

CULTURAL RESOURCE ASSESSMENT SURVEY UPDATE TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM

**PROJECT DEVELOPMENT AND ENVIRONMENT STUDY
SR 52 from East of McKendree Road to East of US 301
WPI Segment No: 435915-1**

Pasco County, Florida



**Florida Department of Transportation
11201 North McKinley Drive
Tampa, Florida 33612**

February 2015

**CULTURAL RESOURCE ASSESSMENT SURVEY
UPDATE
TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM**

**PROJECT DEVELOPMENT AND ENVIRONMENT STUDY
SR 52 from East of McKendree Road
To East of US 301
WPI Segment No.: 435915-1
Pasco County, Florida**

Prepared for:



**Florida Department of Transportation
11201 North McKinley Drive
Tampa, Florida 33612**

Prepared by:

**Archaeological Consultants, Inc.
8110 Blaikie Court, Suite A
Sarasota, Florida 34240**

In association with:

**Atkins North America, Inc.
4030 West Boy Scout Boulevard, Suite 700
Tampa, Florida 33607**

February 2015

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0 INTRODUCTION.....	1-1
1.1 PURPOSE	1-1
1.2 EXISTING CONDITIONS AND PROPOSED IMPROVEMENTS.....	1-3
1.3 REPORT PURPOSE.....	1-7
2.0 BACKGROUND RESEARCH	2-1
3.0 METHODS	3-1
4.0 ARCHAEOLOGICAL SURVEY RESULTS	4-1
5.0 HISTORICAL/ARCHITECTURAL SURVEY RESULTS.....	5-1
6.0 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	6-1
7.0 REFERENCES CITED.....	7-1

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1-1	Project Location Map.....	1-2
Figure 1-2	Proposed Typical Section.....	1-5
Figure 1-3	Proposed Typical Section.....	1-6
Figure 1-4	Location of pond sites (SMFs) and areas of new alignment	1-8
Figure 2-1	Location of previously recorded archaeological sites and historic resources within or proximate to the SR 52 project APE.....	2-2
Figure 2-2	Location of previously recorded archaeological sites and historic resources within or proximate to the SR 52 project APE.....	2-3
Figure 2-3	Location of previously recorded archaeological sites and historic resources within or proximate to the SR 52 project APE, including the NRHP-eligible Faith Fellowship (former Pasadena Church, 8PA02219).....	2-4
Figure 4-1	Results of the 2010 archaeological survey showing shovel test locations, previously recorded sites, and AOs (from ACI 2010, Figure 5.1).....	4-3
Figure 4-2	Results of the 2010 archaeological survey showing shovel test locations, previously recorded sites, and AOs (from ACI 2010, Figure 5.1).....	4-4
Figure 4-3	Approximate location of shovel tests within the previously unsurveyed SMF 1 and SMF 2, plus the proposed new alignment and the location of the newly recorded archaeological site	4-5

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 4-4	Approximate location of shovel tests within the previously unsurveyed SMF B-75D, SMF B-79A, and Curley Road alignment as well as the previously recorded site.....	4-6
Figure 4-5	Approximate location of shovel tests and AO#4 within the SMF easement, the new alignment, and the Prospect Road alignment.....	4-7
Figure 5-1	Location of the previously and newly recorded historic resources within the SR 52 project APE	5-2
Figure 5-2	Location of the previously and newly recorded historic resources within the SR 52 project APE	5-3
Figure 5-3	Location of the previously and newly recorded historic resources within the SR 52 project APE	5-4

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1-1	Township, Range, and Section	1-3
Table 2-1	Previously recorded historic resources within the SR 52 project APE	2-5
Table 4-1	Summary of archaeological survey results	4-2
Table 5-1	Previously and newly recorded historic resources within the SR 52 project APE	5-5

LIST OF PHOTOS

Photo 4-1	Looking north at 8PA02903 within the northern part of proposed SMF 1	4-8
Photo 4-2	Looking northwest at SMF B-79A within the recorded location of 8PA00206.....	4-9
Photo 4-3	Location of AO #1 within SMF C, looking north (from ACI 2010).....	4-9
Photo 4-4	Location of AO # 2 within SMF E, looking east (from ACI 2010)	4-10
Photo 4-5	The proposed new alignment area in the vicinity of AO # 4, looking south ...	4-11
Photo 5-1	South elevation of 35621 Clinton Avenue, 8PA02217, looking north	5-6
Photo 5-2	South elevation of 35031 Clinton Avenue, 8PA02218, looking north	5-7
Photo 5-3	East elevation of 11549 Curley Road, (8PA02783), looking west.....	5-8
Photo 5-4	West elevation of 35040 Prospect Road, 8PA02785, looking east.....	5-9
Photo 5-5	West elevation of 35010 Prospect Road, 8PA2786, looking southeast.....	5-10
Photo 5-6	West elevation of garage associated with the house at 35010 Prospect Road, 8PA02786, looking east.....	5-10
Photo 5-7	North elevation of 35024 Clinton Avenue, 8PA02787, looking south	5-11
Photo 5-8	South elevation of 36027 Clinton Avenue, 8PA02788, looking north	5-12
Photo 5-9	Southeast elevation of 31005 SR 52, 8PA02897, looking north.	5-13
Photo 5-10	South elevation of 31005-A SR 52, 8PA02899, looking north.	5-14

LIST OF PHOTOS

- Photo 5-11 South elevation of Piney Grove Missionary Baptist Church, 8PA02900,..... 5-15
Photo 5-12 South elevation of 35045 Clinton Avenue, 8PA02901, looking north 5-16
Photo 5-13 South elevation of 36005 Clinton Avenue, 8PA02902, looking north 5-17

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX A: Florida Master Site File Forms

APPENDIX B: Survey Log

1.0 INTRODUCTION

The Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT), District Seven, is conducting a Project Development and Environment (PD&E) study to determine the engineering and environmental effects of the proposed realignment of SR 52 from east of McKendree Road to east of US 301 within Pasco County, Florida.

1.1 PURPOSE

The purpose of the PD&E Study is to provide documented environmental and engineering analyses to assist FDOT in reaching a decision on the type, location and conceptual design of the necessary improvements, in order to accommodate future traffic demand in a safe and efficient manner. The PD&E Study also satisfies the requirements of FDOT and follows the process outlined in the FDOT *Project Development and Environment Manual*. Although no federal involvement has been identified, the proposed project's PD&E Study process was developed in compliance with the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), and other applicable federal and state regulations.

This PD&E Study documents the need for the new roadway, and presents the procedures utilized to develop and evaluate the improvement alternative. Information relating to the engineering and environmental characteristics essential for development of alternative alignments and analytical decisions was collected. Design criteria were established and preliminary alternative alignments were developed. The comparison of alternative alignments was based on a variety of parameters utilizing a matrix format. This process was utilized to identify the Recommended Alternative that minimizes natural, physical, and socio-economic impacts, while providing the necessary future transportation improvements. The study also solicits input from the community and users of the facility.

Project Description

The realignment of SR 52 is proposed as a new four-lane urban controlled access facility within Pasco County, Florida, that will serve as an additional east-west route in the regional transportation network. The study limits extend from the existing State Road (SR) 52 intersection with McKendree Road easterly to the intersection of Pasadena Road with Clinton Avenue, as shown in **Figure 1-1**. The roadway will be constructed on new alignment south of the existing SR 52. The existing portion of Clinton Avenue between Pasadena Road and US 301, constructed by others, will also be designated as SR 52, while the existing SR 52 from McKendree Road to US 301 will revert to Pasco County for ownership and maintenance purposes.

Figure 1-1: Project Location Map



SR 52 PD&E Study
From East of McKendree Road to East of US 301
WPI Segment No: 435915-1

PROJECT LOCATION MAP

The total length of the proposed roadway is approximately 8 miles (mi.). The study area is within the following United States Geological Survey (USGS) 1:24,000 scale quadrangle maps: San Antonio and Dade City. **Table 1-1** lists the Townships, Ranges, and Sections covering the study area. SR 52 is not identified as part of the Strategic Intermodal System (SIS). However, the existing SR 52 and CR 52A are both identified as evacuation routes by the State Emergency Response Term (SERT).

Table 1-1 - Township, Range, and Section

Township	Range	Sections
25 South	20 East	9, 10, 11, 12,
	21 East	5, 6, 7, 8, 9

Corridor Analysis

The Pasco County Engineering Services Department conducted the Clinton Avenue Extension Route Study which established the need for the new roadway and its proposed typical section and alignment. The study included three public workshops, the last of which was held in April 2004. The Clinton Avenue Extension Final Route Study Report (June 2004) documents the traffic, engineering and environmental analysis, public involvement activities, and the selection of a Recommended Alternative. It serves as the basis for this PD&E Study. The design year is 2025.

1.2 EXISTING CONDITIONS AND PROPOSED IMPROVEMENTS

Existing SR 52 is primarily a two-lane undivided rural roadway between its intersection with I-75 (SR 93) and US 301 in Dade City, Florida. Currently, there are limited bicycle and pedestrian facilities within the study area.

Traffic analyses documented the need to provide increased capacity within the SR 52 corridor. Transportation systems management and other measures such as the provision of mass transit and ride-sharing opportunities would not create sufficient roadway capacity to address the corridor’s transportation capacity needs. However, as identified in the Clinton Avenue Extension Route Study Report (June 2004), portions of SR 52 through downtown Dade City cannot be widened without significant cost and social impact to the historic downtown area.

The Clinton Avenue Extension Route Study summarized the evaluation of the cost, engineering and environmental issues associated with four new alignment alternatives, ultimately recommending the proposed alignment alternative being evaluated in the project’s State Environmental Impact Report (SEIR).

The proposed alignment and construction of SR 52 on a new route will allow multiple lanes to be constructed without creating substantial impacts to the environment. The proposed alignment begins on SR 52 1600 feet west of McKendree Road, following existing SR 52 for approximately 4,400 feet where it continues eastward on new alignment to Curley Road. At Curley Road, the alignment continues east along McCabe Road for approximately 1.25 miles, then transitions to the north to avoid Williams Cemetery and align with existing Clinton Avenue. The alignment would follow existing Clinton Avenue from Prospect Road to east of Pasadena Road.

Consistent with the 2004 Route Study design concept, there are two proposed typical sections. The first (**Figure 1-2**), from McKendree Road to CR 579 (Prospect Road) is a four-lane suburban typical section with a 44-ft depressed grass median expandable to an ultimate six-lane urban roadway with a 22-ft raised median. There is a 5-ft sidewalk on the south side and a 10-ft shared use path on the north side. The second typical section (**Figure 1-3**) from CR 579 (Prospect Road) to Pasadena Road is a four-lane urban roadway with a 22-ft median and two 5-ft sidewalks. Both sections have 11-ft lanes and 7-ft bike lanes. The design speed is 45 mph.

No Build Alternative

For capacity improvements to SR 52 between McKendree Road and Pasadena Road, two alternatives were evaluated: the No Build Alternative and the Build Alternative. The No Build Alternative involves not making any construction improvements for the existing or proposed SR 52 corridor beyond any currently planned. There are no planned roadway improvements to the segment of SR 52 between McKendree Road and Pasadena Road. Although there would be no costs associated with the No Build Alternative, traffic congestion and travel delays would increase. Therefore, the No Build would not meet the purpose and need for the project. It was, however, included for comparison with the Build Alternative.


Build Alternative

Under the Build Alternative, described above, it is anticipated that as much as 60 percent of the projected traffic for SR 52 would shift to the proposed re-aligned SR 52. With this shift in traffic, existing SR 52 would operate at LOS C and LOS D and the re-aligned SR 52 would operate at LOS B. Therefore, the Build Alternative would meet the project's purpose and need, but could not do so without incurring cost and environmental impacts.

Recommendation

Based upon the results of the evaluation, the Build Alternative is the Recommended Alternative.

Figure 1-2: Proposed Typical Section




PROPOSED TYPICAL SECTION



SR 52 PD&E Study
 From East of McKendree Road to East of US 301
 WPI Segment No: 435915-1

Figure 1-3: Proposed Typical Section



SR 52
From Prospect Road to Pasadena Road

PROPOSED TYPICAL SECTION




SR 52 PD&E Study
From East of McKendree Road to East of US 301
WPI Segment No. 435915-1

1.3 REPORT PURPOSE

In accordance with Chapter 267, F.S. and FDOT procedures, the study team conducted a Cultural Resource Assessment Survey (CRAS) Update to locate and identify any archaeological sites and historic resources occurring within the project area of potential effect (APE) and to assess their significance in terms of their potential eligibility for listing in the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP). The project APE for archaeological sites was defined as the footprint of all newly proposed alignment segments plus pond sites (**Figure 1-4**); archaeological field survey was not required for the project corridor that was previously studied in 2010 during the Pasco County Clinton Avenue Extension CRAS. The APE for historical resources was defined as the project corridor and pond sites, as well as adjacent properties to take into account potential indirect effects such as visual and access. Archaeological and historical/architectural field surveys were preceded by background research. This CRAS did not evaluate cultural resources for the Clinton Avenue segment between Pasadena Road and US 301 which is being constructed by Pasco County.

Background research indicated that two previous cultural resource surveys have been conducted within the project study area. A CRAS of the Proposed Cannon Ranch Development Site was prepared in 1986 by Piper Archaeological Research (Horvath and Austin 1986) and a CRAS of the Pasco County Clinton Avenue Extension was prepared in 2010 by Archaeological Consultants, Inc. (ACI 2010). The Cannon Ranch Development Site boundaries extended from SR 52 at the northwest corner to CR 577 (Curley Road) at the eastern side. As a result of field survey, 13 prehistoric archaeological sites were identified and recorded; only one site (8PA202) was considered to be NRHP eligible but it is located outside of the proposed SR 52 project APE. Of the 13 sites, three (8PA00206, 8PA00207, and 8PA00208) are located within or proximate to the proposed SR 52 project APE. No historic structures were identified or recorded. This CRAS was submitted to the Florida Division of Historical Resources but was not reviewed by the Transportation Compliance Review Section.

The Clinton Avenue Extension CRAS was prepared for Pasco County and included a proposed alignment from west of CR 577 (Curley Road) to Prospect Road and improvements along the existing Clinton Avenue alignment from Prospect Road to west of CR 41 (Fort King Road). This 2010 CRAS also included nine proposed pond sites. As a result of field survey, only three archaeological occurrences (AOs) were discovered; two were found within proposed SMF C and SMF E, respectively. None of the AOs is considered significant. No evidence of three previously recorded archaeological sites (8PA00114, 8PA00167, or 8PA00206) originally identified within the SR 52 APE was found. This CRAS also identified, recorded and evaluated 17 historic buildings. Only one, the Faith Fellowship (former Pasadena Church; 8PA02219), an 1887 Frame Vernacular style building located at 36134 Clinton Avenue, was considered eligible for listing in



Sources: Esri, HERE, DeLorme, USGS, Intermap, increment P Corp., NRCAN, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), Esri (Thailand), TomTom, MapmyIndia, © OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community

Figure 1-4: Location of pond sites (SMFs) and areas of new alignment.

**CRAS Update
SR 52 PD&E Study
From East of McKendree Road
To East of US 301
Pasco County
WPI Segment No: 435915-1**

the NRHP. This CRAS was submitted to the Florida Division of Historical Resources and received concurrence with these findings in a letter dated December 8, 2010.

As a result of the CRAS update, one new archaeological site (8PA02903), a small lithic scatter, was discovered within proposed SMF 1. It is not considered potentially eligible for listing in the NRHP given the commonality of type for the region and very low research potential. Although proposed SMF B-79A is located within the recorded location of 8PA00206, no evidence of the previously recorded site was found. One new AO, a single artifact find, was discovered within the segment of new alignment located west of Prospect Road. While no evidence of previously recorded sites 8PA00167 and 8PA00206 was found during the 2010 Clinton Avenue Extension CRAS, these sites, as well as 8PA00207 and 8PA00208, as originally recorded, are located within the SR 52 project APE.

As a result of the historical/architectural field survey update, seven previously recorded historic resources (8PA02217, 8PA02218, 8PA02783, and 8PA02785 through 8PA02788) were identified plus an additional five historic resources (8PA02897 and 8PA02899 through 8PA02902) were newly identified, recorded, and evaluated. Among the total 12 historic resources, both 8PA02788 and 8PA02902 are associated with proposed SMF J. The five newly identified Masonry and Frame Vernacular style buildings, constructed between 1955 and 1962, are typical examples of their types with no significant historical associations to persons or events. Thus, none appears to meet the criteria of eligibility for listing in the NRHP. The NRHP-eligible Faith Fellowship (former Pasadena Church; 8PA2219) is located east of Pasadena Road. It is located in the portion of the project that is being constructed by Pasco County and will not be affected by this project.

2.0 BACKGROUND RESEARCH

Field survey was preceded by background research which included a review of the findings of the previous CRAS of the Proposed Cannon Ranch Development Site (Horvath and Austin 1986) and the Pasco County Clinton Avenue Extension (ACI 2010), plus an updated check of the digital database of the FMSF (January 2015).

The FMSF data indicate that 26 archaeological and historical surveys have been conducted within one mile of the SR 52 project APE. Among these are several surveys for transportation projects including segments of SR 52 (ACI 2006a, Browning 1985, Driscoll 2004a, 2004b); I-75 (ACI 1997, 2006b, 2009, 2011, 2012a, 2012b); Curley Road (ACI 2005); and the Clinton Avenue Extension (ACI 2010). Other previous surveys were for private commercial and residential developments (ACI 2008, Horvath and Austin 1986, Carty 2006, Pickles et al. 2005, Dixon et al. 2006, Driscoll and Jones 2009, Mayo 1998); cell tower development (Burns 2000, Florida Archaeological Consulting 2007, Parker 2006); improvements to the campus of Saint Leo University (Austin 2002, Austin and Mohlman 2007); plus historic resources surveys of Central Pasco County (Janus Research 2003) and East Pasco County (Janus Research 2003, 2005).

Archaeological Sites

Seventeen recorded archaeological sites are located within one mile of the SR 52 project APE. Of these, four sites, 8PA00167, 8PA00206, 8PA00207, and 8PA00208, are located within or adjacent to the project corridor and/or proposed pond sites (**Figures 2-1 and 2-2**). SMF B-79A is contained within 8PA00206, a lithic scatter identified during the Proposed Cannon Ranch Development Site CRAS and reinvestigated during the Clinton Avenue Extension CRAS with negative results. 8PA00167, the McCabe (Lake Kersey) Site, is a lithic scatter originally recorded by William Drayton in 1984, and dated to the Archaic period based on the recovery of a stemmed projectile point. It was updated during the Clinton Avenue Extension CRAS with negative results. 8PA00207 and 8PA00208 also were recorded during the CRAS of the Proposed Cannon Ranch Development Site (Horvath and Austin 1986). These lithic scatters were not considered significant due to their respective low research potential; they were not evaluated by the SHPO. 8PA00167 and 8PA00206 were evaluated by the SHPO and found to have insufficient information for a determination of NRHP eligibility.

Historic Resources

Historic background research indicated that seven previously recorded historic resources (8PA02217, 8PA02218, 8PA02783, and 8PA02785 through 8PA2788) are located within the SR 52 project APE (**Figures 2-1 through 2-3; Table 2-1**). These were recorded during the previous CRAS of the Clinton Avenue Extension corridor (ACI 2010). All were evaluated by the SHPO




Figure 2-1: Location of previously recorded archaeological sites and historic resources within or proximate to the SR 52 project APE; USGS San Antonio 1954, PR 1988.

**CRAS Update
 SR 52 PD&E Study
 From East of McKendree Road
 To East of US 301
 Pasco County
 WPI Segment No: 435915-1**




Figure 2-2: Location of previously recorded archaeological sites and historic resources within or proximate to the SR 52 project APE; USGS San Antonio 1954, PR 1988 and Dade City 1960, PR 1988.

CRAS Update
SR 52 PD&E Study
From East of McKendree Road
To East of US 301
Pasco County
WPI Segment No: 435915-1




Figure 2-3: Location of previously recorded archaeological sites and historic resources within or proximate to the SR 52 project APE, including the NRHP-eligible Faith Fellowship (formerly Pasadena Church; 8PA02219); USGS Dade City 1960, PR 1988.

CRAS Update
SR 52 PD&E Study
From East of McKendree Road
To East of US 301
Pasco County
WPI Segment No: 435915-1

and found to be ineligible for listing in the NRHP in 2010 (FMSF). Also among the historic resources identified during the Clinton Avenue Extension CRAS is Faith Fellowship (former Pasadena Church; 8PA02219). This building at 36134 Clinton Avenue, constructed in 1887, was determined NRHP-eligible. However, it is located east of Pasadena Road, outside the current SR 52 project APE.

Table 2-1 – Previously recorded historic resources within the SR 52 project APE

FMSF No.	Address/Site Name	Date	Style	SHPO Evaluation
8PA02217	35621 Clinton Avenue	c. 1940	Frame Vernacular	Ineligible 12/08/2010
8PA02218	35031 Clinton Avenue	c. 1935	Frame Vernacular	Ineligible 12/08/2010
8PA02783	11549 Curley Road	c. 1960	Mid-Century Modern	Ineligible 12/08/2010
8PA02785	35040 Prospect Road	c. 1950	Frame Vernacular	Ineligible 12/08/2010
8PA02786	35010 Prospect Road	c. 1950	Frame Vernacular	Ineligible 12/08/2010
8PA02787	35024 Clinton Avenue	c. 1940	Frame Vernacular	Ineligible 12/08/2010
8PA02788	36027 Clinton Avenue	c. 1950	Masonry Vernacular	Ineligible 12/08/2010

The Pasco County Property Appraiser’s website and the USGS San Antonio (1954) and Dade City (1960) quadrangle maps were examined to determine the potential for unrecorded historic resources (50 years of age or older). As a result, five such resources were identified.

3.0 METHODS

Field Methodology

Archaeological field survey methods consisted of surface reconnaissance combined with systematic subsurface testing. Shovel tests were placed at 25 m (82 ft) intervals in the high probability areas and at 50 m (164 ft) intervals in the moderate probability areas, as well as judgmentally. The high, moderate, and low probability areas are indicated by HPZ, MPZ, and LPZ, respectively, in **Table 4-1**. Shovel tests were circular and measured approximately 0.5 m (20 in) in diameter by 1 m (3.3 ft) in depth. All soil removed from the shovel tests was screened through a 6.4 mm (.25 in) mesh hardware cloth to maximize the recovery of artifacts. The locations of all shovel tests were plotted on the aerial map, and, following the recording of relevant data, such as stratigraphic profile and artifact finds, all shovel tests were refilled.

Historical/architectural field survey methods consisted of the identification of all previously recorded and potential historic resources located within the project APE. Photographs were taken and information gathered for completion of FMSF forms for each property estimated to be built in or before 1965. In addition to architectural descriptions, each historic resource was reviewed to assess style, historic context, and potential NRHP eligibility. Pertinent records on the Pasco County Property Appraiser's website were examined to obtain site-specific building construction dates and other information.

Unexpected Discoveries

It was anticipated that if human burial sites such as Indian mounds, lost historic and pre-colonial cemeteries, or other unmarked burials or associated artifacts were found, then the provisions and guidelines set forth in Chapter 872.05, *FS* (Florida's Unmarked Burial Law) would be followed. Such sites were not expected within the project APE.

Laboratory Methods/Curation

All recovered cultural materials were lithic waste flakes. These were cleaned and subjected to a limited technological analysis focused on ascertaining the stages of stone tool production. Flakes were measured, examined for raw material type and absence or presence of thermal alteration, and classified into four types (primary decortication, secondary decortication, non-decortication, and shatter) based on the amount of cortex on the dorsal surface and the shape (White 1963).

All project-related material (including artifacts, field notes, maps, and photographs) will be stored at Archaeological Consultants, Inc. in Sarasota, until arrangements can be made for curation by the FDOT.

4.0 ARCHAEOLOGICAL SURVEY RESULTS

Archaeological field survey included both ground surface reconnaissance and the excavation of 82 shovel tests within all previously unsurveyed pond sites and associated easements, areas of new alignment, and previously unsurveyed segments of right-of-way along Curley Road and Prospect Road. Of the total 12 proposed pond sites, nine were field surveyed in 2010 during the Clinton Avenue Extension CRAS; 75 shovel tests (STs) were excavated (ACI 2010) (**Table 4-1**). As a result of this previous effort, no archaeological sites were discovered. However, two archaeological occurrences (AOs) were found in SMF C (AO # 1) and SMF E (AO # 2). A third AO was found within the project corridor, to the north of SMF E. An archaeological occurrence is defined as “one or two non-diagnostic artifacts, not known to be distant from the original context, which fit within a hypothetical cylinder of thirty meters diameter, regardless of depth below surface” (FMSF 1999:10). With the exception of three new easement areas associated with SMFs B, C, and F, no additional archeological testing was conducted in SMFs B through J. The current effort focused on new SMFs 1, 2, B-75D, and B-79A, plus the three easements. In addition to the proposed ponds, two segments of new alignment were surveyed, plus the previously uninvestigated segments of Curley Road and Prospect Road situated to the immediate north and south of the project corridor. **Figures 4-1 and 4-2** show the locations of shovel tests from the 2010 Clinton Avenue Extension CRAS; **Figures 4-3 through 4-5** depict shovel tests in the previously unsurveyed ponds, new alignment areas, and the Curley Road and Prospect Road segments.

As a result of the CRAS update, one new site (8PA02903), a small lithic scatter, was discovered in SMF 1. No evidence of previously recorded site 8PA00206 was found in SMF B-79A. A new AO (AO # 4) was discovered within the proposed new alignment located west of Prospect Road. A summary of archaeological survey results is provided in **Table 4-1**, and a description of each previously recorded and newly identified site and AO located within the project APE follows, including the three previously recorded sites (8PA00167, 8PA00207 and 8PA00208) within the APE associated with the project corridor. FMSF forms are contained in **Appendix A**.

New and Previously Recorded Archaeological Sites and AOs Associated with Proposed Ponds

8PA02903: The SR 52 Clinton Avenue Site (**Photo 4-1**) was newly discovered in SMF 1. This small lithic scatter is located in the southeast quarter of the northeast quarter of Section 9 in Township 25 South, Range 20 East (USGS San Antonio, 1954). The site occurs on slightly elevated terrain proximate to a wetland associated with Bayou Branch, and is mostly improved pasture with scattered live oak. The local soil type is Pomona fine sand, a nearly level, poorly drained soil found on low ridges in the flatwoods. The soil stratigraphy observed in the productive shovel tests was variable.

This site was discovered as the result of systematic subsurface testing; no surface cultural materials were observed. A total of 18 shovel tests were excavated at 25 m (82 ft) and 50 m (164 ft) intervals, as well as judgmentally (**Figure 4-3**). As a result, three were productive of three pieces of lithic debitage. Artifacts were recovered from between approximately 20-50 centimeters below surface (cmbs) (8-20 inches [in]). The waste flakes include one secondary decortication flake, one non-decortication flake, and one piece of shatter, all of coral; two were thermally altered. The three flakes range in size from medium to x-large (2.4 mm to 3.5 mm). Given the commonality of this site type throughout Pasco County, and the low research potential, 8PA02903 is considered ineligible for listing in the NRHP.

Table 4-1 - Summary of archaeological survey results

Location	Size (acres)	Prob. Zone	No. Previous STs	No. New STs	Results/Comments
SMF 1	5.39	MPZ	0	18	New site 8PA02903
SMF 2	1.12	HPZ	0	7	Negative. No evidence of 8PA00208 (located a short distance to the south)
SMF B-75D	0.60	MPZ	0	3	Negative
SMF B-79A	3.84	HPZ	0	12	Negative. No evidence of 8PA00206
SMF B	2.88	MPZ	5	1 (easement)	Negative
SMF C	2.77	MPZ	9	2 (easement)	AO # 1; 1 flake in 1 ST (ACI 2010)
SMF E	2.38	HPZ	18		AO # 2; 2 flakes in 2 STs (ACI 2010)
SMF F	1.85	LPZ	5	1 (easement)	Negative
SMF G (previously G1 and G2)	3.03	HPZ	25		Negative
SMF I West	2.15	MPZ	5		Negative
SMF I-East	2.15	MPZ	5		Negative
SMF J	0.97	MPZ	3		Negative
New alignment – connector to SR 52		MPZ	0	9	Negative
New alignment – west of Prospect Road		MPZ	7 (southern end)	18	AO # 4
Curley Road segment		LPZ	0	5	Negative
Prospect Road segment		LPZ	0	6	Negative





Figure 4-1: Results of the 2010 archaeological survey showing shovel test locations, previously recorded sites, and AOs (from ACI 2010, Figure 5.1).

CRAS Update
 SR 52 PD&E Study
 From East of McKendree Road
 To East of US 301
 Pasco County
 WPI Segment No.: 435915-1



shovel tests not to scale

0 0.25 0.5 0.75 1 Kilometers

0 0.25 0.5 Miles

Figure 4-2: Results of the 2010 archaeological survey showing shovel test locations, previously recorded sites, and AOs (from ACI 2010, Figure 5.2)

CRAS Update
SR 52 PD&E Study
From East of McKendree Road
To East of US 301
Pasco County
WPI Segment No.: 435915-1



Figure 4-3: Approximate location of shovel tests within the previously unsurveyed SMF 1 and SMF 2, plus the proposed new alignment and the location of the newly recorded archaeological site.

**CRAS Update
SR 52 PD&E Study
From East of McKendree Road
To East of US 301
Pasco County
WPI Segment No: 435915-1**




Figure 4-4: Approximate location of shovel tests within the previously unsurveyed SMF B-75D, SMF B-79A, the easements for SMF B and C, and the Curley Road alignment as well as the previously recorded site.

**CRAS Update
SR 52 PD&E Study
From East of McKendree Road
To East of US 301
Pasco County
WPI Segment No: 435915-1**




Figure 4-5: Approximate location of shovel tests and AO#4 within the SMF easement, the new alignment, and the Prospect Road alignment.

**CRAS Update
 SR 52 PD&E Study
 From East of McKendree Road
 To East of US 301
 Pasco County
 WPI Segment No: 435915-1**



Photo 4-1: Looking north at 8PA02903 within the northern part of proposed SMF 1

8PA00206: The **Bayou Branch 2 Site** is a low density lithic scatter located in Section 11 of Township 25 South, Range 20 East (**Figure 2-1**). It was recorded during the survey of the Cannon Ranch property (Horvath and Austin 1986). The site is located on a ridge slope next to a small marsh pond. The local soil is Lochloosa fine sand, 0-5% slopes, a somewhat poorly drained type. A total of 11 waste flakes were recovered from six productive shovel tests at a depth of 10-120 cmbs (4-48 in). The site was not considered significant due to its low research potential. The 2010 CRAS included the excavation of 11 shovel tests at 25 m (82 ft) intervals within the proposed corridor directly north of the site (**Figure 4-1**). All yielded negative results.

Proposed SMF B-79A is almost wholly contained within the 8PA00206 site area. The excavation of 12 shovel tests throughout the proposed pond site (**Photo 4-2; Figure 4-4**) yielded negative results. An updated FMSF form is contained in **Appendix A**.



Photo 4-2: Looking northwest at SMF B-79A within the recorded location of 8PA00206

AO # 1 was discovered during the Clinton Avenue Extension CRAS within Pond C (ACI 2010) (**Figure 4-1; Photo 4-3**). It was evidenced by a single piece of lithic debitage recovered from 90-100 cm (35-39 in) below surface. This artifact is a coral non-decortication flake that had not been thermally altered; it measures 5 cm (2 in) long. The general soil stratigraphy consists of 0-30 cm (0-12 in) dark gray brown sand underlain by very light brown sand. No additional testing was conducted during the current SR 52 CRAS.



Photo 4-3: Location of AO #1 within SMF C, looking north (from ACI 2010)

AO # 2 was discovered during the Clinton Avenue Extension CRAS within SMF E (ACI 2010) (**Figure 4-1; Photo 4-4**). It consists of two thermally altered coral flakes recovered from two adjacent shovel tests. The non-decortication flake and secondary decortication flake were found at 40-50 cm (16-20 in) and 90-100 cm (35-39 in) below surface, respectively, in a zone of very light brown sand. No additional testing was conducted during the current SR 52 CRAS.



Photo 4-4: Location of AO # 2 within SMF E, looking east (from ACI 2010)

New and Previously Recorded Archaeological Sites and AOs within the project APE (exclusive of proposed pond sites)

SPA00167: The McCabe/Lake Kersey Site is located along the project corridor in Section 12 of Township 25 South, Range 20 East (**Figure 2-2**). It was recorded by William Drayton in 1984 as an Archaic period site based on the recovery of a stemmed projectile point. Additional lithic debitage was recovered from the adjacent grove and pasture (FMSF). The site was not evaluated by the SHPO. The 2010 CRAS for the Clinton Avenue Extension project included the excavation of five shovel tests at 25 and 50 m (82 and 164 ft) intervals within the project corridor to the north of the recorded site location (**Figure 4-1**); all tests were negative. No additional testing was conducted during the current survey. A copy of the updated FMSF form prepared in 2010 is contained in **Appendix A**.

SPA00207: The Bayou Branch 3 Site is a low density lithic scatter that was recorded during the survey of the Cannon Ranch property (Horvath and Austin 1986). It is located in Section 10 of Township 25 South, Range 20 East, just outside the APE for the current project (**Figure 2-1**). A total of 31 waste flakes were recovered from seven productive shovel tests at a depth of 0-100 cmbs (0-40 in). The site was not considered significant due to its low research potential. This site area lies to the south of the Clinton Avenue Extension project APE, and thus, was not

investigated in 2010. It is not associated with any proposed pond site. A copy of the original FMSF form is contained in **Appendix A**.

8PA00208: The Bayou Branch 4 Site is a low density lithic scatter that was recorded during the survey of the Cannon Ranch property (Horvath and Austin 1986). It is located in Section 10 of Township 25 South, Range 20 East, directly southwest of SMF 2 within the project corridor (**Figure 2-1**). Horvath and Austin suggested that the site area may extend north (1986:51). A total of six waste flakes were recovered from two productive shovel tests at a depth of 25-70 cmbs (10-28 in). The site was not considered significant due to its low research potential. No additional testing was conducted during the current survey. A copy of the original FMSF form is contained in **Appendix A**.

AO # 3 was discovered during the Clinton Avenue Extension CRAS within the project corridor near SMF E (ACI 2010) (**Figure 4-1**). It was evidenced by two thermally altered coral non-decortication flakes that are 2-3 cm (.8-1.2 in) in size. Both were recovered at 40-50 cm (16-20 in) below surface in a matrix of light orange-brown sand. The stratigraphy consists of 0-35 cm (0-14 in) dark brown sand, 35-60 cm (14-24 in) light orange-brown sand, and 60-120 cm (24-39 in) orange sandy clay. No additional testing was conducted during the current SR 52 CRAS.

AO # 4 was discovered along the segment of new alignment located west of Prospect Road (**Figure 4-5**). It consists of one thermally altered coral waste flake found at 80 cm (32 in) below surface in a matrix of very pale yellowish brown fine sand. **Photo 4-5** depicts the existing conditions within the general area.



Photo 4-5: The proposed new alignment area in the vicinity of AO # 4, looking south

5.0 HISTORICAL/ARCHITECTURAL SURVEY RESULTS

The historical/architectural survey update of the project APE resulted in the identification of 12 historic resources, including ten residences, one building used by a fraternal organization, and one church (**Figures 5-1 through 5-3; Table 5-1**). Seven historic resources (8PA02217, 8PA02218, 8PA02783, and 8PA02785 through 8PA2788) were previously recorded as part of the CRAS of the Clinton Avenue Extension corridor (ACI 2010), and five were newly identified (8PA02897, 8PA02899 through 8PA02902). One previously recorded historic resource, 8PA02788, and one newly identified resource, 8PA02902, are associated with proposed SMF J. No other proposed pond sites are associated with historic resources.

Field survey revealed that the previously recorded resources are all extant. A comparison of the photographs and descriptions submitted in 2010 to their current condition indicates that they have not experienced any alterations that would merit an updated FMSF form. However, one previously recorded resource (8PA02786) has been updated to include a second building contained within the parcel. The five newly recorded historic resources (8PA02897 and 8PA02899 through 8PA02902) are common examples of the Frame Vernacular and Masonry Vernacular styles found throughout Pasco County. None is considered potentially eligible for listing in the NRHP, either individually or as part of a historic district.

Narrative descriptions and photographs for all previously recorded and newly identified historic resources located within the project APE follow. A FMSF form for each is contained in **Appendix A**.




Figure 5-1: Location of the previously and newly recorded historic resources within the SR 52 project APE.

**CRAS Update
 SR 52 PD&E Study
 From East of McKendree Road
 To East of US 301
 Pasco County
 WPI Segment No: 435915-1**




Figure 5-2: Location of the previously and newly recorded historic resources within the SR 52 project APE.

CRAS Update
SR 52 PD&E Study
From East of McKendree Road
To East of US 301
Pasco County
WPI Segment No: 435915-1




Figure 5-3: Location of the previously and newly recorded historic resources within the SR 52 project APE.

CRAS Update
 SR 52 PD&E Study
 From East of McKendree Road
 To East of US 301
 Pasco County
 WPI Segment No: 435915-1

Table 5-1 - Previously and newly recorded historic resources within the SR 52 project APE
 (Green shading indicates previously recorded, blue shading indicates updated previously recorded)

FMSF NO.	ADDRESS/SITE NAME	CONST. DATE	STYLE	NRHP ELIGIBILITY
8PA02217	35621 Clinton Avenue	c. 1940	Frame Vernacular	Ineligible 12/08/2010
8PA02218	35031 Clinton Avenue	c. 1935	Frame Vernacular	Ineligible 12/08/2010
8PA02783	11549 Curley Road	c. 1960	Mid-Century Modern	Ineligible 12/08/2010
8PA02785	35040 Prospect Road	c. 1950	Frame Vernacular	Ineligible 12/08/2010
8PA02786	35010 Prospect Road	c. 1957	Masonry Vernacular	Ineligible 12/08/2010
8PA02787	35024 Clinton Avenue	c. 1940	Frame Vernacular	Ineligible 12/08/2010
8PA02788	36027 Clinton Avenue	c. 1950	Masonry Vernacular	Ineligible 12/08/2010
8PA02897	31005 SR 52	c. 1955	Masonry Vernacular	Considered not eligible
8PA02899	31005-A SR 52	c. 1955	Masonry Vernacular	Considered not eligible
8PA02900	Piney Grove Missionary Baptist Church 31027 SR 52	c. 1956	Masonry Vernacular	Considered not eligible
8PA02901	35045 Clinton Avenue	c. 1958	Frame Vernacular	Considered not eligible
8PA02902	36005 Clinton Avenue	c. 1962	Frame Vernacular	Considered not eligible



Photo 5-1: South elevation of 35621 Clinton Avenue, 8PA02217, looking north

8PA02217: The two-story residence at 35621 Clinton Avenue (**Figure 5-3; Photo 5-1**) was constructed c. 1940 in the Frame Vernacular style. This residence was originally recorded in 2005 during the *Historic Resources Survey of East Pasco County* (Janus Research 2005) and updated in 2010 as part of the CRAS of the Clinton Avenue Extension corridor (ACI 2010). The frame walls are clad in vinyl siding and rest on a concrete block pier foundation. The structure is capped by a hip roof covered in 5-V crimp metal. Windows throughout are replacement 1/1 vinyl single-hung-sash (SHS). Other design elements include a stucco chimney on the east elevation, vinyl corner boards and window surrounds.

This building has lost a significant amount of historic fabric. In December 2010, incident to the Clinton Avenue Extension CRAS, the SHPO concurred that 8PA02217 is not eligible for listing in the NRHP (FMSF). Limited research did not reveal any significant historical associations previously unknown and a comparison of the photographs and description submitted in 2010 indicate that the building has not undergone any significant alterations. As such, 8PA02217 remains ineligible. A copy of the 2010 updated FMSF form is contained in **Appendix A**.



Photo 5-2: South elevation of 35031 Clinton Avenue, 8PA02218, looking north

8PA02218: The residence at 35031 Clinton Avenue (**Figure 5-3; Photo 5-2**) was constructed c. 1935 in the Frame Vernacular style. This building was originally recorded in 2005 during the *Historic Resources Survey of East Pasco County* (Janus Research 2005) and updated in 2010 as part of the CRAS of the Clinton Avenue Extension corridor (ACI 2010). The one-story house has wood frame walls clad in wood siding resting on a concrete block pier foundation. The structure is capped by a gable roof covered in composition shingles. The main entrance is located on the south elevation and consists of a single wood door accessed via a porch. The open porch features a gable roof supported by simple square wood posts. Windows are 1/1 wood double-hung-sash (DHS). Other design elements include wood corner boards, wood window surrounds, and gable vents.

This is a typical example of the Frame Vernacular style found throughout Pasco County. The SHPO evaluated 8PA02218 as not eligible for listing in the NRHP on December 8, 2010 (FMSF). Limited research did not reveal any significant historical associations previously unknown and a comparison of the photographs and description submitted in 2010 indicate that the building has not undergone any significant alterations. As such, 8PA02218 remains ineligible. A copy of the 2010 updated FMSF form is contained in **Appendix A**.



Photo 5-3: East elevation of 11549 Curley Road, (8PA02783), looking west

8PA02783: The building at 11549 Curley Road (**Figure 5-2; Photo 5-3**) was constructed c. 1960 in the Mid-Century Modern style. It was previously recorded in 2010 as part of the CRAS of the Clinton Avenue Extension corridor (ACI 2010). Currently used as a Lodge by the Knights of Columbus, the building features a slab foundation, concrete block walls that are partially covered in brick veneer, and a flat roof. Original window types include 2/2 aluminum SHS, aluminum three-light awning, and aluminum one-light fixed; some of the windows on the south elevation were in filled c. 1990. Other features of this building include projecting window sills, glass block, a car port, and wide canopy.

This is a typical example of the Mid-Century Modern style found in Pasco County. The SHPO evaluated this resource as not eligible for listing in the NRHP on December 8, 2010 (FMSF). Limited research did not reveal any significant historical associations previously unknown and a comparison of the photographs and description submitted in 2010 indicate that the building has not undergone any significant alterations. As such, 8PA02783 remains not eligible and an updated FMSF form was not completed. A copy of the 2010 FMSF form is contained in **Appendix A**.



Photo 5-4: West elevation of 35040 Prospect Road, 8PA02785, looking east

8PA02785: The residence at 35040 Prospect Road (**Figure 5-3; Photo 5-4**) was constructed c. 1950 in the Frame Vernacular style. It was previously recorded in 2010 as part of the CRAS of the Clinton Avenue Extension corridor (ACI 2010). A concrete block pier foundation supports wood frame walls clad in asbestos shingles. The residence is topped with a gable roof covered in 5-V metal crimp. Window types are 1/1 wood DHS. The main entrance contains a one-light, two-panel wood swing door accessed via an open porch with a shed roof on the south elevation. Other design elements include wood window and door surrounds, and gable vents.

This is a typical example of the Frame Vernacular style found throughout Pasco County. The SHPO evaluated this resource as not eligible for listing in the NRHP on December 8, 2010 (FMSF). Limited research did not reveal any significant historical associations previously unknown and a comparison of the photographs and description submitted in 2010 indicate that the building has not undergone any significant alterations. As such, 8PA02785 remains not eligible and an updated FMSF form was not completed. A copy of the 2010 FMSF form is contained in **Appendix A**.



Photo 5-5: West elevation of 35010 Prospect Road, 8PA2786, looking southeast



Photo 5-6: West elevation of garage associated with the house at 35010 Prospect Road, 8PA02786, looking east

8PA02786: The house at 35010 Prospect Road (**Figure 5-3; Photo 5-5**) was constructed c. 1957 in the Masonry Vernacular style. The masonry walls are clad in brick and rest on a concrete slab foundation. The residence is topped by a hip-on-hip roof covered in composition shingles. Windows are 1/1 and 2/2 aluminum SHS. The main entrance is located on the south elevation

and consists of a single door accessed via a recessed porch supported by decorative metal posts. Other design elements include a brick chimney, brick window sills, metal shutters, and a car port.

The FMSF form submitted in 2010 for this resource recorded a garage structure located directly north of the house (**Photo 5-6**). Although associated with this parcel, the previously recorded garage is an auxiliary structure to the main house depicted in Photo 5-5, which was not recorded at the time. As such, an updated FMSF form was completed to correctly record the buildings on this parcel, being a single-family residence with a detached garage. This house is a typical example of the Masonry Vernacular style found throughout Pasco County, and limited research did not reveal any significant historical associations. Therefore, 8PA02786 does not appear potentially eligible for listing in the NRHP, either individually or as a contributing resource to a potential historic district.



Photo 5-7: North elevation of 35024 Clinton Avenue, 8PA02787, looking south

8PA02787: The residence at 35024 Clinton Avenue (**Figure 5-3; Photo 5-7**) was constructed c. 1940 in the Frame Vernacular style. It was previously recorded in 2010 as part of the CRAS of the Clinton Avenue Extension corridor (ACI 2010). The wood frame walls are clad in drop siding and supported by a concrete block pier foundation. The structure is capped by a gable roof covered in 5-V metal crimp. Some of the features on this building were obscured due to limited access and visibility. Windows are wood 2/2 DHS. The main entrance is located on the north elevation and features a single wood door accessed via an off-centered porch. The porch features

a gable roof supported by simple wood posts. Other design elements include exposed wood rafter tails, and wood cornerboards, and a wrought-iron porch railing.

This is a typical example of the Frame Vernacular style found throughout Pasco County. The SHPO evaluated this resource and found it to be not eligible for listing in the NRHP on December 8, 2010 (FMSF). Limited research did not reveal any significant historical associations previously unknown and a comparison of the photographs and description submitted in 2010 indicate that the building has not undergone any significant alterations. As such, 8PA02787 remains not eligible and an updated FMSF form was not completed. A copy of the 2010 updated FMSF form is contained in **Appendix A**.




Photo 5-8: South elevation of 36027 Clinton Avenue, 8PA02788, looking north

8PA02788: The former residence at 36027 Clinton Avenue (**Figure 5-3; Photo 5-8**) was constructed c. 1950 in the Masonry Vernacular style; it was used as offices and is currently vacant. This building was previously recorded in 2010 as part of the CRAS of the Clinton Avenue Extension corridor (ACI 2010). It is associated with proposed SMF J. The concrete block walls are clad in stucco and rest on a concrete slab foundation. The structure is capped by a gable roof covered in asphalt shingles and contains a brick chimney on the north slope. The main entrance is located on the south elevation and consists of a single wood door accessed via a porch. The porch features a gable roof supported by metal scroll posts. The east elevation presents a c. 1970 one-story addition. Window types include four-light steel casements, one-light metal fixed, 6/6 vinyl SHS, and one-light vinyl sliders. Other design elements include fixed shutters.

This is a significantly altered example of the Masonry Vernacular style found in Pasco County. The SHPO evaluated this resource and found it to be not eligible for listing in the NRHP on December 8, 2010 (FMSF). Limited research did not reveal any significant historical associations previously unknown and a comparison of the photographs and description submitted in 2010 indicate that the building has not undergone any significant alterations. As such, 8PA02788 remains not eligible and an updated FMSF form was not completed. A copy of the 2010 updated FMSF form is contained in **Appendix A**.



Photo 5-9: Southeast elevation of 31005 SR 52, 8PA02897, looking north.

8PA02897: The residence located at 31005 SR 52 (**Figure 5-1; Photo 5-9**) was built c. 1955 in the Masonry Vernacular style. The concrete block walls rest on a concrete slab foundation and are capped by a gable roof covered in composition shingles. The main entrance is located on the south elevation and consists of a single wood door. Most windows are missing; however, one original example remains, a jalousie. Other structural and decorative elements include concrete window sills and an extension of the gable on the south elevation over the main entrance.

This is a typical example of a Masonry Vernacular style residence found throughout Pasco County. This residence is currently vacant and in an advanced state of deterioration. Research revealed no significant historical associations. Therefore, 8PA02897 does not appear potentially eligible for listing in the NRHP.



Photo 5-10: South elevation of 31005-A SR 52, 8PA02899, looking north.

8PA02899: The residence located at 31005-A SR 52 (**Figure 5-1; Photo 5-10**) was built c. 1955 in the Masonry Vernacular style. The concrete block walls rest on a concrete slab foundation and are capped by a gable roof covered in 5-V crimp metal. The main entrance is located on the south elevation and consists of a single wood door with a metal storm door. Windows are 2/2 aluminum SHS throughout. Other structural and decorative elements include concrete window sills and an extension of the gable on the south elevation over the main entrance. This is a typical example of a Masonry Vernacular style residence found throughout Pasco County. Research revealed no significant historical associations. Therefore, 8PA02899 does not appear potentially eligible for listing in the NRHP.



Photo 5-11: South elevation of Piney Grove Missionary Baptist Church, 8PA02900, located at 31027 SR 52, looking north

8PA02900: The church located at 31027 SR 52 (**Figure 5-1; Photo 5-11**) was built c. 1956 in the Masonry Vernacular style. The building consists of a nave and an administrative wing connected to the nave along its north elevation. The concrete block walls rest on a concrete slab foundation and are capped by a gable roof covered in composition shingles. The main entrance is located on the south elevation and consists of a replacement double door. Windows are 2/2 aluminum SHS and three-light aluminum awning. Other structural and decorative elements include concrete window sills, symmetrical towers and stepped front gable on the south elevation, and vents. This church is a typical example of a Masonry Vernacular style found throughout Pasco County and research revealed no significant historical associations. Therefore, 8PA02900 does not appear potentially eligible for listing in the NRHP.



Photo 5-12: South elevation of 35045 Clinton Avenue, 8PA02901, looking north

8PA02901: The residence located at 35045 Clinton Avenue (**Figure 5-3; Photo 5-12**) was built c. 1958 in the Frame Vernacular style. The wood frame walls are clad in vertical wood siding, rest on a continuous brick foundation and are capped by a gable roof covered in composition shingles. The main entrance was not visible owing to limited access to the site. Windows are 2/2 aluminum SHS; these windows are unusually tall. Other structural and decorative elements include wide eaves. This is a typical example of a Frame Vernacular style residence found throughout Pasco County. Research revealed no significant historical associations. Therefore, 8PA02901 does not appear potentially eligible for listing in the NRHP.



Photo 5-13: South elevation of 36005 Clinton Avenue, 8PA02902, looking north

8PA02902: The residence located at 36005 Clinton Avenue (**Figure 5-3; Photo 5-13**) was built c. 1962 in the Frame Vernacular style. It is associated with proposed SMF J. The wood frame walls are clad in vertical wood siding and are capped by a cross-gable roof covered in composition shingles. The foundation was not visible. Windows are 6/6 aluminum SHS throughout. The main entrance is located on the south elevation and consists of a single metal door accessed via a porch. The porch features a gabled-front supported by simple wood posts; it extends to the east covering a car port. Other structural and decorative elements include wide eaves, shutters and attic vents. This is a typical example of a Frame Vernacular style residence found throughout Pasco County. Research revealed no significant historical associations. Therefore, 8PA02902 does not appear potentially eligible for listing in the NRHP.

6.0 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The CRAS update resulted in the identification of five archaeological sites (8PA00167, 8PA00206, 8PA00207, 8PA00208, and 8PA02903), four AOs, and 12 historic resources (8PA02217, 8PA02218, 8PA02783, 8PA02785 through 8PA2788, 8PA02897, and 8PA02899 through 8PA02902) within the SR 52 project APE. Of these, one archaeological site (8PA02903) and five historic resources (8PA02897, and 8PA02899 through 8PA02902) were newly identified.

Archaeological sites 8PA00206, 8PA00207, and 8PA00208 were recorded in 1986 during the CRAS for the Proposed Cannon Development Site. 8PA00167 and 8PA00206 were reinvestigated during the Clinton Avenue Extension CRAS. While no evidence of 8PA00167 and 8PA00206 was found during the Clinton Avenue Extension CRAS, these sites, as well as 8PA00207 and 8PA00208, are located within the SR 52 project APE, in accordance with the FMSF database. Newly identified archaeological site 8PA02903 is located within SMF 1. 8PA00206 is within SMF B-79A according to FMSF data; however, the current field investigation yielded negative results. 8PA02903 is a low artifact density lithic scatter. Given the commonality of this site type throughout Pasco County, and the low research potential, it is not considered potentially eligible for listing in the NRHP. 8PA00206 has not been evaluated by the SHPO but was considered ineligible by the site recorders (Horvath and Austin 1986).

Seven **historic resources** (8PA02217, 8PA02218, 8PA02783, and 8PA02785 through 8PA2788) were previously recorded as part of the CRAS for the Clinton Avenue Extension corridor (ACI 2010). None was determined NRHP-eligible. The five newly recorded historic resources (8PA02897 and 8PA02899 through 8PA02902) are common examples of the Frame Vernacular and Masonry Vernacular styles found throughout Pasco County with no significant historical associations to persons or events. None is considered potentially eligible for listing in the NRHP, either individually or as part of a historic district. 8PA02788 and 8PA02902 are associated with SMF J. No other proposed pond sites are associated with historic resources.

In conclusion, no archaeological sites or historic resources that are listed, determined eligible, or considered potentially eligible for listing in the NRHP are located within the SR 52 project APE. The NRHP-eligible Faith Fellowship (former Pasadena Church; 8PA2219) is located east of Pasadena Road. It is located in the portion of the project that is being constructed by Pasco County and will not be affected by this project.

7.0 REFERENCES CITED

Archaeological Consultants, Inc. (ACI)

- 1997 Final Cultural Resource Assessment Survey Report, PD&E Study, I-75 (SR 93) from South of SR 56 to North of SR 52, Pasco County, Florida. ACI, Sarasota.
- 2005 Preliminary Cultural Resource Assessment Corridor Analysis Report, Curley Road from North of Wells Road to McCabe Road, Pasco County, Florida. ACI, Sarasota.
- 2006a Cultural Resource Assessment Survey Update Technical Memorandum, State Road (SR) 52 from East of the Suncoast Parkway to West of Interstate 75 (I-75) Project Development and Environment (PD&E) Study Reevaluation, Pasco County, Florida. ACI, Sarasota.
- 2006b Cultural Resource Assessment Survey Report, Project Development and Environment (PD&E) Study of Interstate 75 (I-75) (State Road 93) from North of SR 52 to South of County Road 476B in Pasco, Hernando, and Sumter Counties, Florida. ACI, Sarasota.
- 2008 A Cultural Resource Assessment Survey, Pasco Commerce Center, Pasco County, Florida. ACI, Sarasota.
- 2009 Cultural Resource Assessment Survey Technical Memorandum: Stormwater Management Areas and Floodplain Compensation Areas, I-75 (SR 93A) From North of County Road (CR) 54 to North of State Road (SR) 52, Pasco County, Florida. ACI, Sarasota.
- 2010 Cultural Resource Assessment Survey, Clinton Avenue Extension from West of Curley Road to East of Prospect Road, Pasco County, Florida. ACI, Sarasota.
- 2011 Historic Resources Survey Update, I-75 from North of SR 52 to the Hernando-Sumter County Line. ACI, Sarasota.
- 2012a Historic Resources Survey Update, Technical Memorandum, I-75 from North of SR/CR 54 Interchange to North of SR 52 Interchange, Pasco County, Florida. ACI, Sarasota.
- 2012b Cultural Resource Assessment Survey, Technical Memorandum, Four Stormwater Management Facility (SMF) Areas, I-75 (SR 93A) from North of SR/CR 54 Interchange to North of SR 52 Interchange, Pasco County, Florida. ACI, Sarasota.

Austin, Robert J.

- 2002 A Cultural Resource Assessment Survey of a Proposed Student Housing Area on the Campus of Saint Leo University, Pasco County, Florida. On file, FDHR, Tallahassee.

Austin, Robert J. and Geoffrey Mohlman

- 2007 A Cultural Resource Assessment Survey of Two Parcels Located on the Campus of Saint Leo University, Pasco County, Florida. SEARCH, Jonesville.

Browning, William D. and Melissa G. Wiedenfeld

- 1985 Cultural Resources Assessment Survey of SR 52 from SR 55 (US 19) to SR 93 (I-75) in Pasco County, Florida. Florida Department of Transportation, Tallahassee.

Burns, Sheila

- 2000 Proposed Telecommunications Tower, St. Leo #801862, Pasco County, Florida. On file, FDHR, Tallahassee.

Carty, Thomas J.

- 2006 An Archaeological and Historical Survey of the Prosser Road Project Area in Pasco County, Florida. Panamerican Consultants, Inc., Tampa.

Dixon, Anna, Kelly A. Driscoll, and Lisa N. Quinn

- 2006 An Archaeological and Historical Survey of the Pasco Town Centre DRI Project Area in Pasco County, Florida. Panamerican, Consultants, Inc., Tampa.

Driscoll, Kelly A.

- 2004a Draft Cultural Resource Assessment Survey, State Road 52 PD&E Study from I-75 (SR 93) to E. of Emmaus Cemetery Road in Pasco County. Panamerican, Consultants, Inc., Tampa.
- 2004b Draft Cultural Resource Assessment Survey, Proposed Pond Locations for State Road 52 PD&E Study from I-75 (SR 93) to E. of Emmaus Cemetery Road in Pasco County. Panamerican Consultants, Inc., Tampa.

Driscoll, Kelly A. and Lucy D. Jones

- 2009 An Archaeological and Historical Survey of the Will Pick Property Project Area in Pasco County, Florida. Florida History, LLC, Tampa.

Florida Archaeological Consulting

- 2007 Section 106 Review, FCC Form 620, Leonard Road Site, Pasco County, Florida. On file, FDHR, Tallahassee.

Florida Master Site File (FMSF)

- Various Various forms. Accessed online. On file, FDHR, Tallahassee.

Horvath, Elizabeth A. and Robert J. Austin

- 1986 Cultural Resource Assessment Survey of the Proposed Cannon Ranch Development Site, Pasco County, Florida. Janus Research, Tampa.

Janus Research

- 2003 Historic Resources Survey of Central Pasco County. Janus Research, Tampa.
- 2005 Historic Resources Survey of East Pasco County. Janus Research, Tampa.

Mayo, Karen

- 1998 Cultural Resource Assessment of the Thomas Prairie Mining Project, Pasco County, Florida. University of South Florida, Tampa.

McAlester, Virginia Savage

- 2013 A Field Guide to American Houses. Alfred A. Knopf, New York

Parker, Brian T.

- 2006 Section 106 Review of Proposed Tower Site Ridan Industries, LLC Tower site - San Antonio (Boys Village) (No. FL-1059B) 11609 Boys Village Drive, San Antonio, Pasco County, Florida. Dynamic Environmental Associates, Lake Worth.

Pickles, Keith J., Martin F. Dickinson, and Lucy B. Wayne

- 2005 Cultural Resources Survey and Assessment Haydon/Rubin/Pittman, Pasco County, Florida. SouthArc, Inc., Gainesville.

RS&H

- 2005 Pond Siting Report. Clinton Avenue Extension From SR 52 east of McKendree Road to east of Lake Pasadena Drive, Pasco County, Florida. On file, Pasco County Department of Engineering Services, New Port Richey.

United States Department of Agriculture (USDA)

- 1941 Aerial Photograph - 2-15-41, CTT-8B-22. On file, PALMM, Gainesville.
- 1951 Aerial Photograph - 12-10-51, CTT-6H-42. On file, PALMM, Gainesville.
- 1957 Aerial Photograph - 3-27-57, CTT-3T-151. On file, PALMM, Gainesville.
- 1957a Aerial Photograph - 3-27-57, CTT-2T-42. On file, PALMM, Gainesville.
- 1982 *Soil Survey of Pasco County, Florida*. Soil Conservation Service, Washington, D.C.

United States Geological Survey (USGS)

- 1954 San Antonio, Florida, Photorevised 1988.
- 1960 Dade City, Florida, Photorevised 1988.

Wells, Michael

- 2014 Property Appraiser information accessed via <http://appraiser.pascogov.com>

White, Anta M.

- 1963 Analytic Description of the Chipped-stone Industry from Snyders Site, Calhoun County, Illinois. *Miscellaneous Studies in Typology and Classification* 19. Anthropological Papers, Museum of Anthropology, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor.

Appendix A: Florida Master Site File Forms

Original
 Update



ARCHAEOLOGICAL SITE FORM

FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE

Version 4.0 1/07

Site #8 PA167
Field Date 09/14/10
Form Date 09/22/10
Recorder # _____

Consult *Guide to Archaeological Site Form* for detailed instructions.

Site Name(s) McCabe Multiple Listing (DHR only) _____
Project Name CRAS Clinton Avenue Extension, Pasco County Survey # (DHR only) _____
Ownership: private-profit private-nonprofit private-individual private-nonspecific city county state federal Native American foreign unknown

LOCATION & MAPPING

USGS 7.5 Map Name & Date San Antonio 1961 Plat or Other Map _____
City/Town (within 3 miles) _____ In City Limits? yes no unknown County _____
Township 25S Range 20E Section 12 ¼ section: NW SW SE NE Irregular-name: _____
Landgrant _____ Tax Parcel # _____
UTM Coordinates: Zone 16 17 Easting _____ 0 Northing _____ 0
Other Coordinates: X: _____ Y: _____ Coordinate System & Datum _____
Address / Vicinity / Route to _____
Name of Public Tract (e.g., park) _____

TYPE OF SITE (check all that apply)

<p>SETTING *</p> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Land (<i>terrestrial</i>) <input type="checkbox"/> Lake/Pond (<i>lacustrine</i>) <input type="checkbox"/> River/Stream/Creek (<i>riverine</i>) <input type="checkbox"/> Tidal (<i>estuarine</i>) <input type="checkbox"/> Saltwater (<i>marine</i>) <input type="checkbox"/> Wetland (<i>palustrine</i>) <input type="checkbox"/> usually flooded <input type="checkbox"/> usually dry <input type="checkbox"/> Cave/Sink (<i>subterranean</i>) <input type="checkbox"/> terrestrial <input type="checkbox"/> aquatic	<p>STRUCTURES OR FEATURES *</p> <input type="checkbox"/> aboriginal boat <input type="checkbox"/> agr/c/farm building <input type="checkbox"/> burial mound <input type="checkbox"/> building remains <input type="checkbox"/> cemetery/grave <input type="checkbox"/> dump/refuse <input type="checkbox"/> earthworks <input type="checkbox"/> fort <input type="checkbox"/> midden <input type="checkbox"/> mill <input type="checkbox"/> mission <input type="checkbox"/> mound, nonspecific <input type="checkbox"/> plantation <input type="checkbox"/> platform mound <input type="checkbox"/> road segment <input type="checkbox"/> shell midden <input type="checkbox"/> shell mound <input type="checkbox"/> shipwreck <input type="checkbox"/> subsurface features <input type="checkbox"/> surface scatter <input type="checkbox"/> well	<p>FUNCTION *</p> <input type="checkbox"/> campsite <input type="checkbox"/> extractive site <input type="checkbox"/> habitation (prehistoric) <input type="checkbox"/> homestead (historic) <input type="checkbox"/> farmstead <input type="checkbox"/> village (prehistoric) <input type="checkbox"/> town (historic) <input type="checkbox"/> quarry
---	--	---

Other settings, structures, features or functions _____

CULTURE PERIODS (check all that apply)

<p>ABORIGINAL *</p> <input type="checkbox"/> Alachua <input type="checkbox"/> Archaic (nonspecific) <input type="checkbox"/> Archaic, Early <input type="checkbox"/> Archaic, Middle <input type="checkbox"/> Archaic, Late <input type="checkbox"/> Belle Glade <input type="checkbox"/> Cades Pond <input type="checkbox"/> Caloosahatchee <input type="checkbox"/> Deptford <input type="checkbox"/> Englewood <input type="checkbox"/> Fort Walton <input type="checkbox"/> Glades (nonspecific) <input type="checkbox"/> Glades I <input type="checkbox"/> Glades II <input type="checkbox"/> Glades III <input type="checkbox"/> Hickory Pond <input type="checkbox"/> Leon-Jefferson <input type="checkbox"/> Malabar I <input type="checkbox"/> Malabar II <input type="checkbox"/> Manasota <input type="checkbox"/> Mississippian <input type="checkbox"/> Mount Taylor <input type="checkbox"/> Norwood <input type="checkbox"/> Orange <input type="checkbox"/> Paleoindian <input type="checkbox"/> Pensacola <input type="checkbox"/> Perico Island <input type="checkbox"/> Safety Harbor <input type="checkbox"/> St. Augustine <input type="checkbox"/> St. Johns (nonspecific) <input type="checkbox"/> St. Johns I <input type="checkbox"/> St. Johns II <input type="checkbox"/> Santa Rosa <input type="checkbox"/> Santa Rosa-Swift Creek <input type="checkbox"/> Seminole (nonspecific) <input type="checkbox"/> Seminole: Colonization <input type="checkbox"/> Seminole: 1st War To 2nd <input type="checkbox"/> Seminole: 2nd War To 3rd <input type="checkbox"/> Seminole: 3rd War & After <input type="checkbox"/> Swift Creek (nonspecific) <input type="checkbox"/> Swift Creek, Early <input type="checkbox"/> Swift Creek, Late <input type="checkbox"/> Transitional <input type="checkbox"/> Weeden Island (nonspecific) <input type="checkbox"/> Weeden Island I <input type="checkbox"/> Weeden Island II <input type="checkbox"/> Prehistoric (nonspecific) <input type="checkbox"/> Prehistoric non-ceramic <input type="checkbox"/> Prehistoric ceramic	<p>NON-ABORIGINAL *</p> <input type="checkbox"/> First Spanish 1513-99 <input type="checkbox"/> First Spanish 1600-99 <input type="checkbox"/> First Spanish 1700-1763 <input type="checkbox"/> First Spanish (nonspecific) <input type="checkbox"/> British 1763-1783 <input type="checkbox"/> Second Spanish 1783-1821 <input type="checkbox"/> American Territorial 1821-45 <input type="checkbox"/> American Civil War 1861-65 <input type="checkbox"/> American 19th Century <input type="checkbox"/> American 20th Century <input type="checkbox"/> American (nonspecific) <input type="checkbox"/> African-American
--	--

Other (List less common phases or specific sub-phases. For historic sites, give specific dates if known.) _____

* Consult *Guide to Archaeological Site Form* for preferred descriptions not listed above (data are coded fields).

OPINION OF RESOURCE SIGNIFICANCE

Potentially eligible individually for National Register of Historic Places? yes no insufficient information
Potentially eligible as contributor to a National Register district? yes no insufficient information
Explanation of Evaluation (required if evaluated; use separate sheet if needed) no evidence of site discovered within project area

Recommendations for Owner or SHPO Action none within project area

DHR USE ONLY		OFFICIAL EVALUATION	DHR USE ONLY	
NR List Date ____/____/____ <input type="checkbox"/> Owner Objection	SHPO – Appears to meet criteria for NR listing: <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no <input type="checkbox"/> insufficient info KEEPER – Determined eligible: <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no NR Criteria for Evaluation: <input type="checkbox"/> a <input type="checkbox"/> b <input type="checkbox"/> c <input type="checkbox"/> d (see <i>National Register Bulletin</i> 15, p. 2)	Date ____/____/____ Date ____/____/____	Init. _____	

FIELD METHODS (check all that apply)

SITE DETECTION*

- no field check, literature search, informant report, remote sensing, exposed ground, posthole digger, auger--size, unscreened shovel, screened shovel

SITE BOUNDARIES*

- bounds unknown, none by recorder, literature search, informant report, remote sensing, insp exposed ground, posthole tests, auger--size, unscreened shovel, screened shovel, block excavations, estimate or guess

Other methods; number, size, depth, pattern of units; screen size (attach site plan) 5 ST, 0 positive, 25 & 50 m intervals 50 cm diameter, 1 m deep, 6.4 mm mesh screen

SITE DESCRIPTION

Extent Size (m2) Depth/stratigraphy of cultural deposit no artifacts recovered 0-45 cm dark gray brown sand, 45-100 cm light brown sand

Temporal Interpretation - Components (check one): single component, multiple component, uncertain Describe each occupation in plan (refer to attached large scale map) and stratigraphically. Discuss temporal and functional interpretations:

Integrity - Overall disturbance*: none seen, minor, substantial, major, redeposited, destroyed-document!, unknown Disturbances / threats / protective measures road construction & utilities / roadway improvements / none

Surface collection: area collected m2 # collection units Excavation: # noncontiguous blocks

ARTIFACTS

Total Artifacts # 0c (C)ount or (E)stimate? Surface # 0c (C) or (E) Subsurface # 0c (C) or (E)

COLLECTION SELECTIVITY*

- unknown, unselective (all artifacts), selective (some artifacts), mixed selectivity

SPATIAL CONTROL*

- uncollected, unknown, Other, general (not by subarea), controlled (by subarea), variable spatial control

ARTIFACT CATEGORIES* and DISPOSITIONS*

- Pick exactly one code from Disposition List bone-animal, bone-human, bone-unspecified, bone-worked, brick/building debris, ceramic-aboriginal, ceramic-nonaboriginal, daub, exotic-nonlocal, glass, lithics-aboriginal, metal-nonprecious, metal-precious/coin, shell-unworked, shell-worked, Others:

(example: A bone-human)

Disposition List*

- A - category always collected, S - some items in category collected, O - observed first hand, but not collected, R - collected and subsequently left at site, I - informant reported category present, U - unknown

Artifact Comments

DIAGNOSTICS (type or mode, and frequency: e.g., Suwanee ppk, heat-treated chert, Deptford Check-stamped, ironstone/whiteware)

- 1. N= 4. N= 7. N= 2. N= 5. N= 8. N= 3. N= 6. N= 9. N=

ENVIRONMENT

Nearest fresh water type* & name (incl. relict source) Distance (m)/bearing

Natural community (FNAI category* or leave blank)

Local vegetation

Topography* Min Elevation meters Max Elevation meters

Present land use pasture

SCS soil series Kendrick & Arredondo fine sand, 0-5% Soil association

DOCUMENTATION

Accessible Documentation Not Filed with the Site File - including field & analysis notes, photos, plans, other important documents that are permanently accessible: For each separately maintained collection, describe (1) document type(s),* (2) maintaining organization,* (3) file or accession nos., and (4) descriptive information. notes, maps, photos, etc. on file ACI P10041

Manuscripts or Publications on the site (use separate sheet if needed, give FMSF# if relevant) ACI (2010) Cultural Resource Assessment Survey Clinton Avenue Extension from Curley Road to Prospect Road Pasco County, Florida.

RECORDER & INFORMANT INFORMATION

Informant Information (name / address / phone / affiliation)

Recorder Information (name / address / phone / affiliation) Horvath, Elizabeth A., 98 Hickorywood Dr., Crawfordville, FL 32327 Archaeological Consultants, Inc., 850-926-9285, acinorth@comcast.net

Required Attachments


PHOTOCOPY OF 7.5' USGS QUAD MAP WITH SITE BOUNDARIES MARKED and SITE PLAN Plan at 1:3,600 or larger. Show boundaries, scale, north arrow, test/collection units, landmarks and date.



USGS MAP


San Antonio 1961

Township 25 South, Range 20 East, Section 12



corridor adjacent to site





ARCHAEOLOGICAL SITE FORM
FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE
Version 4.0 1/07

Site #8 PA00206
Field Date 1-14-2015
Form Date 1-21-2015
Recorder #

Original
Update

Consult Guide to Archaeological Site Form for detailed instructions

Site Name(s) Bayou Branch 2
Project Name PD&E SR 52 - McKendree Rd to Pasadena Rd
Ownership: private-profit private-nonprofit private-individual private-nonspecific city county state federal Native American foreign unknown

LOCATION & MAPPING

USGS 7.5 Map Name SAN ANTONIO
USGS Date 1954 Plat or Other Map
City/Town (within 3 miles) In City Limits? yes no unknown County Pasco
Township 25S Range 20E Section 11 1/4 section: NW SW SE NE Irregular-name:
Landgrant Tax Parcel #
UTM Coordinates: Zone 16 17 Easting Northing
Other Coordinates: X: Y: Coordinate System & Datum
Address / Vicinity / Route to: North of SR 52, about 700 feet west of Emmaus Cemetery Road
Name of Public Tract (e.g., park)

TYPE OF SITE (select all that apply)

SETTING: Land (terrestrial) Wetland (palustrine) usually flooded usually dry Cave/Sink (subterranean) terrestrial aquatic
STRUCTURES OR FEATURES: log boat fort road segment agric/farm building midden shell midden burial mound mill shell mound building remains mission shipwreck cemetery/grave mound, nonspecific subsurface features dump/refuse plantation surface scatter earthworks (historic) platform mound well
FUNCTION: campsite extractive site habitation (prehistoric) homestead (historic) farmstead village (prehistoric) town (historic) quarry
Other Features or Functions (Choose from the list or type a response.)

CULTURE PERIODS (select all that apply)

ABORIGINAL: Alachua Archaic (nonspecific) Archaic, Early Archaic, Middle Archaic, Late Belle Glade Cades Pond Caloosahatchee Deptford Englewood Fort Walton Glades (nonspecific) Glades I Glades II Glades III Hickory Pond Leon-Jefferson Malabar I Malabar II Manasota Mississippian Mount Taylor Norwood Orange Paleoinidian Pensacola Perico Island Safety Harbor St. Augustine St. Johns (nonspecific) St. Johns I St. Johns II Santa Rosa Santa Rosa-Swift Creek Seminole (nonspecific) Seminole: Colonization Seminole: 1st War To 2nd Seminole: 2nd War To 3rd Seminole: 3rd War & After Swift Creek (nonspecific) Swift Creek, Early Swift Creek, Late Transitional Weeden Island (nonspecific) Weeden Island I Weeden Island II Prehistoric (nonspecific) Prehistoric non-ceramic Prehistoric ceramic
NON-ABORIGINAL: First Spanish 1513-99 First Spanish 1600-99 First Spanish 1700-1763 First Spanish (nonspecific) British 1763-1783 Second Spanish 1783-1821 American Territorial 1821-45 American Civil War 1861-65 American 19th Century American 20th Century American (nonspecific) African-American
Other Cultures (Choose from the list or type a response. For historic sites, give specific dates.)

OPINION OF RESOURCE SIGNIFICANCE

Potentially eligible individually for National Register of Historic Places? yes no insufficient information
Potentially eligible as contributor to a National Register district? yes no insufficient information
Explanation of Evaluation (required if evaluated; use separate sheet if needed) No evidence of this site discovered within the project
APE
Recommendations for Owner or SHPO Action None

Table with 3 columns: DHR USE ONLY, OFFICIAL EVALUATION, DHR USE ONLY. Includes fields for NR List Date, Owner Objection, SHPO criteria, and dates.

FIELD METHODS (select all that apply)

SITE DETECTION

- no field check, literature search, informant report, remote sensing, exposed ground, posthole tests, auger tests, unscreened shovel, screened shovel, screened shovel-1/4", screened shovel-1/8", screened shovel-1/16"

SITE BOUNDARY

- bounds unknown, none by recorder, literature search, informant report, remote sensing, exposed ground, posthole tests, auger tests, unscreened shovel, screened shovel, block excavations, estimate or guess

Other methods; number, size, depth, pattern of units; screen size (attach site plan) 12 STs, 0 positive, 50 m intervals, 50 cm diameter, 1 m deep, 6.4 mm mesh screen

SITE DESCRIPTION

Extent Size (m^2) Depth/stratigraphy of cultural deposit No artifacts recovered

Temporal Interpretation - Components (check one): single component, multiple component, uncertain. Describe each occupation in plan (refer to attached large scale map) and stratigraphically. Discuss temporal and functional interpretations:

Integrity - Overall disturbance: none seen, minor, substantial, major, redeposited, destroyed-document!, unknown. Disturbances / threats / protective measures

Surface collection: area collected, # collection units, Excavation: # noncontiguous blocks

ARTIFACTS

Total Artifacts #, count, estimate, Surface #, Subsurface #

COLLECTION SELECTIVITY

- unknown, unselective (all artifacts), selective (some artifacts), mixed selectivity

SPATIAL CONTROL

- uncollected, unknown, other (describe in comments below), general (not by subarea), controlled (by subarea), variable spatial control

ARTIFACT CATEGORIES and DISPOSITIONS

- Blank lines for recording artifact categories and dispositions

select a disposition from the list below for each artifact category selected at left. A - category always collected, S - some items in category collected, O - observed first hand, but not collected, R - collected and subsequently left at site, I - informant reported category present, U - unknown

Artifact Comments

DIAGNOSTICS (type or mode, and frequency: e.g., Suwanee ppk, heat-treated chert, Deptford Check-stamped, ironstone/whiteware)

- 1-9 numbered list for recording diagnostic findings with counts (N=)

ENVIRONMENT

Nearest fresh water: Type, Name, Distance from site (m). Natural community, Topography, Elevation: Min, Max. Local vegetation. Present land use: Pasture. SCS soil series: Kendrick, Lochloosa & Sparr fine sand. Soil association

DOCUMENTATION

Accessible Documentation Not Filed with the Site File - including field notes, analysis notes, photos, plans and other important documents

- 1) Document type, Maintaining organization, Document description, File or accession #'s. 2) Document type, Maintaining organization, Document description, File or accession #'s

RECORDER & INFORMANT INFORMATION

Informant Information: Name, Address / Phone / E-mail

Recorder Information: Name Joan Deming, Affiliation Archaeological Consultants Inc, Address / Phone / E-mail 8110 Blaikie Court, Ste A, Sarasota, FL 34240 / 941.379.6206 / aciflorida@comcast.net


Required Attachments: PHOTOCOPY OF 7.5' USGS QUAD MAP WITH SITE BOUNDARIES MARKED and SITE PLAN. Plan at 1:3,600 or larger. Show boundaries, scale, north arrow, test/collection units, landmarks and date.



PHOTOGRAPH



AERIAL MAP





Archaeological Form

Site # 8PA00206

USGS MAP

San Antonio 1954, PR 1988

Township 25 South, Range 20 East, Section 11



Original
 Update

Florida Master Site File / ARCHAEOLOGICAL SITE FORM

SITE NUMBER 8Pa207 SITE NAME Bayou Branch 3
USGS 7.5 MINUTE QUAD San Antonio

NOTE: Please attach an 8.5" X 11" copy of the appropriate portion of the above map, with site location indicated.

TOWNSHIP/RANGE/SECTION:

Township	Range	Section
25 S	20 E	10

			X XX

NOTE: The figure to the left represents a regular section (1 square mile); please indicate the location of your site by placing an X in the appropriate portion of the section.

If the section is irregular or part of a land grant, please check below and disregard above instructions.

Irregular section

Land grant _____

(name)

UTM COORDINATES:

Zone 17 Easting 373620 Northing 3133380

NOTE: If you are unfamiliar with calculating UTM measurements, leave blank.

FRESH WATER SOURCE creek/marsh DISTANCE TO WATER adjacent

LOCAL VEGETATION oaks, pasture

LOCAL INFORMANT (inc. private collections) _____

ADDRESS _____

LOCAL INFORMANT (inc. private collections) _____

ADDRESS _____

SURVEY DATE 8/86 OTHER MASTER SITE FILE NUMBERS _____

RECORDER(S) (list principal investigator first) Elizabeth A. Horvath,

Piper Archaeological Research, Inc.

ADDRESS P.O. Box 919, St. Petersburg, FL 33731

PROJECT NAME Cannon Ranch DRI # 1512

TOPOGRAPHICAL SETTING topographic rise

TYPE OF SITE (check one or more as appropriate):

- indeterminate
- unknown
- single artifact
- artifact scatter
- lithic scatter
- midden(s)
- shell midden(s)
- shell works
- mound(s)
- burial mound(s)
- platform/temple mound(s)
- canal
- canoe
- prehistoric earthworks
- prehistoric cemetery
- mission
- historic refuse
- historic earthworks
- shell ring
- redeposited

THREATS TO SITE:

- zoning
- development
- deterioration
- borrowing
- transportation
- fill
- dredge
- logging
- vandalism
- phosphate mining
- agriculture/plowing

REMARKS:

- preservation recommended
- recommended for further testing
- severely disturbed/destroyed
- No further work recommended

REPOSITORY

BIBLIOGRAPHIC DATA 1986 Horvath & Austin Cultural Resource Assessment
Survey of the Proposed Cannon Ranch Development Site, Pasco County, Florida

NOTE: Cite any reports referring specifically to this site. General background material need not be cited. Use Florida Anthropologist format.

CULTURAL CLASSIFICATION Prehistoric aboriginal

CULTURAL PERIOD Unknown

ARTIFACTS (Check as many as apply):

- aboriginal ceramics
- worked shell
- nonaboriginal ceramics
- plant remains
- lithics
- wood
- worked bone
- metal
- human bone/burial(s)
- precious metal/coin(s)
- animal bone/ unidentified bone
- glass
- shell food remains
- brick/bldg materials
- other human remains (e.g., hair)

DIAGNOSTIC ARTIFACTS None

SITE SIZE (approx acreage) 5.8

SITE SIZE (est in sq meters) 23700

DEPTH OF CULTURAL DEPOSIT (if known) 0-100 cmbs

DEGREE OF SITE DESTRUCTION

- relatively undisturbed
- moderate
- minor
- major

SITE DISTURBANCES

- bioturbation
- erosion
- mining/borrow pit
- agricultural
- residential/commercial
- dredging/ditching
- site looting
- forest preparation or harvesting
- fill
- previous archaeological excavations

COLLECTION STRATEGY

- general
- selective
- controlled
- unknown

TYPE OF INVESTIGATION

- surface collection
- shovel test
- extensive excavation
- test excavation
- auger test
- coring
- remote sensing
- none
- unknown

OPTIONAL NARRATIVE DESCRIPTION (If there is no published report, provide a short description of the site on a separate sheet)

OPTIONAL PHOTOGRAPHS OR SKETCHES OF DIAGNOSTIC OR UNIQUE ARTIFACTS (Please attach separate sheet(s))

FORM PREPARED BY Elizabeth A. Horvath, Piper Archaeological Research, Inc.
ADDRESS P.O. Box 919, St. Petersburg, FL 33731

DATE 10/7/86




Figure 1. Map of Cannon Ranch study area. Archaeological sites and non-site loci located during survey are also shown.

PIPER
ARCHAEOLOGY

St. Petersburg, FL

Original
 Update

Florida Master Site File / ARCHAEOLOGICAL SITE FORM

SITE NUMBER 8Pa208 SITE NAME Bayou Branch 4
USGS 7.5 MINUTE QUAD San Antonio

NOTE: Please attach an 8.5" X 11" copy of the appropriate portion of the above map, with site location indicated.

TOWNSHIP/RANGE/SECTION:

Township	Range	Section
25 S	20 E	10

x			

NOTE: The figure to the left represents a regular section (1 square mile); please indicate the location of your site by placing an X in the appropriate portion of the section.

If the section is irregular or part of a land grant, please check below and disregard above instructions.

- Irregular section
 Land grant _____

(name)

UTM COORDINATES:

Zone 17 / Easting 372240 / Northing 3133710

NOTE: If you are unfamiliar with calculating UTM measurements, leave blank.

FRESH WATER SOURCE stream DISTANCE TO WATER 0 m

LOCAL VEGETATION planted pines

LOCAL INFORMANT (inc. private collections) _____
ADDRESS _____

LOCAL INFORMANT (inc. private collections) _____
ADDRESS _____

SURVEY DATE 8/86 OTHER MASTER SITE FILE NUMBERS _____

RECORDER(S) (list principal investigator first) Elizabeth A. Horvath,
Piper Archaeological Research, Inc.

ADDRESS P.O. Box 919, St. Petersburg, FL 33701

PROJECT NAME Cannon Ranch DRI #1512

TOPOGRAPHICAL SETTING topographic rise

TYPE OF SITE (check one or more as appropriate):

- | | | |
|--|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> indeterminate | <input type="checkbox"/> mound(s) | <input type="checkbox"/> prehistoric cemetery |
| <input type="checkbox"/> unknown | <input type="checkbox"/> burial mound(s) | <input type="checkbox"/> mission |
| <input type="checkbox"/> single artifact | <input type="checkbox"/> platform/temple | <input type="checkbox"/> historic refuse |
| <input type="checkbox"/> artifact scatter | <input type="checkbox"/> mound(s) | <input type="checkbox"/> historic earthworks |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> lithic scatter | <input type="checkbox"/> canal | <input type="checkbox"/> shell ring |
| <input type="checkbox"/> midden(s) | <input type="checkbox"/> canoe | <input type="checkbox"/> redeposited |
| <input type="checkbox"/> shell midden(s) | <input type="checkbox"/> prehistoric | <input type="checkbox"/> _____ |
| <input type="checkbox"/> shell works | <input type="checkbox"/> earthworks | <input type="checkbox"/> _____ |

THREATS TO SITE:

- zoning
- development
- deterioration
- borrowing
- transportation
- fill
- dredge
- logging
- vandalism
- phosphate mining
- agriculture/plowing

REMARKS:

- preservation recommended
- recommended for further testing
- severely disturbed/destroyed
- No further work recommended

REPOSITORY

BIBLIOGRAPHIC DATA 1986 Horvath & Austin Cultural Resource Assessment Survey of the Proposed Cannon Ranch Development Site, Pasco County, Florida

NOTE: Cite any reports referring specifically to this site. General background material need not be cited. Use Florida Anthropologist format.

CULTURAL CLASSIFICATION Prehistoric aboriginal

CULTURAL PERIOD Unknown

ARTIFACTS(Check as many as apply):

- aboriginal ceramics
- nonaboriginal ceramics
- lithics
- worked bone
- human bone/burial(s)
- animal bone/
- unidentified bone
- shell food remains
- worked shell
- plant remains
- wood
- metal
- precious metal/
- coin(s)
- glass
- brick/bldg materials
- other human remains (e.g., hair)

DIAGNOSTIC ARTIFACTS None

SITE SIZE(approx acreage) 1

SITE SIZE(est in sq meters) 4200

DEPTH OF CULTURAL DEPOSIT (if known) 25-70

ELEVATION

	Meters	Feet
Max	<u>29</u>	<u>95</u>
Min	<u>27.4</u>	<u>90</u>

DEGREE OF SITE DESTRUCTION

- relatively undisturbed
- moderate
- minor
- major

SITE DISTURBANCES

- bioturbation
- erosion
- mining/borrow pit
- agricultural
- residential/ commercial
- dredging/ditching
- site looting
- forest preparation or harvesting
- fill
- previous archaeological excavations

COLLECTION STRATEGY

- general
- selective
- controlled
- unknown

TYPE OF INVESTIGATION

- surface collection
- shovel test
- extensive excavation
- test excavation
- auger test
- coring
- remote sensing
- none
- unknown

OPTIONAL NARRATIVE DESCRIPTION(If there is no published report, provide a short description of the site on a separate sheet)

OPTIONAL PHOTOGRAPHS OR SKETCHES OF DIAGNOSTIC OR UNIQUE ARTIFACTS (Please attach separate sheet(s))

FORM PREPARED BY Elizabeth A. Horvath, Piper Archaeological Research, Inc.

ADDRESS P.O. Box 919, St. Petersburg, FL 33701

DATE 10/7/96




Figure 1. Map of Cannon Ranch study area. Archaeological sites and non-site loci located during survey are also shown.

PIPER
ARCHAEOLOGY

St. Petersburg, FL



ARCHAEOLOGICAL SITE FORM
FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE
Version 4.0 1/07

Site #8 PA02903
Field Date 1-14-2015
Form Date 1-21-2015
Recorder #

Original
Update

Consult Guide to Archaeological Site Form for detailed instructions

Site Name(s) SR 52 Clinton Avenue
Project Name PD&E SR 52 - McKendree Rd to Pasadena Rd
Ownership: private-profit private-nonprofit private-individual private-nonspecific city county state federal Native American foreign unknown

LOCATION & MAPPING

USGS 7.5 Map Name SAN ANTONIO
City/Town (within 3 miles)
Township 25S Range 19E Section 9
UTM Coordinates: Zone 16 17 Easting Northing
Address / Vicinity / Route to: North of SR 52, about 700 feet west of Emmaus Cemetery Road

TYPE OF SITE (select all that apply)

SETTING: Land (terrestrial) Wetland (palustrine)
STRUCTURES OR FEATURES: log boat fort road segment
FUNCTION: campsite extractive site

CULTURE PERIODS (select all that apply)

ABORIGINAL: Alachua Archaic (nonspecific)
NON-ABORIGINAL: First Spanish 1513-99 First Spanish 1600-99

OPINION OF RESOURCE SIGNIFICANCE

Potentially eligible individually for National Register of Historic Places?
Explanation of Evaluation (required if evaluated; use separate sheet if needed)
Recommendations for Owner or SHPO Action None

Table with 3 columns: DHR USE ONLY, OFFICIAL EVALUATION, DHR USE ONLY. Contains fields for NR List Date, Owner Objection, SHPO criteria, and dates.

FIELD METHODS (select all that apply)

SITE DETECTION

- no field check, literature search, informant report, remote sensing, exposed ground, posthole tests, auger tests, unscreened shovel, screened shovel, screened shovel-1/4", screened shovel-1/8", screened shovel-1/16"

SITE BOUNDARY

- bounds unknown, none by recorder, literature search, informant report, remote sensing, exposed ground, posthole tests, auger tests, unscreened shovel, screened shovel, block excavations, estimate or guess

Other methods; number, size, depth, pattern of units; screen size (attach site plan) 18 STs at 25 & 50 m intervals and judgementally, 3 positive, 50 cm diameter, 1 m deep, 6.4 mm mesh screen

SITE DESCRIPTION

Extent Size (m2) Depth/stratigraphy of cultural deposit 20-50 cms

Temporal Interpretation - Components (check one): single component, multiple component, uncertain. Describe each occupation in plan (refer to attached large scale map) and stratigraphically. Discuss temporal and functional interpretations:

Integrity - Overall disturbance: none seen, minor, substantial, major, redeposited, destroyed-document!, unknown. Disturbances / threats / protective measures

Surface collection: area collected m2 # collection units. Excavation: # noncontiguous blocks

ARTIFACTS

Total Artifacts # 3 count estimate Surface # 0 Subsurface # 3

COLLECTION SELECTIVITY

- unknown, unselective (all artifacts), selective (some artifacts), mixed selectivity

SPATIAL CONTROL

- uncollected, unknown, other (describe in comments below), general (not by subarea), controlled (by subarea), variable spatial control

ARTIFACT CATEGORIES and DISPOSITIONS

- Blank lines for artifact categories and dispositions

select a disposition from the list below for each artifact category selected at left

- A - category always collected, S - some items in category collected, O - observed first hand, but not collected, R - collected and subsequently left at site, I - informant reported category present, U - unknown

Artifact Comments

DIAGNOSTICS (type or mode, and frequency: e.g., Suwanee ppk, heat-treated chert, Deptford Check-stamped, ironstone/whiteware)

- 1. N= 4. N= 7. N=
2. N= 5. N= 8. N=
3. N= 6. N= 9. N=

ENVIRONMENT

Nearest fresh water: Type Name Distance from site (m)
Natural community Topography Elevation: Min 27 m Max 28 m
Local vegetation
Present land use Pasture
SCS soil series Pomona fine sand Soil association

DOCUMENTATION

Accessible Documentation Not Filed with the Site File - including field notes, analysis notes, photos, plans and other important documents

- 1) Document type All materials at one location Maintaining organization Archaeological Consultants Inc
Document description notes, maps, photos File or accession #'s P1314B
2) Document type Maintaining organization
Document description File or accession #'s

RECORDER & INFORMANT INFORMATION

Informant Information: Name
Address / Phone / E-mail
Recorder Information: Name Joan Deming Affiliation Archaeological Consultants Inc
Address / Phone / E-mail 8110 Blaikie Court, Ste A, Sarasota, FL 34240 / 941.379.6206 / aciflorida@comcast.net

Required Attachments

PHOTOCOPY OF 7.5' USGS QUAD MAP WITH SITE BOUNDARIES MARKED and SITE PLAN
Plan at 1:3,600 or larger. Show boundaries, scale, north arrow, test/collection units, landmarks and date.



PHOTOGRAPH



AERIAL MAP






Archaeological Form

Site # 8PA02903

USGS MAP

San Antonio 1954, PR 1988

Township 25 South, Range 20 East, Section 9



Original
 Update



HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM

FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE

Version 4.0 1/07

Site #8 PA2217
Field Date 9 / 21 / 10
Form Date 9 / 23 / 10
Recorder # 10

Shaded Fields represent the minimum acceptable level of documentation.
Consult the *Guide to Historical Structure Forms* for detailed instructions.

Site Name(s) (address if none) 35621 Clinton Avenue Multiple Listing (DHR only) _____
Survey Project Name CRAS Clinton Ave Extension from Curley Road to Prospect Road, Pasco County Survey # (DHR only) _____
National Register Category (please check one) building structure district site object
Ownership: private-profit private-nonprofit private-individual private-nonspecific city county state federal Native American foreign unknown

LOCATION & MAPPING

Address (include N,S,E,W; #; St., Ave., etc.) 35621 Clinton Avenue
Cross Streets (nearest / between) _____
USGS 7.5' Map Name & Date Dade City 1988 Plat or Other Map _____
City / Town (within 3 miles) Saint Leo In City Limits? yes no unknown County Pasco
Township 25S Range 21E Section 5 1/4 section: NW SW SE NE Irregular-name: _____
Tax Parcel # 05-25-21-0000-03900-0000 Landgrant _____
Subdivision Name _____ Block _____ Lot _____
UTM: Zone 16 17 Easting 379784 ___ 0 Northing 3134638 ___ 0
Other Coordinates: X: _____ Y: _____ Coordinate System & Datum _____
Name of Public Tract (e.g., park) _____

HISTORY

Construction Year: 1940 approximately year listed or earlier year listed or later
Original Use* residence From (year): original To (year): -
Current Use* residence From (year): - To (year): current
Other Use* _____ From (year): _____ To (year): _____
Moves: yes no unknown Dates _____ Original address (if moved) _____
Alterations: yes no unknown Dates 1980;2009 Nature* vinyl siding; replacement windows, moved entrance to N, foundation in-fill
Additions: yes no unknown Dates 2009 Nature* north and east porches
Architect (last name first): unknown Builder (last name first): unknown
Ownership History (especially original owner, dates, profession, etc.) Blount, Allen and Barbara (2009-current)

Is the Resource Affected by a Local Preservation Ordinance? yes no unknown Describe _____

DESCRIPTION

Style* Frame Vernacular Exterior Plan* irregular Number of Stories 2
Exterior Fabric(s)* vinyl siding
Roof Type(s)* hip Roof Material(s)* 5-V crimp
Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.)* _____
Windows (types, materials, etc.)* 1/1 SHS, vinyl, independent and paired

Distinguishing Architectural Features (exterior or interior ornaments) window sills; vinyl window surrounds; cornerboards
foundation vents

Ancillary Features / Outbuildings (record outbuildings, major landscape features; use continuation sheet if needed.) _____

* Consult *Guide to Historical Structure Forms* for preferred descriptions (coded fields at the Site File).

DHR USE ONLY		OFFICIAL EVALUATION		DHR USE ONLY	
NR List Date ____/____/____	SHPO - Appears to meet criteria for NR listing: <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no <input type="checkbox"/> insufficient info	Date ____/____/____	Init. _____		
<input type="checkbox"/> Owner Objection	KEEPER - Determined eligible: <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no	Date ____/____/____			
	NR Criteria for Evaluation: <input type="checkbox"/> a <input type="checkbox"/> b <input type="checkbox"/> c <input type="checkbox"/> d (see <i>National Register Bulletin 15</i> , p. 2)				

DESCRIPTION (continued)

Chimney: No. 1 Material(s) * stucco on east elevationStructural System(s) * wood frameFoundation: Type(s) * continuous Material(s) * concrete blockMain Entrance (stylistic details) obscured; within north porchPorch Descriptions (types, locations, roof types, etc.) closed, north, gable; open, east, noneCondition (overall resource condition): excellent good fair deteriorated ruinous

Narrative Description of Resource _____

Archaeological Remains _____ Check if Archaeological Form Completed* Consult *Guide to Historical Structure Forms* for preferred descriptions (coded fields at the Site File).

RESEARCH METHODS (check all that apply)

- | | | | |
|---|---|---|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> FMSF record search (sites/surveys) | <input type="checkbox"/> library research | <input type="checkbox"/> building permits | <input type="checkbox"/> Sanborn maps |
| <input type="checkbox"/> FL State Archives/photo collection | <input type="checkbox"/> city directory | <input type="checkbox"/> occupant/owner interview | <input type="checkbox"/> plat maps |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> property appraiser / tax records | <input type="checkbox"/> newspaper files | <input type="checkbox"/> neighbor interview | <input type="checkbox"/> Public Lands Survey (DEP) |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> cultural resource survey | <input type="checkbox"/> historic photos | <input type="checkbox"/> interior inspection | <input type="checkbox"/> HABS/HAER record search |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> other methods (describe) <u>Pioneer Museum History Center</u> | | | |

Bibliographic References (give FMSF manuscript # if relevant, use continuation sheet if needed) _____

OPINION OF RESOURCE SIGNIFICANCE

Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing individually? yes no insufficient informationAppears to meet the criteria for National Register listing as part of a district? yes no insufficient informationExplanation of Evaluation (required, whether significant or not; use separate sheet if needed) Given the extent of alterations to this building, its similarity to others in Pasco County, and the lack of historical significance in the available data, it appears that 8PA2217 is not eligible for listing in the NRHP.Area(s) of Historical Significance (see *National Register Bulletin 15*, p. 8 for categories: e.g. "architecture", "ethnic heritage", "community planning & development", etc.) Community Planning and Development

DOCUMENTATION

Accessible Documentation Not Filed with the Site File - including field & analysis notes, photos, plans, other important documents that are permanently accessible: For each separately maintained collection, describe (1) document type(s),* (2) maintaining organization,* (3) file or accession nos., and (4) descriptive information. _____

All maps, notes, and photos on file at ACI; P10041

RECORDER INFORMATION

Recorder Name Lumang, MarielleRecorder Contact Information (address / phone / fax / e-mail) 8110 Blaikie Ct, Suite A, Sarasota, Florida 34243/941-379-6206/ ACIFlorida@comcast.netRecorder Affiliation Archaeological Consultants, Inc.Use a *Supplement for Site Forms* or other continuation sheet for descriptions that do not fit in the spaces provided.

Required Attachments

① USGS 7.5' MAP WITH STRUCTURE LOCATION PINPOINTED IN RED

② LARGE SCALE STREET, PLAT OR PARCEL MAP (available from most property appraiser web sites)

③ PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, ARCHIVAL B&W PRINT OR DIGITAL IMAGE FILE

If submitting an image file, it must be included on disk or CD AND in hard copy format (plain paper is acceptable).

Digital image must be at least 1600 x 1200 pixels, 24-bit color, jpeg or tiff.

PHOTOGRAPH




GOOGLE EARTH MAP

San Antonio, Florida



USGS MAP

Township 25 South, Range 21 East, Section 5
San Antonio, Fla. 1973, PR 1987



0

0.5 mile



Original
 Update



HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM

FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE

Version 4.0 1/07

Site #8 PA2218
Field Date 9 / 21 / 10
Form Date 9 / 23 / 10
Recorder # 9

Shaded Fields represent the minimum acceptable level of documentation.
Consult the *Guide to Historical Structure Forms* for detailed instructions.

Site Name(s) (address if none) 35031 Clinton Avenue Multiple Listing (DHR only) _____
Survey Project Name CRAS Clinton Ave Extension from Curley Road to Prospect Road, Pasco County Survey # (DHR only) _____
National Register Category (please check one) building structure district site object
Ownership: private-profit private-nonprofit private-individual private-nonspecific city county state federal Native American foreign unknown

LOCATION & MAPPING

Address (include N,S,E,W; #; St., Ave., etc.) 35031 Clinton Avenue
Cross Streets (nearest / between) _____
USGS 7.5' Map Name & Date Dade City 1988 Plat or Other Map _____
City / Town (within 3 miles) Saint Leo In City Limits? yes no unknown County Pasco
Township 25S Range 21E Section 5 1/4 section: NW SW SE NE Irregular-name: _____
Tax Parcel # 05-25-21-0010-00000-0030 Landgrant _____
Subdivision Name _____ Block _____ Lot _____
UTM: Zone 16 17 Easting 378819 ___ 0 Northing 3134636 ___ 0
Other Coordinates: X: _____ Y: _____ Coordinate System & Datum _____
Name of Public Tract (e.g., park) _____

HISTORY

Construction Year: 1935 approximately year listed or earlier year listed or later
Original Use* residence From (year): original To (year): -
Current Use* residence From (year): - To (year): current
Other Use* _____ From (year): _____ To (year): _____
Moves: yes no unknown Dates _____ Original address (if moved) _____
Alterations: yes no unknown Dates _____ Nature* _____
Additions: yes no unknown Dates _____ Nature* _____
Architect (last name first): unknown Builder (last name first): unknown
Ownership History (especially original owner, dates, profession, etc.) Reid, Daniel and Ruth (2004-current)

Is the Resource Affected by a Local Preservation Ordinance? yes no unknown Describe _____

DESCRIPTION

Style* Frame Vernacular Exterior Plan* irregular Number of Stories 1
Exterior Fabric(s)* drop siding
Roof Type(s)* gable Roof Material(s)* composition shingles
Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.)* _____
Windows (types, materials, etc.)* 1/1 DHS, wood, independent and paired

Distinguishing Architectural Features (exterior or interior ornaments) gable vent, cornerboards, wood window surrounds, exposed rafter tails; screens over windows

Ancillary Features / Outbuildings (record outbuildings, major landscape features; use continuation sheet if needed.) _____

* Consult *Guide to Historical Structure Forms* for preferred descriptions (coded fields at the Site File).

DHR USE ONLY		OFFICIAL EVALUATION	DHR USE ONLY	
NR List Date ____/____/____	SHPO - Appears to meet criteria for NR listing: <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no <input type="checkbox"/> insufficient info	Date ____/____/____	Init. _____	
<input type="checkbox"/> Owner Objection	KEEPER - Determined eligible: <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no	Date ____/____/____		
	NR Criteria for Evaluation: <input type="checkbox"/> a <input type="checkbox"/> b <input type="checkbox"/> c <input type="checkbox"/> d (see <i>National Register Bulletin 15</i> , p. 2)			

DESCRIPTION (continued)

Chimney: No. 0 Material(s) *

Structural System(s) * wood frame

Foundation: Type(s) * pier Material(s) * concrete block

Main Entrance (stylistic details) 1-light, 3-panel wood swing door on south

Porch Descriptions (types, locations, roof types, etc.) open, south, gable

Condition (overall resource condition): [] excellent [x] good [] fair [] deteriorated [] ruinous

Narrative Description of Resource

Archaeological Remains [] Check if Archaeological Form Completed

* Consult Guide to Historical Structure Forms for preferred descriptions (coded fields at the Site File).

RESEARCH METHODS (check all that apply)

- [x] FMSF record search (sites/surveys) [] library research [] building permits [] Sanborn maps
[] FL State Archives/photo collection [] city directory [] occupant/owner interview [] plat maps
[x] property appraiser / tax records [] newspaper files [] neighbor interview [] Public Lands Survey (DEP)
[x] cultural resource survey [] historic photos [] interior inspection [] HABS/HAER record search
[x] other methods (describe) Pioneer Museum History Center

Bibliographic References (give FMSF manuscript # if relevant, use continuation sheet if needed)

OPINION OF RESOURCE SIGNIFICANCE

Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing individually? [] yes [x] no [] insufficient information

Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing as part of a district? [] yes [x] no [] insufficient information

Explanation of Evaluation (required, whether significant or not; use separate sheet if needed) This appears to be an intact example of a Frame Vernacular style residence from pre-WWII Pasco County history. However, research did not reveal any significant historical associations with a person or event. Therefore, 8PA2218 is not considered eligible for listing in the NRHP.

Area(s) of Historical Significance (see National Register Bulletin 15, p. 8 for categories: e.g. "architecture", "ethnic heritage", "community planning & development", etc.) Community Planning and Development

DOCUMENTATION

Accessible Documentation Not Filed with the Site File - including field & analysis notes, photos, plans, other important documents that are permanently accessible: For each separately maintained collection, describe (1) document type(s),* (2) maintaining organization,* (3) file or accession nos., and (4) descriptive information. All maps, notes, and photos on file at ACI; P10041

RECORDER INFORMATION

Recorder Name Lumang, Marielle

Recorder Contact Information (address / phone / fax / e-mail) 8110 Blaikie Ct, Suite A, Sarasota, Florida 34243/941-379-6206/ ACIFlorida@comcast.net

Recorder Affiliation Archaeological Consultants, Inc.

Use a Supplement for Site Forms or other continuation sheet for descriptions that do not fit in the spaces provided.

Required Attachments
1 USGS 7.5' MAP WITH STRUCTURE LOCATION PINPOINTED IN RED
2 LARGE SCALE STREET, PLAT OR PARCEL MAP (available from most property appraiser web sites)
3 PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, ARCHIVAL B&W PRINT OR DIGITAL IMAGE FILE
If submitting an image file, it must be included on disk or CD AND in hard copy format (plain paper is acceptable). Digital image must be at least 1600 x 1200 pixels, 24-bit color, jpeg or tiff.

PHOTOGRAPH




GOOGLE EARTH MAP

San Antonio, Florida



USGS MAP

Township 25 South, Range 21 East, Section 5
San Antonio, Fla. 1973, PR 1987



0

0.5 mile



Original
 Update



HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM

FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE

Version 4.0 1/07

Site #8 PA2783
Field Date 9 / 21 / 10
Form Date 9 / 23 / 10
Recorder # 1

Shaded Fields represent the minimum acceptable level of documentation.
Consult the *Guide to Historical Structure Forms* for detailed instructions.

Site Name(s) (address if none) 11549 Curley Road Multiple Listing (DHR only) _____
Survey Project Name CRAS Clinton Ave Extension from Curley Road to Prospect Road, Pasco County Survey # (DHR only) _____
National Register Category (please check one) building structure district site object
Ownership: private-profit private-nonprofit private-individual private-nonspecific city county state federal Native American foreign unknown

LOCATION & MAPPING

Address (include N,S,E,W; #; St., Ave., etc.) 11549 Curley Road
Cross Streets (nearest / between) _____
USGS 7.5' Map Name & Date San Antonio 1988 Plat or Other Map _____
City / Town (within 3 miles) San Antonio In City Limits? yes no unknown County Pasco
Township 25S Range 20E Section 11 1/4 section: NW SW SE NE Irregular-name: _____
Tax Parcel # 11-25-20-0000-01200-0000 Landgrant _____
Subdivision Name _____ Block _____ Lot _____
UTM: Zone 16 17 Easting 375069 ___ 0 Northing 3133974 ___ 0
Other Coordinates: X: _____ Y: _____ Coordinate System & Datum _____
Name of Public Tract (e.g., park) _____

HISTORY

Construction Year: 1960 approximately year listed or earlier year listed or later
Original Use* fraternity From (year): original To (year): -
Current Use* fraternity From (year): - To (year): current
Other Use* _____ From (year): _____ To (year): _____
Moves: yes no unknown Dates _____ Original address (if moved) _____
Alterations: yes no unknown Dates c. 1990 Nature* filled-in windows
Additions: yes no unknown Dates _____ Nature* _____
Architect (last name first): unknown Builder (last name first): unknown
Ownership History (especially original owner, dates, profession, etc.) Knights of Columbus (1960-current)

Is the Resource Affected by a Local Preservation Ordinance? yes no unknown Describe _____

DESCRIPTION

Style* Modern Exterior Plan* irregular Number of Stories 1
Exterior Fabric(s)* concrete block; brick veneer
Roof Type(s)* flat Roof Material(s)* built-up
Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.)* _____
Windows (types, materials, etc.)* 2/2 SHS, metal, paired; 1-light fixed, metal, paired; 3-light awning, metal, paired; jalousie, metal, ribbon (3)
Distinguishing Architectural Features (exterior or interior ornaments) wide canopy; port-cochere; glass block; projecting window sills

Ancillary Features / Outbuildings (record outbuildings, major landscape features; use continuation sheet if needed.) _____

* Consult *Guide to Historical Structure Forms* for preferred descriptions (coded fields at the Site File).

DHR USE ONLY		OFFICIAL EVALUATION	DHR USE ONLY	
NR List Date ____/____/____	SHPO - Appears to meet criteria for NR listing: <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no <input type="checkbox"/> insufficient info	Date ____/____/____	Init. _____	
<input type="checkbox"/> Owner Objection	KEEPER - Determined eligible: <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no	Date ____/____/____		
	NR Criteria for Evaluation: <input type="checkbox"/> a <input type="checkbox"/> b <input type="checkbox"/> c <input type="checkbox"/> d (see <i>National Register Bulletin 15</i> , p. 2)			

DESCRIPTION (continued)

Chimney: No. 0 Material(s) * _____

Structural System(s) * concrete block

Foundation: Type(s) * slab Material(s) * poured concrete

Main Entrance (stylistic details) 1-light metal swing door; pair

Porch Descriptions (types, locations, roof types, etc.) _____

Condition (overall resource condition): excellent good fair deteriorated ruinous

Narrative Description of Resource _____

Archaeological Remains _____ Check if Archaeological Form Completed

* Consult *Guide to Historical Structure Forms* for preferred descriptions (coded fields at the Site File).

RESEARCH METHODS (check all that apply)

- FMSF record search (sites/surveys)
- library research
- building permits
- Sanborn maps
- FL State Archives/photo collection
- city directory
- occupant/owner interview
- plat maps
- property appraiser / tax records
- newspaper files
- neighbor interview
- Public Lands Survey (DEP)
- cultural resource survey
- historic photos
- interior inspection
- HABS/HAER record search
- other methods (describe) Pioneer Museum History Center

Bibliographic References (give FMSF manuscript # if relevant, use continuation sheet if needed) _____

OPINION OF RESOURCE SIGNIFICANCE

Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing individually? yes no insufficient information

Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing as part of a district? yes no insufficient information

Explanation of Evaluation (required, whether significant or not; use separate sheet if needed) This is a typical example of the Modern style found throughout Pasco County. Furthermore, limited research revealed no significant historical associations. Therefore, 8PA2783 does not appear eligible for listing in the NRHP.

Area(s) of Historical Significance (see *National Register Bulletin 15*, p. 8 for categories: e.g. "architecture", "ethnic heritage", "community planning & development", etc.) Community Planning and Development

DOCUMENTATION

Accessible Documentation Not Filed with the Site File - including field & analysis notes, photos, plans, other important documents that are permanently accessible: For each separately maintained collection, describe (1) document type(s),* (2) maintaining organization,* (3) file or accession nos., and (4) descriptive information. All maps, notes, and photos on file at ACI; P10041

RECORDER INFORMATION

Recorder Name Lumang, Marielle

Recorder Contact Information (address / phone / fax / e-mail) 8110 Blaikie Ct, Suite A, Sarasota, Florida 34243/941-379-6206/ ACIFlorida@comcast.net

Recorder Affiliation Archaeological Consultants, Inc.

Use a *Supplement for Site Forms* or other continuation sheet for descriptions that do not fit in the spaces provided.


<p>Required Attachments</p>	<p>① USGS 7.5' MAP WITH STRUCTURE LOCATION PINPOINTED IN RED</p> <p>② LARGE SCALE STREET, PLAT OR PARCEL MAP (available from most property appraiser web sites)</p> <p>③ PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, ARCHIVAL B&W PRINT OR DIGITAL IMAGE FILE If submitting an image file, it must be included on disk or CD AND in hard copy format (plain paper is acceptable). Digital image must be at least 1600 x 1200 pixels, 24-bit color, jpeg or tiff.</p>
------------------------------------	---

PHOTOGRAPH



GOOGLE EARTH MAP

San Antonio, Florida



USGS MAP

Township 25 South, Range 20 East, Section 11
San Antonio, Fla. 1973, PR 1987



0

0.5 mile



Original
 Update



HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM

FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE

Version 4.0 1/07

Site #8 PA2785
Field Date 9 / 22 / 10
Form Date 9 / 24 / 10
Recorder # 39

Shaded Fields represent the minimum acceptable level of documentation.
Consult the *Guide to Historical Structure Forms* for detailed instructions.

Site Name(s) (address if none) 35040 Prospect Road Multiple Listing (DHR only) _____
Survey Project Name CRAS Clinton Ave Extension from Curley Road to Prospect Road, Pasco County Survey # (DHR only) _____
National Register Category (please check one) building structure district site object
Ownership: private-profit private-nonprofit private-individual private-nonspecific city county state federal Native American foreign unknown

LOCATION & MAPPING

Address (include N,S,E,W; #; St., Ave., etc.) 35040 Prospect Road
Cross Streets (nearest / between) _____
USGS 7.5' Map Name & Date Dade City 1988 Plat or Other Map _____
City / Town (within 3 miles) Saint Leo In City Limits? yes no unknown County Pasco
Township 25S Range 21E Section 5 1/4 section: NW SW SE NE Irregular-name: _____
Tax Parcel # 05-25-21-0010-00000-0030 Landgrant _____
Subdivision Name _____ Block _____ Lot _____
UTM: Zone 16 17 Easting 378763 ___ 0 Northing 3134745 ___ 0
Other Coordinates: X: _____ Y: _____ Coordinate System & Datum _____
Name of Public Tract (e.g., park) _____

HISTORY

Construction Year: 1950 approximately year listed or earlier year listed or later
Original Use* residence From (year): original To (year): -
Current Use* residence From (year): - To (year): current
Other Use* _____ From (year): _____ To (year): _____
Moves: yes no unknown Dates _____ Original address (if moved) _____
Alterations: yes no unknown Dates _____ Nature* _____
Additions: yes no unknown Dates _____ Nature* _____
Architect (last name first): unknown Builder (last name first): unknown
Ownership History (especially original owner, dates, profession, etc.) Reid, Daniel and Ruth (2004-current)

Is the Resource Affected by a Local Preservation Ordinance? yes no unknown Describe _____

DESCRIPTION

Style* Frame Vernacular Exterior Plan* irregular Number of Stories 1
Exterior Fabric(s)* asbestos shingles
Roof Type(s)* gable Roof Material(s)* 5-V
Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.)* _____
Windows (types, materials, etc.)* 1/1 DHS, wood, independent and paired

Distinguishing Architectural Features (exterior or interior ornaments) gable vents, wood door surrounds, wood window surrounds; scroll porch rail

Ancillary Features / Outbuildings (record outbuildings, major landscape features; use continuation sheet if needed.) _____

* Consult *Guide to Historical Structure Forms* for preferred descriptions (coded fields at the Site File).

DHR USE ONLY		OFFICIAL EVALUATION		DHR USE ONLY	
NR List Date ____/____/____	SHPO - Appears to meet criteria for NR listing: <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no <input type="checkbox"/> insufficient info	Date ____/____/____	Init. _____		
<input type="checkbox"/> Owner Objection	KEEPER - Determined eligible: <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no	Date ____/____/____			
	NR Criteria for Evaluation: <input type="checkbox"/> a <input type="checkbox"/> b <input type="checkbox"/> c <input type="checkbox"/> d (see <i>National Register Bulletin 15</i> , p. 2)				

DESCRIPTION (continued)

Chimney: No. 0 Material(s) *

Structural System(s) * wood frame

Foundation: Type(s) * pier Material(s) * concrete block

Main Entrance (stylistic details) 1-light, 2-panel wood swing door on south

Porch Descriptions (types, locations, roof types, etc.) open, south, shed

Condition (overall resource condition): [] excellent [x] good [] fair [] deteriorated [] ruinous

Narrative Description of Resource

Archaeological Remains [] Check if Archaeological Form Completed

* Consult Guide to Historical Structure Forms for preferred descriptions (coded fields at the Site File).

RESEARCH METHODS (check all that apply)

- [x] FMSF record search (sites/surveys) [] library research [] building permits [] Sanborn maps
[] FL State Archives/photo collection [] city directory [] occupant/owner interview [] plat maps
[x] property appraiser / tax records [] newspaper files [] neighbor interview [] Public Lands Survey (DEP)
[x] cultural resource survey [] historic photos [] interior inspection [] HABS/HAER record search
[x] other methods (describe) Pioneer Museum History Center

Bibliographic References (give FMSF manuscript # if relevant, use continuation sheet if needed)

OPINION OF RESOURCE SIGNIFICANCE

Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing individually? [] yes [x] no [] insufficient information

Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing as part of a district? [] yes [x] no [] insufficient information

Explanation of Evaluation (required, whether significant or not; use separate sheet if needed) This is a typical example of the Frame Vernacular style found throughout Pasco County. Furthermore, limited research revealed no significant historic associations. Therefore, 8PA2785 does not appear eligible for listing in the NRHP.

Area(s) of Historical Significance (see National Register Bulletin 15, p. 8 for categories: e.g. "architecture", "ethnic heritage", "community planning & development", etc.) Community Planning and Development

DOCUMENTATION

Accessible Documentation Not Filed with the Site File - including field & analysis notes, photos, plans, other important documents that are permanently accessible: All maps, notes, and photos on file at ACI; P10041

RECORDER INFORMATION

Recorder Name Lumang, Marielle

Recorder Contact Information (address / phone / fax / e-mail) 8110 Blaikie Ct, Suite A, Sarasota, Florida 34243/941-379-6206/ ACIFlorida@comcast.net

Recorder Affiliation Archaeological Consultants, Inc.

Use a Supplement for Site Forms or other continuation sheet for descriptions that do not fit in the spaces provided.

Required Attachments
1 USGS 7.5' MAP WITH STRUCTURE LOCATION PINPOINTED IN RED
2 LARGE SCALE STREET, PLAT OR PARCEL MAP (available from most property appraiser web sites)
3 PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, ARCHIVAL B&W PRINT OR DIGITAL IMAGE FILE
If submitting an image file, it must be included on disk or CD AND in hard copy format (plain paper is acceptable). Digital image must be at least 1600 x 1200 pixels, 24-bit color, jpeg or tiff.

PHOTOGRAPH




GOOGLE EARTH MAP

San Antonio, Florida



USGS MAP

Township 25 South, Range 21 East, Section 5
San Antonio, Fla. 1973, PR 1987



0

0.5 mile



Original
 Update



HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM

FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE

Version 4.0 1/07

Site #8 **PA02786**
Field Date 1-14-2015
Form Date 1-16-2015
Recorder # 6

Shaded Fields represent the minimum acceptable level of documentation.
Consult the *Guide to Historical Structure Forms* for detailed instructions.

Site Name(s) (address if none) 35010 Prospect Road Multiple Listing (DHR only) _____
Survey Project Name PD&E - SR-52 - McKendree Rd to Pasadena Rd Survey # (DHR only) _____
National Register Category (please check one) building structure district site object
Ownership: private-profit private-nonprofit private-individual private-nonspecific city county state federal Native American foreign unknown

LOCATION & MAPPING

Address: 35010 Prospect Road
Street Number Direction Street Name Street Type Suffix Direction
Cross Streets (nearest / between) Between Prospect Road and Just-A-Mere Lane
USGS 7.5 Map Name DADE CITY USGS Date 1960 Plat or Other Map _____
City / Town (within 3 miles) Dade City In City Limits? yes no unknown County Pasco
Township 25S Range 21E Section 5 1/4 section: NW SW SE NE Irregular-name: _____
Tax Parcel # 0525210010000000030 Landgrant _____
Subdivision Name Summers/Allen Small Farm Block _____ Lot 3
UTM Coordinates: Zone 16 17 Easting 378764 Northing 3134644
Other Coordinates: X: _____ Y: _____ Coordinate System & Datum _____
Name of Public Tract (e.g., park) _____

HISTORY

Construction Year: 1957 approximately year listed or earlier year listed or later
Original Use Private Residence (House/Cottage/Cabin) From (year): 1957 To (year): cur
Current Use _____ From (year): _____ To (year): _____
Other Use _____ From (year): _____ To (year): _____
Moves: yes no unknown Date: _____ Original address _____
Alterations: yes no unknown Date: _____ Nature _____
Additions: yes no unknown Date: _____ Nature _____
Architect (last name first): Unknown Builder (last name first): Unknown
Ownership History (especially original owner, dates, profession, etc.) Daniel and Ruth Reid (2001)

Is the Resource Affected by a Local Preservation Ordinance? yes no unknown Describe _____

DESCRIPTION

Style Masonry Vernacular Exterior Plan Rectangular Number of Stories 1
Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Brick 2. _____ 3. _____
Roof Type(s) 1. Hip on hip 2. _____ 3. _____
Roof Material(s) 1. Composition shingles 2. _____ 3. _____
Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.) 1. _____ 2. _____
Windows (types, materials, etc.) 2/2 aluminum SHS, paired; 1/1 aluminum SHS
Distinguishing Architectural Features (exterior or interior ornaments) Brick window sills, car port, shutters

Ancillary Features / Outbuildings (record outbuildings, major landscape features; use continuation sheet if needed.) One Frame Vernacular detached garage with a gable roof, located directly north of the house.

DHR USE ONLY		OFFICIAL EVALUATION	DHR USE ONLY	
NR List Date	SHPO – Appears to meet criteria for NR listing: <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no <input type="checkbox"/> insufficient info	Date _____	Init. _____	
<input type="checkbox"/> Owner Objection	KEEPER – Determined eligible: <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no	Date _____		
	NR Criteria for Evaluation: <input type="checkbox"/> a <input type="checkbox"/> b <input type="checkbox"/> c <input type="checkbox"/> d (see <i>National Register Bulletin 15</i> , p. 2)			

DESCRIPTION (continued)

Chimney: No. 1 Chimney Material(s): 1. Brick 2.
Structural System(s): 1. Concrete block 2. 3.
Foundation Type(s): 1. Slab 2.
Foundation Material(s): 1. Concrete Block 2.
Main Entrance (stylistic details) South elevation, single door

Porch Descriptions (types, locations, roof types, etc.) Southeast elevation, recessed, supported by decorative metal posts.

Condition (overall resource condition): [] excellent [x] good [] fair [] deteriorated [] ruinous

Narrative Description of Resource Typical Masonry Vernacular style residence with a large covered car port on its southwest elevation. The detached garage associated with this house was previously recorded. This form updates that previous survey, see continuation sheet for explanation.

Archaeological Remains [] Check if Archaeological Form Completed

RESEARCH METHODS (check all that apply)

- [x] FMSF record search (sites/surveys) [] library research [] building permits [] Sanborn maps
[] FL State Archives/photo collection [] city directory [] occupant/owner interview [] plat maps
[x] property appraiser / tax records [] newspaper files [] neighbor interview [] Public Lands Survey (DEP)
[x] cultural resource survey (CRAS) [] historic photos [] interior inspection [] HABS/HAER record search
[] other methods (describe) USDA Historic Aerial Photography

Bibliographic References (give FMSF manuscript # if relevant, use continuation sheet if needed) United States Department of Agriculture, accessible online through the Publication of Archival Library & Museum Materials (PALMM) at: http://susdl.fcla.edu/

OPINION OF RESOURCE SIGNIFICANCE

Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing individually? [] yes [x] no [] insufficient information

Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing as part of a district? [] yes [x] no [] insufficient information

Explanation of Evaluation (required, whether significant or not; use separate sheet if needed) This is a typical example of the Masonry Vernacular style found throughout Pasco County. Furthermore, limited research did not reveal any significant historical associations. Therefore, 8PA02786 does not appear eligible for listing in the NRHP.

Area(s) of Historical Significance (see National Register Bulletin 15, p. 8 for categories: e.g. "architecture", "ethnic heritage", "community planning & development", etc.)

- 1. Architecture 3. 5.
2. 4. 6.

DOCUMENTATION

Accessible Documentation Not Filed with the Site File - including field notes, analysis notes, photos, plans and other important documents

- 1) Document type All materials at one location Maintaining organization Archaeological Consultants Inc
Document description Field photographs, notes, maps File or accession #'s P14125
2) Document type
Document description Maintaining organization
File or accession #'s

RECORDER INFORMATION

Recorder Name Jorge Danta Affiliation Archaeological Consultants Inc

Recorder Contact Information 8110 Blaikie Court, Suite A, Sarasota, Florida 34240
(address / phone / fax / e-mail)

Required Attachments
1 USGS 7.5' MAP WITH STRUCTURE LOCATION PINPOINTED IN RED
2 LARGE SCALE STREET, PLAT OR PARCEL MAP (available from most property appraiser web sites)
3 PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, ARCHIVAL B&W PRINT OR DIGITAL IMAGE FILE
If submitting an image file, it must be included on disk or CD AND in hard copy format (plain paper is acceptable). Digital image must be at least 1600 x 1200 pixels, 24-bit color, jpeg or tiff.

CONTINUATION SHEET

8PA02786: The house at 35010 Prospect Road was constructed c. 1957 in the Masonry Vernacular style. The masonry walls are clad in brick and rest on a concrete slab foundation. The residence is topped by a hip-on-hip roof covered in composition shingles. Windows are 1/1 and 2/2 aluminum single-hung-sash. The main entrance is located on the south elevation and consists of a single door accessed via a recessed porch supported by decorative metal posts. Other design elements include a brick chimney, brick window sills, metal shutters, and a car port.

The FMSF form submitted in 2010 for this resource recorded a garage structure located directly north of the house. Although associated with this parcel, the previously recorded garage is an auxiliary structure to the main house, which was not recorded at the time. The Pasco County Property Appraiser dates the house to 1979; however, the building appears on a 1957 aerial of the area. In addition, the stylistic characteristics of this house make the 1979 date of construction improbable, and are more appropriate to a 1950s design (Wells 2014; USDA 1957). As such, an updated FMSF form was completed to correctly record the buildings on this parcel, being a c. 1957 single-family residence with a detached garage. Nevertheless, this house is a typical example of the Masonry Vernacular style found throughout Pasco County, and limited research did not reveal any significant historical associations. Therefore, 8PA2786 does not appear potentially eligible for listing in the NRHP, either individually or as a contributing resource to a potential historic district.

REFERENCES

Florida Master Site File (FMSF)

n.d. Various site file forms. On file, FDHR, Tallahassee.

McAlester, Virginia Savage

2013 A Field Guide to American Houses. Alfred A. Knopf, publisher, New York.

United States Department of Agriculture

1957 Aerial Photograph CTT-3T-151, 3/27/1957. On file, PALMM, Gainesville.

Wells, Michael (Pasco County Property Appraiser)

2014 Property appraiser information accessed via <http://appraiser.pascogov.com/>



PHOTOGRAPH



AERIAL MAP






USGS

Dade City

Township 25 South, Range 21 East, Section 05



Original
 Update



HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM

FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE

Version 4.0 1/07

Site #8 PA2787
Field Date 9 / 22 / 10
Form Date 9 / 24 / 10
Recorder # 43

Shaded Fields represent the minimum acceptable level of documentation.
Consult the *Guide to Historical Structure Forms* for detailed instructions.

Site Name(s) (address if none) 35024 Clinton Avenue Multiple Listing (DHR only) _____
Survey Project Name CRAS Clinton Ave Extension from Curley Road to Prospect Road, Pasco County Survey # (DHR only) _____
National Register Category (please check one) building structure district site object
Ownership: private-profit private-nonprofit private-individual private-nonspecific city county state federal Native American foreign unknown

LOCATION & MAPPING

Address (include N,S,E,W; #; St., Ave., etc.) 35024 Clinton Avenue
Cross Streets (nearest / between) _____
USGS 7.5' Map Name & Date Dade City 1988 Plat or Other Map _____
City / Town (within 3 miles) Saint Leo In City Limits? yes no unknown County Pasco
Township 25S Range 21E Section 8 1/4 section: NW SW SE NE Irregular-name: _____
Tax Parcel # 08-25-21-0000-00190-0000 Landgrant _____
Subdivision Name _____ Block _____ Lot _____
UTM: Zone 16 17 Easting 378810 ___ 0 Northing 3134516 ___ 0
Other Coordinates: X: _____ Y: _____ Coordinate System & Datum _____
Name of Public Tract (e.g., park) _____

HISTORY

Construction Year: 1940 approximately year listed or earlier year listed or later
Original Use* residence From (year): original To (year): -
Current Use* residence From (year): - To (year): current
Other Use* _____ From (year): _____ To (year): _____
Moves: yes no unknown Dates _____ Original address (if moved) _____
Alterations: yes no unknown Dates _____ Nature* _____
Additions: yes no unknown Dates _____ Nature* _____
Architect (last name first): unknown Builder (last name first): unknown
Ownership History (especially original owner, dates, profession, etc.) Norris, David and Elizabeth (unknown-current)

Is the Resource Affected by a Local Preservation Ordinance? yes no unknown Describe _____

DESCRIPTION

Style* Frame Vernacular Exterior Plan* irregular Number of Stories 1
Exterior Fabric(s)* drop siding
Roof Type(s)* gable Roof Material(s)* 5-V
Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.)* _____
Windows (types, materials, etc.)* 2/2 DHS, wood, independent

Distinguishing Architectural Features (exterior or interior ornaments) wood window surrounds, window sills, exposed rafter tails, brackets, cornerboards, wrought iron porch railing

Ancillary Features / Outbuildings (record outbuildings, major landscape features; use continuation sheet if needed.) _____

* Consult *Guide to Historical Structure Forms* for preferred descriptions (coded fields at the Site File).

DHR USE ONLY		OFFICIAL EVALUATION		DHR USE ONLY	
NR List Date ____/____/____	SHPO - Appears to meet criteria for NR listing: <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no <input type="checkbox"/> insufficient info	Date	____/____/____	Init.	_____
<input type="checkbox"/> Owner Objection	KEEPER - Determined eligible: <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no	Date	____/____/____		
	NR Criteria for Evaluation: <input type="checkbox"/> a <input type="checkbox"/> b <input type="checkbox"/> c <input type="checkbox"/> d (see <i>National Register Bulletin 15</i> , p. 2)				

DESCRIPTION (continued)

Chimney: No. 0 Material(s) * _____
 Structural System(s) * wood frame
 Foundation: Type(s) * pier Material(s) * concrete block
 Main Entrance (stylistic details) obscured; on north elevation within porch
 Porch Descriptions (types, locations, roof types, etc.) open, north, gable; open, south, shed

Condition (overall resource condition): excellent good fair deteriorated ruinous
 Narrative Description of Resource Some features of this building were obscured due to limited access. According to the occupant, this house has been owned by one family. However, research of available documents could not confirm this statement nor was any significant historic association found with the family home and development of the area.
 Archaeological Remains _____ Check if Archaeological Form Completed

* Consult *Guide to Historical Structure Forms* for preferred descriptions (coded fields at the Site File).

RESEARCH METHODS (check all that apply)

FMSF record search (sites/surveys) library research building permits Sanborn maps
 FL State Archives/photo collection city directory occupant/owner interview plat maps
 property appraiser / tax records newspaper files neighbor interview Public Lands Survey (DEP)
 cultural resource survey historic photos interior inspection HABS/HAER record search
 other methods (describe) Pioneer Museum History Center, ca. 1941 aerials (PALMM)
 Bibliographic References (give FMSF manuscript # if relevant, use continuation sheet if needed) _____

OPINION OF RESOURCE SIGNIFICANCE

Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing individually? yes no insufficient information
 Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing as part of a district? yes no insufficient information
 Explanation of Evaluation (required, whether significant or not; use separate sheet if needed) This appears to be an intact example of a Frame Vernacular style residence in Pasco County from the pre-WWII era. At this time, 8PA2787 is not considered to be eligible for listing in the NRHP, but may be considered locally significant after additional research.

Area(s) of Historical Significance (see *National Register Bulletin 15*, p. 8 for categories: e.g. "architecture", "ethnic heritage", "community planning & development", etc.)
Community Planning and Development

DOCUMENTATION

Accessible Documentation Not Filed with the Site File - including field & analysis notes, photos, plans, other important documents that are permanently accessible:
 For each separately maintained collection, describe (1) document type(s),* (2) maintaining organization,* (3) file or accession nos., and (4) descriptive information. _____
All maps, notes, and photos on file at ACI; P10041

RECORDER INFORMATION

Recorder Name Lumang, Marielle
 Recorder Contact Information (address / phone / fax / e-mail) 8110 Blaikie Ct, Suite A, Sarasota, Florida 34243/941-379-6206/ ACIFlorida@comcast.net
 Recorder Affiliation Archaeological Consultants, Inc.

Use a *Supplement for Site Forms* or other continuation sheet for descriptions that do not fit in the spaces provided.

Required Attachments

- ① USGS 7.5' MAP WITH STRUCTURE LOCATION PINPOINTED IN RED
- ② LARGE SCALE STREET, PLAT OR PARCEL MAP (available from most property appraiser web sites)
- ③ PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, ARCHIVAL B&W PRINT OR DIGITAL IMAGE FILE
 If submitting an image file, it must be included on disk or CD AND in hard copy format (plain paper is acceptable).
 Digital image must be at least 1600 x 1200 pixels, 24-bit color, jpeg or tiff.

PHOTOGRAPH




GOOGLE EARTH MAP

San Antonio, Florida



USGS MAP

Township 25 South, Range 21 East, Section 8
San Antonio, Fla. 1973, PR 1987



0

0.5 mile



Original
 Update



HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM

FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE

Version 4.0 1/07

Site #8 PA2788
Field Date 9 / 21 / 10
Form Date 9 / 24 / 10
Recorder # 16

Shaded Fields represent the minimum acceptable level of documentation.
Consult the *Guide to Historical Structure Forms* for detailed instructions.

Site Name(s) (address if none) 36027 Clinton Avenue Multiple Listing (DHR only) _____
Survey Project Name CRAS Clinton Ave Extension from Curley Road to Prospect Road, Pasco County Survey # (DHR only) _____
National Register Category (please check one) building structure district site object
Ownership: private-profit private-nonprofit private-individual private-nonspecific city county state federal Native American foreign unknown

LOCATION & MAPPING

Address (include N,S,E,W; #; St., Ave., etc.) 36027 Clinton Avenue
Cross Streets (nearest / between) _____
USGS 7.5' Map Name & Date Dade City 1988 Plat or Other Map _____
City / Town (within 3 miles) Saint Leo In City Limits? yes no unknown County Pasco
Township 25S Range 21E Section 9 1/4 section: NW SW SE NE Irregular-name: _____
Tax Parcel # 09-25-21-0040-00400-0041 Landgrant _____
Subdivision Name _____ Block _____ Lot _____
UTM: Zone 16 17 Easting 380417 ___ 0 Northing 3134226 ___ 0
Other Coordinates: X: _____ Y: _____ Coordinate System & Datum _____
Name of Public Tract (e.g., park) _____

HISTORY

Construction Year: 1950 approximately year listed or earlier year listed or later
Original Use* residence From (year): original To (year): unknown
Current Use* residence/commercial From (year): unknown To (year): current
Other Use* _____ From (year): _____ To (year): _____
Moves: yes no unknown Dates _____ Original address (if moved) _____
Alterations: yes no unknown Dates c2000 Nature* stucco, replacement windows, filled-in windows
Additions: yes no unknown Dates c1970 Nature* east addition
Architect (last name first): unknown Builder (last name first): unknown
Ownership History (especially original owner, dates, profession, etc.) Smith, Steven and Teresa (2006-current)

Is the Resource Affected by a Local Preservation Ordinance? yes no unknown Describe _____

DESCRIPTION

Style* Masonry Vernacular Exterior Plan* irregular Number of Stories 1
Exterior Fabric(s) * stucco; wood siding
Roof Type(s) * gable Roof Material(s) * asphalt shingles
Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.) * _____
Windows (types, materials, etc.) * 1-light fixed with 4-light sidelights, metal, independent; 2/2 SHS, vinyl, independent; 2-light sliding, vinyl, independent; 12-light casement, metal, independent; 8-light casement, metal, independent
Distinguishing Architectural Features (exterior or interior ornaments) fixed shutters; scroll porch posts

Ancillary Features / Outbuildings (record outbuildings, major landscape features; use continuation sheet if needed.) _____

* Consult *Guide to Historical Structure Forms* for preferred descriptions (coded fields at the Site File).

DHR USE ONLY		OFFICIAL EVALUATION	DHR USE ONLY	
NR List Date ____/____/____	SHPO - Appears to meet criteria for NR listing: <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no <input type="checkbox"/> insufficient info	Date ____/____/____	Init. _____	
<input type="checkbox"/> Owner Objection	KEEPER - Determined eligible: <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no	Date ____/____/____		
	NR Criteria for Evaluation: <input type="checkbox"/> a <input type="checkbox"/> b <input type="checkbox"/> c <input type="checkbox"/> d (see <i>National Register Bulletin 15</i> , p. 2)			

DESCRIPTION (continued)

Chimney: No. 1 Material(s) * concrete block on north slopeStructural System(s) * concrete blockFoundation: Type(s) * slab Material(s) * poured concreteMain Entrance (stylistic details) 3-light wood swing door on south elevationPorch Descriptions (types, locations, roof types, etc.) open, south, gableCondition (overall resource condition): excellent good fair deteriorated ruinous

Narrative Description of Resource _____

Archaeological Remains _____ Check if Archaeological Form Completed* Consult *Guide to Historical Structure Forms* for preferred descriptions (coded fields at the Site File).

RESEARCH METHODS (check all that apply)

- | | | | |
|---|---|---|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> FMSF record search (sites/surveys) | <input type="checkbox"/> library research | <input type="checkbox"/> building permits | <input type="checkbox"/> Sanborn maps |
| <input type="checkbox"/> FL State Archives/photo collection | <input type="checkbox"/> city directory | <input type="checkbox"/> occupant/owner interview | <input type="checkbox"/> plat maps |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> property appraiser / tax records | <input type="checkbox"/> newspaper files | <input type="checkbox"/> neighbor interview | <input type="checkbox"/> Public Lands Survey (DEP) |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> cultural resource survey | <input type="checkbox"/> historic photos | <input type="checkbox"/> interior inspection | <input type="checkbox"/> HABS/HAER record search |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> other methods (describe) <u>Pioneer Museum History Center</u> | | | |

Bibliographic References (give FMSF manuscript # if relevant, use continuation sheet if needed) _____

OPINION OF RESOURCE SIGNIFICANCE

Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing individually? yes no insufficient informationAppears to meet the criteria for National Register listing as part of a district? yes no insufficient informationExplanation of Evaluation (required, whether significant or not; use separate sheet if needed) Given the extent of alterations to this building, its similarity to others in Pasco County, and the lack of historical significance in the available data, it appears that 8PA2788 is not eligible for listing in the NRHP.Area(s) of Historical Significance (see *National Register Bulletin 15*, p. 8 for categories: e.g. "architecture", "ethnic heritage", "community planning & development", etc.)
Community Planning and Development

DOCUMENTATION

Accessible Documentation Not Filed with the Site File - including field & analysis notes, photos, plans, other important documents that are permanently accessible: For each separately maintained collection, describe (1) document type(s),* (2) maintaining organization,* (3) file or accession nos., and (4) descriptive information. _____

All maps, notes, and photos on file at ACI; P10041

RECORDER INFORMATION

Recorder Name Lumang, MarielleRecorder Contact Information (address / phone / fax / e-mail) 8110 Blaikie Ct, Suite A, Sarasota, Florida 34243/941-379-6206/ ACIFlorida@comcast.netRecorder Affiliation Archaeological Consultants, Inc.Use a *Supplement for Site Forms* or other continuation sheet for descriptions that do not fit in the spaces provided.

Required Attachments

① USGS 7.5' MAP WITH STRUCTURE LOCATION PINPOINTED IN RED

② LARGE SCALE STREET, PLAT OR PARCEL MAP (available from most property appraiser web sites)

③ PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, ARCHIVAL B&W PRINT OR DIGITAL IMAGE FILE

If submitting an image file, it must be included on disk or CD AND in hard copy format (plain paper is acceptable).


Digital image must be at least 1600 x 1200 pixels, 24-bit color, jpeg or tiff.

PHOTOGRAPH




GOOGLE EARTH MAP

San Antonio, Florida



USGS MAP

Township 25 South, Range 21 East, Section 9
San Antonio, Fla. 1973, PR 1987



0

0.5 mile



Original
 Update



HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM

FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE

Version 4.0 1/07

Site #8 **PA02897**
Field Date 1-14-2015
Form Date 1-15-2015
Recorder # 1

Shaded Fields represent the minimum acceptable level of documentation.
Consult the *Guide to Historical Structure Forms* for detailed instructions.

Site Name(s) (address if none) 31005 SR-52 Multiple Listing (DHR only) _____
Survey Project Name PD&E - SR-52 - McKendree Rd to Pasadena Rd Survey # (DHR only) _____
National Register Category (please check one) building structure district site object
Ownership: private-profit private-nonprofit private-individual private-nonspecific city county state federal Native American foreign unknown

LOCATION & MAPPING

Address: Street Number 31005 Direction _____ Street Name SR-52 Street Type Road Suffix Direction _____
Cross Streets (nearest / between) Between McKendree Road and Emmaus Cemetery Road
USGS 7.5 Map Name SAN ANTONIO USGS Date 1954 Plat or Other Map _____
City / Town (within 3 miles) San Antonio In City Limits? yes no unknown County Pasco
Township 25S Range 20E Section 9 1/4 section: NW SW SE NE Irregular-name: _____
Tax Parcel # 1025200000004000010 Landgrant _____
Subdivision Name Not in a subdivision Block _____ Lot _____
UTM Coordinates: Zone 16 17 Easting 372166 Northing 3134001
Other Coordinates: X: _____ Y: _____ Coordinate System & Datum _____
Name of Public Tract (e.g., park) _____

HISTORY

Construction Year: 1955 approximately year listed or earlier year listed or later
Original Use Private Residence (House/Cottage/Cabin) From (year): 1955 To (year): unk
Current Use Abandoned/Vacant From (year): unk To (year): cur
Other Use _____ From (year): _____ To (year): _____
Moves: yes no unknown Date: _____ Original address _____
Alterations: yes no unknown Date: _____ Nature _____
Additions: yes no unknown Date: _____ Nature _____
Architect (last name first): Unknown Builder (last name first): Unknown
Ownership History (especially original owner, dates, profession, etc.) Grace M. Murphy (1979)

Is the Resource Affected by a Local Preservation Ordinance? yes no unknown Describe _____

DESCRIPTION

Style Masonry Vernacular Exterior Plan Rectangular Number of Stories 1
Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Concrete block 2. _____ 3. _____
Roof Type(s) 1. Gable 2. _____ 3. _____
Roof Material(s) 1. Composition shingles 2. _____ 3. _____
Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.) 1. _____ 2. _____
Windows (types, materials, etc.) glass and metal jalousie

Distinguishing Architectural Features (exterior or interior ornaments) Concrete window sills, extension of gable on south elevation

Ancillary Features / Outbuildings (record outbuildings, major landscape features; use continuation sheet if needed.) None visible

DHR USE ONLY		OFFICIAL EVALUATION		DHR USE ONLY	
NR List Date _____	SHPO – Appears to meet criteria for NR listing: <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no <input type="checkbox"/> insufficient info	Date _____	Init. _____		
<input type="checkbox"/> Owner Objection	KEEPER – Determined eligible: <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no	Date _____			
	NR Criteria for Evaluation: <input type="checkbox"/> a <input type="checkbox"/> b <input type="checkbox"/> c <input type="checkbox"/> d (see <i>National Register Bulletin 15</i> , p. 2)				

DESCRIPTION (continued)

Chimney: No. 0 Chimney Material(s): 1. _____ 2. _____
 Structural System(s): 1. Concrete block 2. _____ 3. _____
 Foundation Type(s): 1. Slab 2. _____
 Foundation Material(s): 1. Concrete, Generic 2. _____
 Main Entrance (stylistic details) S elevation, single wood door

Porch Descriptions (types, locations, roof types, etc.) None present

Condition (overall resource condition): excellent good fair deteriorated ruinous

Narrative Description of Resource One-story Masonry Vernacular style residence, vacant and in an advanced state of deterioration. It is one of two residential buildings on the lot, recorded individually as 8PA02897 and 8PA02899.

Archaeological Remains _____ Check if Archaeological Form Completed

RESEARCH METHODS (check all that apply)

FMSF record search (sites/surveys) library research building permits Sanborn maps
FL State Archives/photo collection city directory occupant/owner interview plat maps
property appraiser / tax records newspaper files neighbor interview Public Lands Survey (DEP)
cultural resource survey (CRAS) historic photos interior inspection HABS/HAER record search
other methods (describe) USDA Historic Aerial Photography

Bibliographic References (give FMSF manuscript # if relevant, use continuation sheet if needed) United States Department of Agriculture, accessible online through the Publication of Archival Library & Museum Materials (PALMM) at: <http://susdl.fcla.edu/>

OPINION OF RESOURCE SIGNIFICANCE

Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing individually? yes no insufficient information
 Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing as part of a district? yes no insufficient information
 Explanation of Evaluation (required, whether significant or not; use separate sheet if needed) This is a typical example of the Masonry Vernacular style found throughout Pasco County. Furthermore, limited research did not reveal any significant historical associations. Therefore, 8PA02897 does not appear eligible for listing in the NRHP.

Area(s) of Historical Significance (see *National Register Bulletin 15*, p. 8 for categories: e.g. "architecture", "ethnic heritage", "community planning & development", etc.)

1. Architecture 3. _____ 5. _____
 2. _____ 4. _____ 6. _____

DOCUMENTATION

Accessible Documentation Not Filed with the Site File - including field notes, analysis notes, photos, plans and other important documents

1) Document type All materials at one location Maintaining organization Archaeological Consultants Inc
 Document description Field photographs, notes, maps File or accession #'s P14125
 2) Document type _____ Maintaining organization _____
 Document description _____ File or accession #'s _____

RECORDER INFORMATION

Recorder Name Jorge Danta Affiliation Archaeological Consultants Inc
 Recorder Contact Information 8110 Blaikie Court, Suite A, Sarasota, Florida 34240
 (address / phone / fax / e-mail)

Required Attachments

- ① USGS 7.5' MAP WITH STRUCTURE LOCATION PINPOINTED IN RED
- ② LARGE SCALE STREET, PLAT OR PARCEL MAP (available from most property appraiser web sites)
- ③ PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, ARCHIVAL B&W PRINT OR DIGITAL IMAGE FILE

If submitting an image file, it must be included on disk or CD AND in hard copy format (plain paper is acceptable).
 Digital image must be at least 1600 x 1200 pixels, 24-bit color, jpeg or tiff.



PHOTOGRAPH



AERIAL MAP



Original
 Update



HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM

FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE

Version 4.0 1/07

Site #8 **PA02899**
Field Date 1-14-2015
Form Date 1-15-2015
Recorder # 2

Shaded Fields represent the minimum acceptable level of documentation.
Consult the *Guide to Historical Structure Forms* for detailed instructions.

Site Name(s) (address if none) 31005-A SR-52 Multiple Listing (DHR only) _____
Survey Project Name PD&E - SR-52 - McKendree Rd to Pasadena Rd Survey # (DHR only) _____
National Register Category (please check one) building structure district site object
Ownership: private-profit private-nonprofit private-individual private-nonspecific city county state federal Native American foreign unknown

LOCATION & MAPPING

Address: 31005-A SR-52 Road
Cross Streets (nearest / between) Between McKendree Road and Emmaus Cemetery Road
USGS 7.5 Map Name SAN ANTONIO USGS Date 1954 Plat or Other Map _____
City / Town (within 3 miles) San Antonio In City Limits? yes no unknown County Pasco
Township 25S Range 20E Section 10 1/4 section: NW SW SE NE Irregular-name: _____
Tax Parcel # 1025200000004000010 Landgrant _____
Subdivision Name Not in a subdivision Block _____ Lot _____
UTM Coordinates: Zone 16 17 Easting 372199 Northing 3134009
Other Coordinates: X: _____ Y: _____ Coordinate System & Datum _____
Name of Public Tract (e.g., park) _____

HISTORY

Construction Year: 1955 approximately year listed or earlier year listed or later
Original Use Private Residence (House/Cottage/Cabin) From (year): 1955 To (year): cur
Current Use _____ From (year): _____ To (year): _____
Other Use _____ From (year): _____ To (year): _____
Moves: yes no unknown Date: _____ Original address _____
Alterations: yes no unknown Date: c. 1990 Nature 5-V crimp metal roof
Additions: yes no unknown Date: _____ Nature _____
Architect (last name first): Unknown Builder (last name first): Unknown
Ownership History (especially original owner, dates, profession, etc.) Grace M. Murphy (1979)

Is the Resource Affected by a Local Preservation Ordinance? yes no unknown Describe _____

DESCRIPTION

Style Masonry Vernacular Exterior Plan Rectangular Number of Stories 1
Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Concrete block 2. _____ 3. _____
Roof Type(s) 1. Gable 2. _____ 3. _____
Roof Material(s) 1. Sheet metal:5V crimp 2. _____ 3. _____
Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.) 1. _____ 2. _____
Windows (types, materials, etc.) 2/2 aluminum SHS, paired

Distinguishing Architectural Features (exterior or interior ornaments) Concrete window sills, extension of gable on south elevation

Ancillary Features / Outbuildings (record outbuildings, major landscape features; use continuation sheet if needed.) None visible

DHR USE ONLY		OFFICIAL EVALUATION		DHR USE ONLY	
NR List Date	SHPO – Appears to meet criteria for NR listing: <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no <input type="checkbox"/> insufficient info	Date	_____	Init.	_____
<input type="checkbox"/> Owner Objection	KEEPER – Determined eligible: <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no	Date	_____		
	NR Criteria for Evaluation: <input type="checkbox"/> a <input type="checkbox"/> b <input type="checkbox"/> c <input type="checkbox"/> d (see <i>National Register Bulletin 15</i> , p. 2)				

DESCRIPTION (continued)

Chimney: No. 0 Chimney Material(s): 1. _____ 2. _____
 Structural System(s): 1. Concrete block 2. _____ 3. _____
 Foundation Type(s): 1. Slab 2. _____
 Foundation Material(s): 1. Concrete, Generic 2. _____
 Main Entrance (stylistic details) S elevation, single wood door with metal storm door.

Porch Descriptions (types, locations, roof types, etc.) None present

Condition (overall resource condition): excellent good fair deteriorated ruinous

Narrative Description of Resource One-story Masonry Vernacular style residence with original 2/2 aluminum single-hung-sash windows. It is one of two residential buildings on the lot, recorded individually as 8PA02897 and 8PA02899.

Archaeological Remains _____ Check if Archaeological Form Completed

RESEARCH METHODS (check all that apply)

FMSF record search (sites/surveys) library research building permits Sanborn maps
 FL State Archives/photo collection city directory occupant/owner interview plat maps
 property appraiser / tax records newspaper files neighbor interview Public Lands Survey (DEP)
 cultural resource survey (CRAS) historic photos interior inspection HABS/HAER record search
 other methods (describe) USDA Historic Aerial Photography

Bibliographic References (give FMSF manuscript # if relevant, use continuation sheet if needed) United States Department of Agriculture, accessible online through the Publication of Archival Library & Museum Materials (PALMM) at: <http://susdl.fcla.edu/>

OPINION OF RESOURCE SIGNIFICANCE

Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing individually? yes no insufficient information
 Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing as part of a district? yes no insufficient information
 Explanation of Evaluation (required, whether significant or not; use separate sheet if needed) This is a typical example of the Masonry Vernacular style found throughout Pasco County. Furthermore, limited research did not reveal any significant historical associations. Therefore, 8PA02899 does not appear eligible for listing in the NRHP.

Area(s) of Historical Significance (see *National Register Bulletin 15*, p. 8 for categories: e.g. "architecture", "ethnic heritage", "community planning & development", etc.)

1. Architecture 3. _____ 5. _____
 2. _____ 4. _____ 6. _____

DOCUMENTATION

Accessible Documentation Not Filed with the Site File - including field notes, analysis notes, photos, plans and other important documents

1) Document type All materials at one location Maintaining organization Archaeological Consultants Inc
 Document description Field photographs, notes, maps File or accession #'s P14125
 2) Document type _____ Maintaining organization _____
 Document description _____ File or accession #'s _____

RECORDER INFORMATION

Recorder Name Jorge Danta Affiliation Archaeological Consultants Inc
 Recorder Contact Information 8110 Blaikie Court, Suite A, Sarasota, Florida 34240
 (address / phone / fax / e-mail)

Required Attachments

- ① USGS 7.5' MAP WITH STRUCTURE LOCATION PINPOINTED IN RED
- ② LARGE SCALE STREET, PLAT OR PARCEL MAP (available from most property appraiser web sites)
- ③ PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, ARCHIVAL B&W PRINT OR DIGITAL IMAGE FILE

If submitting an image file, it must be included on disk or CD AND in hard copy format (plain paper is acceptable).
 Digital image must be at least 1600 x 1200 pixels, 24-bit color, jpeg or tiff.



PHOTOGRAPH



AERIAL MAP



Source: Esri, DigitalGlobe, GeoEye, i-cubed, Earthstar Geographics, CNES/Airbus DS, USDA, USGS, AEX, Getmapping, Aerogrid, IGN, IGP, swisstopo, and the GIS User Community

Original
 Update



HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM

FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE

Version 4.0 1/07

Site #8 **PA02900**
Field Date 1-14-2015
Form Date 1-15-2015
Recorder # 3

Shaded Fields represent the minimum acceptable level of documentation.
Consult the *Guide to Historical Structure Forms* for detailed instructions.

Site Name(s) (address if none) Piney Grove Missionary Baptist Church Multiple Listing (DHR only) _____
Survey Project Name PD&E - SR-52 - McKendree Rd to Pasadena Rd Survey # (DHR only) _____
National Register Category (please check one) building structure district site object
Ownership: private-profit private-nonprofit private-individual private-nonspecific city county state federal Native American foreign unknown

LOCATION & MAPPING

Address: 31027 SR-52 Road
Street Number Direction Street Name Street Type Suffix Direction
Cross Streets (nearest / between) Between McKendree Road and Emmaus Cemetery Road
USGS 7.5 Map Name SAN ANTONIO USGS Date 1954 Plat or Other Map _____
City / Town (within 3 miles) San Antonio In City Limits? yes no unknown County Pasco
Township 25S Range 20E Section 10 ¼ section: NW SW SE NE Irregular-name: _____
Tax Parcel # 1025200000004000000 Landgrant _____
Subdivision Name Not in a subdivision Block _____ Lot _____
UTM Coordinates: Zone 16 17 Easting 372227 Northing 3134040
Other Coordinates: X: _____ Y: _____ Coordinate System & Datum _____
Name of Public Tract (e.g., park) _____

HISTORY

Construction Year: 1956 approximately year listed or earlier year listed or later
Original Use Church/Temple/Synagogue From (year): 1956 To (year): cur
Current Use _____ From (year): _____ To (year): _____
Other Use _____ From (year): _____ To (year): _____
Moves: yes no unknown Date: _____ Original address _____
Alterations: yes no unknown Date: c. 2000 Nature New entrance door, ADA ramp
Additions: yes no unknown Date: _____ Nature _____
Architect (last name first): Unknown Builder (last name first): Unknown
Ownership History (especially original owner, dates, profession, etc.) Piney Grove Missionary Baptist Church (1976)

Is the Resource Affected by a Local Preservation Ordinance? yes no unknown Describe _____

DESCRIPTION

Style Masonry Vernacular Exterior Plan Irregular Number of Stories 1
Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Concrete block 2. _____ 3. _____
Roof Type(s) 1. Gable 2. _____ 3. _____
Roof Material(s) 1. Composition shingles 2. _____ 3. _____
Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.) 1. _____ 2. _____
Windows (types, materials, etc.) 2/2 aluminum SHS, three-light aluminum awning

Distinguishing Architectural Features (exterior or interior ornaments) symmetrical towers, stepped front gable, vents, concrete window sills.

Ancillary Features / Outbuildings (record outbuildings, major landscape features; use continuation sheet if needed.) None visible

DHR USE ONLY		OFFICIAL EVALUATION		DHR USE ONLY	
NR List Date	SHPO – Appears to meet criteria for NR listing: <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no <input type="checkbox"/> insufficient info	Date	_____	Init.	_____
<input type="checkbox"/> Owner Objection	KEEPER – Determined eligible: <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no	Date	_____		
	NR Criteria for Evaluation: <input type="checkbox"/> a <input type="checkbox"/> b <input type="checkbox"/> c <input type="checkbox"/> d (see <i>National Register Bulletin 15</i> , p. 2)				

DESCRIPTION (continued)

Chimney: No. 0 Chimney Material(s): 1. _____ 2. _____
 Structural System(s): 1. Concrete block 2. _____ 3. _____
 Foundation Type(s): 1. Slab 2. _____
 Foundation Material(s): 1. Concrete, Generic 2. _____
 Main Entrance (stylistic details) S elevation, double glazed door

Porch Descriptions (types, locations, roof types, etc.) None present

Condition (overall resource condition): excellent good fair deteriorated ruinous

Narrative Description of Resource Masonry Vernacular style church with an attached service building to the rear (N) facade. The church features a symmetrical pair of towers on its front elevation.

Archaeological Remains _____ Check if Archaeological Form Completed

RESEARCH METHODS (check all that apply)

FMSF record search (sites/surveys) library research building permits Sanborn maps
 FL State Archives/photo collection city directory occupant/owner interview plat maps
 property appraiser / tax records newspaper files neighbor interview Public Lands Survey (DEP)
 cultural resource survey (CRAS) historic photos interior inspection HABS/HAER record search
 other methods (describe) USDA Historic Aerial Photography

Bibliographic References (give FMSF manuscript # if relevant, use continuation sheet if needed) United States Department of Agriculture, accessible online through the Publication of Archival Library & Museum Materials (PALMM) at: <http://susdl.fcla.edu/>

OPINION OF RESOURCE SIGNIFICANCE

Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing individually? yes no insufficient information

Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing as part of a district? yes no insufficient information

Explanation of Evaluation (required, whether significant or not; use separate sheet if needed) This is a typical example of the Masonry Vernacular style found throughout Pasco County. Furthermore, limited research did not reveal any significant historical associations. Therefore, 8PA02900 does not appear eligible for listing in the NRHP.

Area(s) of Historical Significance (see *National Register Bulletin 15*, p. 8 for categories: e.g. "architecture", "ethnic heritage", "community planning & development", etc.)

1. Architecture 3. _____ 5. _____
 2. Religion 4. _____ 6. _____

DOCUMENTATION

Accessible Documentation Not Filed with the Site File - including field notes, analysis notes, photos, plans and other important documents

1) Document type All materials at one location Maintaining organization Archaeological Consultants Inc
 Document description Field photographs, notes, maps File or accession #'s P14125
 2) Document type _____ Maintaining organization _____
 Document description _____ File or accession #'s _____

RECORDER INFORMATION

Recorder Name Jorge Danta Affiliation Archaeological Consultants Inc

Recorder Contact Information 8110 Blaikie Court, Suite A, Sarasota, Florida 34240
 (address / phone / fax / e-mail)

Required Attachments

- ① USGS 7.5' MAP WITH STRUCTURE LOCATION PINPOINTED IN RED
- ② LARGE SCALE STREET, PLAT OR PARCEL MAP (available from most property appraiser web sites)
- ③ PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, ARCHIVAL B&W PRINT OR DIGITAL IMAGE FILE

If submitting an image file, it must be included on disk or CD AND in hard copy format (plain paper is acceptable).
 Digital image must be at least 1600 x 1200 pixels, 24-bit color, jpeg or tiff.



PHOTOGRAPH



AERIAL MAP






USGS

San Antonio

Township 25 South, Range 20 East, Section 10



Original
 Update



HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM

FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE

Version 4.0 1/07

Site #8 **PA02901**
Field Date 1-14-2015
Form Date 1-16-2015
Recorder # 4

Shaded Fields represent the minimum acceptable level of documentation.
Consult the *Guide to Historical Structure Forms* for detailed instructions.

Site Name(s) (address if none) 35045 Clinton Avenue Multiple Listing (DHR only) _____
Survey Project Name PD&E - SR-52 - McKendree Rd to Pasadena Rd Survey # (DHR only) _____
National Register Category (please check one) building structure district site object
Ownership: private-profit private-nonprofit private-individual private-nonspecific city county state federal Native American foreign unknown

LOCATION & MAPPING

Address: Street Number 35045 Direction _____ Street Name Clinton Street Type Avenue Suffix Direction _____
Cross Streets (nearest / between) Between McKendree Road and Emmaus Cemetery Road
USGS 7.5 Map Name DADE CITY USGS Date _____ Plat or Other Map _____
City / Town (within 3 miles) Dade City In City Limits? yes no unknown County Pasco
Township 25S Range 21E Section 5 1/4 section: NW SW SE NE Irregular-name: _____
Tax Parcel # 0525210010000000041 Landgrant _____
Subdivision Name Sumner/Allen Small Farms Block _____ Lot 4
UTM Coordinates: Zone 16 17 Easting 378848 Northing 3134651
Other Coordinates: X: _____ Y: _____ Coordinate System & Datum _____
Name of Public Tract (e.g., park) _____

HISTORY

Construction Year: 1958 approximately year listed or earlier year listed or later
Original Use Private Residence (House/Cottage/Cabin) From (year): 1958 To (year): cur
Current Use _____ From (year): _____ To (year): _____
Other Use _____ From (year): _____ To (year): _____
Moves: yes no unknown Date: _____ Original address _____
Alterations: yes no unknown Date: _____ Nature _____
Additions: yes no unknown Date: _____ Nature _____
Architect (last name first): Unknown Builder (last name first): Unknown
Ownership History (especially original owner, dates, profession, etc.) Mary Andrews (1987)

Is the Resource Affected by a Local Preservation Ordinance? yes no unknown Describe _____

DESCRIPTION

Style Frame Vernacular Exterior Plan Rectangular Number of Stories 1
Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Wood siding 2. _____ 3. _____
Roof Type(s) 1. Gable 2. _____ 3. _____
Roof Material(s) 1. Composition shingles 2. _____ 3. _____
Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.) 1. _____ 2. _____
Windows (types, materials, etc.) 2/2 aluminum SHS

Distinguishing Architectural Features (exterior or interior ornaments) Wide eaves

Ancillary Features / Outbuildings (record outbuildings, major landscape features; use continuation sheet if needed.) None visible

DHR USE ONLY		OFFICIAL EVALUATION	DHR USE ONLY	
NR List Date	SHPO – Appears to meet criteria for NR listing: <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no <input type="checkbox"/> insufficient info	Date _____	Init. _____	
<input type="checkbox"/> Owner Objection	KEEPER – Determined eligible: <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no	Date _____		
	NR Criteria for Evaluation: <input type="checkbox"/> a <input type="checkbox"/> b <input type="checkbox"/> c <input type="checkbox"/> d (see <i>National Register Bulletin 15</i> , p. 2)			

DESCRIPTION (continued)

Chimney: No. 0 Chimney Material(s): 1. Wood frame 2.
Structural System(s): 1. Wood frame 2. 3.
Foundation Type(s): 1. Continuous 2.
Foundation Material(s): 1. Brick 2.
Main Entrance (stylistic details) Unknown owing to limited visibility

Porch Descriptions (types, locations, roof types, etc.) Unknown owing to limited visibility

Condition (overall resource condition): [] excellent [x] good [] fair [] deteriorated [] ruinous

Narrative Description of Resource Frame Vernacular style residence capped by a gable roof. Clear views of the building were blocked by vegetation. Despite limited visibility, the building appears to be a common example of the Frame Vernacular style.

Archaeological Remains [] Check if Archaeological Form Completed

RESEARCH METHODS (check all that apply)

- [x] FMSF record search (sites/surveys) [] library research [] building permits [] Sanborn maps
[] FL State Archives/photo collection [] city directory [] occupant/owner interview [] plat maps
[x] property appraiser / tax records [] newspaper files [] neighbor interview [] Public Lands Survey (DEP)
[x] cultural resource survey (CRAS) [] historic photos [] interior inspection [] HABS/HAER record search
[] other methods (describe) USDA Historic Aerial Photography

Bibliographic References (give FMSF manuscript # if relevant, use continuation sheet if needed) United States Department of Agriculture, accessible online through the Publication of Archival Library & Museum Materials (PALMM) at: http://susdl.fcla.edu/

OPINION OF RESOURCE SIGNIFICANCE

Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing individually? [] yes [x] no [] insufficient information

Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing as part of a district? [] yes [x] no [] insufficient information

Explanation of Evaluation (required, whether significant or not; use separate sheet if needed) This is a typical example of the Frame Vernacular style found throughout Pasco County. Furthermore, limited research did not reveal any significant historical associations. Therefore, 8PA02901 does not appear eligible for listing in the NRHP.

Area(s) of Historical Significance (see National Register Bulletin 15, p. 8 for categories: e.g. "architecture", "ethnic heritage", "community planning & development", etc.)

- 1. Architecture 3. 5.
2. 4. 6.

DOCUMENTATION

Accessible Documentation Not Filed with the Site File - including field notes, analysis notes, photos, plans and other important documents

- 1) Document type All materials at one location Maintaining organization Archaeological Consultants Inc
Document description Field photographs, notes, maps File or accession #'s P14125
2) Document type Maintaining organization
Document description File or accession #'s

RECORDER INFORMATION

Recorder Name Jorge Danta Affiliation Archaeological Consultants Inc

Recorder Contact Information 8110 Blaikie Court, Suite A, Sarasota, Florida 34240
(address / phone / fax / e-mail)

Required Attachments
1 USGS 7.5' MAP WITH STRUCTURE LOCATION PINPOINTED IN RED
2 LARGE SCALE STREET, PLAT OR PARCEL MAP (available from most property appraiser web sites)
3 PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, ARCHIVAL B&W PRINT OR DIGITAL IMAGE FILE
If submitting an image file, it must be included on disk or CD AND in hard copy format (plain paper is acceptable). Digital image must be at least 1600 x 1200 pixels, 24-bit color, jpeg or tiff.



PHOTOGRAPH



AERIAL MAP






USGS

Dade City

Township 25 South, Range 21 East, Section 05



Original
 Update



HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM

FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE

Version 4.0 1/07

Site #8 **PA02902**
Field Date 1-14-2015
Form Date 1-16-2015
Recorder # 5

Shaded Fields represent the minimum acceptable level of documentation.
Consult the *Guide to Historical Structure Forms* for detailed instructions.

Site Name(s) (address if none) 36005 Clinton Avenue Multiple Listing (DHR only) _____
Survey Project Name PD&E - SR-52 - McKendree Rd to Pasadena Rd Survey # (DHR only) _____
National Register Category (please check one) building structure district site object
Ownership: private-profit private-nonprofit private-individual private-nonspecific city county state federal Native American foreign unknown

LOCATION & MAPPING

Address: 36005 Clinton Avenue
Street Number Direction Street Name Street Type Suffix Direction
Cross Streets (nearest / between) Between Pasadena Road and Circle B Road
USGS 7.5 Map Name DADE CITY USGS Date 1960 Plat or Other Map _____
City / Town (within 3 miles) Dade City In City Limits? yes no unknown County Pasco
Township 25S Range 21E Section 9 1/4 section: NW SW SE NE Irregular-name: _____
Tax Parcel # 0925210040004000042 Landgrant _____
Subdivision Name Lake Pasadena Land Compa Block 4 Lot 4
UTM Coordinates: Zone 16 17 Easting 380370 Northing 3134234
Other Coordinates: X: _____ Y: _____ Coordinate System & Datum _____
Name of Public Tract (e.g., park) _____

HISTORY

Construction Year: 1962 approximately year listed or earlier year listed or later
Original Use Private Residence (House/Cottage/Cabin) From (year): 1962 To (year): cur
Current Use _____ From (year): _____ To (year): _____
Other Use _____ From (year): _____ To (year): _____
Moves: yes no unknown Date: _____ Original address _____
Alterations: yes no unknown Date: _____ Nature _____
Additions: yes no unknown Date: _____ Nature _____
Architect (last name first): Unknown Builder (last name first): Unknown
Ownership History (especially original owner, dates, profession, etc.) Malloy and Lena Pearson (1988)

Is the Resource Affected by a Local Preservation Ordinance? yes no unknown Describe _____

DESCRIPTION

Style Frame Vernacular Exterior Plan Rectangular Number of Stories 1
Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Wood siding 2. _____ 3. _____
Roof Type(s) 1. Cross-gabled 2. _____ 3. _____
Roof Material(s) 1. Composition shingles 2. _____ 3. _____
Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.) 1. _____ 2. _____
Windows (types, materials, etc.) 6/6 aluminum SHS, individual and paired

Distinguishing Architectural Features (exterior or interior ornaments) Wide eaves, shutters, car port, attic vents

Ancillary Features / Outbuildings (record outbuildings, major landscape features; use continuation sheet if needed.) None visible

DHR USE ONLY		OFFICIAL EVALUATION	DHR USE ONLY	
NR List Date	SHPO – Appears to meet criteria for NR listing: <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no <input type="checkbox"/> insufficient info	Date _____	Init. _____	
<input type="checkbox"/> Owner Objection	KEEPER – Determined eligible: <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no	Date _____		
	NR Criteria for Evaluation: <input type="checkbox"/> a <input type="checkbox"/> b <input type="checkbox"/> c <input type="checkbox"/> d (see <i>National Register Bulletin 15</i> , p. 2)			

DESCRIPTION (continued)

Chimney: No. 0 Chimney Material(s): 1. _____ 2. _____
 Structural System(s): 1. wood frame 2. _____ 3. _____
 Foundation Type(s): 1. Unknown 2. _____
 Foundation Material(s): 1. _____ 2. _____
 Main Entrance (stylistic details) South elevation, single door

Porch Descriptions (types, locations, roof types, etc.) South elevation, gabled-front, supported by simple wood posts, extends to the east to cover a car port.

Condition (overall resource condition): excellent good fair deteriorated ruinous

Narrative Description of Resource Typical Frame Vernacular style residence with original aluminum windows and a covered car port on its southeast elevation.

Archaeological Remains _____ Check if Archaeological Form Completed

RESEARCH METHODS (check all that apply)

FMSF record search (sites/surveys) library research building permits Sanborn maps
 FL State Archives/photo collection city directory occupant/owner interview plat maps
 property appraiser / tax records newspaper files neighbor interview Public Lands Survey (DEP)
 cultural resource survey (CRAS) historic photos interior inspection HABS/HAER record search
 other methods (describe) USDA Historic Aerial Photography

Bibliographic References (give FMSF manuscript # if relevant, use continuation sheet if needed) United States Department of Agriculture, accessible online through the Publication of Archival Library & Museum Materials (PALMM) at: <http://susdl.fcla.edu/>

OPINION OF RESOURCE SIGNIFICANCE

Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing individually? yes no insufficient information
 Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing as part of a district? yes no insufficient information
 Explanation of Evaluation (required, whether significant or not; use separate sheet if needed) This is a typical example of the Frame Vernacular style found throughout Pasco County. Furthermore, limited research did not reveal any significant historical associations. Therefore, 8PA02902 does not appear eligible for listing in the NRHP.

Area(s) of Historical Significance (see *National Register Bulletin 15*, p. 8 for categories: e.g. "architecture", "ethnic heritage", "community planning & development", etc.)

1. Architecture 3. _____ 5. _____
 2. _____ 4. _____ 6. _____

DOCUMENTATION

Accessible Documentation Not Filed with the Site File - including field notes, analysis notes, photos, plans and other important documents

1) Document type All materials at one location Maintaining organization Archaeological Consultants Inc
 Document description Field photographs, notes, maps File or accession #'s P14125
 2) Document type _____ Maintaining organization _____
 Document description _____ File or accession #'s _____

RECORDER INFORMATION

Recorder Name Jorge Danta Affiliation Archaeological Consultants Inc
 Recorder Contact Information 8110 Blaikie Court, Suite A, Sarasota, Florida 34240
 (address / phone / fax / e-mail)

Required Attachments

- ① USGS 7.5' MAP WITH STRUCTURE LOCATION PINPOINTED IN RED
- ② LARGE SCALE STREET, PLAT OR PARCEL MAP (available from most property appraiser web sites)
- ③ PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, ARCHIVAL B&W PRINT OR DIGITAL IMAGE FILE

If submitting an image file, it must be included on disk or CD AND in hard copy format (plain paper is acceptable).
 Digital image must be at least 1600 x 1200 pixels, 24-bit color, jpeg or tiff.



PHOTOGRAPH



AERIAL MAP





USGS

Dade City

Township 25 South, Range 21 East, Section 09



Appendix B: Survey Log

Ent D (FMSF only) _____



Survey Log Sheet

Florida Master Site File
Version 4.1 1/07

Survey # (FMSF only) _____

Consult *Guide to the Survey Log Sheet* for detailed instructions.

Identification and Bibliographic Information

Survey Project (name and project phase) CRAS Update, SR 52 PD&E Study, Pasco County, Phase I

Report Title (exactly as on title page) Cultural Resource Assessment Survey Update Technical Memorandum, PD&E Study, SR 52 from East of McKendree Rd to East of US 301, Pasco County, Florida

Report Authors (as on title page, last names first) 1. ACI 3. _____
2. _____ 4. _____

Publication Date (year) 2015 Total Number of Pages in Report (count text, figures, tables, not site forms) 47

Publication Information (Give series, number in series, publisher and city. For article or chapter, cite page numbers. Use the style of *American Antiquity*.)

ACI (2015) CRAS Update, SR 52 from East of McKendree Rd to East of US 301, Pasco County, FL.

Conducted for FDOT District Seven, Tampa by ACI, Sarasota

Supervisors of Fieldwork (even if same as author) Names Deming, Joan

Affiliation of Fieldworkers: Organization Archaeological Consultants Inc City Sarasota

Key Words/Phrases (Don't use county name, or common words like *archaeology, structure, survey, architecture, etc.*)

1. SR 52 3. Cannon Development 5. _____ 7. _____
2. Clinton Ave. 4. _____ 6. _____ 8. _____

Survey Sponsors (corporation, government unit, organization or person directly funding fieldwork)

Name _____ Organization Florida Dept of Transportation - District 7

Address/Phone/E-mail 11201 North McKinley Dr., Tampa, FL 33612-6456

Recorder of Log Sheet Joan Deming Date Log Sheet Completed 1-22-2015

Is this survey or project a continuation of a previous project? No Yes: Previous survey #s (FMSF only) _____

Mapping

Counties (List each one in which field survey was done; attach additional sheet if necessary)

1. Pasco 3. _____ 5. _____
2. _____ 4. _____ 6. _____

USGS 1:24,000 Map Names/Year of Latest Revision (attach additional sheet if necessary)

1. Name SAN ANTONIO Year 1954 4. Name _____ Year _____
2. Name DADE CITY Year 1960 5. Name _____ Year _____
3. Name _____ Year _____ 6. Name _____ Year _____

Description of Survey Area

Dates for Fieldwork: Start 1-14-2015 End 1-21-2015 Total Area Surveyed (fill in one) _____ hectares 91 acres

Number of Distinct Tracts or Areas Surveyed 17

If Corridor (fill in one for each) Width: _____ meters 100 feet Length: _____ kilometers 6.00 miles

Research and Field Methods

Types of Survey (check all that apply): archaeological architectural historical/archival underwater
damage assessment monitoring report other(describe): _____

Scope/Intensity/Procedures Background research; systematic archaeological testing at 25 & 50 m intervals, 50 cm diameter, 1 m deep, 6.4 mm mesh screen; historic resources documented; tech memo prepared

Preliminary Methods (check as many as apply to the project as a whole)

Florida Archives (Gray Building) library research- local public local property or tax records other historic maps
Florida Photo Archives (Gray Building) library-special collection - nonlocal newspaper files soils maps or data
Site File property search Public Lands Survey (maps at DEP) literature search windshield survey
Site File survey search local informant(s) Sanborn Insurance maps aerial photography
other (describe): _____

Archaeological Methods (check as many as apply to the project as a whole)

Check here if NO archaeological methods were used.
surface collection, controlled shovel test-other screen size block excavation (at least 2x2 m)
surface collection, uncontrolled water screen soil resistivity
shovel test-1/4" screen posthole tests magnetometer
shovel test-1/8" screen auger tests side scan sonar
shovel test 1/16" screen coring pedestrian survey
shovel test-unscreened test excavation (at least 1x2 m) unknown
other (describe): _____

Historical/Architectural Methods (check as many as apply to the project as a whole)

Check here if NO historical/architectural methods were used.
building permits demolition permits neighbor interview subdivision maps
commercial permits exposed ground inspected occupant interview tax records
interior documentation local property records occupation permits unknown
other (describe): _____

Survey Results (cultural resources recorded)


Site Significance Evaluated? Yes No
Count of Previously Recorded Sites 8 Count of Newly Recorded Sites 6
Previously Recorded Site #'s with Site File Update Forms (List site #'s without "8". Attach additional pages if necessary.) PA206, PA2217, PA2218, PA2783, PA2785, PA2786, PA2787, PA2788

Newly Recorded Site #'s (Are all originals and not updates? List site #'s without "8". Attach additional pages if necessary.) PA2897, PA2899, PA2900, PA2901, PA2902, PA2903

Site Forms Used: Site File Paper Form Site File Electronic Recording Form


REQUIRED: ATTACH PLOT OF SURVEY AREA ON PHOTOCOPY OF USGS 1:24,000 MAP(S)

SHPO USE ONLY SHPO USE ONLY SHPO USE ONLY
Origin of Report: 872 CARL UW 1A32 # _____ Academic Contract Avocational
Grant Project # _____ Compliance Review: CRAT # _____
Type of Document: Archaeological Survey Historical/Architectural Survey Marine Survey Cell Tower CRAS Monitoring Report
Overview Excavation Report Multi-Site Excavation Report Structure Detailed Report Library, Hist. or Archival Doc
MPS MRA TG Other: _____
Document Destination: _____ Plotability: _____



SR 52 project APE
 Township 25 South, Range 20 East, Sections 9-11
 USGS San Antonio 1954, PR 1988
 Pasco County

CRAS Update
SR 52 PD&E Study
From East of McKendree Road
To East of US 301
Pasco County
WPI Segment No: 435915-1



Copyright:© 2013 National Geographic Society, i-cubed, Esri, HERE, DeLorme, MapmyIndia, © OpenStreetMap contributors

SR 52 project APE
 Township 25 South, Range 20 East, Sections 11 and 12 and Township 25 South, Range 21 East, Sections 5-8
 USGS San Antonio 1954, PR 1988 and Dade City 1960, PR 1888
 Pasco County

CRAS Update
SR 52 PD&E Study
From East of McKendree Road
To East of US 301
Pasco County
WPI Segment No: 435915-1



SR 52 project APE
 Township 25 South, Range 21 East, Sections 5, 8 and 9
 USGS Dade City 1960, PR 1888
 Pasco County

CRAS Update
SR 52 PD&E Study
From East of McKendree Road
To East of US 301
Pasco County
WPI Segment No: 435915-1