FDOT 2020), and the FDHR’s standards contained in the *Cultural Resource Management Standards and Operational Manual* (FDHR 2003), as well as with the provisions contained in the Chapter 1A-46, *Florida Administrative Code (FAC)*. Principal Investigators meet the *Secretary of the Interior’s Historic Preservation Professional Qualification Standards* (48 FR 44716) for archaeology, history, architecture, architectural history, or historic architecture.




Historical/architectural background research indicated that five historic resources (8HI05081, 8HI08706, 8HI08708, 8HI12243, 8HI12244) were previously recorded within the APE. These include five buildings built between circa (ca.) 1940 and 1960; the buildings were determined ineligible for listing in the NRHP by the SHPO. A review of relevant historic United States Geological Survey (USGS) quadrangle maps, historic aerial photographs, and the Hillsborough County property appraiser’s website data revealed the potential for 12 additional historic resources 45 years of age or older (constructed in 1976 or earlier) within the APE (Henriquez 2021).

The Historical/Architectural field survey resulted in the identification of 15 historic resources (8HI08706, 8HI12243, 8HI12244; 8HI15186 - 8HI15197) within the historic APE. These include three previously recorded buildings (8HI08706, 8HI12243, 8HI12244), and 12 newly identified buildings (8HI15186 - 8HI15197) constructed between ca. 1953 and ca. 1970. Overall, these buildings are common examples of their respective architectural styles without significant historical associations; therefore, none appear eligible for listing in the NRHP, either individually or as part of a historic district. Furthermore, two previously recorded historic resources were confirmed as demolished (8HI05081 and 8HI08708). A Florida Master Site File (FMSF) form was prepared for the 12 newly identified resources, and an updated FMSF form was prepared for one previously recorded resource (8HI08706) because it has been over ten years since it was last recorded (**Appendix B**). The remaining

two previously recorded resources (8HI12243 and 8HI12244) are still extant and were not updated because no changes were observed since they were last recorded. In addition, a letter was prepared for the demolished buildings and is contained in **Appendix C**.

## SECTION 2 ENVIRONMENTAL SETTING

The APE is located in Sections 31 and 32 of Township 28 South, Range 19 East and Section 6 of Township 29 South, Range 19 East (USGS 1956, 1974) (**Figure 7** in **Section 5**). Extensive Environmental Overviews are found in the I-75 CRAS (ACI 2009) and the I-4 CRAS reports (ACI 2014; Estabrook and Fuhrmeister 1992) and not repeated here. Currently, although the soils within the APE range from very poorly drained to moderately well-drained (**Figure 5**; United States Department of Agriculture [USDA] 1989), the environment of the APE has been extremely altered as a result of the construction, maintenance, and improvements to I-75 and I-4, residential, industrial, and commercial development, above ground and subsurface utilities, culverts and ditching. Vegetation includes weeds, various oaks and pines, and ornamentals. **Photos 1-3** show some of the disturbance observed within the APE.



**Figure 5. Soil types within the APE.**



**Photo 1. Looking north towards I-4 (east of Falkenburg Road), sound barrier wall, and utilities.**



**Photo 2. Looking west at sample vegetation within the APE, west of Falkenburg Road.**



**Photo 3. Looking west at easement along I-4 at on ramp from US 92, west part of APE.**

## SECTION 3 HISTORIC OVERVIEW

In-depth historic and prehistoric overviews were included in the 2009 PD&E, *Cultural Resource Assessment Survey PD&E Study from South of US 301 to North of Fletcher Avenue Hillsborough County, Florida*, (ACI 2009; Survey No. 17897). The CRAS document was submitted to and approved by the SHPO (Kammerer 2010; DHR Project File No.: 2009-7642). An additional in depth historic and prehistoric overview was included in the 2014 PD&E, *Cultural Resource Assessment Survey, I-4 from east of 50th Street to Polk Parkway in Hillsborough and Polk Counties, Florida Work Program Item Segment Number: 431746-1* (ACI 2014; Survey No. 21848). The CRAS document was submitted to and approved by the SHPO (Christian 2015; DHR Project File No. 2015-2306). The prehistoric overview is not repeated here. Less than ten years has passed since the I-4 survey was conducted and little has changed to the built environment. Most of the area now along the I-4 corridor was agricultural up to the late 1950s. Residential and commercial development along the corridor surged in the post-World-War-II period (**Figure 6**).

DRAFT



Figure 6. 1939 and 1991 setting of the project location.

## SECTION 4 BACKGROUND RESEARCH & CONSIDERATIONS

The field survey was preceded by background research, which included a comprehensive review of archaeological and historical literature, records and other documents and data pertaining to the project area. This research was conducted to ascertain the types of cultural resources known in the project area and vicinity, their cultural affiliations, site location information, and other relevant data. This included a review of sites listed in the NRHP, the FMSF, cultural resource survey reports, published books and articles, maps, historic aerials, a review of the US quadrangle map (USGS), the Hillsborough County Property Appraiser, and information from the files of ACI. The FMSF data used in this report were obtained in October 2021. In addition, ETDM Project Nos. 8002 & 14267 were also reviewed.




The project APE has a low to high prehistoric archaeological probability for aboriginal site occurrence. The areas of probability were determined based on the environmental variables such as soil type, distance to fresh water, locations of previously recorded sites in the general vicinity, and the amount of disturbance observed within the APE.

Historical/architectural background research included a review of the previous I-75 and I-4 CRAS reports and memos, the FMSF, and the NRHP. The research indicated that five historic resources (8HI05081, 8HI08706, 8HI08708, 8HI12243, 8HI12244) were previously recorded within the APE (Table 1; Figure 7). These include five buildings built between ca. 1940 and 1960; the buildings were determined ineligible for listing in the NRHP by the SHPO.

**Table 1. Previously recorded historic resources within the APE.**

FMSF No.	Address/Site Name	Build Date	Style	SHPO Evaluation	Survey No.
8HI05081	5218 Eureka Springs Road	ca. 1940	Frame Vernacular	Ineligible in 1992	3243 (1992)
8HI08706	5219 Eureka Springs Road	ca. 1953	Ranch	Ineligible in 2003	12574 (2003)
8HI08708	5522 Baptist Church Road	ca. 1953	Ranch	Ineligible in 2003	12574 (2003)
8HI12243	5531 Baptist Church Road	ca. 1956	Masonry vernacular	Ineligible in 2015	21848 (2014)
8HI12244	10001 Hines Road	ca. 1960	Masonry vernacular	Ineligible in 2015	21848 (2014)





The I-75 CRAS prepared by ACI in 2009 (Survey No. 17897), and the HRSU prepared in 2020 for the PD&E Study resulted in the identification and evaluation of 47 historic resources (23 from the CRAS and 24 from the HRSU) (ACI 2009, 2020b). Of these 47 historic resources, 46 were determined ineligible for listing in the NRHP and one historic resource was determined NRHP eligible. However, none of these resources is located within the current survey limits. The previous CRAS report and HRSU prepared for the PD&E Study in 2009 and 2020 were reviewed and approved by the SHPO (ACI 2009 and 2020b; Kammerer 2010 (DHR Project File No.: 2009-7642); Parsons 2021 (DHR Project File No.: 2020-5847C); **Appendix A**).

In 1992, a survey of I-4 from 50<sup>th</sup> Street to the Hillsborough/Polk County line was conducted by Janus Research (Estabrook and Fuhrmeister 1992; Survey No. 3243). As a result, 41 historic resources (8HI05077-8HI05117) were identified and recorded. Of these, one historic resource, a ca. 1940 Frame Vernacular style building (8HI05081), is located within the APE. The SHPO determined the building was ineligible for listing in the NRHP (Percy 1993; DHR Project File No. 922113). In 2003, a CRAS was prepared by ACI and Janus Research for the *Florida High Speed Rail Authority PD&E Study from Tampa to Orlando Hillsborough, Polk, Osceola, and Orange Counties, Florida* (Survey No. 12574) which resulted in the identification of 401 historic resources (150 previously recorded and 251 newly identified) (ACI and Janus Research 2003). Of these, two Ranch style buildings (8HI08706 & 8HI08708) constructed in ca. 1953 are located within the APE. The SHPO determined the buildings were ineligible for listing in the NRHP (Matthews 2003; DHR Project File No. 2003-7914). In addition, another CRAS of I-4 from east of 50<sup>th</sup> Street to Polk Parkway in Hillsborough and Polk Counties, Florida (WPI Segment Number: 431746-1) was conducted by ACI in 2014 (Survey No. 21848). As a result of the survey, 76 historic resources (37 previously recorded resources, and 39 newly identified and recorded resources) were identified. Of these, two Masonry Vernacular style buildings (8HI12243 & 8HI12244) built between ca. 1956 and ca. 1960 are located within the APE. The SHPO determined the buildings were ineligible for listing in the NRHP (Bendus 2015; DHR Project File No. 2015-2306).

A review of relevant historic USGS quadrangle maps, historic aerial photographs, and the Hillsborough County Property Appraiser's data revealed the potential for 12 new historic resources 45 years of age or older (constructed in 1976 or earlier) within the APE (Henriquez 2021).

## **SECTION 5 STUDY METHODOLOGY**

The FDHR's Module Three, *Guidelines for Use by Historic Professionals*, indicates that the first stage of archaeological field survey is a reconnaissance of the project area to "ground truth," or ascertain the validity of the predictive model (FDHR 2003). During this part of the survey, the researcher assesses whether the initial predictive model needs adjustment based on disturbance or conditions such as constructed features (i.e., parking lots, buildings, etc.), underground utilities, landscape alterations (i.e., ditches and swales, mined land, dredged and filled land, agricultural fields), or other constraints that may affect the archaeological potential. Additionally, these Guidelines indicate that non-systematic "judgmental" testing may be appropriate in urbanized environments where pavement, utilities, and constructed features make systematic testing unfeasible; in geographically restricted areas such as proposed pond sites; or within project areas that have limited high and moderate probability zones, but where a larger subsurface testing sample may be desired. While predictive models are useful in determining preliminary testing strategies in a broad context, it is understood that testing intervals may be altered due to conditions encountered by the field crew at

the time of survey. A reasonable and good faith effort has been made to document any historic properties located within the project APE (Advisory Council on Historic Preservation n.d.).

**Archaeological field** survey methods included both ground surface reconnaissance combined with systematic and judgmental subsurface shovel testing. Systematic shovel tests were placed at 25-, 50, and 100-meter (m) intervals. The closer interval testing was conducted in the vicinity of the two previously recorded archaeological sites. Each shovel test measured 0.5 m in diameter and was dug to a depth of 1 m unless impeded by disturbance or water. Soil from each test pit was screened through 6.3-millimeter (mm) mesh hardware cloth to maximize the recovery of artifacts. The location of all shovel tests was recorded with a Trimble Juno 5, and, following the recording of relevant data such as stratigraphic profile and artifact finds, all shovel tests were refilled.

**Historical/architectural** field methodology consisted of a field survey of the APE to determine and verify the location of all buildings and other historic resources (i.e., bridges, roads, cemeteries) that are 45 years of age or older (constructed in or prior to 1976), and to establish if any such resources could be determined eligible for listing in the NRHP. The field survey focused on the assessment of existing conditions for all previously recorded historic resources located within the project APE, and the presence of unrecorded historic resources within the project area. For each property, photographs were taken, and information needed for the completion of FMSF forms was gathered. In addition to architectural descriptions, each historic resource was reviewed to assess style, historic context, condition, and potential NRHP eligibility. Also, informant interviews would have been conducted, if possible, with knowledgeable persons to obtain site-specific building construction dates and/or possible associations with individuals or events significant to local or regional history.

## **SECTION 6 INADVERTENT/UNANTICIPATED DISCOVERY OF CULTURAL REMAINS**

Occasionally, archaeological deposits, subsurface features or unmarked human remains are encountered during the course of development, even though the project area may have previously received a thorough and professionally adequate cultural resources assessment. Such events are rare, but they do occur. In the event that human remains are encountered during the course of development, the procedures outlined in Chapter 872, *FS* must be followed. However, it was not anticipated that such sites would be found during this survey.

In the event such discoveries are made during the development process, all activities in the immediate vicinity of the discovery will be suspended, the FDOT District 7 will be notified, and a professional archaeologist will be contacted to evaluate the importance of the discovery. The area will be examined by the archaeologist, who, in consultation with staff of the Florida SHPO, will determine if the discovery is significant or potentially significant. In the event the discovery is found to be not significant, the work may immediately resume. If, on the other hand, the discovery is found to be significant or potentially significant, then development activities in the immediate vicinity of the discovery will continue to be suspended until such time as a mitigation plan, acceptable to SHPO, is developed and implemented. Development activities may then resume within the discovery area, but only when conducted in accordance with the guidelines and conditions of the approved mitigation plan.

## SECTION 7 LABORATORY METHODS AND CURATION

No cultural material was found therefore no laboratory methods were implemented. Curation of project-related information (i.e., maps, field notes, and artifacts) will be at ACI in Sarasota, file number P1653B.3, until transfer to a FDOT-designated repository.

## SECTION 8 SURVEY RESULTS

**Archaeological Survey Results:** Archaeological field survey included both ground surface reconnaissance and the systematic and judgmental excavation of a total 55 shovel tests within the APE (**Figures 8 and 9**). The archaeological APE had a variable archaeological potential - low to high. Systematic shovel tests were placed at 25, 50, and 100 m intervals. Each shovel test measured 0.5 m in diameter and was dug to a depth of 1 m unless impeded by hardpan, road asphalt, compact gravel, and/or utilities. Sample stratigraphy is noted below and in **Photos 4 and 5**.


- 0-40 cm of gray sand, 40-60 cm of dark brown sand, 60-100 cm of light brown sand
- 0-30 cm of gray/brown sand, 30-100 cm of light, brown sand.
- 0-20 cm of dark gray/brown, gravelly sand with compact gravel fill at 20 cm.



Photo 4. Sample stratigraphy showing hard pan at the bottom.



Photo 5. Sample stratigraphy showing mottling of soil.





[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]





**Historical/Architectural Survey Results:** The historical/architectural background research indicated that five historic resources (8HI05081, 8HI08706, 8HI08708, 8HI12243, 8HI12244) were previously recorded within the APE. These include five buildings built between ca. 1940 and 1960; the buildings were determined ineligible for listing in the NRHP by the SHPO. The Historical/Architectural field survey resulted in the identification of 15 historic resources (8HI08706, 8HI12243, 8HI12244; 8HI15186 - 8HI15197) within the historic APE (Figures 8 and 9; Table 2). These include three previously recorded buildings (8HI08706, 8HI12243, 8HI12244), and 12 newly identified buildings (8HI15186 - 8HI15197) constructed between ca. 1953 and ca. 1970. Of these, 12 historic resources were newly identified, recorded, and evaluated; and one previously recorded historic resource was re-evaluated (8HI08706) within APE. The remaining two previously recorded resources (8HI12243 and 8HI12244) are still extant and were not updated because no changes were observed since they were last recorded. Overall, these buildings are common examples of their respective architectural styles without significant historical associations; therefore, none appear eligible for listing in the NRHP, either individually or as part of a historic district. Furthermore, two previously recorded historic resources were confirmed as demolished (8HI05081 and 8HI08708). A FMSF form was prepared for the 12 newly identified resources, and an updated FMSF form was prepared for one previously recorded resource because it has been over ten years since it was last recorded (Appendix B). In addition, a letter was prepared for the demolished buildings and is contained in Appendix C. Descriptions and photographs of the 12 newly recorded and one previously recorded updated resource follow.

**Table 2. Historic resources within the APE.**

FMSF No.	Address/Site Name	Build Date	Style/Type	NRHP Eligibility Recommendation
*8HI05081	5218 Eureka Springs Road	ca. 1940	Frame Vernacular	Ineligible
*8HI08706	5219 Eureka Springs Road	ca. 1953	Ranch	Ineligible
8HI15186	9602 E US 92 Highway	ca. 1970	Masonry Vernacular	Ineligible
8HI15187	5279 Eureka Springs Road	ca. 1962	Masonry Vernacular	Ineligible
8HI15188	5287 Eureka Springs Road	ca. 1964	Masonry Vernacular	Ineligible
8HI15189	5288 Eureka Springs Road	ca. 1957	Masonry Vernacular	Ineligible
*8HI08708	5522 Baptist Church Road	ca. 1953	Ranch	Ineligible
*8HI12243	5531 Baptist Church Road	ca. 1956	Masonry Vernacular	Ineligible
*8HI12244	10001 Hines Road	ca. 1960	Masonry Vernacular	Ineligible
8HI15190	10002 Hines Road	ca. 1959	Masonry Vernacular	Ineligible
8HI15191	10004 Hines Road	ca. 1960	Masonry Vernacular	Ineligible
8HI15192	10006 Hines Road	ca. 1959	Masonry Vernacular	Ineligible
8HI15193	10008 Hines Road	ca. 1955	Masonry Vernacular	Ineligible
8HI15194	10005 Hines Road	ca. 1955	Masonry Vernacular	Ineligible
8HI15195	10010 Hines Road	ca. 1954	Masonry Vernacular	Ineligible
8HI15196	10012 Hines Road	ca. 1963	Masonry Vernacular	Ineligible
8HI15197	5515 N Falkenburg Road	ca. 1961	Masonry Vernacular	Ineligible

\*Denotes previously recorded historic resources evaluated by the SHPO. Pink highlight indicates resources no longer extant and green highlight indicates an updated historic resource.





**Photo 8. 5219 Eureka Springs Road (8HI08706), looking east.**

**8HI08706:** The Ranch style building at 5219 Eureka Springs Road was constructed in ca. 1953 (**Photo 8**). The one-story, irregular plan building rests on a continuous concrete block foundation and has a concrete block structural system with an exposed concrete block exterior and sections of art brick and vertical plank siding on the gable ends. The side gable roof is covered with composition shingles and the flat roof is covered in built-up membrane. The main entrance is located on the west elevation through a single door with three-lights diagonally arranged. Visible windows include a mixture of individual, paired, and grouped (3) metal four-light casement and fixed units, paired metal six-over-six single-hung-sash, and individual and paired metal jalousie units. Distinguishing architectural features include exposed rafter ends, concrete windowsills, vents in gable ends, and porch supports clad in art brick. Alterations include replacement windows and roofing materials. A flat roof addition has been added to the south elevation. Overall, the building has been altered, lacks sufficient architectural features, and is not a significant embodiment of the Ranch style, mid-century period, or method of construction. In addition, background research did not reveal any historic associations with significant persons and/or events. As a result, 8HI08706 does not appear eligible for listing in the NRHP, either individually or as part of a historic district.



**Photo 9. 9602 E US 92 Highway, Stepp's Towing (8HI15186), looking north.**

**8HI15186:** The Masonry Vernacular style building at 9602 E US 92 Highway, Stepp's Towing, was constructed in ca. 1970 (**Photo 9**). The one-story, irregular plan building rests on a continuous concrete block foundation and has a concrete block structural system with a faux brick façade. The gable and shed roofs are covered with 5V crimp sheet metal. The main entrance is located on the east elevation through a single metal frame glass door with a sidelight window within a recessed entry way. Visible windows include a mixture of individual vinyl slider, one-over-one vinyl single-hung-sash, and fixed units with metal security bars. Distinguishing architectural features include concrete windowsills, cinder block pilasters, brick façade with parapet, decorative cinder blocks, garage doors, and louvered vents. Alterations include replacement windows, doors, and roofing materials. Two metal frame extensions have been added to the north elevation, one with a gable roof and garage doors, and the other with a shed roof. A non-historic open bay garage is located northeast of the building. Overall, the building has been altered, lacks sufficient architectural features, and is not a significant embodiment of a type, period, or method of construction. In addition, background research did not reveal any historic associations with significant persons and/or events. As a result, 8HI15186 does not appear eligible for listing in the NRHP, either individually or as part of a historic district.



**Photo 10. 5279 Eureka Springs Road (8HI15187), looking south.**

**8HI15187:** The Masonry Vernacular style residence at 5279 Eureka Springs Road was constructed in ca. 1962 (**Photo 10**). The one-story, irregular plan building rests on a continuous concrete block foundation and has a concrete block structural system with a partially clad art brick façade and vertical plank siding on the gable ends. The gable and shed roofs are covered with composition roll roofing material. The main entrance is located on the north elevation through a single door beneath a flat roof extension. This building has two open, partial width porches. The north elevation porch is beneath a flat roof extension with decorative wood support columns preceding the entrance. The south elevation porch is screened beneath a flat roof extension. Visible windows include a mixture of individual and paired one-over-one vinyl single-hung-sash units. Distinguishing architectural features include concrete windowsills, partially clad art brick façade, decorative wood porch support columns, and a low pitch gable roof. Alterations include replacement windows and roofing materials and an addition to the south elevation. A non-historic garage and shed are located to the south of the building. Overall, the building has been altered, lacks sufficient architectural features, and is not a significant embodiment of a type, period, or method of construction. In addition, background research did not reveal any historic associations with significant persons and/or events. As a result, 8HI15187 does not appear eligible for listing in the NRHP, either individually or as part of a historic district.



**Photo 11. 5287 Eureka Springs Road (8HI15188), looking south.**

**8HI15188:** The Masonry Vernacular style residence at 5287 Eureka Springs Road was constructed in ca. 1964 (**Photo 11**). The one-story, irregular plan building rests on a continuous concrete block foundation and has a concrete block structural system partially clad in art brick. The hip roof and shed roof extension are covered with 5V crimp sheet metal. A brick chimney is located on the roof slope of the south elevation. The main entrance is located on the north elevation through a paneled door with a fan window beneath a shed roof extension. This building has two open, partial width porches. The north elevation porch is beneath a shed roof extension with wood support columns and the west elevation porch is beneath a shed roof extension with wood support columns. Visible windows include a mixture of individual and paired one-over-one and two-over-two vinyl single-hung-sash units and a picture unit flanked by four-over-four single-hung-sash units. Distinguishing architectural features include brick windowsills and a partially clad art brick facade. Alterations include replacement roofing materials, and a porch was added to the west elevation. One ca. 1964 shed and two non-historic detached garages are located to the south of the building. Overall, the building has been altered, lacks sufficient architectural features, and is not a significant embodiment of a type, period, or method of construction. In addition, background research did not reveal any historic associations with significant persons and/or events. As a result, 8HI15188 does not appear eligible for listing in the NRHP, either individually or as part of a historic district.



**Photo 12. 5288 Eureka Springs Road (8HI15189), looking east.**

**8HI15189:** The Masonry Vernacular style building at 5288 Eureka Springs Road was constructed in ca. 1957 (**Photo 12**). The one-story, irregular plan building rests on a continuous concrete block foundation and has a concrete block structural system in stucco. The gable roof is covered with 5V crimp sheet metal. The main entrance is located on the west elevation through single glass door with vertical sidelight window beneath a gable roof extension with decorative support columns. Visible windows include individual vinyl fixed units. Distinguishing architectural features include bands of stucco trim and decorative support columns. Alterations include replacement windows, door, and roofing materials. A second building (non-historic) and a four-bay garage were added to the north elevation of the main building. Two non-historic buildings are located to the west of the building. Overall, the building has been altered, lacks sufficient architectural features, and is not a significant embodiment of a type, period, or method of construction. In addition, background research did not reveal any considerable historic associations with significant persons and/or events. As a result, 8HI15189 does not appear eligible for listing in the NRHP, either individually or as part of a historic district.



**Photo 13. 10002 Hines Road (8HI15190), looking south.**

**8HI15190:** The Masonry Vernacular style residence at 10002 Hines Road was constructed in ca. 1959 (**Photo 13**). The one-story, irregular plan building rests on a continuous concrete block foundation and has a concrete block structural system clad in stucco and the gable ends are clad in vinyl siding. The gable and shed roofs are covered with 5V crimp sheet metal and the gable extension is covered in composite roll. The main entrance is located on the north elevation through a single paneled wood door behind a screen door beneath a gable roof extension with decorative wood supports. There is a partial width open screened porch beneath a shed roof on the south elevation. Visible windows include a mixture of individual one-over-one vinyl single-hung-sash and grouped (3) metal jalousie units. Distinguishing architectural features include concrete windowsills and overhanging boxed eaves. Alterations include replacement windows and roofing materials. Additions include an attached carport on the west elevation and an open, shed roof screened porch on the south elevation. Overall, the building has been altered, lacks sufficient architectural features, and is not a significant embodiment of a type, period, or method of construction. In addition, background research did not reveal any historic associations with significant persons and/or events. As a result, 8HI15190 does not appear eligible for listing in the NRHP, either individually or as part of a historic district.



**Photo 14. 10004 Hines Road (8HI15191), looking south.**

**8HI15191:** The Masonry Vernacular style residence at 10004 Hines Road was constructed in ca. 1960 (**Photo 14**). The one-story, irregular plan building rests on a continuous concrete block foundation and has a concrete block structural system partially clad in stucco and art brick. The gable roof is covered with 5V crimp sheet metal and the flat roof is covered in standing seam sheet metal. The main entrance is located on the north elevation through a single paneled door beneath the principal roof extension. There is an open, full-width porch beneath a shed roof on the west elevation. Visible windows include a mixture of individual and paired one-over-one vinyl single-hung-sash units. Distinguishing architectural features include concrete windowsills, art brick, and overhanging boxed eaves. Alterations include replacement windows and roofing materials and enclosing the carport. Additions include an open porch beneath a shed roof on the west elevation. A shed is located to the south of the building. Overall, the building has been altered, lacks sufficient architectural features, and is not a significant embodiment of a type, period, or method of construction. In addition, background research did not reveal any historic associations with significant persons and/or events. As a result, 8HI15191 does not appear eligible for listing in the NRHP, either individually or as part of a historic district.



**Photo 15. 10006 Hines Road (8HI15192), looking south.**

**8HI15192:** The Masonry Vernacular style residence at 10006 Hines Road was constructed in ca. 1959 (**Photo 15**). The one-story, irregular plan building rests on a continuous concrete block foundation and has a concrete block structural system partially clad in stucco and art stone. The gable roof is covered with asphalt shingles and the flat roof above the carport is covered with standing seam sheet metal. The main entrance is located on the east elevation through an obscured door beneath the flat roof of the attached carport. There is a partial-width, open porch beneath a shed roof with decorative wood supports sitting on stone base piers on the north elevation. Visible windows include a mixture of grouped (3) wood single light fixed units, and individual two-over-two wood and metal single-hung-sash units. Distinguishing architectural features include concrete windowsills, decorative porch supports, and partially clad art stone facade. Alterations include replacement roofing materials, enclosing the carport, and fenestration changes. Additions include an attached carport on the east elevation and a shed roof on the south elevation. Overall, the building has been altered, lacks sufficient architectural features, and is not a significant embodiment of a type, period, or method of construction. In addition, background research did not reveal any historic associations with significant persons and/or events. As a result, 8HI15192 does not appear eligible for listing in the NRHP, either individually or as part of a historic district.





**Photo 16. 10008 Hines Road (8HI15193), looking south.**

**8HI15193:** The Masonry Vernacular style residence at 10008 Hines Road was constructed in ca. 1955 (**Photo 16**). The one-story, irregular plan building rests on a continuous concrete block foundation and has a concrete block structural system clad in stucco. The hip and flat roofs are covered with composition roll and 5V crimp sheet metal. A concrete chimney is located on the slope of the south. The main entrance is located on the north elevation through a single door behind a metal frame screen door beneath a hip roof extension with concrete block support columns. The building has two visible partial width open porches. The entry porch is raised and sits beneath a hip roof with concrete block support columns and decorative fascia preceding the main entrance. The second porch is beneath a flat roof with wood support columns on the east elevation. Visible windows include a mixture of individual vinyl one-over-one and two-over-two single-hung-sash units and a picture unit. Distinguishing architectural features include decorative frieze, concrete windowsills, and concrete block support columns. Alterations include replacement windows and roofing materials. A shed roof porch was added to the east elevation and structural extensions were made to the east elevation. One shed is located to the southwest of the building. Overall, the building has been altered, lacks sufficient architectural features, and is not a significant embodiment of a type, period, or method of construction. In addition, background research did not reveal any historic associations with significant persons and/or events. As a result, 8HI15193 does not appear eligible for listing in the NRHP, either individually or as part of a historic district.



**Photo 17. 10005 Hines Road (8HI15194), looking northwest.**

**8HI15194:** The Masonry Vernacular style residence at 10005 Hines Road was constructed in ca. 1955 (**Photo 17**). The one-story, irregular plan building rests on a concrete slab foundation and has a concrete block structural system clad in stucco. The hip and flat roofs are covered with 5V crimp sheet metal. The main entrance is located on the south elevation through a single storm door with two-light. Visible windows include a mixture of individual one-over-one vinyl single-hung-sash units. Distinguishing architectural features include stucco window and door frames with keystone. Alterations include replacement doors, windows, and roofing materials as well as enclosure of the carport. A non-historic detached garage and a shed are located north of the building. Overall, the building has been altered, lacks sufficient architectural features, and is not a significant embodiment of a type, period, or method of construction. In addition, background research did not reveal any historic associations with significant persons and/or events. As a result, 8HI15194 does not appear eligible for listing in the NRHP, either individually or as part of a historic district.



**Photo 18. 10010 Hines Road (8HI15195), looking south.**

**8HI15195:** The Masonry Vernacular style residence at 10010 Hines Road was constructed in ca. 1954 (**Photo 18**). The one-story, irregular plan building rests on a continuous concrete block foundation and has a concrete block structural system clad in stucco and partially clad in vertical plank siding. The hip roof is covered with asphalt shingles. This building has a screened porch beneath the principal hip roof in the east elevation. The main entrance is located on the north elevation through a single panel door beneath a metal awning. Visible windows include a mixture of individual metal jalousies units and two-over-two single-hung-sash units. Distinguishing architectural features include partially clad vertical plank siding. Alterations include replacement roofing materials and an enclosed carport. The north elevation porch was enclosed and expanded. A shed is located south of the building. Overall, the building has been altered, lacks sufficient architectural features, and is not a significant embodiment of a type, period, or method of construction. In addition, background research did not reveal any historic associations with significant persons and/or events. As a result, 8HI15195 does not appear eligible for listing in the NRHP, either individually or as part of a historic district.



**Photo 19. 10012 Hines Road (8HI15196), looking south.**

**8HI15196:** The Masonry Vernacular style residence at 10012 Hines Road was constructed in ca. 1963 (**Photo 19**). The one-story, irregular plan building rests on a continuous concrete block foundation and has a concrete block structural system clad in stucco. The gable roof is covered with asphalt shingles. The main entrance is located on the north elevation through a single panel door within an open recessed porch beneath the principal roof with a metal porch support. Visible windows include individual six-over-six and one-over-one vinyl single-hung-sash units. Distinguishing architectural features include engaged columns, stucco windowsills, metal porch support, and metal window security grates. Alterations include replacement windows, doors, and roofing materials as well as replacement porch supports and addition of engaged columns. Two non-historic sheds are located southeast of the building. Overall, the building has been altered, lacks sufficient architectural features, and is not a significant embodiment of a type, period, or method of construction. In addition, background research did not reveal any historic associations with significant persons and/or events. As a result, 8HI15196 does not appear eligible for listing in the NRHP, either individually or as part of a historic district.



**Photo 20. 5515 N Falkenburg Road (8HI15197), looking south.**

**8HI15197:** The Masonry Vernacular style former residence at 5515 N Falkenburg Road was constructed in ca. 1961 (**Photo 20**). The one-story, irregular plan building rests on a continuous concrete block and brick foundation and has a concrete block and brick structural system and exterior. No roof, windows, doors, or interior finishing's are present. Distinguishing architectural features include a single brick elevation and concrete windowsills. A structural extension was added to the south elevation. Overall, the building is in a state of ruin and is not a significant embodiment of a type, period, or method of construction. In addition, background research did not reveal any historic associations with significant persons and/or events. As a result, 8HI15197 does not appear eligible for listing in the NRHP, either individually or as part of a historic district.

## **SECTION 9 CONCLUSIONS**

This current CRAS Addendum Technical Memorandum addresses the southwest quadrant of the I-75 and I-4 interchange from the I-4 ramps to west of the Tampa Bypass Canal associated with the FDOT proposed improvements to I-75 from south of US 301 to north of Bruce B. Downs Boulevard, in Hillsborough County.

The historical/architectural background research indicated that five historic resources (8HI05081, 8HI08706, 8HI08708, 8HI12243, 8HI12244) were previously recorded within the APE. These include five buildings built between ca. 1940 and 1960; the buildings were determined ineligible for listing in the NRHP by the SHPO. The Historical/Architectural field survey resulted in the identification of 15 historic resources (8HI08706, 8HI12243, 8HI12244; 8HI15186 - 8HI15197) within the historic APE.

These include three previously recorded buildings (8HI08706, 8HI12243, 8HI12244), and 12 newly identified buildings (8HI15186 - 8HI15197) constructed between ca. 1953 and ca. 1970. Overall, these buildings are common examples of their respective architectural styles without significant historical associations; therefore, none appear eligible for listing in the NRHP, either individually or as part of a historic district. Furthermore, two previously recorded historic resources were confirmed as demolished (8HI05081 and 8HI08708).

Given the results of background research and field survey, no cultural resources that are listed, eligible for listing, or that appear potentially eligible for listing in the NRHP were located within the APE. Therefore, it is the professional opinion of ACI that the proposed project will result in no historic properties affected.

## SECTION 10 BIBLIOGRAPHY

### Archaeological Consultants, Inc. (ACI)

- 2009 Cultural Resource Assessment Survey Project Development and Environment (PD&E) Study from South of US 301 to North of Fletcher Avenue, Hillsborough County, Florida. ACI, Sarasota. Survey No. 17897.
- 2011 Cultural Resource Assessment Survey Addendum Technical Memorandum State Road 93A (I-75) from South of SR 582 (Fowler Avenue) to North of CR 581 (Bruce B Downs Boulevard), Hillsborough County, Florida. ACI, Sarasota. Survey No. 18251.
- 2012 Cultural Resource Assessment Survey Technical Memorandum of Three SMF's and a Historic Resources Survey Update of US 301 (SR 41) from South of the Tampa Bypass Canal to North of Fowler Avenue, Hillsborough County, Florida. ACI, Sarasota. Survey No. 19174.
- 2014 Cultural Resource Assessment Survey I-4 Project Development and Environment (PD&E) Study from east of 50<sup>th</sup> Street to Polk Parkway in Hillsborough and Polk Counties, Florida. ACI, Sarasota. Survey No. 21848.
- 2016 Cultural Resource Assessment Survey Update SR 600 (US 92) PD&E Study Reevaluation from East of I-4 to East of County Line Road in Hillsborough County, Florida. ACI, Sarasota. Survey No. 26284.
- 2017 Cultural Resource Assessment Survey Technical Memorandum Update, State Road (SR 93A (I-75) SB Off-Ramp from S of Bypass Canal to EB/WB I-4 Hillsborough County, Florida. ACI, Sarasota. Survey No. 24572.
- 2020a Cultural Resource Assessment Survey Technical Memorandum Stormwater Management Facilities (SMF) and Floodplain Compensation (FPC) Sites, I-75 Project Development and Environment (PD&E) Study from South of US 301 to North of Bruce B. Downs Boulevard Hillsborough County, Florida. ACI, Sarasota.
- 2020b Historic Resources Survey Update Technical Memorandum I-75 (93A) from South of US 301 to North of Bruce B. Downs Boulevard, Hillsborough County, Florida. ACI, Sarasota.

### Archaeological Consultants, Inc. (ACI) and Janus Research

- 2003 Cultural Resource Assessment Survey Report Florida High Speed Rail Authority Project Development and Environment (PD&E) Study from Tampa to Orlando, Hillsborough, Polk, Osceola, and Orange Counties, Florida. Survey No. 12574.

Bendus, Robert F.

- 2015 SHPO Concurrence Letter, DHR Project File No. 2015-2306, Cultural Resource Assessment Survey Interstate 4 (I-4) Project Development and Environment (PD&E) Study I-4 (SR 400) from east of 50<sup>th</sup> Street to Polk Parkway, Hillsborough and Polk Counties, Florida. ACI, Sarasota.

Estabrook, Richard and Charles Fuhrmeister

- 1992 Cultural Resource Assessment Survey of the Interstate 4 Improvements Project Right-of-Way from 50th Street to the Hillsborough/Polk County Line, Hillsborough County, Florida. Janus Research, Tampa. Survey No. 3243.

Eurek, Mary E. Seagrave

- 2019 Negative Archaeological Survey Report for Telecommunications Tower Facility: FL-1620 Cragmont, 9811 E 92 Highway, Tampa, Hillsborough County, Florida. FDHR, Tallahassee. Survey No. 26107.

Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT)

- 1991 Aerial Photograph. 4-01-1991, PD-3951-10-22. *Aerial Photo Look Up System (APLUS)*. Aerial Photography Archive, Tallahassee.
- 2020 *Project Development and Environment Manual, Part 2, Chapter 8, Archaeological and Historical Resources*. FDOT, Tallahassee.
- 2007 ETDM Reports No. 8002 and 14267.

Florida Division of Historical Resources (DHR)

- n.d. Florida Master Site File Form Information.
- 2003 *Cultural Resource Management Standards and Operational Manual*. FDHR, Tallahassee.

Henriquez, Bob

- 2021 Hillsborough County Property Appraisers, Hillsborough County.

Janus Research

- 1996 Cultural Resource Assessment Survey for US 301 from Interstate 4 (I-4) to Fowler Avenue in Hillsborough County, Florida. Survey No. 4591.

Kammerer, Laura

- 2010 SHPO Concurrence Letter, DHR Project File No.: 2009-7642, Cultural Resource Assessment Survey Interstate 75 (I-75) Project Development and Environment (PD&E) Study I-75 from South of US 301 to North Fletcher Avenue, Hillsborough County, Florida. ACI, Sarasota.

Matthews, Janet Snyder

- 2003 SHPO Concurrence Letter, DHR Project File No. 2003-7914, Florida High Speed Rail Authority PD&E Study from Tampa to Orlando Hillsborough, Polk, Osceola, and Orange Counties, Florida. ACI, Sarasota.

Parsons, Timothy A.

- 2021 SHPO Concurrence Letter, DHR Project File No.: 2020-5847C, Historic Resources Survey Update Technical Memorandum I-75 from US 301 to North of Bruce B. Downs Boulevard/CR 581, Hillsborough County, Florida. ACI, Sarasota.

Percy, George W.

- 1992 SHPO Concurrence Letter, DHR Project File No.: 922113, Cultural Resource Assessment Survey Interstate 4 (I-4) Improvements Project Right-of-Way from 50<sup>th</sup> Street to the Hillsborough/Polk County Line, Hillsborough County, Florida.

United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) Is this in mentioned in the report?

- 1989 Soil Survey of Hillsborough County, Florida. USDA, Soil Conservation Service, Washington, D.C.

United States Geological Survey (USGS)

- 1956 Brandon, FL (Photo Revised, 1987).
- 1974 Thonotosassa, FL (Photo Revised, 1987).
- 2013 Brandon, FL.
- 2013 Thonotosassa, FL.

United States Department of Agriculture (USDA)

- 1939 Aerial Photograph. 1-11-39, BQF-6-74. PALMM, Gainesville.

WSP

- 2021 I-75 from US 301 to north of Bruce B. Downs Boulevard Project Description, electronically received December 2021.

DRAFT



DRAFT

**APPENDIX A: SHPO Correspondence**



FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF STATE  
**Kurt S. Browning**  
Secretary of State  
DIVISION OF HISTORICAL RESOURCES

Linda Anderson  
Federal Highway Department  
545 John Knox Road, Suite 200  
Tallahassee, Florida 32303

January 19, 2010

RE: DHR Project File No.: 2009-7635 and 2009-7642  
WPI Segment No.: 419235-2 and 419235-3  
Project: *I-75 from Moccasin Wallow Road to South of US 301 PD&E Study and  
I-75 South of US 301 to North Fletcher Avenue PD&E Study*  
County: Manatee and Hillsborough

Dear Ms. Anderson:

This office received and reviewed the above referenced project in accordance with Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966 as amended, 36 CFR Part 800: Protection of Historic Properties, and Chapter 267, Florida Statutes. It is the responsibility of the State Historic Preservation Officer to advise and assist, as appropriate, Federal and State agencies in carrying out their historic preservation responsibilities; to cooperate with agencies to ensure that historic properties are taken into consideration at all levels of planning and development; and to consult with the appropriate agencies in accordance with the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966 as amended, on undertakings that may affect historic properties.

The current submittal includes two Project and Development and Environmental (*PD&E*) studies for the portion of I-75 that extends from Moccasin Wallow Road to US 301 (WPI Segment No.: 419235-2) and from US 301 to North Fletcher Avenue (WPI Segment No.: 419235-3). The project includes the widening of I-75 in both directions within the above-stated limits in addition to the modification of existing interchanges.

Background research for the portion of the project that extends along I-75 between Moccasin Wallow Road and US 301 (WPI Segment No.: 419235-2) noted that there were 10 previously-identified archaeological sites (8HI409, 8HI478, 8HI479, 8HI480, 8HI524, 8HI525, 8HI526, 8HI527, 8HI532, and 8MA136) one previously-identified historic structure (8HI11302), and one previously-identified resource group (8MA1337) within the project's area of potential effects (APE). One of the previously-identified archaeological sites, 8HI480, was determined to be eligible for listing in the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP) by this office in 1979, while the remaining nine archaeological sites have yet to be evaluated by the SHPO. The previously-recorded resource group and historic structure were determined to be ineligible for the NRHP by

500 S. Bronough Street • Tallahassee, FL 32399-0250 • <http://www.flheritage.com>

Director's Office  
850.245.6300 • FAX: 245.6436

Archaeological Research  
850.245.6444 • FAX: 245.6452

Historic Preservation  
850.245.6333 • FAX: 245.6437

this office. As a result of the field survey, evidence of only three of the previously-recorded archaeological sites (8HI478, 8HI524, and 8HI532) was located within the project's APE. The field survey also determined that 8HI11302, the previously-identified historic structure within the APE, was no longer extant. Newly-identified resources documented within the APE as a result of the fieldwork included eight buildings (8HI11295-8HI11302), one archaeological site (8HI11359), and two archaeological occurrences (AOs). The report concluded that none of the historic-age architectural resources within the APE were eligible for listing in the NRHP because each lacked architectural and historic import. Similarly, the portion of the three previously-recorded archaeological sites within the APE (8HI478, 8HI524, and 8HI532), the newly-recorded archaeological site 8HI11359, and the two AO's were evaluated and considered to be insignificant and thus ineligible for inclusion in the NRHP. A table in the report noted that the portions of archaeological sites 8HI409, 8HI479, 8HI480, 8HI525, 8HI526, 8HI527, and 8MA136 were ineligible for listing in the NRHP because no evidence of these previously-identified sites was found during the field study.

Background research for the portion of the project that extends along I-75 between US 301 and North Fletcher Avenue (WPI Segment No.: 419235-3) noted that there were 28 previously-identified archaeological sites and eight previously-identified historic structures within the project APE. Of the 36 previously-identified cultural resources, twelve (archaeological sites numbers 8HI99, 8HI450, 8HI472, 8HI473, 8HI476A, 8HI476B, 8HI483, 8HI485, 8HI507, 8HI509, 8HI510, and 8HI1479) were determined to be eligible for listing in the NRHP by this office. As a result of the field survey, evidence of only ten of the 28 previously-recorded archaeological sites (8HI99, 8HI472, 8HI476A, 8HI476B, 8HI507, 8HI510, and 8HI5431, 8HI5432, 8HI5434, and 8HI5926) and all of the eight previously-recorded historic structures were located within the project's APE and reevaluated. Newly-identified resources documented within the APE as a result of the fieldwork include 15 buildings and two resource groups (8HI11460-11472 and 8HI11481-11482) as well as two AOs. The report found that only one of the documented historic structures, the *Tanner Residence* (8HI8742), was eligible for listing in the NRHP. The report also concluded that the newly-recorded AOs and the portions of the 10 previously-recorded archaeological sites found within the APE were ineligible for listing in the NRHP. A table in the report noted that the portions of the remaining 18 previously-identified archaeological sites were ineligible for listing in the NRHP because no evidence of these sites was found during the field study.

After a review of the submitted reports, this office concurs with the Florida Department of Transportation's determination that the *Tanner Residence* (8HI8742) is eligible for listing in the NRHP and looks forward to continuing coordination regarding the affects, if any, that the proposed undertaking will have on this historic property.


The reports noted that the Florida Master Site Files indicated the presence of 13 archaeological sites within the project's APE that had been previously determined to be NRHP eligible by this

Ms. Linda Anderson  
DHR Project File No.: 2009-7635 and 2009-7642  
January 19, 2010  
Page 3

office (sites 8HI99, 8HI450, 8HI472, 8HI473, 8HI476A, 8HI476B, 8HI480, 8HI483, 8HI485, 8HI507, 8HI509, 8HI510, and 8HI1479). After the field study, the reports concluded that the portion of each of these sites within the APE was ineligible because very little or no cultural material was located as a result of subsurface testing. Please note, for the sake of clarification, that this office seldom confers a dual eligibility designation to a single site (i.e., a site is either *eligible* or *not eligible* for the NRHP). This office therefore finds that sites 8HI99, 8HI450, 8HI472, 8HI473, 8HI476A, 8HI476B, 8HI480, 8HI483, 8HI485, 8HI507, 8HI509, 8HI510, and 8HI1479 should maintain their status as NRHP eligible, but finds that the project will have *no adverse affect* [as per 36 C.F.R. Part 800, § 800.5(b)] on the sites due to the location of the proposed project and the lack of cultural material present within the project's APE.

If there are any questions concerning our comments or recommendations, please contact Jennifer Ross, Architectural Historian, by phone at 850.245.6333, or via electronic mail at [jrross@dos.state.fl.us](mailto:jross@dos.state.fl.us).

Sincerely,



Laura A. Kammerer  
Deputy State Historic Preservation Officer  
For Review and Compliance

PC: Bob Gleason, FDOT District 5, Deland  
Roy Jackson, FDOT CEMO, Tallahassee/#5500



*Florida Department of Transportation*

RON DESANTIS  
GOVERNOR

11201 N. McKinley Drive  
Tampa, Florida 33612-6456

KEVIN J. THIBAUT, P.E.  
SECRETARY

February 4, 2021

Timothy A. Parsons, Ph.D., Director  
State Historic Preservation Officer  
Florida Division of Historical Resources  
500 South Bronough Street  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0250

Attention: Alyssa McManus, Transportation Compliance Review Program

**Re: *Cultural Resource Assessment Survey Technical Memorandum for Stormwater Management Facilities (SMF) and Floodplain Compensation (FPC) Sites and Historic Resources Survey Update Technical Memorandum I-75 (SR 93A) from US 301/SR 43 to North of Bruce B. Downs Boulevard/CR 581 Hillsborough County, Florida Work Program Item (WPI) Segment No.: 419235-3 Federal Aid Project No.: Not Available Division of Historic Resources (DHR) Project No.: 2009-7642***

Dear Dr. Parsons:

The Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT), District Seven, is preparing a Project Development and Environment (PD&E) Study for proposed roadway improvements along I-75 (State Road [SR] 93A) from US 301/SR 43 to north of Bruce B. Downs Boulevard/County Road [CR] 581 in Hillsborough County, Florida. The purpose of the project is to evaluate capacity improvements with the Preferred Build Alternative including widening of I-75 (SR 93A) within the existing median (adding two Express Lanes in each direction).

A *Cultural Resource Assessment Survey* (CRAS) was originally prepared in 2009 for the PD&E Study and coordinated with the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) and the State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO). The FHWA approved the CRAS on December 14, 2009 and the SHPO concurred with the findings of the survey on January 19, 2010. The SHPO letter referred to two segments of I-75 which were submitted at the same time (419235-2 for I-75 from Moccasin Wallow Road to south of US 301 and 419235-3 for I-75 from south of US 301 to north of Fletcher Avenue). This current letter only provides an update for the 419235-3 segment so the results of the previous 2009 CRAS for the 419235-3 segment are noted here. The SHPO concurred that the Tanner Residence (8HI08742) was eligible for listing in the NRHP. Subsequently, in 2010, a Section 106 Consultation Case Study Report was prepared to evaluate potential effects the project may have on the NRHP eligible Tanner Residence (8HI08742). On February 22, 2011, the SHPO determined that this project would have no adverse effect on the Tanner Residence (DHR Project No.: 2011-509).

As part of the proposed PD&E project improvements, Archaeological Consultants, Inc. (ACI) has recently prepared a *CRAS Technical Memorandum* for 22 Stormwater Management Facility (SMF) sites and ten Floodplain Compensation (FPC) sites (April 2020). In addition, ACI prepared a *Historic Resources Survey Update (HRSU)* (September 2020) for the project corridor. The *HRSU* was prepared to update the previous 2009 PD&E Study CRAS.

Enclosed are the two documents that were recently prepared for the above referenced project. This includes the *CRAS Technical Memorandum* (April 2020) and the *HRSU* (September 2020), 30 updated or new prepared Florida Master Site File (FMSF) forms (8HI00511, 8HI00514, 8HI00519, 8HI06898, 8HI08742, 8HI14684-HI14706, 8HI14872, and 8HI14873), one demolished building letter for three historic structures (8HI06900, 8HI11464, and 8HI11471), two Survey Log Sheets, and a CD containing an electronic version of these files.

On behalf of the FDOT District Seven, ACI conducted a *CRAS Technical Memorandum* and *HRSU* for the project. The purpose of both surveys was to identify the presence of resources listed in or considered eligible for listing in the NRHP according to the criteria set forth in 36 CFR 60.4 and if applicable, to apply the Criteria of Adverse Effect, as set forth in 36 CFR 800.5(a)(1) to the project. Principal Investigators meet the *Secretary of the Interior's Professional Qualification Standards* (48 FR 44716).

Based upon the scale and nature of the activities, the archaeological APE for the *CRAS Technical Memorandum* is the area contained within the footprint of the proposed improvements (SMF and FPC Sites). The historic resources APE includes the archaeological APE and immediately adjacent properties as contained within 150 feet. The historic resources APE for the *HRSU* extends 300-feet from the edge of existing right-of-way (ROW). This APE remains in-keeping with the 2009 CRAS.

Background research identified 17 previously recorded archaeological sites within the *CRAS Technical Memorandum* APE (proposed SMF and FPC sites). Based on cultural and environmental data, preliminary areas of archaeological probability were developed for the *CRAS Technical Memorandum* APE prior to initiating field work. This data suggested that the APE possessed a variable archaeological site probability (low to high). Archaeological investigations consisted of a ground surface reconnaissance and systematic excavation of the survey area. As a result of the field survey, additional evidence of four of the previously recorded sites was found (8HI00511 [SMF 20A], 8HI00514 [SMF 19A], 8HI00519 [SMF 12/13/C], 8HI06898 [SMF 4/5]) and one new site was recorded (8HI14873 [SMF 17A and 18A]). The portions of all previously recorded and newly recorded archaeological sites within the APE are ineligible for listing in the NRHP. One of the pond sites was not tested due to it being an active construction site (FPC 19B) and three others were not tested due to lack of access (FPC 12/13R, SMF 21B, FPC21B). The FDOT is committing to field surveying these sites and coordinating with the SHPO before any ground disturbance is conducted within those FPC and SMF sites.

A historic resources desktop analysis was conducted to identify any previously recorded historic resources, assess the potential for unrecorded historic resources, and to review the location of the proposed improvements in relation to these cultural resources.

Timothy A. Parsons, Ph.D., Director  
I-75 (SR 93A) from US 301/SR 43 to North of Bruce B. Downs Blvd/CR 581  
Hillsborough County, Florida  
WPI Segment No.: 419235-3  
September 16, 2020  
Page 3 of 4

As part of the survey methodology, historic resources 50 years of age or older (i.e. constructed in 1969 or earlier) were field verified.

The *CRAS Technical Memorandum* for SMF and FPC sites identified seven extant, previously recorded historic resources (8HI11335, 8HI11470, 8HI14689, 8HI14694-HI14696, and 8HI14872) within and/or adjacent to the proposed SMF/FPC sites. Five of these resources were recorded as part of the HRSU (8HI14689, 8HI14694-HI14695, and 8HI14872). The HRSU resulted in the identification of 24 historic resources (8HI14684-HI14706, and 8HI14872) and the identification and re-evaluation of one previously recorded historic resource (8HI08742). In addition, three previously recorded historic resources were confirmed as demolished (8HI06900, 8HI11464, and 8HI11471). Of the 25 identified resources, 24 appear ineligible for listing in the NRHP, either individually or as part of a historic district. The remaining resource, the Tanner Residence (8HI08742), was previously determined eligible for listing in the NRHP. Since the 2009 CRAS, the historic barn and orange grove associated with the Tanner Residence were demolished. Therefore, the boundaries for the resource were adjusted as part of the HRSU to exclude the former area of the orange grove (1.28 acres). It is the opinion of ACI that the Tanner Residence (8HI08742) remains eligible for listing in the NRHP under Criterion C as an example of late 19<sup>th</sup> to early 20<sup>th</sup> century rural vernacular architecture.

Based on the results of the 2009 Case Study, SHPO determined that the project would have no adverse effect on the Tanner Residence (8HI08742). No additional enhancements from what was coordinated in the 2009 Case Study are proposed for the area of I-75 (SR 93A) in proximity to the Tanner Residence. It appears that the proposed undertaking will still have no adverse effect with any NRHP-listed, determined eligible, or potentially eligible resources within the APE. Therefore, it is the opinion of the FDOT District Seven that the **I-75 (SR 93A) from US 301 to North of Bruce B. Downs Boulevard/CR 581** project will result in a finding of *no adverse effect*.

This information is being provided in accordance with the provisions of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966 (as amended), which are implemented by the procedures contained in 36 CFR, Part 800, as well as in accordance with the provisions contained in the revised Chapter 267, *Florida Statutes*.

The environmental review, consultation, and other actions required by applicable Federal environmental laws for this project are being, or have been, carried out by the FDOT pursuant to 23 U.S.C. § 327 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated December 14, 2016, and executed by the FHWA and FDOT.

Timothy A. Parsons, Ph.D., Director  
I-75 (SR 93A) from US 301/SR 43 to North of Bruce B. Downs Blvd/CR 581  
Hillsborough County, Florida  
WPI Segment No.: 419235-3  
September 16, 2020  
Page 4 of 4

If you have any questions, or if I may be of assistance, please contact me at (813) 975-6637 or [crystal.geiger@dot.state.fl.us](mailto:crystal.geiger@dot.state.fl.us).

Sincerely,



Crystal Geiger  
Environmental Specialist III  
Cultural Resource Coordinator

Enclosures

cc: Robin Rhinesmith, FDOT  
Roy Jackson, FDOT, OEM  
Steve Gordillo, WSP  
Rebecca Spain Schwarz, Atkins (GEC)

Ashley Henzel, FDOT  
Thu Clark, FDOT OEM  
Marion Almy, ACI

The State Historic Preservation Officer finds the attached Cultural Resources Assessment Survey (CRAS) Technical Memorandum and Historic Resources Survey Update (HRSU) complete and sufficient and \_\_\_\_\_ concurs / \_\_\_\_\_ does not concur with the recommendations and findings in this letter for SHPO / FDHR Project File Number \_\_\_\_\_. Or, the SHPO finds the attached documents contain \_\_\_\_\_ insufficient information.

In accordance with the Programmatic Agreement among the FHWA, ACHP, FDHR, SHPO, and FDOT Regarding Implementation of the Federal-Aid Highway Program in Florida, if providing concurrence with a finding of No Historic Properties Affected for a project as a whole, or to No Adverse Effect on a specific historic property, SHPO shall presume that the FDOT shall proceed with a *de minimis* Section 4(f) finding at its discretion for the use of land from the historic property.

SHPO/FDHR Comments: \_\_\_\_\_ We look forward to reviewing the addendum for the unsurveyed ponds once access to those locations is granted. \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
*Jason Aldridge DSHPO*  
Timothy A. Parsons, Ph.D., Director  
Florida Division of Historical Resources

\_\_\_\_\_  
February 15, 2021  
Date





Handwritten notes: "Hillsborough" and "2071"

FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF STATE

Jim Smith  
Secretary of State

DIVISION OF HISTORICAL RESOURCES

R.A. Gray Building  
500 South Bronough

Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0250

Director's Office  
(904) 488-1480

Telecopier Number (FAX)  
(904) 488-3353

August 12, 1992

Mr. C. Leroy Irwin  
Environmental Management Office  
Department of Transportation  
Hayden Burns Building, MS# 37  
605 Suwannee Street  
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0450

In Reply Refer To:  
Denise M. Breit  
Historic Sites  
Specialist  
(904) 487-2333  
Project File No. 922113

RE: Cultural Resource Assessment Review Request  
*A Cultural Resource Assessment Survey of the Interstate 4  
Improvements Project Right-of-Way from 50th Street to the  
Hillsborough /Polk County Line, Hillsborough County,  
Florida.* By Janus Research/Piper Archaeology June 1992.  
SPN: 10190-1402  
WPN: 7143194

Dear Mr. Irwin:

In accordance with the procedures contained in 36 C.F.R., Part 800 ("Protection of Historic Properties"), as well as the provisions contained in Chapter 267.061, Florida Statutes, we have reviewed the results of the field survey of the referenced project performed by Janus Research/Piper Archaeology, private consulting firm, and find them to be complete and sufficient.

We note that 37 archaeological sites, 8HI325, 8HI391, 8HI513, 8HI514, 8HI4033, 8HI5044-8HI5071, and 8HI5118-8HI5121, and 41 historic structures, 8HI5077-8HI5117, were assessed during the course of the survey. None of these properties was determined to be eligible for listing in the National Register. We concur with these conclusions and recommendations. It is the determination of this office, therefore, that this project will have no effect on any significant resources, and that the project may proceed.

However, although the portions of sites 8HI4033, 8HI5063, 8HI5064, and 8HI5121 within the right-of-way were found to be insignificant, if the corridor changes or if these areas are chosen as retention pond or borrow pit locations, further testing is recommended. The resultant final report would then need to be forwarded for our review.

Mr. Irwin  
August 12, 1992  
Page 2

If you have any questions concerning our comments, please do not hesitate to contact us. Your interest in protecting Florida's historic properties is appreciated.

Sincerely,

*for* *Laura A. Kammerer*  
George W. Percy, Director  
Division of Historical Resources  
and  
State Historic Preservation Officer

GWP/Bdb

DRAFT



Hillsborough  
DOT

FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF STATE

Jim Smith  
Secretary of State

DIVISION OF HISTORICAL RESOURCES

R.A. Gray Building  
500 South Bronough

Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0250

Director's Office  
(904) 488-1480

Telecopier Number (FAX)  
(904) 488-3353

PFN: 932951

In Reply Refer To:  
Susan Hammersten  
Compliance Review  
Section, DHR  
(904) 487-2333

September 17, 1993

Mr. Richard W. Estabrook  
Janus Research/Piper Archaeology  
P.O. Box 919  
St. Petersburg, Florida 33731

RE: Cultural Resource Assessment Survey, I-4 Improvements from  
50th Street to Polk County Line, Segments 1, 4, 5; Alignment  
Changes, Mitigation Areas and Detention Ponds. Hillsborough  
County SPN: 10190-1402 WPIN: 7143194 FAPN: DPI-0042(1)

Dear Mr. Estabrook:

In accordance with the provisions of the National Historic  
Preservation Act of 1966, as amended, which are implemented by  
the procedures contained in 36 C.F.R., Part 800; as well as the  
provisions contained in Chapter 267, Florida Statutes, we have  
reviewed the referenced letter report, and find it to be complete  
and sufficient.

Segment One

We concur with the project archaeologist in concluding that the  
fifteen previously recorded sites (8HI325/1079, 8HI2189-92,  
8HI4465-6, 8HI5044-51) and the single newly recorded site  
(8HI5405) do not constitute significant resources based on  
National Register eligibility criteria. We also concur that the  
seven previously recorded historic structures within Segment 1  
(8HI5081-86, 8HI5105) are not eligible for listing in the  
National Register.

Mitigation Area Three

We note that three prehistoric archaeological sites (8HI5407-9)  
were recorded and evaluated within this Segment. We concur with  
your conclusion that these sites are not National Register  
eligible. We also concur that the Old Russian Homestead site  
(8HI5406) does not meet the eligibility criteria necessary for  
listing in the National Register.

Mr. Estabrook  
September 17, 1993  
Page 2

Segments Four and Five

We note that no new sites were located within these segments. We concur with you in concluding that the fourteen previously recorded archaeological sites (8HI4619-20, 8HI5064-70, 8HI5118-21) are not eligible for listing in the National Register. We also concur that the twenty-one previously recorded historic structures (8HI5079, 8HI5087-5101, 8HI5103-7, 8HI5112-5114) do not meet the eligibility criteria necessary for listing in the National Register.

Therefore, on the basis of the survey findings, it is the opinion of this agency that the proposed I-4 Segment 1, 4, 5 and Mitigation Area #3 portions of the project are unlikely to affect any properties listed, or eligible for listing, in the National Register.

If you have any questions concerning our comments, please do not hesitate to contact us. Your interest in protecting Florida's historic properties is appreciated.

Sincerely,

*Ja* *Laura A. Kammerer*

George W. Percy, Director  
Division of Historical Resources  
and  
State Historic Preservation Officer

GWP/Hsh  
xc: C.L. Irwin, FDOT

17574



FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF STATE  
**Glenda E. Hood**  
Secretary of State  
DIVISION OF HISTORICAL RESOURCES

Mr. Kevin J. Thibault, P.E.  
HNTB  
1615 Edgewater Drive, Suite 200  
Orlando, Florida 33804

September 15, 2003

Re: DHR Number: 2003-7914 / Date Received by DHR: July 29, 2003  
U.S. Department of Transportation - Federal Rail and Federal Highway Administrations  
*Cultural Resource Assessment Survey Report, Florida High Speed Rail Authority Project Development and Environment (PD&E) Study, From Tampa to Orlando, Hillsborough, Polk, Osceola, and Orange Counties, Florida*

Dear Mr. Thibault:

Our office received and reviewed the referenced cultural resource assessment survey in accordance with Section 106 of the *National Historic Preservation Act of 1966*, as amended, and implementing regulations in 36 CFR Part 800, for possible impact to historic properties listed, or eligible for listing, in the *National Register of Historic Places (NRHP)*. The State Historic Preservation Officer is to advise Federal agencies as they identify historic properties (listed or eligible for listing, in the *NRHP*). We find the report complete and sufficient, in accordance with 1A-46, *Florida Administrative Code*.

Results of the archaeological survey investigations indicate that 92 previously recorded archaeological sites were identified within the alignments. No new archaeological sites were discovered within the segments of new alignment, nor within any proposed station locations or maintenance facility site. The 92 sites were evaluated as not eligible for listing in the *NRHP*. The two sites that were previously evaluated as eligible for listing in the *NRHP*, 8HI83 and 8HI476 (A&B), were re-evaluated. Site 8HI83, the Columbus Drive Site, if within the project Area of Potential Effect (APE), was apparently destroyed since it was recorded in 1953. Site 8HI476 (A&B), the Diamond Dairy Site, was excavated during mitigation actions performed prior to the Interstate 75 corridor construction activities.

The architectural resources portion of the survey indicated that a total of 405 properties were located within the APE, including 251 newly identified properties and 150 previously recorded properties. We note that seven properties are listed in the *NRHP*. The Centro Asturiano (8HI835), Floridan Hotel (8HI741), S.H. Kress Building (8HI752), Union Depot Hotel (8HI6939), and the Tampa Union Railroad Station (8HI298) are individually listed properties. The other two resources are districts, the Ybor City National Historic Landmark District (NHLD), 8HI313, and the North Franklin Street Historic District, 8HI8536.

500 S. Bronough Street • Tallahassee, FL 32399-0250 • <http://www.flheritage.com>

- Director's Office (850) 245-6300 • FAX: 245-6435
- Archaeological Research (850) 245-6444 • FAX: 245-6436
- Historic Preservation (850) 245-6333 • FAX: 245-6437
- Historical Museums (850) 245-6400 • FAX: 245-6433
- Palm Beach Regional Office (561) 279-1475 • FAX: 279-1476
- St. Augustine Regional Office (904) 825-5045 • FAX: 825-5044
- Tampa Regional Office (813) 272-3843 • FAX: 272-2340

12574

Mr. Kevin J. Thibault, P.E.  
September 15, 2003  
Page 2

Nine individual properties are considered eligible for listing in the *NHRP*: St. Paul AME Church (8HI155), Oaklawn Cemetery (8HI5595), Greater Bethel Baptist Church (8HI3282), German American Club (8HI142), I-Type House (8HI4415), J.J. Newberry Building (8HI753), Woolworth Building (8HI751), Fire Station No./Tampa Firefighters Museum (8HI124), and Jackson Hotel (8HI906) as a result of previous evaluations. Five new individual properties were evaluated as eligible for listing in the *NRHP* as a result of the new survey. These are the Allen Temple AME Church and Parsonage (8HI3688 and 8HI8785), St. Peter Claver Catholic School (8HI3659), St. James Episcopal Church (8HI8574) and the Thomas Henderson Memorial Chapel of the First United Methodist Church (8HI8744). In addition, 63 properties were identified and recorded as contributing properties in the Ybor City NHL. These properties include the following sites: 8HI8577-8678, 8HI8581-8583, 8HI8585-8618, 8HI8620-8626, 8HI8628-8631, 8HI8633-8635, 8HI8637-8643, 8HI8645-8646, and 8HI8648-8649.

Based on the information provided, our office concurs with the determination regarding the five new properties considered potentially eligible for listing in the *NRHP* on an individual basis. We concur that the 63 new properties located, evaluated and recorded in the Ybor City NHL are considered contributing properties. However, it is the opinion of this office that the St. Paul AME Church Parsonage (8HI6757) and the CSX Railroad Depot (8HI8739) are additional properties that are potentially eligible for listing in the *NRHP* Criterion A for ethnic heritage/Afro-American (the parsonage) and transportation systems/commerce (the depot).

Please note that it is our opinion that the property located at 918 East 11<sup>th</sup> Avenue (8HI8584) appears to be substantially altered and, therefore, is no longer a contributing property in the Ybor City NHL.

We note that the proposed pond locations have not been identified, and when identified will be need to be subjected to a cultural resource assessment. The office looks forward to consulting with the stakeholders and the interested parties during the evaluation of potential effects on the 25 historic properties identified as listed, or potentially eligible for listing in the *NRHP* identified above, and the development of measures to avoid, minimize or mitigate adverse effects to those historic properties.

If you have any questions concerning our comments, please contact Laura Kammerer, Historic Preservationist Supervisor, at (850) 245-6333, or my electronic mail at [lkammerer@dos.state.fl.us](mailto:lkammerer@dos.state.fl.us). Your interest in protecting Florida's historic properties is appreciated.

Sincerely,



Janet Snyder Matthews, Ph.D., Director, and  
State Historic Preservation Officer

Xc: Rebecca Spain Schwarz, PBSJ




**Florida Department of Transportation**

RICK SCOTT  
GOVERNOR

11201 N. McKinley Drive  
Tampa, FL 33612-6456

RECEIVED  
HISTORIC  
2015 MAY 13



P 3:51  
JIM BOXOLD  
SECRETARY

MS#21848

April 16, 2015

Ms. Cathy Kendall  
Environmental Protection Specialist  
Federal Highway Administration  
Florida Division  
545 John Knox Road, Suite 200  
Tallahassee, Florida 32303

RE: Cultural Resource Assessment Survey  
Interstate 4 (I-4) (SR 400) from east of 50<sup>th</sup> Street to Polk Parkway  
Work Program Item Segment No.: 431746-1  
Federal Aid Project (FAP) No.: TBD  
Hillsborough County, Florida

Dear Ms. Kendall:

The Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT), District Seven is conducting a Project Development and Environment (PD&E) Study to evaluate improvements to Interstate 4 (I-4) (SR 400) from east of 50<sup>th</sup> Street (US 41/SR 583) in Hillsborough County to the Polk Parkway (SR 570) in Polk County, Florida. The total distance for this project is approximately 22.1 miles. This study will examine the need for express lane improvements to increase the capacity and improve the operations and safety of I-4. The improvements being considered include widening I-4 to accommodate four (4) express lanes with two (2) express lanes in each direction. Widening will occur both to the inside and to the outside throughout most of the project study area, except from approximately Alexander Street (SR 39A) to Polk Parkway (SR 570) where widening will be only to the inside. The project is mainly within the existing right-of-way (ROW) with the exception of ten (10) locations. The ROW is needed to correct horizontal geometry, provide 10-foot maintenance berms behind Mechanically Stabilized Earth (MSE) walls, and for the inclusion of express lane to express lane at the I-4 and I-75 interchanges.

Enclosed are two copies of the Cultural Resource Assessment Survey (CRAS) (April 2015) that was prepared for the above referenced project. Also enclosed are 79 Florida Master Site File (FMSF) forms (8HI24, 8HI513, 8HI514, 8HI5079, 8HI5085, 8HI5086, 8HI5088 through 8HI5091, 8HI5094, 8HI5097, 8HI5107, 8HI5109, 8HI6730, 8HI6737, 8HI8680, 8HI8682, 8HI8687, 8HI8689, 8HI8690, 8HI8692, 8HI8693, 8HI8746, 8HI8755, 8HI8757 through 8HI8760, 8HI8763, 8HI8769 through 8HI8772, 8HI8779 through 8HI8782, 8HI10288, 8HI12135, 8HI12224 through 8HI12260; 8HI12262 and

Ms. Cathy Kendall  
Interstate 4 (I-4) (SR 400) from east of 50<sup>th</sup> Street to Polk Parkway  
Work Program Item Segment No.: 431746-1; FAP No.: TBD  
April 16, 2015  
Page 2 of 4

8HI12281); a CD containing the FMSF photographs and pdf files of the FMSF forms and CRAS (for the State Historic Preservation Officer [SHPO]); a CD containing a pdf file of the CRAS and FMSF forms (for FHWA); and a Survey Log Sheet. As agreed, the FMSF forms for FHWA are all contained on the CD and not provided as hard copies since there are so many forms.

The CRAS included background research and a field survey. The purpose was to locate and identify any archaeological sites and historic resources located within the project area of potential effect (APE) and to assess their significance in terms of eligibility for listing in the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP). The archaeological Area of Potential Effect (APE) was defined as the existing right of way; the historical APE includes the existing right of way as well as immediately adjacent properties within 200 feet. Proposed pond and floodplain compensation sites were not identified in the PD&E Study and will be evaluated later during design.

The background research suggested a Moderate Degree of Effect for archaeological resources. Background research indicated that 39 previously recorded archaeological sites are located within or adjacent to the project archaeological APE. With the exception of three (3) sites, the SHPO evaluated all sites as ineligible for listing in the NRHP. Only the three (3) sites (8HI24, 8HI513, and 8HI514) that were either not evaluated by the SHPO or had insufficient information for a significance determination were field surveyed. Survey of new areas of ROW was not needed. Systematic survey of the entire project corridor was not required since the existing ROW has been severely altered by roadway development and other impacts, and work within the ROW posed a safety issue for the field team. Field survey produced negative results which was documented in FMSF Update forms.

The background research suggested a Moderate Degree of Effect for historical resources. Historical/architectural field survey resulted in the identification and evaluation of 76 historic resources within the I-4 project APE. This includes 37 previously recorded and 39 newly identified and recorded resources. This total includes 33 previously recorded structures, 38 newly recorded structures, one (1) railroad (Seaboard Air Line Railroad/8HI10288), two (2) canals (Tampa Bypass Canal/8HI12135 and Baker's Creek Canal/8HI12281), and two (2) cemeteries (Memorial Park Cemetery/8HI6730 and Mount Enon Primitive Baptist Cemetery (8HI6737). There is insufficient information to evaluate the NRHP eligibility for the one (1) railroad and two (2) canals based on the short segments contained within the project APE. The 71 historic structures and the two (2) cemeteries do not meet the criteria for listing in the NRHP.

This information is being provided in accordance with the provisions of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966 (as amended), which are implemented by the procedures contained in 36 CFR, Part 800, as well as the provisions contained in the revised Chapter 267, Florida Statutes.



Ms. Cathy Kendall  
Interstate 4 (I-4) (SR 400) from east of 50<sup>th</sup> Street to Polk Parkway  
Work Program Item Segment No.: 431746-1; FAP No.: TBD  
April 16, 2015  
Page 3 of 4

Provided you approve the recommendations and findings in the enclosed cultural resource document, please coordinate with the SHPO for concurrence. The unbound copy of the document; the original FMSF forms; CD with FMSF photos, forms, and CRAS; and Survey Log Sheet are for the SHPO. The bound copy of the document and the CD with the CRAS and FMSF pdf file is for your files.

If you have any questions, please contact me at (813) 975-6456 or [todd.bogner@dot.state.fl.us](mailto:todd.bogner@dot.state.fl.us) or Rebecca Spain Schwarz at (813) 281- 8308 or [rebecca.spain-schwarz@atkinsglobal.com](mailto:rebecca.spain-schwarz@atkinsglobal.com).

Sincerely,



Todd L. Bogner  
Environmental Specialist III  
District Seven Cultural Resource Coordinator

TLB/RSS  
Enclosure

cc: Phillip Bello (FHWA)      Marvin Williams (FHWA)      Roy Jackson (FDOT CEMO)  
Robin Rhinesmith (FDOT)      Sara Hall-Wagner (FDOT)      Ben Walker (HNTB)  
Rebecca Spain Schwarz (Atkins/GEC)

Ms. Cathy Kendall  
Interstate 4 (I-4) (SR 400) from east of 50<sup>th</sup> Street to Polk Parkway  
Work Program Item Segment No.: 431746-1; FAP No.: TBD  
April 16, 2015  
Page 4 of 4

The FHWA finds the Cultural Resource Assessment Survey provided with this letter to be complete and sufficient and  approves /  does not approve the above recommendations and findings. Or, the FHWA finds the attached report contains  insufficient information.

The FHWA requests the SHPO's opinion on the sufficiency of the report provided with the letter and the SHPO's opinion on the recommendations and findings contained in this letter and in the comment block below.

**FHWA Comments:**

[Empty comment box for FHWA]

*for* James Christian  
Division Administrator  
Florida Division  
Federal Highway Administration

5/11/15  
Date

The Florida State Historic Preservation Officer finds the attached Cultural Resource Assessment Survey complete and sufficient and concurs with the recommendations and findings provided in this cover letter for SHPO/DHR Project File Number ~~15-2306~~ Or, the SHPO finds the attached report contains  insufficient information. *2015-2306*

**SHPO Comments:**

[Empty comment box for SHPO]

*for* Robert F. Bendus, Director  
Division of Historical Resources  
and State Historic Preservation Officer

6/10/15  
Date

DRAFT

**APPENDIX B: FMSF Forms**



HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM
FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE
Version 5.0 3/19

Site#8 HI08706
Field Date 11-28-2021
Form Date 12-1-2021
Recorder #

Original
Update

Shaded Fields represent the minimum acceptable level of documentation.
Consult the Guide to Historical Structure Forms for detailed instructions.

Site Name(s) (address if none) 5219 Eureka Springs Road
Survey Project Name CRAS I-75 from S of US 301 to N of CR 581
National Register Category (please check one) building structure district site object
Ownership: private profit private nonprofit private individual private nonspecific city county state federal Native American foreign unknown

Multiple Listing (DHR only)
Survey # (DHR only)

LOCATION & MAPPING

Street Number Direction Street Name Street Type Suffix Direction
Address: 5219 Eureka Springs Road
Cross Streets (nearest/between) Between US 92 and E Hillsborough Avenue
USGS 7.5 Map Name BRANDON USGS Date 1956 Plat or Other Map
City / Town (within 3 miles) Tampa In City Limits? yes no unknown County Hillsborough
Township 29S Range 20E Section 6 1/4 section: NW SW SE NE Irregular-name:
Tax Parcel # U-06-29-20-1MG-000000-00054.4 Landgrant
Subdivision Name State HWY Farms 33 to 48 Block N/A Lot 54
UTM Coordinates: Zone 16 17 Easting 367780 Northing 3097485
Other Coordinates: X: Y: Coordinate System & Datum
Name of Public Tract (e.g., park)

HISTORY

Construction Year: 1953 approximately year listed or earlier year listed or later
Original Use Private Residence (House/Cottage/Ca From (year): 1953 To (year): UNK
Current Use Storage building From (year): UNK To (year): CURR
Other Use From (year): To (year):
Moves: yes no unknown Date: Original address
Alterations: yes no unknown Date: Nature replacement windows & roofing materials
Additions: yes no unknown Date: Nature S elev addition
Architect (last name first): Builder (last name first):
Ownership History (especially original owner, dates, profession, etc.)
Brugger (2013-CURR); Bennett (1987-2013); Shepard (1973-1987); Bass (1973-1973)

Is the Resource Affected by a Local Preservation Ordinance? yes no unknown Describe

DESCRIPTION

Style Ranch Exterior Plan Irregular Number of Stories 1
Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Concrete block 2. Artbrick, artstone 3. Vertical plank
Roof Type(s) 1. Gable 2. Flat 3.
Roof Material(s) 1. Composition shingles 2. Built-up 3.
Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.) 1. Gable extension 2.

Windows (types, materials, etc.)
Casement and fixed, metal, individual, paired, grouped (3), 4-light; SHS, metal, paired, 6/6;
jalousie, metal, individual & paired

Distinguishing Architectural Features (exterior or interior ornaments)
exposed rafter ends, concrete window sills, vents in gable ends, porch supports clad in art
brick

Ancillary Features / Outbuildings (record outbuildings, major landscape features; use continuation sheet if needed.)
N/A

Table with 3 columns: DHR USE ONLY, OFFICIAL EVALUATION, DHR USE ONLY. Rows include NR List Date, Owner Objection, SHPO - Appears to meet criteria for NR listing, and NR Criteria for Evaluation.

**DESCRIPTION (continued)**

Chimney: No. 0 Chimney Material(s): 1. 2. 3.  
 Structural System(s): 1. Concrete block 2. 3.  
 Foundation Type(s): 1. Continuous 2.  
 Foundation Material(s): 1. Concrete Block 2.

**Main Entrance (stylistic details)**

W Elev: single door with three-lights beneath a gable extension

**Porch Descriptions (types, locations, roof types, etc.)**

W/ENTRANCE: open below gable roof preceding the main entrance

Condition (overall resource condition): excellent good fair deteriorated ruinous

**Narrative Description of Resource**

This is a basic Ranch style residence with a prominent porch preceding the off center main entrance. The building has exposed roof rafters, and partially clad art brick siding.

**Archaeological Remains**

Check if Archaeological Form Completed

**RESEARCH METHODS (select all that apply)**

- FMSF record search (sites/surveys)
- FL State Archives/photo collection
- property appraiser / tax records
- cultural resource survey (CRAS)
- other methods (describe) UFDC aerial photos & FDOT APlus aerial maps
- library research
- city directory
- newspaper files
- historic photos
- building permits
- occupant/owner interview
- neighbor interview
- interior inspection
- Sanborn maps
- plat maps
- Public Lands Survey (DEP)
- HABS/HAER record search

**Bibliographic References (give FMSF manuscript # if relevant, use continuation sheet if needed)**

UFDC - accessible online at: <https://ufdc.ufl.edu/aerials>; FDOT accessible online at: <https://fdotewp1.dot.state.fl.us/AerialPhotoLookUpSystem/>

**OPINION OF RESOURCE SIGNIFICANCE**

Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing individually? yes no insufficient information  
 Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing as part of a district? yes no insufficient information

**Explanation of Evaluation (required, whether significant or not; use separate sheet if needed)**

This building is not a significant embodiment of the Ranch style, mid-century period, or method of construction. Background research did not reveal any historic associations with significant persons and/or events.

**Area(s) of Historical Significance (see National Register Bulletin 15, p. 8 for categories: e.g. "architecture", "ethnic heritage", "community planning & development", etc.)**

1. 3. 5.  
 2. 4. 6.

**DOCUMENTATION**

**Accessible Documentation Not Filed with the Site File - including field notes, analysis notes, photos, plans and other important documents**

- 1) Document type All materials at one location Maintaining organization Archaeological Consultants Inc  
 Document description Field notes, maps, photos, resear File or accession #'s P1653B.3
- 2) Document type Maintaining organization  
 Document description File or accession #'s

**RECORDER INFORMATION**

Recorder Name Madeline Westrom Affiliation Archaeological Consultants Inc  
 Recorder Contact Information 8110 Blaikie Ct., St. A, Sarasota, FL 34240 / aciflorida@comcast.net  
 (address / phone / fax / e mail)

**Required Attachments**

- ① USGS 7.5' MAP WITH STRUCTURE LOCATION CLEARLY INDICATED
- ② LARGE SCALE STREET, PLAT OR PARCEL MAP (available from most property appraiser web sites)
- ③ PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, DIGITAL IMAGE FILE

When submitting an image, it must be included in digital **AND** hard copy format (plain paper grayscale acceptable). Digital image must be at least 1600 x 1200 pixels, 24-bit color, jpeg or tiff.



**PHOTOGRAPHS**





AERIAL MAP





**USGS Brandon**  
**Township 29 South, Range 20 East, Section 6**



Copyright:© 2013 National Geographic Society, i-cubed.





# HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE Version 5.0 3/19

Site#8 **HI15186**  
Field Date 11-2-2021  
Form Date 11-3-2021  
Recorder #

Original  
 Update

Shaded Fields represent the minimum acceptable level of documentation.  
Consult the *Guide to Historical Structure Forms* for detailed instructions.

Site Name(s) (address if none) **Stepp's Towing** Multiple Listing (DHR only)  
Survey Project Name **CRAS I-75 from S of US 301 to N of CR 581** Survey # (DHR only)  
National Register Category (please check one)  building  structure  district  site  object  
Ownership:  private profit  private nonprofit  private individual  private nonspecific  city  county  state  federal  Native American  foreign  unknown

## LOCATION & MAPPING

Street Number **9602** Direction **E** Street Name **US 92** Street Type **Highway** Suffix Direction  
Address: **9602 E US 92 Highway**  
Cross Streets (nearest/between) **between Eureka Springs Rd and Baptist Church Rd**  
USGS 7.5 Map Name **BRANDON** USGS Date **1956** Plat or Other Map  
City / Town (within 3 miles) **Tampa** In City Limits?  yes  no  unknown County **Hillsborough**  
Township **29S** Range **20E** Section **06** 1/4 section:  NW  SW  SE  NE Irregular-name:  
Tax Parcel # **U-06-29-20-1MG-000000-00054.5** Landgrant  
Subdivision Name Block Lot  
UTM Coordinates: Zone  16  17 Easting **367874** Northing **3097436**  
Other Coordinates: X: Y: Coordinate System & Datum  
Name of Public Tract (e.g., park)

## HISTORY

Construction Year: **1970**  approximately  year listed or earlier  year listed or later  
Original Use **Auto repair/Gas station** From (year): **1970** To (year): **CURR**  
Current Use From (year): To (year):  
Other Use From (year): To (year):  
Moves:  yes  no  unknown Date: Original address  
Alterations:  yes  no  unknown Date: Nature **new windows, doors, and roofing materials**  
Additions:  yes  no  unknown Date: Nature **N Elev**  
Architect (last name first): Builder (last name first):

Ownership History (especially original owner, dates, profession, etc.)  
**TT&J of Tampa (2011-CURR); Stepp (1976-2011); Harris McBurney Co. (1970-1976); Bolan (1970)**

Is the Resource Affected by a Local Preservation Ordinance?  yes  no  unknown Describe

## DESCRIPTION

Style **Masonry Vernacular** Exterior Plan **Irregular** Number of Stories **1**  
Exterior Fabric(s) **1. Concrete block** 2. Artbrick, artstone 3. Metal  
Roof Type(s) **1. Gable** 2. Shed 3.  
Roof Material(s) **1. Sheet metal:5V crimp** 2. 3.  
Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.) **1.** 2.

Windows (types, materials, etc.)  
**Slider, vinyl, individual; SHS, vinyl, individual, 1/1; fixed, metal, individual, w/ security bars**

Distinguishing Architectural Features (exterior or interior ornaments)  
**Concrete windowsills, cinder block pilasters, faux brick facade with parapet, decorative cinder blocks, garage doors, louvered vents.**

Ancillary Features / Outbuildings (record outbuildings, major landscape features; use continuation sheet if needed.)  
**A non-historic open bay garage is located northeast of the building.**

DHR USE ONLY		OFFICIAL EVALUATION		DHR USE ONLY	
NR List Date	SHPO - Appears to meet criteria for NR listing: <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no <input type="checkbox"/> insufficient info	Date		Date	Init.
<input type="checkbox"/> Owner Objection	KEEPER - Determined eligible: <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no	Date		Date	
	NR Criteria for Evaluation: <input type="checkbox"/> a <input type="checkbox"/> b <input type="checkbox"/> c <input type="checkbox"/> d (see <i>National Register Bulletin</i> 15, p. 2)				

## DESCRIPTION (continued)

Chimney: No. 0 Chimney Material(s): 1. 2. 3.  
 Structural System(s): 1. Concrete block 2. 3.  
 Foundation Type(s): 1. Continuous 2.  
 Foundation Material(s): 1. Concrete Block 2.

## Main Entrance (stylistic details)

E Elev: Single metal frame glass door w/ sidelight window within a recessed entryway

## Porch Descriptions (types, locations, roof types, etc.)

Condition (overall resource condition):  excellent  good  fair  deteriorated  ruinous

## Narrative Description of Resource

This is a Masonry Vernacular style building has a faux brick facade with parapet on the south elevation. Building is accessed on the east elevation within a recessed entry.

## Archaeological Remains

Check if Archaeological Form Completed

## RESEARCH METHODS (select all that apply)

- FMSF record search (sites/surveys)  library research  building permits  Sanborn maps  
 FL State Archives/photo collection  city directory  occupant/owner interview  plat maps  
 property appraiser / tax records  newspaper files  neighbor interview  Public Lands Survey (DEP)  
 cultural resource survey (CRAS)  historic photos  interior inspection  HABS/HAER record search  
 other methods (describe) UFDC aerial photos & FDOT APlus aerial maps

## Bibliographic References (give FMSF manuscript # if relevant, use continuation sheet if needed)

UFDC - accessible online at: <https://ufdc.ufl.edu/aerials>; FDOT accessible online at: <https://fdotewp1.dot.state.fl.us/AerialPhotoLookUpSystem/>

## OPINION OF RESOURCE SIGNIFICANCE

Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing individually?  yes  no  insufficient information  
 Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing as part of a district?  yes  no  insufficient information

## Explanation of Evaluation (required, whether significant or not; use separate sheet if needed)

This building is not a significant embodiment of a type, period, or method of construction. Background research did not reveal any historic associations with significant persons and/or events.

Area(s) of Historical Significance (see *National Register Bulletin 15*, p. 8 for categories: e.g. "architecture", "ethnic heritage", "community planning & development", etc.)

1. 3. 5.  
2. 4. 6.

## DOCUMENTATION

## Accessible Documentation Not Filed with the Site File - including field notes, analysis notes, photos, plans and other important documents

- 1) Document type All materials at one location Maintaining organization Archaeological Consultants Inc  
 Document description Field notes, maps, photos, research File or accession #'s P1653B.3  
 2) Document type Maintaining organization  
 Document description File or accession #'s

## RECORDER INFORMATION

Recorder Name Madeline Westrom

Affiliation Archaeological Consultants Inc

Recorder Contact Information 8110 Blaikie Court, St. A, Sarasota, FL / [aciflorida@comcast.net](mailto:aciflorida@comcast.net)  
 (address / phone / fax / e mail)

## Required Attachments

- ① USGS 7.5' MAP WITH STRUCTURE LOCATION CLEARLY INDICATED  
 ② LARGE SCALE STREET, PLAT OR PARCEL MAP (available from most property appraiser web sites)  
 ③ PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, DIGITAL IMAGE FILE

When submitting an image, it must be included in digital AND hard copy format (plain paper grayscale acceptable).  
 Digital image must be at least 1600 x 1200 pixels, 24-bit color, jpeg or tiff.




PHOTOGRAPHS





AERIAL MAP



Source: Esri, Maxar, GeoEye, Earthstar Geographics, CNES/Airbus DS, USDA, USGS, AeroGRID, IGN, and the GIS User Community, Esri, HERE, Garmin, (c) OpenStreetMap contributors, 2020



USGS Brandon  
Township 29 South, Range 20 East, Section 6





# HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE Version 5.0 3/19

Site#8 **HI15187**  
Field Date 11-2-2021  
Form Date 11-3-2021  
Recorder #

Original  
 Update

Shaded Fields represent the minimum acceptable level of documentation.  
Consult the *Guide to Historical Structure Forms* for detailed instructions.

Site Name(s) (address if none) 5279 Eureka Springs Road Multiple Listing (DHR only)  
Survey Project Name CRAS I-75 from S of US 301 to N of CR 581 Survey # (DHR only)  
National Register Category (please check one)  building  structure  district  site  object  
Ownership:  private profit  private nonprofit  private individual  private nonspecific  city  county  state  federal  Native American  foreign  unknown

## LOCATION & MAPPING

Street Number Direction Street Name Street Type Suffix Direction  
Address: 5279 Eureka Springs Road  
Cross Streets (nearest/between) Northeast end of Eureka Springs Road  
USGS 7.5 Map Name BRANDON USGS Date 1956 Plat or Other Map  
City / Town (within 3 miles) Tampa In City Limits?  yes  no  unknown County Hillsborough  
Township 28S Range 20E Section 31 1/4 section:  NW  SW  SE  NE Irregular-name:  
Tax Parcel # U-31-28-20-1MG-000000-00055.0 Landgrant  
Subdivision Name Block Lot  
UTM Coordinates: Zone  16  17 Easting 367961 Northing 3097550  
Other Coordinates: X: Y: Coordinate System & Datum  
Name of Public Tract (e.g., park)

## HISTORY

Construction Year: 1962  approximately  year listed or earlier  year listed or later  
Original Use Private Residence (House/Cottage/Ca From (year): 1962 To (year): CURR  
Current Use From (year): To (year):  
Other Use From (year): To (year):  
Moves:  yes  no  unknown Date: Original address  
Alterations:  yes  no  unknown Date: Nature New windows and roofing materials  
Additions:  yes  no  unknown Date: Nature south elevation  
Architect (last name first): Builder (last name first):

Ownership History (especially original owner, dates, profession, etc.)  
Ashmore (1979-CURR); Pass (1978-1979); Christie & Bartolotti (1973-1978); Charles Christie (1960-1973)

Is the Resource Affected by a Local Preservation Ordinance?  yes  no  unknown Describe

## DESCRIPTION

Style Masonry Vernacular Exterior Plan Irregular Number of Stories 1  
Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Concrete block 2. Artbrick, artstone 3. Vertical plank  
Roof Type(s) 1. Gable 2. 3.  
Roof Material(s) 1. Composition roll 2. 3.  
Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.) 1. Flat extension 2.

Windows (types, materials, etc.)  
SHS, vinyl, individual & paired, 1/1

Distinguishing Architectural Features (exterior or interior ornaments)  
Concrete windowsills, partially clad art brick facade, decorative porch supports, low pitch gable roof

Ancillary Features / Outbuildings (record outbuildings, major landscape features; use continuation sheet if needed.)  
A non-historic garage and shed are located to the south of the building.

DHR USE ONLY		OFFICIAL EVALUATION		DHR USE ONLY	
NR List Date	SHPO - Appears to meet criteria for NR listing: <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no <input type="checkbox"/> insufficient info	Date		Date	Init.
<input type="checkbox"/> Owner Objection	KEEPER - Determined eligible: <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no	Date			
	NR Criteria for Evaluation: <input type="checkbox"/> a <input type="checkbox"/> b <input type="checkbox"/> c <input type="checkbox"/> d (see <i>National Register Bulletin</i> 15, p. 2)				

## DESCRIPTION (continued)

Chimney: No. 0 Chimney Material(s): 1. 2. 3.  
 Structural System(s): 1. Concrete block 2. 3.  
 Foundation Type(s): 1. Continuous 2.  
 Foundation Material(s): 1. Concrete Block 2.

## Main Entrance (stylistic details)

N Elev: single door beneath a flat roof extension

## Porch Descriptions (types, locations, roof types, etc.)

N/ENTRANCE: open partial width beneath a flat roof extension with decorative wood support beams preceding the entrance  
 S Elev: open, partial width screened beneath flat roof extension

Condition (overall resource condition):  excellent  good  fair  deteriorated  ruinous

## Narrative Description of Resource

This is a typical Masonry Vernacular style residence with a partially clad art brick facade.

## Archaeological Remains

Check if Archaeological Form Completed

## RESEARCH METHODS (select all that apply)

- FMSF record search (sites/surveys)  library research  building permits  Sanborn maps  
 FL State Archives/photo collection  city directory  occupant/owner interview  plat maps  
 property appraiser / tax records  newspaper files  neighbor interview  Public Lands Survey (DEP)  
 cultural resource survey (CRAS)  historic photos  interior inspection  HABS/HAER record search  
 other methods (describe) UFDC aerial photos & FDOT APlus aerial maps

## Bibliographic References (give FMSF manuscript # if relevant, use continuation sheet if needed)

UFDC - accessible online at: <https://ufdc.ufl.edu/aerials>; FDOT accessible online at: <https://fdotewp1.dot.state.fl.us/AerialPhotoLookUpSystem/>

## OPINION OF RESOURCE SIGNIFICANCE

Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing individually?  yes  no  insufficient information  
 Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing as part of a district?  yes  no  insufficient information

## Explanation of Evaluation (required, whether significant or not; use separate sheet if needed)

This building is not a significant embodiment of a type, period, or method of construction. Background research did not reveal any historic associations with significant persons and/or events.

Area(s) of Historical Significance (see *National Register Bulletin 15*, p. 8 for categories: e.g. "architecture", "ethnic heritage", "community planning & development", etc.)

1. 3. 5.  
 2. 4. 6.

## DOCUMENTATION

## Accessible Documentation Not Filed with the Site File - including field notes, analysis notes, photos, plans and other important documents

- 1) Document type All materials at one location Maintaining organization Archaeological Consultants Inc  
 Document description Field notes, maps, photos, research File or accession #'s P1653B.3  
 2) Document type Maintaining organization  
 Document description File or accession #'s

## RECORDER INFORMATION

Recorder Name Madeline Westrom

Affiliation Archaeological Consultants Inc

Recorder Contact Information 8110 Blaikie Court, Ste. A / Sarasota, FL 34240 / aciflorida@comcast.net  
 (address / phone / fax / e mail)

## Required Attachments

- ① USGS 7.5' MAP WITH STRUCTURE LOCATION CLEARLY INDICATED  
 ② LARGE SCALE STREET, PLAT OR PARCEL MAP (available from most property appraiser web sites)  
 ③ PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, DIGITAL IMAGE FILE

When submitting an image, it must be included in digital AND hard copy format (plain paper grayscale acceptable).  
 Digital image must be at least 1600 x 1200 pixels, 24-bit color, jpeg or tiff.



**PHOTOGRAPHS**










AERIAL MAP





USGS Brandon  
Township 28 South, Range 20 East, Section 31





# HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE Version 5.0 3/19

Site#8 **HI15188**  
Field Date 11-2-2021  
Form Date 11-3-2021  
Recorder #

Original  
 Update

Shaded Fields represent the minimum acceptable level of documentation.  
Consult the *Guide to Historical Structure Forms* for detailed instructions.

Site Name(s) (address if none) 5287 Eureka Springs Road Multiple Listing (DHR only)  
Survey Project Name CRAS I-75 from S of US 301 to N of CR 581 Survey # (DHR only)  
National Register Category (please check one)  building  structure  district  site  object  
Ownership:  private profit  private nonprofit  private individual  private nonspecific  city  county  state  federal  Native American  foreign  unknown

## LOCATION & MAPPING

Street Number Direction Street Name Street Type Suffix Direction  
Address: 5287 Eureka Springs Road  
Cross Streets (nearest/between) Northeast end of Eureka Springs Road  
USGS 7.5 Map Name BRANDON USGS Date 1956 Plat or Other Map  
City / Town (within 3 miles) Tampa In City Limits?  yes  no  unknown County Hillsborough  
Township 28S Range 20E Section 31 1/4 section:  NW  SW  SE  NE Irregular-name:  
Tax Parcel # U-31-28-20-1MG-000000-00054.2 Landgrant  
Subdivision Name Block Lot  
UTM Coordinates: Zone  16  17 Easting 368005 Northing 3097546  
Other Coordinates: X: Y: Coordinate System & Datum  
Name of Public Tract (e.g., park)

## HISTORY

Construction Year: 1964  approximately  year listed or earlier  year listed or later  
Original Use Private Residence (House/Cottage/Ca From (year): 1964 To (year): CURR  
Current Use From (year): To (year):  
Other Use From (year): To (year):  
Moves:  yes  no  unknown Date: Original address  
Alterations:  yes  no  unknown Date: Nature new roofing materials  
Additions:  yes  no  unknown Date: Nature porches  
Architect (last name first): Builder (last name first):  
Ownership History (especially original owner, dates, profession, etc.)  
Ashmore (1964-CURR)

Is the Resource Affected by a Local Preservation Ordinance?  yes  no  unknown Describe

## DESCRIPTION

Style Masonry Vernacular Exterior Plan Irregular Number of Stories 1  
Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Concrete block 2. Artbrick, artstone 3.  
Roof Type(s) 1. Hip 2. 3.  
Roof Material(s) 1. Sheet metal:5V crimp 2. 3.  
Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.) 1. Shed extension 2.

Windows (types, materials, etc.)  
SHS, metal, individual & paired, 1/1, 2/2; picture flanked by 4/4 SHS

Distinguishing Architectural Features (exterior or interior ornaments)  
Brick windowsills, partially clad art brick facade

Ancillary Features / Outbuildings (record outbuildings, major landscape features; use continuation sheet if needed.)  
One ca. 1964 shed and two non-historic detached garages are located to the south of the building

DHR USE ONLY		OFFICIAL EVALUATION		DHR USE ONLY	
NR List Date	SHPO - Appears to meet criteria for NR listing: <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no <input type="checkbox"/> insufficient info	Date		Date	Init.
<input type="checkbox"/> Owner Objection	KEEPER - Determined eligible: <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no	Date			
	NR Criteria for Evaluation: <input type="checkbox"/> a <input type="checkbox"/> b <input type="checkbox"/> c <input type="checkbox"/> d (see <i>National Register Bulletin</i> 15, p. 2)				

DESCRIPTION (continued)

Chimney: No. 1 Chimney Material(s): 1. Brick 2.  
 Structural System(s): 1. Concrete block 2. 3.  
 Foundation Type(s): 1. Continuous 2.  
 Foundation Material(s): 1. Concrete Block 2.

Main Entrance (stylistic details)

N Elev: paneled door with fan window beneath a shed roof extension

Porch Descriptions (types, locations, roof types, etc.)

N/ENTRANCE: open partial-width beneath a shed roof extension w/ wood support columns  
 W Elev: open partial-width beneath a shed roof extension w/ wood support columns

Condition (overall resource condition): excellent good fair deteriorated ruinous

Narrative Description of Resource

This is a typical Masonry Vernacular style residence with two porches, decorative windowsills, and partially clad art brick facade.

Archaeological Remains

Check if Archaeological Form Completed

RESEARCH METHODS (select all that apply)

- FMSF record search (sites/surveys)
- library research
- building permits
- Sanborn maps
- FL State Archives/photo collection
- city directory
- occupant/owner interview
- plat maps
- property appraiser / tax records
- newspaper files
- neighbor interview
- Public Lands Survey (DEP)
- cultural resource survey (CRAS)
- historic photos
- interior inspection
- HABS/HAER record search
- other methods (describe) UFDC aerial photos & FDOT APlus aerial maps

Bibliographic References (give FMSF manuscript # if relevant, use continuation sheet if needed)

UFDC - accessible online at: <https://ufdc.ufl.edu/aerials>; FDOT accessible online at: <https://fdotewp1.dot.state.fl.us/AerialPhotoLookUpSystem/>

OPINION OF RESOURCE SIGNIFICANCE

Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing individually? yes no insufficient information  
 Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing as part of a district? yes no insufficient information

Explanation of Evaluation (required, whether significant or not; use separate sheet if needed)

This building is not a significant embodiment of a type, period, or method of construction. Background research did not reveal any historic associations with significant persons and/or events.

Area(s) of Historical Significance (see National Register Bulletin 15, p. 8 for categories: e.g. "architecture", "ethnic heritage", "community planning & development", etc.)

- 1. 3. 5.
- 2. 4. 6.

DOCUMENTATION

Accessible Documentation Not Filed with the Site File - including field notes, analysis notes, photos, plans and other important documents

- 1) Document type All materials at one location Maintaining organization Archaeological Consultants Inc  
 Document description Field notes, maps, photos, resear File or accession #'s P1653B.3
- 2) Document type Maintaining organization  
 Document description File or accession #'s

RECORDER INFORMATION

Recorder Name Madeline Westrom Affiliation Archaeological Consultants Inc  
 Recorder Contact Information 8110 Blaikie Court, Ste. A / Sarasota, Fl 34240 / aciflorida@comcast.net  
 (address / phone / fax / e mail)

Required Attachments

- ① USGS 7.5' MAP WITH STRUCTURE LOCATION CLEARLY INDICATED
- ② LARGE SCALE STREET, PLAT OR PARCEL MAP (available from most property appraiser web sites)
- ③ PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, DIGITAL IMAGE FILE  
 When submitting an image, it must be included in digital AND hard copy format (plain paper grayscale acceptable).  
 Digital image must be at least 1600 x 1200 pixels, 24-bit color, jpeg or tiff.




**PHOTOGRAPHS**



DRAFT



AERIAL MAP





USGS Brandon  
Township 28 South, Range 20 East, Section 31







HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM
FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE
Version 5.0 3/19

Site#8 HI15189
Field Date 11-2-2021
Form Date 11-3-2021
Recorder #

[X] Original
[ ] Update

Shaded Fields represent the minimum acceptable level of documentation.
Consult the Guide to Historical Structure Forms for detailed instructions.

Site Name(s) (address if none) 5288 Eureka Springs Road
Survey Project Name CRAS I-75 from S of US 301 to N of CR 581
National Register Category (please check one) [X]building [ ]structure [ ]district [ ]site [ ]object
Ownership: [ ]private profit [ ]private nonprofit [ ]private individual [ ]private nonspecific [ ]city [X]county [ ]state [ ]federal [ ]Native American [ ]foreign [ ]unknown

Multiple Listing (DHR only)
Survey # (DHR only)

LOCATION & MAPPING

Address: 5288 Eureka Springs Road
Cross Streets (nearest/between) between Interstate 4 and Baptist Church Rd
USGS 7.5 Map Name BRANDON USGS Date 1956 Plat or Other Map
City / Town (within 3 miles) Tampa In City Limits? [ ]yes [X]no [ ]unknown County Hillsborough
Township 28S Range 20E Section 31 1/4 section: [ ]NW [ ]SW [ ]SE [ ]NE Irregular-name:
Tax Parcel # U-31-28-20-1MG-000000-00054.0 Landgrant
Subdivision Name Block Lot
UTM Coordinates: Zone [ ]16 [X]17 Easting 368026 Northing 3097591
Other Coordinates: X: Y: Coordinate System & Datum
Name of Public Tract (e.g., park)

HISTORY

Construction Year: 1957 [X]approximately [ ]year listed or earlier [ ]year listed or later
Original Use Civic center From (year): 1957 To (year): 1976
Current Use Warehouse From (year): 1976 To (year): CURR
Other Use From (year): To (year):
Moves: [ ]yes [X]no [ ]unknown Date: Original address
Alterations: [X]yes [ ]no [ ]unknown Date: Nature new windows, door, and roofing materials
Additions: [X]yes [ ]no [ ]unknown Date: Nature N Elev
Architect (last name first): Builder (last name first):
Ownership History (especially original owner, dates, profession, etc.)
Hillsborough County (1976-CURR); East Point Civic Center (1957-1976)

Is the Resource Affected by a Local Preservation Ordinance? [ ]yes [X]no [ ]unknown Describe

DESCRIPTION

Style Masonry Vernacular Exterior Plan Irregular Number of Stories 1
Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Concrete block 2. Stucco 3.
Roof Type(s) 1. Gable 2. 3.
Roof Material(s) 1. Sheet metal:5V crimp 2. 3.
Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.) 1. Gable extension 2.

Windows (types, materials, etc.)

fixed, vinyl, individual

Distinguishing Architectural Features (exterior or interior ornaments)

Stucco trim, decorative porch columns, porch sign, vertical plank clad porch gable end

Ancillary Features / Outbuildings (record outbuildings, major landscape features; use continuation sheet if needed.)

A flagpole and two non-historic buildings are located to the west of the building

Table with 3 columns: DHR USE ONLY, OFFICIAL EVALUATION, DHR USE ONLY. Contains fields for NR List Date, Owner Objection, SHPO - Appears to meet criteria for NR listing, and NR Criteria for Evaluation.

**DESCRIPTION (continued)**

Chimney: No. 0 Chimney Material(s): 1. 2. 3.  
 Structural System(s): 1. Concrete block 2. 3.  
 Foundation Type(s): 1. Continuous 2.  
 Foundation Material(s): 1. Concrete Block 2.

**Main Entrance (stylistic details)**

W Elev: single glass door with vertical sidelight window beneath a gable roof extension with decorative support columns

**Porch Descriptions (types, locations, roof types, etc.)**

N/A

Condition (overall resource condition): excellent good fair deteriorated ruinous

**Narrative Description of Resource**

This is a Masonry Vernacular style building with an asymmetric front facade, several square and one rectangular fixed windows. A three-bay garage was added to the north elevation of the main building.

**Archaeological Remains**

Check if Archaeological Form Completed

**RESEARCH METHODS (select all that apply)**

- FMSF record search (sites/surveys)
- FL State Archives/photo collection
- property appraiser / tax records
- cultural resource survey (CRAS)
- other methods (describe) UFDC aerial photos & FDOT APlus aerial maps
- library research
- city directory
- newspaper files
- historic photos
- building permits
- occupant/owner interview
- neighbor interview
- interior inspection
- Sanborn maps
- plat maps
- Public Lands Survey (DEP)
- HABS/HAER record search

**Bibliographic References (give FMSF manuscript # if relevant, use continuation sheet if needed)**

UFDC - accessible online at: <https://ufdc.ufl.edu/aerials>; FDOT accessible online at: <https://fdotewp1.dot.state.fl.us/AerialPhotoLookUpSystem/>

**OPINION OF RESOURCE SIGNIFICANCE**

Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing individually? yes no insufficient information  
 Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing as part of a district? yes no insufficient information

**Explanation of Evaluation (required, whether significant or not; use separate sheet if needed)**

This building is not a significant embodiment of a type, period, or method of construction. Background research did not reveal any historic associations with significant persons and/or events.

**Area(s) of Historical Significance (see National Register Bulletin 15, p. 8 for categories: e.g. "architecture", "ethnic heritage", "community planning & development", etc.)**

- 1. 3. 5.
- 2. 4. 6.

**DOCUMENTATION**

**Accessible Documentation Not Filed with the Site File - including field notes, analysis notes, photos, plans and other important documents**

- 1) Document type All materials at one location Maintaining organization Archaeological Consultants Inc  
 Document description Field notes, maps, photos, resear File or accession #'s P1653B.3
- 2) Document type Maintaining organization  
 Document description File or accession #'s

**RECORDER INFORMATION**

Recorder Name Madeline Westrom Affiliation Archaeological Consultants Inc  
 Recorder Contact Information 8110 Blaikie Court, Ste. A / Sarasota, Fl 34240 / aciflorida@comcast.net  
 (address / phone / fax / e mail)

**Required Attachments**

- ① USGS 7.5' MAP WITH STRUCTURE LOCATION CLEARLY INDICATED
- ② LARGE SCALE STREET, PLAT OR PARCEL MAP (available from most property appraiser web sites)
- ③ PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, DIGITAL IMAGE FILE

When submitting an image, it must be included in digital AND hard copy format (plain paper grayscale acceptable). Digital image must be at least 1600 x 1200 pixels, 24-bit color, jpeg or tiff.




**PHOTOGRAPHS**



DRAFT



AERIAL MAP



Source: Esri, Maxar, GeoEye, Earthstar Geographics, CNES/Airbus DS, USDA, USGS, AeroGRID, IGN, and the GIS User Community, Esri, HERE, Garmin, (c) OpenStreetMap contributors. 2020



USGS Brandon  
Township 28 South, Range 20 East, Section 31





HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM
FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE
Version 5.0 3/19

Site#8 HI15190
Field Date 11-2-2021
Form Date 11-3-2021
Recorder #

[X] Original
[ ] Update

Shaded Fields represent the minimum acceptable level of documentation.
Consult the Guide to Historical Structure Forms for detailed instructions.

Site Name(s) (address if none) 10002 Hines Road
Survey Project Name CRAS I-75 from S of US 301 to N of CR 581
National Register Category (please check one) [X]building [ ]structure [ ]district [ ]site [ ]object
Ownership: [ ]private profit [ ]private nonprofit [X]private individual [ ]private nonspecific [ ]city [ ]county [ ]state [ ]federal [ ]Native American [ ]foreign [ ]unknown

Multiple Listing (DHR only)
Survey # (DHR only)

LOCATION & MAPPING

Street Number 10002 Direction Direction Street Name Hines Street Type Road Suffix Direction
Cross Streets (nearest/between) between Baptist Church Rd and N Falkenburg Rd
USGS 7.5 Map Name BRANDON USGS Date 1956 Plat or Other Map
City / Town (within 3 miles) Tampa In City Limits? [ ]yes [X]no [ ]unknown County Hillsborough
Township 28S Range 20E Section 31 1/4 section: [ ]NW [ ]SW [ ]SE [ ]NE Irregular-name:
Tax Parcel # U-31-28-20-ZZZ-000002-24240.0 Landgrant
Subdivision Name Block Lot
UTM Coordinates: Zone [ ]16 [X]17 Easting 368514 Northing 3097718
Other Coordinates: X: Y: Coordinate System & Datum
Name of Public Tract (e.g., park)

HISTORY

Construction Year: 1959 [X]approximately [ ]year listed or earlier [ ]year listed or later
Original Use Private Residence (House/Cottage/Ca From (year): 1959 To (year): CURR
Current Use From (year): To (year):
Other Use From (year): To (year):
Moves: [ ]yes [X]no [ ]unknown Date: Original address
Alterations: [X]yes [ ]no [ ]unknown Date: Nature new windows & roofing materials
Additions: [X]yes [ ]no [ ]unknown Date: Nature porch, carport
Architect (last name first): Builder (last name first):
Ownership History (especially original owner, dates, profession, etc.)
Scott (2014-CURR); Fox (2012-2014); Coleman (2011-2012); G. Struck (1987-2011); A. Struck (1987)

Is the Resource Affected by a Local Preservation Ordinance? [ ]yes [X]no [ ]unknown Describe

DESCRIPTION

Style Masonry Vernacular Exterior Plan Irregular Number of Stories 1
Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco 2. Vinyl 3.
Roof Type(s) 1. Gable 2. Shed 3.
Roof Material(s) 1. Sheet metal:5V crimp 2. Composition roll 3.
Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.) 1. Gable extension 2.

Windows (types, materials, etc.)
SHS, vinyl, individual, 1/1; jalousie, metal, grouped (3)

Distinguishing Architectural Features (exterior or interior ornaments)
Concrete windowsills, overhanging boxed eaves

Ancillary Features / Outbuildings (record outbuildings, major landscape features; use continuation sheet if needed.)
N/A

Table with 3 columns: DHR USE ONLY, OFFICIAL EVALUATION, DHR USE ONLY. Rows include NR List Date, SHPO - Appears to meet criteria for NR listing, Keeper - Determined eligible, NR Criteria for Evaluation, and Owner Objection.

## DESCRIPTION (continued)

Chimney: No. 0 Chimney Material(s): 1. 2. 3.  
 Structural System(s): 1. Concrete block 2. 3.  
 Foundation Type(s): 1. Continuous 2.  
 Foundation Material(s): 1. Concrete Block 2.

## Main Entrance (stylistic details)

N Elev: single paneled door behind a screen door beneath a gable roof extension with decorative wood supports

## Porch Descriptions (types, locations, roof types, etc.)

S Elev: partial width open, shed roof screened porch

Condition (overall resource condition):  excellent  good  fair  deteriorated  ruinous

## Narrative Description of Resource

This is a typical Masonry Vernacular style residence with a covered main entrance, attached carport, and screened rear (south) porch.

## Archaeological Remains

Check if Archaeological Form Completed

## RESEARCH METHODS (select all that apply)

- |  |   |   |  |
|--|---|---|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> FMSF record search (sites/surveys)                                   | <input type="checkbox"/> library research | <input type="checkbox"/> building permits         | <input type="checkbox"/> Sanborn maps              |
| <input type="checkbox"/> FL State Archives/photo collection  | <input type="checkbox"/> city directory   | <input type="checkbox"/> occupant/owner interview | <input type="checkbox"/> plat maps                 |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> property appraiser / tax records                                     | <input type="checkbox"/> newspaper files  | <input type="checkbox"/> neighbor interview       | <input type="checkbox"/> Public Lands Survey (DEP) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> cultural resource survey (CRAS)   | <input type="checkbox"/> historic photos  | <input type="checkbox"/> interior inspection      | <input type="checkbox"/> HABS/HAER record search   |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> other methods (describe) UFDC aerial photos & FDOT APlus aerial maps |   |   |  |

## Bibliographic References (give FMSF manuscript # if relevant, use continuation sheet if needed)

UFDC - accessible online at: <https://ufdc.ufl.edu/aerials>; FDOT accessible online at: <https://fdotewp1.dot.state.fl.us/AerialPhotoLookUpSystem/>

## OPINION OF RESOURCE SIGNIFICANCE

Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing individually?  yes  no  insufficient information  
 Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing as part of a district?  yes  no  insufficient information

## Explanation of Evaluation (required, whether significant or not; use separate sheet if needed)

This building is not a significant embodiment of a type, period, or method of construction. Background research did not reveal any historic associations with significant persons and/or events.

Area(s) of Historical Significance (see *National Register Bulletin 15*, p. 8 for categories: e.g. "architecture", "ethnic heritage", "community planning & development", etc.)

1. 3. 5.  
2. 4. 6.

## DOCUMENTATION

## Accessible Documentation Not Filed with the Site File - including field notes, analysis notes, photos, plans and other important documents

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) Document type All materials at one location         | Maintaining organization Archaeological Consultants Inc |
| Document description Field notes, maps, photos, resear | File or accession #'s P1653B.3                          |
| 2) Document type                                       | Maintaining organization                                |
| Document description                                   | File or accession #'s                                   |

## RECORDER INFORMATION

Recorder Name Madeline Westrom

Affiliation Archaeological Consultants Inc

Recorder Contact Information 8110 Blaikie Court, Ste. A / Sarasota, Fl 34240 / aciflorida@comcast.net  
 (address / phone / fax / e mail)

## Required Attachments

- ① USGS 7.5' MAP WITH STRUCTURE LOCATION CLEARLY INDICATED
- ② LARGE SCALE STREET, PLAT OR PARCEL MAP (available from most property appraiser web sites)
- ③ PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, DIGITAL IMAGE FILE

When submitting an image, it must be included in digital AND hard copy format (plain paper grayscale acceptable).  
 Digital image must be at least 1600 x 1200 pixels, 24-bit color, jpeg or tiff.




**PHOTOGRAPHS**







AERIAL MAP





USGS Brandon  
Township 28 South, Range 20 East, Section 31





# HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE Version 5.0 3/19

Site#8 **HI15191**  
Field Date 11-2-2021  
Form Date 11-3-2021  
Recorder #

Original  
 Update

Shaded Fields represent the minimum acceptable level of documentation.  
Consult the *Guide to Historical Structure Forms* for detailed instructions.

Site Name(s) (address if none) 10004 Hines Road Multiple Listing (DHR only)  
Survey Project Name CRAS I-75 from S of US 301 to N of CR 581 Survey # (DHR only)  
National Register Category (please check one)  building  structure  district  site  object  
Ownership:  private profit  private nonprofit  private individual  private nonspecific  city  county  state  federal  Native American  foreign  unknown

## LOCATION & MAPPING

Street Number Direction Street Name Street Type Suffix Direction  
Address: 10004 Hines Road  
Cross Streets (nearest/between) between Baptist Church Rd and N Falkenburg Rd  
USGS 7.5 Map Name BRANDON USGS Date 1956 Plat or Other Map  
City / Town (within 3 miles) Tampa In City Limits?  yes  no  unknown County Hillsborough  
Township 28S Range 20E Section 31 1/4 section:  NW  SW  SE  NE Irregular-name:  
Tax Parcel # U-31-28-20-ZZZ-000002-24270.0 Landgrant  
Subdivision Name Block Lot  
UTM Coordinates: Zone  16  17 Easting 368541 Northing 3097723  
Other Coordinates: X: Y: Coordinate System & Datum  
Name of Public Tract (e.g., park)

## HISTORY

Construction Year: 1960  approximately  year listed or earlier  year listed or later  
Original Use Private Residence (House/Cottage/Ca From (year): 1960 To (year): CURR  
Current Use From (year): To (year):  
Other Use From (year): To (year):  
Moves:  yes  no  unknown Date: Original address  
Alterations:  yes  no  unknown Date: Nature new windows, roof, carport closure  
Additions:  yes  no  unknown Date: Nature porch W elev  
Architect (last name first): Builder (last name first):  
Ownership History (especially original owner, dates, profession, etc.)  
Scott (2007-CURR); Burton (2006-2007); Barnes (1991-2006); West (1981-1991); Dishman (1978-1981); Devolder (1960-1978)

Is the Resource Affected by a Local Preservation Ordinance?  yes  no  unknown Describe

## DESCRIPTION

Style Masonry Vernacular Exterior Plan Irregular Number of Stories 1  
Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Concrete block 2. Artbrick, artstone 3.  
Roof Type(s) 1. Gable 2. Flat 3.  
Roof Material(s) 1. Sheet metal:5V crimp 2. Sheet metal:standing seam 3.  
Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.) 1. 2.

Windows (types, materials, etc.)  
SHS, vinyl, individual & paired, 1/1

Distinguishing Architectural Features (exterior or interior ornaments)  
concrete windowsills, art brick facade, overhanging boxed eaves

Ancillary Features / Outbuildings (record outbuildings, major landscape features; use continuation sheet if needed.)  
A shed is located south of the building.

DHR USE ONLY		OFFICIAL EVALUATION		DHR USE ONLY	
NR List Date	SHPO - Appears to meet criteria for NR listing: <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no <input type="checkbox"/> insufficient info	Date		Date	Init.
<input type="checkbox"/> Owner Objection	KEEPER - Determined eligible: <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no	Date		Date	
	NR Criteria for Evaluation: <input type="checkbox"/> a <input type="checkbox"/> b <input type="checkbox"/> c <input type="checkbox"/> d (see <i>National Register Bulletin</i> 15, p. 2)				

## DESCRIPTION (continued)

Chimney: No. 0 Chimney Material(s): 1. 2. 3.  
 Structural System(s): 1. Concrete block 2. 3.  
 Foundation Type(s): 1. Continuous 2.  
 Foundation Material(s): 1. Concrete Block 2.

## Main Entrance (stylistic details)

N Elev: single paneled door beneath a shed roof

## Porch Descriptions (types, locations, roof types, etc.)

W Elev: open, full-width, screened porch beneath a shed roof

Condition (overall resource condition):  excellent  good  fair  deteriorated  ruinous

## Narrative Description of Resource

This is a typical Masonry Vernacular style residence with an enclosed carport, partially clad art brick facade, and a porch addition on the west elevation.

## Archaeological Remains

Check if Archaeological Form Completed

## RESEARCH METHODS (select all that apply)

- FMSF record search (sites/surveys)  library research  building permits  Sanborn maps  
 FL State Archives/photo collection  city directory  occupant/owner interview  plat maps  
 property appraiser / tax records  newspaper files  neighbor interview  Public Lands Survey (DEP)  
 cultural resource survey (CRAS)  historic photos  interior inspection  HABS/HAER record search  
 other methods (describe) UFDC aerial photos & FDOT APlus aerial maps

## Bibliographic References (give FMSF manuscript # if relevant, use continuation sheet if needed)

UFDC - accessible online at: <https://ufdc.ufl.edu/aerials>; FDOT accessible online at: <https://fdotewp1.dot.state.fl.us/AerialPhotoLookUpSystem/>

## OPINION OF RESOURCE SIGNIFICANCE

Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing individually?  yes  no  insufficient information  
 Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing as part of a district?  yes  no  insufficient information

## Explanation of Evaluation (required, whether significant or not; use separate sheet if needed)

This building is not a significant embodiment of a type, period, or method of construction. Background research did not reveal any historic associations with significant persons and/or events.

Area(s) of Historical Significance (see *National Register Bulletin 15*, p. 8 for categories: e.g. "architecture", "ethnic heritage", "community planning & development", etc.)

1. 3. 5.  
2. 4. 6.

## DOCUMENTATION

## Accessible Documentation Not Filed with the Site File - including field notes, analysis notes, photos, plans and other important documents

- 1) Document type All materials at one location Maintaining organization Archaeological Consultants Inc  
 Document description Field notes, maps, photos, research File or accession #'s P1653B.3  
 2) Document type Maintaining organization  
 Document description File or accession #'s

## RECORDER INFORMATION

Recorder Name Madeline Westrom

Affiliation Archaeological Consultants Inc

Recorder Contact Information 8110 Blaikie Court, Ste. A / Sarasota, FL 34240 / aciflorida@comcast.net  
 (address / phone / fax / e mail)

## Required Attachments

- ① USGS 7.5' MAP WITH STRUCTURE LOCATION CLEARLY INDICATED  
 ② LARGE SCALE STREET, PLAT OR PARCEL MAP (available from most property appraiser web sites)  
 ③ PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, DIGITAL IMAGE FILE

When submitting an image, it must be included in digital AND hard copy format (plain paper grayscale acceptable).  
 Digital image must be at least 1600 x 1200 pixels, 24-bit color, jpeg or tiff.




**PHOTOGRAPHS**



DRAFT



AERIAL MAP



Source: Esri, Maxar, GeoEye, Earthstar, Geographics, CNES/Airbus DS, USDA, USGS, AeroGRID, IGN, and the GIS User Community, Esri, HERE, Garmin, (c) OpenStreetMap contributors. 2020



USGS Brandon  
Township 28 South, Range 20 East, Section 31





HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM
FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE
Version 5.0 3/19

Site#8 HI15192
Field Date 11-2-2021
Form Date 11-3-2021
Recorder #

[X] Original
[ ] Update

Shaded Fields represent the minimum acceptable level of documentation.
Consult the Guide to Historical Structure Forms for detailed instructions.

Site Name(s) (address if none) 10006 Hines Road
Survey Project Name CRAS I-75 from S of US 301 to N of CR 581
National Register Category (please check one) [X]building [ ]structure [ ]district [ ]site [ ]object
Ownership: [ ]private profit [ ]private nonprofit [X]private individual [ ]private nonspecific [ ]city [ ]county [ ]state [ ]federal [ ]Native American [ ]foreign [ ]unknown

Multiple Listing (DHR only)
Survey # (DHR only)

LOCATION & MAPPING

Street Number 10006 Direction Street Name Hines Street Type Road Suffix Direction
Cross Streets (nearest/between) between Baptist Church Rd and N Falkenburg Rd
USGS 7.5 Map Name BRANDON USGS Date 1956 Plat or Other Map
City / Town (within 3 miles) Tampa In City Limits? [ ]yes [X]no [ ]unknown County Hillsborough
Township 28S Range 20E Section 31 1/4 section: [ ]NW [ ]SW [ ]SE [ ]NE Irregular-name:
Tax Parcel # U-31-28-20-ZZZ-000002-24230.0 Landgrant
Subdivision Name Block Lot
UTM Coordinates: Zone [ ]16 [X]17 Easting 368568 Northing 3097717
Other Coordinates: X: Y: Coordinate System & Datum
Name of Public Tract (e.g., park)

HISTORY

Construction Year: 1959 [X]approximately [ ]year listed or earlier [ ]year listed or later
Original Use Private Residence (House/Cottage/Ca From (year): 1959 To (year): CURR
Current Use From (year): To (year):
Other Use From (year): To (year):
Moves: [ ]yes [X]no [ ]unknown Date: Original address
Alterations: [X]yes [ ]no [ ]unknown Date: Nature new roofing materials; enclosed carport
Additions: [X]yes [ ]no [ ]unknown Date: Nature E elev carport & s elev
Architect (last name first): Builder (last name first):
Ownership History (especially original owner, dates, profession, etc.)
Leighly (1995-CURR); (Acosta/Beeman/Rodriguez (1987-1995); Rodriguez (1985-1987); Fleet Inc (1985)

Is the Resource Affected by a Local Preservation Ordinance? [ ]yes [X]no [ ]unknown Describe

DESCRIPTION

Style Masonry Vernacular Exterior Plan Irregular Number of Stories 1
Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco 2. Artbrick, artstone 3.
Roof Type(s) 1. Gable 2. Flat 3.
Roof Material(s) 1. Asphalt shingles 2. Sheet metal:standing seam 3.
Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.) 1. 2.

Windows (types, materials, etc.)
fixed, wood, grouped (3), 1 light; SHS, wood, metal, individual, 1/1

Distinguishing Architectural Features (exterior or interior ornaments)
Decorative window casings, concrete windowsills, decorative porch supports, partially clad art stone facade

Ancillary Features / Outbuildings (record outbuildings, major landscape features; use continuation sheet if needed.)
N/A

Table with 3 columns: DHR USE ONLY, OFFICIAL EVALUATION, DHR USE ONLY. Rows include NR List Date, SHPO - Appears to meet criteria for NR listing, OWNER OBJECTION, and NR Criteria for Evaluation.



## DESCRIPTION (continued)

Chimney: No. 0 Chimney Material(s): 1. 2. 3.  
 Structural System(s): 1. Concrete block 2. 3.  
 Foundation Type(s): 1. Continuous 2.  
 Foundation Material(s): 1. Concrete Block 2.

## Main Entrance (stylistic details)

E Elev: obscured beneath flat roof of the attached carport

## Porch Descriptions (types, locations, roof types, etc.)

N Elev: open, partial-width beneath shed roof with exposed rafters

Condition (overall resource condition):  excellent  good  fair  deteriorated  ruinous

## Narrative Description of Resource

This is a Masonry Vernacular style building partially clad in stucco and art stone. The east elevation carport has been enclosed.

## Archaeological Remains

Check if Archaeological Form Completed

## RESEARCH METHODS (select all that apply)

- FMSF record search (sites/surveys)  library research  building permits  Sanborn maps  
 FL State Archives/photo collection  city directory  occupant/owner interview  plat maps  
 property appraiser / tax records  newspaper files  neighbor interview  Public Lands Survey (DEP)  
 cultural resource survey (CRAS)  historic photos  interior inspection  HABS/HAER record search  
 other methods (describe) UFDC aerial photos & FDOT APlus aerial maps

## Bibliographic References (give FMSF manuscript # if relevant, use continuation sheet if needed)

UFDC - accessible online at: <https://ufdc.ufl.edu/aerials>; FDOT accessible online at: <https://fdotewp1.dot.state.fl.us/AerialPhotoLookUpSystem/>

## OPINION OF RESOURCE SIGNIFICANCE

Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing individually?  yes  no  insufficient information  
 Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing as part of a district?  yes  no  insufficient information

## Explanation of Evaluation (required, whether significant or not; use separate sheet if needed)

This building is not a significant embodiment of a type, period, or method of construction. Background research did not reveal any historic associations with significant persons and/or events.

Area(s) of Historical Significance (see *National Register Bulletin 15*, p. 8 for categories: e.g. "architecture", "ethnic heritage", "community planning & development", etc.)

1. 3. 5.  
2. 4. 6.

## DOCUMENTATION

## Accessible Documentation Not Filed with the Site File - including field notes, analysis notes, photos, plans and other important documents

- 1) Document type All materials at one location Maintaining organization Archaeological Consultants Inc  
 Document description Field notes, maps, photos, research File or accession #'s P1653B.3  
 2) Document type Maintaining organization  
 Document description File or accession #'s

## RECORDER INFORMATION

Recorder Name Madeline Westrom

Affiliation Archaeological Consultants Inc

Recorder Contact Information 8110 Blaikie Court, Ste. A / Sarasota, FL 34240 / aciflorida@comcast.net  
 (address / phone / fax / e mail)

## Required Attachments

- ① USGS 7.5' MAP WITH STRUCTURE LOCATION CLEARLY INDICATED  
 ② LARGE SCALE STREET, PLAT OR PARCEL MAP (available from most property appraiser web sites)  
 ③ PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, DIGITAL IMAGE FILE

When submitting an image, it must be included in digital AND hard copy format (plain paper grayscale acceptable).  
 Digital image must be at least 1600 x 1200 pixels, 24-bit color, jpeg or tiff.



**PHOTOGRAPHS**





AERIAL MAP





USGS Brandon  
Township 28 South, Range 20 East, Section 31



Copyright:© 2013 National Geographic Society, i-cubed.



HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM
FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE
Version 5.0 3/19

Site#8 HI15193
Field Date 11-2-2021
Form Date 11-3-2021
Recorder #

[X] Original
[ ] Update

Shaded Fields represent the minimum acceptable level of documentation.
Consult the Guide to Historical Structure Forms for detailed instructions.

Site Name(s) (address if none) 10008 Hines Road
Survey Project Name CRAS I-75 from S of US 301 to N of CR 581
National Register Category (please check one) [X]building [ ]structure [ ]district [ ]site [ ]object
Ownership: [ ]private profit [ ]private nonprofit [X]private individual [ ]private nonspecific [ ]city [ ]county [ ]state [ ]federal [ ]Native American [ ]foreign [ ]unknown

Multiple Listing (DHR only)
Survey # (DHR only)

LOCATION & MAPPING

Street Number 10008 Direction Direction Street Name HINES Street Type Road Suffix Direction
Cross Streets (nearest/between) between Baptist Church Rd and N Falkenburg Rd
USGS 7.5 Map Name BRANDON USGS Date 1956 Plat or Other Map
City / Town (within 3 miles) Tampa In City Limits? [ ]yes [X]no [ ]unknown County Hillsborough
Township 28S Range 20E Section 31 1/4 section: [ ]NW [ ]SW [ ]SE [ ]NE Irregular-name:
Tax Parcel # U-31-28-20-ZZZ-000002-24280.0 Landgrant
Subdivision Name Block Lot
UTM Coordinates: Zone [ ]16 [X]17 Easting 368585 Northing 3097718
Other Coordinates: X: Y: Coordinate System & Datum
Name of Public Tract (e.g., park)

HISTORY

Construction Year: 1955 [X]approximately [ ]year listed or earlier [ ]year listed or later
Original Use Private Residence (House/Cottage/Ca From (year): 1955 To (year): CURR
Current Use From (year): To (year):
Other Use From (year): To (year):
Moves: [ ]yes [X]no [ ]unknown Date: Original address
Alterations: [X]yes [ ]no [ ]unknown Date: Nature new windows and roofing materials
Additions: [X]yes [ ]no [ ]unknown Date: Nature Additions and porch
Architect (last name first): Builder (last name first):
Ownership History (especially original owner, dates, profession, etc.)
Reeder & Coleman (2018-CURR); Reeder (2017-2018); Reeder & Roberts (1999-2017); BBPM Corp. (1998-1999); Thomas (1983-1998) Mathews (1983)

Is the Resource Affected by a Local Preservation Ordinance? [ ]yes [X]no [ ]unknown Describe

DESCRIPTION

Style Masonry Vernacular Exterior Plan Irregular Number of Stories 1
Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco 2. 3.
Roof Type(s) 1. Hip 2. Flat 3.
Roof Material(s) 1. Composition roll 2. Sheet metal:5V crimp 3.
Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.) 1. Hip extension 2.

Windows (types, materials, etc.)
SHS, vinyl, individual, 1/1, 2/2; picture, individual

Distinguishing Architectural Features (exterior or interior ornaments)
Decorative frieze, concrete windowsills, concrete block support columns

Ancillary Features / Outbuildings (record outbuildings, major landscape features; use continuation sheet if needed.)
One shed is located to the southwest of the building.

Table with 3 columns: DHR USE ONLY, OFFICIAL EVALUATION, DHR USE ONLY. Contains fields for NR List Date, Owner Objection, SHPO - Appears to meet criteria for NR listing, and NR Criteria for Evaluation.

DESCRIPTION (continued)

Chimney: No. 1 Chimney Material(s): 1. Concrete 2.  
 Structural System(s): 1. Concrete block 2. 3.  
 Foundation Type(s): 1. Continuous 2.  
 Foundation Material(s): 1. Concrete Block 2.

Main Entrance (stylistic details)

N Elev: through a single door beneath a hip roof extension with concrete block support columns

Porch Descriptions (types, locations, roof types, etc.)

N/ENTRANCE: partial width, open, beneath a hip roof extension with concrete block support columns; E Elev: partial width, open, beneath a flat roof with wood support columns

Condition (overall resource condition): excellent good fair deteriorated ruinous

Narrative Description of Resource

This is a typical Masonry Vernacular style residence with a hip roof and a flat roof addition on the east elevation.

Archaeological Remains

Check if Archaeological Form Completed

RESEARCH METHODS (select all that apply)

- FMSF record search (sites/surveys)
- library research
- building permits
- Sanborn maps
- FL State Archives/photo collection
- city directory
- occupant/owner interview
- plat maps
- property appraiser / tax records
- newspaper files
- neighbor interview
- Public Lands Survey (DEP)
- cultural resource survey (CRAS)
- historic photos
- interior inspection
- HABS/HAER record search
- other methods (describe) UFDC aerial photos & FDOT APlus aerial maps

Bibliographic References (give FMSF manuscript # if relevant, use continuation sheet if needed)

UFDC - accessible online at: <https://ufdc.ufl.edu/aerials>; FDOT accessible online at: <https://fdotewp1.dot.state.fl.us/AerialPhotoLookUpSystem/>

OPINION OF RESOURCE SIGNIFICANCE

Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing individually? yes no insufficient information  
 Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing as part of a district? yes no insufficient information

Explanation of Evaluation (required, whether significant or not; use separate sheet if needed)

This building is not a significant embodiment of a type, period, or method of construction. Background research did not reveal any historic associations with significant persons and/or events.

Area(s) of Historical Significance (see National Register Bulletin 15, p. 8 for categories: e.g. "architecture", "ethnic heritage", "community planning & development", etc.)

- 1. 3. 5.
- 2. 4. 6.

DOCUMENTATION

Accessible Documentation Not Filed with the Site File - including field notes, analysis notes, photos, plans and other important documents

- 1) Document type All materials at one location Maintaining organization Archaeological Consultants Inc  
 Document description Field notes, maps, photos, resear File or accession #'s P1653B.3
- 2) Document type Maintaining organization  
 Document description File or accession #'s

RECORDER INFORMATION

Recorder Name Madeline Westrom Affiliation Archaeological Consultants Inc  
 Recorder Contact Information 8110 Blaikie Court, Ste. A / Sarasota, Fl 34240 / aciflorida@comcast.net  
 (address / phone / fax / e mail)

Required Attachments

- ① USGS 7.5' MAP WITH STRUCTURE LOCATION CLEARLY INDICATED
- ② LARGE SCALE STREET, PLAT OR PARCEL MAP (available from most property appraiser web sites)
- ③ PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, DIGITAL IMAGE FILE

When submitting an image, it must be included in digital AND hard copy format (plain paper grayscale acceptable). Digital image must be at least 1600 x 1200 pixels, 24-bit color, jpeg or tiff.



**PHOTOGRAPHS**






AERIAL MAP







**USGS Brandon**  
**Township 28 South, Range 20 East, Section 31**





# HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE Version 5.0 3/19

Site#8 **HI15194**  
Field Date 11-2-2021  
Form Date 11-3-2021  
Recorder #

Original  
 Update

Shaded Fields represent the minimum acceptable level of documentation.  
Consult the *Guide to Historical Structure Forms* for detailed instructions.

Site Name(s) (address if none) 10005 Hines Road Multiple Listing (DHR only)  
Survey Project Name CRAS I-75 from S of US 301 to N of CR 581 Survey # (DHR only)  
National Register Category (please check one)  building  structure  district  site  object  
Ownership:  private profit  private nonprofit  private individual  private nonspecific  city  county  state  federal  Native American  foreign  unknown

## LOCATION & MAPPING

Street Number Direction Street Name Street Type Suffix Direction  
Address: 10005 Hines Road  
Cross Streets (nearest/between) between Baptist Church Rd and N Falkenburg Rd  
USGS 7.5 Map Name BRANDON USGS Date 1956 Plat or Other Map  
City / Town (within 3 miles) Tampa In City Limits?  yes  no  unknown County Hillsborough  
Township 28S Range 20E Section 31 1/4 section:  NW  SW  SE  NE Irregular-name:  
Tax Parcel # U-31-28-20-ZZZ-000002-24260.0 Landgrant  
Subdivision Name Block Lot  
UTM Coordinates: Zone  16  17 Easting 368585 Northing 3097761  
Other Coordinates: X: Y: Coordinate System & Datum  
Name of Public Tract (e.g., park)

## HISTORY

Construction Year: 1955  approximately  year listed or earlier  year listed or later  
Original Use Private Residence (House/Cottage/Ca From (year): 1955 To (year): CURR  
Current Use From (year): To (year):  
Other Use From (year): To (year):  
Moves:  yes  no  unknown Date: Original address  
Alterations:  yes  no  unknown Date: Nature new doors, windows, roof; carport encl.  
Additions:  yes  no  unknown Date: Nature  
Architect (last name first): Builder (last name first):  
Ownership History (especially original owner, dates, profession, etc.)  
Pollard (2005-CURR); Waldrop (2005); Doan(1990-2005) Waldrop (1988-1990); Amerimorgage Inc (1987-1988); Goldstein (1987)

Is the Resource Affected by a Local Preservation Ordinance?  yes  no  unknown Describe

## DESCRIPTION

Style Masonry Vernacular Exterior Plan Irregular Number of Stories 1  
Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco 2. 3.  
Roof Type(s) 1. Hip 2. Flat 3.  
Roof Material(s) 1. Sheet metal:5V crimp 2. 3.  
Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.) 1. 2.

Windows (types, materials, etc.)  
SHS, vinyl, individual, 1/1

Distinguishing Architectural Features (exterior or interior ornaments)  
stucco window and door frames with keystone

Ancillary Features / Outbuildings (record outbuildings, major landscape features; use continuation sheet if needed.)  
A non-historic detached garage and a shed are located north of the building.

DHR USE ONLY		OFFICIAL EVALUATION		DHR USE ONLY	
NR List Date	SHPO - Appears to meet criteria for NR listing: <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no <input type="checkbox"/> insufficient info	Date		Date	Init.
<input type="checkbox"/> Owner Objection	KEEPER - Determined eligible: <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no	Date		Date	
	NR Criteria for Evaluation: <input type="checkbox"/> a <input type="checkbox"/> b <input type="checkbox"/> c <input type="checkbox"/> d (see <i>National Register Bulletin</i> 15, p. 2)				

**DESCRIPTION (continued)**

Chimney: No. 0 Chimney Material(s): 1. 2. 3.  
 Structural System(s): 1. Concrete block 2. 3.  
 Foundation Type(s): 1. Continuous 2.  
 Foundation Material(s): 1. Concrete Block 2.

**Main Entrance (stylistic details)**

S Elev: through a single storm door with two-light

**Porch Descriptions (types, locations, roof types, etc.)**

N/A

Condition (overall resource condition): excellent good fair deteriorated ruinous

**Narrative Description of Resource**

This is a Masonry Vernacular style residence with a stucco exterior, decorative keystones above the windows and main entrance.

**Archaeological Remains**

Check if Archaeological Form Completed

**RESEARCH METHODS (select all that apply)**

- FMSF record search (sites/surveys)
- library research
- building permits
- Sanborn maps
- FL State Archives/photo collection
- city directory
- occupant/owner interview
- plat maps
- property appraiser / tax records
- newspaper files
- neighbor interview
- Public Lands Survey (DEP)
- cultural resource survey (CRAS)
- historic photos
- interior inspection
- HABS/HAER record search
- other methods (describe) UFDC aerial photos & FDOT APlus aerial maps

**Bibliographic References (give FMSF manuscript # if relevant, use continuation sheet if needed)**

UFDC - accessible online at: <https://ufdc.ufl.edu/aerials>; FDOT accessible online at: <https://fdotewp1.dot.state.fl.us/AerialPhotoLookUpSystem/>

**OPINION OF RESOURCE SIGNIFICANCE**

Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing individually? yes no insufficient information  
 Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing as part of a district? yes no insufficient information

**Explanation of Evaluation (required, whether significant or not; use separate sheet if needed)**

This building is not a significant embodiment of a type, period, or method of construction. Background research did not reveal any historic associations with significant persons and/or events.

**Area(s) of Historical Significance (see National Register Bulletin 15, p. 8 for categories: e.g. "architecture", "ethnic heritage", "community planning & development", etc.)**

- 1. 3. 5.
- 2. 4. 6.

**DOCUMENTATION**

**Accessible Documentation Not Filed with the Site File - including field notes, analysis notes, photos, plans and other important documents**

- 1) Document type All materials at one location Maintaining organization Archaeological Consultants Inc  
 Document description Field notes, maps, photos, research File or accession #'s P1653B.3
- 2) Document type Maintaining organization  
 Document description File or accession #'s

**RECORDER INFORMATION**

Recorder Name Madeline Westrom Affiliation Archaeological Consultants Inc  
 Recorder Contact Information 8110 Blaikie Court, Ste. A / Sarasota, FL 34240 / aciflorida@comcast.net  
 (address / phone / fax / e mail)

**Required Attachments**

- ① USGS 7.5' MAP WITH STRUCTURE LOCATION CLEARLY INDICATED
- ② LARGE SCALE STREET, PLAT OR PARCEL MAP (available from most property appraiser web sites)
- ③ PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, DIGITAL IMAGE FILE

When submitting an image, it must be included in digital **AND** hard copy format (plain paper grayscale acceptable). Digital image must be at least 1600 x 1200 pixels, 24-bit color, jpeg or tiff.




**PHOTOGRAPHS**



DRAFT



AERIAL MAP





USGS Brandon  
Township 28 South, Range 20 East, Section 31





HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM
FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE
Version 5.0 3/19

Site#8 HI15195
Field Date 11-2-2021
Form Date 11-3-2021
Recorder #

[X] Original
[ ] Update

Shaded Fields represent the minimum acceptable level of documentation.
Consult the Guide to Historical Structure Forms for detailed instructions.

Site Name(s) (address if none) 10010 Hines Road
Survey Project Name CRAS I-75 from S of US 301 to N of CR 581
National Register Category (please check one) [X]building [ ]structure [ ]district [ ]site [ ]object
Ownership: [ ]private profit [ ]private nonprofit [X]private individual [ ]private nonspecific [ ]city [ ]county [ ]state [ ]federal [ ]Native American [ ]foreign [ ]unknown

Multiple Listing (DHR only)
Survey # (DHR only)

LOCATION & MAPPING

Street Number 10010 Direction Direction Street Name Hines Street Type Road Suffix Direction
Cross Streets (nearest/between) between Baptist Church Rd and N Falkenburg Rd
USGS 7.5 Map Name BRANDON USGS Date 1956 Plat or Other Map
City / Town (within 3 miles) Tampa In City Limits? [ ]yes [X]no [ ]unknown County Hillsborough
Township 28S Range 20E Section 31 1/4 section: [ ]NW [ ]SW [ ]SE [ ]NE Irregular-name:
Tax Parcel # U-31-28-20-ZZZ-000002-24300.0 Landgrant
Subdivision Name Block Lot
UTM Coordinates: Zone [ ]16 [X]17 Easting 368609 Northing 3097719
Other Coordinates: X: Y: Coordinate System & Datum
Name of Public Tract (e.g., park)

HISTORY

Construction Year: 1954 [X]approximately [ ]year listed or earlier [ ]year listed or later
Original Use Private Residence (House/Cottage/Ca From (year): 1954 To (year): CURR
Current Use From (year): To (year):
Other Use From (year): To (year):
Moves: [ ]yes [X]no [ ]unknown Date: Original address
Alterations: [X]yes [ ]no [ ]unknown Date: Nature new roof and enclosed carport
Additions: [X]yes [ ]no [ ]unknown Date: Nature n elev
Architect (last name first): Builder (last name first):
Ownership History (especially original owner, dates, profession, etc.)
J. Duke (2018-CURR); J. Duke & Bartolacci (2012-2018); J. Duke (1982-2012); C. Duke & J. Duke (1978-1982); Scribner (1973-1978); Hickman (1973)

Is the Resource Affected by a Local Preservation Ordinance? [ ]yes [X]no [ ]unknown Describe

DESCRIPTION

Style Masonry Vernacular Exterior Plan Irregular Number of Stories 1
Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco 2. Vertical plank 3.
Roof Type(s) 1. Hip 2. 3.
Roof Material(s) 1. Asphalt shingles 2. 3.
Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.) 1. 2.

Windows (types, materials, etc.)
jalousie, metal, individual; SHS, metal, individual, 2/2

Distinguishing Architectural Features (exterior or interior ornaments)
Partially clad in vertical plank siding

Ancillary Features / Outbuildings (record outbuildings, major landscape features; use continuation sheet if needed.)
A shed is located south of the building.

Table with 3 columns: DHR USE ONLY, OFFICIAL EVALUATION, DHR USE ONLY. Rows include NR List Date, Owner Objection, SHPO - Appears to meet criteria for NR listing, and NR Criteria for Evaluation.

## DESCRIPTION (continued)

Chimney: No. 0 Chimney Material(s): 1. 2. 3.  
 Structural System(s): 1. Concrete block 2. 3.  
 Foundation Type(s): 1. Continuous 2.  
 Foundation Material(s): 1. Concrete Block 2.

## Main Entrance (stylistic details)

N Elev: single panel door beneath metal awning

## Porch Descriptions (types, locations, roof types, etc.)

NE Elev: open, screened porch beneath the principal gable roof

Condition (overall resource condition):  excellent  good  fair  deteriorated  ruinous

## Narrative Description of Resource

This is a typical Masonry Vernacular style residence with a large screened porch on the northeast corner of the structure and jalousie windows.

## Archaeological Remains

Check if Archaeological Form Completed

## RESEARCH METHODS (select all that apply)

- FMSF record search (sites/surveys)  library research  building permits  Sanborn maps  
 FL State Archives/photo collection  city directory  occupant/owner interview  plat maps  
 property appraiser / tax records  newspaper files  neighbor interview  Public Lands Survey (DEP)  
 cultural resource survey (CRAS)  historic photos  interior inspection  HABS/HAER record search  
 other methods (describe) UFDC aerial photos & FDOT APlus aerial maps

## Bibliographic References (give FMSF manuscript # if relevant, use continuation sheet if needed)

UFDC - accessible online at: <https://ufdc.ufl.edu/aerials>; FDOT accessible online at: <https://fdotewp1.dot.state.fl.us/AerialPhotoLookUpSystem/>

## OPINION OF RESOURCE SIGNIFICANCE

Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing individually?  yes  no  insufficient information  
 Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing as part of a district?  yes  no  insufficient information

## Explanation of Evaluation (required, whether significant or not; use separate sheet if needed)

This building is not a significant embodiment of a type, period, or method of construction. Background research did not reveal any historic associations with significant persons and/or events.

Area(s) of Historical Significance (see *National Register Bulletin 15*, p. 8 for categories: e.g. "architecture", "ethnic heritage", "community planning & development", etc.)

1. 3. 5.  
2. 4. 6.

## DOCUMENTATION

## Accessible Documentation Not Filed with the Site File - including field notes, analysis notes, photos, plans and other important documents

- 1) Document type All materials at one location Maintaining organization Archaeological Consultants Inc  
 Document description Field notes, maps, photos, research File or accession #'s P1653B.3  
 2) Document type Maintaining organization  
 Document description File or accession #'s

## RECORDER INFORMATION

Recorder Name Madeline Westrom

Affiliation Archaeological Consultants Inc

Recorder Contact Information 8110 Blaikie Court, Ste. A / Sarasota, FL 34240 / aciflorida@comcast.net  
 (address / phone / fax / e mail)

## Required Attachments

- ① USGS 7.5' MAP WITH STRUCTURE LOCATION CLEARLY INDICATED  
 ② LARGE SCALE STREET, PLAT OR PARCEL MAP (available from most property appraiser web sites)  
 ③ PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, DIGITAL IMAGE FILE

When submitting an image, it must be included in digital AND hard copy format (plain paper grayscale acceptable).  
 Digital image must be at least 1600 x 1200 pixels, 24-bit color, jpeg or tiff.






**PHOTOGRAPHS**





AERIAL MAP





USGS Brandon  
Township 28 South, Range 20 East, Section 31





# HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE Version 5.0 3/19

Site#8 **HI15196**  
Field Date 11-2-2021  
Form Date 11-3-2021  
Recorder #

Original  
 Update

Shaded Fields represent the minimum acceptable level of documentation.  
Consult the *Guide to Historical Structure Forms* for detailed instructions.

Site Name(s) (address if none) 10012 Hines Road Multiple Listing (DHR only)  
Survey Project Name CRAS I-75 from S of US 301 to N of CR 581 Survey # (DHR only)  
National Register Category (please check one)  building  structure  district  site  object  
Ownership:  private profit  private nonprofit  private individual  private nonspecific  city  county  state  federal  Native American  foreign  unknown

## LOCATION & MAPPING

Street Number Direction Street Name Street Type Suffix Direction  
Address: 10012 Hines Road  
Cross Streets (nearest/between) between Baptist Church Rd and N Falkenburg Rd  
USGS 7.5 Map Name BRANDON USGS Date 1956 Plat or Other Map  
City / Town (within 3 miles) Tampa In City Limits?  yes  no  unknown County Hillsborough  
Township 28S Range 20E Section 31 1/4 section:  NW  SW  SE  NE Irregular-name:  
Tax Parcel # U-31-28-20-ZZZ-000002-24220.0 Landgrant  
Subdivision Name Block Lot  
UTM Coordinates: Zone  16  17 Easting 368647 Northing 3097713  
Other Coordinates: X: Y: Coordinate System & Datum  
Name of Public Tract (e.g., park)

## HISTORY

Construction Year: 1963  approximately  year listed or earlier  year listed or later  
Original Use Private Residence (House/Cottage/Ca From (year): 1963 To (year): CURR  
Current Use From (year): To (year):  
Other Use From (year): To (year):  
Moves:  yes  no  unknown Date: Original address  
Alterations:  yes  no  unknown Date: Nature new windows, door, roofing, supports  
Additions:  yes  no  unknown Date: Nature  
Architect (last name first): Builder (last name first):  
Ownership History (especially original owner, dates, profession, etc.)  
Melendez & Rivero (2003-CURR); Layburn (2003-1992); Ford Consumer Co. (1992-1991); Carbone & Gaskell (1991-1989); Campbell & Froebel (1989)

Is the Resource Affected by a Local Preservation Ordinance?  yes  no  unknown Describe

## DESCRIPTION

Style Masonry Vernacular Exterior Plan Irregular Number of Stories 1  
Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco 2. 3.  
Roof Type(s) 1. Gable 2. 3.  
Roof Material(s) 1. Asphalt shingles 2. 3.  
Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.) 1. 2.

Windows (types, materials, etc.)  
SHS, vinyl, individual, 1/1, 6/6

Distinguishing Architectural Features (exterior or interior ornaments)  
engaged columns, metal porch support, stucco windowsills, metal window security grates

Ancillary Features / Outbuildings (record outbuildings, major landscape features; use continuation sheet if needed.)  
Two non-historic sheds are located south east of the building.

DHR USE ONLY		OFFICIAL EVALUATION		DHR USE ONLY	
NR List Date	SHPO - Appears to meet criteria for NR listing: <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no <input type="checkbox"/> insufficient info	Date		Date	Init.
<input type="checkbox"/> Owner Objection	KEEPER - Determined eligible: <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no	Date		Date	
	NR Criteria for Evaluation: <input type="checkbox"/> a <input type="checkbox"/> b <input type="checkbox"/> c <input type="checkbox"/> d (see <i>National Register Bulletin</i> 15, p. 2)				

## DESCRIPTION (continued)

Chimney: No. 1 Chimney Material(s): 1. Concrete 2.  
 Structural System(s): 1. Concrete block 2. 3.  
 Foundation Type(s): 1. Continuous 2.  
 Foundation Material(s): 1. Concrete Block 2.

## Main Entrance (stylistic details)

N Elev: through a single panel door within an open, recessed porch beneath the principal roof

## Porch Descriptions (types, locations, roof types, etc.)

N/ENTRANCE: partial-width, incised, with decorative metal support columns

Condition (overall resource condition):  excellent  good  fair  deteriorated  ruinous

## Narrative Description of Resource

This is a Masonry Vernacular style residence with a recessed entry and a single car garage. The building has been altered with the addition of engaged columns.

## Archaeological Remains

Check if Archaeological Form Completed

## RESEARCH METHODS (select all that apply)

- FMSF record search (sites/surveys)  library research  building permits  Sanborn maps  
 FL State Archives/photo collection  city directory  occupant/owner interview  plat maps  
 property appraiser / tax records  newspaper files  neighbor interview  Public Lands Survey (DEP)  
 cultural resource survey (CRAS)  historic photos  interior inspection  HABS/HAER record search  
 other methods (describe) UFDC aerial photos & FDOT APlus aerial maps

## Bibliographic References (give FMSF manuscript # if relevant, use continuation sheet if needed)

UFDC - accessible online at: <https://ufdc.ufl.edu/aerials>; FDOT accessible online at: <https://fdotewp1.dot.state.fl.us/AerialPhotoLookUpSystem/>

## OPINION OF RESOURCE SIGNIFICANCE

Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing individually?  yes  no  insufficient information

Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing as part of a district?  yes  no  insufficient information

## Explanation of Evaluation (required, whether significant or not; use separate sheet if needed)

The building has been altered and is not a significant embodiment of a type, period, or method of construction. Background research did not reveal any historic associations with significant persons and/or events.

Area(s) of Historical Significance (see *National Register Bulletin 15*, p. 8 for categories: e.g. "architecture", "ethnic heritage", "community planning & development", etc.)

1. 3. 5.  
2. 4. 6.

## DOCUMENTATION

## Accessible Documentation Not Filed with the Site File - including field notes, analysis notes, photos, plans and other important documents

- 1) Document type All materials at one location Maintaining organization Archaeological Consultants Inc  
 Document description Field notes, maps, photos, research File or accession #'s P1653B.3
- 2) Document type Maintaining organization  
 Document description File or accession #'s

## RECORDER INFORMATION

Recorder Name Madeline Westrom

Affiliation Archaeological Consultants Inc

Recorder Contact Information 8110 Blaikie Court, Ste. A / Sarasota, FL 34240 / aciflorida@comcast.net  
 (address / phone / fax / e mail)

## Required Attachments

① USGS 7.5' MAP WITH STRUCTURE LOCATION CLEARLY INDICATED

② LARGE SCALE STREET, PLAT OR PARCEL MAP (available from most property appraiser web sites)

③ PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, DIGITAL IMAGE FILE

When submitting an image, it must be included in digital AND hard copy format (plain paper grayscale acceptable).  
 Digital image must be at least 1600 x 1200 pixels, 24-bit color, jpeg or tiff.




**PHOTOGRAPHS**





**AERIAL MAP**



Source: Esri, Maxar, GeoEye, Earthstar Geographics, CNES/Airbus DS, USDA, USGS, AeroGRID, IGN, and the GIS User Community, Esri, HERE, Garmin, (c) OpenStreetMap contributors, 2020



**USGS Brandon**  
**Township 28 South, Range 20 East, Section 31**







# HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE Version 5.0 3/19

Site#8 **HI15197**  
Field Date 11-2-2021  
Form Date 11-3-2021  
Recorder #

Original  
 Update

Shaded Fields represent the minimum acceptable level of documentation.  
Consult the *Guide to Historical Structure Forms* for detailed instructions.

Site Name(s) (address if none) 5515 N Falkenburg Road Multiple Listing (DHR only)  
Survey Project Name CRAS I-75 from S of US 301 to N of CR 581 Survey # (DHR only)  
National Register Category (please check one)  building  structure  district  site  object  
Ownership:  private profit  private nonprofit  private individual  private nonspecific  city  county  state  federal  Native American  foreign  unknown

## LOCATION & MAPPING

Street Number Direction Street Name Street Type Suffix Direction  
Address: 5515 N FALKENBURG Road  
Cross Streets (nearest/between) between N Falkenburg Rd and Interstate 75  
USGS 7.5 Map Name BRANDON USGS Date 1956 Plat or Other Map  
City / Town (within 3 miles) Tampa In City Limits?  yes  no  unknown County Hillsborough  
Township 28S Range 20E Section 32 1/4 section:  NW  SW  SE  NE Irregular-name:  
Tax Parcel # U-32-28-20-ZZZ-000002-25060.0 Landgrant  
Subdivision Name Block Lot  
UTM Coordinates: Zone  16  17 Easting 368739 Northing 3097785  
Other Coordinates: X: Y: Coordinate System & Datum  
Name of Public Tract (e.g., park)

## HISTORY

Construction Year: 1961  approximately  year listed or earlier  year listed or later  
Original Use Private Residence (House/Cottage/Ca From (year): 1961 To (year): Unk  
Current Use Abandoned/Vacant From (year): Unk To (year): CURR  
Other Use From (year): To (year):  
Moves:  yes  no  unknown Date: Original address  
Alterations:  yes  no  unknown Date: Nature  
Additions:  yes  no  unknown Date: Nature south elev. extension  
Architect (last name first): Builder (last name first):  
Ownership History (especially original owner, dates, profession, etc.)  
Millenial Property Solutions (2018-CURR); Tampa Standard LLC (Feb. to Mar. 2018); Osteen (2018)

Is the Resource Affected by a Local Preservation Ordinance?  yes  no  unknown Describe

## DESCRIPTION

Style Masonry Vernacular Exterior Plan Irregular Number of Stories 1  
Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Concrete block 2. Brick 3.  
Roof Type(s) 1. Not applicable 2. 3.  
Roof Material(s) 1. 2. 3.  
Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.) 1. 2.  
Windows (types, materials, etc.)  
None present

Distinguishing Architectural Features (exterior or interior ornaments)  
Brick exterior on one elevation, concrete windowsills

Ancillary Features / Outbuildings (record outbuildings, major landscape features; use continuation sheet if needed.)  
N/A

DHR USE ONLY		OFFICIAL EVALUATION		DHR USE ONLY	
NR List Date	SHPO - Appears to meet criteria for NR listing: <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no <input type="checkbox"/> insufficient info	Date		Date	Init.
<input type="checkbox"/> Owner Objection	KEEPER - Determined eligible: <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no	Date			
	NR Criteria for Evaluation: <input type="checkbox"/> a <input type="checkbox"/> b <input type="checkbox"/> c <input type="checkbox"/> d (see <i>National Register Bulletin</i> 15, p. 2)				

## DESCRIPTION (continued)

Chimney: No. 0 Chimney Material(s): 1. 2. 3.  
 Structural System(s): 1. Concrete block 2. Brick  
 Foundation Type(s): 1. Continuous 2.  
 Foundation Material(s): 1. Concrete Block 2. Brick

## Main Entrance (stylistic details)

W Elev: No door present

## Porch Descriptions (types, locations, roof types, etc.)

N/A

Condition (overall resource condition):  excellent  good  fair  deteriorated  ruinous

## Narrative Description of Resource

This is the remains of a Masonry Vernacular style former residence without a roof, windows, doors, or interior finishings.

## Archaeological Remains

Check if Archaeological Form Completed

## RESEARCH METHODS (select all that apply)

- FMSF record search (sites/surveys)  library research  building permits  Sanborn maps  
 FL State Archives/photo collection  city directory  occupant/owner interview  plat maps  
 property appraiser / tax records  newspaper files  neighbor interview  Public Lands Survey (DEP)  
 cultural resource survey (CRAS)  historic photos  interior inspection  HABS/HAER record search  
 other methods (describe) UFDC aerial photos & FDOT APlus aerial maps

## Bibliographic References (give FMSF manuscript # if relevant, use continuation sheet if needed)

UFDC - accessible online at: <https://ufdc.ufl.edu/aerials>; FDOT accessible online at: <https://fdotewp1.dot.state.fl.us/AerialPhotoLookUpSystem/>

## OPINION OF RESOURCE SIGNIFICANCE

Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing individually?  yes  no  insufficient information

Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing as part of a district?  yes  no  insufficient information

## Explanation of Evaluation (required, whether significant or not; use separate sheet if needed)

This building is in a state of ruin and is not a significant embodiment of a type, period, or method of construction. Background research did not reveal any historic associations with significant persons and/or events.

Area(s) of Historical Significance (see *National Register Bulletin 15*, p. 8 for categories: e.g. "architecture", "ethnic heritage", "community planning & development", etc.)

1. 3. 5.  
2. 4. 6.

## DOCUMENTATION

## Accessible Documentation Not Filed with the Site File - including field notes, analysis notes, photos, plans and other important documents

- 1) Document type All materials at one location Maintaining organization Archaeological Consultants Inc  
 Document description Field notes, maps, photos, resear File or accession #'s P1653B.3
- 2) Document type Maintaining organization  
 Document description File or accession #'s

## RECORDER INFORMATION

Recorder Name Madeline Westrom

Affiliation Archaeological Consultants Inc

Recorder Contact Information 8110 Blaikie Court, Ste. A / Sarasota, Fl 34240 / aciflorida@comcast.net  
 (address / phone / fax / e mail)

## Required Attachments

① USGS 7.5' MAP WITH STRUCTURE LOCATION CLEARLY INDICATED

② LARGE SCALE STREET, PLAT OR PARCEL MAP (available from most property appraiser web sites)

③ PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, DIGITAL IMAGE FILE

When submitting an image, it must be included in digital AND hard copy format (plain paper grayscale acceptable).  
 Digital image must be at least 1600 x 1200 pixels, 24-bit color, jpeg or tiff.



**PHOTOGRAPHS**





DRAFT



AERIAL MAP





USGS Brandon  
Township 28 South, Range 20 East, Section 32



DRAFT

**APPENDIX C: Demolished Building Letter**



*Florida's First Choice in Cultural Resource Management*

November 5, 2021

Mr. Vincent Birdsong  
Supervisor, Florida Master Site File  
Division of Historical Resources  
500 South Bronough Street  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0250

RE: Historic Resource Status

Dear Mr. Birdsong:

This letter is to inform you that background research conducted in November 2021 has discovered that the following historic resources are no longer extant:

<b>FMSF No.</b>	<b>ADDRESS/SITE NAME</b>	<b>YEAR BUILT</b>	<b>STYLE</b>	<b>SHPO EVALUATION</b>
8HI05081	5218 Eureka Springs Road	ca. 1940	Frame Vernacular	Ineligible
8HI08708	5522 Baptist Church Road	ca. 1953	Ranch	Ineligible

Sincerely,

Madeline Westrom  
Assistant Architectural Historian



DRAFT

**APPENDIX D: Survey Log**

Ent D (FMSF only) \_\_\_\_\_



# Survey Log Sheet

Florida Master Site File  
Version 5.0 3/19

Survey # (FMSF only) \_\_\_\_\_

Consult *Guide to the Survey Log Sheet* for detailed instructions.

## Manuscript Information

### Survey Project (name and project phase)

CRAS Addendum I-75 (SR 93A) from South of US 301/SR 43 to North of CR 581/Bruce B. Downs Blvd, Hillsborough County, Florida

### Report Title (exactly as on title page)

Cultural Resource Assessment Survey Addendum Technical Memorandum, I-75/SR 93A from South of US 301/SR 43 to North of CR 581/Bruce B. Downs Blvd, Hillsborough County, Florida; Work Program Item Segment No. 419235-3

### Report Authors (as on title page)

- 1. Marion Almy
- 2. Lee Hutchinson
- 3. Kimberly Irby
- 4. \_\_\_\_\_

Publication Year 2021

Number of Pages in Report (do not include site forms) 42

### Publication Information (Give series, number in series, publisher and city. For article or chapter, cite page numbers. Use the style of *American Antiquity*.)

ACI, Sarasota, 2021 P16053B.3

Supervisors of Fieldwork (even if same as author) Names Marion Almy

Affiliation of Fieldworkers: Organization Archaeological Consultants Inc City Sarasota

### Key Words/Phrases (Don't use county name, or common words like *archaeology, structure, survey, architecture, etc.*)

- 1. I-4
- 2. I-75
- 3. \_\_\_\_\_
- 4. \_\_\_\_\_
- 5. \_\_\_\_\_
- 6. \_\_\_\_\_
- 7. \_\_\_\_\_
- 8. \_\_\_\_\_

### Survey Sponsors (corporation, government unit, organization, or person funding fieldwork)

Name \_\_\_\_\_ Organization Florida Dept of Transportation District 7

Address/Phone/E-mail 11201 North McKinley Drive Tampa, Florida 33612-6456

Recorder of Log Sheet Kimberly M. Irby Date Log Sheet Completed 12-6-2021

Is this survey or project a continuation of a previous project?  No  Yes: Previous survey #s (FMSF only) 17897

## Project Area Mapping

### Counties (select every county in which field survey was done; attach additional sheet if necessary)

- 1. Hillsborough
- 2. \_\_\_\_\_
- 3. \_\_\_\_\_
- 4. \_\_\_\_\_
- 5. \_\_\_\_\_
- 6. \_\_\_\_\_

### USGS 1:24,000 Map Names/Year of Latest Revision (attach additional sheet if necessary)

- 1. Name BRANDON Year 1956
- 2. Name THONOTOSASSA Year 1974
- 3. Name \_\_\_\_\_ Year \_\_\_\_\_
- 4. Name \_\_\_\_\_ Year \_\_\_\_\_
- 5. Name \_\_\_\_\_ Year \_\_\_\_\_
- 6. Name \_\_\_\_\_ Year \_\_\_\_\_

## Field Dates and Project Area Description

Fieldwork Dates: Start 11-2-2021 End 11-3-2021 Total Area Surveyed (fill in one) \_\_\_\_\_ hectares 51.00 acres

Number of Distinct Tracts or Areas Surveyed 4

If Corridor (fill in one for each) Width: \_\_\_\_\_ meters \_\_\_\_\_ feet Length: \_\_\_\_\_ kilometers 1.00 miles

**Research and Field Methods**

Types of Survey (select all that apply): archaeological architectural historical/archival underwater  
damage assessment monitoring report other(describe): \_\_\_\_\_

**Scope/Intensity/Procedures**

background research; field survey 25, 50, and 100 m intervals; soil screened and shovel tests recorded using GPS; Photos taken, report prepared

**Preliminary Methods (select as many as apply to the project as a whole)**

Florida Archives (Gray Building) library research- local public local property or tax records other historic maps LIDAR  
Florida Photo Archives (Gray Building) library-special collection newspaper files soils maps or data other remote sensing  
Site File property search Public Lands Survey (maps at DEP) literature search windshield survey  
Site File survey search local informant(s) Sanborn Insurance maps aerial photography  
other (describe): \_\_\_\_\_

**Archaeological Methods (select as many as apply to the project as a whole)**

Check here if **NO** archaeological methods were used.  
surface collection, controlled shovel test-other screen size block excavation (at least 2x2 m) metal detector  
surface collection, uncontrolled water screen soil resistivity other remote sensing  
shovel test-1/4"screen posthole tests magnetometer pedestrian survey  
shovel test-1/8" screen auger tests side scan sonar unknown  
shovel test 1/16"screen coring ground penetrating radar (GPR)  
shovel test-unscreened test excavation (at least 1x2 m) LIDAR  
other (describe): \_\_\_\_\_

**Historical/Architectural Methods (select as many as apply to the project as a whole)**

Check here if **NO** historical/architectural methods were used.  
building permits demolition permits neighbor interview subdivision maps  
commercial permits windshield survey occupant interview tax records  
interior documentation local property records occupation permits unknown  
other (describe): \_\_\_\_\_

**Survey Results**

Resource Significance Evaluated? Yes No  
 Count of Previously Recorded Resources 2 Count of Newly Recorded Resources 12

List Previously Recorded Site ID#s with Site File Forms Completed (attach additional pages if necessary)  
 HI08706, HI05051

List Newly Recorded Site ID#s (attach additional pages if necessary)  
 HI15186 - HI15197

Site Forms Used: Site File Paper Forms Site File PDF Forms

**REQUIRED: Attach Map of Survey or Project Area Boundary**

SHPO USE ONLY	SHPO USE ONLY	SHPO USE ONLY
Origin of Report: <input type="checkbox"/> 872 <input type="checkbox"/> Public Lands <input type="checkbox"/> JW <input type="checkbox"/> 1A32 # _____ <input type="checkbox"/> Academic <input type="checkbox"/> Contract <input type="checkbox"/> Avocational <input type="checkbox"/> Grant Project # _____ <input type="checkbox"/> Compliance Review: CRAT # _____		
Type of Document: <input type="checkbox"/> Archaeological Survey <input type="checkbox"/> Historical/Architectural Survey <input type="checkbox"/> Marine Survey <input type="checkbox"/> Cell Tower CRAS <input type="checkbox"/> Monitoring Report <input type="checkbox"/> Overview <input type="checkbox"/> Excavation Report <input type="checkbox"/> Multi-Site Excavation Report <input type="checkbox"/> Structure Detailed Report <input type="checkbox"/> Library, Hist. or Archival Doc <input type="checkbox"/> Desktop Analysis <input type="checkbox"/> MPS <input type="checkbox"/> MRA <input type="checkbox"/> TG <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____		
Document Destination: Plottable Projects	Plotability:	



<p><b>Cultural Resource Assessment Survey Addendum</b>  Township 28 South, Range 20 East, Sections 31-32;  Township 29 South, Range 20 East, Section 06  USGS Thonotosassa &amp; Brandon, 2013</p>	<p><b>Interstate 75 (I-75) / SR 93A</b>  I-75 from US 301/SR 43 to  CR 581/Bruce B. Downs Blvd  Hillsborough County, Florida  WPI Segment No. 419235-3</p>
--	--