



Florida Department of Transportation

RON DESANTIS
GOVERNOR

11201 N. McKinley Drive
Tampa, FL 33612

KEVIN J. THIBAUT, P.E.
SECRETARY

August 20, 2019

Timothy A. Parsons, Ph.D.
Director and State Historic Preservation Officer
Florida Division of Historical Resources
500 South Bronough Street
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0250

Attention: Alyssa McManus, Transportation Compliance Review Program

**Re: Cultural Resource Assessment Survey Technical Memorandum
Proposed Stormwater Management Facilities (SMF) &
Floodplain Compensation (FPC) Sites
State Road (SR) 50 (US 98/Cortez Boulevard) from the Brooksville Bypass/
SR 50A/Jefferson Street to I-75,
Hernando County, Florida
Financial Project Identification (FPID) No.: 430051-1-22-01
Federal Aid Project No.: Not Available**

2019 AUG 21 A 10:06
FLORIDA
HISTORICAL
DEPARTMENT

Dear Dr. Parsons:

The Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT), District Seven, is planning to construct 13 proposed Stormwater Management Facilities (SMF) sites and 9 Floodplain Compensation (FPC) sites. This federally funded project is located north and south of SR 50 between Brooksville Bypass/SR 50A/Jefferson Street and I-75 and is part of the Project Development and Environmental (PD&E) study to evaluate alternative capacity and operational improvements for SR 50.

Enclosed is one copy of the Cultural Resource Assessment Survey (CRAS) Technical Memorandum (July 2019) that was prepared for the above referenced project, Florida Master Site File (FMSF) forms for seven newly recorded historic buildings (8HE00867-8HE00873), one Survey Log Sheet, and a CD containing an electronic version of these files.

On behalf of the FDOT District Seven, ACI conducted a CRAS Technical Memorandum for the project. The purpose of the CRAS Technical Memorandum was to identify the presence of resources listed in or considered eligible for listing in the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP) according to the criteria in 36 CFR 60.4 and if applicable, to apply the Criteria of Adverse Effects, as set forth in 36 CFR 800.5(a)(1) to the project. Principal Investigators meet the *Secretary of the Interior's Professional Qualification Standards* (48 FR 44716).

The Area of Potential Effects (APE) was developed based on the scale and nature of the activities. The archaeological APE consists of the footprint of the proposed pond sites.

Timothy A. Parsons, Ph.D.
Proposed SMF & FPC Sites for
SR 50 (US 98/Cortez Blvd) from the Brooksville Bypass/
SR 50A/Jefferson St to I-75
Hernando County, Florida
FPID No.: 430051-1-22-01
August 20, 2019
Page 2 of 3

The historic APE includes the archaeological APE and immediately adjacent parcels to the proposed pond sites.

Background research identified one previously recorded archaeological site (8HE00236) located in the southern portion of the easement to SMF 2A and one previously recorded archaeological site (8HE00280) encompasses SMF 6B. Both sites were determined not eligible for listing in the NRHP by the SHPO in 2015 (FMSF). Archaeological field survey included both ground surface reconnaissance and the excavation of 106 shovel tests. No previously unrecorded historic or prehistoric archaeological sites were found and no evidence of 8HE00236 (SMF 2A easement) or 8HE00280 (SMF 6B) was found within the APE.

As a result of the historic resources desktop analysis, no previously recorded historic resources were identified within any of the proposed pond sites; however, seven historic resources (8HE00867-8HE00873) were newly identified, recorded, and evaluated within the historic APE. These resources are located immediately adjacent to Ponds SMF 2A, 3A, 5B, as well as FPC sites 5B and 12B. Overall, the buildings have been altered, lack sufficient architectural features, and are not a significant embodiment of a type, period, or method of construction. In addition, background research did not reveal any historic associations with significant persons and/or events. Thus, the resources do not appear eligible for listing in the NRHP, either individually or as part of a historic district.

Based on the type of project and location, it is the opinion of ACI and FDOT, District Seven, that the proposed project will have *no effect* on any cultural resources, including archaeological sites and historic resources, which are listed, determined eligible, or that appear to be eligible for listing in the NRHP.

This information is being provided in accordance with the provisions of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966 (as amended), which are implemented by the procedures contained in 36 CFR Part 800, as well as the provisions contained in the revised Chapter 267, *Florida Statutes*.

The environmental review, consultation, and other actions required by applicable Federal environmental laws for this project are being, or have been, carried out by the FDOT pursuant to 23 U.S.C. § 327 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated December 14, 2016, and executed by the FHWA and FDOT.

Timothy A. Parsons, Ph.D.
Proposed SMF & FPC Sites for
SR 50 (US 98/Cortez Blvd) from the Brooksville Bypass/
SR 50A/Jefferson St to I-75
Hernando County, Florida
FPID No.: 430051-1-22-01
August 20, 2019
Page 3 of 3

The CRAS Technical Memorandum is for your review and concurrence. If you have any questions, or if I may be of assistance, please contact me at (813) 975-6637 or crystal.geiger@dot.state.fl.us or contact Rebecca Spain Schwarz at (813) 281-8308 or rebecca.spain-schwarz@atkinsglobal.com.

Sincerely,



Crystal Geiger
Environmental Specialist III
Cultural Resource Coordinator

Enclosures

cc: Robin Rhinesmith, FDOT
Joe Feaster, FDOT
Rebecca Spain Schwarz, Atkins
Lilliam Escalera, FDOT
Roy Jackson, FDOT OEM
Marion Almy, ACI

The Florida State Historic Preservation Officer finds the attached Cultural Resources Assessment Survey (CRAS) Technical Memorandum complete and sufficient and concurs/ does not concur with the recommendations and findings in this cover letter for SHPO/FDHR Project File Number 2015-3475B. Or, the SHPO finds the attached document contains _____ insufficient information.

In accordance with the Programmatic Agreement among the FHWA, ACHP, SHPO, and FDOT Regarding Implementation of the Federal-Aid Highway Program in Florida, if providing concurrence with a finding of No Historic Properties Affected for a project as a whole, or to No Adverse Effect on a specific historic property, SHPO shall proceed with a *de minimis* Section 4(f) finding at its discretion for the use of land from the historic property.

SHPO Comments:

SMF 4C will need to be tested/surveyed if it is
chosen as a final pond location by FDOT.


Timothy A. Parsons, Ph.D., Director
State Historic Preservation Officer
Florida Division of Historical Resources

9/18/2019
Date

**CULTURAL RESOURCE ASSESSMENT SURVEY
TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM**

**PROPOSED STORMWATER MANAGEMENT FACILITIES (SMF) &
FLOODPLAIN COMPENSATION (FPC) SITES
STATE ROAD (SR) 50 (US 98/CORTEZ BOULEVARD) FROM THE
BROOKSVILLE BYPASS/SR 50A/JEFFERSON STREET TO I-75
HERNANDO COUNTY, FLORIDA**

**Financial Project ID No.: 430051-1-22-01
ETDM No.: 13980**

Prepared for:



**Florida Department of Transportation
District Seven
11201 North McKinley Drive
Tampa, Florida 33612-6456**

The environmental review, consultation, and other actions required by applicable federal environmental laws for this project are being, or have been, carried out by the Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) pursuant to 23 U.S.C. §327 and a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) dated December 14, 2016 and executed by the Federal Highway Administration and FDOT.

July 2019

**CULTURAL RESOURCE ASSESSMENT SURVEY
TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM**

**PROPOSED STORMWATER MANAGEMENT FACILITIES (SMF) &
FLOODPLAIN COMPENSATION (FPC) SITES
STATE ROAD (SR) 50 (US 98/CORTEZ BOULEVARD) FROM THE
BROOKSVILLE BYPASS/SR 50A/JEFFERSON STREET TO I-75
HERNANDO COUNTY, FLORIDA**

**Financial Project ID No.: 430051-1-22-01
ETDM No.: 13980**

Prepared for:



**Florida Department of Transportation
District Seven
11201 North McKinley Drive
Tampa, Florida 33612-6456**

Prepared by:

**Archaeological Consultants, Inc.
8110 Blaikie Court, Suite A
Sarasota, Florida 34240**

In association with:

**American Consulting Engineers of Florida, LLC
2818 Cypress Ridge Boulevard, Suite 200
Wesley Chapel, Florida 33544**

July 2019

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.	INTRODUCTION	1
2.	PROJECT DESCRIPTION.....	3
3.	ENVIRONMENTAL OVERVIEW.....	3
4.	HISTORIC AND PREHISTORIC OVERVIEWS	8
5.	BACKGROUND RESEARCH.....	8
6.	SURVEY METHODS	9
7.	SURVEY RESULTS	11
8.	CONCLUSIONS.....	24
9.	BIBLIOGRAPHY.....	25

APPENDICES

Appendix A: FMSF Forms

Appendix B: Survey Log

Figure

Figure 1.	Location of the Ponds APE.....	2
Figure 2.	Environmental setting of the APE and previously recorded cultural resources within one half mile.	4
Figure 3.	Environmental setting of the APE and previously recorded cultural resources within one half mile.	5
Figure 4.	Location of shovel tests and recorded cultural resources.	13
Figure 5.	Location of shovel tests and recorded cultural resources.	14
Figure 6.	Location of shovel tests and recorded cultural resources.	15
Figure 7.	Location of shovel tests and recorded cultural resources.	16
Figure 8.	Location of shovel tests and recorded cultural resources.	17

Table

Table 1.	Previously recorded archaeological sites located within approximately one half mile of the SR 50 APE.....	9
Table 2.	Survey results of the APE.....	11

Photo

Photo 1.	Looking northwest at conditions of FPC-1C.	6
Photo 2.	South view of FPC 3-4A.....	6
Photo 3.	Looking south at SMF 5B.....	7
Photo 4.	FPC 6-9A, south view.....	7
Photo 5.	North view of SMF 12A.	8
Photo 6.	7401 Irwin Street (8HE00867), facing north.	18
Photo 7.	7181 Cedar Lane (8HE00868), facing west.....	19

Photo

Photo 8. 7081 Lang Street (8HE00869), facing west..... 20
Photo 9. 7071 Lang Street (8HE00870), facing west..... 21
Photo 10. 7043 Lang Street (8HE00871), facing west..... 22
Photo 11. 7025 Lang Street (8HE00872), facing west..... 23
Photo 12. 28009 Cortez Boulevard (8HE00873), facing north..... 24

**PROPOSED STORMWATER MANAGEMENT FACILITIES (SMF) &
FLOODPLAIN COMPENSATION (FPC) SITES
STATE ROAD (SR) 50 (US 98/CORTEZ BOULEVARD) FROM THE
BROOKSVILLE BYPASS/SR 50A/JEFFERSON STREET TO I-75
HERNANDO COUNTY, FLORIDA
Financial Project ID No.: 430051-1-22-01; ETDM No.: 13980**

1. INTRODUCTION

Archaeological Consultants, Inc. (ACI) performed a cultural resource assessment survey (CRAS) within the area of potential effect (APE) for 13 proposed Stormwater Management Facilities (SMF) sites and 9 Floodplain Compensation (FPC) sites (hereinafter referred to as proposed ponds or APE) located north and south of SR 50 between Brooksville Bypass/SR 50A/Jefferson Street and I-75, in April of 2019 (**Figure 1**). The purpose of this survey was to locate and identify any cultural resources within the project APE and to assess their significance in terms of eligibility for listing in the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP) as well as assess the potential of adverse impacts to resources from the proposed project activities. As defined in 36 CFR Part § 800.16(d), the APE is the “geographic area or areas within which an undertaking may directly or indirectly cause alterations in the character or use of historic properties, if any such properties exist.” The archaeological APE consists of the footprint of the proposed pond sites. The historic APE includes the archaeological APE and immediately adjacent parcels to the proposed pond sites. This work was conducted for the Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) as part of their proposed improvements to SR 50 and is a federally funded project.

This CRAS was initiated in consideration of Section 106 of the *National Historic Preservation Act* of 1966, as amended by Public Law 89-665; the *Archaeological and Historic Preservation Act*, as amended by Public Law 93-291; Executive Order 11593; and Chapter 267, *Florida Statutes (FS)*. All work was carried out in conformity with Part 2, Chapter 8 (“Archaeological and Historical Resources”) of the FDOT’s *Project Development and Environment (PD&E) Manual* (FDOT 2019), and the Florida Division of Historical Resources’ (FDHR) standards contained in the *Cultural Resource Management Standards and Operational Manual* (FDHR 2003), as well as with the provisions contained in the Chapter 1A-46, *Florida Administrative Code (FAC)*. Principal Investigators meet the *Secretary of the Interior’s Professional Qualification Standards* (48 FR 44716) for archaeology, history, architecture, architectural history, or historic architecture.

Background research included a review of the Florida Master Site File (FMSF) (January 2019), NRHP listings, a review of relevant CRAS reports and memoranda including the Project Development and Environment (PD&E) Study for SR 50 from the Brooksville Bypass to I-75 (ACI 2015), and the preliminary probability analysis for the SMF and FPC sites (ACI 2019). Based on these data, there is one archaeological site (8HE00236) located in the southern portion of the easement to SMF 2A and one previously recorded archaeological site (8HE00280) that encompasses SMF 6B. Both sites were determined not eligible for listing in the NRHP by the State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO) in 2015 (FMSF) and no evidence of either site was located during this most recent survey, nor were any other historic or prehistoric archaeological sites located. In conclusion, this project will have no involvement with any historic or prehistoric archaeological sites that are listed, determined eligible, or considered potentially eligible for listing in the NRHP.




Figure 1. Location of the Ponds APE.

As a result of the background research for historic resources, no previously recorded historic resources were identified within the APE. Historical/architectural field survey resulted in the identification and evaluation of seven newly identified historic resources (8HE00867-8HE00873). These include two Ranch (8HE00867 & 8HE00868), three Frame Vernacular (8HE00869-8HE00871), one double-wide mobile home (8HE00872), and one Masonry Vernacular (8HE00873) style residences constructed between circa (ca.) 1950 and ca. 1966. Overall, these buildings have been highly altered and lack sufficient architectural features that characterize the style of the building. In addition, background research did not reveal any historic associations with significant persons and/or events. Thus, the resources do not appear eligible for listing in the NRHP, either individually or as part of a historic district.

2. PROJECT DESCRIPTION

In order to accommodate projected traffic increases along SR 50, the FDOT is conducting a PD&E study to evaluate alternative capacity and operational improvements from the Brooksville Bypass/SR 50A/East Jefferson Street to I-75 (**Figure 1**). The length of the study is approximately 8.2 miles based on the project's work program description, however, this study only extends to Lockhart Road on the east end of the project for an effective length of 7.2 miles. The section along SR 50 to the east of Lockhart Road was studied as a part of a separate Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) approved PD&E Study (ACI 2015). SR 50 is currently a four-lane rural highway with 4-foot (ft) paved outside shoulders and 40 – 46-ft grassed median. The existing right-of-way (ROW) is 200 ft wide. The posted speed limits vary from 45 mph to 60 mph. Major intersections within the project limits occur at Cortez Boulevard/Jasmine Drive, County Road (CR) 484/Spring Lake Highway and Lockhart Road (west of I-75). There is a short segment with existing sidewalk located near the west end of the project. There is a bridge culvert within the project limits located over the Bystream Overflow.

Proposed typical sections include suburban and rural typical section. A high-speed six-lane suburban section is proposed from the western project limits to Dorsey Smith Road (West Segment) and a rural typical section within the 200-ft existing ROW is proposed from Dorsey Smith Road to Lockhart Road. No additional ROW is anticipated for the roadway improvements with the exception of small corner clips at intersections along the corridor. A “No-Build” Alternative is also being evaluated. Other proposed improvements will include construction of SMF and FPC facilities (in which additional ROW will be needed) and various intersection improvements, in addition to multimodal facilities (pedestrian, bicycle and transit accommodations) (American Consulting Engineers [ACE] 2019).

3. ENVIRONMENTAL OVERVIEW

The APE is located within the Brooksville Ridge physiographic zone, which is characterized by irregular relief and elevations that vary by some 70 to 200 ft in a very short distance (White 1970:129) (**Figures 2 and 3**). Geologically, Hernando County is underlain by the Crystal River Formation of the Jackson Stage, Suwannee Limestone, and the Hawthorn and Alachua Formations of the Alum Bluff Stage (Vernon and Puri 1964). Deuerling and MacGill (1981) indicate that Suwannee Limestone is exposed or very near the surface in the Brooksville area with the surface lithology consisting of clayey sands and limestone.

Soils of both the Nobleton-Blichton-Flemington and the Arredondo-Sparr-Kendrick associations characterize the general project area (United States Department of Agriculture [USDA] 1977). The Nobleton-Blichton-Flemington soils, found in the western part of the APE, are nearly level to strongly sloping, somewhat poorly drained and poorly drained fine sandy loams. They support slash,




Figure 2. Environmental setting of the APE and previously recorded cultural resources within one half mile.




Figure 3. Environmental setting of the APE and previously recorded cultural resources within one half mile.

loblolly, and longleaf pines; laurel, live, and water oaks; and sweetgum; hickory; magnolia; dogwood; ironwood; and scattered red cedar; with an understory of waxmyrtle, inkberry, American beautyberry, huckleberry, deer tongue, scattered saw palmettos, and native grasses (USDA 1977:6). The Arredondo-Sparr-Kendrick soils, in the eastern portion of the APE, are nearly level to sloping, well drained and somewhat poorly drained, and sandy. The natural vegetation is slash, loblolly, and longleaf pines; laurel, live, and water oaks; hickory; magnolia; dogwood; and an understory of native grasses and annual forbs (USDA 1977:6). Some of the natural vegetation still remains today but much has been removed due to timbering, pasture, and residential development (**Photos 1-5**).



Photo 1. Looking northwest at conditions of FPC-1C.



Photo 2. South view of FPC 3-4A.



Photo 3. Looking south at SMF 5B.



Photo 4. FPC 6-9A, south view.



Photo 5. North view of SMF 12A.

4. HISTORIC AND PREHISTORIC OVERVIEWS

In-depth historic and prehistoric overviews were included in the PD&E CRAS document submitted to and approved by the SHPO (Bendus 2015) and is not repeated here because it is already in the FDHR database (FDHR Project File No. 2015-3475). Specifically, this report is: *A Cultural Resource Assessment Survey SR 50 (US 98/Cortez Boulevard) PD&E Study from Brooksville Bypass/SR 50A/East Jefferson Street to I-75, Hernando County, Florida* (ACI 2015; Survey No. 21925).

5. BACKGROUND RESEARCH

Field survey was preceded by background research, which included an updated review of the digital database of the FMSF, accessed in January 2019, NRHP listings, relevant CRAS reports, the USDA *Soil Survey of Hernando County, Florida* (USDA 1977), as well as the United States Geological Survey (USGS) Brooksville SE and St. Catherine quadrangle maps (USGS 1954a, 1954b). Relevant CRAS reports included the PD&E Study for SR 50 from the Brooksville Bypass to I-75 (ACI 2015), the 1989 archaeological survey of SR 50/50A (Ballo 1989), the CRAS for SR 50 PD&E Study Reevaluation from US 19 to the East of the SR 50/50A Intersection (ACI 2003), and the CRAS of Cortez Boulevard (Dickinson 2007). Survey of pond sites and mitigation areas were also reviewed (ACI 1993, 1994), as well as a historic building update (ACI 2012) and the probability analysis for the SMF and FPC sites (ACI 2019).

As a result of the background research, one archaeological site (8HE00236) is located in the southern portion of the easement to SMF 2A and one previously recorded archaeological site (8HE00280) encompasses SMF 6B. Both sites were determined not eligible for listing in the NRHP by the SHPO in 2015 (FMSF). Fifteen other recorded archaeological sites are located within one half mile of the APE (**Figures 2, 3; Table 1**). Six of these sites have not been evaluated, one was determined to

have insufficient information to make an NRHP determination, and the remainder were considered ineligible.

Table 1. Previously recorded archaeological sites located within approximately one half mile of the SR 50 APE.

SITE #	SITE NAME	SITE TYPE	CULTURE	SHPO EVAL.
8HE00240	Sardis Road	Artifact scatter	Prehistoric	Ineligible
8HE00229	Lockhart Borrow	Single artifact	Prehistoric	Not evaluated
8HE00230	FDOT Park	Artifact scatter	Prehistoric	Ineligible
8HE00231	Pond Edge	Single artifact	Prehistoric	Ineligible
8HE00232	Hidden Pond	Single artifact	Prehistoric	Ineligible
8HE00233	WPA Road	Artifact scatter	Prehistoric	Ineligible
8HE00234	Clayton Road	Artifact scatter	Prehistoric	Ineligible
8HE00235	Dorsey Smith Road	Artifact scatter	Prehistoric	Ineligible
8HE00236	Hilton Cedar	Lithic scatter	Prehistoric	Ineligible
8HE00270	Choacachatte Town	Historic town	Seminole	Not evaluated
8HE00272	Experimental Farm	Building remains	Spanish-American War, 1898-1916	Not evaluated
8HE00280	Sicily	Habitation	Spanish-American War, 1898-1916	Ineligible
8HE00341	Parcel 102 NW	Lithic scatter	Prehistoric	Not evaluated
8HE00342	Parcel 102 NE	Lithic scatter	Prehistoric	Not evaluated
8HE00463	Brooksville Cem.	Lithic scatter	Prehistoric	Not evaluated
8HE00516	Griggs	Lithic scatter	Middle Archaic	Ineligible
8HE00630	Desolation Row	Artifact scatter	20 th c. American, 1900-present	Insufficient information

Examination of nineteenth century federal surveyor’s plats and field notes indicated a low potential for historic period archaeological sites, such as homesteads, forts, battle sites, military trails, or Native American (Seminole) encampments.

Historic buildings/structures were addressed during the 2012 historic structures update survey (SR 50, Lockhart Road to US 301) (ACI 2012) and are not repeated here. As a result of the background research, no previously recorded historic resources were identified within the APE. A review of the Hernando County property appraiser data, relevant quadrangle maps, and historic aerial photographs indicated the potential for nine new historic resources, 50 years of age or older (constructed 1969 or earlier) immediately adjacent to Ponds SMF 2A, 3A, 5B, as well as FPC sites 5B and 12B (Emerson 2019).

6. SURVEY METHODS

The FDHR’s Module Three, Guidelines for Use by Historic Professionals, indicates that the first stage of archaeological field survey is a reconnaissance of the project area to “ground truth,” or ascertain the validity of the predictive model (FDHR 2003). During this part of the survey, the researcher assesses whether the initial predictive model needs adjustment based on disturbance or conditions such as constructed features (i.e., parking lots, buildings, etc.), underground utilities, landscape alterations (i.e., ditches and swales, mined land, dredged and filled land, agricultural fields),

or other constraints that may affect the archaeological potential. Additionally, these Guidelines indicate that non-systematic “judgmental” testing may be appropriate in urbanized environments where pavement, utilities, and constructed features make systematic testing unfeasible; in geographically restricted areas such as proposed pond sites; or within project areas that have limited high and moderate probability zones, but where a larger subsurface testing sample may be desired. While predictive models are useful in determining preliminary testing strategies in a broad context, it is understood that testing intervals may be altered due to conditions encountered by the field crew at the time of survey.

Archaeological field survey included both ground surface reconnaissance and subsurface shovel testing. Subsurface testing was conducted systematically at 50 and 100 meter (m) intervals and judgmentally. Positive shovel tests were planned to be bound at close interval testing in the four cardinal directions. All shovel tests were dug to one meter in depth, unless terminated at shallow depths due to a high-water table or fill. All recovered soil was screened through a .64 centimeters (cm) mesh hardware cloth to maximize the recovery of cultural materials, and, after soil stratigraphy was recorded, each test pit was refilled. The location of each shovel test was recorded with a Juno 5 Series Trimble GPS Unit.

Historical/architectural field methodology consisted of a field survey of the project APE to determine and verify the location of all buildings and other historic resources (i.e. bridges, roads, cemeteries) that are 50 years of age or older (built in or prior to 1969), and to establish if any such resources could be determined eligible for listing in the NRHP. The field survey focused on the assessment of existing conditions for all previously recorded historic resources located within the project APE, and the presence of unrecorded historic resources within the APE. For each property, photographs were taken, and information needed for the completion of FMSF forms was gathered. In addition to architectural descriptions, each historic resource was reviewed to assess style, historic context, condition, and potential NRHP eligibility. Also, informant interviews would have been conducted, if possible, with knowledgeable persons to obtain site-specific building construction dates and/or possible associations with individuals or events significant to local or regional history.

Laboratory Procedures and Curation: In the event that cultural materials were recovered, they would be initially cleaned and sorted by artifact class and subjected to a limited technological analysis. However, no artifacts were found as a result of this survey. All project records (including photos and field notes) will be curated at ACI in Sarasota in Project file P1478A.

Inadvertent/Unanticipated Discovery of Cultural Remains: In the unlikely event that human remains are encountered during the course of project development, the procedures outlined in Chapter 872, *FS* will be followed. All activities in the immediate vicinity of the discovery will be suspended, and the FDOT, District Seven, Environmental Administrator will be contacted. A professional archaeologist will also be contacted to evaluate the importance of the discovery. The area will be examined by the archaeologist, who, in consultation with staff of the FDOT and SHPO will determine if the discovery is significant or potentially significant. In the event the discovery is found to be not significant, the work may immediately resume. If, on the other hand, the discovery is found to be significant or potentially significant, then project development activities in the immediate vicinity of the discovery will continue to be suspended until such time as a mitigation plan, acceptable to SHPO, is developed and implemented, after which project development activities may then resume.

7. SURVEY RESULTS

Archaeological Survey Results: Archaeological field survey included both ground surface reconnaissance and the excavation of a total 106 shovel tests (**Figures 4-8**). Most of the APE had a low (L) or low to moderate (L-M) archaeological probability; only one area of the APE had a moderate (M) probability (SMF 2A). The archaeological probability of the ponds is based on the preliminary pond analysis conducted previously by ACI (2019) which considered environmental factors as well as the locations of previously recorded sites. Several of the previously determined low to moderate or low ranked ponds were downgraded to a low probability because of disturbance. No previously unrecorded historic or prehistoric archaeological sites were found and no evidence of 8HE00236 (SMF 2A easement) or 8HE00280 (SMF 6B) was found within the APE. One proposed pond site (SMF 4C) was not surveyed due to access being denied to the ACI employees; the property owner also verbally assaulted ACI employees and this was reported to the Prime. A reasonable and good faith effort was made per the regulations laid out in 36 CFR § 800.4(b)(1) (Advisory Council on Historic Preservation n.d.) to survey all areas of the project APE. General soil stratigraphy and other information relating to the field survey and APE are noted in **Table 2**.

Table 2. Survey results of the APE.

SMF/FPC	Acres	ZAP (Zone of Archaeological Probability) and Results
SMF 1C	2.08	L-M; Total shovel tests – 5; Sites – Ø; Conditions – woodland (live oak) pasture; Disturbance – minor ground clearing; Stratigraphy – 0-30 centimeters below surface (cmbs) gray sandy clay; 30-100 cmbs gray sand
FPC 1C	.69	L-M; Total shovel tests – 2; Sites – Ø; Conditions – woodland (live oak) pasture; Disturbance – minor ground clearing; Stratigraphy – 0-20 cmbs dark gray sand; 20-100 cmbs light gray sand
SMF 2A + easement	2.74	M; Total shovel tests – 9; Sites – 8HE00236 (non-existent); Conditions – mixed hardwood lowland/residential lot; Disturbance – residential horticulture/Irwin St (drainage easement); Stratigraphy – 0-20 cmbs gray sand; 20-100 cmbs pale brown sand
FPC 2A	.33	L-M; Total shovel tests – 2; Sites – Ø; Conditions – mixed hardwood (live, laurel, water oak) lowland; Disturbance – none; Stratigraphy – 0-30 cmbs grayish-brown sand; 30-100 cmbs brown sandy clay
SMF 3A	1.81	L; Total shovel tests – 6; Sites – 7225 Cedar Ln (non-existent); Conditions – storage shed sales lot; Disturbance – assorted new storage sheds on display (north half); Stratigraphy – 0-20 cmbs gray sand; 20-100 cmbs pale brown sand
FPC 3-4A	1.99	L; Total shovel tests – 6; Sites – Ø; Conditions – storage shed sales lot; Disturbance – assorted new storage sheds on display (north half); utility/storage shed (south half); Stratigraphy – 0-20 cmbs gray sand; 20-100 cmbs pale brown sand
SMF 4C	1.63	L; ACCESS DENIED; ACI employees verbally assaulted
SMF 5B	1.84	L; Total shovel tests – 6; Sites – Ø; Conditions – mixed hardwood (live, laurel, water oak) lowland; Disturbance – none; Stratigraphy – 0-20 cmbs gray sand; 20-100 cmbs pale brown sand
FPC 5B	2.97	L-M; Total shovel tests – 10; Sites – Ø; Conditions – mixed hardwood (live, laurel, water oak) lowland; Disturbance – none; Stratigraphy – 0-20 cmbs gray sand; 20-100 cmbs pale brown sand
FPC 6-9A	12.99	L; Total shovel tests – 12; Sites – Ø; Conditions – planted pine (abandoned); Disturbance – corporate silviculture; Stratigraphy – 0-20 cmbs gray sand; 20-100 cmbs pale brown sand
SMF 6B	1.70	L-M; Total shovel tests – 5; Sites – 8HE00280 (non-existent); Conditions – mixed hardwood (live, laurel, water oak) lowland; Disturbance – none; Stratigraphy – 0-20 cmbs gray sand; 20-100 cmbs pale brown sand

SMF/FPC	Acres	ZAP (Zone of Archaeological Probability) and Results
SMF 7-8C	2.48	L; Total shovel tests – 4; Sites – Ø; Conditions – planted pine (abandoned); Disturbance – corporate silviculture; Stratigraphy – 0-20 cmbs gray sand; 20-100 cmbs pale brown sand
SMF 9A	1.51	L; Total shovel tests – 5; Sites – Ø; Conditions – planted pine (abandoned); Disturbance – corporate silviculture; Stratigraphy – 0-20 cmbs gray sand; 20-100 cmbs pale brown sand
SMF 10A	3.18	L; Total shovel tests – 6; Sites – Ø; Conditions – planted pine (abandoned); Disturbance – corporate silviculture; Stratigraphy – 0-20 cmbs gray sand; 20-100 cmbs pale brown sand
FPC 10A	.74	L; Total STs – 2; Sites – Ø; Conditions – planted pine (abandoned); Disturbance – corporate silviculture (north half); pre-existing retention pond (south half); Stratigraphy – 0-20 cmbs gray sand; 20-100 cmbs pale brown sand
SMF 11B	1.84	L; Total shovel tests – 4; Sites – Ø; Conditions – bamboo forested lowland; Disturbance – habitat replaced by exotic invasive vegetation; Stratigraphy – 0-100 cmbs grayish-brown sand
FPC 11B	.83	L; Total shovel tests – 2; Sites – Ø; Conditions – mixed hardwood (live, laurel, water oak) slope; Disturbance – none; Stratigraphy – 0-20 cmbs gray sand; 20-100 cmbs pale brown sand
SMF 12A	1.86	L; Total shovel tests – 6; Sites – Ø; Conditions – hay field slop; Disturbance – ongoing hay cultivation; Stratigraphy – 0-20 cmbs gray sand; 20-100 cmbs pale brown sand
FPC 12B	.42	L-M; Total shovel tests – 2; Sites – Ø; Conditions – mixed hardwood (live & turkey oak) upland; Disturbance – none; Stratigraphy – 0-20 cmbs gray sand; 20-100 cmbs pale brown sand
SMF 13B	2.96	L-M; Total shovel tests – 8; Sites – Ø; Conditions – scrub (live & turkey oak) upland; Disturbance – none; Stratigraphy – 0-20 cmbs gray sand; 20-100 cmbs pale brown sand
FPC 13B	.85	L; Total shovel tests – 2; Sites – Ø; Conditions – mixed hardwood (live, laurel, water oak) lowland; Disturbance – none; Stratigraphy – 0-20 cmbs gray sand; 20-100 cmbs pale brown sand
SMF 14A/ FPC 14A	4.65	L; Total shovel tests – 2; Sites – Ø; Conditions – woodland (live oak) pasture; Disturbance – minor ground clearing; Stratigraphy – 0-20 cmbs gray sand; 20-100 cmbs pale brown sand




Figure 4. Location of shovel tests and recorded cultural resources.




Figure 5. Location of shovel tests and recorded cultural resources.




Figure 6. Location of shovel tests and recorded cultural resources.




Figure 7. Location of shovel tests and recorded cultural resources.



Figure 8. Location of shovel tests and recorded cultural resources.

Historical Survey Results: As a result of the background research, no previously recorded historic resources were identified within or adjacent to any of the proposed pond sites. As a result of the historical/architectural field survey, no historic buildings were identified within any of the proposed pond sites; however, seven historic resources (8HE00867-8HE00873) were newly identified, recorded, and evaluated within the historic APE (**Figures 4-8**). These resources are located immediately adjacent to Ponds SMF 2A, 3A, 5B, as well as FPC sites 5B and 12B. Overall, the buildings have been altered, lack sufficient architectural features, and are not a significant embodiment of a type, period, or method of construction. In addition, background research did not reveal any historic associations with significant persons and/or events. Thus, the resources do not appear eligible for listing in the NRHP, either individually or as part of a historic district. Descriptions and photographs of the newly identified resources follow, and copies of the FMSF forms are included in **Appendix A**. No informant interviews for historic resource was conducted during the field survey. A reasonable and good faith effort was made per the regulations laid out in 36 CFR § 800.4(b)(1) (Advisory Council on Historic Preservation n.d.) to survey all areas of the project APE.



Photo 6. 7401 Irwin Street (8HE00867), facing north.

8HE00867: The Ranch style building at 7401 Irwin Street was constructed circa 1951 and is adjacent to proposed SMF 2A (**Photo 6**). The one-story, irregular plan residential building rests on a continuous foundation of concrete block and has a concrete block structural system. The hip roof over the principal mass is covered with composition shingles. A concrete block chimney is located on the north elevation eave wall. The main entryway is on the south elevation through a single portal (door missing) within a gable roof breezeway. An enclosed, partial-width porch is located on the west elevation beneath a hip roof. Visible windows include three-stacked, four-stacked, and single pane casement units. Distinguishing features include concrete block chimney, concrete sills, and casement windows. A gable roof breezeway connects the two-car garage to the main building. The building is currently being renovated and has been outfitted with replacement windows, new roof, and new siding. Overall, the building has been altered, lacks sufficient architectural features, and is not a significant embodiment of a type, period, or method of construction. In addition, background research did not reveal any historic associations with significant persons and/or events. As a result, 8HE00867 does not appear eligible for listing in the NRHP, either individually or as part of a historic district.



Photo 7. 7181 Cedar Lane (8HE00868), facing west.

8HE00868: The Ranch style building at 7181 Cedar Lane was constructed circa 1964 and is adjacent to proposed SMF 3A (**Photo 7**). The one-story, irregular plan residential building rests on a continuous foundation of concrete block and has a concrete block structural system. The gable roof over the principal mass is covered with composition shingles. The main entryway is on the east elevation through a single panel door with fanlight set in the L, recessed beneath two roof overhangs. An open, partial-width patio is located on the west elevation beneath a gable roof. Visible windows include two- and three-stacked, individual, paired, and grouped (three), metal awning units with concrete shutters. Distinguishing features includes concrete sills, masonry shutters, gable end vents, vinyl siding below gable end, and overhanging eave with boxed return. A gable roof carport connects to a utility room beneath the main gable roof. The building has been reroofed. Overall, the building has been altered, lacks sufficient architectural features, and is not a significant embodiment of a type, period, or method of construction. In addition, background research did not reveal any historic associations with significant persons and/or events. As a result, 8HE00868 does not appear eligible for listing in the NRHP, either individually or as part of a historic district.



Photo 8. 7081 Lang Street (8HE00869), facing west.

8HE00869: The Frame Vernacular building at 7081 Lang Street was constructed in 1957 and is adjacent to proposed FPC 5B (**Photo 8**). The one-story, rectangular plan residential building rests on a concrete block pier foundation and has a wood frame structural system clad in vinyl siding. The gable roof over the principal mass is covered with composition shingles and the flat roof over the porch is covered in a built-up membrane. The main entryway is on the east elevation through a single wood door beneath an extended gable roof with post supports. An open, partial-width porch is located on the north elevation beneath a flat roof. Windows include individual, one-over-one vinyl single-hung sash units. Distinguishing features include gable end vents, overhanging eave, and shutters. The building was reroofed and has new siding and windows. There is a 1959 single family Frame Vernacular residence (8HE00870) located west of the building. Overall, the building has been altered, lacks sufficient architectural features, and is not a significant embodiment of a type, period, or method of construction. In addition, background research did not reveal any historic associations with significant persons and/or events. As a result, 8HE00869 does not appear eligible for listing in the NRHP, either individually or as part of a historic district.



Photo 9. 7071 Lang Street (8HE00870), facing west.

8HE00870: The Frame Vernacular building at 7071 Lang Street was constructed in 1959 and is adjacent to proposed FPC 5B (**Photo 9**). The one-story, rectangular plan residential building rests on a concrete block pier foundation and has a wood frame structural system clad in drop siding. The gable roof over the principal mass and shed roof extension are covered with composition shingles. The main entryway is on the east elevation through a single panel door with one-light beneath an extended shed roof with bracket supports. Windows include individual, one-over-one and six-over-one wood double-hung sash units. Distinguishing features include gable end vents, overhanging eave, wood frame windows, and corner boards. The building has been reroofed. There is a 1957 single family Frame Vernacular residence (8HE00869) located east of the building. The building is in fair condition and retains some of the original exterior fabric. Overall, the building has been altered, lacks sufficient architectural features, and is not a significant embodiment of a type, period, or method of construction. In addition, background research did not reveal any historic associations with significant persons and/or events. As a result, 8HE00870 does not appear eligible for listing in the NRHP, either individually or as part of a historic district.



Photo 10. 7043 Lang Street (8HE00871), facing west.

8HE00871: The Frame Vernacular building at 7043 Lang Street was constructed in 1966 and is adjacent to proposed FPC 5B (**Photo 10**). The one-story, irregular plan residential building rests on a concrete block pier foundation and has a wood frame structural system clad in board and batten siding. The gable roof over the principal mass and shed roof extension are covered with corrugated sheet metal. The main entryway is on the east elevation through a single screen door onto an enclosed porch beneath the principal gable roof. Windows include individual, one-over-one wood single-hung sash units and a fixed unit. Distinguishing features include overhanging eave, wood frame windows, and corner boards. The building has been reroofed. Overall, the building has been altered, lacks sufficient architectural features, and is not a significant embodiment of a type, period, or method of construction. In addition, background research did not reveal any historic associations with significant persons and/or events. As a result, 8HE00871 does not appear eligible for listing in the NRHP, either individually or as part of a historic district.



Photo 11. 7025 Lang Street (8HE00872), facing west.

8HE00872: The double-wide mobile home with extension at 7025 Lang Street was constructed in 1965 and is adjacent to proposed SMF 5B (**Photo 11**). The mobile home sits within the Highpoint Gardens residential neighborhood and is not part of a mobile home park. The one-story, irregular plan residential building rests on a concrete block pier foundation and has a wood frame structural system clad in vinyl and drop siding. The gable roof over the principal mass is covered with composition shingles. The main entryway is on the east elevation through a single wood panel door atop a wood deck beneath roof overhang. Windows include individual, one-over-one and two-over-two single-hung sash units. Distinguishing features include overhanging eave and corner boards. The building has been reroofed. Overall, the building has been altered, lacks sufficient architectural features, and is not a significant embodiment of a type, period, or method of construction. In addition, background research did not reveal any historic associations with significant persons and/or events. As a result, 8HE00872 does not appear eligible for listing in the NRHP, either individually or as part of a historic district.



Photo 12. 28009 Cortez Boulevard (8HE00873), facing north.

8HE00873: The Masonry Vernacular style residence at 28009 Cortez Boulevard was constructed circa 1950 and is adjacent to proposed FPC 12B (**Photo 12**). The one-story, irregular plan residential building rests on a continuous foundation of concrete block and has a concrete block structural system with wood siding beneath the gable ends. The gable roof over the principal mass is covered with composition shingles. The main entryway is on the west elevation through a single door within an open porch beneath a shed roof with post supports. Visible windows include five-stacked, individual and grouped (four), metal awning units. Distinguishing features includes overhanging eave, concrete sills, crawl space vents, and gable end vents. The building appears to have been reroofed. The building is in fair condition and retains some of its original architectural features. Overall, the building has been altered, lacks sufficient architectural features, and is not a significant embodiment of a type, period, or method of construction. In addition, background research did not reveal any historic associations with significant persons and/or events. As a result, 8HE00873 does not appear eligible for listing in the NRHP, either individually or as part of a historic district.

8. CONCLUSIONS

As the result of background research and field survey, no historic or prehistoric archaeological sites were located within the APE. The architectural survey resulted in the identification and evaluation of seven historic resources (8HE00867-8HE00873) in the APE. Overall, the buildings have been altered, lack sufficient architectural features, and are not a significant embodiment of a type, period, or method of construction. In addition, background research did not reveal any historic associations with significant persons and/or events. Thus, the resources do not appear eligible for listing in the NRHP, either individually or as part of a historic district.

In summary, this undertaking will have no effect on any cultural resources, including archaeological sites and historic resources, which are listed, determined eligible, or that appear to be eligible for listing in the NRHP.

9. BIBLIOGRAPHY

Advisory Council on Historic Preservation

- n.d. Meeting the “Reasonable and Good Faith” Identification Standard in Section 106 Review. Accessed at http://www.achp.gov/docs/reasonable_good_faith_identification.pdf.

American Consulting Engineers

- 2019 SR 50 Project Description, Electronically Received, May 7, 2019.

Archaeological Consultants, Inc.

- 1993 Memorandum: PD&E Reevaluation, Cultural Resources SR 50 Floodplain Mitigation Site, Hernando County, Florida. ACI, Sarasota.
- 1994 Cultural Resource Assessment Survey Technical Memorandum SR 50 Ponds-13 Site Alternatives (Plus Ditch Treatment) Hernando County, Florida. ACI, Florida.
- 2003 Cultural Resource Assessment Survey SR 50 Project Development and Environment 9PE&E) Study Reevaluation from US 19 (SR 55) to the East of SR 50/50A Intersection, Hernando County, Florida. ACI, Sarasota.
- 2012 Historic Resources Update Survey Technical Memorandum State Road 50 (SR 50 [Cortez Boulevard] from Lockhart Road to US 301 (SR 35/Treiman Boulevard), Hernando County, Florida. ACI, Sarasota.
- 2015 Cultural Resource Assessment Survey SR 50 from the Brooksville Bypass/SR 50A/East Jefferson Street to I-75, Hernando County, Florida (FDHR Survey No. 21925). ACI, Sarasota.
- 2019 Preliminary Cultural Resource Assessment Probability Analysis Technical Memorandum Proposed Stormwater Management Facilities and Floodplain Compensation Sites SR 50 from the Brooksville Bypass/SR 50A/East Jefferson Street to I-75, Hernando County, Florida. ACI, Sarasota.

Ballo, George R.

- 1989 Archaeological Assessment of SR 50/50A in Hernando County Including National Register of Historic Places Determination of Eligibility for 8HE00241, the Colorado Site, Hernando County, Florida. FDOT, Tallahassee.

Bendus, Robert F.

- 2014 SHPO Concurrence Letter, FDHR File No. 2015-3475: Cultural Resource Assessment Survey SR 50 from the Brooksville Bypass/SR 50A/East Jefferson Street to I-75, Hernando County, Florida. ACI, Sarasota. June 26. FDHR, Tallahassee.

Dickinson, Martin F.

- 2007 Cultural Resources Survey and Assessment, Cortez Boulevard, Hernando County, Florida. FDHR, Tallahassee.

Deuerling, Richard J. and Peter L. MacGill

- 1981 Environmental Geology Series: Tarpon Springs Sheet. *Map Series 99*. Florida Department of Natural Resources, Bureau of Geology, Tallahassee.

Emerson, John C.

- 2019 Hernando County Property Appraisers, Spring Hill; updated January 2019.

Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT)

2019 *Project Development and Environmental Manual* Part 2, Chapter 8, "Archaeological and Historical Resources." FDOT, Tallahassee.

Florida Division of Historical Resources (FDHR)

2003 *Cultural Resource Management Standards and Operational Manual*. FDHR, Tallahassee.

FMSF

2019 Database Research and Various Site File Forms. FDHR, Tallahassee.

United States Department of Agriculture (USDA)

1977 *Soil Survey of Hernando County, Florida*. Soil Conservation Service, Washington, D.C.

United States Geological Survey (USGS)

1954a Brooksville SE, Florida. Photorevised 1988.

1954b St. Catherine, Florida. Photorevised 1988.

Vernon, R. O. and H. S. Puri

1964 Geologic Map of Florida. *Map Series* 18. Florida Board of Conservation, Division of Geology, Tallahassee.

White, William A.

1970 Geomorphology of the Florida Peninsula. *Geological Bulletin* 51. Florida Department of Natural Resources, Bureau of Geology, Tallahassee.

APPENDIX A: FMSF Forms

Original
 Update



HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM

FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE

Version 4.0 1/07

Site #8 **HE00867**
Field Date 4-15-2019
Form Date 4-30-2019
Recorder # _____

Shaded Fields represent the minimum acceptable level of documentation.
Consult the Guide to Historical Structure Forms for detailed instructions.

Site Name(s) (address if none) 7401 Irwin Street Multiple Listing (DHR only) _____
Survey Project Name SR 50 Preferred Ponds Survey # (DHR only) _____
National Register Category (please check one) building structure district site object
Ownership: private-profit private-nonprofit private-individual private-nonspecific city county state federal Native American foreign unknown

LOCATION & MAPPING

Address: 7401 Irwin Street
Street Number Direction Street Name Street Type Suffix Direction
Cross Streets (nearest / between) NW on Irwin Street
USGS 7.5 Map Name BROOKSVILLE SE USGS Date 1954 Plat or Other Map _____
City / Town (within 3 miles) Brookville In City Limits? yes no unknown County Hernando
Township 22S Range 20E Section 30 ¼ section: NW SW SE NE Irregular-name: _____
Tax Parcel # R30 422 20 0000 0150 0020 Landgrant _____
Subdivision Name _____ Block _____ Lot _____
UTM Coordinates: Zone 16 17 Easting 368614 Northing 3157758
Other Coordinates: X: _____ Y: _____ Coordinate System & Datum _____
Name of Public Tract (e.g., park) _____

HISTORY

Construction Year: 1951 approximately year listed or earlier year listed or later
Original Use Private Residence (House/Cottage/Cabin) From (year): 1951 To (year): cur
Current Use _____ From (year): _____ To (year): _____
Other Use _____ From (year): _____ To (year): _____
Moves: yes no unknown Date: _____ Original address _____
Alterations: yes no unknown Date: _____ Nature reroof, new windows & siding
Additions: yes no unknown Date: _____ Nature _____
Architect (last name first): _____ Builder (last name first): _____
Ownership History (especially original owner, dates, profession, etc.) CHERI MARIE GARCIA (1995), GEORGE THOMAS HINKLEY

Is the Resource Affected by a Local Preservation Ordinance? yes no unknown Describe _____

DESCRIPTION

Style Ranch Exterior Plan Irregular Number of Stories 1
Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Concrete block 2. _____ 3. _____
Roof Type(s) 1. Hip 2. _____ 3. _____
Roof Material(s) 1. Composition shingles 2. _____ 3. _____
Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.) 1. _____ 2. _____
Windows (types, materials, etc.) casement, 3-stacked, 4-stacked & single pane
Distinguishing Architectural Features (exterior or interior ornaments) concrete block chimney, concrete sills, casement windows

Ancillary Features / Outbuildings (record outbuildings, major landscape features; use continuation sheet if needed.) 1980 & 2015 detached utility sheds

DHR USE ONLY		OFFICIAL EVALUATION		DHR USE ONLY	
NR List Date	SHPO – Appears to meet criteria for NR listing: <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no <input type="checkbox"/> insufficient info	Date	_____	Init.	_____
<input type="checkbox"/> Owner Objection	KEEPER – Determined eligible: <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no	Date	_____		
	NR Criteria for Evaluation: <input type="checkbox"/> a <input type="checkbox"/> b <input type="checkbox"/> c <input type="checkbox"/> d (see National Register Bulletin 15, p. 2)				

DESCRIPTION (continued)

Chimney: No. 1 Chimney Material(s): 1. Concrete block 2.
Structural System(s): 1. Concrete block 2. 3.
Foundation Type(s): 1. Continuous 2.
Foundation Material(s): 1. Concrete Block 2.
Main Entrance (stylistic details) S elevation: through single entry (door missing) within a gable roof breezeway

Porch Descriptions (types, locations, roof types, etc.) W elev: enclosed, partial-width, beneath a hip roof

Condition (overall resource condition): [] excellent [x] good [] fair [] deteriorated [] ruinous

Narrative Description of Resource The one-story Ranch style residence was constructed circa 1951 and is currently being renovated. A gable roof breezeway connects the two-car garage to the main building. A concrete block chimney is located on the north elevation.

Archaeological Remains [] Check if Archaeological Form Completed

RESEARCH METHODS (check all that apply)

- [x] FMSF record search (sites/surveys) [] library research [] building permits [] Sanborn maps
[] FL State Archives/photo collection [] city directory [] occupant/owner interview [] plat maps
[x] property appraiser / tax records [] newspaper files [] neighbor interview [] Public Lands Survey (DEP)
[x] cultural resource survey (CRAS) [] historic photos [] interior inspection [] HABS/HAER record search
[x] other methods (describe) USDA historic aerial photographs (PALMM) & FDOT APlus aerial maps

Bibliographic References (give FMSF manuscript # if relevant, use continuation sheet if needed) Publication of Archival Library & Museum Materials (PALMM), accessible online at: http://susdl.fcla.edu/

OPINION OF RESOURCE SIGNIFICANCE

Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing individually? [] yes [x] no [] insufficient information

Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing as part of a district? [] yes [x] no [] insufficient information

Explanation of Evaluation (required, whether significant or not; use separate sheet if needed) The building is not a significant embodiment of a type, period, or method of construction. Background research did not reveal any historic associations with significant persons and/or events.

Area(s) of Historical Significance (see National Register Bulletin 15, p. 8 for categories: e.g. "architecture", "ethnic heritage", "community planning & development", etc.)

- 1. 3. 5.
2. 4. 6.

DOCUMENTATION

Accessible Documentation Not Filed with the Site File - including field notes, analysis notes, photos, plans and other important documents

- 1) Document type All materials at one location Maintaining organization Archaeological Consultants Inc
Document description Field notes, maps, photo log, photos File or accession #'s P1478A
2) Document type Maintaining organization
Document description File or accession #'s

RECORDER INFORMATION

Recorder Name Kimberly M. Irby Affiliation Archaeological Consultants Inc

Recorder Contact Information 8110 Blaikie Court, Ste. A / Sarasota, FL / 34240 / aciflorida@comcast.net
(address / phone / fax / e-mail)

Required Attachments
1 USGS 7.5' MAP WITH STRUCTURE LOCATION PINPOINTED IN RED
2 LARGE SCALE STREET, PLAT OR PARCEL MAP (available from most property appraiser web sites)
3 PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, ARCHIVAL B&W PRINT OR DIGITAL IMAGE FILE
If submitting an image file, it must be included on disk or CD AND in hard copy format (plain paper is acceptable). Digital image must be at least 1600 x 1200 pixels, 24-bit color, jpeg or tiff.



PHOTOGRAPH



AERIAL MAP





USGS Brooksville SE
Township 22 South, Range 20 East, Section 30



Original
 Update



HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM

FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE

Version 4.0 1/07

Site #8 **HE00868**
Field Date 4-15-2019
Form Date 5-1-2019
Recorder # _____

Shaded Fields represent the minimum acceptable level of documentation.
Consult the Guide to Historical Structure Forms for detailed instructions.

Site Name(s) (address if none) 7181 Cedar Lane Multiple Listing (DHR only) _____
Survey Project Name SR 50 Preferred Ponds Survey # (DHR only) _____
National Register Category (please check one) building structure district site object
Ownership: private-profit private-nonprofit private-individual private-nonspecific city county state federal Native American foreign unknown

LOCATION & MAPPING

Street Number 7181 Direction _____ Street Name Cedar Street Type Lane Suffix Direction _____
Address: _____
Cross Streets (nearest / between) SW on Cedar Lane
USGS 7.5 Map Name BROOKSVILLE SE USGS Date 1954 Plat or Other Map _____
City / Town (within 3 miles) Brookville In City Limits? yes no unknown County Hernando
Township 22S Range 20E Section 31 ¼ section: NW SW SE NE Irregular-name: _____
Tax Parcel # R31 422 20 0000 0090 0000 Landgrant _____
Subdivision Name _____ Block _____ Lot _____
UTM Coordinates: Zone 16 17 Easting 369047 Northing 3157141
Other Coordinates: X: _____ Y: _____ Coordinate System & Datum _____
Name of Public Tract (e.g., park) _____

HISTORY

Construction Year: 1964 approximately year listed or earlier year listed or later
Original Use Private Residence (House/Cottage/Cabin) From (year): 1964 To (year): cur
Current Use _____ From (year): _____ To (year): _____
Other Use _____ From (year): _____ To (year): _____
Moves: yes no unknown Date: _____ Original address _____
Alterations: yes no unknown Date: _____ Nature reroof
Additions: yes no unknown Date: _____ Nature _____
Architect (last name first): _____ Builder (last name first): _____
Ownership History (especially original owner, dates, profession, etc.) RICHARD HINKLE (1980), PAUL HINKLE

Is the Resource Affected by a Local Preservation Ordinance? yes no unknown Describe _____

DESCRIPTION

Style Ranch Exterior Plan Irregular Number of Stories 1
Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Concrete block 2. _____ 3. _____
Roof Type(s) 1. Gable 2. _____ 3. _____
Roof Material(s) 1. Composition shingles 2. _____ 3. _____
Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.) 1. _____ 2. _____
Windows (types, materials, etc.) awning, individual, paired, & grouped (3), metal, 2-stacked & 3-stacked

Distinguishing Architectural Features (exterior or interior ornaments) concrete sills, masonry shutters, gable end vents, vinyl siding below gable end, overhanging eave with boxed return

Ancillary Features / Outbuildings (record outbuildings, major landscape features; use continuation sheet if needed.) 1985 barn

DHR USE ONLY		OFFICIAL EVALUATION		DHR USE ONLY	
NR List Date _____	SHPO – Appears to meet criteria for NR listing: <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no <input type="checkbox"/> insufficient info	Date _____	Init. _____		
<input type="checkbox"/> Owner Objection	KEEPER – Determined eligible: <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no	Date _____			
	NR Criteria for Evaluation: <input type="checkbox"/> a <input type="checkbox"/> b <input type="checkbox"/> c <input type="checkbox"/> d (see National Register Bulletin 15, p. 2)				

DESCRIPTION (continued)

Chimney: No. ___ Chimney Material(s): 1. ___ 2. ___
Structural System(s): 1. Concrete block 2. ___ 3. ___
Foundation Type(s): 1. Continuous 2. ___
Foundation Material(s): 1. Concrete Block 2. ___
Main Entrance (stylistic details) E elevation: through single panel door with fanlight set in the L of the bldg, recessed beneath two roof overhangs
Porch Descriptions (types, locations, roof types, etc.) W elev: open, partial-width, patio beneath a gable roof

Condition (overall resource condition): [] excellent [x] good [] fair [] deteriorated [] ruinous
Narrative Description of Resource A gable roof carport connects to a utility room beneath the main gable roof. The main entry is set in the L and is recessed beneath two roof overhangs.

Archaeological Remains ___ [] Check if Archaeological Form Completed

RESEARCH METHODS (check all that apply)

[x] FMSF record search (sites/surveys) [] library research [] building permits [] Sanborn maps
[] FL State Archives/photo collection [] city directory [] occupant/owner interview [] plat maps
[x] property appraiser / tax records [] newspaper files [] neighbor interview [] Public Lands Survey (DEP)
[x] cultural resource survey (CRAS) [] historic photos [] interior inspection [] HABS/HAER record search
[x] other methods (describe) USDA historic aerial photographs (PALMM) & FDOT APlus aerial maps
Bibliographic References (give FMSF manuscript # if relevant, use continuation sheet if needed) Publication of Archival Library & Museum Materials (PALMM), accessible online at: http://susdl.fcla.edu/

OPINION OF RESOURCE SIGNIFICANCE

Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing individually? [] yes [x] no [] insufficient information
Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing as part of a district? [] yes [x] no [] insufficient information
Explanation of Evaluation (required, whether significant or not; use separate sheet if needed) The building is not a significant embodiment of a type, period, or method of construction. Background research did not reveal any historic associations with significant persons and/or events.
Area(s) of Historical Significance (see National Register Bulletin 15, p. 8 for categories: e.g. "architecture", "ethnic heritage", "community planning & development", etc.)
1. ___ 3. ___ 5. ___
2. ___ 4. ___ 6. ___

DOCUMENTATION

Accessible Documentation Not Filed with the Site File - including field notes, analysis notes, photos, plans and other important documents
1) Document type All materials at one location Maintaining organization Archaeological Consultants Inc
Document description Field notes, maps, photo log, photos File or accession #'s P1478A
2) Document type ___ Maintaining organization ___
Document description ___ File or accession #'s ___

RECORDER INFORMATION

Recorder Name Kimberly M. Irby Affiliation Archaeological Consultants Inc
Recorder Contact Information 8110 Blaikie Court, Ste. A / Sarasota, FL / 34240 / aciflorida@comcast.net
(address / phone / fax / e-mail)

Required Attachments
1 USGS 7.5' MAP WITH STRUCTURE LOCATION PINPOINTED IN RED
2 LARGE SCALE STREET, PLAT OR PARCEL MAP (available from most property appraiser web sites)
3 PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, ARCHIVAL B&W PRINT OR DIGITAL IMAGE FILE
If submitting an image file, it must be included on disk or CD AND in hard copy format (plain paper is acceptable). Digital image must be at least 1600 x 1200 pixels, 24-bit color, jpeg or tiff.



PHOTOGRAPH




AERIAL MAP





USGS Brooksville SE
Township 22 South, Range 20 East, Section 31



Original
 Update



HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM

FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE

Version 4.0 1/07

Site #8 **HE00869**
Field Date 4-15-2019
Form Date 5-1-2019
Recorder # _____

Shaded Fields represent the minimum acceptable level of documentation.
Consult the Guide to Historical Structure Forms for detailed instructions.

Site Name(s) (address if none) 7081 Lang Street Multiple Listing (DHR only) _____
Survey Project Name SR 50 Preferred Ponds Survey # (DHR only) _____
National Register Category (please check one) building structure district site object
Ownership: private-profit private-nonprofit private-individual private-nonspecific city county state federal Native American foreign unknown

LOCATION & MAPPING

Street Number 7081 Direction _____ Street Name Lang Street Type Street Suffix Direction _____
Address: _____
Cross Streets (nearest / between) NW on Lang Street
USGS 7.5 Map Name BROOKSVILLE SE USGS Date 1954 Plat or Other Map _____
City / Town (within 3 miles) Brookville In City Limits? yes no unknown County Hernando
Township 22S Range 20E Section 32 ¼ section: NW SW SE NE Irregular-name: _____
Tax Parcel # R32 122 20 0420 0000 0150 Landgrant _____
Subdivision Name HIGHPOINT GARDENS UNREC Block _____ Lot _____
UTM Coordinates: Zone 16 17 Easting 369924 Northing 3156769
Other Coordinates: X: _____ Y: _____ Coordinate System & Datum _____
Name of Public Tract (e.g., park) _____

HISTORY

Construction Year: 1957 approximately year listed or earlier year listed or later
Original Use Private Residence (House/Cottage/Cabin) From (year): 1957 To (year): cur
Current Use _____ From (year): _____ To (year): _____
Other Use _____ From (year): _____ To (year): _____
Moves: yes no unknown Date: _____ Original address _____
Alterations: yes no unknown Date: _____ Nature reroof, new siding & windows
Additions: yes no unknown Date: _____ Nature porch
Architect (last name first): _____ Builder (last name first): _____
Ownership History (especially original owner, dates, profession, etc.) JOYCE L MOORE-JENNINGS (1997), CALVIN FIGUEROA (1995), ALICE MOBLEY (1980), VIOLES VANDYKE
Is the Resource Affected by a Local Preservation Ordinance? yes no unknown Describe _____

DESCRIPTION

Style Frame Vernacular Exterior Plan Rectangular Number of Stories 1
Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Vinyl 2. _____ 3. _____
Roof Type(s) 1. Gable 2. Flat 3. _____
Roof Material(s) 1. Composition shingles 2. Built-up 3. _____
Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.) 1. Gable extension 2. _____
Windows (types, materials, etc.) SHS, individual, vinyl, 1/1

Distinguishing Architectural Features (exterior or interior ornaments) gable end vents, overhanging eave, shutters

Ancillary Features / Outbuildings (record outbuildings, major landscape features; use continuation sheet if needed.) 1959 residence (8HE00870)

DHR USE ONLY		OFFICIAL EVALUATION		DHR USE ONLY	
NR List Date	SHPO – Appears to meet criteria for NR listing: <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no <input type="checkbox"/> insufficient info	Date	_____	Init.	_____
<input type="checkbox"/> Owner Objection	KEEPER – Determined eligible: <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no	Date	_____		
	NR Criteria for Evaluation: <input type="checkbox"/> a <input type="checkbox"/> b <input type="checkbox"/> c <input type="checkbox"/> d (see National Register Bulletin 15, p. 2)				

DESCRIPTION (continued)

Chimney: No. ___ Chimney Material(s): 1. ___ 2. ___
Structural System(s): 1. Wood frame 2. ___ 3. ___
Foundation Type(s): 1. Piers 2. ___
Foundation Material(s): 1. Concrete Block 2. ___
Main Entrance (stylistic details) E elevation: through a single wood door beneath an extended gable roof with post supports
Porch Descriptions (types, locations, roof types, etc.) W elev: open, partial-width, on stilts, beneath a flat roof

Condition (overall resource condition): [] excellent [] good [x] fair [] deteriorated [] ruinous
Narrative Description of Resource One-story Frame Vernacular style residence constructed circa 1957.

Archaeological Remains ___ [] Check if Archaeological Form Completed

RESEARCH METHODS (check all that apply)

[x] FMSF record search (sites/surveys) [] library research [] building permits [] Sanborn maps
[] FL State Archives/photo collection [] city directory [] occupant/owner interview [] plat maps
[x] property appraiser / tax records [] newspaper files [] neighbor interview [] Public Lands Survey (DEP)
[x] cultural resource survey (CRAS) [] historic photos [] interior inspection [] HABS/HAER record search
[x] other methods (describe) USDA historic aerial photographs (PALMM) & FDOT APlus aerial maps
Bibliographic References (give FMSF manuscript # if relevant, use continuation sheet if needed) Publication of Archival Library & Museum Materials (PALMM), accessible online at: http://susdl.fcla.edu/

OPINION OF RESOURCE SIGNIFICANCE

Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing individually? [] yes [x] no [] insufficient information
Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing as part of a district? [] yes [x] no [] insufficient information
Explanation of Evaluation (required, whether significant or not; use separate sheet if needed) The building is not a significant embodiment of a type, period, or method of construction. Background research did not reveal any historic associations with significant persons and/or events.
Area(s) of Historical Significance (see National Register Bulletin 15, p. 8 for categories: e.g. "architecture", "ethnic heritage", "community planning & development", etc.)
1. ___ 3. ___ 5. ___
2. ___ 4. ___ 6. ___

DOCUMENTATION

Accessible Documentation Not Filed with the Site File - including field notes, analysis notes, photos, plans and other important documents
1) Document type All materials at one location Maintaining organization Archaeological Consultants Inc
Document description Field notes, maps, photo log, photos File or accession #'s P1478A
2) Document type ___ Maintaining organization ___
Document description ___ File or accession #'s ___

RECORDER INFORMATION

Recorder Name Kimberly M. Irby Affiliation Archaeological Consultants Inc
Recorder Contact Information 8110 Blaikie Court, Ste. A / Sarasota, FL / 34240 / aciflorida@comcast.net
(address / phone / fax / e-mail)


Required Attachments
1 USGS 7.5' MAP WITH STRUCTURE LOCATION PINPOINTED IN RED
2 LARGE SCALE STREET, PLAT OR PARCEL MAP (available from most property appraiser web sites)
3 PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, ARCHIVAL B&W PRINT OR DIGITAL IMAGE FILE
If submitting an image file, it must be included on disk or CD AND in hard copy format (plain paper is acceptable). Digital image must be at least 1600 x 1200 pixels, 24-bit color, jpeg or tiff.



PHOTOGRAPH



AERIAL MAP





USGS Brooksville SE
Township 22 South, Range 20 East, Section 32



Original
 Update



HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM

FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE

Version 4.0 1/07

Site #8 **HE00870**
Field Date 4-15-2019
Form Date 5-1-2019
Recorder # _____

Shaded Fields represent the minimum acceptable level of documentation.
Consult the Guide to Historical Structure Forms for detailed instructions.

Site Name(s) (address if none) 7071 Lang Street Multiple Listing (DHR only) _____
Survey Project Name SR 50 Preferred Ponds Survey # (DHR only) _____
National Register Category (please check one) building structure district site object
Ownership: private-profit private-nonprofit private-individual private-nonspecific city county state federal Native American foreign unknown

LOCATION & MAPPING

Street Number 7071 Direction _____ Street Name Lang Street Type Street Suffix Direction _____
Address: _____
Cross Streets (nearest / between) NW on Lang Street
USGS 7.5 Map Name BROOKSVILLE SE USGS Date 1954 Plat or Other Map _____
City / Town (within 3 miles) Brookville In City Limits? yes no unknown County Hernando
Township 22S Range 20E Section 32 ¼ section: NW SW SE NE Irregular-name: _____
Tax Parcel # R32 122 20 0420 0000 0150 Landgrant _____
Subdivision Name HIGHPOINT GARDENS UNREC Block _____ Lot _____
UTM Coordinates: Zone 16 17 Easting 369896 Northing 3156750
Other Coordinates: X: _____ Y: _____ Coordinate System & Datum _____
Name of Public Tract (e.g., park) _____

HISTORY

Construction Year: 1959 approximately year listed or earlier year listed or later
Original Use Private Residence (House/Cottage/Cabin) From (year): 1959 To (year): cur
Current Use _____ From (year): _____ To (year): _____
Other Use _____ From (year): _____ To (year): _____
Moves: yes no unknown Date: _____ Original address _____
Alterations: yes no unknown Date: _____ Nature reroof
Additions: yes no unknown Date: _____ Nature wood deck
Architect (last name first): _____ Builder (last name first): _____
Ownership History (especially original owner, dates, profession, etc.) JOYCE L MOORE-JENNINGS (1997), CALVIN FIGUEROA (1995), ALICE MOBLEY (1980), VIOLES VANDYKE
Is the Resource Affected by a Local Preservation Ordinance? yes no unknown Describe _____

DESCRIPTION

Style Frame Vernacular Exterior Plan Rectangular Number of Stories 1
Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Drop siding 2. _____ 3. _____
Roof Type(s) 1. Gable 2. _____ 3. _____
Roof Material(s) 1. Composition shingles 2. _____ 3. _____
Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.) 1. Shed extension 2. _____
Windows (types, materials, etc.) DHS, individual, wood, 1/1 & 6/1

Distinguishing Architectural Features (exterior or interior ornaments) gable end vents, overhanging eave, wood frame windows, corner boards

Ancillary Features / Outbuildings (record outbuildings, major landscape features; use continuation sheet if needed.) 1957 residence (8HE00869)

DHR USE ONLY		OFFICIAL EVALUATION		DHR USE ONLY	
NR List Date	SHPO – Appears to meet criteria for NR listing: <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no <input type="checkbox"/> insufficient info	Date	_____	Init.	_____
<input type="checkbox"/> Owner Objection	KEEPER – Determined eligible: <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no	Date	_____		
	NR Criteria for Evaluation: <input type="checkbox"/> a <input type="checkbox"/> b <input type="checkbox"/> c <input type="checkbox"/> d (see National Register Bulletin 15, p. 2)				

DESCRIPTION (continued)

Chimney: No. ___ Chimney Material(s): 1. ___ 2. ___
Structural System(s): 1. Wood frame 2. ___ 3. ___
Foundation Type(s): 1. Piers 2. ___
Foundation Material(s): 1. Concrete Block 2. ___
Main Entrance (stylistic details) E elevation: through a single panel door with one-light beneath an extended shed roof with brackets
Porch Descriptions (types, locations, roof types, etc.)

Condition (overall resource condition): [] excellent [] good [x] fair [] deteriorated [] ruinous
Narrative Description of Resource One-story Frame Vernacular style residence constructed circa 1959.

Archaeological Remains [] Check if Archaeological Form Completed

RESEARCH METHODS (check all that apply)

[x] FMSF record search (sites/surveys) [] library research [] building permits [] Sanborn maps
[] FL State Archives/photo collection [] city directory [] occupant/owner interview [] plat maps
[x] property appraiser / tax records [] newspaper files [] neighbor interview [] Public Lands Survey (DEP)
[x] cultural resource survey (CRAS) [] historic photos [] interior inspection [] HABS/HAER record search
[x] other methods (describe) USDA historic aerial photographs (PALMM) & FDOT APlus aerial maps
Bibliographic References (give FMSF manuscript # if relevant, use continuation sheet if needed) Publication of Archival Library & Museum Materials (PALMM), accessible online at: http://susdl.fcla.edu/

OPINION OF RESOURCE SIGNIFICANCE

Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing individually? [] yes [x] no [] insufficient information
Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing as part of a district? [] yes [x] no [] insufficient information
Explanation of Evaluation (required, whether significant or not; use separate sheet if needed) The building is not a significant embodiment of a type, period, or method of construction. Background research did not reveal any historic associations with significant persons and/or events.
Area(s) of Historical Significance (see National Register Bulletin 15, p. 8 for categories: e.g. "architecture", "ethnic heritage", "community planning & development", etc.)
1. ___ 3. ___ 5. ___
2. ___ 4. ___ 6. ___

DOCUMENTATION

Accessible Documentation Not Filed with the Site File - including field notes, analysis notes, photos, plans and other important documents
1) Document type All materials at one location Maintaining organization Archaeological Consultants Inc
Document description Field notes, maps, photo log, photos File or accession #'s P1478A
2) Document type ___ Maintaining organization ___
Document description ___ File or accession #'s ___

RECORDER INFORMATION

Recorder Name Kimberly M. Irby Affiliation Archaeological Consultants Inc
Recorder Contact Information 8110 Blaikie Court, Ste. A / Sarasota, FL / 34240 / aciflorida@comcast.net
(address / phone / fax / e-mail)


Required Attachments
1 USGS 7.5' MAP WITH STRUCTURE LOCATION PINPOINTED IN RED
2 LARGE SCALE STREET, PLAT OR PARCEL MAP (available from most property appraiser web sites)
3 PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, ARCHIVAL B&W PRINT OR DIGITAL IMAGE FILE
If submitting an image file, it must be included on disk or CD AND in hard copy format (plain paper is acceptable). Digital image must be at least 1600 x 1200 pixels, 24-bit color, jpeg or tiff.



PHOTOGRAPHS



AERIAL MAP





USGS Brooksville SE
Township 22 South, Range 20 East, Section 32



Original
 Update



HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM

FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE

Version 4.0 1/07

Site #8 **HE00871**
Field Date 4-15-2019
Form Date 5-1-2019
Recorder # _____

Shaded Fields represent the minimum acceptable level of documentation.
Consult the Guide to Historical Structure Forms for detailed instructions.

Site Name(s) (address if none) 7043 Lang Street Multiple Listing (DHR only) _____
Survey Project Name SR 50 Preferred Ponds Survey # (DHR only) _____
National Register Category (please check one) building structure district site object
Ownership: private-profit private-nonprofit private-individual private-nonspecific city county state federal Native American foreign unknown

LOCATION & MAPPING

Street Number 7043 Direction _____ Street Name Lang Street Type Street Suffix Direction _____
Address: _____
Cross Streets (nearest / between) SW on Lang Street
USGS 7.5 Map Name BROOKSVILLE SE USGS Date 1954 Plat or Other Map _____
City / Town (within 3 miles) Brookville In City Limits? yes no unknown County Hernando
Township 22S Range 20E Section 32 1/4 section: NW SW SE NE Irregular-name: _____
Tax Parcel # R32 122 20 0420 0000 0210 Landgrant _____
Subdivision Name HIGHPOINT GARDENS UNREC Block _____ Lot _____
UTM Coordinates: Zone 16 17 Easting 369924 Northing 3156642
Other Coordinates: X: _____ Y: _____ Coordinate System & Datum _____
Name of Public Tract (e.g., park) _____

HISTORY

Construction Year: 1966 approximately year listed or earlier year listed or later
Original Use Private Residence (House/Cottage/Cabin) From (year): 1966 To (year): cur
Current Use _____ From (year): _____ To (year): _____
Other Use _____ From (year): _____ To (year): _____
Moves: yes no unknown Date: _____ Original address _____
Alterations: yes no unknown Date: _____ Nature reroof
Additions: yes no unknown Date: _____ Nature _____
Architect (last name first): _____ Builder (last name first): _____
Ownership History (especially original owner, dates, profession, etc.) PENNY REYNOLDS (2003), JANE TRACY (1992), ALINE PATRICK (1985), WILLIAM WELLS
Is the Resource Affected by a Local Preservation Ordinance? yes no unknown Describe _____

DESCRIPTION

Style Frame Vernacular Exterior Plan Irregular Number of Stories 1
Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Board and batten 2. _____ 3. _____
Roof Type(s) 1. Gable 2. _____ 3. _____
Roof Material(s) 1. Sheet metal:corrugated 2. _____ 3. _____
Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.) 1. Shed extension 2. _____
Windows (types, materials, etc.) SHS, individual, wood, 2/2; fixed
Distinguishing Architectural Features (exterior or interior ornaments) overhanging eave, wood frame windows, corner boards
Ancillary Features / Outbuildings (record outbuildings, major landscape features; use continuation sheet if needed.) detached utility building

DHR USE ONLY		OFFICIAL EVALUATION		DHR USE ONLY	
NR List Date	SHPO – Appears to meet criteria for NR listing: <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no <input type="checkbox"/> insufficient info	Date	_____	Init.	_____
<input type="checkbox"/> Owner Objection	KEEPER – Determined eligible: <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no	Date	_____		
	NR Criteria for Evaluation: <input type="checkbox"/> a <input type="checkbox"/> b <input type="checkbox"/> c <input type="checkbox"/> d (see National Register Bulletin 15, p. 2)				

DESCRIPTION (continued)

Chimney: No. ___ Chimney Material(s): 1. ___ 2. ___
Structural System(s): 1. Wood frame 2. ___ 3. ___
Foundation Type(s): 1. Piers 2. ___
Foundation Material(s): 1. Concrete Block 2. ___
Main Entrance (stylistic details) E elevation: through a single screen door onto an enclosed porch beneath the principal gable roof
Porch Descriptions (types, locations, roof types, etc.)

Condition (overall resource condition): [] excellent [] good [x] fair [] deteriorated [] ruinous
Narrative Description of Resource One-story Frame Vernacular style residence constructed circa 1966.

Archaeological Remains [] Check if Archaeological Form Completed

RESEARCH METHODS (check all that apply)

[x] FMSF record search (sites/surveys) [] library research [] building permits [] Sanborn maps
[] FL State Archives/photo collection [] city directory [] occupant/owner interview [] plat maps
[x] property appraiser / tax records [] newspaper files [] neighbor interview [] Public Lands Survey (DEP)
[x] cultural resource survey (CRAS) [] historic photos [] interior inspection [] HABS/HAER record search
[x] other methods (describe) USDA historic aerial photographs (PALMM) & FDOT APlus aerial maps
Bibliographic References (give FMSF manuscript # if relevant, use continuation sheet if needed) Publication of Archival Library & Museum Materials (PALMM), accessible online at: http://susdl.fcla.edu/

OPINION OF RESOURCE SIGNIFICANCE

Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing individually? [] yes [x] no [] insufficient information
Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing as part of a district? [] yes [x] no [] insufficient information
Explanation of Evaluation (required, whether significant or not; use separate sheet if needed) The building is not a significant embodiment of a type, period, or method of construction. Background research did not reveal any historic associations with significant persons and/or events.
Area(s) of Historical Significance (see National Register Bulletin 15, p. 8 for categories: e.g. "architecture", "ethnic heritage", "community planning & development", etc.)
1. ___ 3. ___ 5. ___
2. ___ 4. ___ 6. ___

DOCUMENTATION

Accessible Documentation Not Filed with the Site File - including field notes, analysis notes, photos, plans and other important documents
1) Document type All materials at one location Maintaining organization Archaeological Consultants Inc
Document description Field notes, maps, photo log, photos File or accession #'s P1478A
2) Document type ___ Maintaining organization ___
Document description ___ File or accession #'s ___

RECORDER INFORMATION

Recorder Name Kimberly M. Irby Affiliation Archaeological Consultants Inc
Recorder Contact Information 8110 Blaikie Court, Ste. A / Sarasota, FL / 34240 / aciflorida@comcast.net
(address / phone / fax / e-mail)

Required Attachments
1 USGS 7.5' MAP WITH STRUCTURE LOCATION PINPOINTED IN RED
2 LARGE SCALE STREET, PLAT OR PARCEL MAP (available from most property appraiser web sites)
3 PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, ARCHIVAL B&W PRINT OR DIGITAL IMAGE FILE
If submitting an image file, it must be included on disk or CD AND in hard copy format (plain paper is acceptable). Digital image must be at least 1600 x 1200 pixels, 24-bit color, jpeg or tiff.



PHOTOGRAPH



AERIAL MAP





USGS Brooksville SE
Township 22 South, Range 20 East, Section 32





HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM

FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE

Version 4.0 1/07

Site #8 **HE00872**
Field Date 4-15-2019
Form Date 5-1-2019
Recorder # _____

Original
 Update

Shaded Fields represent the minimum acceptable level of documentation.
Consult the Guide to Historical Structure Forms for detailed instructions.

Site Name(s) (address if none) 7025 Lang Street Multiple Listing (DHR only) _____
Survey Project Name SR 50 Preferred Ponds Survey # (DHR only) _____
National Register Category (please check one) building structure district site object
Ownership: private-profit private-nonprofit private-individual private-nonspecific city county state federal Native American foreign unknown

LOCATION & MAPPING

Street Number 7025 Direction _____ Street Name Lang Street Type Street Suffix Direction _____
Address: _____
Cross Streets (nearest / between) SW on Lang Street
USGS 7.5 Map Name BROOKSVILLE SE USGS Date 1954 Plat or Other Map _____
City / Town (within 3 miles) Brookville In City Limits? yes no unknown County Hernando
Township 22S Range 20E Section 32 ¼ section: NW SW SE NE Irregular-name: _____
Tax Parcel # R32 122 20 0420 0000 0240 Landgrant _____
Subdivision Name HIGHPOINT GARDENS UNREC Block _____ Lot _____
UTM Coordinates: Zone 16 17 Easting 369908 Northing 3156576
Other Coordinates: X: _____ Y: _____ Coordinate System & Datum _____
Name of Public Tract (e.g., park) _____

HISTORY

Construction Year: 1965 approximately year listed or earlier year listed or later
Original Use Private Residence (House/Cottage/Cabin) From (year): 1965 To (year): cur
Current Use _____ From (year): _____ To (year): _____
Other Use _____ From (year): _____ To (year): _____
Moves: yes no unknown Date: _____ Original address _____
Alterations: yes no unknown Date: _____ Nature reroof, new siding & windows
Additions: yes no unknown Date: _____ Nature south elevation & wood deck
Architect (last name first): _____ Builder (last name first): _____
Ownership History (especially original owner, dates, profession, etc.) EDMUND HARRIS (2007), ORA NICCUM (1995), ESMAR WAID

Is the Resource Affected by a Local Preservation Ordinance? yes no unknown Describe _____

DESCRIPTION

Style No style Exterior Plan Irregular Number of Stories 1
Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Vinyl 2. Drop siding 3. _____
Roof Type(s) 1. Gable 2. _____ 3. _____
Roof Material(s) 1. Composition shingles 2. _____ 3. _____
Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.) 1. _____ 2. _____
Windows (types, materials, etc.) SHS, individual, 1/1, 2/2

Distinguishing Architectural Features (exterior or interior ornaments) overhanging eave and corner boards

Ancillary Features / Outbuildings (record outbuildings, major landscape features; use continuation sheet if needed.) _____

DHR USE ONLY		OFFICIAL EVALUATION		DHR USE ONLY	
NR List Date	SHPO – Appears to meet criteria for NR listing: <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no <input type="checkbox"/> insufficient info	Date	_____	Init.	_____
<input type="checkbox"/> Owner Objection	KEEPER – Determined eligible: <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no	Date	_____		
	NR Criteria for Evaluation: <input type="checkbox"/> a <input type="checkbox"/> b <input type="checkbox"/> c <input type="checkbox"/> d (see National Register Bulletin 15, p. 2)				

DESCRIPTION (continued)

Chimney: No. ___ Chimney Material(s): 1. ___ 2. ___
Structural System(s): 1. Wood frame 2. ___ 3. ___
Foundation Type(s): 1. Piers 2. ___
Foundation Material(s): 1. Concrete Block 2. ___
Main Entrance (stylistic details) E elevation: through a single wood panel door atop a wood deck beneath roof overhang

Porch Descriptions (types, locations, roof types, etc.) E/ENTRANCE: open, partial-width atop a wood deck beneath roof overhang

Condition (overall resource condition): []excellent []good [x]fair []deteriorated []ruinous
Narrative Description of Resource One-story double-wide mobile home with extension was constructed circa 1965.

Archaeological Remains ___ []Check if Archaeological Form Completed

RESEARCH METHODS (check all that apply)

- [x]FMSF record search (sites/surveys) []library research []building permits []Sanborn maps
[]FL State Archives/photo collection []city directory []occupant/owner interview []plat maps
[x]property appraiser / tax records []newspaper files []neighbor interview []Public Lands Survey (DEP)
[x]cultural resource survey (CRAS) []historic photos []interior inspection []HABS/HAER record search
[x]other methods (describe) USDA historic aerial photographs (PALMM) & FDOT APlus aerial maps

Bibliographic References (give FMSF manuscript # if relevant, use continuation sheet if needed) Publication of Archival Library & Museum Materials (PALMM), accessible online at: http://susdl.fcla.edu/

OPINION OF RESOURCE SIGNIFICANCE

Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing individually? []yes [x]no []insufficient information
Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing as part of a district? []yes [x]no []insufficient information
Explanation of Evaluation (required, whether significant or not; use separate sheet if needed) The building is not a significant embodiment of a type, period, or method of construction. Background research did not reveal any historic associations with significant persons and/or events.

Area(s) of Historical Significance (see National Register Bulletin 15, p. 8 for categories: e.g. "architecture", "ethnic heritage", "community planning & development", etc.)
1. ___ 3. ___ 5. ___
2. ___ 4. ___ 6. ___

DOCUMENTATION

Accessible Documentation Not Filed with the Site File - including field notes, analysis notes, photos, plans and other important documents
1) Document type All materials at one location Maintaining organization Archaeological Consultants Inc
Document description Field notes, maps, photo log, photos File or accession #'s P1478A
2) Document type ___ Maintaining organization ___
Document description ___ File or accession #'s ___

RECORDER INFORMATION

Recorder Name Kimberly M. Irby Affiliation Archaeological Consultants Inc
Recorder Contact Information 8110 Blaikie Court, Ste. A / Sarasota, FL / 34240 / aciflorida@comcast.net
(address / phone / fax / e-mail)


Required Attachments
1 USGS 7.5' MAP WITH STRUCTURE LOCATION PINPOINTED IN RED
2 LARGE SCALE STREET, PLAT OR PARCEL MAP (available from most property appraiser web sites)
3 PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, ARCHIVAL B&W PRINT OR DIGITAL IMAGE FILE
If submitting an image file, it must be included on disk or CD AND in hard copy format (plain paper is acceptable). Digital image must be at least 1600 x 1200 pixels, 24-bit color, jpeg or tiff.



PHOTOGRAPH



AERIAL MAP





USGS Brooksville SE
Township 22 South, Range 20 East, Section 32



Original
 Update



HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM

FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE

Version 4.0 1/07

Site #8 **HE00873**
Field Date 4-15-2019
Form Date 5-1-2019
Recorder # _____

Shaded Fields represent the minimum acceptable level of documentation.
Consult the Guide to Historical Structure Forms for detailed instructions.

Site Name(s) (address if none) 28009 Cortez Blvd Multiple Listing (DHR only) _____
Survey Project Name SR 50 Preferred Ponds Survey # (DHR only) _____
National Register Category (please check one) building structure district site object
Ownership: private-profit private-nonprofit private-individual private-nonspecific city county state federal Native American foreign unknown

LOCATION & MAPPING

Street Number 28009 Direction _____ Street Name Cortez Street Type Boulevard Suffix Direction _____
Address: _____
Cross Streets (nearest / between) between Old Spring Lake Road & White Road
USGS 7.5 Map Name BROOKSVILLE SE USGS Date 1954 Plat or Other Map _____
City / Town (within 3 miles) Brookville In City Limits? yes no unknown County Hernando
Township 22S Range 20E Section 35 ¼ section: NW SW SE NE Irregular-name: _____
Tax Parcel # R35 422 20 0000 0610 0030 Landgrant _____
Subdivision Name _____ Block _____ Lot _____
UTM Coordinates: Zone 16 17 Easting 374739 Northing 3155924
Other Coordinates: X: _____ Y: _____ Coordinate System & Datum _____
Name of Public Tract (e.g., park) _____

HISTORY

Construction Year: 1950 approximately year listed or earlier year listed or later
Original Use Private Residence (House/Cottage/Cabin) From (year): 1950 To (year): cur
Current Use _____ From (year): _____ To (year): _____
Other Use _____ From (year): _____ To (year): _____
Moves: yes no unknown Date: _____ Original address _____
Alterations: yes no unknown Date: _____ Nature reroof
Additions: yes no unknown Date: _____ Nature _____
Architect (last name first): _____ Builder (last name first): _____
Ownership History (especially original owner, dates, profession, etc.) JULIE BLUCHER (2015), PHILLIP DANNA

Is the Resource Affected by a Local Preservation Ordinance? yes no unknown Describe _____

DESCRIPTION

Style Masonry Vernacular Exterior Plan Irregular Number of Stories 1
Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Concrete block 2. _____ 3. _____
Roof Type(s) 1. Gable 2. _____ 3. _____
Roof Material(s) 1. Composition shingles 2. _____ 3. _____
Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.) 1. _____ 2. _____
Windows (types, materials, etc.) awning, individual & grouped (4), 5-stacked

Distinguishing Architectural Features (exterior or interior ornaments) overhanging eave, concrete sills, crawl space vents, and gable end vents

Ancillary Features / Outbuildings (record outbuildings, major landscape features; use continuation sheet if needed.) _____

DHR USE ONLY		OFFICIAL EVALUATION		DHR USE ONLY	
NR List Date	SHPO – Appears to meet criteria for NR listing: <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no <input type="checkbox"/> insufficient info	Date	_____	Init.	_____
<input type="checkbox"/> Owner Objection	KEEPER – Determined eligible: <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no	Date	_____		
	NR Criteria for Evaluation: <input type="checkbox"/> a <input type="checkbox"/> b <input type="checkbox"/> c <input type="checkbox"/> d (see National Register Bulletin 15, p. 2)				

DESCRIPTION (continued)

Chimney: No. ____ Chimney Material(s): 1. _____ 2. _____
 Structural System(s): 1. Concrete block 2. _____ 3. _____
 Foundation Type(s): 1. Continuous 2. _____
 Foundation Material(s): 1. Concrete Block 2. _____
 Main Entrance (stylistic details) W elevation: through a single wood panel door atop a wood deck beneath a shed roof with post supports
 Porch Descriptions (types, locations, roof types, etc.) W/ENTRANCE: open, partial-width atop a wood deck beneath shed roof

Condition (overall resource condition): excellent good fair deteriorated ruinous

Narrative Description of Resource One-story Masonry Vernacular style building was constructed circa 1950.

Archaeological Remains _____ Check if Archaeological Form Completed

RESEARCH METHODS (check all that apply)

FMSF record search (sites/surveys) library research building permits Sanborn maps
FL State Archives/photo collection city directory occupant/owner interview plat maps
property appraiser / tax records newspaper files neighbor interview Public Lands Survey (DEP)
cultural resource survey (CRAS) historic photos interior inspection HABS/HAER record search
other methods (describe) USDA historic aerial photographs (PALMM) & FDOT APlus aerial maps

Bibliographic References (give FMSF manuscript # if relevant, use continuation sheet if needed) Publication of Archival Library & Museum Materials (PALMM), accessible online at: <http://susdl.fcla.edu/>

OPINION OF RESOURCE SIGNIFICANCE

Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing individually? yes no insufficient information

Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing as part of a district? yes no insufficient information

Explanation of Evaluation (required, whether significant or not; use separate sheet if needed) The building is not a significant embodiment of a type, period, or method of construction. Background research did not reveal any historic associations with significant persons and/or events.

Area(s) of Historical Significance (see National Register Bulletin 15, p. 8 for categories: e.g. "architecture", "ethnic heritage", "community planning & development", etc.)

1. _____ 3. _____ 5. _____
 2. _____ 4. _____ 6. _____

DOCUMENTATION

Accessible Documentation Not Filed with the Site File - including field notes, analysis notes, photos, plans and other important documents

- 1) Document type All materials at one location Maintaining organization Archaeological Consultants Inc
 Document description Field notes, maps, photo log, photos File or accession #'s P1478A
- 2) Document type _____ Maintaining organization _____
 Document description _____ File or accession #'s _____

RECORDER INFORMATION

Recorder Name Kimberly M. Irby Affiliation Archaeological Consultants Inc

Recorder Contact Information 8110 Blaikie Court, Ste. A / Sarasota, FL / 34240 / aciflorida@comcast.net
 (address / phone / fax / e-mail)

Required Attachments

- ① USGS 7.5' MAP WITH STRUCTURE LOCATION PINPOINTED IN RED
- ② LARGE SCALE STREET, PLAT OR PARCEL MAP (available from most property appraiser web sites)
- ③ PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, ARCHIVAL B&W PRINT OR DIGITAL IMAGE FILE
 If submitting an image file, it must be included on disk or CD AND in hard copy format (plain paper is acceptable).
 Digital image must be at least 1600 x 1200 pixels, 24-bit color, jpeg or tiff.



PHOTOGRAPH



AERIAL MAP





USGS Brooksville SE
Township 22 South, Range 20 East, Section 35



APPENDIX B: Survey Log

Ent D (FMSF only) _____



Survey Log Sheet

Florida Master Site File
Version 4.1 1/07

Survey # (FMSF only) _____

Consult *Guide to the Survey Log Sheet* for detailed instructions.

Identification and Bibliographic Information

Survey Project (name and project phase) SR 50 Preferred Pond Tech Memo, Phase I

Report Title (exactly as on title page) CRAS Tech Memo Proposed SMF & FPC Sites State Road 50 From the Brooksville Bypass/SR 50A/East Jefferson Street to Interstate 75 (I-75), Hernando County, Florida.

Report Authors (as on title page, last names first) 1. ACI 3. _____
2. _____ 4. _____

Publication Date (year) 2019 Total Number of Pages in Report (count text, figures, tables, not site forms) 32

Publication Information (Give series, number in series, publisher and city. For article or chapter, cite page numbers. Use the style of *American Antiquity*.)
P14078A, ACI, Sarasota, Florida

Supervisors of Fieldwork (even if same as author) Names Almy, Marion

Affiliation of Fieldworkers: Organization Archaeological Consultants Inc City Sarasota

Key Words/Phrases (Don't use county name, or common words like *archaeology, structure, survey, architecture, etc.*)

1. _____ 3. _____ 5. _____ 7. _____
2. _____ 4. _____ 6. _____ 8. _____

Survey Sponsors (corporation, government unit, organization or person directly funding fieldwork)

Name American Consulting Engineers, Inc.

Organization _____

Address/Phone/E-mail 2818 Cypress Ridge Blvd, Suite 200, Wesley Chapel, FL 33544-6302

Recorder of Log Sheet Lee Hutchinson

Date Log Sheet Completed 5-7-2019

Is this survey or project a continuation of a previous project? No Yes: Previous survey #s (FMSF only)

Mapping

Counties (List each one in which field survey was done; attach additional sheet if necessary)

1. Hernando 3. _____ 5. _____
2. _____ 4. _____ 6. _____

USGS 1:24,000 Map Names/Year of Latest Revision (attach additional sheet if necessary)

1. Name <u>BROOKSVILLE SE</u>	Year <u>1954</u>	4. Name _____	Year _____
2. Name <u>SAINT CATHERINE</u>	Year <u>1958</u>	5. Name _____	Year _____
3. Name _____	Year _____	6. Name _____	Year _____

Description of Survey Area

Dates for Fieldwork: Start 4-15-2019 End 4-19-2019 Total Area Surveyed (fill in one) _____ hectares 57 acres

Number of Distinct Tracts or Areas Surveyed 23

If Corridor (fill in one for each) Width: _____ meters _____ feet Length: _____ kilometers _____ miles

Research and Field Methods

Types of Survey (check all that apply): archaeological architectural historical/archival underwater
damage assessment monitoring report other(describe): _____

Scope/Intensity/Procedures Background research, visual reconnaissance, field survey: 106 total shovel tests, historical/architectural field survey, report prepared.

Preliminary Methods (check as many as apply to the project as a whole)

Florida Archives (Gray Building) library research- local public local property or tax records other historic maps
Florida Photo Archives (Gray Building) library-special collection - nonlocal newspaper files soils maps or data
Site File property search Public Lands Survey (maps at DEP) literature search windshield survey
Site File survey search local informant(s) Sanborn Insurance maps aerial photography
other (describe): _____

Archaeological Methods (check as many as apply to the project as a whole)

Check here if NO archaeological methods were used.
surface collection, controlled shovel test-other screen size block excavation (at least 2x2 m)
surface collection, uncontrolled water screen soil resistivity
shovel test-1/4" screen posthole tests magnetometer
shovel test-1/8" screen auger tests side scan sonar
shovel test 1/16" screen coring pedestrian survey
shovel test-unscreened test excavation (at least 1x2 m) unknown
other (describe): _____

Historical/Architectural Methods (check as many as apply to the project as a whole)

Check here if NO historical/architectural methods were used.
building permits demolition permits neighbor interview subdivision maps
commercial permits exposed ground inspected occupant interview tax records
interior documentation local property records occupation permits unknown
other (describe): _____

Survey Results (cultural resources recorded)


Site Significance Evaluated? Yes No
Count of Previously Recorded Sites 0 Count of Newly Recorded Sites 7
Previously Recorded Site #'s with Site File Update Forms (List site #'s without "8". Attach additional pages if necessary.) _____

Newly Recorded Site #'s (Are all originals and not updates? List site #'s without "8". Attach additional pages if necessary.) HE00867-8HE00873

Site Forms Used: Site File Paper Form Site File Electronic Recording Form

REQUIRED: ATTACH PLOT OF SURVEY AREA ON PHOTOCOPY OF USGS 1:24,000 MAP(S)

SHPO USE ONLY SHPO USE ONLY SHPO USE ONLY
Origin of Report: 872 CARL UW 1A32 # _____ Academic Contract Avocational
Grant Project # _____ Compliance Review: CRAT # _____
Type of Document: Archaeological Survey Historical/Architectural Survey Marine Survey Cell Tower CRAS Monitoring Report
Overview Excavation Report Multi-Site Excavation Report Structure Detailed Report Library, Hist. or Archival Doc
MPS MRA TG Other: _____
Document Destination: _____ Plotability: _____



Preferred Pond Sites
 Township 22 South, Ranges 19 and 20 East, and
 Township 23 South, Range 20 East
 USGS Brooksville SE & St Catherine, Hernando County.

**STORMWATER MANAGEMENT FACILITIES (SMF) & FLOODPLAIN
 COMPENSATION (FPC) SITES**
 STATE ROAD (SR) 50 FROM BROOKSVILLE/SR 50A/JEFFERSON STREET
 TO I-75 HERNANDO COUNTY, FLORIDA
 Financial Project ID No.: 430051-1-22-01