

**SR 52  
PD&E  
STUDY  
REEVALUATION**

---

Florida Department of Transportation  
Project Development and Environment (PD&E) Study Reevaluation

---

**FINAL  
Cultural Resource  
Assessment Survey Update  
Technical Memorandum**


---

**SR 52 PD&E STUDY  
REEVALUATION**

**From Moon Lake Road to US 41 (SR 45)  
Pasco County, Florida**

W.P.I. Segment No.: 256243 1  
E.A.P. No.: 1851-108

**Florida Department  
of Transportation  
District Seven  
Tampa, Florida**



---

January 2002

Final

**CULTURAL RESOURCE ASSESSMENT SURVEY UPDATE  
TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM**

**STATE ROAD (SR) 52  
FROM MOON LAKE ROAD TO US 41 (SR 45)  
PROJECT DEVELOPMENT AND ENVIRONMENT (PD&E) STUDY  
REEVALUATION  
PASCO COUNTY, FLORIDA**

Work Program Item Segment No: 256243 1  
F.A.P. No. 1851-108

Prepared for:

Florida Department of Transportation  
District Seven  
11201 North McKinley Drive  
Tampa, Florida 33612-6456

Prepared by:

Archaeological Consultants, Inc.  
2345 Bee Ridge Road, Suite 6  
Sarasota, Florida 34239

in association with:

Parsons Brinckerhoff  
5405 West Cypress Street, Suite 300  
Tampa, Florida 33607

January 2002

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
<b>LIST OF FIGURES AND TABLES</b>	ii
<b>1.0 INTRODUCTION</b>	1-1
1.1 Project Description and Location	1-1
1.2 Purpose	1-1
<b>2.0 BACKGROUND RESEARCH</b>	2-1
<b>3.0 SURVEY METHODS</b>	3-1
3.1 Archaeological Field Methods	3-1
3.2 Historic Structures	3-1
3.3 Laboratory Methods and Curation	3-1
3.4 Unexpected Discoveries	3-2
<b>4.0 SURVEY RESULTS</b>	4-1
4.1 Archaeological	4-1
4.2 Historic Structures	4-4
<b>5.0 CONCLUSIONS AND SITE EVALUATIONS</b>	5-1
5.1 Archaeological Sites	5-1
5.2 Historic Structures	5-1
<b>6.0 REFERENCES CITED</b>	6-1
<b>APPENDICES</b>	
Appendix A: Florida Site File Forms	
Appendix B: Survey Log Sheet	

## LIST OF FIGURES AND TABLES

<b><u>Figures</u></b>		<b><u>Follows Page</u></b>
Figure 1.1a and b	Location of Proposed Pond and Floodplain Compensation (FPC) Sites within the SR 52 PD&E Study Reevaluation Area.	1-1
Figure 4.1a and b	Location of Previously and Newly Recorded Archaeological Sites.	4-1
Figure 4.2	Location of Shovel Tests within the Proposed Pond 300C and FPC 300-1, With Estimated Boundaries of Newly Recorded 8PA611.	4-3
Figure 4.3a and b	Location of Newly Recorded Historic Structures.	4-4
<b><u>Tables</u></b>		<b><u>Page</u></b>
Table 2.1	Previously Recorded Archaeological Sites within the SR 52 PD&E Study Reevaluation Project Area.	2-2
Table 4.1	Summary of Archaeological Testing Results.	4-2
Table 4.2	Summary of Newly Recorded Historic Structures.	4-4

# 1.0 INTRODUCTION

## 1.1 Project Description and Location

The Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) is conducting a Project Development and Environment (PD&E) Study Reevaluation for proposed improvements to SR 52 from Moon Lake Road to US 41 (SR 45) in Pasco County, Florida, a distance of about 5.7 miles. The proposed improvements consist of widening the existing two-lane rural roadway to a six-lane divided urban highway to accommodate present and future traffic demands.

SR 52 is an east-west arterial highway in Pasco County beginning at US 19 and terminating at the US 98 Dade City Bypass. The existing SR 52 roadway is a two-lane rural facility with one 12-foot lane in each direction and 12-foot shoulders (4 feet paved) through the project area. Turn lanes have been added at select intersections. The existing right-of-way varies in width with a minimum of 100 feet.

The proposed typical section will provide three 12-foot lanes for each direction of travel separated by a 22-foot median. Five-foot sidewalks and 4-foot bike lanes will be provided on each side of the facility. The total right-of-way width for this typical section is 156 feet.

The SR 52 PD&E Study Reevaluation project area is located in Sections 3, 4, 10, 11, and 12 of Township 25 South, Range 17 East and Sections 7, 8, 9, 10 of Township 25 South, Range 18 East (USGS Fivay Junction, Fla. 1954, PR 1988) (Figure 1.1). The cultural resource assessment survey included 18 proposed pond and floodplain compensation (FPC) areas which range in size from 0.5 to 4.25 acres.

## 1.2 Purpose

This effort is an update of the archaeological and historical surveys of SR 52 from SR 55 (US 19) to SR 93 (Interstate 75) in Pasco County conducted in 1984 and 1985 by FDOT archaeologist William D. Browning and historic site specialist Melissa Weidenfeld. No cultural resources, including archaeological sites and historic structures, were identified as the result of these surveys. The purpose of the current cultural resource assessment survey was to locate, identify and bound any prehistoric and historic period archaeological sites and historic structures associated with this reevaluation project, and to assess their significance in terms of eligibility for listing in the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP) according to criteria set forth in 36 CFR Section 60.4. The historical/architectural and archaeological surveys were conducted in July and August 2001. Field surveys were preceded by background research. Such work served to provide an informed set of expectations concerning the kinds of cultural resources which might be anticipated to occur within the project's Area of Potential Effect (APE), as well as a basis for evaluating any new sites found.




Figure 1.1a. Location of Proposed Pond and Floodplain Compensation (FPC) Sites within the SR 52 PD&E Study Reevaluation Area (USGS Fivay Junction, Fla. 1954, PR 1988).

CRAS  
 SR 52 PD&E Study Reevaluation  
 Moon Lake Road to US 41  
 Pasco County  
 WPI Segment No. 256243 1  
 FAP No. 1851-108




Figure 1.1b. Location of Proposed Pond and Floodplain Compensation (FPC) Sites within the SR 52 PD&E Study Reevaluation Area (USGS Fivay Junction, Fla. 1954, PR 1988).

CRAS  
 SR 52 PD&E Study Reevaluation  
 Moon Lake Road to US 41  
 Pasco County  
 WPI Segment No. 256243 1  
 FAP No. 1851-108

This assessment is intended to comply with Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966 (Public Law 89-665), as amended, and the implementing regulations 36 CFR Part 800 (revised 1999), as well as with the provisions contained in the revised Chapter 267, Florida Statutes. All work was carried out in conformity with Part 2, Chapter 12 (“Archaeological and Historical Resources”) of the Florida Department of Transportation’s Project Development and Environment Manual (revised January 1999), and the standards contained in “The Historic Preservation Compliance Review Program of the Florida Department of State, Division of Historical Resources” Manual (revised November 1990).

## 2.0 BACKGROUND RESEARCH

A review of archaeological and historical literature and data pertaining to the project was conducted. The purpose of this research was to ascertain the types of cultural resources known in the project vicinity, their temporal/cultural affiliations, site location information, and other relevant data. This review focused primarily on the cultural resource assessment survey documents previously prepared for the SR 52 improvements projects, including the original archaeological and historic structures surveys (Browning 1985; Wiedenfeld 1984), as well as surveys of proposed pond and wetland mitigation sites (Deming 1993, 1994a, 1994b). In addition, other cultural resource assessment surveys in the vicinity of the SR 52 project were examined. These reports include: An Archaeological and Historical Survey of the Pottberg Site (DRI) (Deming and Almy 1981); A Cultural Resources Survey of the Pottberg Ranch DRI Area (Serenova DRI/ADA) (ACI 1989); An Archaeological and Historical Resource Assessment of the Proposed North Suncoast Expressway (Wharton 1990); and A Cultural Resource Assessment Survey of the Suncoast Parkway Reevaluation Areas (ACI 1996).

Examination of these documents, and a more recent check of the Florida Site File in Tallahassee, indicated that 25 recorded archaeological sites are located within one-half mile (north and south) of SR 52 between Moon Lake Road and US 41. General locations, site types and date, and references for these sites are provided in Table 2.1. More than half of the known sites are small lithic scatters of unknown temporal/cultural affiliation. Nine sites were evidenced by a single artifact, usually a waste flake. By current standards, these isolated finds would not be recorded as sites. Two of the 25 recorded sites contained small amounts of aboriginal pottery in addition to lithics, and thus, are classified as artifact scatters. Overall, most of the archaeological sites in the general project vicinity are characterized by small size and low artifact density. None is considered potentially eligible for listing in the NRHP.

The original archaeological and historical survey of SR 52 from SR 55 (US 19) to SR 93 (Interstate 75) conducted by FDOT archaeologist William D. Browning and historic sites specialist Melissa Wiedenfeld yielded negative results. In 1993 and 1994, ACI conducted cultural resource assessment surveys of 11 alternative pond sites (Deming 1993) and 11 alternative mitigation sites (Deming 1994a) along SR 52 between Moon Lake Road and Shady Hills Road, as well as 16 proposed pond sites and eight mitigation site alternatives between Shady Hills Road and east of US 41 (Deming 1994b). As a result, nine archaeological sites (8PA425 through 8PA431, 8PA437, and 8PA438) were identified and evaluated within the Moon Lake Road to Shady Hills Road segment; no sites were discovered from Shady Hills Road to east of US 41. The nine archaeological resources include three single artifact sites, five lithic scatters, and one artifact scatter. None was considered significant. No historic structures were identified.

**Table 2.1. Previously Recorded Archaeological Sites within the SR 52 PD&E Study Reevaluation Project Area.**

Site No.	Location (T/R/Section)	Site Type/Date	Reference
8PA220	T25S/R18E/9	Single artifact/unknown	Ballo 1988
8PA255	T25S/R17E/10	Lithic scatter/unknown	ACI 1989
8PA256	T25S/R17E/10	Lithic scatter/unknown	ACI 1989
8PA268	T25S/R17E/11	Artifact scatter/Late Archaic	ACI 1989
8PA314	T25S/R18E/2	Artifact scatter/unknown	Wharton 1990
8PA327	T25S/R17E/12 and T25S/R18E/7	Lithic scatter/unknown	Wharton 1990
8PA329	T25S/R17E/12	Lithic scatter/unknown	Wharton 1990
8PA330	T25S/R18E/7	Single artifact/unknown	Wharton 1990
8PA331	T25S/R18E/7	Single artifact/unknown	Wharton 1990
8PA425	T25S/R17E/3	Single artifact/unknown	Deming 1993
8PA426	T25S/R17E/10	Lithic scatter/unknown	Deming 1993
8PA427	T25S/R17E/10	Single artifact/unknown	Deming 1993
8PA428	T25S/R17E/11	Lithic scatter/unknown	Deming 1993
8PA429	T25S/R17E/12	Lithic scatter/unknown	Deming 1993
8PA430	T25S/R17E/12	Lithic scatter/unknown	Deming 1993
8PA431	T25S/R18E/7	Artifact scatter/Woodland	Deming 1993
8PA437	T25S/R17E/12	Lithic scatter/unknown	Deming 1994a
8PA438	T25S/R17E/1	Single artifact/unknown	Deming 1994a
8PA501	T25S/R17E/12	Lithic scatter/unknown	ACI 1996
8PA502	T25S/R17E/12	Single artifact/unknown	ACI 1996
8PA503	T25S/R17E/1	Lithic scatter/unknown	ACI 1996
8PA504	T25S/R17E/1	Lithic scatter/unknown	ACI 1996
8PA512	T25S/R17E/12	Single artifact/unknown	ACI 1996 (Addendum)
8PA513	T25S/R17E/12	Single artifact/unknown	ACI 1996 (Addendum)
8PA514	T25S/R17E/12	Lithic scatter/unknown	ACI 1996 (Addendum)

In May 2000, ACI conducted a preliminary assessment of 41 proposed pond and floodplain compensation (FPC) alternative sites. The study methodology entailed a review of the

available data, including Florida Site File (FSF) records, NRHP listings, relevant cultural resource assessment reports (ACI 1989, 1996; Ballo 1988; Deming 1993, 1994a, 1994b; Wharton 1990), U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) soil survey maps (Stankey 1982), U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) quadrangle maps, as well as a reconnaissance-level historic structures field survey. Background research indicated an absence of NRHP listed, eligible, or potentially eligible archaeological sites and historic structures within or adjacent to these site alternatives. Three non-significant recorded archaeological sites (8PA427, 8PA430, and 8PA429) were identified within or adjacent to pond alternatives 2B, 5A and 5B, respectively.

Based upon the results of background research, all proposed pond and FPC alternative sites were assigned to one of three site potential categories: high, moderate, and low. For prehistoric period archaeological sites, distance to a fresh water source, soil type and drainage, relative elevation, proximity to known sites, and overall integrity (i.e., the degree of modern land alterations) were the key variables used in the classification of each proposed alternative. The potential for historic period archaeological sites was assessed on the basis of documentary research. Fourteen of the total 41 pond and FPC site alternatives were considered to have a high potential for the location of prehistoric sites; 16 others were believed to have a moderate potential. These high and moderate probability areas are largely characterized by relatively better drained, elevated land proximate (within roughly 1000 feet) to a freshwater source. These environmental factors have been demonstrated repeatedly to correlate with prehistoric site location. For example, a 1989 survey of the extensive Pottberg Ranch (Serenova) tract, located just south of SR 52 and east of Moon Lake Road, resulted in the identification of 17 prehistoric sites (ACI 1989). All were characterized as small, low artifact density sites situated near a freshwater source and on terrain elevated relative to the surrounding area. Similarly, of the seven archaeological sites found during survey of 11 proposed pond areas along SR 52 (Deming 1993), all were located within about 650 feet of a pond or cypress swamp.

The site location probability determinations in the preliminary analysis were used to guide the fieldwork effort for the 18 selected pond and FPC sites reported in this technical memorandum. The most typical aboriginal site types expected to occur were small, low artifact density lithic and/or artifact (lithic-ceramic) scatter sites. Small areas of historic refuse, indicating late 19<sup>th</sup>/early 20<sup>th</sup> century campsites, possibly related to the lumber industry were also anticipated. No homesteads, forts, battle sites, military trails, or Native American (Seminole) encampments were expected.

## **3.0 SURVEY METHODS**

### **3.1 Archaeological Field Methods**

Archaeological field survey included both ground surface reconnaissance and systematic subsurface shovel testing within the proposed pond and FPC sites. The SR 52 corridor was not archaeologically surveyed. Subsurface testing was systematically carried out at 82 and 164 feet intervals in the high and moderate probability zones, respectively. Shovel tests measured approximately 1.6 feet in diameter by 3.3 feet in depth, and all soil removed was screened through a 0.25 inch mesh hardware cloth to maximize the recovery of artifacts. Field survey data, including shovel test locations, results, local vegetation, and topographic situation, were recorded on standard ACI fieldwork forms, and shovel test locations were plotted on the aerial maps provided. All shovel test pits were refilled upon completion of data recording.

### **3.2 Historic Structures**

Architectural/historical field survey methodology consisted of a preliminary reconnaissance survey to determine the location of all historic properties believed to be 50 years of age or older, and to ascertain if any of these resources could be potentially eligible for listing in the NRHP. The survey Area of Potential Effect (APE) included the properties proximate to the existing SR 52 right-of-way as well as the land within and adjacent to the 18 proposed pond and FPC sites. Photographs were taken of each identified resource, and information needed for the completion of FSF forms was gathered. In addition to architectural descriptions, each historic structure was reviewed to assess style, historic context, condition, and potential NRHP eligibility. The estimated construction dates for unrecorded buildings were verified by checking the property records available at the Pasco County Property Appraiser's Office. Residents or other knowledgeable persons were interviewed to obtain site-specific building construction dates and/or possible association with individuals or events significant to local or regional history.

### **3.3 Laboratory Methods and Curation**

All recovered cultural materials were initially cleaned and sorted by artifact class. Lithic (stone) artifacts were divided into tools and debitage on the basis of gross morphology. Tools were measured, examined for raw material type and absence or presence of thermal alteration, and the edges examined with a 10x hand lens for traces of edge damage. Lithic debitage was subjected to a limited technological analysis focused on ascertaining the stages of stone tool production. Flakes and non-flake production debris (i.e., cores, blanks, preforms) were measured and examined for raw material type and absence or presence of

thermal alteration. Flakes were classified into four types: primary decortication, secondary decortication, non-decortication, and shatter on the basis of the amount of cortex on the dorsal surface. The aboriginal ceramics were classified into commonly recognized types on the basis of observable characteristics such as aplastic inclusions and surface treatment.

At the completion of the cultural resource assessment survey, all artifacts and inventory sheets, as well as field notes and maps, were prepared for permanent storage and curation at a FDOT-designated repository.

### **3.4 Unexpected Discoveries**

It was anticipated that if human burial sites such as Indian mounds, lost historic and prehistoric cemeteries, or other unmarked burials or associated artifacts were found, then the provisions and guidelines set forth in Chapter 872, F.S. (Florida's Unmarked Burial Law) would be followed. Although burial mounds have been recorded in the project vicinity, it was not anticipated that such sites would be found.

## 4.0 SURVEY RESULTS

### 4.1 Archaeological

Archaeological field survey included both ground surface inspection and the excavation of a total 110 shovel tests within 14 of the 18 proposed pond and FPC sites (Table 4.1). The majority of shovel tests were excavated systematically at 82 feet intervals in zones of high archaeological probability and at 164 feet intervals in zones of moderate probability. Of the 18 proposed stormwater management sites, five proposed ponds are located between Moon Lake Road and Suncoast Parkway (Table 4.1). A total of 47 shovel tests were excavated within these five proposed ponds. As a result, cultural materials associated with previously recorded sites 8PA425, 8PA427, and 8PA429 were recovered within proposed Pond 1A, 2A, and 5B, respectively (Figure 4.1). No new sites were discovered. Six proposed ponds and seven FPC sites were surveyed between the Suncoast Parkway and US 41. Sixty-three shovel tests were excavated within nine of these total 13 sites (Table 4.1). As a result, one archaeological site (8PA611) was discovered within both Pond 300C and FPC 300-1 (Figure 4.1). This lithic scatter type site is not considered significant. A discussion of the three previously recorded sites and one newly identified site follows, and completed FSF forms are contained in Appendix A.

**8PA425:** The Key Lime Site was originally discovered by ACI in 1993 during a cultural resource survey of 11 alternative pond sites along SR 52 from Moon Lake Road to west of Shady Hills Road (ACI 1993). The site is located in the southwest quarter of Section 3, Township 25 South, Range 17 East, on the south side of SR 52 (USGS Fivay Junction, Fla. 1954, PR 1988) (Figure 4.1). It was identified as the result of systematic subsurface testing. Of the six shovel tests excavated, one produced a single chert non-decortication waste flake from 28 inches below the surface (ACI 1993:2). 8PA425 was estimated to measure approximately 33 feet north/south by 33 feet east/west. It was not considered potentially eligible for listing in the NRHP.

Systematic subsurface testing within proposed Pond 1A yielded additional evidence of this site to the west of the original site boundaries. Of the four shovel tests excavated, one produced a single non-decortication, non-thermally altered waste flake. This artifact was recovered from approximately 24 inches below surface. No surface cultural materials were observed. Since this single artifact find adds no new information about this previously recorded site, an updated FSF form was not prepared. A copy of the original site file form is contained in Appendix A.




Figure 4.1a. Location of Previously and Newly Recorded Archaeological Sites (USGS Fivay Junction, Fla. 1954, PR 1988).

CRAS  
 SR 52 PD&E Study Reevaluation  
 Moon Lake Road to US 41  
 Pasco County  
 WPI Segment No. 256243 1  
 FAP No. 1851-108




Figure 4.1b. Location of Previously and Newly Recorded Archaeological Sites (USGS Fivay Junction, Fla. 1954, PR 1988).

CRAS  
 SR 52 PD&E Study Reevaluation  
 Moon Lake Road to US 41  
 Pasco County  
 WPI Segment No. 256243 1  
 FAP No. 1851-108

**Table 4.1. A Summary of Archaeological Testing Results.**

POND/FPC SITE	SIZE (ACRES)	LOCATION T/R/SECTION	ARCHAEOLOGICAL POTENTIAL	NO. SHOVEL TESTS	RESULTS/ COMMENTS
<b>MOON LAKE ROAD TO SUNCOAST PARKWAY</b>					
Pond 1A	1.0	25S/17E/3	Moderate	4	One positive ST. 8PA425
Pond 2A	1.1	25S/17E/10	High	10	Three positive STs. 8PA427
Pond 3A	2.4	25S/17E/10	Moderate	12	Negative
Pond 4A	2.9	25S/17E/11	Low	4	Negative
Pond 5B	2.3	25S/17E/12	High	17	Three positive STs. 8PA429
<b>SUNCOAST PARKWAY TO U.S. 41</b>					
Pond 200B	1.32	25S/18E/7	Low	0	Wet
FPC 200-1	1.50	25S/18E/7	Low	0	Wet
Pond 300C	2.45	25S/18E/7	Moderate	12	Two positive STs. 8PA611
FPC 300-1	4.25	25S/18E/7	High	20	Two positive STs. 8PA611
FPC 300-2A	3.25	25S/18E/ADD	Low	0	
FPC 300-2B	3.25	25S/18E/ADD	Low	0	
Pond 400A	2.00	25S/18E/8	Moderate	6	Negative
FPC 400-2	0.80	25S/18E/8	Moderate	2	Negative
Pond 500C	2.25	25S/18E/9	High	10	Negative
Pond 600A	0.65	25S/18E/9	High	4	Negative
FPC 600-1	1.10	25S/18E/9	Moderate	3	Negative
Pond 700C	0.50	25S/18E/10	Low	2	Negative
FPC 700-3	1.15	25S/18E/10	Low	4	Negative

**8PA427:** The Preston Site was originally discovered by ACI in 1993 during a cultural resource survey of 11 alternative pond sites along SR 52 from Moon Lake Road to west of Shady Hills Road (ACI 1993). The site is located in the northwest quarter of Section 10, Township 25 South, Range 17 East, on the south side of S.R. 52 (USGS Fivay Junction, Fla. 1954, PR 1988) (Figure 4.1). Of the six shovel tests excavated, one produced a single chert secondary decortication flake from 3.3 feet below surface (ACI 1993:3). 8PA427 was

estimated to measure approximately 33 feet north/south by 33 feet east/west. It was not considered potentially eligible for listing in the NRHP.


Systematic subsurface testing within proposed Pond 2A yielded additional evidence of this site due south of the original site boundaries. Of the total 10 shovel tests excavated, three yielded a total of three non-decortication waste flakes and one piece of shatter from between 4 and 40 inches below surface. Three pieces of debitage were of thermally altered chert. No surface cultural materials were observed. With the exception of a slight enlargement of site boundaries, no new information about this previously recorded site was derived. Therefore, an updated FSF form was not prepared. A copy of the original site file form is contained in Appendix A.

**8PA429:** The Flaming Arrow Site was originally discovered by ACI in 1993 during a cultural resource survey of 11 alternative pond sites along SR 52 from Moon Lake Road to west of Shady Hills Road (ACI 1993). It is located in the northwest quarter of Section 12, Township 25 South, Range 17 East, on the north side of SR 52 (USGS Fivay Junction, Fla. 1954, PR 1988) (Figure 4.1). Of the 28 shovel tests excavated, 10 produced a total of 15 coral and chert waste flakes from depths of 20 to 36 inches below surface (ACI 1993:3). 8PA429 was estimated to measure approximately 200 feet north/south by 360 feet east/west. It was not considered potentially eligible for listing in the NRHP.

Systematic subsurface testing within proposed Pond 5B yielded additional evidence of this site due west of the original site boundaries. Of the 17 shovel tests excavated, three produced a total of five thermally altered, non-decortication waste flakes of both chert and coral. These artifacts were recovered from between 16 and 32 inches below surface. One large chert flake was recovered from the surface. Although the boundaries of this site have been expanded slightly, no new information about site use or temporal/cultural affiliation was derived. Thus, an updated FSF form for 8PA429 was not prepared. A copy of the original form is contained in Appendix A.

**8PA611:** The Fivay Pond Site is located in portions of proposed FPC 300-1 and Pond 300C in the northeast quarter of Section 7, Township 25 South, Range 18 (USGS Fivay Junction, Fla. 1954, PR 1988) (Figure 4.1). It is situated on a small hill and hill slope directly east of the Pithlachascotee River. Elevation of the site area ranges from 60 to 65 feet above mean sea level. The local soil is Narcoossee fine sand, a somewhat poorly drained type which supports a natural vegetation of mixed oaks and pines (Stankey 1982:33). The site area is presently undeveloped and crossed by a transmission line corridor which marks the dividing line between proposed FPC 300-1 and Pond 300C.

This site was discovered as the result of systematic subsurface testing at a 164 feet interval, supplemented by testing at an 82 feet interval. Of the 32 shovel tests excavated (Figure 4.2), four produced a total of four waste flakes of chert and coral plus a biface fragment (mid-section) of non-thermally altered chert. These cultural materials were recovered from



**Figure 4.2** Location of Shovel Tests within the Proposed Pond 300C and FPC 300-1, With Estimated Boundaries of Newly Recorded 8PA611.

**CRAS**  
**SR 52 PD&E Study Reevaluation**  
**Moon Lake Road to US 41**  
**Pasco County**  
**WPI Segment No. 256243 1**  
**FAP No. 1851-108**

between 16 and 40 inches below surface in successive zones of light gray and light brown colored fine sand. No surface cultural materials were found, nor were any subsurface features uncovered.

On the basis of subsurface testing, 8PA611 is estimated to measure approximately 200 feet north/south by 400 feet east/west. This site probably represents the scene of short-term, limited aboriginal activity associated with the procurement of locally available resources. The lack of diagnostic artifacts precludes a determination of cultural affiliation or temporal placement. While the location of the site is of interest to regional settlement pattern analyses, given the limited artifact density and diversity and lack of cultural features, the research potential of the site is considered low. Therefore, the Fivay Pond Site does not appear to be potentially eligible for listing in the NRHP.

#### 4.2 Historic Structures

As a result of field survey, six historic resources were identified within the SR 52 PD&E Study Reevaluation project (Figure 4.3; Table 4.2); none is associated with any proposed pond or FPC area. All are Frame Vernacular style residences constructed between 1925 and 1950. Descriptions of the newly recorded historic structures follow, and completed FSF forms are contained in Appendix A.

**Table 4.2. Summary of Newly Recorded Historic Structures.**

FSF NO	ADDRESS	STYLE	DATE	NRHP ELIGIBILITY
8PA1348	13404 State Road 52	Frame Vernacular	ca. 1945	Not Eligible
8PA1349	17750 State Road 52	Frame Vernacular	ca. 1950	Not Eligible
8PA1350	18045 State Road 52	Frame Vernacular	ca. 1927	Not Eligible
8PA1351	18115 State Road 52	Frame Vernacular	ca. 1940	Not Eligible
8PA1352	18136 State Road 52	Frame Vernacular	ca. 1950	Not Eligible
8PA1353	18340 State Road 52	Frame Vernacular	ca. 1925	Not Eligible

**8PA1348:** This irregularly-shaped Frame Vernacular residence located at 13404 SR 52 was constructed ca. 1945. The one-story building has a concrete pier foundation, a gable roof, an interior brick chimney, and an entrance porch on the north elevation. Decorative features include gable vents and exposed rafter ends. The building was modified ca. 1965 with the application of asbestos shingle siding, the replacement of original windows with three-light awning windows, the addition of a room on the south elevation, the enclosure of the north porch, and the addition of a new porch on the north elevation. Some windows were again replaced ca. 1975 with 2/2 single-hung sash. A ca. 1945 barn is situated east of the residence.




Figure 4.3a. Location of Newly Recorded Historic Structures (USGS Fivay Junction, Fla. 1954, PR 1988).

CRAS

SR 52 PD&E Study Reevaluation

Moon Lake Road to US 41

Pasco County

WPI Segment No. 256243 1

FAP No. 1851-108




Figure 4.3b. Location of Newly Recorded Historic Structures (USGS Fivay Junction, Fla. 1954, PR 1988).

CRAS  
 SR 52 PD&E Study Reevaluation  
 Moon Lake Road to US 41  
 Pasco County  
 WPI Segment No. 256243 1  
 FAP No. 1851-108

Extensive non-historic and unsympathetic alterations have diminished the architectural integrity of this typical Frame Vernacular residence. The limited information available did not indicate any historical significance. Thus, 8PA1348 does not appear to meet NRHP eligibility criteria.

**8PA1349:** Located at 17750 SR 52, this one-story Frame Vernacular residence was constructed ca. 1950. The irregularly-shaped building features a concrete block continuous and pier foundation, plywood siding, a clipped gable roof, and a porch on the north elevation. A room was added on the south elevation ca. 1970. Other alterations include the application of plywood siding, the replacement of original windows with 2/2 single-hung sash, and the addition of a room with an exterior masonry chimney on the east elevation. A ca. 1950 garage/shed is situated south of the building. The limited data available did not indicate that this residence is historically significant. Furthermore, extensive alterations have negatively impacted the integrity of this typical Frame Vernacular building. Therefore, 8PA1349 does not appear to meet NRHP eligibility criteria.

**8PA1350:** Constructed ca. 1927, the residence at 18045 SR 52 is a one-story, irregularly-shaped Frame Vernacular building. The structure features a concrete pier foundation, drop siding, a gable roof, and gable vents. A large addition was added on the east elevation of the original building ca. 1965. The addition has a porch on the south facade and jalousie windows. Subsequently, a room and porch were added on the north elevation ca. 1975 and original windows were replaced with 2/2 single-hung sash ca. 1975. A ca. 1927 shed is located north of the residence. Alterations, including the large addition on the east elevation, have diminished the integrity of this typical 1920s era Frame Vernacular residence. The limited information available did not indicate any historical significance. As a result, 8PA1350 does not appear to meet NRHP eligibility criteria.

**8PA1351:** The building at 18115 SR 52 is a Frame Vernacular residence constructed ca. 1940. The one-story rectangular building has a concrete pier foundation, drop siding, a gable roof, and jalousie windows. Decorative features of this simple residence include gable vents and exposed rafter ends. Alterations include the replacement of original windows with jalousie windows ca. 1960. This building is typical of Frame Vernacular construction found throughout Pasco County. Limited research did not reveal any historical significance. Consequently, 8PA1351 does not appear NRHP eligible.

**8PA1352:** Located at 18136 SR 52, this Frame Vernacular residence was constructed ca. 1950. The one-story irregularly-shaped building has a continuous concrete foundation, asbestos shingle siding, a hip roof and exposed rafter ends. Windows are two-light awning, 1/1 single-hung sash (with imitation muntins giving the appearance of 8/8), and 2/2 single-hung sash. The east portion of the building is a one-car garage. Alterations include the replacement of windows ca. 1970 and again ca. 1980. This building is typical of post-World War II Frame Vernacular construction. In addition, alterations have diminished its

architectural integrity and the limited historical information available did not indicate any significance. Therefore, 8PA1352 does not appear NRHP eligible.

**8PA1353:** The residence at 18340 SR 52 was constructed in the Frame Vernacular style ca. 1925. Notable features include a concrete pier foundation, drop siding, a gable roof, an interior brick chimney, and 1/1 double-hung sash windows. Alterations include the addition of a carport on the east elevation ca. 1980. A ca. 1940 garage/shed is situated northwest of the residence. This building is typical of 1920s Frame Vernacular architecture. The limited information available did not indicate any historical significance. Consequently, 8PA1353 does not appear NRHP eligible.

## 5.0 CONCLUSIONS AND SITE EVALUATIONS

All cultural resources identified as a result of this survey were evaluated for their significance as per the criteria of eligibility for listing in the NRHP. A discussion of site evaluations follows.

### 5.1 Archaeological Sites

The archaeological survey resulted in the identification of three previously recorded archaeological sites (8PA425, 8PA427, and 8PA429) and one newly discovered site (8PA611). The three previously recorded sites are associated with proposed Ponds 1A, 2A, and 5B, and the one newly identified resource is located within a portion of adjacent proposed Pond 300C and FPC 300-1. The four sites each were evidenced by a small amount of lithic debitage. No complete tool forms or temporally diagnostic artifacts were discovered, nor were any buried features or distinctive concentrations of cultural materials found. All four sites are viewed as limited activity campsites which commonly occur in the region. While all have yielded locational information of importance to regional settlement pattern studies, continued investigation at these sites is not believed to have the potential to yield additional data of significance to regional or state prehistory or history. Thus, 8PA425, 8PA427, 8PA429, and 8PA611 are not considered potentially eligible for listing in the NRHP.

### 5.2 Historic Structures

The historical resources survey resulted in the recording of six historic structures (8PA1348 through 8PA1353) along the SR 52 PD&E Study Reevaluation corridor. None are associated with any proposed pond or FPC areas. All are private residences constructed in the Frame Vernacular style between circa 1925 and 1950. None is distinguished by its architectural style, nor do available data indicate any significant historical associations. Therefore, sites 8PA1348 through 8PA1353 do not appear to be potentially eligible for listing in the NRHP.

In conclusion, the SR 52 improvement project will have no involvement with any archaeological sites or historic structures which are listed, determined eligible, or considered potentially eligible for listing in the NRHP.

## 6.0 REFERENCES CITED

### Archaeological Consultants, Inc. (ACI)

- 1989 A Cultural Resources Survey of the Pottberg Ranch DRI Areas (Serenova DRI/ADA) in Pasco County, Florida. On file, Florida Division of Historical Resources (FDHR), Tallahassee and ACI, Sarasota.
- 1996 A Cultural Resource Assessment Survey, Suncoast Parkway Reevaluation Areas, Hillsborough, Pasco and Hernando Counties, Florida (with Addendum). On file, Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT), District Seven, Tampa, and ACI, Sarasota.

### Ballo, George R.

- 1988 Archaeological Resource Assessment Survey, U.S. 41 from CR 582A to SR 52, Hillsborough and Pasco Counties, Florida. On file, FDOT, Central Environmental Management Office, Tallahassee.

### Browning, William D.

- 1985 Cultural Resource Assessment Survey (form), State Project No. 14120-1518 (S.R. 52 from S.R. 55 [U.S. 19] to S.R. 93 [I-75] in Pasco County, Florida). On file, FDHR, Tallahassee (Survey # 1927).

### Deming, Joan

- 1993 Technical Memorandum to Mr. Ron Pscion, PBS&J, RE: PD&E Reevaluation, Cultural Resources, SR 52 from Moon Lake Road to west of Shady Hills Road, Pasco County - Eleven Alternative Pond Sites. On file, FDOT, District Seven, Tampa.
- 1994a Technical Memorandum to Mr. Ron Pscion, PBS&J, RE: PD&E Reevaluation, Cultural Resources, SR 52 from Moon Lake Road to west of Shady Hills Road, Pasco County - Eleven Alternative Mitigation Sites. On file, FDOT, District Seven, Tampa.
- 1994b Technical Memorandum to Mr. Ron Pscion, PBS&J, RE: PD&E Reevaluation, Cultural Resources, SR 52 from Shady Hills Road to east of U.S. 41, Pasco County - 16 Pond and 8 Mitigation Site Alternatives. On file, FDOT, District Seven, Tampa.

### Deming, Joan and Marion Almy

- 1981 An Archaeological and Historical Survey of the Pottberg Site (DRI) in Pasco County, Florida. On file, ACI, Sarasota.

Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT)

- 1990 Project Development and Environmental Manual Part 2, Chapter 12  
"Archaeological and Historical Resources." On file, FDOT, Tallahassee.

Florida Division of Historical Resources (FDHR)

- 1990 "The Historic Preservation Compliance Review Program of the Florida  
Department of State, Division of Historical Resources" Manual. On file,  
FDHR, Tallahassee.

Stankey, Daniel L.

- 1982 Soil Survey of Pasco County, Florida. U.S. Department of Agriculture  
(USDA), Soil Conservation Service, Washington D. C.

United States Geological Survey (USGS)

- 1954 Fivay Junction, Fla. Quadrangle Map, Photorevised 1988.

Wiedenfeld, Melissa

- 1984 Historical Resources Assessment Survey (form). State Project No. 14120-  
1518 (S.R. 52 from S.R. 55 [U.S. 19] to S.R. 93 [I-75] in Pasco County,  
Florida). On file, FDHR, Tallahassee (Survey # 1927).

Wharton, Barry R.

- 1990 An Archaeological and Historical Resource Assessment of the Proposed  
North Suncoast Expressway, Hillsborough, Pasco and Hernando Counties.  
On file, FDOT, District Seven, Tampa.

**Appendix A: Florida Site File Forms**



ARCHAEOLOGICAL SITE FORM  
 FLORIDA SITE FILE  
 Version 2.0 7/92

Site #8 PA425  
 Recorder #  
 Field Date 11/16/93  
 Form Date 11/22/93

SITE NAME(S) Key Lime [DIST #8]  
 PROJECT NAME SR 52 Ponds, Pasco County [SURVEY #]  
 OWNERSHIP  private-profit  private-nonprofit  private-individ  priv-unspecifd  city  county  state  federal  unknown  
 TWP 25S RANGE 17E SECTION 3,  $\frac{1}{4}$  SW,  $\frac{1}{4}$ - $\frac{1}{4}$  SW,  $\frac{1}{4}$ - $\frac{1}{4}$ - $\frac{1}{4}$  SW IRREG. SECT.?  y  n  
 USGS MAP NAME Fivay Junction, Fla. 1954 PR 1988 COUNTY Pasco  
 NEAREST CITY Port Richey, Fl. IN CURRENT CITY LIMITS?  y  n  
 [ UTM: ZONE 16 17 EASTING 3 4 | 3 2 | 8 0 NORTHING 3 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 ]  
 ADDRESS/VICINITY OF/ROUTE TO SR 52 to Key Lime Drive 250 m. E. of Keylime Drive, 60 m. south of SR 52  
 NAME OF PUBLIC TRACT (e.g., park)

TYPE OF SITE (Check all choices that apply; if needed write others in at bottom)				
SETTING	STRUCTURES OR FEATURES		FUNCTION	DENSITY
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> land site	<input type="checkbox"/> aboriginal boat	<input type="checkbox"/> fort	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> none specified	<input type="checkbox"/> unknown
<input type="checkbox"/> wetland fresh wtr	<input type="checkbox"/> agric/farm bldg	<input type="checkbox"/> midden	<input type="checkbox"/> campsite	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> single artifact
<input type="checkbox"/> wetland salt/tidal	<input type="checkbox"/> burial mound	<input type="checkbox"/> mill unspecified	<input type="checkbox"/> extractive site	<input type="checkbox"/> diffuse scatter
<input type="checkbox"/> undwtr (original)	<input type="checkbox"/> building remains	<input type="checkbox"/> mission	<input type="checkbox"/> habitat/homestead	<input type="checkbox"/> dense scatter > 2/m <sup>2</sup>
<input type="checkbox"/> undwtr (inundated)	<input type="checkbox"/> cenetery/grave	<input type="checkbox"/> mound unspecified	<input type="checkbox"/> farmstead	<input type="checkbox"/> variable density
<input type="checkbox"/> OTHER	<input type="checkbox"/> dump/refuse	<input type="checkbox"/> plantation	<input type="checkbox"/> village/town	
	<input type="checkbox"/> earthworks	<input type="checkbox"/> platform mound	<input type="checkbox"/> quarry	

HISTORIC CONTEXTS (Check all that apply, except use most specific subphases only)				
ABORIGINAL				NONABORIGINAL
<input type="checkbox"/> Alachua	<input type="checkbox"/> Fort Walton	<input type="checkbox"/> Hickory Pond	<input type="checkbox"/> Perico Island	<input type="checkbox"/> 1st Spanish 1513-99
<input type="checkbox"/> Archaic unspecif	<input type="checkbox"/> Glades Ia	<input type="checkbox"/> Late Archaic	<input type="checkbox"/> Safety Harbor	<input type="checkbox"/> 1st Spanish 1600-99
<input type="checkbox"/> Belle Glade I	<input type="checkbox"/> Glades Ib	<input type="checkbox"/> Late Swift Creek	<input type="checkbox"/> St. Augustine	<input type="checkbox"/> 1st Spanish 1700-1763
<input type="checkbox"/> Belle Glade II	<input type="checkbox"/> Glades I unspec	<input type="checkbox"/> Leon-Jefferson	<input type="checkbox"/> St. Johns Ia	<input type="checkbox"/> 1st Spanish unspecified
<input type="checkbox"/> Belle Glade III	<input type="checkbox"/> Glades Ia	<input type="checkbox"/> Malabar I	<input type="checkbox"/> St. Johns Ib	<input type="checkbox"/> British 1763-1783
<input type="checkbox"/> Belle Glade IV	<input type="checkbox"/> Glades Ib	<input type="checkbox"/> Malabar II	<input type="checkbox"/> St. Johns I unspecif	<input type="checkbox"/> 2d Spanish 1783-1821
<input type="checkbox"/> Belle Glade unspec	<input type="checkbox"/> Glades Ic	<input type="checkbox"/> Manasota	<input type="checkbox"/> St. Johns Ia	<input type="checkbox"/> Amer. Territor <sup>l</sup> 1821-45
<input type="checkbox"/> Cades Pond	<input type="checkbox"/> Glades II unspec	<input type="checkbox"/> Middle Archaic	<input type="checkbox"/> St. Johns Ib	<input type="checkbox"/> Amer. Civil War 1861-65
<input type="checkbox"/> Deptford	<input type="checkbox"/> Glades IIIa	<input type="checkbox"/> Mount Taylor	<input type="checkbox"/> St. Johns Ic	<input type="checkbox"/> American 19th Century
<input type="checkbox"/> Early Archaic	<input type="checkbox"/> Glades IIIb	<input type="checkbox"/> Norwood	<input type="checkbox"/> St. Johns II unspecif	<input type="checkbox"/> American 20th Century
<input type="checkbox"/> Early Swift Creek	<input type="checkbox"/> Glades IIIc	<input type="checkbox"/> Orange	<input type="checkbox"/> St. Johns II unspecif	<input type="checkbox"/> American unspecified
<input type="checkbox"/> Englewood	<input type="checkbox"/> Glades III unsp	<input type="checkbox"/> Paleo-Indian	<input type="checkbox"/> St. Johns unspecified	<input type="checkbox"/> Afro-American
	<input type="checkbox"/> Glades unspecif	<input type="checkbox"/> Pensacola	<input type="checkbox"/> Santa Rosa	
			<input type="checkbox"/> Santa Rosa-Swift Crk	

OTHER (Less common phases are not checklisted. For historic sites also give specific dates if known)

**SURVEYOR'S EVALUATION OF SITE**

Potentially elig. for local designation?  yes  no  insuff.info

Individually elig. for Nat. Register?  yes  no  insuff.info

Potential contributor to NR district?  yes  no  insuff.info

Local Designation Category \_\_\_\_\_

**EXPLANATION OF EVALUATION** (Required if evaluated; limit to 3 lines; attach full justification)  
 This single artifact site is not considered to have the potential to yield information of significance to regional prehistory.

**RECOMMENDATIONS FOR SITE** No further work recommended.

DHR USE ONLY	OFFICIAL EVALUATIONS	DHR USE ONLY
NR DATE	KEEPER-NR ELIGIBILITY: <input type="checkbox"/> y <input type="checkbox"/> n <input type="checkbox"/> pe <input type="checkbox"/> u Date	
DELIST DATE	SHPO-NR ELIGIBILITY: <input type="checkbox"/> y <input type="checkbox"/> n <input type="checkbox"/> pe <input type="checkbox"/> u Date	
	LOCAL DESIGNATION: _____ Date	
	Local office	



ARCHAEOLOGICAL SITE FORM  
Division of Historical Resources, Florida Department of State

Site #8 PA425

**FIELD METHODS (Check one or more methods for detection and for boundaries)**

SITE DETECTION			SITE BOUNDARIES		
<input type="checkbox"/> no field check	<input type="checkbox"/> exposed ground	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> screened shovel	<input type="checkbox"/> bounds unknown	<input type="checkbox"/> remote sensing	<input type="checkbox"/> unscreened shovel
<input type="checkbox"/> literature search	<input type="checkbox"/> posthole digger	_____	<input type="checkbox"/> none by recorder	<input type="checkbox"/> insp exposed ground	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> screened shovel
<input type="checkbox"/> informant report	<input type="checkbox"/> auger-size: _____	_____	<input type="checkbox"/> literature search	<input type="checkbox"/> posthole digger	<input type="checkbox"/> block excavations
<input type="checkbox"/> remote sensing	<input type="checkbox"/> unscreend shovel	_____	<input type="checkbox"/> informant report	<input type="checkbox"/> auger-size: _____	<input type="checkbox"/> estimate or guess

Number, size, depth, pattern of units; screen size 6 TP's, 50 x 50 x 100 cm.; 25 m. intervals; 1/4" screen  
1 productive TP

**SITE DESCRIPTION**

EXTENT Size (m<sup>2</sup>) 100 Depth/stratigraphy of cultural deposit 70 cmbs./0-40 medium gray, 40-70 light gray, 70-80 black sand (saturated)

TEMPORAL INTERPRETATION Components:  single  prob single  prob multiple  multiple  uncertain

Describe each occupation in plan (refer to attached large scale map) and stratigraphically. Discuss temporal and functional interpretations.

INTEGRITY Overall disturbance:  none seen  minor  substantial  major  redeposited  destroyed-document

Disturbances/threats/protective measures Proposed Retention Pond

AREA COLLECTED \_\_\_\_\_ m<sup>2</sup> Surface: #units \_\_\_\_\_, total area \_\_\_\_\_ m<sup>2</sup>. Excavation: #units \_\_\_\_\_

**ARTIFACTS**

TOTAL ARTIFACTS # 1 (C)ount or (E)stimate?  C Surface # 0 Subsurface # 1

COLLECTION STRATEGY

<input type="checkbox"/> unknown	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> unselective (all artifacts)	<input type="checkbox"/> unspecified	<input type="checkbox"/> daub	<input type="checkbox"/> nonlocal-exotic	<input type="checkbox"/> bone-unspecif
<input type="checkbox"/> uncollected	<input type="checkbox"/> selective (some artifacts)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> lithics, aborig'l	<input type="checkbox"/> brick/bldg matl	<input type="checkbox"/> metal, nonprecious	<input type="checkbox"/> unworked shell
<input type="checkbox"/> controlled (by subarea)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> general (not by subarea)	<input type="checkbox"/> ceramic-aborig'l	<input type="checkbox"/> glass	<input type="checkbox"/> bone-human	<input type="checkbox"/> worked shell
		<input type="checkbox"/> ceramic-nonabo	<input type="checkbox"/> precious metal/coin	<input type="checkbox"/> bone-animal	<input type="checkbox"/> subsurf feats

Other (Strategy, Categories) \_\_\_\_\_

**DIAGNOSTICS (Type and frequency)**

1	N=	5	N=
2	N=	6	N=
3	N=	7	N=
4	N=	8	N=
	N=	9	N=

**ENVIRONMENT**

Nearest fresh water (incl. reñic source) small seasonal pond Dist. (m/ft)/bearing 25 M. W.

Natural community longleaf pine, slash pine, palmetto, gallberry, myrtle

Local vegetation pinos, palmettos, beautyberry, grape

Topography level Elevation \_\_\_\_\_ m/ft

Present land use vacant

SCS soil series Mvakká fine sand Soil association Smyrna-Sellers-Mvakká

**FURTHER INFORMATION**

INFORMANT(S): Name/Addr./Phone Ø

LOCATION & FILE NOS. (Field notes, artifacts/accession nos, photographs/negative nos.)  
FDOT, District Seven, Tampa


MANUSCRIPTS OR PUBLICATIONS ON THE SITE (if unpub., give FSF file # or location)  
Technical memo. to Mr. Ron Pscion, PBS&J, Tampa dated Nov. 26, 1993 from J. Deming,  
ACT RE: SR 52 - Eleven Alternative Pond Sites

RECORDER(S): Name/Addr./Phone Lea Schrock/ACT, P.O.Box 5103, Sarasota, FL 34277-5103 (8:  
Affiliation or FAS Chapter Archaeological Consultants, Inc. 945-991)

**LARGE SCALE MAP:** At 1"=200' or larger scale, show: site boundaries, scale, North arrow, datum, test/collection units, landmarks.

**NARRATIVE DESCRIPTION/CONTINUATIONS:** Attach additional sheets with detailed information or with continuations.

**REQUIRED: USGS MAP OR COPY WITH SITE LOCATION, EXTENT MARKED**



8PA425 - KEY LIME SITE  
T25S, R17E, SECTION 3  
USGS FIVAY JUNCTION, FLA.  
1954 PR 1988  
Scale: 1:24000 enlarged 100%



ARCHAEOLOGICAL SITE FORM  
 FLORIDA SITE FILE  
 Version 2.0 7/92

Site #8 PA427  
 Recorder # \_\_\_\_\_  
 Field Date 11/17/93  
 Form Date 11/22/93

SITE NAME(S) Preston [DIST #8 \_\_\_\_\_]  
 PROJECT NAME SR 52 Ponds, Pasco County [SURVEY # \_\_\_\_\_]  
 OWNERSHIP private-profit private-nonprofit  private-individ priv-unspecif city county state federal unknown  
 TWP 25S RANGE 17E SECTION 10, 1/4 NW, 1/4-1/4 NE, 1/4-1/4-1/4 NE IRREG. SECT.? y  n  
 USGS MAP NAME Fivay Junction 7.5 min. 1954 COUNTY Pasco  
 NEAREST CITY Port Richey IN CURRENT CITY LIMITS? y  n  
 [ UTM: ZONE 16 17 EASTING 343800 NORTHING 3134640 ]  
 ADDRESS/VICINITY OF/ROUTE TO East to 13404 SR 52, Carol Preston residence

NAME OF PUBLIC TRACT (e.g., park) \_\_\_\_\_

TYPE OF SITE (Check all choices that apply; if needed write others in at bottom)

SETTING	STRUCTURES OR FEATURES	FUNCTION	DENSITY
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> land site	<input type="checkbox"/> aboriginal boat	<input type="checkbox"/> fort	<input type="checkbox"/> road segment
<input type="checkbox"/> wetland fresh wtr	<input type="checkbox"/> agric/farm bldg	<input type="checkbox"/> midden	<input type="checkbox"/> shell midden
<input type="checkbox"/> wetland salt/tidal	<input type="checkbox"/> burial mound	<input type="checkbox"/> mill unspecified	<input type="checkbox"/> shell mound
<input type="checkbox"/> undwtr (original)	<input type="checkbox"/> building remains	<input type="checkbox"/> mission	<input type="checkbox"/> shipwreck
<input type="checkbox"/> undwtr (mudated)	<input type="checkbox"/> cemetery/grave	<input type="checkbox"/> mound unspecified	<input type="checkbox"/> subsurface features
<input type="checkbox"/> OTHER _____	<input type="checkbox"/> dump/refuse	<input type="checkbox"/> plantation	<input type="checkbox"/> surface scatter
	<input type="checkbox"/> earthworks	<input type="checkbox"/> platform mound	<input type="checkbox"/> well
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> none specified	<input type="checkbox"/> unknown
		<input type="checkbox"/> campsite	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> single artifact
		<input type="checkbox"/> extractive site	<input type="checkbox"/> diffuse scatter
		<input type="checkbox"/> habitat/homestead	<input type="checkbox"/> dense scatter > 2/m <sup>2</sup>
		<input type="checkbox"/> farmstead	<input type="checkbox"/> variable density
		<input type="checkbox"/> village/town	
		<input type="checkbox"/> quarry	

HISTORIC CONTEXTS (Check all that apply, except use most specific subphases only)

ABORIGINAL	NONABORIGINAL
<input type="checkbox"/> Alachua	<input type="checkbox"/> 1st Spanish 1513-99
<input type="checkbox"/> Archaic unspcific	<input type="checkbox"/> 1st Spanish 1600-99
<input type="checkbox"/> Belle Glade I	<input type="checkbox"/> 1st Spanish 1700-1763
<input type="checkbox"/> Belle Glade II	<input type="checkbox"/> 1st Spanish unspecified
<input type="checkbox"/> Belle Glade III	<input type="checkbox"/> British 1763-1783
<input type="checkbox"/> Belle Glade IV	<input type="checkbox"/> 2d Spanish 1783-1821
<input type="checkbox"/> Belle Glade unspcific	<input type="checkbox"/> Amer. Territor <sup>1</sup> 1821-45
<input type="checkbox"/> Cades Pond	<input type="checkbox"/> Amer. Civil War 1861-65
<input type="checkbox"/> Deptford	<input type="checkbox"/> American 19th Century
<input type="checkbox"/> Early Archaic	<input type="checkbox"/> American 20th Century
<input type="checkbox"/> Early Swift Creek	<input type="checkbox"/> American unspecified
<input type="checkbox"/> Englewood	<input type="checkbox"/> Afro-American
<input type="checkbox"/> Fort Walton	
<input type="checkbox"/> Glades Ia	
<input type="checkbox"/> Glades Ib	
<input type="checkbox"/> Glades I unspcific	
<input type="checkbox"/> Glades IIa	
<input type="checkbox"/> Glades IIb	
<input type="checkbox"/> Glades IIc	
<input type="checkbox"/> Glades II unspcific	
<input type="checkbox"/> Glades IIIa	
<input type="checkbox"/> Glades IIIb	
<input type="checkbox"/> Glades IIIc	
<input type="checkbox"/> Glades III unspcific	
<input type="checkbox"/> Glades unspcific	
<input type="checkbox"/> Hickory Pond	
<input type="checkbox"/> Late Archaic	
<input type="checkbox"/> Late Swift Creek	
<input type="checkbox"/> Leon-Jefferson	
<input type="checkbox"/> Malabar I	
<input type="checkbox"/> Malabar II	
<input type="checkbox"/> Manasota	
<input type="checkbox"/> Middle Archaic	
<input type="checkbox"/> Mount Taylor	
<input type="checkbox"/> Norwood	
<input type="checkbox"/> Orange	
<input type="checkbox"/> Paleo-Indian	
<input type="checkbox"/> Pensacola	
<input type="checkbox"/> Perico Island	
<input type="checkbox"/> Safety Harbor	
<input type="checkbox"/> St. Augustine	
<input type="checkbox"/> St. Johns Ia	
<input type="checkbox"/> St. Johns Ib	
<input type="checkbox"/> St. Johns I unspcific	
<input type="checkbox"/> St. Johns IIa	
<input type="checkbox"/> St. Johns IIb	
<input type="checkbox"/> St. Johns IIc	
<input type="checkbox"/> St. Johns II unspcific	
<input type="checkbox"/> St. Johns unspecified	
<input type="checkbox"/> Santa Rosa	
<input type="checkbox"/> Santa Rosa-Swift Crk	
<input type="checkbox"/> Semi: Colonization	
<input type="checkbox"/> Semi: 1st War to 2d	
<input type="checkbox"/> Semi: 2d War to 3d	
<input type="checkbox"/> Semi: 3d War on	
<input type="checkbox"/> Seminole-unspcific	
<input type="checkbox"/> Swift Creek unspcific	
<input type="checkbox"/> Transitional	
<input type="checkbox"/> Weeden Island I	
<input type="checkbox"/> Weeden Island II	
<input type="checkbox"/> Weeden Island unspcific	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> prehistoric nonceram	
<input type="checkbox"/> prehistoric ceramic	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> prehistoric unspcific	

OTHER (Less common phases are not checklisted. For historic sites also give specific dates if known) \_\_\_\_\_

SURVEYOR'S EVALUATION OF SITE

Potentially elig. for local designation? yes  no  insuff.info  Local Designation Category \_\_\_\_\_  
 Individually elig. for Nat. Register? yes  no  insuff.info   
 Potential contributor to NR district? yes  no  insuff.info

EXPLANATION OF EVALUATION (Required if evaluated; limit to 3 lines; attach full justification)  
The single artifact site is not considered to have the potential to yield information of significance to regional prehistory.

RECOMMENDATIONS FOR SITE No further work recommended.

DHR USE ONLY	OFFICIAL EVALUATIONS	DHR USE ONLY
NR DATE _____	KEEPER-NR ELIGIBILITY: <u>y</u> <u>n</u> <u>pe</u> <u>ie</u> Date _____	
DELIST DATE _____	SHPO-NR ELIGIBILITY: <u>y</u> <u>n</u> <u>pe</u> <u>ie</u> Date _____	
	LOCAL DESIGNATION: _____ Date _____	
	Local office _____	



ARCHAEOLOGICAL SITE FORM  
Division of Historical Resources, Florida Department of State

Site #8 BA427

**FIELD METHODS (Check one or more methods for detection and for boundaries)**

SITE DETECTION			SITE BOUNDARIES		
<input type="checkbox"/> no field check	<input type="checkbox"/> exposed ground	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> screened shovel	<input type="checkbox"/> bounds unknown	<input type="checkbox"/> remote sensing	<input type="checkbox"/> unscreened shovel
<input type="checkbox"/> literature search	<input type="checkbox"/> posthole digger	_____	<input type="checkbox"/> none by recorder	<input type="checkbox"/> insp exposed ground	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> screened shovel
<input type="checkbox"/> informant report	<input type="checkbox"/> auger-size: _____	_____	<input type="checkbox"/> literature search	<input type="checkbox"/> posthole digger	<input type="checkbox"/> block excavations
<input type="checkbox"/> remote sensing	<input type="checkbox"/> unscreend shovel	_____	<input type="checkbox"/> informant report	<input type="checkbox"/> auger-size: _____	<input type="checkbox"/> estimate or guess

Number, size, depth, pattern of units; screen size 6 TP's; 50 x 50 x 100 cm.; 25 m. interval; 1/4" screen,  
1 productive TP

**SITE DESCRIPTION**

EXTENT Size (m<sup>2</sup>) 100 Depth/stratigraphy of cultural deposit 100 cmbs/0-80 lt. grayish tan, 80-100 lt. whitish tan

TEMPORAL INTERPRETATION Components:  single  prob single  prob multiple  multiple  uncertain  
Describe each occupation in plan (refer to attached large scale map) and stratigraphically. Discuss temporal and functional interpretations.

---

INTEGRITY Overall disturbance:  none seen  minor  substantial  major  redeposited  destroyed-documental  
Disturbances/threats/protective measures Proposed retention pond

AREA COLLECTED      m<sup>2</sup> Surface: #units     , total area      m<sup>2</sup>. Excavation: #units     

**ARTIFACTS**

TOTAL ARTIFACTS # 1 (C)ount or (E)stimate? C Surface # 0 Subsurface # 1

COLLECTION STRATEGY

<input type="checkbox"/> unknown	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> unselective (all artifacts)	<input type="checkbox"/> unspecified	<input type="checkbox"/> daub	<input type="checkbox"/> nonlocal-exotic	<input type="checkbox"/> bone-unspecif
<input type="checkbox"/> selective (some artifacts)	<input type="checkbox"/> uncollected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> lithics, aborig'l	<input type="checkbox"/> brick/bldg matl	<input type="checkbox"/> metal, nonprecious	<input type="checkbox"/> unworked shell
<input type="checkbox"/> general (not by subarea)	<input type="checkbox"/> controlled (by subarea)	<input type="checkbox"/> ceramic-aborig'l	<input type="checkbox"/> glass	<input type="checkbox"/> bone-human	<input type="checkbox"/> worked shell
<input type="checkbox"/> Other (Strategy, Categories)		<input type="checkbox"/> ceramic-nonabo	<input type="checkbox"/> precious metal/coin	<input type="checkbox"/> bone-animal	<input type="checkbox"/> subsurf feats

DIAGNOSTICS (Type and frequency)

1	N=	5	N=
2	N=	6	N=
3	N=	7	N=
4	N=	8	N=
	N=	9	N=

**ENVIRONMENT**

Nearest fresh water (incl. refic source) pond Dist. (m/ft)/bearing R 5 M E

Natural community slash pine, longleaf pine, saw palmetto, running oak

Local vegetation palmetto, pine, scrub oak

Topography level Elevation 40 m/ft

Present land use agricultural

SCS soil series Adamsville Soil association Smyrna-Sellers-Myakka

**FURTHER INFORMATION**

INFORMANT(S): Name/Addr./Phone Ø

LOCATION & FILE NOS. (Field notes, artifacts/accession nos, photographs/negative nos.)  
FDOT, District Seven, Tampa


MANUSCRIPTS OR PUBLICATIONS ON THE SITE (if unpub., give FSF file # or location)  
Technical memo to Mr. Ron Pscion, PBS&J, Tampa dated Nov.26, 1993 from J. Deming  
ACT RE: SR 52 - Eleven Alternative Pond Sites

RECORDER(S): Name/Addr./Phone Lea Schrock/ACI P O Box 5103, Sarasota, FL 34277-5103 (81  
Affiliation or FAS Chapter Archaeological Consultants, Inc. 925-9906

LARGE SCALE MAP: At 1"=200' or larger scale, show: site boundaries, scale, North arrow, datum, test/collection units, landmarks.

NARRATIVE DESCRIPTION/CONTINUATIONS: Attach additional sheets with detailed information or with continuations.

REQUIRED: USGS MAP OR COPY WITH SITE LOCATION EXTENT MARKED



8PA427 - PRESTON SITE  
T25S, R17E, SECTION 10  
USGS FIVAY JUNCTION, FLA.  
1954 PR 1988  
Scale: 1:24000 enlarged 100%



**ARCHAEOLOGICAL SITE FORM**  
**FLORIDA SITE FILE**

Version 2.0 7/92

Site #8 PA429  
 Recorder # \_\_\_\_\_  
 Field Date 11/18/93  
 Form Date 11/20/93

SITE NAME(S) Flaming Arrow [DIST #8 \_\_\_\_\_]  
 PROJECT NAME SR 52 Ponds, Pasco County [SURVEY # \_\_\_\_\_]  
 OWNERSHIP  private-profit  private-nonprofit  private-individ  priv-unspecifd  city  county  state  federal  unknown  
 TWP 25S RANGE 17E SECTION 12,  $\frac{1}{4}$  NW,  $\frac{1}{4}$ - $\frac{1}{4}$  NW,  $\frac{1}{4}$ - $\frac{1}{4}$ - $\frac{1}{4}$  NE IRREG. SECT.?  y  n  
 USGS MAP NAME Fivay Junction 1954 COUNTY Pasco  
 NEAREST CITY Port Richey, Florida IN CURRENT CITY LIMITS?  y  n  
 [ UTM: ZONE 16 17 EASTING 346560 NORTHING 3134680 ]  
 ADDRESS/VICINITY OF/ROUTE TO SR 52 east to Pottberg Blvd. Turn left and enter  
Aripekoc Archery Range.  
 NAME OF PUBLIC TRACT (e.g., park) \_\_\_\_\_

**TYPE OF SITE (Check all choices that apply; if needed write others in at bottom)**

SETTING	STRUCTURES OR FEATURES	FUNCTION	DENSITY
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> land site	<input type="checkbox"/> aboriginal boat	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> none specified	<input type="checkbox"/> unknown
<input type="checkbox"/> wetland fresh wtr	<input type="checkbox"/> agric/farm bldg	<input type="checkbox"/> campsite	<input type="checkbox"/> single artifact
<input type="checkbox"/> wetland salt/tidal	<input type="checkbox"/> burial mound	<input type="checkbox"/> extractive site	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> diffuse scatter
<input type="checkbox"/> undwtr (original)	<input type="checkbox"/> building remains	<input type="checkbox"/> habitato/homestead	<input type="checkbox"/> dense scatter > 2/m <sup>2</sup>
<input type="checkbox"/> undwtr (inundated)	<input type="checkbox"/> cemetery/grave	<input type="checkbox"/> farmstead	<input type="checkbox"/> variable density
<input type="checkbox"/> OTHER _____	<input type="checkbox"/> dump/refuse	<input type="checkbox"/> village/town	
	<input type="checkbox"/> earthworks	<input type="checkbox"/> well	
	<input type="checkbox"/> fort	<input type="checkbox"/> quarry	
	<input type="checkbox"/> midden		
	<input type="checkbox"/> mill unspecified		
	<input type="checkbox"/> mission		
	<input type="checkbox"/> mound unspecified		
	<input type="checkbox"/> plantation		
	<input type="checkbox"/> platform mound		
	<input type="checkbox"/> road segment		
	<input type="checkbox"/> shell midden		
	<input type="checkbox"/> shell mound		
	<input type="checkbox"/> shipwreck		
	<input type="checkbox"/> subsurface features		
	<input type="checkbox"/> surface scatter		

**HISTORIC CONTEXTS (Check all that apply, except use most specific subphases only)**

ABORIGINAL	Fort Walton	Hickory Pond	Perico Island	Semi: Colonization	NONABORIGINAL
<input type="checkbox"/> Alachua	<input type="checkbox"/> Glades Ia	<input type="checkbox"/> Late Archaic	<input type="checkbox"/> Safety Harbor	<input type="checkbox"/> Semi: 1st War to 2d	<input type="checkbox"/> 1st Spanish 1513-99
<input type="checkbox"/> Archaic unspecif	<input type="checkbox"/> Glades Ib	<input type="checkbox"/> Late Swift Creek	<input type="checkbox"/> St. Augustine	<input type="checkbox"/> Semi: 2d War to 3d	<input type="checkbox"/> 1st Spanish 1600-99
<input type="checkbox"/> Belle Glade I	<input type="checkbox"/> Glades I unspec	<input type="checkbox"/> Leon-Jefferson	<input type="checkbox"/> St. Johns Ia	<input type="checkbox"/> Semi: 3d War on	<input type="checkbox"/> 1st Spanish 1700-1763
<input type="checkbox"/> Belle Glade II	<input type="checkbox"/> Glades Ia	<input type="checkbox"/> Malabar I	<input type="checkbox"/> St. Johns Ib	<input type="checkbox"/> Seminole-unspecif	<input type="checkbox"/> 1st Spanish unspecified
<input type="checkbox"/> Belle Glade III	<input type="checkbox"/> Glades Ib	<input type="checkbox"/> Malabar II	<input type="checkbox"/> St. Johns I unspecif	<input type="checkbox"/> Swift Creek unspec	<input type="checkbox"/> British 1763-1783
<input type="checkbox"/> Belle Glade IV	<input type="checkbox"/> Glades Ic	<input type="checkbox"/> Manasota	<input type="checkbox"/> St. Johns Ia	<input type="checkbox"/> Transitional	<input type="checkbox"/> 2d Spanish 1783-1821
<input type="checkbox"/> Belle Glade unspec	<input type="checkbox"/> Glades II unspec	<input type="checkbox"/> Middle Archaic	<input type="checkbox"/> St. Johns Ib	<input type="checkbox"/> Weeden Island I	<input type="checkbox"/> Amer. Territor <sup>1</sup> 1821-45
<input type="checkbox"/> Cades Pond	<input type="checkbox"/> Glades IIIa	<input type="checkbox"/> Mount Taylor	<input type="checkbox"/> St. Johns Ic	<input type="checkbox"/> Weeden Island II	<input type="checkbox"/> Amer. Civil War 1861-65
<input type="checkbox"/> Deptford	<input type="checkbox"/> Glades IIIb	<input type="checkbox"/> Norwood	<input type="checkbox"/> St. Johns II unspecif	<input type="checkbox"/> Weeden Island unsp	<input type="checkbox"/> American 19th Century
<input type="checkbox"/> Early Archaic	<input type="checkbox"/> Glades IIIc	<input type="checkbox"/> Orange	<input type="checkbox"/> St. Johns unspecified	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> prehistoric nonceram	<input type="checkbox"/> American 20th Century
<input type="checkbox"/> Early Swift Creek	<input type="checkbox"/> Glades III unsp	<input type="checkbox"/> Paleo-Indian	<input type="checkbox"/> Santa Rosa	<input type="checkbox"/> prehistoric ceramic	<input type="checkbox"/> American unspecified
<input type="checkbox"/> Englewood	<input type="checkbox"/> Glades unspecif	<input type="checkbox"/> Pensacola	<input type="checkbox"/> Santa Rosa-Swift Crky	<input type="checkbox"/> prehistoric unspecif	<input type="checkbox"/> Afro-American

OTHER (Less common phases are not checklisted. For historic sites also give specific dates if known) \_\_\_\_\_

**SURVEYOR'S EVALUATION OF SITE**

Potentially elig. for local designation?  yes  no  insuff.info

Individually elig. for Nat. Register?  yes  no  insuff.info

Potential contributor to NR district?  yes  no  insuff.info


**Local Designation Category** \_\_\_\_\_

**EXPLANATION OF EVALUATION (Required if evaluated; limit to 3 lines; attach full justification)**  
Site is a commonly occurring type for the region. Given the low artifact density and variability, it is not considered to be among the best examples of its type.

**RECOMMENDATIONS FOR SITE** No further work recommended.

**DHR USE ONLY**      **OFFICIAL EVALUATIONS**      **DHR USE ONLY**

NR DATE	KEEPER-NR ELIGIBILITY: <input type="checkbox"/> y <input type="checkbox"/> n <input type="checkbox"/> pe <input type="checkbox"/> ii	Date
DELIST DATE	SHPO-NR ELIGIBILITY: <input type="checkbox"/> y <input type="checkbox"/> n <input type="checkbox"/> pe <input type="checkbox"/> ii	Date
	LOCAL DESIGNATION: _____	Date
	Local office	



8PA429 - FLAMING ARROW SITE  
T25S, R17E, SECTION 12  
USGS FIVAY JUNCTION, FLA.  
1954 PR 1988  
Scale: 1:24000 enlarged 124%

ARCHAEOLOGICAL SITE FORM
FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE

Site #8 PA611

- Original
Update
(give site #)

Version 2.2 3/97

Consult Guide to Archaeological Site Forms for detailed instructions.

Recorder Site #
Field Date 11/13/01
Form Date 11/21/01

Site Name(s) Fivay Ponds Site
Project Name SR 52 PD&E Study Reevaluation, Pasco County
Ownership: private-profit, private-nonprofit, private-individ., private-unspecif., city, county, state, federal, foreign, Native Amer., unknown
USGS 7.5 Map Name & Date Fivay Junction 1954, PR 1988
Township 25S Range 18E Section 07
Landgrant Tax Parcel # (s)
City/Town (if within 3 mi.) Fivay Junction
UTM: Zone 16 Easting 349480 Northing 3134560
Address/ Vicinity of/ Route to North side of SR 52, due east of Pithlachotee River. About 2 miles east of US 41.

TYPE OF SITE (Check all choices that apply; if needed write others in at bottom)

- SETTING: Land-terrestrial, Cave/Sink-subterranean, Wetland-palustrine, Lake/Pond-lacustrine, River/Stream/Creek-riverine, Tidal-estuarine, Saltwater-marine, "high energy" marine, "low energy" marine, Other
STRUCTURES - OR - FEATURES: aboriginal boat, agric/farm building, burial mound, building remains, cemetery/grave, dump/refuse, earthworks, fort, midden, mill unspecified, mission, mound unspec., plantation, platform mound, well, road segment, shell midden, shell mound, shipwreck, subsurface features, surface scatter, well
FUNCTION: none specified, campsite, extractive site, habitation (prehistoric), homestead (historic), farmstead, village (prehistoric), town (historic), quarry

HISTORIC CONTEXTS (Check all that apply; use most specific subphases; e.g. if Glades Ia only, don't also see Glades I.)

- Aboriginal: Alachua, Archaic Early, Middle, Late, unspecified, Belle Glade I-IV, Cades Pond, Deptford, Other
Englewood, Fort Walton, Glades Ia-Ic, unsp., IIa-IIIc, unsp., IIIa-IIIc, unsp.
Glades unsp., Hickory Pond, Leon-Jefferson, Malabar I-II, Manasota, Mount Taylor, Norwood, Orange, Paleoindian, Pensacola, Perico Island, Safety Harbor
St. Augustine, St. Johns Ia-Ib, unsp., IIa-IIc, unsp., II unsp., St. Johns unsp., Santa Rosa, Seminole: Colonization, 1st War To 2d
Seminole: 2d War to 3d, 3d War On, unsp., Swift Creek Early-Late, unsp., Transitional, Weeden Island I-II, unsp., Prehistoric nonceramic, Prehistoric ceramic, Prehistoric unspecified
Nonaboriginal: First Spanish 1513-99, 1600-99, 1700-1763, unsp., British 1763-1783, Second Spanish 1783-1821, American Territorial 1821-45, American Civil War 1861-65, American 19th Century, American 20th Century, unsp., African-American

\*Consult Guide to Archaeological Site Form for preferred descriptions not listed above (data are "coded fields" at the Site File).

SURVEYOR'S EVALUATION OF SITE

Potentially eligible for a local register? yes: name of register at right no insufficient info
Individually eligible for National Register? yes no insufficient info
Potential contributor to NR district? yes no insufficient info
Explanation of Evaluation (Required if evaluated; limit to 3 lines; attach full justification) Sparse and common artifact assemblage.
No diagnostic cultural materials.

Recommendations for Owner or SHPO Action None

DHR USE ONLY OFFICIAL EVALUATIONS DHR USE ONLY
NR DATE KEPPER-NR ELIGIBILITY SHPO-NR ELIGIBILITY LOCAL DESIGNATION: National Register Criteria for Evaluation

Consult Guide to Archaeological Site Form for detailed instructions.

FIELD METHODS

SITE DETECTION\*

SITE BOUNDARIES\*

- no field check, literature search, informant report, remote sensing, exposed ground, posthole digger, auger-size, unscreened shovel, screened shovel, bounds unknown, none by recorder, literature search, informant report, remote sensing, insp exposed ground, posthole tests, auger-size, estimate or guess, unscreened shovel, screened shovel, block excavations

Other methods; number, size, depth, pattern of units; screen size (attach site plan) Total 32 Shovel Tests within two adjacent pond areas. N/S transects with testing at 25 and 50m intervals. 0.5 diameter X 1 m deep; 1/4" mesh screen. Four positive.

SITE DESCRIPTION

Extent Size (m2) 7200 Depth/stratigraphy of cultural deposit 40/100cm/0-30 light gray sand, 30-100 light brown sand

Temporal Interpretation\*- Components (check one): single, prob single, prob multiple, multiple, uncertain, unknown. Describe each occupation in plan (refer to attached large scale map) and stratigraphically. Discuss temporal and functional interpretation:

Integrity Overall disturbance\*: none seen, minor, substantial, major, redeposited, destroyed-document, unknown. Disturbances/threats/protective measures Powerline corridor/Pond development

Surface: area collected m2 # collection units Excavation: # noncontiguous blocks

ARTIFACTS

Total Artifacts # 5(C) (C)ount or (E)stimate? Surface # 0 (C) or (E) Subsurface # 5(C) (C) or (E)

COLLECTION SELECTIVITY\*

ARTIFACT CATEGORIES\* and DISPOSITIONS\* (example: A bone-human)

- unknown, unselective (all artifacts), selective (some artifacts), mixed selectivity

Pick exactly one code from Disposition List

- bone-animal, bone-human, bone-unspecified, bone-worked, brick/building debris, ceramic-aboriginal, ceramic-nonaboriginal, daub, exotic-nonlocal, glass, lithics-aboriginal, metal-nonprecious, metal-precious/coin, shell-unworked, shell-worked, Others:

Disposition List: A- category always collected, S- some items in category collected, O- observed firsthand, but not collected, E- collected and subsequently left at site, I- informant reported category present, U- unknown

SPATIAL CONTROL\*

- uncollected, unknown, Other, general (not by subarea), controlled (by subarea), variable spatial control

Artifact Comments Four waste flakes of chert and coral; 1 bitface fragment

DIAGNOSTICS (Type or mode, and frequency: e.g., Suwannee ppk, heat-treated chert, Deptford Check-stamped, ironstone/whiteware)

- 1. N= 5. N= 9. N=
2. N= 6. N= 10. N=
3. N= 7. N= 11. N=
4. N= 8. N= 12. N=

ENVIRONMENT

Nearest fresh water type\* & name (incl. refict source) Pithlachascotee River Distance (m)/bearing 10w/West
Natural community (FNAI category\* or leave blank)
Local vegetation Oak, pines, saw palmetto, vines
Topography\* Knoll and slope Min Elevation 18 meters Max Elevation 20 meters
Present land use Vacant
SCS soil series Narcoossee fine sand Soil association Smyrna-Sellers-Myakka

FURTHER INFORMATION

Informant(s): Name/Address/Phone/Email None
Describe field & analysis notes, artifacts, photos. For each, give type\* (e.g., notes), curating organization \*, accession #s, and short description.
ACI, Sarasota pending transfer to FDOT District Seven, Tampa

Manuscripts or Publications on the site (Use continuation sheet, give FMSF# if relevant) ACI, 2001, CRAS Update Technical Memorandum, State Road 52 from Moon Lake Road to US 41 PD&E Study Reevaluation, Pasco County


Recorder(s): Name/Addr./Phone/Email Joan Deming c/o ACI/2345 Bee Ridge Rd., Ste 6, Sarasota, FL 34239/941-925-9906/acimainfl@cs.com
Affiliation\* or FAS Chapter Archaeological Consultants, Inc.

\* Consult Guide to Archaeological Site Form for preferred descriptions not listed above (data are "coded fields" at the Site File).

SITE PLAN & USGS REQUIREMENT: 300 (1:3600) or larger scale, show site boundaries, scale, north arrow, datum, test/collection units, landmarks, mappers, date.



USGS MAP



# HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE

Site #8 8PA1348

Original  
 Update  
(give site #)

Version 3.0 11/96

Consult Guide To Historical Structure Forms for detailed instructions.

Recorder # 1/1  
Field Date 4/24/01  
Form Date 4/30/01

Site Name(s) (address if none) 13404 S.R. 52 Multiple Listing [DHR only]  
Survey CRAS of S.R. 52 from Moon Lake Road to U.S. 41 Survey #  
National Register Category (Please check one: consult with Site File before using last four):  building  structure  district  site  object

### LOCATION & IDENTIFICATION

Address (Include N,S,E,W,#;St.,Ave.,etc.) 13404 S.R. 52  
Cross Streets (nearest/between) Moon Lake Road and Hays Road on S  
City/Town (within 3 miles) Fivay Junction In Current City Limits:  y  n  unknown  
County Pasco Tax Parcel #(s) 1025170000002000000  
Subdivision name N/A Block N/A Lot N/A  
Ownership (Please check one):  private-profit  private-individual  city  county  Native American  
 private-nonprofit  private-unspecified  state  federal  foreign  unknown  
Name of Public Tract (e.g., park)  
Route to (especially if no street address)

### MAPPING

USGS 7.5' Map Name & Date Fivay Junction, Fla. 1954 PR 1988  
Township 25S Range 17E Section 10 1/4 section:  NW  SW  SE  NE  Irregular-name:  
Landgrant UTM: Zone  16  17 Easting 343792 Northing 3134943  
Plat or other map (map's name, location)

### DESCRIPTION

Style\* Frame Vernacular Exterior Plan\* irregular Number of Stories 1  
Structural System(s)\* wood frame  
Foundation: Type(s)\* pier Material(s)\* concrete  
Exterior Fabric(s)\* asbestos shingle  
Roof: Type(s)\* gable, shed Material(s)\* composition shingle  
Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.)\*  
Chimney: No 1 Material(s)\* brick Location(s)\* interior W slope  
Windows (types, materials, etc.)\* 3 light awning, metal, paired & independent; 2/2 SHS, metal, independent

Main Entrance (stylistic details)  
Porches: #open 1 #closed #incised Location(s) north  
Porch roof type(s) shed  
Exterior Ornament exposed rafter ends, gable vents

Interior Plan\* unknown  
Condition (Please check one):  excellent  good  fair  deteriorated  ruinous  
Surroundings (N=None, S=Some, M=Most, A=All/nearly all): commercial residential institutional A undeveloped  
Ancillary Features (No., type of outbuildings; major landscape features. Use continuation sheet for descriptions of interior, landscaping, etc.)  
ca. 1945 barn on east

Archaeological Remains None observed.  Check if Archaeological Form completed  
\*Consult Guide to Historical Structure Forms for preferred descriptions (coded fields at the Site File).

DHR USE ONLY		OFFICIAL EVALUATIONS		DHR USE ONLY	
NR DATE	KEEPER-NR ELIGIBILITY <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no			Date	
	SHPO-NR ELIGIBILITY: <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no <input type="checkbox"/> potentially elig. <input type="checkbox"/> insufficient info			Date	
DELIST DATE	LOCAL DESIGNATION:			Date	
	Local office				
National Register Criteria for Evaluation: <input type="checkbox"/> a <input type="checkbox"/> b <input type="checkbox"/> c <input type="checkbox"/> d (See National Register Bulletin 15, p.2)					

Consult Guide to Historical Structure Forms for detailed instructions

## HISTORY

Construction date: Exactly \_\_\_\_\_ (year) Approximately 1945 (year) Earlier than \_\_\_\_\_ (year) Later than \_\_\_\_\_ (year)Architect (last name first): unknown Builder (last name first) unknownMoves:  yes  no  unknown Date \_\_\_\_\_ Original address \_\_\_\_\_Alterations:  yes  no  unknown Date ca.1965;75 Nature\* repl windows, siding, encl N porch; repl windowsAdditions:  yes  no  unknown Date ca.1965 Nature\* room addt. on S, porch addt. on NOriginal Use\* (give date ranges) private residenceIntermediate Uses\* (give date ranges) private residencePresent Use\* (give date ranges) private residenceOwnership History (especially original owner, dates, profession, etc.) Michael and Paula Gardner (2000); Lord Pichardo & Castro, Inc. (1995)

\*Consult Guide to Historical Structure Forms for preferred descriptions (coded fields at the Site File).

## RESEARCH METHODS (Check all choices that apply; if needed write others at bottom)

- |   |   |   |  |
|---|---|---|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> formal archaeological survey         | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> past surveys search at FMSF | <input type="checkbox"/> local library research     | <input type="checkbox"/> Sanborn maps          |
| <input type="checkbox"/> informal archaeological inspection   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> past sites search at FMSF   | <input type="checkbox"/> non-local library research | <input type="checkbox"/> subdivision maps      |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Public Lands Survey (DEP) | <input type="checkbox"/> FL Archives (Gray Building)            | <input type="checkbox"/> building permits           | <input type="checkbox"/> plat maps             |
| <input type="checkbox"/> tax records/property deeds           | <input type="checkbox"/> FL Photo Archives (Gray Building)      | <input type="checkbox"/> demolition permits         | <input type="checkbox"/> local newspaper files |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> tax records only          | <input type="checkbox"/> occupant/owner interview               | <input type="checkbox"/> commercial permits         |  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> interior inspection                  | <input type="checkbox"/> neighbor interview                     | <input type="checkbox"/> occupation permits         |  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> other methods (specify) _____        |   |   |  |

## SURVEYOR'S EVALUATION OF SITE (Check one choice on each line)

- Potentially eligible for local register?  yes: name register at right  no  insufficient info Name of local register if eligible: \_\_\_\_\_
- Individually eligible for National Register?  yes  no  insufficient info
- Potential contributor to Nat. Reg. district?  yes  no  insufficient info
- Area(s) of Historical Significance (See National Register Bulletin 15, p. 8 for categories: e.g. "architecture," "ethnic heritage," "community planning & development," etc.) \_\_\_\_\_
- Community Planning and Development \_\_\_\_\_

Explanation of Evaluation (required, whether positive or not; limit to three lines; attach longer statement, if needed, on separate sheet) \_\_\_\_\_

Extensive non-historic and unsympathetic alterations have diminished the architectural integrity of this \_\_\_\_\_  
typical Frame Vernacular residence. The limited information available did not indicate any historical significance.

Thus, it does not appear to meet NRHP eligibility criteria.

## DOCUMENTATION (Photos, Plans, etc.)

Bibliographic References (Use Continuation Sheet, give FMSF Manuscript # if relevant) Pasco County Property Appraiser's Office; Horgan, James J., et al, The Historic Places of Pasco County, Pasco County Historical Preservation Committee, 1992.

Photographs (required) B&amp;W print(s) at least 3x5, at least one main facade.

Location of negatives & negative numbers Archaeological Consultants, Inc. Roll 1/1-2 and 2/1

## RECORDER

Name (last name first)/Address/Phone/Fax/Email/Affiliatio Hinder, Kimberly and Tesa Norman,  
Archaeological Consultants, Inc./ P.O. Box 5103, Sarasota, FL 34277-5103/(941)925-9906/(941)925-9767/ACIMAIN@COMPUSERVE.COM


Remember: Use a Supplement for Site Forms or other continuation sheet for descriptions that do not fit in the spaces above.


**REQUIRED:** (1) USGS 7.5' MAP WITH STRUCTURE PINPOINTED IN RED  
(2) LARGE SCALE STREET OR PLAT MAP  
(3) PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, PREFER B&W, AT LEAST 3x5

PHOTOGRAPH




STREET OR PLAT MAP





Fivay Junction, Fla. 1954, PR 1988



# HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE

Site #8 PA1349

Original  
 Update  
(give site #)

Version 3.0 11/96

Recorder # 2/2

Field Date 4/24/01

Form Date 4/30/01

Consult Guide To Historical Structure Forms for detailed instructions.

Site Name(s) (address if none) 17750 S.R. 52 Multiple Listing [DHR only] \_\_\_\_\_  
Survey CRAS of S.R. 52 from Moon Lake Road to U.S. 41 Survey # \_\_\_\_\_  
National Register Category (Please check one: consult with Site File before using last four):  building  structure  district  site  object

### LOCATION & IDENTIFICATION

Address (Include N,S,E,W,#,St.,Ave.,etc.) 17750 S.R. 52  
Cross Streets (nearest/between) SW corner of S.R. 52 and Kent Grove Drive  
City/Town (within 3 miles) Fivay Junction in Current City Limits:  y  n  unknown  
County Pasco Tax Parcel #(s) 0825180000009000010  
Subdivision name N/A Block N/A Lot N/A  
Ownership (Please check one):  private-profit  private-individual  city  county  Native American  
 private-nonprofit  private-unspecified  state  federal  foreign  unknown  
Name of Public Tract (e.g., park) \_\_\_\_\_  
Route to (especially if no street address) \_\_\_\_\_

### MAPPING

USGS 7.5' Map Name & Date Fivay Junction, Fla. 1954 PR 1988  
Township 25S Range 18E Section 8 1/4 section:  NW  SW  SE  NE  Irregular-name:  
Landgrant \_\_\_\_\_ UTM: Zone  16  17 Easting 350835 Northing 3134111  
Plat or other map (map's name, location) \_\_\_\_\_

### DESCRIPTION

Style\* Frame Vernacular Exterior Plan\* irregular Number of Stories 1  
Structural System(s)\* wood frame  
Foundation: Type(s)\* continuous, pier Material(s)\* concrete block  
Exterior Fabric(s)\* plywood  
Roof: Type(s)\* clipped gable, shed Material(s)\* composition shingle  
Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.)\* \_\_\_\_\_  
Chimney: No 1 Material(s)\* masonry Location(s)\* exterior east wall  
Windows (types, materials, etc.)\* 2/2 SHS, metal, independent  
Main Entrance (stylistic details) \_\_\_\_\_  
Porches: #open 1 #closed \_\_\_\_\_ #incised \_\_\_\_\_ Location(s) north  
Porch roof type(s) shed  
Exterior Ornament gable vents  
Interior Plan\* unknown  
Condition (Please check one):  excellent  good  fair  deteriorated  ruinous  
Surroundings (N=None, S=Some, M=Most, A=All/nearly all): commercial S residential institutional S undeveloped  
Ancillary Features (No., type of outbuildings; major landscape features. Use continuation sheet for descriptions of interior, landscaping, etc)  
ca. 1950 garage/shed on south

Archaeological Remains None observed.  Check if Archaeological Form completed  
\*Consult Guide to Historical Structure Forms for preferred descriptions (coded fields at the Site File).

<b>DHR USE ONLY</b>		<b>OFFICIAL EVALUATIONS</b>		<b>DHR USE ONLY</b>	
NR DATE	KEEPER-NR ELIGIBILITY: <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no			Date	
	SHPO-NR ELIGIBILITY: <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no <input type="checkbox"/> potentially elig. <input type="checkbox"/> insufficient info			Date	
DELIST DATE	LOCAL DESIGNATION:			Date	
	Local office				
National Register Criteria for Evaluation <input type="checkbox"/> a <input type="checkbox"/> b <input type="checkbox"/> c <input type="checkbox"/> d (See National Register Bulletin 15, p.2)					

Consult Guide to Historical Structure Forms for detailed instructions

HISTORY

Construction date: Exactly (year) Approximately 1950 (year) Earlier than (year) Later than (year)

Architect (last name first): unknown Builder (last name first) unknown

Moves: [ ] yes [X] no [ ] unknown Date Original address

Alterations: [X] yes [ ] no [ ] unknown Date ca.1985 Nature\* repl windows & siding

Additions: [X] yes [ ] no [ ] unknown Date ca.1970;85 Nature\* room addt on S; room addt on E

Original Use\* (give date ranges) private residence

Intermediate Uses\* (give date ranges) private residence

Present Use\* (give date ranges) private residence

Ownership History (especially original owner, dates, profession, etc.) Ford and Geraldine Swafford (1980)

\*Consult Guide to Historical Structure Forms for preferred descriptions (coded fields at the Site File).

RESEARCH METHODS (Check all choices that apply; if needed write others at bottom)

- [ ] formal archaeological survey [X] past surveys search at FMSF [ ] local library research [ ] Sanborn maps
[ ] informal archaeological inspection [X] past sites search at FMSF [ ] non-local library research [ ] subdivision maps
[X] Public Lands Survey (DEP) [ ] FL Archives (Gray Building) [ ] building permits [ ] plat maps
[ ] tax records/property deeds [ ] FL Photo Archives (Gray Building) [ ] demolition permits [ ] local newspaper files
[X] tax records only [ ] occupant/owner interview [ ] commercial permits
[ ] interior inspection [ ] neighbor interview [ ] occupation permits
[ ] other methods (specify)

SURVEYOR'S EVALUATION OF SITE (Check one choice on each line)

Potentially eligible for local register? [ ] yes: name register at right [X] no [ ] insufficient info Name of local register if eligible:
Individually eligible for National Register? [ ] yes [X] no [ ] insufficient info
Potential contributor to Nat. Reg. district? [ ] yes [X] no [ ] insufficient info
Area(s) of Historical Significance (See National Register Bulletin 15, p. 8 for categories: e.g. "architecture," "ethnic heritage," "community planning & development," etc.)
Community Planning and Development

Explanation of Evaluation (required, whether positive or not; limit to three lines; attach longer statement, if needed, on separate sheet)
The limited data available did not indicate that this residence is historically significant. Furthermore, extensive alterations have negatively impacted the integrity of this typical Frame Vernacular building. Therefore, this building does not appear to meet NRHP eligibility criteria.

DOCUMENTATION (Photos, Plans, etc.)

Bibliographic References (Use Continuation Sheet, give FMSF Manuscript # if relevant) Pasco County Property Appraiser's Office; Horgan, James J., et al, The Historic Places of Pasco County, Pasco County Historical Preservation Committee, 1992.

Photographs (required) B&W print(s) at least 3x5, at least one main facade.
Location of negatives & negative numbers Archaeological Consultants, Inc. Roll 2/2-5


RECORDER

Name (last name first)/Address/Phone/Fax/Email/Affiliatio Hinder, Kimberly and Tesa Norman,
Archaeological Consultants, Inc./ P.O. Box 5103, Sarasota, FL 34277-5103/(941)925-9906/(941)925-9767/ACIMAIN@COMPUSERVE.COM

Remember: Use a Supplement for Site Forms or other continuation sheet for descriptions that do not fit in the spaces above.

REQUIRED: (1) USGS 7.5' MAP WITH STRUCTURE PINPOINTED IN RED
(2) LARGE SCALE STREET OR PLAT MAP
(3) PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, PREFER B&W, AT LEAST 3x5


PHOTOGRAPH




STREET OR PLAT MAP


S.R. 52

17750 S. R. 52





Fivay Junction, Fla. 1954 PR 1988



# HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM

Site #8 PA1350

## FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE

Version 3.0 11/96

Recorder # 2/11

Field Date 4/24/01

Form Date 4/30/01

Original  
 Update  
(give site #)

Consult Guide To Historical Structure Forms for detailed instructions.

Site Name(s) (address if none) 18045 S.R. 52 Multiple Listing [DHR only] \_\_\_\_\_  
Survey CRAS of S.R. 52 from Moon Lake Road to U.S. 41 Survey # \_\_\_\_\_  
National Register Category (Please check one: consult with Site File before using last four):  building  structure  district  site  object

### LOCATION & IDENTIFICATION

Address (Include N,S,E,W,St.,Ave.,etc.) 18045 S.R. 52  
Cross Streets (nearest/between) between Pierce Lake Road and Davis Lane on north  
City/Town (within 3 miles) Fivay Junction In Current City Limits:  y  n  unknown  
County Pasco Tax Parcel #(s) 0925180000017000000  
Subdivision name N/A Block N/A Lot N/A  
Ownership (Please check one):  private-profit  private-individual  city  county  Native American  
 private-nonprofit  private-unspecified  state  federal  foreign  unknown  
Name of Public Tract (e.g., park) \_\_\_\_\_  
Route to (especially if no street address) \_\_\_\_\_

### MAPPING

USGS 7.5' Map Name & Date Fivay Junction, Fla. 1954 PR 1988  
Township 25S Range 18E Section 9 1/4 section:  NW  SW  SE  NE  Irregular-name: \_\_\_\_\_  
Landgrant \_\_\_\_\_ UTM: Zone  16  17 Easting 351313 Northing 3134130  
Plat or other map (map's name, location) \_\_\_\_\_

### DESCRIPTION

Style\* Frame Vernacular Exterior Plan\* irregular Number of Stories 1  
Structural System(s)\* wood frame  
Foundation: Type(s)\* pier Material(s)\* concrete  
Exterior Fabric(s)\* drop siding  
Roof: Type(s)\* gable Material(s)\* 5V crimp  
Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.)\* \_\_\_\_\_  
Chimney: No 0 Material(s)\* \_\_\_\_\_ Location(s)\* \_\_\_\_\_  
Windows (types, materials, etc.)\* jalousie, metal, paired; 2/2 SHS, metal, independent  
Main Entrance (stylistic details) \_\_\_\_\_  
Porches: #open 2 #closed \_\_\_\_\_ #incised \_\_\_\_\_ Location(s) south, north  
Porch roof type(s) shed, shed  
Exterior Ornament gable vents  
Interior Plan\* unknown  
Condition (Please check one):  excellent  good  fair  deteriorated  ruinous  
Surroundings (N=None, S=Some, M=Most, A=All/nearly all): commercial S residential institutional S undeveloped  
Ancillary Features (No., type of outbuildings; major landscape features. Use continuation sheet for descriptions of interior, landscaping, etc)  
ca. 1927 shed on north

Archaeological Remains None observed.  Check if Archaeological Form completed  
\*Consult Guide to Historical Structure Forms for preferred descriptions (coded fields at the Site File).

DHR USE ONLY		OFFICIAL EVALUATIONS		DHR USE ONLY	
NR DATE	KEEPER-NR ELIGIBILITY: <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no			Date	
DELIST DATE	SHPO-NR ELIGIBILITY: <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no <input type="checkbox"/> potentially elig. <input type="checkbox"/> insufficient info			Date	
	LOCAL DESIGNATION:			Date	
	Local office				
National Register Criteria for Evaluation <input type="checkbox"/> a <input type="checkbox"/> b <input type="checkbox"/> c <input type="checkbox"/> d (See National Register Bulletin 15, p.2)					

Consult Guide to Historical Structure Forms for detailed instructions

HISTORY

Construction date: Exactly \_\_\_\_\_ (year) Approximately 1927 (year) Earlier than \_\_\_\_\_ (year) Later than \_\_\_\_\_ (year)

Architect (last name first): unknown Builder (last name first) unknown

Moves: [ ] yes [X] no [ ] unknown Date \_\_\_\_\_ Original address \_\_\_\_\_

Alterations: [X] yes [ ] no [ ] unknown Date ca.1975 Nature\* repl windows

Additions: [X] yes [ ] no [ ] unknown Date ca. 1965;75 Nature\* room addt on E; room and porch addt on N

Original Use\* (give date ranges) private residence

Intermediate Uses\* (give date ranges) private residence

Present Use\* (give date ranges) private residence

Ownership History (especially original owner, dates, profession, etc.) Daniel and Clara Giddens (1961)

\*Consult Guide to Historical Structure Forms for preferred descriptions (coded fields at the Site File).

RESEARCH METHODS (Check all choices that apply; if needed write others at bottom)

- [ ] formal archaeological survey [X] past surveys search at FMSF [ ] local library research [ ] Sanborn maps
[ ] informal archaeological inspection [X] past sites search at FMSF [ ] non-local library research [ ] subdivision maps
[X] Public Lands Survey (DEP) [ ] FL Archives (Gray Building) [ ] building permits [ ] plat maps
[ ] tax records/property deeds [ ] FL Photo Archives (Gray Building) [ ] demolition permits [ ] local newspaper files
[X] tax records only [ ] occupant/owner interview [ ] commercial permits
[ ] interior inspection [ ] neighbor interview [ ] occupation permits
[ ] other methods (specify) \_\_\_\_\_

SURVEYOR'S EVALUATION OF SITE (Check one choice on each line)

Potentially eligible for local register? [ ] yes: name register at right [X] no [ ] insufficient info Name of local register if eligible: \_\_\_\_\_
Individually eligible for National Register? [ ] yes [X] no [ ] insufficient info
Potential contributor to Nat. Reg. district? [ ] yes [X] no [ ] insufficient info
Area(s) of Historical Significance (See National Register Bulletin 15, p. 8 for categories: e.g. "architecture," "ethnic heritage," "community planning & development," etc.)
Community Planning and Development

Explanation of Evaluation (required, whether positive or not; limit to three lines; attach longer statement, if needed, on separate sheet)
Alterations, including a large addition on the east elevation, have diminished the integrity of this typical 1920s era
Frame Vernacular residence. The limited information available did not indicate any historical significance.
As a result, this property does not appear to meet NRHP eligibility criteria.

DOCUMENTATION (Photos, Plans, etc.)

Bibliographic References (Use Continuation Sheet, give FMSF Manuscript # if relevant) Pasco County Property Appraiser's Office; Horgan, James J., et al, The Historic Places of Pasco County, Pasco County Historical Preservation Committee, 1992.

Photographs (required) B&W print(s) at least 3x5, at least one main facade.
Location of negatives & negative numbers Archaeological Consultants, Inc. Roll 2/11-12

RECORDER

Name (last name first)/Address/Phone/Fax/Email/Affiliatio Hinder, Kimberly and Tesa Norman,
Archaeological Consultants, Inc./ P.O. Box 5103, Sarasota, FL 34277-5103/(941)925-9906/(941)925-9767/ACIMAIN@COMPUSERVE.COM


Remember: Use a Supplement for Site Forms or other continuation sheet for descriptions that do not fit in the spaces above.

- REQUIRED: (1) USGS 7.5' MAP WITH STRUCTURE PINPOINTED IN RED
(2) LARGE SCALE STREET OR PLAT MAP
(3) PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, PREFER B&W, AT LEAST 3x5


PHOTOGRAPH



STRUCTURE PLAT MAP




18045 S.R. 52




S.R. 52



USGS MAP



Fivay Junction, Fla. 1954 PR 1988



# HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE

Site #8 PA1351

Recorder # 2/9

Field Date 4/24/01

Form Date 4/30/01

Original

Update

(give site #)

Version 3.0 11/96

Consult Guide To Historical Structure Forms for detailed instructions.

Site Name(s) (address if none) 18115 S.R. 52

Survey CRAS of S.R. 52 from Moon Lake Road to U.S. 41

Multiple Listing [DHR only]

Survey #

National Register Category (Please check one: consult with Site File before using last four):

building  structure  district  site  object

## LOCATION & IDENTIFICATION

Address (Include N,S,E,W;#;St.,Ave.,etc.) 18115 S.R. 52

Cross Streets (nearest/between) between Pierce Lake Road and Davis Lane on north

City/Town (within 3 miles) Fivay Junction

In Current City Limits:  y  n  unknown

County Pasco

Tax Parcel #(s) 0925180000012000000

Subdivision name N/A

Block N/A

Lot N/A

Ownership (Please check one):

private-profit  private-individual  city  county  Native American  
 private-nonprofit  private-unspecified  state  federal  foreign  unknown

Name of Public Tract (e.g., park)

Route to (especially if no street address)

## MAPPING

USGS 7.5' Map Name & Date Fivay Junction, Fla. 1954 PR 1988

Township 25S Range 18E Section 9 1/4 section:  NW  SW  SE  NE  Irregular-name:

Landgrant UTM: Zone  16  17 Easting 351379 Northing 3134115

Plat or other map (map's name, location)

## DESCRIPTION

Style\* Frame Vernacular Exterior Plan\* rectangular Number of Stories 1

Structural System(s)\* wood frame

Foundation: Type(s)\* pier Material(s)\* concrete

Exterior Fabric(s)\* drop siding

Roof: Type(s)\* gable Material(s)\* 5V crimp

Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.)\*

Chimney: No. 0 Material(s)\* Location(s)\*

Windows (types, materials, etc.)\* jalousie, metal, independent and paired

Main Entrance (stylistic details)

Porches: #open #closed #incised Location(s)

Porch roof type(s)

Exterior Ornament gable vents, exposed rafter ends

Interior Plan\* unknown

Condition (Please check one):  excellent  good  fair  deteriorated  ruinous

Surroundings (N=None, S=Some, M=Most, A=All/nearly all) commercial S residential institutional S undeveloped

Ancillary Features (No., type of outbuildings; major landscape features. Use continuation sheet for descriptions of interior, landscaping, etc)

ca. 1960 shed on north

Archaeological Remains None observed.

Check if Archaeological Form completed

\*Consult Guide to Historical Structure Forms for preferred descriptions (coded fields at the Site File).

DHR USE ONLY		OFFICIAL EVALUATIONS		DHR USE ONLY	
NR DATE	KEEPER-NR ELIGIBILITY <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no	Date			
	SHPO-NR ELIGIBILITY <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no <input type="checkbox"/> potentially elig <input type="checkbox"/> insufficient info	Date			
DELIST DATE	LOCAL DESIGNATION	Date			
	Local office				
National Register Criteria for Evaluation <input type="checkbox"/> a <input type="checkbox"/> b <input type="checkbox"/> c <input type="checkbox"/> d (See National Register Bulletin #15, p.2)					

# HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM

Site # 8PA1351

Consult Guide to Historical Structure Forms for detailed instructions

## HISTORY

Construction date: Exactly \_\_\_\_\_ (year) Approximately 1940 (year) Earlier than \_\_\_\_\_ (year) Later than \_\_\_\_\_ (year)

Architect (last name first): unknown Builder (last name first) unknown

Moves:  yes  no  unknown Date \_\_\_\_\_ Original address \_\_\_\_\_

Alterations:  yes  no  unknown Date ca.1960 Nature\* repl windows

Additions:  yes  no  unknown Date \_\_\_\_\_ Nature\* \_\_\_\_\_

Original Use\* (give date ranges) private residence

Intermediate Uses\* (give date ranges) private residence

Present Use\* (give date ranges) private residence

Ownership History (especially original owner, dates, profession, etc.) Daniel and Clara Giddens (1977)

\*Consult Guide to Historical Structure Forms for preferred descriptions (coded fields at the Site File).

## RESEARCH METHODS (Check all choices that apply; if needed write others at bottom)

- formal archaeological survey
- informal archaeological inspection
- Public Lands Survey (DEP)
- tax records/property deeds
- tax records only
- interior inspection
- other methods (specify) \_\_\_\_\_
- past surveys search at FMSF
- past sites search at FMSF
- FL Archives (Gray Building)
- FL Photo Archives (Gray Building)
- occupant/owner interview
- neighbor interview
- local library research
- non-local library research
- building permits
- demolition permits
- commercial permits
- occupation permits
- Sanborn maps
- subdivision maps
- plat maps
- local newspaper files

## SURVEYOR'S EVALUATION OF SITE (Check one choice on each line)

Potentially eligible for local register?  yes: name register at right  no  insufficient info Name of local register if eligible: \_\_\_\_\_

Individually eligible for National Register?  yes  no  insufficient info \_\_\_\_\_

Potential contributor to Nat. Reg. district?  yes  no  insufficient info \_\_\_\_\_

Area(s) of Historical Significance (See National Register Bulletin 15, p. 8 for categories: e.g. "architecture," "ethnic heritage," "community planning & development," etc.)

Community Planning and Development \_\_\_\_\_

Explanation of Evaluation (required, whether positive or not; limit to three lines; attach longer statement, if needed, on separate sheet)

This building is typical of Frame Vernacular construction found throughout Pasco County. Limited research did not reveal any historical significance. Consequently, this property does not appear NRHP eligible.

## DOCUMENTATION (Photos, Plans, etc.)

Bibliographic References (Use Continuation Sheet, give FMSF Manuscript # if relevant) Pasco County Property Appraiser's Office; Horgan, James J., et al, The Historic Places of Pasco County, Pasco County Historical Preservation Committee, 1992.

Photographs (required) B&W print(s) at least 3x5, at least one main facade.

Location of negatives & negative numbers Archaeological Consultants, Inc. Roll 2/9-10

## RECORDER

Name (last name first)/Address/Phone/Fax/Email/Affiliatio Hinder, Kimberly and Tesa Norman,

Archaeological Consultants, Inc./ P.O. Box 5103, Sarasota, FL 34277-5103/(941)925-9906/(941)925-9767/ACIMAIN@COMPUSERVE.COM



Remember: Use a Supplement for Site Forms or other continuation sheet for descriptions that do not fit in the spaces above.

- REQUIRED:**
- (1) USGS 7.5' MAP WITH STRUCTURE PINPOINTED IN RED
  - (2) LARGE SCALE STREET OR PLAT MAP
  - (3) PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, PREFER B&W, AT LEAST 3x5

PHOTOGRAPH




SKETCH OR PLAN MAP




18115 S.R. 52

S.R. 52





Fivay Junction, Fla. 1954 PR 1988



# HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE

Site #8 PA1352

Original  
 Update  
(give site #)

Version 3.0 11/96

Consult Guide To Historical Structure Forms for detailed instructions.

Recorder # 2/7  
Field Date 4/24/01  
Form Date 4/30/01

Site Name(s) (address if none) 18136 S.R. 52 Multiple Listing [DHR only] \_\_\_\_\_  
Survey CRAS of S.R. 52 from Moon Lake Road to U.S. 41 Survey # \_\_\_\_\_  
National Register Category (Please check one: consult with Site File before using last four):  building  structure  district  site  object

### LOCATION & IDENTIFICATION

Address (Include N,S,E,W,St.,Ave.,etc.) 18136 S.R. 52  
Cross Streets (nearest/between) between Pierce Lake Road and Davis Lane on south  
City/Town (within 3 miles) Fivay Junction In Current City Limits:  y  n  unknown  
County Pasco Tax Parcel #(s) 092518000002500000  
Subdivision name N/A Block N/A Lot N/A  
Ownership (Please check one):  private-profit  private-individual  city  county  Native American  
 private-nonprofit  private-unspecified  state  federal  foreign  unknown  
Name of Public Tract (e.g., park) \_\_\_\_\_  
Route to (especially if no street address) \_\_\_\_\_

### MAPPING

USGS 7.5' Map Name & Date Fivay Junction, Fla. 1954 PR 1988  
Township 25S Range 18E Section 9 1/4 section:  NW  SW  SE  NE  Irregular-name: \_\_\_\_\_  
Landgrant \_\_\_\_\_ UTM: Zone  16  17 Easting 351427 Northing 3134065  
Plat or other map (map's name, location) \_\_\_\_\_

### DESCRIPTION

Style\* Frame Vernacular Exterior Plan\* irregular Number of Stories 1  
Structural System(s)\* wood frame  
Foundation: Type(s)\* continuous Material(s)\* concrete  
Exterior Fabric(s)\* asbestos shingle  
Roof: Type(s)\* hip Material(s)\* composition shingle  
Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.)\* \_\_\_\_\_  
Chimney: No 0 Material(s)\* \_\_\_\_\_ Location(s)\* \_\_\_\_\_  
Windows (types, materials, etc.)\* 2 light awning, metal, independent; 1/1 SHS, metal, independent; 2/2 SHS, metal, independent  
Main Entrance (stylistic details) \_\_\_\_\_  
Porches: #open \_\_\_\_\_ #closed \_\_\_\_\_ #incised \_\_\_\_\_ Location(s) \_\_\_\_\_  
Porch roof type(s) \_\_\_\_\_  
Exterior Ornament exposed rafter ends  
Interior Plan\* unknown  
Condition (Please check one):  excellent  good  fair  deteriorated  ruinous  
Surroundings (N=None, S=Some, M=Most, A=All/nearly all): S commercial S residential \_\_\_\_\_ institutional \_\_\_\_\_ S undeveloped  
Ancillary Features (No., type of outbuildings; major landscape features. Use continuation sheet for descriptions of interior, landscaping, etc) \_\_\_\_\_

Archaeological Remains None observed.  Check if Archaeological Form completed  
\*Consult Guide to Historical Structure Forms for preferred descriptions (coded fields at the Site File).

DHR USE ONLY		OFFICIAL EVALUATIONS		DHR USE ONLY	
NR DATE	KEEPER-NR ELIGIBILITY <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no			Date	
DELIST DATE	SHPO-NR ELIGIBILITY <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no <input type="checkbox"/> potentially elig <input type="checkbox"/> insufficient info			Date	
	LOCAL DESIGNATION: _____			Date	
	Local office				
National Register Criteria for Evaluation: <input type="checkbox"/> a <input type="checkbox"/> b <input type="checkbox"/> c <input type="checkbox"/> d (See National Register Bulletin 15, p.2)					

Consult Guide to Historical Structure Forms for detailed instructions

## HISTORY

Construction date: Exactly \_\_\_\_\_ (year) Approximately 1950 (year) Earlier than \_\_\_\_\_ (year) Later than \_\_\_\_\_ (year)

Architect (last name first): unknown Builder (last name first) unknown

Moves:  yes  no  unknown Date \_\_\_\_\_ Original address \_\_\_\_\_

Alterations:  yes  no  unknown Date ca.1970;80 Nature\* repl windows; repl windows

Additions:  yes  no  unknown Date \_\_\_\_\_ Nature\* \_\_\_\_\_

Original Use\* (give date ranges) private residence

Intermediate Uses\* (give date ranges) private residence

Present Use\* (give date ranges) private residence

Ownership History (especially original owner, dates, profession, etc.) William G. Frawley Estate (1983)

\*Consult Guide to Historical Structure Forms for preferred descriptions (coded fields at the Site File).

## RESEARCH METHODS (Check all choices that apply; if needed write others at bottom)

<input type="checkbox"/> formal archaeological survey	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> past surveys search at FMSF	<input type="checkbox"/> local library research	<input type="checkbox"/> Sanborn maps
<input type="checkbox"/> informal archaeological inspection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> past sites search at FMSF	<input type="checkbox"/> non-local library research	<input type="checkbox"/> subdivision maps
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Public Lands Survey (DEP)	<input type="checkbox"/> FL Archives (Gray Building)	<input type="checkbox"/> building permits	<input type="checkbox"/> plat maps
<input type="checkbox"/> tax records/property deeds	<input type="checkbox"/> FL Photo Archives (Gray Building)	<input type="checkbox"/> demolition permits	<input type="checkbox"/> local newspaper files
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> tax records only	<input type="checkbox"/> occupant/owner interview	<input type="checkbox"/> commercial permits	
<input type="checkbox"/> interior inspection	<input type="checkbox"/> neighbor interview	<input type="checkbox"/> occupation permits	
<input type="checkbox"/> other methods (specify) _____			

## SURVEYOR'S EVALUATION OF SITE (Check one choice on each line)

Potentially eligible for local register?  yes: name register at right  no  insufficient info Name of local register if eligible: \_\_\_\_\_

Individually eligible for National Register?  yes  no  insufficient info \_\_\_\_\_

Potential contributor to Nat. Reg. district?  yes  no  insufficient info \_\_\_\_\_

Area(s) of Historical Significance (See National Register Bulletin 15, p. 8 for categories: e.g. "architecture," "ethnic heritage," "community planning & development," etc.) \_\_\_\_\_

Community Planning and Development \_\_\_\_\_

Explanation of Evaluation (required, whether positive or not; limit to three lines; attach longer statement, if needed, on separate sheet) \_\_\_\_\_

This building is typical of post-World War II Frame Vernacular construction. In addition, alterations have diminished its architectural integrity and the limited historical information available did not indicate any significance. Therefore, this property does not appear NRHP eligible.

## DOCUMENTATION (Photos, Plans, etc.)

Bibliographic References (Use Continuation Sheet, give FMSF Manuscript # if relevant) Pasco County Property Appraiser's Office; Horgan, James J., et al, The Historic Places of Pasco County, Pasco County Historical Preservation Committee, 1992.

Photographs (required) B&W print(s) at least 3x5, at least one main facade. \_\_\_\_\_

Location of negatives & negative numbers Archaeological Consultants, Inc. Roll 2/7-8

## RECORDER

Name (last name first)/Address/Phone/Fax/Email/Affiliation Hinder, Kimberly and Tesa Norman, Archaeological Consultants, Inc./ P.O. Box 5103, Sarasota, FL 34277-5103/(941)925-9906/(941)925-9767/ACIMAIN@COMPUSERVE.COM

Remember: Use a Supplement for Site Forms or other continuation sheet for descriptions that do not fit in the spaces above.


**REQUIRED:** (1) USGS 7.5' MAP WITH STRUCTURE PINPOINTED IN RED  
 (2) LARGE SCALE STREET OR PLAT MAP  
 (3) PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, PREFER B&W, AT LEAST 3x5

PHOTOGRAPH




STREET OR PLAT MAP


S.R. 52



USGS MAP



Fivay Junction, Fla. 1954 PR 1988



# HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE

Site #8 PA1353  
Recorder # 2/13  
Field Date 4/24/01  
Form Date 4/30/01

Original  
 Update  
(give site #)

Version 3.0 11/96

Consult Guide To Historical Structure Forms for detailed instructions.

Site Name(s) (address if none) 18340 S.R. 52 Multiple Listing [DHR only] \_\_\_\_\_  
Survey CRAS of S.R. 52 from Moon Lake Road to U.S. 41 Survey # \_\_\_\_\_  
National Register Category (Please check one; consult with Site File before using last four):  building  structure  district  site  object

### LOCATION & IDENTIFICATION

Address (Include N,S,E,W, #; St., Ave., etc.) 18340 S.R. 52  
Cross Streets (nearest/between) between Davis Lane and U.S. 41 on south  
City/Town (within 3 miles) Fivay Junction In Current City Limits:  y  n  unknown  
County Pasco Tax Parcel #(s) 0925180000031000000  
Subdivision name N/A Block N/A Lot N/A  
Ownership (Please check one):  private-profit  private-individual  city  county  Native American  
 private-nonprofit  private-unspecified  state  federal  foreign  unknown  
Name of Public Tract (e.g., park) \_\_\_\_\_  
Route to (especially if no street address) \_\_\_\_\_

### MAPPING

USGS 7.5' Map Name & Date Fivay Junction, Fla. 1954 PR 1988  
Township 25S Range 18E Section 9 1/4 section:  NW  SW  SE  NE  Irregular-name: \_\_\_\_\_  
Landgrant \_\_\_\_\_ UTM: Zone  16  17 Easting 351763 Northing 3133907  
Plat or other map (map's name, location) \_\_\_\_\_

### DESCRIPTION

Style\* Frame Vernacular Exterior Plan\* rectangular Number of Stories 1  
Structural System(s)\* wood frame  
Foundation: Type(s)\* pier Material(s)\* concrete  
Exterior Fabric(s)\* drop siding  
Roof: Type(s)\* gable Material(s)\* 5V crimp  
Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.)\* \_\_\_\_\_  
Chimney: No 1 Material(s)\* brick Location(s)\* interior north slope  
Windows (types, materials, etc.)\* 1/1 DHS, wood, independent  
Main Entrance (stylistic details) \_\_\_\_\_  
Porches: #open \_\_\_\_\_ #closed \_\_\_\_\_ #incised \_\_\_\_\_ Location(s) \_\_\_\_\_  
Porch roof type(s) \_\_\_\_\_  
Exterior Ornament \_\_\_\_\_  
Interior Plan\* unknown  
Condition (Please check one):  excellent  good  fair  deteriorated  ruinous  
Surroundings (N=None, S=Some, M=Most, A=All/nearly all): commercial S residential institutional S undeveloped  
Ancillary Features (No., type of outbuildings; major landscape features. Use continuation sheet for descriptions of interior, landscaping, etc)  
ca. 1940 garage/shed on NW

Archaeological Remains None observed.  Check if Archaeological Form completed  
\*Consult Guide to Historical Structure Forms for preferred descriptions (coded fields at the Site File).

DHR USE ONLY		OFFICIAL EVALUATIONS		DHR USE ONLY	
NR DATE	KEEPER-NR ELIGIBILITY <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no			Date	
DELIST DATE	SHPO-NR ELIGIBILITY: <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no <input type="checkbox"/> potentially elig <input type="checkbox"/> insufficient info			Date	
	LOCAL DESIGNATION: _____			Date	
	Local office				
National Register Criteria for Evaluation: <input type="checkbox"/> a <input type="checkbox"/> b <input type="checkbox"/> c <input type="checkbox"/> d (See National Register Bulletin 15, p.2)					

# HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM

Site # 8 PA1353

Consult Guide to Historical Structure Forms for detailed instructions

## HISTORY

Construction date: Exactly \_\_\_\_\_ (year) Approximately 1925 (year) Earlier than \_\_\_\_\_ (year) Later than \_\_\_\_\_ (year)

Architect (last name first): unknown Builder (last name first) unknown

Moves:  yes  no  unknown Date \_\_\_\_\_ Original address \_\_\_\_\_

Alterations:  yes  no  unknown Date \_\_\_\_\_ Nature\* \_\_\_\_\_

Additions:  yes  no  unknown Date ca. 1980 Nature\* carport addt. on east

Original Use\* (give date ranges) private residence

Intermediate Uses\* (give date ranges) private residence

Present Use\* (give date ranges) private residence

Ownership History (especially original owner, dates, profession, etc.) Herbert H. Cox, Jr. (1969)

\*Consult Guide to Historical Structure Forms for preferred descriptions (coded fields at the Site File).

## RESEARCH METHODS (Check all choices that apply; if needed write others at bottom)

- formal archaeological survey
- informal archaeological inspection
- Public Lands Survey (DEP)
- tax records/property deeds
- tax records only
- interior inspection
- other methods (specify) \_\_\_\_\_
- past surveys search at FMSF
- past sites search at FMSF
- FL Archives (Gray Building)
- FL Photo Archives (Gray Building)
- occupant/owner interview
- neighbor interview
- local library research
- non-local library research
- building permits
- demolition permits
- commercial permits
- occupation permits
- Sanborn maps
- subdivision maps
- plat maps
- local newspaper files

## SURVEYOR'S EVALUATION OF SITE (Check one choice on each line)

Potentially eligible for local register?  yes: name register at right  no  insufficient info Name of local register if eligible: \_\_\_\_\_

Individually eligible for National Register?  yes  no  insufficient info \_\_\_\_\_

Potential contributor to Nat. Reg. district?  yes  no  insufficient info \_\_\_\_\_

Area(s) of Historical Significance (See National Register Bulletin 15, p. 8 for categories: e.g. "architecture," "ethnic heritage," "community planning & development," etc.)  
Community Planning and Development

Explanation of Evaluation (required, whether positive or not; limit to three lines; attach longer statement, if needed, on separate sheet)  
This building is typical of 1920s Frame Vernacular architecture. The limited information available did not indicate any historical significance. Consequently, this building does not appear NRHP eligible.

## DOCUMENTATION (Photos, Plans, etc.)

Bibliographic References (Use Continuation Sheet, give FMSF Manuscript # if relevant) Pasco County Property Appraiser's Office; Horgan, James J., et al, The Historic Places of Pasco County, Pasco County Historical Preservation Committee, 1992.

Photographs (required) B&W print(s) at least 3x5, at least one main facade.  
Location of negatives & negative numbers Archaeological Consultants, Inc. Roll 2/13-14


## RECORDER

Name (last name first)/Address/Phone/Fax/Email/Affiliation Hinder, Kimberly and Tesa Norman, Archaeological Consultants, Inc./ P.O. Box 5103, Sarasota, FL 34277-5103/(941)925-9906/(941)925-9767/ACIMAIN@COMPUSERVE.COM

Remember: Use a Supplement for Site Forms or other continuation sheet for descriptions that do not fit in the spaces above.



- REQUIRED:**
- (1) USGS 7.5' MAP WITH STRUCTURE PINPOINTED IN RED
  - (2) LARGE SCALE STREET OR PLAT MAP
  - (3) PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, PREFER B&W, AT LEAST 3x5

PHOTOGRAPH




STREET OR PLAT MAP


S.R. 52



USGS MAP



Fivay Junction, Fla. 1954 PR 1988




## **Appendix B: Survey Log Sheet**

Form Date 9/17/01

# Survey Log Sheet

Florida Master Site File  
Version 2.0 9/97



Consult *Guide to the Survey Log Sheet* for detailed instructions.

Recorder of Log Sheet Joan Deming

### Identification and Bibliographic Information

Survey Project (Name and project phase) SR 52 from Moon Lake Road to US 41 PD&E Study  
Reevaluation, Pasco County

Is this a continuation of a previous project?  No  Yes Previous Survey(s)

Report Title (exactly as on title page) Cultural Resource Assessment Survey Update Technical Memorandum,  
State Road 52 from Moon Lake Road to US 41 (SR 45) Project Development and Environment (PD&E)  
Study Reevaluation, Pasco County, Florida WPI No. 2562431; FAP No. 1851-108

Report Author(s) (as on title page-individual or corporate) Joan Deming  
Archaeological Consultants, Inc.

Publication Date (month/year) 11/01 Total Number of Pages in Report (Count text, figures, tables, not site forms) 23

Publication Information (if relevant, series and no. in series, publisher, and city. For article or chapter, cite page numbers. Use the style of *American Antiquity*. See *Guide to the Survey Log Sheet*.) Archaeological Consultants, Inc.  
P.O. Box 5103, Sarasota, FL 34277-5103

Supervisor(s) of Fieldwork (whether or not the same as author[s]) Joan Deming

Affiliation of Fieldworkers (organization, city) Archaeological Consultants, Inc.

Key Words/Phrases (Don't use the county, or common words like *archaeology, structure, survey, architecture*. Put the most important first. Limit each word or phrase to 25 characters). SR 52, Ponds

Survey Sponsors (corporation, government unit, or person who is directly paying for fieldwork)

Name Florida Department of Transportation, District Seven

Address/Phone 11201 N. McKinley Drive, Tampa, FL 33612-6456

### Mapping

Counties (List each one in which field survey was done-do not abbreviate) Pasco

USGS 1:24,000 Map(s): Names/Dates: Fivay Junction, Fla. 1954, PR 1988

Remarks (Use supplementary sheet[s] if needed) Sparse cultural materials associated with three previously recorded and  
one newly discovered archaeological sites. Six historic structures recorded adjacent to ROW.

### Description of Survey Area

Dates for Fieldwork: Start 7/01 End 10/01 Total Area Surveyed (fill in one) \_\_\_\_\_ hectares 35 acres

Number of Distinct Tracts or Areas Surveyed 19

If Corridor (fill in one for each) Width \_\_\_\_\_ meters 156 feet Length \_\_\_\_\_ kilometers 5.7 miles

Types of Survey (check all that apply)  archaeological  architectural  historical/archival  underwater  other: \_\_\_\_\_

### Survey Log Sheet of the Florida Master Site File

#### Research and Field Methods

Preliminary Methods (Check as many as apply to the project as a whole. If needed write others at bottom).

- Florida Archives (Gray Building)
- FMSF site property search
- FMSF survey search
- other (describe)
- library research - (local public)
- library-special collection- (non local)
- Public Lands Survey (maps at DEP)
- local informant(s)
- local property or tax records
- newspaper files
- literature search
- Sanborn Insurance maps
- windshield survey
- aerial photography

Archaeological Methods (Describe the proportion of properties at which method was used by writing in the corresponding letter. Blanks are interpreted as "None.")

F(-ew: 0-20%, S(-ome: 20-50%); M(-ost: 50-90%); or A(-ll, Nearly all: 90-100%). If needed write others at bottom.

Check here if NO archaeological methods were used.

- surface collection, controlled
- surface collection, uncontrolled
- shovel test-1/4" screen
- shovel test-1/8" screen
- shovel test-1/16" screen
- shovel test-unscreened
- other (describe):
- other screen shovel test (size: \_\_\_\_\_)
- water screen (finest size: \_\_\_\_\_)
- posthole tests
- auger (size: \_\_\_\_\_)
- coring
- test excavation (at least 1x2 m)
- block excavation (at least 2x2 m)
- soil resistivity
- magnetometer
- side scan sonar
- unknown

Historical/Architectural Methods (Describe the proportion of properties at which method was used by writing in the corresponding letter.

Blanks are interpreted as "None.")

F(-ew: 0-20%, S(-ome: 20-50%); M(-ost: 50-90%); or A(-ll, Nearly all: 90-100%). If needed write others at bottom.

Check here if NO historical/architectural methods were used.

- building permits
- commercial permits
- interior documentation
- other (describe):
- demolition permits
- exposed ground inspected
- local property records
- neighbor interview
- occupant interview
- occupation permits
- subdivision maps
- tax records
- unknown

Scope/Intensity/Procedures Background research; archaeological survey of 18 proposed pond and floodplain compensation sites, 110 STs at 25 m and 50 m intervals 50 cm x 1 m deep STs. 1/4 inch mesh screen. Historic structures update survey of the SR 52 corridor. Technical Memorandum preparation.

#### Survey Results (cultural resources recorded)

Site Significance Evaluated?  Yes  No If Yes, circle NR-eligible/significant site numbers below.

Site Counts: Previously Recorded Sites 3 Newly Recorded Sites 7

Previously Recorded Site #'s (List site #'s without "B." Attach supplementary pages if necessary) PA425, PA427, PA429

Newly Recorded Site #'s (Are you sure all are originals and not updates? Identify methods used to check for updates, ie, researched the FMSF records). List site #'s without "B." Attach supplementary pages if necessary. PA1348, PA1349, PA1350, PA1351, PA1352, PA1353, PA611

Site Form Used:  SmartForm  FMSF Paper Form  Approved Custom Form: Attach copies of written approval from FMSF Supervisor and Supervisor-signed form.

DO NOT USE	SITE FILE USE ONLY	DO NOT USE
BAP Related <input type="checkbox"/> 872 <input type="checkbox"/> TA32 <input type="checkbox"/> CARL <input type="checkbox"/> UW		BHP Related <input type="checkbox"/> State Historic Preservation Grant <input type="checkbox"/> Compliance Review/GRAT

#### ATTACH PLOT OF SURVEY AREA ON PHOTOCOPIES OF USGS 1:24,000 MAP(S)





Figure 1.1a. Location of Proposed Pond and Floodplain Compensation (FPC) Sites within the SR 52 PD&E Study Reevaluation Area (USGS Fivay Junction, Fla. 1954, PR 1988).

CRAS  
 SR 52 PD&E Study Reevaluation  
 Moon Lake Road to US 41  
 Pasco County  
 WPI Segment No. 256243 1  
 FAP No. 1851-108



**Figure 1.1b.** Location of Proposed Pond and Floodplain Compensation (FPC) Sites within the SR 52 PD&E Study Reevaluation Area (USGS Fivay Junction, Fla. 1954, PR 1988).

**CRAS**  
**SR 52 PD&E Study Reevaluation**  
 Moon Lake Road to US 41  
 Pasco County  
 WPI Segment No. 256243 1  
 FAP No. 1851-108