# FINAL <br> CONTAMINATION SCREENING EVALUATION REPORT VOLUME 3 OF 3 

# PROJECT DEVELOPMENT AND ENVIRONMENT STUDY <br> US 19 (SR 55) 

FROM SOUTH OF US 98 TO CR 488
CITRUS COUNTY

## Work Program Item Segment No: 4058221

Federal-Aid Program No: 1852007 P

The proposed project involves improving US 19 (SR 55) to a six-lane divided facility from US 98 to Turkey Oak Drive, and improvements to the CR 488 intersection in Citrus County. The total length of the project is approximately $\mathbf{1 8 . 8}$ miles.


Prepared for:
Florida Department of Transportation
District Seven
11201 North McKinley Drive
Tampa, Florida 33612-6456

# FINAL <br> CONTAMINATION SCREENING EVALUATION REPORT VOLUME 3 OF 3 <br> PROJECT DEVELOPMENT AND ENVIRONMENT STUDY US 19 (SR 55) <br> FROM SOUTH OF US 98 TO CR 488 CITRUS COUNTY 

Work Program Item Segment No: 4058221
Federal-Aid Program No: 1852007 P

The proposed project involves improving US 19 (SR 55) to a six-lane divided facility from US 98 to Turkey Oak Drive, and improvements to the CR 488 intersection in Citrus County. The total length of the project is approximately 18.8 miles.


Prepared for:
Florida Department of Transportation
District Seven
11201 North McKinley Drive
Tampa, Florida 33612-6456

Prepared by:
PSI, Inc.
5801 Benjamin Center Dr., Suite 112
Tampa, Florida 33634

May 2004

## APPENDIX D

## REGULATORY DOCUMENTATION

Site No. 2 U-haul Rentals 9472 S. Suncoast Boulevard Homosassa, Florida 32650 FDEP I.D. No. 098503149

$\qquad$

March 11, 1996


## Ed Priest

9472 S. Suncoast Blvd.
Homosassa, Florida 34448
Ref. Fac. 098503149
Priest's Chevron 9472 S. Suncoast Blvd. Homosassa, Florida 34448

## Ed Priest,

On 3/4/96 a representative of the Department of Public Safety conducted a Closure Inspection at the above referenced facility. This inspection was conducted under the authority of Chapter 376, Section 303, Florida Statutes, and is designed to determine the compliance status of the facility with regard to Chapter 62-761, Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.), which regulate underground stationary storage tank systems. A copy of the completed inspection form is attached.

Should you have any questions, please contact me at (904)726-1400.
Sincerely,

David E. Chronister
Environmental Specialist III
Department of Public Safety
DEC/jlb

## DIXIE OI TTUTIE <br> Department of Environmental Regulation Pollutant Storage Tank System Inspection Report Form

Facility: 10. \#: Oqf5-03/49
Facility Name: PRIEST'S CNEVRUN
Facility Location: gond S. Suncorn BuTT. Itamwifsph, FC, Jy4yt
Facility Contact: FO PRIEsT
Owner: ed pals
Owner Address: $94 \lambda 3$ S. Suconst BLW phemseish, FL. उ4i4s
Owner Contact: $\Rightarrow$ OR $\frac{A R L \prime}{4}$ Owner Change Date:


| Tank \# | Size | contents | Date <br> Installed | Under or <br> Above | Tank <br> Type | Integral <br> Piping | Monitoring <br> System | Tank <br> Status |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | 5000 | Eatery | $\times \times / 80$ | $U$ | $C$ | $B$ | $B$ | $B$ |
| 5 | 5000 | EmpTy | $x \times / 80$ | $U$ | $C$ | $B$ | $B$ | $B$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


 Distajemen of towns, quo clasons Report.

Inspection Type: (Choose One)

| $\square$ Routine | $\square$ Discharge (DRF) |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\square$ Installation | $\square$ Closure |
| $\square$ Abandoned | $\square$ Reinspection |

Site Information: (All that apply)

| $\square$ Near Public Wells | $\square$ | Repaired |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\square$ Contaminated | $\square$ | Upgraded |
| $\square$ Complaint | $\square$ | Both UST \& AST |
| $\square$ Acid Tanks | $\square$ | Hazardous Materials |


Davao en Cheowsizer
Inspector Name (Print):


Contact's Signature \& Date
DER FORM 701-01-81

# Department of Environmental Protection 

Twin Towers Office Building<br>2600 Blair Stone Road<br>Tallahassee, Florida 32399-2400

David B.Struhs - Secretary

April 5, 2000
Mr. James A. Peterson
PO Box 560
Homosassa Springs, FL 34447

## Re: Larry's Auto Sales

FDEP Facility \# 099202408
Discharge Date: June 27, 1992
Dear Mr. Peterson:
The Florida Department of Environmental Protection is required to direct the cleanup of petroleum contamination sites in priority order and by preapproval of the scope and cost of all work that is funded by the State. The priority order for cleanup is determined pursuant to the Petroleum Cleanup Site Priority Ranking Rule, Chapter 62-771, Florida Administrative Code.

Each site eligible for cleanup funding assistance is scored according to this system. The above site has received a score of 30 . Each eligible site is ranked in relation to all other eligible sites. Ranking and funding are performed by the DEP quarterly in February, May, August, and November of each year. Currently funding is available for all sites with a priority score of 50 or greater. Therefore, funding is not available this year for continued rehabilitation at your site.
If you believe our records are in error or have any questions, please contact me at 850/224-2599.

$\mathrm{BK} / \mathrm{as}$
Enclosure: PCT printout
cc: Southwest District DEP Office
File

Site No. 3 Cumberland Farms \#1006 8078 S. Suncoast Boulevard Homosassa, Florida
FDEP I.D. No. 098503049 EPA I.D. No. FLD984225037

# ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE SERVICES, INC. 

April 18, 2001
ECS Project \#60166

Ms. Betsy Skinner<br>Florida Department of Environmental Protection<br>2600 Blair Stone Road<br>Tallahassee, Florida 32399-2400

## Remedial System Installation Proposal

Cumberland Farms \#1006
Homossassa, Florida
FDEP Facility ID \#98503049
Dear Ms. Skinner:


This letter and enclosures document the estimated costs for installing the Florida Department of Environmental Protection (FDEP) approvéd air-sparging (AS) and soil vapor extraction (SVE) remedial system at the above referenced facility. All anticipated installation costs, and their back-up documentation, has been included in this proposal.

Environmental Compliance Services, Inc. (ECS) proposes to perform the installation, utilizing a construction crew consisting of three ECS people (construction supervisor, upper level technician and mid-level technician) and one professional for project management oversight. ECS estimates that the installation will require approximately 10 days to complete, not including travel time/mobilization. A detailed schedule of work effort and personnel is attached. A breakdown of daily personnel and per diem/lodging charges is attached in this submittal as well.

Three quotes were solicited for all large dollar services or supplies to ensure competitive pricing. Based upon a review of the quotes received, ECS selected: Groundwater Protection to perform the AS and SVE well installation, BISCO to supply the equipment and Akins Electric to provide electrical services. For the remaining services or supplies one bid was solicited. Copies of all quotes have been enclosed with this proposal.

Prior to remedial system construction activities, ECS proposes to have an onsite meeting with the site owner, operator and vendors/subcontractors. Subsequently, the air sparging wells will be installed in accordance with the FDEP approved Remedial Action Plan. The 15 air sparging wells will be installed using hollow stem augers to approximately 10 feet below land surface. Investigative derived waste (IDW) generated during the well installation will be containerized in 55-gallon steel drums. The costs for the drums has

## ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE SERVICES, INC.

not been included in this submittal. Sampling, manifesting, transporting and disposal of the drums generated during the well installation will be submitted as a change order.

An additional mobilization for baseline sampling has also been included in this proposal. During remedial system start-up testing activities, two SVE effluent air samples will be collected, one approximately one-hour after SVE system start-up and one upon completion of the baseline groundwater sampling event. The collected air samples will be analyzed for hydrocarbon concentrations by EPA Method 18. Following the baseline sampling event, the remedial system will be shut down and carbon usage calculations performed to determine the most efficient method of soil vapor treatment. The baseline groundwater sampling will consist of depth to water measurements, purging and sampling monitoring wells MW-2, MW-3, MW-5, MW-6, MW-7, MW-8, MW-9, MW11, MW12, MW-19, MW-21 and MW-16D for EPA Method 602, 8310, and FLPRO analysis. The proposed costs for analytical sampling is provided in the attached laboratory cost breakdown form. The results of the baseline-sampling event will be presented in the startup report.

Following completion of the remedial system installation and start-up testing, ECS will submit a RA Startup Report to the FDEP to document system installation activities and events. If you need additional information or have any questions please contact Jim Cheze or myself at (813) 612-5900.

Sincerely,
Environmental Compliance Services, Inc.


Marc E. Eichenfotiz, P.G. Principal Geologist


Sam Philpot
Construction Manager

C: Dan Felon - ECS
Enclosures: FDEP Template Cost Worksheet
FDEP Preapproval Program Spreadsheet Vendor and Subcontractor Quotes

# Template Cost Worksheet 

## FDEP Contract \#: <br> Work Order \#: <br> Facility id \#: 098503049

Contractor: Environmental Compliance Servicas, Ine WO Description: Install

Site Name: Cumberland Farms\# 1006 Homosassa FL

|  | Allowed Cost | Number of Paple | Number of ltama Sub Neended Markup | Total Cost of ltams |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Section A: Packaged Work Scopes |  |  |  |  |
| 1 Pumping Test or Liquid Ring Fumping Test | \$2,471.99 |  |  | \$0.00 |
| Inctudes: setup, take down, toer time, equipment ki, perrnit in. NPOES applization foe, per diem |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 VES Piot Test | \$1,656.06 |  |  | \$0.00 |
| Incudes: setup, tuke down twet time, equipment kr, perma hrs, per diem |  |  |  |  |
| Excudea: mobitasion, analytical cosa, vrell mataliation, parnit iowe |  |  |  |  |
| 3 Sparging \& VES Pllot Test | \$2,576.09 |  |  | \$0.00 |
| Irachoes: eutup, take down, tess lime. equipment kt, permit hra, per diern |  |  |  |  |
| Exckides: mobilication, enaytical cosa, weil instatation, pormit feet |  |  |  |  |
| 4 Monthly O\&M Visit | \$684.72 |  |  | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Excluces: mabiteation, malyical cost, telemerry cont |  |  | Section A Subtotal: | \$0.00 |
| Section B: Office Activitios, Part I |  |  |  |  |
| 1 Proposal Preparation | \$443.32 |  | 1 | \$443.32 |
| 2 Fle Review | \$482.26 |  |  | \$0.00 |
| 3 Pernits (1/ permit for additional permits and per property for off site access) | \$604.07 |  | 1 | \$604.07 |
| - Stie Health \& Safety Plan | \$282.57 |  | 1 | \$282.57 |
|  |  |  | Section B Subtotai: | \$1,329.96 |
| Section C; Field Activities (all activities include equipment kit, vehicle, per diem) |  |  |  |  |
| 1 Mobilization (to and from site) (2 persons) | \$672.67 |  | 9 | \$6,054.03 |
| 2 Mobillzation (to and from site) (1 person) | \$361.82 |  | 3 | \$1,085.46 |
| 3 Oriling Sekp (one time use per event, setup and take down) | \$460.05 |  | 1 | \$460.05 |
| 4 Soil Boring for Soil Screening ( $\leq 10 \mathrm{tt}$; with or without soil lab sample) | \$188.38 |  |  | \$0.00 |
| Soil Boring for Soil Screening ( $>10 \mathrm{ft}$ to $\leq 30 \mathrm{ft}$; with or without soil lab sample) | \$282.56 |  |  | \$0.00 |
| Soil Boring for Soll Screening (> 30 ft with or without soil lab sample) | \$376.75 |  |  | \$0.00 |
| 7 Well Installation, single cased ( 520 ft ; including spit spoons) | \$392.50 |  |  | \$0.00 |
| - Well Installation, single cased ( $>20 \mathrm{ft}$ to $\leq 40 \mathrm{ft}$; including split spoons) | \$588.75 |  |  | \$0.00 |
| - Weil instaltation, single cased (>40 t; including split spoonsj (case by case) |  |  |  | \$0.00 |
| 10 Well installation, double cased ( $\leq 40 \mathrm{ft}$; including split spoons) | \$1,177.50 |  |  | \$0.00 |
| it Well installation, muttiple cased (> 40 tt ; including split spoons) (case by case) |  |  |  | \$0.00 |
| 12 Recovery Well Installation ( 540 ft ) | \$785.00 |  |  | \$0.00 |
| 13 Recovery Well Installation (> 40 ft ) (case by case) |  |  |  | \$0.00 |
| 14 Air Sparging Well installation ( $\leqslant 40 \mathrm{ft}$ ) | \$294.38 |  | 15 | \$4,415.70 |
| is Soil Vapor Extraction Well Installation ( 540 ft ) | \$196.25 |  | 8 | \$1,570.00 |
| 16 Air Sparging Well andor Vapor Extraction Well installation ( 40 ft ) (case by case) |  |  |  | \$0.00 |
| 17 Well Abandonment (per well) | \$71.37 |  |  | 50.00 |
| 1 Recovery Well Abandonment (per well) | \$190.71 |  |  | \$0.00 |
| 19 Well Sampling with Water Lovel (per well) | \$189.26 |  | 12 | \$2,271.12 |
| 20 Water Lovel Only (per well not sampled) | \$18.51 |  | 1 | \$18.51 |
| 21 Slug Testing (per well, incudes analysis) | \$525.45 |  |  | \$0.00 |
| 22 Utility Clearance (included in drilling setup) | \$0.00 |  |  | \$0.00 |
| 23 Area Survay | \$785.00 |  |  | \$0.00 |
| treludee: op-rite water levels. arem use and map. potable woll aurver |  |  |  |  |
| 24 Half Day Field Oversight (2 persons max.) (indicate here) | \$457.65 |  |  | \$0.00 |
| 25 Whole Day Field Oversight (2 persons max.) (indicate here) | \$915.30 |  | - | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  | Section C Subtotal: | \$15,874.87 |
| Section D: Other Fleld Work |  |  |  |  |
| 1 Other Field Work (indicate here) |  |  |  | \$0.00 |
| 2 Other Field Work (indicate here) |  |  |  | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  | Section 0 Subtotal: | \$0.00 |
| Section E: Other Equipment Rental Costis) |  |  |  |  |
| 1 Other Equlpment (indicate here) |  |  |  | \$0.00 |
| : Other Equipment <br>  (indicatephere) 1 of 2 |  |  | Temp1000 xis Rev Secton E Subtotal: | $\begin{array}{ll}08 / 00 & \$ 0.00 \\ & \$ 0.00\end{array}$ |

## Template Cost Worksheet

Work Order \#:
Facilliy ld \#: 098503049
wo Description: Install
Site Name: Cumberland Farmst 1006 Homosassa FL


Table 1
Materials/Subcontractors
Cumberland Farms \#1006 Homosassa, FL


F1 da Department of Environmental: tection
Twin Towers Office Bldg: $₫ 2600$ Blair Stone Road Tallahassee, torida 32399-2400
Division of Waste Management
Bureau of Petroleum Storage Systems

Storage Tank Facility Compliance Inspection Report

Facility BSO 5049 County 09 CitrUs
Facility Name $\square$ Cumizercan
$28^{\circ} 44.40^{\prime \prime}$ $\qquad$ Facility Type $\qquad$

$$
\text { Latitude } 2844.40^{\prime \prime} \text { Longitude } 233.27
$$

$$
\text { L/L Method } A-G D S
$$

| Check box to identify type of inspection performed. Update latitude/longitude as necessary. <br> Provide Lat/Long Determination Method. ("Map", "AGPS" (Magellan), "GGPS" (Thimble)). <br> Provide the count of USTs and/or ASTr reviewed during this inspection | \# USTs <br> Inspected |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Compliance Inspection (Annal) | TAI | Installation Inspection | TIN |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Compliance Inspection (DRF received) | TCDI |  | Closure Inspection | TAI |  |
| Compliance Inspection (Complaint received) | CPI |  | Compliance Re-Inspection | TR |  |
| Discharge Evaluation ("short form") | TDI |  | ** Record the results of the TDI in a Discharge Project |  |  |

- "Code" in block below corresponds to the Rule Cite; represents a Data Entry Code for ease of electronic data recording of inspection results.


Financial Responsibility - Verify owner's coverage. Select Insurance or Other, and provide Mechanism, if appropriate.
$\qquad$ Insurance Carrier: $\qquad$ Effective Date: $\qquad$ Expiration Date: $\qquad$
Other Coverage meeting federal financial responsibility requirements. Mechanism: $\qquad$ self
$\qquad$ None


$$
\text { Page } 1 \text { of } 2
$$

Facility Name:Cumb. Farms 1006 Facility id:8503049 Date:9/6/00

$\qquad$ Reimbursement site State Contract site other: $\qquad$
Date Reviewed:
Local Govermient:
-

(1) Source of spilt: $\qquad$ Date of spill: $\qquad$
(2) Type of

(3)

[1] Free product removal: 7580 sol removal: soil incineration:
(gals) (cubic yds) (cubic yes)
(4) Free product still present? (y)/no)

Maximum apparent product thickness: $\qquad$ (ft)

(7) :-Areal and vertical extent of sale contamination defined ares) nos Highest current soil concentration colA: $\qquad$ PPRI) or (EPA Method 5030/8020: $\qquad$ pp)
(8) Lower aquifer contaminatedz.(yes/no) . Depth of vertical contamination:- $\qquad$
 (90) ApP approved? (yepina) Date: $02 / 18 / 88$
(ii) Direction (egg. HKH) of surficial groundwater flow: $\leq \leq \leq$ (Figure 5 on page it $\}$ initio
(12) Average depth to groundwater: $\frac{t}{4}$ (ft)
(93) Observed range of ceafonal groundwater fluctuations: $\qquad$ (ft).
(19) Estimated rate of groundwater flow: $\qquad$ 0.038 (ft/day)
(15) Hydraulic gradient across site: . 002 (ft/ft)
(16) Aquifer characteristics:


Hydrauife conductivity storage coefficient Aquifer thickness Effective fol porosity Transfalssivity
(17) Other remarks:

EET facility name: Cumberland FARMS 1006 Reindursement site location: 8078 S SundCoAST $3 \angle V D$, themo545SA
$\qquad$ fsc i.0. \#: 898503040 fic 1.0. : $\frac{098 \leq 03049}{\text { local Coverment: CiTRUS }}$ state Contract site Other: Oace Revicrea: $\qquad$
$\qquad$ , M M $\qquad$
(i) source of spill: QNEREIUL

## Dace of spill: $4 \sim$ N $N O D N$

(2) Type of
gasoline oroup gallons lost kerosene orpuo gallons lost produc::

(3) Descripeion of IRA (if any: FuuPDG ANS Fece procuct rempval: 580 (gais)


(6) Pree froduce still presentr(fegino) Haximan apparent product thickness: $\qquad$ (ft)

 Ar APPROK ER!
(7) Areab and vertical extent of soils contamination detined? (yas (ho) Highest eurrent soil concentration (OVA: 10,OOO Ppm) or (EPA Hethod 5030/8020: $\qquad$ ppb)
(8) Lower squifer concaminated7 (yes(no) Depth of vertical contaminstion:


RAW $15 / 2,5189$
(9) Date of last complete round of groundwater sampling:
 Date of last soll sumpling: $\frac{2 / 2 / 89}{7 / 09}$.
(10) 0app approvedi yesino) oate: $2 / 18 / 08$
(ii) Direction (e.g. KHY) of surficial groundwater flou: $\qquad$ SE ifigure _on on page $\qquad$ 11
(12) Average depth to groundwater: $\qquad$ (ft)
(13) Observed range of sezsonal proundwater fluctuations:
 (ft)

(9S) Hydraulic gradient across site: 0.002 (ft/fi)
(16) Açulfer charactertstics:

Hydraulic conductivity
sterage coefticient Aquifer thickness Effective soll porosity Trensmissivity
Value Units_Herthod

(97) Othar remarks: $\qquad$










```
LIST OF POTABLE WELLS WITHIN SURVEYED AREA
```

1. Cumberiland Farms Convenience store
2. Forest Ranger station
3. Private liesidence
4. Real Estate office
5. Sugarmill Woods sales office (see enclosure for wells on site)
6. Neal rstate office

### 2.0 BUPPLEMENTAL CONTAMINATION ASBEBEMENT TAERE

### 2.1 REBPONBE TO COMNENTS 美 A AND 券?

The extent of free product was determined by gauging and bailing all of the site monitoring wells using hand held interface probes and bailers. Probes and bailers were decontaminated before moving to the next well. The gauging data is tabulated on the Water Table Elevation Calculation Sheet, presented as Attachment 2 . The extent of free product, as determined by observed bailer thicknesses, is shown in Figure 2, and summarized in rable 2.1.

TABLE 2.1
Cumberland Farms $\$ 1006$
8078 Eunooast slva., Homosassa, FL FREA PRODUCT THICKNESG BUMMARY

| Hel1 | Eree Presuct Thickness inchesi |
| :--- | ---: |
| $M W-2$ | sheen only |
| $M W-3$ | sheen only |
| $M W-6$ | sheen only |
| $M W-7$ | sheen only |
| $M W-8$ | 0.25 |
| $M W-9$ | 0.50 |
| $R W-1$ | 0.50 |

wells gauged 09/14/94

Free product recovery, in accordance with Chapter 62/17-770.300(1), F.A.C., has been resumed at the subject site.




Department of Environmental Protection

Twin Towers Office Bulling 2600 Blair Stone Road<br>Tallahassee, Florida 32399-2400

Virginia B. Wetherell Secretary

August 27, 1998
Mr. Timothy Lowell
Cumberland Farms, Inc.
777 Denham Street
Canton, MA 02021-9118
Re: Cumberland Farms \# 1006
FDEP Facility \# 098503049
Discharge Date: March 16, 1987
Dear Mr. Dowell:
The Site Priority Ranking Rule, Chapter 62-771, Florida Administrative Code, establishes a scoring system the Department uses to assign priority scores to petroleum contaminated sites. The scoring system is based upon the potential threat to public health, safety, and welfare; drinking water supplies; and the environment.

Each site eligible for cleanup funding assistance is scored according to this system. The above site has received a score of 49 . Each eligible site is ranked in relation to all other eligible sites. Ranking and funding are performed by the DEP quarterly in February, May, August, and November of each year. A letter will be sent to the registered site owner indicating the facility's score and rank following the next quarterly ranking. Thereafter, all program sites receive an annual ranking letter in November.

If you have any questions or comments on your site's score or rank, please contact me at the letterhead address, Mail Station 4545 or call 850/487-3299.

Sincerely,


Grace Rivera
Environmental Specialist III
Petroleum Cleanup Section
GR/as
Enclosure: PCT printout
cc: Southwest District DEP Office File


# Facility 1D\#: 098503049 <br> Site Name: Cumberland Farms $\# 1006$ <br> Site Address: pops s. Suncos.st Bodlevand etil 8 <br> Latitude: 284617 Longitude: $82 \quad 3315$ raap <br> Topo Quad 

Site Priority Ranking

## riteria:

## re/Explosion Hazard:

Free product or volatilized petroleum products at or above $20 \%$ of the Lower Explosive Limit (LEL) in existing utility conduits or vaults, buildings or other inhabited confined spaces ( 60 points).

Ignitable free product on surface waters or impoundments ( 60 points).

Yes
№
Points

Uncontaminated municipal or community well fields of greater than 100,000 gallons per day permitted capacity with a well within $1 / 2$ mile of the site ( 30 points).
SI

HRS
$N$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{x}{p w S} \frac{0}{<2090828} & 0 \text { wat } \\
Q=1,000,000 & v<.01 \\
T=250,000 & r=.000,606 \\
S=.0001 &
\end{array}
$$

$\cdots$ onally:
a. If the well field's 1 foot draw down contour

is known to encompass the site regardless of the well field's distance from the site ( 20 points).
b. If the well field is located down gradient of the
 site ( 15 points).

Uncontaminated private wells constructed prior to date of contamination discovery, or uncontaminated public water
 system well field with less than 100,000 gallons per day permitted capacity with a well within $1 / 4$ mile of the site ( 20 points).

| DWDB | HRS |
| :--- | :--- |
| $Y$ | $Y^{2} / 10 / 48$ |

litionally:
a. If the well field's 1 foot draw down contour is known to encompass the site regardless of the well field's distance from the site ( 10 points).
b. If the well field is located down gradient of the site (5 points).
s،wontaminated surface water body used as a public water ystem supply within $1 / 2$ mile of the site ( 10 points).


## Iigration Potential:

-ce Characteristics (select only one)
a. Recent spills or free product found in wells/
 boreholes ( 4 points) except free product of 2 inches or more in 2 or more wells/boreholes ( 6 points).
b. Recent product loss or wells/groundwater $\qquad$ $\underline{x}$ 0 contaminated but no free product ( 2 points).

Product Type (select only one):
a. Light petroleum product (kerosene, gasoline,
 aviation fuel and similar petroleum products) with water soluble additives or enhancers (MTBE, ethanol and similar substances) ( 3 points).
b. Light petroleum product with no additives or enhancers ( 2 points).
c. Heavy petroleum product (fuel oil, diesel and similar petroleum products) (1 point).
vironmental Setting:
cared in G-1 aquifer (4 points).

Site located in a G-2 aquifer (2 points).

Site located in high recharge/permeability geological area (4 points).

Site located within $1 / 2$ mile of an Outstanding Florida Water (I point).

$\qquad$

.
,

-


Total Points: $\quad 49$
aments:__ Discharge Dates): Much 16,1587
Rescore Request by:

| Rescore Request by: |
| :--- |
| Score based on conditions as of: $\quad 8 / 25 / 4 \mathrm{~S}$ |



# Department of Environmental Protection 

Jeb Bush Govemor

Twin Towers Office Bullding 2600 Blair Stone Road Tallahassee, Florida 32399-2400

David B. Struhs Secretary

## FEB 072001

CERTIFIED MAIL RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

Mr. Phil Levreault
Cumberland Farms, Inc.
777 Debham Street


BY: $\qquad$

Canton, MA 02021
Subject: Remedial Action Plan Approval Order
Cumberiand Farms \#1006
8078 South Sun Coast Blvd.
Homosassa, Citrus County
FDEP Facility ID\# 098503049
Dear Mr. Sheehan:
The Bureau of Petroleum Storage Systems has reviewed the:Remedial Action Plan:(RAP) dated January 9, 2001 (received January 16, 2001, along with supplemental information dated thiough January 31, 2001 (received through February 5, 2001), submitted for the petroleum product discharges discovered on March 16, 1987 and May 29, 1996 at this site. We found all the documents submitted to date to be adequate to meet the RAP requirements of Rule 62-770.700, Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.). The Department of Environmental Protection (Department) has determined that the actions proposed in this RAP, provide reasonable assurance that the concentrations of petroleum products' contaminants of concern at the site will be reduced to the levels specified in Chapter 62-770, F.A.C. Pursuant to Rule 62-770.700(8), F.A.C., the Department approves the R.AP as described in this RAP Approval Order (Order). The operation of the active remediation system should be initiated within 120 days, as required by Rule $62-770.700(10)$, F.A.C.

You are also required to submit to the Department record drawings (as-built drawings) of the treatment system within 120 days of initiating operation of the active remediation system(s). These drawings must be certified by a professional engineer.

## Legal Ïssües

-The Department's Order shall bécöme"finalunless a timely petition for an:administrative; proceeding (hearing) is filed under Sections 120.569 and 120.57, Florida Statutes (F.S.), within 21 days of receipt of this Order. The procedures for petitioning for a hearing are set forth below.

Persons affected by this Order have the following options:
If you choose to accept the above decision by the Department about the Remedial Action Plan you do not have to do anything. This Order is final and effective as of the date on the top of the first page of this Order.

If you disagree with the decision, you may do one of the following:
(1) File a petition for administrative hearing. with the Department's Office of General Counsel within 21 days of receipt of this Order, or
(2) File a request for an extension of time to file a petition for hearing with the Department's Office of General Counsel within 21 days of receipt of this Order. Such a request should be made if you wish to meet with the Department in an attempt to informally resolve any disputes without first filing a petition for hearing.

Please be advised that mediation of this decision pursuant to Section 120.573, F.S., is not available.

## How to Request an Extension of Time to File a Petition for Hearing

For good cause shown, pursuant to Rule 62-110.106(4), F.A.C., the Department may grant a request for an extension of time to file a petition for hearing. Such a request must be filed (received) in the Department's Office of General Counsel at 3900 Commonwealth Boulevard, Mail Station 35, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-3000, within 21 days of receipt of this Order. Petitioner, if different from Phil Levreault, shall mail a copy of the request to Phil Levreault list at the time of filing. Timely filing a request for an extension of time tolls the time period within which a petition for administrative hearing must be made.

## How to File a Petition for Administrative Hearing

A person whose substantial interests are affected by this Order may petition for an administrative hearing under Sections 120.569 and 120.57 , F.S. The petition must contain the information set forth below and must be filed (received) in the Department's Office of General Counsel at 3900 Commonwealth Boulevard, Mail Station 35, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-3000, within 21 days of receipt of this Order. Petitioner, if different from Phil Levreault, shall mail a copy of the petition to Phil Levreault at the time of filing. Failure to file a petition within this time period shall waive the right of anyone who may request an administrative hearing under Sections 120.569 and 120.57, F.S.

Pursuant to Section 120.54(5)(b)4.a., F.S. (1998, Supp.), and Rule 28-106.201, F.A.C., a petition for administrative hearing shall contain the following information:
(a) The name, address, and telephone number of each petitioner, the name, address, and telephone number of the petitioner's representative, if any, the site owner's name and address, if different from the petitioner, the FDEP facility number, and the name and address of the facility;
(b) A statement of how and when each petitioner received notice of the Department's action or proposed action;
(c) An explanation of how each petitioner's substantial interests are or will be affected by the Department's action or proposed action;
(d) A statement of the material facts disputed by the petitioner, or a statement that there are no disputed facts;
(e) A statement of the ultimate facts alleged, including a statement of the specific facts the petitioner contends warrant reversal or modification of the Department's action or proposed action;
(f) A statement of the specific rules or statutes the petitioner contends require reversal or modification of the Department's action or proposed action; and
(g) A statement of the relief sought by the petitioner, stating precisely the action petitioner wishes the Department to take with respect to the Department's action or - proposed action.

This Order is final and effective as of the date on the top of the first page of this Order. Timely filing a petition for administrative hearing postpones the date this Order takes effect until the Department issues either a final order pursuant to an administrative hearing or an order responding to supplemental information provided pursuant to meetings with the Department.

## Judicial Review

Any party to this Order has the right to seek judicial review of it under Section 120.68, F.S., by filing a notice of appeal under Rule 9.110 of the Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure with the clerk of the Department in the Office of General Counsel, 3900 Commonwealth Boulevard, Mail Station 35, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-3000, and by filing a copy of the notice of appeal accompanied by the applicable filing fees with the appropriate district court of appeal. The notice of appeal must be filed within 30 days after this Order is filed with the clerk of the Department (see below).

The FDEP Facility Number for this site is 098503049 . Please use this identification on all future correspondence with the Department.

For your information, the Department's approval of the RAP should not be construed that we have agreed to the costs described in the plan for funding under the preapproval program. Our review of the RAP at this time is to evaluate technical feasibility, effectiveness, compliance with required levels of groundwater treatment and air emissions concerns, and general cost-effectiveness of the proposed remediation strategy. If subsequent costs are going to be paid from the Inland Protection Trust Fund, the site manager assigned to this site at the Bureau of

## Mr. Levreault

## Page four

Petroleum Storage Systems will evaluate the approved RAP strategy and negotiate with your designated contractor the allowable cleanup cost to implement the approved RAP.

## Questions

Any questions regarding the Department's review of your Remedial Action Plan should be directed to Betsy Skinner at (850) 413-6722. Questions regarding legal issues should be referred to the Department's Office of General Counsel at (850) 488-9314. Contact with any of the above does not constitute a petition for administrative hearing or request for an extension of time to file a petition for administrative hearing.

cc: Mr. Kevin C. Sheehan, P.E., Environmental Compliance Services, Inc. 1209 Tech Blvd., Suite 202, Tampa, FL 33619
File

## FILING AND ACKNOWLEDGMENT

FILED, on this date, pursuant to
§120.52 Florida Statutes, with the
designated Department Clerk, receipt
of which is hereby acknowledged.


## P.E. CERTIFICATION

Remedial Action Plan for -Cumberland Farms \#1006, located at 8078 South Sun Coast Blvd., Hamosassa, Citris County, FDEP Facility ID\# 0985203049.

I hereby certify that in my professional judgment, the components of this Remedial Action Plan satisfy the requirements set forth in Chapter 62-770, Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.), and that the engineering design features incorporated in this plan provide reasonable assurances of achieving the objectives stated in Chapter 62-770, F.A.C., for active remediation. However, I have not evaluated and do not certify aspects of this plan that are outside my area of expertise (including, but not limited to, electrical, mechanical, and structural features).
_X_ I personally completed this review.

This review was conducted by working under my direct supervision.


Jaties Treadivell, P.E.
Professional Engineer \#47005
Petroleum Cleanup Section 1
$2 / 6 / 2001$
Date

Line Upgrade Report for Sump and Dispenser Liner Installation Cumberland Farms Facility \#1006 8078 South Suncoast Boulevard Homossasa, Citirus County, Florida FDEP Facility \#098503049

September 17, 1997

Prepared by:
CTEC \& Associates, Inc. 11443 43rd Street North Clearwater, Florida 33762

Prepared for:
Cumberland Farms, Inc.
777 Dedham Street
Canton, Massachusetts 02021-9118

Submitted to:
Mr. David Chronister
Citrus County Fire Prevention Bureau
285 South Kensington
Lecanto, Florida 34461

P.O. Box 271

Pinellas Park, FL 33780
(813) 573-4471

FAX (813) 572-7831
September 17, 1997
Mr. David Chronister
Citrus County Fire Prevention Bureau
285 South Kensington
Lecanto, Florida 34461
RE: Cumberland Farms Facility \#1006 FDEP Facility \#098503049

Dear Mr. Chronister:
We have been authorized by Cumberland Farms, Inc., to submit to your office this Line Upgrade Report for dispenser and sump liner installation at the above-referenced facility. A summary of the assessment conducted during these activities, and our recommendations for further assessment, follows.

The sump and dispenser excavation activities were initiated on August 20, 1997, with the removal of concrete and pavernent around the sump area of the tankpit. On August 21, 1997, the area was excavated, and soil samples were collected from sumps and connecting lines at depths of two and three feet. On August 26, 1997 the area under the dispensers was excavated and soil samples were also collecied at depths of two and three feet. All samples were stored in 16 ounce Mason jars, covered with aluminum foil, sealed with the threaded im section of the jar lid, labsled with the sample number and depth, and allowed to stabilize for approximately five minutes. After stabilization, each sample was screened for hydrocarbon vapor concentrations with an OVA-FID organic trace gas analyzer. Concentrations exceeding $10,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ were detected under both dispensers (Table 1; Figure 1).

Table 1
OVA-FID Analysis of Soil Samples Collected
During Liner Installation Procedures

| Sample \# | Depth | OVA Reading (Un-Filtered) | OVA Reading (Fitered) | OVA Reading (Adjusted) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2 | 100 ppm | ND | 100 ppm |
|  | $3 '$ | 150 ppm | 10 ppm | 140 ppm |
| 2 | $2 '$ | 38 ppm | N/A | 38 ppm |
|  | 3 | 4,500 ppm | 50 ppm | 4,450 ppm |
| 3 | 2 | 300 ppm | 30 ppm | 270 ppm |
|  | $3 '$ | 380 ppm | 35 ppm | 345 ppm |
| 4 | 2 | 50 ppm | N/A | 50 ppm |
|  | $3 '$ | 80 ppm | N/A | 80 ppm |
| 5 | $2 '$ | 200 ppm | 35 ppm | 165 ppm |
|  | 3 | 250 ppm | 25 ppm | 225 ppm |
| 6 | $2 '$ | 800 ppm | 40 ppm | 760 ppm |
|  | 3 | 95 ppm | N/A | 95 ppm |

# Mr. David Chronister 
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Table 1 (Continued)
OVA-FID Analysis of Soil Samples Collected During Liner Installation Procedures

| Sample \# | Depth | OVA Reading <br> (Un-Fithered) | OVA Reading <br> (Fithered) | OVA Reading <br> (Adjusted) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 7 | $2^{\prime}$ | 180 ppm | 20 ppm | 160 ppm |
| 8 | $3^{\prime}$ | 150 ppm | 20 ppm | 130 ppm |
|  | $2^{\prime}$ | 200 ppm | 20 ppm | 180 ppm |
| 9 | $3^{\prime}$ | 200 ppm | 30 ppm | 170 ppm |
| 10 | $2^{\prime}-3^{\prime}$ | $>10,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ | 100 ppm | $>9,900 \mathrm{ppm}$ |
|  | $2^{\prime}-3^{\prime}$ | $>10,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ | 80 ppm | $>9,920 \mathrm{ppm}$ |

ND = Not detected
ND = Not analyzed
$\mathrm{ppm}=$ Parts per million

After the installation of the liners, the sump and dispenser areas were backfilled with the excavated soil. The remaining soil was stockpiled on site, and samples were collected and shipped to Toxikon Laboratories in West Palm Beach for "pre-burn" analysis. Upon receipt of analytical results, the soil stockpile (approximately 1.5 cy ) will be transported to a thermal facility.

Tank and line tightness testing was conducted on September 2, 1997, after all lines were reconnected. All components tested tight (Appendix A).

Because excessively contaminated soil was encountered in both excavated areas, it is recommended that closure activities be considered complete, and that the on-going assessment and remediation continue.

Please feel free to direct any questions or requests for clarification to my attention at the letterhead address or telephone number. Thank you for your assistance in this matter, and we look forward to hearing from you soon.

Sincerely,
CTEC \& Associates, Inc.


Mona P. Johnson, P.G.
Senior Geologist
cc: Mr. Tim Dowell, Project Manager
Cumberland Farms, Inc.


## Closure Assessment Form

owners of storage tank systerns that are replacing, removing or closing in place storage tanks shall use this form to demonstrate that a storage system closure assesment was performed in accordance with Pule 17-761 or 17-762, Forida Administrative Code Eligible Early Detection Incenfive (EDI) and Reimbursement Program sites do not have to perform a closure assessment.

Please Print or Type<br>Complete All Applicable Blanks

1. Date:

$$
9-11-97
$$

2. DER Facility ID Number: 098503049

$$
3 \text { County: Citrus }
$$

4. Facility Name: Cuemberloud Farms Facility \#1006
5. Facility Owner: -Cumberland Forms, Lac.
6. Facility Address: $\frac{8078 \text { So. Suncoast Blvd, Homossassa }}{}$
7. Mailing Address: - 7ッフ Drdham Street, Canton, Massachusetts 02021-9118
8. Telsprione Number: $(1017) 828.4900$
9. Facility Operator: CFE
10. Are the Storage Tanks): (CIrcle one or both)
A. Aboveground or underground
11. Type oi Produci(s) Stored:
C. Closed in Place
D. Upgraded (aboveground tanks only)
12. Were the Tank (s): (Cliche one)
A. Replaced
B. Removed
13. Age of Tanks: $\qquad$

## Facility Assessment Information

1. Is the facility participating in the Florida Petroleum Liability Insurance and Restoration Program (FPLIRP)?
2. Was a Discharge Reporting Form submitted to the Department? If yes, When: $\frac{5 / 27 / 9 C}{}$ where: Citrus County
3. Is the depth to ground water less than 20 feet?
4. Are monitoring wells present around the storage system?

If yes specify type: $\square$ Water monitoring $\square$ vapor monitoring
5. Is there free product present in the monitoring wets or within the excavation?
6. Were the petroleum hydrocarbon vapor levels in the sits greater than 500 parts per million for gasoline? Specify sample typo: $\square$ Vapor Monitoring wells 4 Soil samples)
7. Were the petroleum hydrocarbon vapor levels in the soils greater than 50 peri per million for dieselkerosene? Specify sample type: $\square$ Vapor Monitoring wells $\square$ Soil samples)
8. Were the analytical laboratory results of the ground water samples) greater than the allowable state target levels? . (See target levels on reverse side of this form and supply laboratory data sheets)
9. If a used oil storage system, did a visual inspection detect! any discolored sail indicating a release?
10. Are any potable wells located within $1 / 4$ of a mile medius of the facility?
11. Is there a surface water body within $1 / 4$ mile radius of the site? If yes, indicate distance: $\simeq 1 / 2 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{e}$.


Hided dreving os. ㄷ. - '


Atruent of soils rermeed and raspip of proper disposid.
 to the Ceparmerd wition one woring day.




# State Ground Waier Taiget Leveis That Affect A <br> Follutant Siorags Tank System Clesure Assessment 
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a Foprusuat Atmich Hydocarbons (FAHS)


Florida Department of Environmental Protection
Twin Towers Office Bldg. 2000 Blair Stove fad OTallatasses, Floricia 32399-2400 $\qquad$
Storage Tank Registration Form
Please Print or Type - Review Instructions Before Completing Form

1. DER Facility ID Number: $\qquad$ 098503049
2. Facility Type: $\qquad$ A
3. New Registration $\square$ New Owner Data $\square$ Facility Revision $\square$ Tank Revision $\square$
4. County and Code of tanks) location: $\qquad$ (2)TPはS $\qquad$
5. Facility Name: $\qquad$ Tanks) Address: 8075 Souk Sum CoAST BLVd. Civ/siatip: HomOSSASA FL. 34446

6. Financial Responsibility Type :
 $\qquad$ Owner Mailing Address: 777 Dedham ShRed

Contact Person: $\qquad$ Plehned fencitor Telephone: $\qquad$ toll $71038-4900$

Tb. New Oivner Signalure/Change Date: $\qquad$ $1+1$ $\qquad$
cation (optional) Latitude: $\qquad$ 0 $\qquad$ ' "

Longitude: $\qquad$ 0 $\qquad$ ' " Section $\qquad$ Township $\qquad$ Range $\qquad$
Complete One Line For Each Tank At This Facility (Use Codes - See Instructions)
Complete 9-16 for tanks in use; $9-19$ for tanks out of use

20. Neise.fi, Achifed Ops Rec.054948

Department of. Professional Regulation License Number*

- For new tank installation or tank removal

To the best of my knowledge and belief all information submitted on this form is true, accurate and complete.


'D iname\& bile of owner or authorized person Norrmesi Outre Lir Nornoast Direct 160 Governamial Center 7225 Baymeacomb Way. Sure 8200 Pensacola. Fora 32501.5794 501-462-2500 Jacksonville. Florida 32256-7577 $904-48-4300$


Souinwast District 3804 Coconut Pain Or.

Central District
1319 Hacure Ebro. Sure 232 Orlando. Fiona 32803-3767 407-894.7555


Southeast Ousinct 1900 S. Congress Ave. Surf A West Farm Beach, Fiona $3-31 E$ 407-437-26.50

e:ner ieceral financial responsibility mechanism.

## C. Ciner scverãa mizitre tajeizi financal responsibility requirements.

D.. Fone
specife idenlitying name or number. 6 characters. maximum)

## 110. TANK SZEIM GALLOMS

## rispos=ne

Gasötol -
Verucular diesel
Anation gasolure
det fue!
Fuel-emergenc ganerator

Waste oi:
Fuet 0,: on-site neat cise only; all USTs or ASTs < 30 K gals
Fue! oi.. oistribution, o: on-site heal use A.STs $>30 \mathrm{~K}$ gals
C. Nöré luor o::
©. Festigige
S. Chorine compound T. Hazardous subsiance (CERCLA) U. Mineral acid Y. . Grades 5 \& 6 . bunker ' $C$ residual oils W. Petrolaum-base addilive x. Orner, niscellaneous petroleum-base produa

- =- - - -

DATE (mmrs') $U=$ Uncerground tank. $\quad D=$ Underground Cornpression Vessel

14-TANK CONSTRUCTIOH- chosse ene grinar: senstructien and all other codes that spply; primery is Inner tank construction for double weil tanks Primary Construetion: c. Sie:
c. Uninomit



こ T - $-:$ :
Corrosion Protection: concsi. contünment Seconeas. Contüment:
$x$ Conciete
Y. Polyethylene
Z. Oner DER approred tanix materiad
H. Spill enntainment steret
P. Levol gauges. hightevel alarms
o. Oiner DER approved protecion metriod
H. Cathosic protection-impressed current
$C=$ Aboveground Coripression Vessel
$D=$ Underground Compression Vessel


15 - PIPING CONSTRUCTION - choose one primary construction and all other codes that appty; primary is inner pipe construction for double wall piplng Primary Construction: E. Stea! or gzivanzed melal
Y. Unkriom
C. Fitsigiass Z. Other DEA approved piping material
N. -- foproved syminetic maleria:
. $\because$ Corrosion Protection:
D. :. Enemé croterave coaling

Seconoary Containmen::

$\overline{\mathrm{F}}$. Coutic wè: censirucuon: single material; ouser pipe material same as inner pipe material
h.. Desole wail fonsirusion: dual material: outer pipe consinuced of aporoved symthelic material or pipe "jacket"
6. 'synisut iinst or coxarench liner in piping excavatoñ or pipe containment area
L.-… ÁFrej;cunc. no contact with soil

1. Sunior: piang systert
J. Prezsurizes paping syslem
"K "Dispenser liners
L Buik procues system
H. Airporiseaport tydrant system
: 6 - LEAK DETECTION METHOOS - choose all that apgly
Slte/general: L. Autemalizaly sampiec wells
C. G:Ouncwets: monienng dian
k. Grolinowater monitonne systern
I. NG: resurrac - see rie tor exemptions
Y. Unxnown

Tank monitoring: E. Intertular siane - tankiner
F. litiercithal scase - coude wall tank

Ploing monkoring: G. in-lise delec:o: alno snut oit
H. inning fiow res!ne:o:
B. Manually sampled wells
O. SPCC Plan
O. Vapor monitoring sysiem
x. None
2. Other DER approved fronitoring rinathod

## L. Aumorratic tank gauging

M. Manual tank gauging
J. Interstitial space - pipinghiner
K. Intersitial space - double wall piping
:7- TANK STATUS \&/OR TANK DISPOSAL
F-lnty dosed in piace. UST filled min sanz. conceste or olner inen matenal; AST rendered unusable red tren the ste - A or B: Closure Assessmen: required atrer 12/10/50 (UST; 03/12/91 (AST)-EDI shes axcluded sonatruction modified to non-regulaled ctatus (Skid tank or tank enelosed in building) Unmansaned tanx - nol in use or to be used, and nor prooeny uisposed.
Temporarily our-ol-semea
lr-service

# Underground Storage Tank Installation and Removal Form For Certified Contractors 

liutant Storage Systems Contactor as defined in Section 489.105, Florida Statures (eenified contractors as defined in Section .761.200, Florida Administrative Code) shall use this form to certify that the installation, replacement or removal oi the storage tank system. ss) sated at the address listed below was performed in accordance whit Department Reference Standards.

## neral Facility Information

1. DEP Facility Identification No.:

2. Facility Name: $\qquad$ Telephone: 352, 302-0520

H/
3. Owner :Name:


Telephone:
$410=78-4900$
5. Owner: Address:

6. Number of Tanks: a. Installed at this time - - - Removed as this tine -
7. Tank (s) Manufactured by:

i. Dare Work Initiated:

9. Date Work Completed: $\square$ 7-2-97

## - . derground Pollutant Tank Installation Checklist

Please certify the completion of the following installation requirements by placing an ( $X$ ) in the appropriate box.

1. The tarts and piping are corrosion resisuns and approved tor use by S in e and Federal laws.
2. Eucavalior, backfill and compaction completed in accordance with NFPA (National Fire Frouction Association) 30(96), AP! (American Petroleum Insjoule) 1615, PEI (Petroleum Equipment Inrinuc) RFI00-94 and the arcufacurns' specifications.
 specifications.
3. Steel anis and piping ere methodically protected in accordance with NFPA 30(90). API 1632, UL (Uedermiters Laboratory)
4. Sn (Steel Tank Instinte) K 892.89 and the manuffourns' specifications.
s Tanks and piping tested for tizhtress after installation in accordance with NFPA 30(96) and PEI RP!00-94.
6 Monitoring wells) or ocher fath detection devices ins tiled and tested in socordanke with Section 62-761.640, Florida Administaire Cods (F.A.C.)
5. Spill and ovenill proxecion devices installed in accordanse with Section 62.761.500, FA.C.
6. Secondary containment installed for tanks and piping as applicable in accordance with Section 61-761-300, F_A.C.


## Underground Pollutant Tank Removal Checklist

lour assessment performed in accordance with Section 62.761.800, F.A.C.


## Certification

I herby cerify and sanest that I am familiar with the facility that is registered with the Florida Department of Environmental Protection; that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the tank installation, replacement or removal at this facility was conducted in accordance with Chapter 489 and Section 376.303. Florida Stsoutes and Chapter 62.761. Florida Administrative Code (and its adopted reference source form publications and standards of the National Fire Protection Association (NFPA), the American Petroleum Insinue (API), the National Association of Corrosion Engineers (NACE), American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM); Feroleum Equipucal Insuinic (PEI); Ste Tank Institute (STI); Underwriters Laboratory (UL); ard the tank and integral piping manufacturers' specifications; end the the operations on the checklist were performed accordingly.



Certified Tank: Contractor Signore
(Type or Print).
Field Supervisor Name

Field Supervisor Signature



## Cenifed Pollutant Tank Contractor Name <br> Pollutant Storage Systems Contractor License Number (PSSC)


$\because=\cdots \cdot:$

he owner or operator of the facility must register the tanks with the Department upon completion of the installation. The installer must tu is form no more than 30 days after the completion of installation to the Department of Environmental Protection at the address printed at the i page one.

An Initial Remedial Action report, summarizing the initial remedial action (IRA), should be prepared to satisfy the requirements of Chapters 17-770.630(1)14; 17-773.500(1) (al; and 17-773.500(2) (al, Florida Administrative Code, (FAC). This form may be used for the IRA report. The report should be sent to the appropriate local program and:

Florida Department of Environmental Regulation
Bureau of waste. Cleanup Engineering Support Section 2600 Blair Stone Road Tallahassee, FL 32399-2400
I. Facility name: Qumherlomd Farms Facility \#1006 Facility Address: 8075 S. Sukcoast Blvd.; A0neosassa DER Facility Number (if applicable): 098503049
Date IRA Initiated: 8/20/97 $\quad$ Date IRA completed: $\frac{9 / 2 / 97}{1 / 9}=$
II. FREE PRODUCT RECOVERY $N / A$
A. Type (s) of Product Discharged: $\qquad$
B. Quantity

1. Estimated Gallons Lost:
2. Gallons Recovered: ___ through $\square$ (date)
3. Attach Exhibit Indicating Amount of Product Recovered; Dates and Cumulative Totals.
C. Attach a Scaled Site Plan, Indicating the Locations and Product Thickness in Wells, boreholes, Excavations, or Utility Conduits and Wells Utilized for Recovery of Free Product.
D. Method of Product Recovery: $\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
E. Type of Discharge During Product Recovery: $\qquad$
F. Type of Treatment, ie., Oil/Water Separator:
G. Attach Written Proof of Proper Disposal of Recovered product:
III. SOIL EXCAVATION

NOTE: Soil shall be defined aus excessively contaminated using the procedure stated in Chapter 17-770.200(2); FAC. Representative soil sampling shall be performed as close to the time of excavation as possible, but at no time shall exceed three (3) months prior to the start of excavation. stockpiled soils greater than thirty (30) days on site waiting for treatment and disposal, must be re-sampled immediately prior to disposal to assure soils are still excessively contaminated.

If soil sampling data indicates that the amount of soil that is excessively contaminated exceeds 1500 cubic yards, treatment of all excessively contaminated soil at the site shall be addressed in a remedial action plan, and no soil IRA activities shall be performed except for the removal of soils in the immediate vicinity of the tanks.

Only soil above the ambient water table at the time of excavation can be considered as excessively contaminated soil.

Unless the established weight per unit volume of 1.4 tons/cubic yard (as referenced in FAC Rule 17-775) is used for the excavated soil, the weight per unit volume must be determined by a field test (in which an accurately measured volume of soil is weighed) at the time of excavation.
A. Volume of Contaminated Soil Excavated in cubic Yards:
$\qquad$ . Dimensions Including Depth of Excavation (s): Taulapit - $35^{\prime} \times 10^{\prime} \times 3^{\prime} \quad$ Dispersers $-(2) \times 10^{\prime} \times 10^{\prime} \times 3^{\prime}$

NOTE: Attach written proof from the Department in the form of an Alternate Procedure Approval Order authorizing excavating over 1500 cubic yards if applicable. Authorization must be prior to the excavation of soils.
B. Type (s) of Product in soil: Unleaded gasolure.
C. Depth (ft) to Groundwater at the Time of Excavation(s): $\qquad$
D. Did Dewatering (i.e. groundwater depression) Occur at Time of Excavation?: No
E.-...Type of. Instrument and Method Used to Determine Excessive soil contamination: OVA-FID (Seusidupe)
F. Attach a table that compares the OVA-FID readings taken with charcoal filter verses readings without filter. Include vertical depths for each sample.
G. Using the OVA procedure for defining excessively contaminated soil as referenced in Rule 17-770.200(2), FAC, include a scaled site plan with the information listed below:

1. Location of excavation, old tank farm, dispensers, and product lines, present tank farm, and all soil samples. The corresponding ova-FID readings for each soil semple (with charcoal filter and without) and its depth must be given.
2. Sampling Procedure is as follows:

Start sampling in a location. where it is suspected that excessively contaminated soil exists. Sample from the first soil boring outward in a grid pattern, at five (5) to ten (10) foot intervals, until the perimeter of the excessively contaninated soil plume "is"defined... Vertical sampling should be performed starting approximately at the initial area of contamination and continued at three (3) foot intervals, or fraction thereof, until a depth approximately one (1) foot above the water table is reached.
H. Copies of Laboratory Analyses for Pre Treatment Soil Samples as Required in Chapter 17-775. A10(3); Table II, FAC Must be Attached.
I. Were Tanks Replaced at this Site?: No
IV. SOIL TREATMENT AND DISPOSAL

Method of Treatment of... Excessively Contaminated soil: Transportation fo a thermal facility upon receipt of analyses.
B. For Off Site Treatment and Disposal at Permitted STTF, Land ... ....... Farms, or Landfills Attach Documentation From the Treatment Facility .Which Confirms the Weight or volume of Soil. Treated and Date Received.

For Other Treatment and Disposal Methods (i.e. OnSite Land Farming, Bioremediation), Attach post Treatment Laboratory Analyses for Each 250-300 Cubic Yards of Treated Soil in Accordance with Chapter 17-775.400 and the "Guidelines for Assessment and Remediation of Petroleum Contaminated Soils", Edition February 1991 or Most Current Revision.

For Mobile Thermal Treatment Units, Attach Laboratory Analysis per Chapter 17-775(5), FAC.
C. $\because$ Method of Disposal of Contaminated Soil: and Indicate. Recipient and Address: Thermal treatrient (upon recept of andipfical resists.
V. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS: $\qquad$ Person completing Form


Results of Tank and Line Tightness Testing Conducted September 2, 1997

# WUWH WWDER TAMY TESTIMG OF FIORDDA, ING. 

2032 uista jany
NORTH PA! ${ }^{2}$ CEAOH FL. $3 T 413$
OFFICE [50:1 E91.9333
FAX (561) $£ 27: 823$

## FX TESTER - LEAK DETECTOR TEST RESULTS

INVOACE ADDRESS:
CUMEERLAND EAFMS, INS.
777 DEEHARASTAEET
QANTOH, MA. 02021

TEST LOCATION:
CUBEELLAND FAR:AS HTCOG
6078 SUN CHATHTHY,
homosasisa m

Contagt: Fighardetedi
PHONE: $6178284903 \times 378$
codtact:
punc:



| TYPE | SERIAL RUMEET | prodicit | RES, ML. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OPERING } \\ & \text { THAE } \end{aligned}$ | testiear mate | Holding psi | METEATH Fsi | PASSIFPIL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FiO | 40806 | 3til | 0 | 3 | 3 | on | \%rs | cinl |
| r | 02531.6502 | fill | 30 | 3 | 3 | 12 | 12 | SRSS |
|  | hlegige | 12i+ | 6 | 8 | 3 | 0 | 12 | P.SSS |




 detectozs are noin functuming properiz.


```
2052 VISTA DRIYE
NOTHTHALM BEACH, [L. 3.jagg
GFFICE (5S1)69:9333
EAX ESll ER7zE23
```


## AES PLT. 100 R - HYDROSTATIC PRODUCT LINE TEST RESULTS

INY UCE ADDRESS:
CLMBERLARD FAGMS, INC.
773 CEDHAAN STREET
CANTON. MA.
42021

TEST LOCATION:
CHABERLAND FAPMS $ب$ ب100
ygTE SLIN COASTHMY.
HORHSASjA H

| OntagT: FiLH | RUETZILO | CDETALT: |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| tone: $\quad 3 ?$ | $23-4300 \times 3372$ |  |  | Pionite: |  |  |
| ISTOMER P.OEF: |  | TEST OATE: | U5367 | START: 3700 | E10: | 11:30 |
| PRODUGT | STABT VOLUME (ML | EHL VOLUTE [MU! | VOLBPEDFE. (CPH) | PUSTP TIFE | TEST PRESSURE PSS! | PASSIFIL |
| 516 | 215 | 200 | Oil | 375 | 67 | F.ts |
| SHL | 203 | 102 | 305 | $5 T$ | Er | AASS |
| U1:- | 134 | 15 | .014 | STP | 50 | PASS |

## CONFIBMATION TESTIF FIRGT TEST FALLED


THE ERODUCT LIFES AED TICRT. JEAK EETECTOR TEST RESUTS ATTAOYED,
 PERIOD OF ONE HOLR 15 . 05 GALLONS.


```
2062 YSTA ORHE
NORTH PALM BEACH, FL. 3340
CFFiCE (561) 691.9333
```



## USTEST 2000/P (UNDERFILL) and 2000/U (ULLAGE) TANK TEST RESULTS

## VOICE ADDRESS:

CUMEERLAND FARMS, HC.
TEST LOCATION:
CUMEERLAND FARMAS $100 \ddagger$
777 Deghati street
CANTON, MA.
02021
snis sun cuasit hir.
HOMOGOSSA, El .

TAGT: FUGHADETLCLI
HONE: $\quad 617.528 .4500 \times 3378$

## contact:

prome:

 ULLAGE TESTSYSTEM, USMU POSTTV PAESSIME:.


RAENY LOCAS STANDARDS DICTATE THAT FOR UNDERGFOUND FUEL TANKS, THE MAXMMM ALLOKABLE LEGK/GAIN RAIE OVER THE PERIOD OF ONE HOUR IS .OS GALLORS.

These lests are in accordance with EPA regulation 40 Cfin, farts 260 and 281 which requires the use of a test system with che probability of detection of $15 \%$ and a probabiatity of faise alarm of $5 \%$.


## DOWN UNDER TANH TESTING OF FLORIDA, INC.

2062 VISTA DRIVE
NORTH PALM BEACH. FL. 33473
OFFICE EGO) 691.9333
FAX 5611627.2623

## PRECISION TANK TIGHTNESS TEST - SITE INFORMATION

INVOiCE ADDRESS:
CUMBERLAND FARMS, TAT,
777 OEOHAMASTREET CANTON, MA.
02321

TEST LOCATION:
CUMBERLAND FARMS : 1006
2078 SUN COAST HWY.
HOAOSASSA, FL

CONTACT: RICHAFEETZOLD
PHONE: $6: 28 \% 3001 \times 3.3$

CONTACT:
PHONE:


REASOUFOR TESTIS:


4DOITIDRAL MFORMATIOA:

HE ABOVE MFORMATION WAS COLLECTED AT THE TEST LOCATION DURING THE TIME OF THE TEST, OR PROVIDED SY A SITE EPRESENTATHE IF ANY OF THIS INFORMATION 15 FOUND TO BE INCORREcT, THE TEST RESULTS MAY GE AFFECTED ANDIOR DMSIDERED RYVALID. ALL DISCREPANCIES SHOULD BE BROUGHT TO THE ATTENTION OF THE TEST COMPANY FOR FURTHER TALUATIORE.

CERTIFIED TECHNICIAN:


### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

Thrice! pRevious Socicos EE\&G, Inc., was retained by Cumberland Farms, Inc., to perform supplemental Contamination Assessment (CA) tasks in accordance with Chapter 62/17-770, Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.), at Cumberland Farms Store \#1006, 8078 Suncoast Boulevard, Homosassa, Citrus County, Florida. The FDER facility ID number for this site is \#098503049.

### 1.1 BACKGROUND

Supplemental CA activities were conducted at the above referenced site in response to a CARA review letter issued by the FDEP/Tallahassee Technical Review Section on August 2, 1994 (please see Attachment 1), which requested responses to nine (9) specific comments.

### 1.2 SCOPE OF WORK

Three (3) additional groundwater monitoring wells were installed and sampled. All site monitoring wells were gauged to verify groundwater flow direction and establish the extent of free product. A soil vapor survey was conducted to establish the extent of "excessively contaminated" soil. Construction details of all site monitoring wells were determined. A summary of remedial activities performed at the site is provided.

### 2.0 SUPPLEMENTAL CONTAMINATION ASSESSMENT TASKS

### 2.1 RESPONSE TO COMMENTS \#1 AND \#2

The extent of free product was determined by gauging and bailing all of the site monitoring wells using hand held interface probes and bailers. Probes and bailers were decontaminated before moving to the next well. The gauging data is tabulated on the Water Table Elevation Calculation Sheet, presented as Attachment 2. The extent of free product, as determined by observed bailer thicknesses, is shown in Figure 1 , and summarized in Table 2.1.

TABLE 2.1
Cumberland Farms \#1006
8078 Suncoast Blva., Homosassa, FL FREE PRODUCT THICKNESS SUMMARY

Well

MW-2
MW-3
MW-6
MW-7
MW-8
MW-9
RW-1

Free Product Thickness (inches)
sheen only sheen only sheen only sheen only
0.25
0.50
0.50

> wells gauged 09/14/94

Free product recovery, in accordance with Chapter 62/17-770.300(1), F.A.C., has been resumed at the subject site.

Borings for water table wells MW-20 and 21 were advanced to total depths of twelve (12) feet below grade with eight (8) inch hollow stem augers using a truck mounted drilling rig. The borings were completed as wells using two (2) inch Schedule 40 PVC pipe set to the total depth of each well. Intervals of machine slotted (0.010" slot size) PVC extended from the bottom of the well to a depth of about two (2) feet below grade, followed by two (2) inch PVC solid riser to the surface. The annular volumes were back filled with graded $20 / 30$ sand to approximately one (1) foot above the screened intervals. Six (6) inch layers of bentonite were placed above the sand, and the remaining annular volumes were filled with grout.

### 2.3.2 VERTICAL ASSESSMENT WELI

The boring for vertical assessment well VA-2 was advanced to approximately twenty-two (22) feet below grade using ten (10) inch hollow stem augers. Four (4) inch blank PVC casing was set in place and cemented with grout. A three and five eighths (3-5/8) inch tricone bit was then used to advance a borehole to a total depth of twenty-nine (29) feet below grade. The hole was circulated clean and the drilling assembly pulled out and laid down. Two (2) inch PVC was set to the total depth of the well. A screened interval of machine slotted (0.010" slot size) PVC extended from the bottom of the well to a depth of about twentyfour (24) feet below grade, followed by two (2) inch PVC solid riser to the surface. The annular volume was back filled using a
"tremmie" pipe. Graded $20 / 30$ sand was used to a depth of approximately one (1) foot above the screened interval. A one (1) foot layer of bentonite pellets was placed above the sand, and the remaining annular volume was filled with grout.

### 2.3.3 SOIL DISPOSAL PROFILE ANALYSIS

As indicated in Table 2.2 , soil samples collected from the boring for NW-21 were found to be "excessively contaminated" according to Chapter 62/17-770.200(2), F.A.C. For this reason, all of the soil/cuttings resulting from the installation of MW-21 and adjacent vertical extent well VA-2 were containerized in fifty-five (55) gallon drums for subsequent disposal. A composite sample was collected from the drums of cuttings, placed in the appropriate containers (please see Attachment 4), and transported in an iced cooler to the Toxikon, Inc., laboratory in West Palm Beach, Florida, for disposal profile analyses by EPA methods 8010, 8020, 9073, and for total RCRA metals Arsenic, Barium, Cadmium, Chromium, Lead, Mercury, Selenium and Silver. The results of these analyses are summarized in Table 2.3.3. The complete results of the disposal profile analyses are presented in Attachment 5 (Toxikon Work order 94-09-169).
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Ms. Betsy Skinner
Florida Department of Environmental Protection 2600 Blair Stone Road
Tallahassee, Florida 32399
Quarterly Remedial Action System Status Report
Year 2, Quarter 3 (March 2003 to May 2003)
Cumberland Farms \#1006
8076 South Suncoast Blvd.
Homosassa, FL
FDEP Facility ID. \#098503049

Dear Ms. Skinner:
Environmental Compliance Services, Inc., d.b.a. ECSMarin, has prepared the following Quarterly Remedial Action System Status Report to document remedial system performance and site rehabilitation activities performed at the above referenced facility between March 2003 and May 2003, during the third quarter of year two of remedial system operations. The remedial system was activated for full time operation on May 21, 2002.

### 1.0 Introduction

The remedial system at the referenced facility consists of an air-sparge (AS) unit and a soil vapor extraction (SVE) unit that operate concurrently to recover petroleum hydrocarbons from the groundwater and soil beneath the facility. The AS unit, which supplies compressed air to sixteen sparge wells (SW-1 through SW-16), volatizes and promotes aerobic biodegradation of petroleum hydrocarbon impacted groundwater, while the SVE unit, consisting of nine horizontal extraction wells (SVE-1 through SVE-9), recovers volatized petroleum hydrocarbons from the vadose zone. SVE off-gas vapors are treated by granular activated carbon prior to discharge to the atmosphere. The site map is shown on Figure 1. A remedial system equipment summary is presented on Table 1.

### 2.0 Maintenance Summary

Routine operation and maintenance ( $O \& M$ ) visits were conducted monthly during the reporting period. Additional site visits were conducted as needed. The following
summarizes the routine site visit activities as well as supplemental $\mathrm{O} \& \mathrm{M}$ site visits to address performance issues that arose during the reporting period.

- March 11, 2003: The remedial system was not operational upon arrival due to an overload condition on the SVE motor variable frequency drive (VFD). Hour readings from the AS compressor and the SVE blower were recorded. The oxidizer was disconnected and replaced by a $2000-\mathrm{lb}$ carbon vessel. The oxidizer could not be removed from the site due to the size of the truck required for transportation. The system was reactivated.
- March 13, 2003: A different transportation company was used to remove and ship the oxidizer from the site.
- March 24, 2003: The remedial system was operational upon arrival. Remedial system readings and SVE influent and Effluent vapor samples collected. System was operational upon departure.
- April 11, 2003: The system was operational upon arrival. Remedial system readings and air samples collected. System was operational upon departure.
- May 12, 2003: The system was down upon arrival to an overload condition on the SVE motor variable frequency (VFD). Remedial system readings and air samples collected. System was operational on departure.
- May 14, 2003: System was operational upon arrival. Quarterly groundwater sampling was conducted with samples collected from MW-2, MW-3, MW-5, and MW-8.


### 3.0 Recovery Performance Data

System operational data is presented in Table 2, and a remedial system performance summary is presented in Table 3. For the period of February 27, 2003 to May 12, 2003, the AS and SVE were operational for approximately 86.6 percent and 78.1 percent of the period, respectively. System down time was attributable to power losses. Approximately 80 gallons of water was entrained by the SVE laterals during the reporting period.

Based upon the sum of individual flow rates (Table 4), the AS system operated at total flow rates between an estimated 73.8 and 81.1 standard cubic feet per minute ( scfm ) during the period. AS well pressure and flow data are presented in Table 4.

Over the period, the SVE system operated at total extraction flow rates between approximately 169 and 202 scfm (Table 2). The SVE blower airflow rates were determined through manual measurements of the discharge flow or from the summed flow measurements of individual wells. SVE manifold and wellhead vacuum data are presented in Table 5.

To evaluate mass recovery and emission rates, carbon influent and effluent vapor samples were collected monthly for analysis by EPA Method 18. Based upon the influent total light petroleum hydrocarbon (TPH) concentrations and the calculated vapor recovery rates, an estimated 156.8 pounds of contaminant mass were recovered from February 14 through May 14, 2003 (Table 6). Copies of the vapor analytical reports are presented in Appendix A.

On March 24, 2003, dissolved oxygen (DO) and vacuum/pressure influence data were collected from some of the monitoring wells located in the vicinity of the AS/SVE network to evaluate remedial system influence (Table 7).

### 4.0 Water Table Elevation Data

In conjunction with the quarterly groundwater sampling event on May 14, 2003, site monitoring wells were gauged for depth to water with an electronic, water table interface probe. The depth to water readings and corresponding groundwater elevation data are presented in Table 8. As shown on Figure 2, groundwater in the upper surficial aquifer beneath the site flows generally to the north-northwest of the facility.

### 5.0 Site Rehabilitation Data

To monitor the site rehabilitation progress, groundwater samples were collected from the designated quarterly sampling monitoring wells MW-2, MW-3, MW-5, MW-8, and MW-21 on May 14, 2003. The samples were analyzed for dissolved petroleum hydrocarbons by EPA Methods 8021 (BTEX/MTBE), 8310 (PAHs), and TPH by FL-PRO. A copy of the laboratory analytical report and monitoring well purge logs from the quarterly sampling event are presented in Appendix B.

The groundwater analytical results are summarized in Table 9, and a benzene concentration contour map from the quarterly sampling event is shown on Figure 5. The analytical results indicate that the benzene, ethylbenzene, and total xylenes concentrations in all of the designated quarterly monitoring wells and the toluene concentration in MW-3 exceed the Natural Attenuation Default Concentrations (NADCs), established under Chapter 62-777 of the Florida Administrative Code (FAC). A comparison between the February 13, 2003 and May 14, 2003 analytical results indicates a decreasing trend in total VOA concentrations in MW-2, MW-5, MW-8 and MW-21 (24, 7.3, 5.3, and 16 percent reductions, respectively), while the total VOA concentration in MW-3 has increased by 17 percent.

### 6.0 Summary and Recommendations

This quarterly report documents AS/SVE remedial system performance and site rehabilitation efforts for the period of March 2003 to May 2003. Over the period, the remedial system achieved an operational status of approximately 78.1 percent for the SVE system and approximately 86.6 percent for the AS system. The system down time was attributed to power loss. The system operated continuously through the end of the reporting period.

During the period, the SVE system recovered approximately 156.8 pounds of petroleum hydrocarbons. The SVE system also recovered approximately 80 gallons of water from the SVE laterals.

The analytical results from the May 14, 2003 sampling event indicated that all five monitoring wells sampled yielded dissolved hydrocarbon concentrations above the NADCs, established under Chapter $62-777$ of the FAC. A decreasing trend in total VOA concentrations was observed in MW-2, MW-5, MW-8 and MW-21 since the February 13, 2002 sampling event, but no significant change in the areal extent of hydrocarbon impacts has occurred over this period.

Based upon the findings of this report, ECSMarin believes that the remedial system is effectively rehabilitating the site. ECSMarin recommends continuing remedial system operations to aid site rehabilitation efforts. Please contact me at (813) 612-5900 ext. 207 with any questions or comments regarding this report.

Sincerely,
Environmental Compliance Services, Inc.


James T. Cheze
Project Manager
cc: D. Polleys - Cumberland Farms, Inc.


## TABLE 1: REMEDIAL SYSTEM SUMMARY



Facillty Name: Cumberland Farms \#1006 $\quad$, Facility ID\#: 098503049
TABLE 3: SYSTEM PERFORMANCE-SÚMMARY

|  |  |  | AS |  |  | SVE |  |  | Tharmal Oxidizer (T.O.) |  |  | Flowmeter Reading (gallons) | Gallons Recovered Batween Visits | System Status |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Site Visit Date | Days . Between Sile Visits | Days Since Startup | Motor Hour Meter Reading (hours) | Total Treatment Days | Total Operation Time (\%) | Motor Hour Meter Reading (hours) | Total Treatment Days | Total Operation Time (\%) | Motor Hour Metar Reading (hours) | Total Treatment Days | Total Operation Time (\%) |  |  | AS |  | T.O. |
| 1/24/02 | - | - | 4.4 | - | - | 6.6 | $\cdot$ | - | - | - | - | 9,998,415 | - | 3 | 3 | - |
| 5/21/02 | - | - | 4.4 | 0.2 | - | 6.6 | 0.3 | - | - | - | - | 9,998,410 | - | 2 | 2 | - |
| 5/28/02 | 7 | 7 | 21.4 | 0.9 | 12.7\% | 24.8 | 1.0 | 14.8\% | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | 3 | . |
| 6/1/02 | 4 | 11 | 21.4 | 0.9 | B.1\% | 24.8 | 1.0 | 9.4\% | . | - | - | - | - | 3 | 3 | - |
| 614/02 | 3 | . 14 | 22.2 | 0.9 | 6.6\% | 26.1 | 1.1 | 7.8\% | - | - | - | 9,998,410 | - | 2 | 2 | - |
| 6/11/02 | 7 | 21 | 189.2 | 7.9 | 37.5\% | 192.9 | 8.0 | 38.3\% | . | . | - | 9,998,410 | - | 1 | 1 | - |
| 6/25/02 | 14 | 35 | 208.4 | 8.7 | 24.8\% | 212.2 | 8.8 | 25.3\% | - | - | - | 9,998,440 | 30 | 3 | 3 | - |
| 913/02 | 70 | 105 | 211.0 | 8.8 | 8.4\% | 215.7 | 9.0 | 8.6\% | 10,581.4 | 0.00 | - | 9,998.440 | - | 2 | 3 | 2 |
| 9/4/02 | 1 | 106 | 211.0 | 8.8 | 8.3\% | 231.0 | 9.6 | 9.1\% | 10,598.4 | 0.71 | 70.8\% | - | - | 1 | 3 | 1 |
| 9/11/02 | 7 | 113 | 211.0 | 8.8 | 7.8\% | 401.4 | 16.7 | 14.8\% | 10.765 .4 | 7.67 | 95.8\% | - | - | 2 | 3 | 2 |
| 9/24/02 | 13 | 126 | 211.0 | 8.8. | 7.0\% | 430.5 | 17.9 | 14.2\% | 10,793. 8 | 8.85 | 42.1\% | 9,998,440 | - | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| 10/1/02 | 7 | 133 | 211.0 | 8.8 | 6.6\% | 433.4 | 18.1 | 13.6\% | 10.783 .8 | 8.85 | 31.6\% | - | - | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| 10123/02 | 22 | 155 | 277.9 | 11.6 | 7.5\% | 563.5 | 23.5 | 15.1\% | 10,922.0 | 14.19 | 28.4\% | - | - | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| 11/13/02 | 21 | 176 | 346.8 | 14.5 | 8.2\% | 701.0 | 29.2 | 16.6\% | 11.061.5 | 20.00 | 28.2\% | - | - | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| 11/21/02 | 8 | 184 | 347.4 | 14.5 | 7.9\% | 707.5 | 29.5 | 18.0\% | 11.088.2 | 20.28 | 25.7\% | - | $\cdot$ | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| 1213/02 | 22 | 206 | 445.0 | 18.5 | 9.0\% | 855.0 | 35.6 | 17.3\% |  |  |  | 9,998,490 | 50 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| 1217102 | 4 | 210 | 519.2 | 21.6 | 10.3\% | 928.4 | 38.7 | 18.4\% | 11,288.0 | 29.44 | 28.0\% | . | - | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| 1224/02 | 7 | 217 | 550.2 | 22.9 | 10.6\% | 1.094 .5 | 45.6 | 21:0\% | 11,319.6 | 30.76 | 27.5\% | - | - | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| 1/28/03 | 35 | 252 | 558,0 | 23.3 | 9.2\% | 1.102 .9 | 46.0 | 18.2\% | 11.328.6 | 31.13 | 21.2\% | 9,998,590 | 100 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| 21/4103 | 17 | 269 | 755.8 | 31.5 | 11.7\% | 1,300.5 | 54.2 | 20.1\% | 11.525.3 | 39.33 | 24.0\% | - | - | 2 | 2 | 2 |

TABLE 3: SYSTEM PERFORMANCE-SU̇MMARY
Facillty Name: Cumberland Farms \#1006 , Facility ID\#: 098503049
. . 8078 South Suncoast Blvd., Homosassa, FL

|  |  |  | AS |  |  | SVE |  |  | Thermal Oxidizer (T.O.) |  |  | Flowmeter Reading (gallons) | Gallons Recovered Between Visits | System Status |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Site Visit Date | - Days Botween Site <br> - Visits | Days Since Startup | Motor Hour Meter Reading (hours) | Total Treatment Days | Total Operation rime (\%) | Motor Hour Meter Reading (hours) | Total Treatrnent Days | Total Operation Time (\%) | Motor Hour Meter Reading (hours) | Total Treatment Days | Total Operation Time (\%) |  |  | AS |  | T.O. |
| 227/03 | 13 | 282 | 1,069.6 | 44.6 | 15.8\% | 1,614.7 | 67.3 | 23.9\% | 11.826.9 | 51,90 | 29.3\% | - | - | 1 | 1 |  |
| 3/11/03 | 12 | 294 | 1,138.0 | 47.4 | 16.1\% | 1.901 .0 | 78.2 | 26.9\% |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 2 | 3 |
| 3/24/03 | 13 | 307 | 1,457.0 | 60.7 | 19.8\% | 2,221.0 | 92.5 | 30.1\% |  |  |  | - | . | 1 | 1 |  |
| 4/11/03 | 18 | 325 | 1.889.0 | 78.7 | 24.2\% | 2.655 .0 | 110.8 | 34.0\% |  |  |  | 9,998,670 | 80 | 1 | 1 |  |
| 5/12/03 | 31 | 856 | 2,234.0 | 93.1 | 26.1\% | 3.002 .0 | 125.1 | 35.1\% |  |  |  | 9,998,670 | 0 | 2 | 2 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

( February 27, 2002 10 May 12, 2003)
Notes

TABLE 4: AIR SPARGE WELL DATA
8078 South Suncoast Blvd., Homosassa, FL

TABLE 4: AIR SPARGE WELL DATA
Facility Name: Cumberland Farms\#1006 Facility ID\#: 098503049

| WELL NO. - | SW-7 |  | SW-8 |  | SW-9 |  | SW-10 |  | SW-11 |  | SW-12 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DIAMETER (in.) i | $2^{\prime \prime}$ |  | 2" |  | 2 " |  | 2" |  | $2^{\prime \prime}$ |  | 2" |  |
| WELL DEPTH (ft bls) | $10^{\prime}$ |  | $10^{\prime}$ |  | $10^{\prime}$ |  | $10^{\prime}$ |  | $10^{\circ}$ |  | $10^{4}$ |  |
| SCREEN INTERVAL (fi) | 8-10 |  | 8-10 |  | $8-10^{\circ}$ |  | $8-10^{\prime}$ |  | 8-10 |  | 8-10' |  |
| Date | Pressure | Flow | Pressura | Flow | Pressure | Flow | Pressure | Flow | Pressure | Flow | Pressure | Flow |
| 1/24/02 | $<0.5$ | 3.3 | $<0.5$ | 2.9 | $<0.5$ | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.2 | $<0.5$ | 3.1 | $<0.5$ | 3.0 |
| 5/21/02 | $<0.5$ | 3.0 | $<0.5$ | 2.9 | $<0.5$ | 3.2 | 3.5 | 2.5 | $<0.5$ | 3.1 | $<0.5$ | 3.0 |
| 6/1/02 | $<0.5$ | 4.0 | $<0.5$ | 3.8 | $<0.5$ | 3.7 | $<0.5$ | 2.1 | $<0.5$ | 3.7 | $<0.5$ | 3.8 |
| 6/4/02 | $<0.5$ | 4.2 | $<0.5$ | 4.0 | $<0.5$ | 3.7 | 3.5 | 3.9 | $<0.5$ | 3.9 | $<0.5$ | 4.0 |
| 6/11/02 | $<0.5$ | 4.2 | $<0.5$ | 3.8 | $<0.5$ | 4.2 | $<0.5$ | 10.0 | $<0.5$ | 3.9 | $<0.5$ | 3.9 |
| 6/25/02 | $<0.5$ | 3.8 | $<0.5$ | 4.0 | 1.5 | 3.7 | 5.0 | 3.5 | $<0.5$ | 3.8 | $<0.5$ | 4.1 |
| 10/1/02 | $<0.5$ | 4.1 | $<0.5$ | 4.0 | $<0.5$ | 3.9 | 3.0 | 4.0 | $<0.5$ | 4.0 | $<0.5$ | 5.0 |
| 10/23/02 | $<0.5$ | 3.8 | $<0.5$ | 3.8 | $<0.5$ | 3.5 | 3.0 | 2.8 | $<0.5$ | 3.8 | $<0.5$ | 3.8 |
| 11/21/02 | 1.5 | 4.2 | $<0.5$ | 4.8 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 2.0 | 4.4 | $<0.5$ | 4.9 | $<0.5$ | 4.8 |
| 12/13/02 | $<0.5$ | 5.2 | $<0.5$ | 5.0 | 2.5 | 4.8 | 4.0 | 3.8 | $<0.5$ | 4.6 | $<0.5$ | 4.8 |
| 1/28/03 | 1.0 | 4.8 | $<0.5$ | 5.0 | 3.5 | . 5.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | $<0.5$ | 4.6 | $<0.5$ | 5.4 |
| 2/14/03 | 1.5 | 5.4 | $<0.5$ | 5.0 | 4.5 | 4.6 | 4.5 | 4.0 | 1.0 | 5.0 | 1.0 | 4.8 |
| 2/27/03 | $<0.5$ | 6.2 | $<0.5$ | 4.6 | $<0.5$ | 6.8 | $<0.5$ | 5.2 | $<0.5$ | 4.6 | $<0.5$ | 4.4 |
| 3/24/03 ${ }^{\text {] }}$ | $<0.5$ | 6.0 | $<0.5$ | 6.1 | $<0.5$ | 6.8 | $<0.5$ | 5.8 | <0.5 | 4.8 | $<0.5$ | 4.5 |
| . 4/11/03 | . | 4.4 | . | 6.6 |  | 5.8 |  | 4.6 |  | 4.4 |  | 4.6 |
| 5/22.03 | $<0.5$ | 6.0 | $<0.5$ | 5.0 | $<0.5$ | 5.5 | $<0.5$ | 3.5 | $<0.5$ | 4.5 | $<0.5$ | 4.5 |
| $\cdots$ - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

TABLE 4: AIR SPARGE WELL DATA
Facility Name: Cumberland Farms\#1006 Facility ID\#: 098503049

| WELL NO. | SW-13 |  | SW-14 |  | SW-15 |  | SW-16 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DIAMETER (in.) | $2^{\circ}$ |  | $2{ }^{\text {* }}$ |  | $2 \cdot$ |  | 2* |  |  |  |  |  |
| WELL: DEPTH (it bls) | $10^{\circ}$ |  | $10^{\prime}$ |  | 10 |  | $10^{\circ}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| SCREEN INTERVAL ( ft ) | 8 -10 |  | 8-10 |  | 8-10 |  | $8 \cdot 10^{\prime}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Date | Pressure | Flow | Pressure | Flow | Pressure | Flow | Pressure | Flow | Pressure | Flow | Pressure | Flow |
| 1/2402 | 0.5 | 3.1 | $<0.5$ | 3.0 | $<0.5$ | 3.3 | $<0.5$ | 3.0 |  |  |  |  |
| 5/2102 | $<0.5$ | 3.0 | <0. 5 | 2.8 | $<0.5$ | 3.0 | $<0.5$ | 3.0 |  |  |  |  |
| 61102 | $<0.5$ | 3.7 | $<0.5$ | 4.0 | 40.5 | 3.7 | $<0.5$ | 3.9 |  |  |  |  |
| 6/4/02 | $<0.5$ | 4.1 | $<0.5$ | 4.3 | $<0.5$ | 4.5 | 0.5 | 4.1 |  |  |  |  |
| $6 / 11 / 02 \cdots$ | $<0.5$ | 4.0 | $<0.5$ | 4.1 | $<0.5$ | 4.2 | $<0.5$ | 3.8 |  |  |  |  |
| 6/25/02 | $<0.5$ | 4.5 | $<0.5$ | 4.6 | $<0.5$ | 4.2 | $<0.5$ | 4.2 |  |  |  |  |
| 10/102 | $<0.5$ | 5.0 | $<0.5$ | 5.0 | <0.5 | 4.3 | $<0.5$ | 4.1 |  |  |  |  |
| 10/3/02 | $<0.5$ | 4.0 | $<0.5$ | 5.0 | $<0.5$ | 4.6 | $<0.5$ | 4.0 |  |  |  |  |
| 112102 | 0.5 | 4.6 | 0.5 | 4.7 | 2.5 | 5.0 | $<0.5$. | 5.0 |  |  |  |  |
| 12/13/02 | $<0.5$ | 4.2 | $<0.5$ | 5.0 | $<0.5$ | 4.8 | $<0.5$ | 4.7 |  |  |  |  |
| 128102 | $<0.5$ | 4.6 | $<0.5$ | 4.8 | $<0.5$ | 5.0 | $<0.5$ | 5.0 |  |  |  |  |
| 2/14/03 | 2.0 | 4.0 | $<0.5$ | 5.2 | 1.0 | 4.8 | $<0.5$ | 5.2 |  |  |  |  |
| 227703 | $<0.5$ | 4.8 | $<0.5$ | 4.6 | $<0.5$ | 4.3 | $<0.5$ | 4.4 |  |  |  |  |
| 3/24/03 | <0.5 | 4.8 | 0.5 | 4.8 | <0.5 | 4.2 | $<0.5$ | 4.3 |  |  |  |  |
| 4/11/03 |  | 4.6 |  | 4.2 |  | 4.2 |  | 4.2 |  |  |  |  |
| 5/12/03 | $<0.5$. | 5.0 | $<0.5$ | 4.3 | $<0.5$ | 4.1 | $<0.5$ | 4.2 |  |  |  |  |
| $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

1) Flow in scfm
2) Blank cell denote reading unavailable


## SVE WELL DATA

8078 South Suncoast Blvd., Homosassa, FL

| WELL NO. | SVE. 7 |  | SVE-8 |  | SVE. 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DIAMETER (in.). | $4^{\circ}$ |  | $4^{\circ}$ |  | $4 \cdot$ |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |
| WELL DEPTH (it bls) | 2 |  | 2 |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SCREEN INTERVAL ( ft ) | $5^{\prime}$ |  | 5 |  | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vacuum (in WC) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Date | Manifold | Well | Manifold | Well | Manifold | Well | Manifold | Well | Manitold | Well | Manitold | Well |
| 1/24/02 | 7 |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5/21/02 | 14 |  | 15 |  | 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5/28/02 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6/1/02 | 10.15 |  | 15.17 |  | 10.15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6/4/02 |  |  | 14 |  | 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6/11/02 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6/25/02 | 10 |  | 10 |  | $5 \cdot 10$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9/4/02 |  |  | 20 |  | 16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9/11/02 | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 17 \\ \hline 19 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | 19 |  | 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9/24/02 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10/1/02 | 20 |  | 20 |  | 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10/23/02 |  |  | 20 |  | 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11/21/02 | $10.15$ |  | 10.15 |  | 10.15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12/13/02 | $30$ |  | 29 |  | 32 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12/17/02 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12/24/02 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1/28/03 | 8 |  | 10 |  | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2/14/03 | 10 | 4.6 | 10 | 6.2 |  | 4.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2/27/03 | 12. |  | 35 |  | 15.20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3/24i03 | 14 |  | 28 |  | 22 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4/11/03 | 12 |  | 20 |  | 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5/12/03 | $10$ |  | 28 |  | 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^0]
TABLE 6：SVE SYSTEM ANALYTICAL AND MASS RECOVERY SUMMARY
Facility Name：Cumberland Farms \＃1006
Facility ID\＃： 098503049

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 90951 | 9 ¢6 | E¢＇£L | 0＇ってz＇2 | 8.11 | $6 \angle 1$ |  | $1 \varepsilon$ | 2 | 1 | 81 | 02 | E0／Zl／s |
| 0． $29 \nabla^{\prime} 1$ | SSl | $\varepsilon 6^{\circ} \mathrm{L}$ | 0＇106＇t | てZ | 002 | －こてし | $\bigcirc$ | て＞ | 1＞ | b | 2 | E0／1 $1 / 6$ |
| 9＇しせ力＇l | 6.5 | $60^{\circ} \mathrm{L}$ | L＇b19＇l | $\checkmark 0$ | 591 | 62 | 1＞ | 2＞ | 1＞ | 1） | 1） | ع0／bてNE |
| 9＇scr＇l | ¢＇9 | $\varepsilon \chi^{\prime} 8$ | s＇00E＇l | SO | E61 | 62 | 1＞ | 2＞ | 1＞ | $1>$ | 1） | E0／tir |
| で6てか＇ | g．g2 | 86.91 | 6．201＇ | $1 \cdot 1$ | $\square 62$ | 07 | $1>$ | 2 | $1>$ | 1＞ | 1＞ | c0／82／1 |
| L＇E0ロ＇し | － | － | 0＇sc8 | － | YN | 8 N | YN | 8 N | 8 N | 8 N | 8N | 20／EL／Z1 |
| Leob＇ | 6.8 | 009 | G 202 | 02 | GLL | 081 | $1>$ | Z＞ | $1>$ | $1>$ | $1>$ | 20／L2ハ11 |
| 8＇ロ6E＇ | $1 \cdot 8$ | $\checkmark S$ | 5 E 95 | 60 | szz | 96 | $1>$ | Z＞ | $1>$ | 12 | 1） | 20／\＆2101 |
| L＇98E＇t | 6．000＇1 | 016 | soet | 02 | szz | 66 | 2 | 2＞ | $1>$ | $1>$ | $1>$ | 20／bて／6 |
| B．98E | ¢ 211 | 08.0 | でてして | $1 \cdot 812$ | 92\％ | $008{ }^{\prime} 01$ | b2 | £0L | 101 | 290 | 091 | 20／s2／9 |
| －892 | 1－292 | 96.9 | 6 661 | $6 . \varepsilon 2$ | 9zZ | 099＇$\varepsilon$ | てl | とても | 19 | 2ヵた | 28 | 2011 1／9 |
| て＇し | 2＇． | 180 | 192 | $0 \cdot \mathrm{~L}$ | SZZ | LOL | $1>$ | 2＞ | 1 | 17 | $1>$ | 20／6／9 |
|  | － | － | 802 | － | 8 N | 8 N | BN | 8N | 8N | 8N | 8N | 20／8z／9 |
|  | － | 0 | 9.9 | 00 | gzZ | OL＞ | $1>$ | 2＞ | 12 | $1>$ | $1>$ | 201Lて／s |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { (sqi) ajeg } \\ \text { o) ssew } 1 \text { lejod } \end{gathered}$ | （sqı） s！！s！＾uәәмјәg ssew lejol | （skep）Bu！dues иәамуә日 ou！ seuoneaado felol | （sunou） <br> 6ulpeay <br> dejaw InOH | （кер／ql） <br>  јиеu！wejuos SSEW IETOI | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (щцวs) } \\ & \text { әןед мо!与 } \end{aligned}$ | HdBl | 381w | $\begin{aligned} & \text { sauajkx } \\ & \text { ןe!ol } \end{aligned}$ | әuәzuәq <br> －Кイи | 2uenjol | әuəzuag | Ojeg |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| suolss｜my ssew 3＾S |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Notes：
1）．Concentrations listed in milligrams per cubic meter．Values rounded to the nearest whole number．If concentration less than the detection limit，then the detection limit was listed．
2）ITwo samples collected on 1－24－02 for off－gas determination．Sample \＃（1）was collected at 1：10 p．m．with only the SVE operating．Sample \＃（2）was collected at 5：40 p．m．with both the AS／SVE operating． 3）Total xylenes $=n$－Xylene，$p$－Xylene +0 －Xylene concentrations
4） TPHs $=$ Total Light Petroleum Hydrocarbons
5） scfm $=$ standard cuble feet per minute
6）Ib／day $=$ ．pounds per day
7）$N R=$ system not running
8）－－＂denotes data is unavailable or insufficient for calculation
9）The total mass contaminant flow rate recovered／emitted values were caiculated by multiphing the TPH concentration by the flow rate，and assumes a 24 hour period of operation at that value． 10）The total mass recovered／emitted between visits was calculated by mulliplying the total operational time between sampling events（in days）by the average iotal mass contaminant
now rate（in tbs／day）value observed between the curent and previous sampling event．
TABLE 7: VACUUM INFLUENCE/DISSOLVED OXYGEN DATA

- Facility ID\#: 098503049

| WELL NO. | MW-2 |  | MW-3 |  | MW-5 |  | MW-6 |  | MW-7 |  | MW-8 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DIAMETER (in.) | $2^{\prime \prime}$ |  | , 2" |  | 4" |  | $4{ }^{\text {" }}$ |  | $4{ }^{\prime \prime}$ |  | 4 " |  |
| WELL DEPTH (ft bls) | 12 ' |  | $12^{\prime}$ |  | $8{ }^{\prime}$ |  | 8' |  | $9 \cdot$ |  | $0^{\prime}-9$ |  |
| SCREEN INTERVAL (ft) | 2'-12' |  | $2^{1}-12^{\prime}$ |  | $0^{\prime}-8{ }^{\prime}$ |  | $0^{\prime}-8$. |  | $0^{\prime}-9$ |  | 0' -9' |  |
| Date | Vacuum | DO | Vacuum | DO | Vacuum | DO | Vacuum | DO | Vacuum | DO | Vacuum | DO |
| 5/21/02 |  | 0.13 |  | 0.11 |  | 0.15 |  | 0.16 |  | 0.13 |  | 0.16 |
| - 5/28/02 |  | 0.20 |  | 0.11 |  | 0.93 |  | 1.49 |  |  |  | 0.21 |
| 6/4/02 |  | 0.10 |  |  |  | 0.27 |  | 0.17 |  |  |  |  |
| 6/11/02 |  | 0.25 |  |  |  | 0.29 |  | 0.34 |  |  |  |  |
| 6/25/02 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | B |
| 2/14/03 | 2.6 P | 5.49 | 2.2 P | 0.25 | 0.12 | 0.31 |  | 5.79 | 0.01 | 0.35 | 0.06 | 2.18 |
| 3/24/03 | 0.5P | 0.52 |  |  | 0.20 | 0.35 B |  |  | 0.13 | 0.30 | 1.60 | 0.63 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| WELL NO. | MW-9 |  | MW-10 |  | MW-11 |  | NWW-12 |  | MW-16D |  | MW-21 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DIAMETER (In.) | 2" |  | $2{ }^{\prime \prime}$ |  | $2{ }^{\prime \prime}$ |  | 2" |  | $2^{\prime \prime}$ |  | $2^{\text {" }}$ |  |
| WELL DEPTH (ft bls) | blocked |  | 12 |  | $10^{\prime}$ |  | $10^{\prime}$ |  | 25' |  | 12' |  |
| SCREEN INTERVAL ( ft ) | NA ${ }^{\text {] }}$ |  | 2'-12' |  | $2^{\prime}-10^{\prime}$ |  | $2^{\prime}-10^{\prime}$ |  | 20' $25^{\prime}$ |  | $2^{\prime}-12^{\prime}$ |  |
| . Date | Vacuum | DO | Vacuum | DO.- | Vacuum | DO | Vacuum | DO | Vacuum | DO | Vacuum | DO |
| 5/21/02 | , |  |  | 0.11 |  | 0.12 |  |  |  | 0.12 |  | 0.12 |
| 5/28/02 |  |  |  | 0.35 |  | 0.43 |  |  |  | 0.41 |  |  |
| 6/4/02 |  |  |  | 0.15 |  | 0.20 |  | 0.22 |  | 0.18 |  |  |
| 6/11/02 |  |  |  | 0.22 |  | 0.21 |  | 0.24 |  | 0.15 |  |  |
| 6/25/02 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2/14/03 | 0.47 |  | 0.00 | 5.66 | 2.0 P | 0.27 |  |  |  |  | 1.4 P | 0.27 |
| 3/24/03 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^1]TABLE 8: GROUNDWATER ELEVATION SUMMARY
Facility Name: Cumberland Farms \#1006
8078 South Suncoast Blvd., Homosassa, FL

| WELL NO. | MW-2 |  |  | MW-3 |  |  | MW-5 |  |  | MW-6 |  |  | MW-7 |  |  | MW-8 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DLAMETER (Im) | $2^{\prime \prime}$ |  |  | 2 " |  |  | $4{ }^{\text {" }}$ |  |  | $4{ }^{\text {" }}$ |  |  | 4 " |  |  | 4" |  |  |
| WELL DEPTH (n Ma) | 12' |  |  | 12' |  |  | $8^{\prime}$ |  |  | 8 ' |  |  | 9 |  |  | 9 |  |  |
| SCREENINTERVAL ( n ) | 2' $=12$ |  |  | 2'-12' |  |  | $0^{\prime}-8{ }^{\prime}$ |  |  | $0^{\prime}-8$. |  |  | $0 \cdot 9$ |  |  | $0^{\prime}-9^{\prime}$ |  |  |
| tocelevation . | 98.53 |  |  | 98.76 |  |  | 98.52 |  |  | 98.85 |  |  | 98.89 |  |  | 98.58 |  |  |
| DATE : | ELEV | DTW | FP | ELEV | DTW | FP | ELEV | DTW | FP | ELEV | DTW | FP | ELEV | DTW | FP | ELEV | DTW | FP |
| 11/1/94 | 94.99 | 3.54 |  | 94.87 | 3.89 |  | 94.94 | 3.58 |  | 94.96 | 3.89 |  | 94.88 | 4.01 |  | 94.89 | 3.69 |  |
| 3/21/00 | 92.28 | 6.25 |  | 92.24 | 6.52 |  | 92.30 | 6.22 |  | 92.27 | 6.58 |  | 92.26 | 6.63 |  | 91.11 | 7.47 |  |
| 9/13/00 | 93.51 | 5.02 |  | 93.51 | 5.25 |  | 93.16 | 5.36 |  | 93.53 | 5.32 |  | 93.91 | 4.98 |  | 93.50 | 5.08 |  |
| 10/9/01 | 94.13 | 4.40 |  | 94.13 | 4.63 |  | 94.15 | 4.37 |  | 94.15 | 4.70 |  | 94.15 | 4.74 |  | 94.13 | 4.45 |  |
| 1/24/02 | 93.22 | 5.31 |  | 93.22 | 5.54 |  | 93.24 | 5.28 |  | 93.26 | 5.59 |  | 93.24 | 5.65 |  | 93.23 | 5.35 |  |
| -5/21/02 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5/28/02 | 91.94 | 6.59 |  | 91.91 | 6.85 |  | 91.97 | 6.55 |  | 91.95 | 6.90 |  |  |  | 0.05 | 91.31 | 7.27 |  |
| 614102 | 91.93 | 6.60 |  | 91.86 | 6.90 | * | 91.92 | 6.60 |  | 91.90 | 6.95 |  |  |  | - | 91.39 | 7.19 | - |
| 6/11/02 | 91.81 | 6.72 |  |  |  | 0.16 | 91.87 | 6.65 |  | 91.85 | 7.00 |  |  |  | 0.05 |  |  | 0.60 |
| 6/25/02 | 92.92 | 5.61 |  |  |  |  | 93.18 | 5.34 |  | 93.14 | 5.71 |  |  |  | 0.03 |  |  | 0.01 |
| 11/21/02 | 94.18 | 4.35 |  | 94.11 | 4.65 | 0.00 | 94.14 | 4.38 |  | 94.17 | 4.68 |  | 94.07 | 4.82 | 0.00 | 94.00 | 4.58 | 0.00 |
| 2/13/03 | 94.04 | 4.49 |  | 93.97 | 4.79 |  | 93.96 | 4.56 | - | 94.04 | 4.81 |  | 94.08 | 4.81 |  | 94.05 | 4.53 |  |
| 5/14/03 | 93.68 | 4.85 |  | 93.79 | 4.97 |  | -93.82 | 4.70 |  | 93.80 | 5.05 |  | 93.81 | 5.08 |  | 93.86 | 4.72 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

TABLE 8: GROUNDWATER ELEVATION SUMMARY

| WELL NO. | MW-9 |  |  | MW-10 |  |  | MW-11 |  |  | MW-12 |  |  | MW-13 |  |  | MW-14 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DIAMETER (in.) | $2{ }^{\prime \prime}$ |  |  | $2{ }^{\prime \prime}$ |  |  | $2{ }^{\prime \prime}$ |  |  | $2^{\prime \prime}$ |  |  | 2 " |  |  | $\underline{2}$ |  |  |
| WELL DEPTH ( n bis) | unknown |  |  | 12' |  |  | $10^{\prime}$ |  |  | $10^{\prime}$ |  |  | 8 ' |  |  | 8 |  |  |
| SCREEN INTERVAL ( (I) | NA |  |  | 2'-12' |  |  | $2^{\prime} \cdot 10^{\prime}$ |  |  | 2'-10' |  |  | 2'-8' |  |  | 2'-8' |  |  |
| toc elevation | 98.48 |  |  | 98.84 |  |  | 98.73 |  |  | 98.05 |  |  | 98.87 |  |  | 98.94 |  |  |
| DATE | ELEV | DTW | FP | ELEV | DTW | FP | ELEV | DTW | FP | ELEV | DTW | FP | ELEV | DTW | FP | ELEV | DTW | FP |
| 11/1/94 | 94.84 | 3.64 |  | 94.88 | 3.96 |  | 94.93 | 3.80 |  | 94.95 | 3.10 |  | 94.85 | 4.02 |  | 94.79 | 4.15 |  |
| 3/21/00 |  |  |  | 92.28 | 6.56 |  | 92.31 | 6.42 |  | 92.23 | 5.82 |  | 92.27 | 6.60 |  | 92.19 | 6.75 |  |
| 9/13/00 |  | blocked |  | 93.51 | 5.33 |  | 93.55 | 5.18 |  |  |  |  | 93.53 | 5.34 |  | 92.42 | 6.52 |  |
| 10/9/01 |  | blocked |  | 94.18 | 4.65 |  | 94.19 | 4.54 |  |  |  |  | 94.13 | 4.74 |  | 94.06 | 4.88 |  |
| 1/24/02 |  | blocked |  | 93.21 | 5.63 |  | 93.27 | 5.46 |  | 93.23 | 4.82 |  | 93.25 | 5.62 |  |  |  |  |
| 5/21/02 | ; |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5/28/02. |  | blocked |  | 91.93 | 6.91 |  | 91.95 | 6.78 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6/4/02 |  | blocked |  | 91.89 | 6.95 |  | 92.07 | 6.66 |  | 91.91 | 6.14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6/11/02 |  | blocked |  | 91.84 | 7.00 |  | 91.79 | 6.94 |  | 91.93 | 6.12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6/25/02 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| .11/21/02 |  | blocked |  | 94.09 | 4.75 |  | 94.28 | 4.45 |  |  | . |  | 94.17 | 4.70 |  | 94.01 | 4.93 |  |
| 2/13/03 |  | blocked |  | 93.95 | 4.89 |  | 94.07 | 4.66 |  |  |  |  | 94.07 | 4.80 |  | 93.89 | 5.05 |  |
| 5/14/03 |  | Dry |  | 93.77 | 5.07 |  | 93.91 | 4.82 |  |  |  |  | 93.80 | 5.07 |  |  | ld not |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Facility Name: Cumberland Farms \#1006
. . . 8078 South Suncoast Blvd., Homosassa, FL

| WELL No. | MW-15 |  |  | MW-16D |  |  | MW-17 |  |  | MW-18 |  |  | MW-19 |  |  | MW-20 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DLAMETER (In.) | $2^{\prime \prime}$ |  |  | $2^{\prime \prime}$ |  |  | $2{ }^{\prime \prime}$ |  |  | $2{ }^{\prime \prime}$ |  |  | $2{ }^{\prime \prime}$ |  |  | $2{ }^{\prime \prime}$ |  |  |
| WELL DEPTH ( ${ }^{\text {d }}$ M 3 ) | 12 ' |  |  | $25^{\prime}$ |  |  | $10^{\prime}$ |  |  | 12' |  |  | $10^{\prime}$ |  |  | 12' |  |  |
| SCREEN INTERVAL (m) | 2'-12' |  |  | $20^{\prime}-25^{\prime}$ |  |  | $2{ }^{2}-10^{\prime}$ |  |  | 2'-12' |  |  | 2'-10' |  |  | 2'-12' |  |  |
| TOCELEVATÓN | 97.77 |  |  | 98.36 |  |  | 98.57 |  |  | 99.21 |  |  | 99.31 |  |  | 98.82 |  |  |
| - DATE | ELEV | DTW | FP | ELEV | DTW | FP | ELEV | DTW | FP | ELEV | DTW | FP | ELEV | DTW | FP | ELEV | DTW | FP |
| 11/1/94 | 94.87 | 2.90 |  | 94.84 | 3.52 |  | 94.93 | 3.64 |  | 94.91 | 4.30 |  | 94.98 | 4.33 |  | 94.85 | 3.97 |  |
| 3/21/00 | 92.28 | 5.49 |  | 92.27 | 6.09 |  | 92.30 | 6.27 |  | 92.25 | 6.96 |  | 92.26 | 7.05 |  | 92.15 | 6.67 |  |
| 9/13/00 . | 93.52 | 4.25 |  | 93.50 | 4.86 |  | 93.55 | 5.02 |  | 93.48 | 5.73 |  | 93.58 | 5.73 |  | 93.48 | 5.34 |  |
| 10/9/01 | 94.19 | 3.58 |  | 94.14 | 4.22 |  | 94.19 | 4.38 |  | 94.11 | 5.10 |  |  |  |  | 94.14 | 4.68 |  |
| 1/24/02 |  |  |  | 93.23 | 5.13 |  | 93.27 | 5.30 |  |  |  |  | 93.35 | 5.96 |  |  |  |  |
| 5/21/02 | ; |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5/28/02 |  |  |  | 91.92 | 6.44 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6/4/02 |  |  |  | 91.94 | 6.42 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6/11/02 |  |  |  | 91.86 | 6.50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6/25/02 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11/21/02 | 94.15 | 3.62 |  | 94.09 | 4.27 |  | 94.18 | 4.39 |  | 94.19 | 5.02 |  |  |  |  | 94.09 | 4.73 |  |
| 2/13/03 | 94.02 | 3.75 |  | 93.95 | 4.41 |  | 94.09 | 4.48 |  | 94.05 | 5.16 |  | 94.12 | 5.19 |  | 93.94 | 4.88 |  |
| 5/14/03 | 93.82 | 3.95 |  | 93.74 | 4.62 |  | 93.88 | 4.69 |  | 93.71 | 5.50 |  | 93.79 | 5.52 |  | 93.73 | 5.09 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | .. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

TABLE 8: GROUNDWATER ELEVATION SUMMARY
Facility ID\#: 098503049

[^2]TABLE 9: GROUNDWATER MONITORING WELL ANALYTICAL SUMMARY
Facility Name: Cumberland Farms \#1006 Facility ID\#: 098503049


| $\cdots$ | TAB <br> Facilit | BLE 9: <br> ty Name: | GROU <br> Cumberland 8078 South | NDWA <br> Farms \#100 uncoast Blv | TER <br> d., Homose | MONITO <br> ssa, FL | kING |  | ANALYTI | CAL SUM <br> Facility ID\#: | MARY <br> 098503049 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Benzene | Toluene | Ethylbenzene | rotal Xylenes | Total VOA | mtBe | TRPHs | Naphthalene | 1-Methyl Naphthalene | : 2-Methyl Naphthalono | Acenaphthylene |
| Naturatanuidon Do |  | 100 | $\therefore 400$ | 300 | 200 | $i^{\text {i }}$ | 500 | 50,000 | . 200 | 200 | 200 | $2.100 \quad 1$ |
| w Ground water Cöncontrition <br>  |  | 10, $\square$ |  | 30 | 20 | '; '! ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ | 50 | 5,000 | , 20 ! | 20 | 20 | 210 |
| $\because$ MW-10 | $2110 / 94$ | $<1$ | $<1$ | <1 | $<1$ | $<1$ | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3/22/00 | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND |  | ND |  | . |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MW- 11 | 2/10100 | $<1$ | 4 | 70 | 673 | 743 | 66 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3/22/00 | ND | 6 | ND | 4 | 10 | ND |  | 39 |  |  |  |
|  | 10/9/01 | 7 | 2 | 28 | 26 | 63 | 2 | 3,400 | 4 | 3 | 4 | $<0.20$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MW-12 | 2/10100 | 4 | $\leq 1$ | $<1$ | $<1$ | $<1$ | $<1$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1; 3/22100 | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND |  | ND |  |  |  |
|  | 1/24/02 | $<1.0$ | $<1.0$ | <1.0 | $<2.0$ | - | $<1.0$ | $<590$ | $<0.20$ | <0.20 | $<0.20$ | $<0.20$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\therefore \text { MW-13 }$ | 2/10/00 | $<1$ | $<1$ | $<1$ | $<1$ | $<1$ | $<1$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3/22/00 | ND | 3 | ND | 9 | 13 | ND |  | ND |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\because M W-14$ | $2 / 10100$ | $<1$ | $<1$ | $<1$ | $<1$ | $<1$ | $<1$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{r} \because \\ \therefore \\ \therefore \\ \therefore \end{array}$ | 2/10100 | $<1$ | $<1$ | $<1$ | $<1$ | $<1$ | $<1$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3/22/00 | NO | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | . | ND |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | . |  |  |
| $\because M W-16 D$ | 2/10100 | $<1$ | $<1$ | $<1$ | 8 | 8 | $<1$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3/2200 | ND | 6 | ND | 152 | 158 | ND |  | 10 |  |  |  |
|  | 1019/01 | $<1.0$ | $<1.0$ | 1 | 13 | 14 | $<1.0$ | $<500$ | $<0.20$ | $<0.20$ | $<0.20$ | $<0.20$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\therefore: M W-17$ | 2/10/00 | $<1$ | $\leq 1$ | $<1$ | $<1$ | $<1$ | $<1$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | - 3/22100 | ND | ND | ND | NO | ND | ND |  | ND |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MW-18 | 2/10000 | $<1$ | $<1$ | $\leq 1$ | $<1$ | $\leq 1$ | $<1$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3/22/00 | ND | ND. | ND | ND | ND | ND |  | ND |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MW-19 | 2/10100 | $<1$ | $<1$ | $<1$ | $<1$ | $<1$ | $\leq 1$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3/22100 | ND | ND | ND | 25 | 25 | NO |  | 10 |  |  |  |
|  | 1/24/02 | $<1.0$ | $<1.0$ | <1.0 | $<2.0$ | . | $\leq 1.0$ | 1,200 | $<0.20$ | $<0.20$ | <0. 20 | $<0.20$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MW-20 | 10/18/94 | $<1$ | $<1$ | $<1$ | 4 | $<1$ | $<1$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3/22/00 | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND |  | NO |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

TABLE 9: GROUNDWATER MONITORING WELL ANALYTICAL SUMMARY
Facillty Name: Cumberland Farms \#1000 Facility ID\#: 098503049
8078 South Suncoast Blvo., Homosassa, FL


[^3]

FIGURES




Site No. 4 U.S. Fish \& Wildlife Service - Chassahowitzka 7798 S. Suncoast Boulevard

Homosassa, Florida
FDEP I.D. No. 098626575

Facility ID\#: 8626575
Name: Us Fish \& Wildlife Serv Chassahowitzka
7798 S Suncoast Blvd
Homosassa Springs, FL 32646
Contact: Us Fish \& Wildlife Service
Phone: 352-563-2088

District: SWD
County: Citrus
Type: F-Federal Governme
Status: Open
Latitude: 28:44:54.0000
Longitude: 82:33:19.0000
LL Method: AGPS-Autonomous '

Account Owner: Us Fish \& Wildlife Service

***Note:
Construction, Piping, and Monitoring Info not shown for CLOSED tanks
(Status A: Closed in Place, B: Removed from the site).

Florida Department of Environmental Prole. M
Twin Towers Office Bldg. 2500 Blair Stone Road a Tallahassee, Florida $32399-2400$
Division Of Waste Management
Bureau of Petroleum Storage Systems

Storage Tank Facility Compliance Inspection Report

Facility ID 8626575 County $09 /$ CRUS
Facility Name US fISH + WILDlIfE SERvicE
Latitude $28^{\circ} 44^{\circ} 54^{\prime \prime}$ Longitude $82^{\circ} 33^{\prime} 19^{\prime \prime}$ \# USTs $\square$ \# ARTs $\square$
Check box for type of inspection performed and attach appropriate forms). Provide or correct latitude/ongitude when appropriate.

| Compliance Inspection (Annual) | CI | $>$ | Discharge Inspection/Evaluation | TDI |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Compliance Inspection (DRF received) | TCDI |  | Installation Inspection | TIN |  |
| Compliance Inspection (Complaint received) | CPI |  | Closure Inspection | TXI |  |
| Compliance Re-Inspection | TOR |  |  |  |  |

Rule Cite
Description / Inspector's Comments

|  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

Financial Responsibility - Verify owner's coverage. Select Insurance or Other, and provide Mechanism, if appropriate.
$\qquad$ Insurance Carrier: $\qquad$ Effective Date: $\qquad$ Expiration Date: $\qquad$ $\underset{y}{x}$

Other Coverage meeting federal financial responsibility requirements. Mechanism: Ex
$\qquad$ None

$\qquad$ of


Site No. 5 Circle K \#7497 6775 S. Suncoast Boulevard

Homosassa, Florida
FDEP I.D. No. 098625853 EPA I.D. No. FLD984254169

SITE NAME：CiRCLE K C C197 DRESS： $6775 S$ Suncoaist FDEP NUMBER： 8625853



| INSPECTORS INITIAI CMSS／LS／00 |
| :--- |
| AND DATE |
|  |

# Department of Environmental Protection 

Jeb Bush

Twin Towers Office Building 2600 Blair Stone Road
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-2400 D.E.P.

David B. Struts Secretary

## CERTIFIED MAIL

## RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

Mr. Steve Belin
Circle K Stores, Inc.


5650 Breckenridge Park Drive, Suite \#300
Tampa, FL 33610
Subject: Site Rehabilitation Completion Order
Circle K \#7497
6775 South Suncoast Blvd (US 19)
Homosassa Springs, Citrus County, Florida
FDEP Facility ID \#098625853
Dear Mr. Belin:
The Bureau of Petroleum Storage Systems has reviewed the Site Assessment Report (SAR) and No Further Action Proposal (NFAP) dated January 12, 2001 (received January 16, 2001, and the Monitoring Well Abandonment Report dated April 3, 2001 (received April 5, 2001), prepared and submitted by ATC Associates, Inc., for the petroleum product discharge discovered on September 20, 1988 at this site. Documentation submitted with the NFAP confirms that criteria set forth in Rule 62-770.680(1), Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.), have been met. The NFAP is hereby incorporated by reference in this Site Rehabilitation Completion Order (Order). Therefore, you are released from any further obligation to conduct site rehabilitation at the site for petroleum product contamination associated with the discharge listed above, except as set forth below.

In the event concentrations of petroleum products' contaminants of concern increase above the levels approved in this Order, or if a subsequent discharge of petroleum or petroleum product occurs at the site, the Department of Environmental Protection (Department) may require site rehabilitation to reduce concentrations of petroleum products' contaminants of concern to the levels approved in the NFAP or otherwise allowed by Chapter 62-770, F.A.C.

[^4]Stores, Inc., shall mail a copy of the request to Mr. Steve Belin, Circle K Stores, Inc., at the time of filing. Failure to file a petition within this time period shall waive the right of anyone who may request an administrative hearing under Sections 120.569 and 120.57, F.S.

Pursuant to Section 120.54(5)(b)4.a., F.S. (1998, Supp.), and Rule 28-106.201, F.A.C., a petition for administrative hearing shall contain the following information:
(a) The name, address, and telephone number of each petitioner, the name, address, and telephone number of the petitioner's representative, if any, the site owner's name and address, if different from the petitioner, the FDEP facility number, and the name and address of the facility;
(b) A statement of how and when each petitioner received notice of the Department's action or proposed action;
(c) An explanation of how each petitioner's substantial interests are or will be affected by the Department's action or proposed action;
(d) A statement of the material facts disputed by the petitioner, or a statement that there are no disputed facts;
(e) A statement of the ultimate facts alleged, including a statement of the specific facts the petitioner contends warrant reversal or modification of the Department's action or proposed action;
(f) A statement of the specific rules or statutes the petitioner contends require reversal or modification of the Department's action or proposed action; and
(g) A statement of the relief sought by the petitioner, stating precisely the action petitioner wishes the Department to take with respect to the Department's action or proposed action.

This Order is final and effective as of the date on the top of the first page of this Order. Timely filing a petition for administrative hearing postpones the date this Order takes effect until the Department issues either a final order pursuant to an administrative hearing or an order responding to supplemental information provided pursuant to meetings with the Department.

## Judicial Review

Any party to this Order has the right to seek judicial review of it under Section 120.68, F.S., by filing a notice of appeal under Rule 9.110 of the Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure with the clerk of the Department in the Office of General Counsel, 3900 Commonwealth Boulevard, Mail Station 35, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-3000, and by filing a copy of the notice of appeal accompanied by the applicable filing fees with the appropriate district court of appeal. The notice of appeal must be filed within 30 days after this Order is filed with the clerk of the Department (see below).

The FDEP Facility Number for this site is 098625853. Please use this identification on all future correspondence with the Department.

## PG. CERTIFICATION

No Further Action Proposal for Circle K \#7497, 6775 South Suncoast Blvd, Homosassa Springs, Citrus County, FDEP Facility ID \#098625853.

I hereby certify that in my professional judgment, the components of this No Further Action Proposal satisfy the requirements set forth in Chapter 62-770, Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.), and that the conclusions in this report provide reasonable assurances that the objectives stated in Chapter 62-770, F.A.C., have been met.
$\qquad$ I personally completed this review.

X This review was conducted by James Fillmore $\qquad$ working under my direct supervision.


Richard A. Dunn, P.G. \#1509

- WRS Senior Geologist

Petroleum Cleanup Section 5
Bureau of Petroleum Storage Systems
$\frac{4 / 10 / 2001}{\text { Date }}$

Site No. 11 Kwik Stop (Citgo - Binal Food Mart) 5445 S. Suncoast Boulevard Homosassa, Florida
FDEP I.D. No. 098841370

Kwik Stop<br>Hashmukh Patel as Owner and Ketan Shah as Operator<br>August 2, 2001

## INTRODUCTION:

The responsibilities of the Florida Department of Environmental Protection The responsibilities of the Citrus County Health Department

## SIGNIFICANT EVENTS:

1. As of $10 / 12 / 00$ this Facility had three, 8,000 gallon, underground bare-steel petroleum storage tanks (USTs) with impressed current cathodic protection. The tanks were installed in July of 1988. The associated double-walled piping is constructed with synthetic materials. All three tank systems contain vehicular fuel petroleum products. The method of release detection for the USTs is monthly 0.2 gph leak tests conducted by Veeder-Root TLS 350 Automatic Tank Gauging (ATG) systems. The pressurized double-walled piping interstice is monitored by electronic sensors located in the STP sumps.
2. A compliance inspection conducted by Citrus County personnel on $10 / 12 / 00$ indicated that the facility was non-compliant on several issues:
a. Documentation was not available to show that the Veeder-Root TLS-350 was being placed in test mode on a monthly basis.
b. Documentation was not available to show that the impressed current cathodic protection system was being inspected at intervals not exceeding two months.
3. On June 27, 2001 Citrus County issued a Warning Letter to Hashmukh Patel with a copy to Mr. Ketan Shah setting an enforcement meeting for August 2, 2001.

Kwik Stop Continued

## NONCOMPLIANCE ISSUES:

1. Rule $62-761.600(1)(\mathrm{d})$, F.A.C., requires that the release detection method or combination of methods used at a facility shall be performed at least once a month, but not exceeding 35 days, to determine if a release from the storage tank system has occurred. Rule 62-761.610(1)(a), F.A.C. requires that all release detection methods meet the performance standards contained in Rule 62-761.640, F.A.C. The performance standards for Automatic Tank Gauging (ATG) systems are contained in Rule 62-761.640(3)(c)2.a., F.A.C., and require that they be placed in test mode at least once every 30 days.
2. Rule $62-761.700(1)(b) 2 . b .$, F.A.C., requires that storage tank systems with impressed current cathodic protection shall be inspected at intervals not exceeding two months. Evidence of proper functioning shall be current output, normal power consumption, a signal indicating normal operation, or satisfactory electrical state of the protected structure. Rule 62$761.710(3)(\mathrm{d})$, F.A.C., requires that cathodic protection inspection records be kept for the life of the storage tank system..

## RESOLUTION OF VIOLATIONS:

1. Immediately begin placing the Veeder-Root TLS-350 in test mode on a monthly basis. Documentation of the results of these monthly tests must be retained for a period of two years.
2. Immediately begin performing inspections of the impressed current cathodic protection system. The results of these inspections must be properly recorded and retained for the life of the storage tank system(s).
3. Correct any additional issues that are still open with Citrus County.
4. Pay all Departmental penalties and fees.

## SETTLEMENT OF DEPARTMENT ENFORCEMENT ACTION:

Discussion of Consent Order
Discussion of penalties and fees

## SUMMARY OF AGREEMENTS:

Discussion of time frames for compliance
Verification procedures and documentation

## PENALTY COMPUTATION WORKSHEET

Violator's Name: Mr. Hashmukh Patel (Owner) and Mr. Ketan Shah (Operator)
Identify Violator's Facility: Kwik Stop. DEP ID \#09/8841370
Name of Department Staff Responsible for the Penalty Computations: Jon H. Reeder

PART I - Class A Penalty Determinations

|  | Violation Type | Potential for Harm | Extent of Deviation | Matrix Amount | Adjustment | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Failure to perform required monthly release detection as required by Rule 62761.600(1)(d), F.A.C. | Moderate <br> Moderate | Unresolved Resolved | $\begin{gathered} \$ 2,000 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 5,000 \\ \$ 500 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 2,000 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \$ 2,000 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 5,000 \\ \$ 500 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 2,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| 2. | Failure to perform required impressed current cathodic protection inspections as required by Rule 62$761.700(1)$ (b)2.b., F.A.C. | Minor <br> Minor | Unresolved <br> Resolved | $\begin{gathered} \$ 200 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 500 \\ \$ 100 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 500 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \$ 200 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 500 \\ \$ 100 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 500 \end{gathered}$ |
| 3. | Department fees |  |  |  |  | \$100 |

Total Penalty Range and Fees for all Violations: $\$ 700-\$ 5,600$

## - STORAGE TANK PROGRAM

CHAPTER 62-761 F. A. C. PENALTY ASSESSMENT MATRIX

## EXTENT OF DEVIATION

| P $O$ $T$ E | Violation Type | Unresolved | Resolved |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N T I A L | Significantly Not In Compliance: Type A (MAJOR) | $\begin{gathered} \$ 10,000 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 5,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 5,000 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 2,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| F O R | Significantly Not In Compliance: Type B (MODERATE) | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 5,000 \\ & \text { to } \\ & \$ 2,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 2,000 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 500 \end{gathered}$ |
| H A R M | Minor Out of Compliance (MINOR) | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 500 \\ & \text { to } \\ & \$ 200 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 500 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 100 \end{gathered}$ |



## W. E. Moore Environmenfal Consultant, Inc.

1. E. Moore

President
7651 Haymionid Ct.
Orlando. FL 32818
Telephone 407-292-6798
Fox 407-298-0s22

AUGER BORING REPORT

April 18, 1097
Iocation: Binal Food Mart, 5445 Suncoast Blvd., Homosassa, FL
Reading was obtained from a 16 oz. jar half-flled with a head space using HNU 101 FID instrument.

AB1 1 ff .4 ppm
ABI 2 ft .0 ppm
ABl 3 ft .0 pmm
AB3 1 ft. 4 ppm
AB3 2 ft .5 ppm
AB3 3 ft .440 ppm
ABS 1 ff .0 ppm
AB5 2 f. 0 ppm
AB5 3 ft .0 ppm
AB7 1f. 0 ppm
AB7 2 ft .0 ppm
AB7 3 f. 0 ppm
AB9 1 ft. 0 ppm
$\Lambda B 92$ f. 0 ppm
AB9 3 ft. 0 ppm

AB2 1 ft .130 ppm
AB2 2 ft .190 ppm
AB 23 ft .240 ppm
ABA 1ft. 0 ppm
AB4 2 ft .0 ppn
AB4 3 A .0 ppm
AB6 1 ft .0 ppm
AB6 2 ft .0 ppm
AB6 3 ft .0 ppm
AB8 1 ft .0 ppm
AB8 2 ff .0 ppm
AB8 3 ft .0 ppm

Site No. 14 Quick Save Discount Beverage 5366 S. Suncoast Boulevard Homosassa; Florida FDEP I.D. No. 098503115

# Department of Environmental Protection 

Southwest District 3804 Coconut Palm Drive<br>Tampa, Florida 33619<br>David B. StruMs<br>Secretary

September 12, 2000
Ms. Diana Lumpkins
Sunny Days Plaza
5390 South Suncoast Blvd.
Homosassa, FL 34446
Re: Quick Save Discount Beverages 5366 South Suncoast Blvd.


Homosassa, Citrus County, Florida
FDEP Facility ID \#098503115
Dear Ms. Lumpkins:
Michelle Allard of the Bureau of Petroleum Storage Systems has reviewed the Site Assessment Report (SAR), dated April 21, 2000 (received April 26, 2000), submitted by Streamline Environmental, Inc., for the discharge discovered on December 20, 1999 at the above referenced site. The Department found all the documents submitted to date to be adequate to meet the contamination assessment requirements of Sections 62-770.600 and 62770.630, Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.). Therefore, you must now submit a Remedial Action Plan (RAP) in accordance with Section 62-770.700, F.A.C. The Department concurs that, due to the limited extent of contamination, the RAP may be limited in scope.

Please send a copy of the approved SAR document (s) to Mr . Ken Weber of the Southwest Florida Water Management District within thirty (30) days of receiving this approval letter.

Please submit the RAP addressed to me within ninety (90) days of receipt of this request, as required by Section $62-770.700(1)$, F.A.C. If you have any questions concerning this review, please contact me at (813) 744-6100, ext. 427.

## Sincerely,



HELP
cc: Craig Smith, Streamline Environmental, Inc. Mark Sumner, Citrus County Health Department Michelle Allard, FDEP-BPSS, Petroleum Cleanup Section 5 Tom Conrardy, FDEP-BPSS Petroleum Cleanup Section 3


Department of Environmental: Yon
Twin Towers Office Bldg. 2600 Blair Stone Road o Tallahassee, Florida 32399-2400
Division of Waste Management
Bureau of Petroleum Storage Systems

Storage Tank Facility Compliance Inspection Report

Facility D 8503115 County O9/crtavs
Facility Name QuICK SAVE DISCOUNT BEV.

Inspection Date


Facility Type A-RETACL
L/L Method A-GPS

Check box to identify type of inspection performed. Update latitude/longitude as necessary.
Provide Lat/Long Determination Method. ("Map", "AGPS" (Magellan), "GGPS" (Trimble)).
Provide the count of USTs and/or ASTs reviewed during this inspection


| Compliance Inspection (Annual) | TAI | $x$ | Installation Inspection | TIN |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Compliance Inspection (DRF received) | TCDI |  | Closure Inspection | TAI |  |
| Compliance Inspection (Complaint received) | TOPI |  | Compliance Re-Inspection | TR |  |
| Discharge Evaluation ("short form") | DI |  | $* *$ Record the results of the TDI in a Discharge Project |  |  |

- "Code" in block below corresponds to the Rule Cite; represents a Data Entry Code for ease of electronic data recording of inspection results.

Rule Cite $62-761$ Description/ Inspector's Comments
Code


Financial Responsibility - Verify owner's coverage. Select Insurance or Other, and provide Mechanism, if appropriate.
$\qquad$
$\qquad$ Other Coverage meeting federal financial responsibility requirements. Mechanism: $\qquad$
$\qquad$ None

Based upon the inspection results and information provided by the owneraperator, this facility appears to meet themequirementsof Florida edminstratye Code 62761.
Are inspection wilt be scheduled on or after.
$\qquad$ O Yes
KO. NO
$\mathrm{NO}, \mathrm{S}, \mathrm{p}, \mathrm{O}$




$$
352-527-5295
$$

Storage Tank Program Office Phone Number
SLIP PATEL
Facilit-Representative Name - Please Print


Facility Representative SIgnature \& Date
$\qquad$ of

Facility Name: Quick SAUE D.SC. BEV. Facility D: $8503 / 15$ Date: $9 / 11 / 00$

STate
Comments Release detection is S.I.R. By South EASTERN LIQUID ANALYZERS. All have Been passing since last inspection.
The dispense l liners are visually clacked mantly by ownervand conditions are noted in $\log$ book. There is some wetting in the Reg. UL liner, but no accumulated liquid. The plus/premiom liners were ding.
All TANKS Were Tightness Tested 1/12/00 with Horne $E 213$ by precision petroleum all passed.
Lines were tightness tested 1/10/00 with Hounder ELy product line test 1 y precision petroleum all passed.
2000-2001 placard is displayed. Cathodic protection impressed courent readings are logged Mentlly and currently read 17 volts 0.5 Amps. the sal To Structure was last tested $8 / 99$ it was due again 8/2000.
The tanks were internally Lined in February 1592. Beciswade that they must be internally inspected by February 2002.

## Memorandum

## Florida Department of Environmental Protection

| To. | Michael Bland |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | Bureau of Petroleum Storage Systems |
|  | Petroleum Cleanup Section 4 |
|  | Mail Station 4580 |
| FROM: | Leslie Pedigo八刀 $\sim^{\sim}$ |
|  |  |
| D |  |
|  |  |
| SUBJECT: | Site Assessment Report PETR SECTION4 |
|  | Quick Save Discount Beverages |
|  | 5366 South Suncoast Boulevard |
|  | Homosassa, Citrus County, Florida |
|  | DEP Facılıty ID \#098503115 |

Enclosed please find the above referenced SAR for your review and comments. Since this site is currently not eligible for one of the cleanup programs, please send your comments to me

Thanks for your assistancel
LP
Enclosure

# D.E.P. 

APR 262000
SouthwestDistrict Tampa

# SITE ASSESSMENT REPORT QUICK SAVE DISCOUNT BEVERAGE 5366 S. SUNCOAST BOULEVARD HOMOSASSA, FLORIDA 34446 

FDEP D NO. 98503115

Prepared For:
QUICK SAVE DISCOUNT BEVERAGES
5366 S. SUNCOAST BOULEVERD HOMOSASSA, FLORIDA 34446

3UREAU OF PETROLEUM STORAGE SYSTEMS
rand ) 12080
Petroleum cleanup SECTION 4


Prepared By:
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519 NORTH HOWARD AVENUE
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## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

## Tank History

Four, 4,000 gallon capacity USTs, each contaming unleaded gasoline, were installed on the property in 1977 The steel tanks have since been upgraded and have internal lining, cathodic protection, and spill containment The steel, suction piping system is cathodically protected.

## Discovery of Contamination

Petroleum contamination was first detected at the facility during Phase II Environmental Site Assessment activities in conducted in December, 1999 As part of the phase II investigation, groundwater samples were collected from the four compliance wells surrounding the tank pit area Laboratory analysis of the samples found concentrations of petroleum constituents (benzene, ethylbenzene, toluene and total xylenes) that exceed Groundwater Cleanup Target LeveIs (GCTLs) A Discharge Reporting Form (DRF) was submitted to the FDEP in response to the discovery of contamination In addition, pressure testing of the petroleum system was conducted, which identified no leaks As such the source of contamination was probably a small spill. In addition, because the study found little MTBE, the spill was probably old

## Souls Investigation

Ten soil borings were performed to delineate the extent of petroleum impacts in the vadose zone Soll gas survey results found no excessively contaminated sorls (OVA reading $>500 \mathrm{ppm}$ ) One sample was collected for laboratory analysis from the area of highest OVA readings No evidence of adverse petroleum impacts was detected in the soil sample analyzed

## Groundwater Flow Direction

Two rounds of water table elevation data were collected during the study Depth to water ranged from 9 to 10 ft below land surface The surface of the water table is too flat to contour Regionally, groundwater flows west to the Gulf of Mexico No sıgnificant vertical hydraulic gradient was found

## Groundwater Investıgation

Prior to the site assessment, four shallow monitonng wells were located in the area of the tank pit Streamine installed four additional permanent shallow wells ( 14 ft total depth) and one double-cased deep well ( 30 ft total depth) Two of the source area wells (MW-1 and MW-4) were tested for the entire kerosene analytical group The remaining wells were sampled for EPA Method 602 and 610 parameters, and FLPRO only.

Groundwater sampling results found concentrations of volatile organic compounds (Benzene and Ethylbenzene) that exceed Groundwater Cleanup Target Levels in 2 wells Contaminants were not detected in the deep well installed into the Upper Floridan Aquifer The contaminated area is approximately 50 ft by 50 ft by 10 ft thick

## Hydrogeology

The shallow geology at the site consists of prmarily fine grained sand and slightly silty sand from land surface to approximately 18 ft below land surface (bls) Sandy clay to clayey sand was found between 18 and 20 ft bls. Fossiliferous limestone was found from 20 ft bls to maximum boring depth of 30 ft

## Water Well Survey

Area residents and businesses, including the subject property, rely on groundwater for their dranking water. Although the Southwest Flonda Water Management District had little information on wells in the immediate site vicinity, an area reconnaissance identified water wells at nearly all residences in the vicinity The nearest water well to the source area is located approximately 200 ft to the west.

## Conclusions and Recommendations

The results of the Site Assessment indicate that groundwater in the surficial aquifer has been impacted by petroleum hydrocarbons, and that the level of impact exceeds the groundwater cleanup target levels However, the magnitude and extent of impacts appears to be limited and rate of migration appears to be very slow As such, a Remedıal Action Plan should be prepared to determine the most practical and cost-effective remediation strategy for the site Because the size of the contaminated area is relatively small, an Alternatıve Remedial Action (such as short term arr sparging and soil vapor extraction) may be effective at reducing contaminant levels to within Natural Attenuation levels

### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

Streamline Environmental was retained by Quick Save Discount Beverages to perform a Site Assessment Report (SAR) of petroleum contamination at the subject property. The assessment was initiated because groundwater impacts were discovered at the site during a phase II environmental site assessment

The facility ID number for the facility is 98503115

### 2.0 SITE DESCRIPTION

### 2.1 SITE LOCATION

The subject property is located in Citrus County at the physical address of 5366 S Suncoast Blvd in Homosassa, Florida Geographically, the stte is located in Section 35, Township 19 South, Range 18 East A Topographic Site Location Map is included as Figure 1

### 2.2 SITE LAYOUT

The subject property is a gas and convemence store centrally located in the Sunny Days Shopping Plaza The property has approximate dimensions of 190 ft by 500 ft The Sunny Days Plaza building is rectangular-shaped with approximate dimensions of 420 ft by 40 ft An asphalt parking lot borders the east side of the plaza building The USTs and fuel dispensers are located in the parking lot approximately 50 ft west of the plaza building A Site Layout Plan is included as Figure 2

### 2.3 TANK HISTORY

Four, 4,000 gallon capacity USTs, each containing unleaded gasoline, were installed on the property in 1977 The steel tanks have since been upgraded and have internal lining, cathodic protection, and spill contanment The steel, suction piping system is cathodically protected

### 2.4 DISCOVERY OF CONTAMINATION

Petroleum contamınation was first detected at the facility during Phase II Environmental Site Assessment activitues in December, 1999 As part of the phase II investigation, groundwater samples were collected from the four complance wells surrounding the tank pit area Laboratory analysis of the samples found concentrations of petroleum constituents (benzene, ethylbenzene, toluene and total xylenes) that exceed Groundwater Cleanup Target Levels GCTLs Pertinent data from the phase II assessment are included in Appendix A.

A Discharge Reporting Form (DRF) was submitted to the FDEP in response to the discovery of contamination In addition, pressure testing of the petroleum system was conducted, which identıfied no leaks. As such the source of contamination was probably a small spill In addition, because the study found no MTBE, the spill was probably very old

### 2.5 UTILITIES

Water is supplied to the property by a private water well located approximately 100 feet west of the subject building All sewage is pumped to a private waste water treatment plant located adjacent to the west of the study area Overhead electrical lines enter the
property from the west and supply electricity to the subject building Underground telephone utilties run north and south along Hwy 19 Sewer lines are not present within the impacted area

### 2.6 STORM WATER DRAINAGE

No storm water drains were identified on the property Storm water runs off the parking lot and infiltrates into the permeable sandy soils

### 2.7 SURFACE WATER HYDROLOGY

Petroleum contamination at the subject property is not anticipated to be impacting with any surface water bodies. Review of the USGS Topographic map, Homosassa Quadrangle revealed that the nearest surface water body to the subject property is located over one mule to the southwest

### 2.8 ADJACENT PROPERTY LAND USE

Land use in the site vicinity is commercial Adjacent properties are described below and shown on Figure 2

## North

The subject property is bordered to the north by Palace Road An undeveloped Iot is located on the north side of Palace Road.

## South

Oak Ridge Drive borders the southern property boundary Land on the south side of Oak Rudge Drive contains the Oak Ridge Center retall plaza

## East

The subject property is bordered to the east by Hıghway 19 (U S 55) Homasassa Printing, Wherehouse Storage, and R\&R Used Auto are located on the east side of Hwy 19

West
A small gravel road borders the western subject property boundary Homosassa Tire, a small sewage treatment plant, and Bob's Garage are located on the west side of the road

### 3.0 REGIONAL HYDROGEOLOGY

In general, there are three hydrostratigraphic units underlying much of Citrus County These include the surficial water table aquifer, an underlying discontinuous confining unit, and the Floridan Aquifer system

Ploo-Pleistocene Age Sands comprise much of the surficial aquifer across the county These deposits consist of sand and shell sand, which range in thickness from 2 to more than 50 ft Scott (1981) report that the average Plio-Plestocene Age deposits are 25 ft thick in the vicinity of the site The Plio-Pleistocene Age deposits are not generally an important source of water because high quality potable water can be obtained from underlying limestone units

Throughout much of Citrus County the surficial sands are underlain by blue-gray clays and interbedded marine sands of the Hawthom Group of middle to late Miocene Age Where present, these units serve as a regional confining layer, restricting the vertical migration of groundwater between the surficial aquifer and the underlying confined carbonate aquifer The thickness of the Hawthom Group in the vicinity of the site ranges from between 0 to 50 feet (Scott and MacGill, 1981) Due to the confining characteristics of the Hawthom Group, the area of the subject property is within a zone of generally "no recharge" to the Floridan Aquifer However, where the Hawthorn Group is not present, recharge to the Floridan Aquifer may occur

Beneath the surficial aquifer and confining unit sediments, carbonate deposits are encountered which comprise the Floridan aquifer system The top of the Floridan aquifer system is generally considered to be the Eocene Age Ocala Formation (Scott, 1992) The characteristic karst features of the Ocala Formation can be seen in many of the area springs

### 4.0 CONTAMINATION ASSESSMENT ACTIVITIES

All sampling and decontamination procedures were performed in accordance with Streamline Environmental's FDEP approved Quality Assurance Plan No 930289.

### 4.1 VADOSE ZONE INVESTIGATION

Ten soll borings were installed in the vadose zone in the vicinity of the UST system for the purpose of delineating the extent of petroleum hydrocarbon contamination in the soll Boring and sampling methods, boring placement rationale, and organic vapor analysis (OVA) results are discussed in this section

### 4.1.1 Soil OVA Analysis

Soil screening and sampling was performed on February 16, 2000 All soil borings were installed using a decontaminated stainless-steel bucket auger A concrete coring machine was utilized as necessary to penetrate the concrete and asphalt surface cover. Soil samples were collected at approximately 2 ft depth intervals and placed in clean half-full 16 ounce glass jars for Organic Vapor Analysis (OVA) analysis The water table was encountered in most soll bonngs at a depth of 8 ft below land surface (bls) Soll samples were analyzed using a field-calibrated Porta-Fid OVA according to FAC Chapter 62-770 guidelines for assessment and remediation of petroleum impacted soil The OVA was calibrated at the beginning and end of each sampling day. Each soil sample was screened for the presence of methane/ethane using a fresh activated charcoal filter Soil boring logs are included in Appendix B. All soll bonings were backfilled immediately after completion, and the surface cover (concrete or asphalt) was restored The bucket auger was properly decontaminated between each sampling location

Soll boring locations were chosen with regard to potential sources of petroleum discharge including the UST area, product piping, and fuel dispensers Soil borings B-1 through B6 were located immediately adjacent to the UST area Soll borings B-7 through B-10 were placed around the fuel dispensers and product piping Soil boring locations are shown on Figure 3.

The results of the vadose zone investigation found no excessively contaminated soil (net OVA reading $>500 \mathrm{ppm}$ ) in the vicinity of the USTs or fuel dispensers The highest OVA readings were at 8 ft depth from bonngs B 2 and B 3 , which had 19 ppm and 76 ppm respectıvely Both of these samples were collected from the capillary fringe of the water table Soil OVA results are tabulated on Table 1

### 4.1.2 Soil Sampling for Laboratory Analysis

One son sample was collected (February 16, 2000) for laboratory analysis from a depth of 70 ft bls at the location of B-3 This location was selected based on OVA readings The
sample was analyzed by SunLabs, Inc (CompQAP No. 970077) for EPA method 8021, 8100, and FLPRO parameters Laboratory results are summanized on Table 2 The laboratory report is included in Appendix C. As shown, petroleum compounds were not detected in the sample, with the exception of $54 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ TRPH This level is well below the soll cleanup target level of $340 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$

### 4.2 GROUNDWATER INVESTIGATION

### 4.2.1 Monitoring Well Installation

Pnor to the SA, the site had four shallow monitonng wells in the area of the tank pit which served to comply with the State leak detection requirements In addition, four additional permanent shallow wells (MW-5 through MW-8, 14 ft total depth) and one double-cased deep well (DW-1, 30 ft total depth) were installed by National Environmental Services Company (NESCO) using a truck-mounted drill rig and decontaminated hollow stem auger Well locations are shown in Figure 4.

Each of the shallow wells consisted of 2-inch diameter PVC and included a 10 ft section of 001 " slotted well screen A 5 ft well screen was used for the deep well A 20/30 grade sand filter pack was placed around the screened interval of each well annulus to approximately 1 ft above the screened intervals. An additional 2 ft of $30 / 65$ fine sand was placed above the 20/30 sand filter packs and the wells annuli were grouted to land surface The wells were completed at land surface with 8 -inch dameter steel manhole covers that were secured in 24 -inch square concrete pads Boring logs including well completion diagrams are included in Appendix B

After installation, all wells were developed until the water ran clear and free of sediment using a decontaminated submersible pump The development water and soil cuttings were screened for contamination using an OVA prior to spreading onsite Contamination was not detected in any of the investigation derived wastes

### 4.2.2 Groundwater Flow Direction

The top-of-casing of each well was surveyed to a relative site benchmark Depth to water measurements were collected from each well on February 29 and Apnl 4, 2000 Groundwater elevation data are summarized on Table 3. Water table elevation maps are included as Figures 5 and 6 . As shown, the water table surface is too flat to contour However, regıonally groundwater flows west towards the Gulf of Mexico Comparison of water table elevation data from the shallow wells and the deep well indicate no downward vertical hydraulic gradients In fact, the deep well had the highest water table elevation of all the wells for each measurement event

### 4.2.3 Groundwater Sampling and Analysis

## Purging

Prior to sampling, each well was purged a minımum of five well volumes using a decontaminated Teflon ${ }^{\top M}$ batler in order to obtain representative groundwater samples In addition, each well was checked for the presence of free-floating hydrocarbon Free floating hydrocarbon was not encountered in any of the wells.

## Sampling

Groundwater samples were collected on February 29, 2000 using a decontaminated Teflon ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ bailer and transferred to laboratory supplied, pre-preserved contaıners. All samples were packed on ice in a cooler and transported to Sun Laboratories, Inc. for analysis Two of the source area wells (MW-1 and MW-4) were tested using a broad range of parameters including EPA Methods 601, 602, 610, FLPRO, Lead and EDB The remaining wells were sampled for EPA Method 602 and 610 parameters, and FLPRO only Proper chain of custody was mantained Groundwater sampling collection forms with purgewater volume calculations are included in Appendix D

## Results

Sampling results found concentrations of petroleum constituents that exceed GCTLs at monitoring wells MW-1 and MW-4 The most elevated petroleum concentrations were found in the groundwater sample collected from monitoring well MW-1, which had 900 micrograms per hiter ( $u g / L$ ) benzene and 1400 ug/L ethylbenzene MW-1 also had low levels of several polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbons The groundwater sample collected from MW-4 had $12 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ benzene and $33 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ ethylbenze MW 4 also had $8 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ chlorobenzene and $55 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ MTBE. With the exception of the low levels of petroleum range organics and semi-volatule organics detected in MW-5, petroleum constituent concentrations were all below laboratory detection limits in the remaining monitoring wells Groundwater analytical results are summarized on Table 4 The laboratory report including chain of custody is included in Appendix C.

Based on the average concentration of TRPH in MW-1, MW-4, and MW-5 (2533 ug/L), an estumated area of impact of 50 ft by 50 ft by 10 ft thickness, and a porosity of 0.4 , the mass of hydrocarbons in the groundwater is estimated at less than 2 pounds.

### 4.3 SITE GEOLOGY

The shallow geology at the site consists of primarily fine grained sand and slightly silty sand from land surface to approxımately 18 ft below land surface (bls) Sandy clay to clayey sand was encountered from approximately 18 to 20 ft bls Limestone was encountered from 20 ft to maximum boring depth of 30 ft Depth to water ranged from approximately 9 to 10 ft bls

### 4.4 CONTAMINANT TRANSPORT MECHANISMS

Data indicate that the primary contaminant transport mechanism is natural horizontal and vertical hydraulic gradients within the surficial aquifer The results of the study found no evidence of preferential contaminant transport pathways

### 4.5 AQUIFER CLASSIFICATION

The surficial aquifer in Citrus County is classified as G-II according to the Southwest Florida Water Management District (SWFWMD, 1988). G-II aquifers are defined as non-single source aquifers that have total dissolved solids (TDS) concentrations in groundwater less than $10,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$

### 4.6 POTABLE WELL SURVEY

A potable water well survey was conducted for a 025 mile radıus surrounding the site to determine locations of area water wells. The well survey included a visual reconnaissance of the site vicinity and a potable well listing provided by the SWFWMD The well permit listing is included in Appendix F. Although the permit listing identified no public supply or other wells within 05 mile of the property, results of the area reconnassance indicated that all businesses and residents in the immediate site vicinty utilize private water wells for their drinking water supplies In addition, all the drinking water wells appear to be constructed in the Floridan Aquifer A Potable Water Well location map is included as Figure 10

The nearest water well to the subject property is the one that services the plaza, which is located approximately 200 ft west of the impacted area

### 4.7 AQUIFER TESTING

Slug tests were performed in montoring wells MW-5, MW-6 and MW-8 to estimate the aquifer parameters of hydraulic conductivity ( K ), transmissivity ( T ), and linear velocity $(V)$ in the surficial aquifer. Slug tests were performed using the "slug out" method developed by Bouwer and Rice (1976) and Bouwer (1989). Field procedures utilized a bailer to lower the water table in the wells Water table nise was recorded manually using an electronic water level indicator, stop watch, and tape recorder.

## Hydraulic Conductivity

The slug test data were analyzed using AQTESOLV ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ (Geraghty \& Miller, 1989) based on methods developed by Bouwer and Rice (1976 and 1989) This method follows the general equation

$$
\mathrm{Q}=2 \pi \mathrm{KL} \frac{\mathrm{y}}{\ln (\mathrm{Re} / \mathrm{rw})}
$$

Where,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Q} & =\text { flow rate into the well } \\
\mathrm{K} & =\text { hydrauhic conductivity } \\
\mathrm{L} & =\text { screen length } \\
\mathrm{y} & =\text { drawdown } \\
\mathrm{Re} & =\text { effectıve radius over which } y \text { is dissipated (length) } \\
\mathrm{rw} & =\text { borehole dıameter }
\end{aligned}
$$

Slug testing results are summanzed on Table 6 Slug test data and time-drawdown curves are included in Appendix E Average hydraulic conductivity was calculated to be $231 \mathrm{E}-05 \mathrm{f} / \mathrm{sec}(20 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{day})$

Transmissivity
Transmissivity values were calculated using the equation $\mathrm{T}=\mathrm{Kb}$ (Freeze and Cherry, 1979)

Where, $\quad T=$ Transmissivity $\left(\mathrm{m}^{2} / \mathrm{sec}\right)$
$\mathrm{K}=$ Hydraulic Conductıvity ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{sec}$ )
$b=$ Aquifer Thickness ( m )
Based on an estimated surficial aquifer thickness of 10 ff , average transmissivity was calculated to be $231 \mathrm{E}-05 \mathrm{ft}^{2} / \mathrm{sec}\left(2 \mathrm{ft}^{2} /\right.$ day $)$

Linear Velocity
Linear velocity was calculated using the equation $V=K / n(1)$
(Freeze and Cherry, 1979)
Where, $\quad V=$ Linear velocity ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{sec}$ )
$\mathrm{K}=$ Hydraulic Conductivity ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{sec}$ )
$\mathrm{n}=$ Porosity (unitless)
$\mathrm{i}=$ hydraulic gradient (unitless)
Based on an estimated porosity of 04 (Freeze and Cherry, 1979) and a gradıent of 0001 $\mathrm{ff} / \mathrm{ft}$, the average groundwater flow velocity is $6.4 \times 10^{-8} \mathrm{f} / \mathrm{sec}(0.005 \mathrm{ft} /$ day $)$ Please note that the actual hydraulic gradient used in the calculation is approximate only and not site specific because the water table is essentially flat.

### 5.0 CONCLUSIONS

The results of the contamination assessment indicate that petroleum compounds in the groundwater of the surficial aquifer exceed GTCLs for benzene and ethylbenzene in two of the compliance wells. Although the water table is essentially flat ( t e. low migration potential), potable water wells are located within 200 ft of the area of impact As such, a Remedial Action Plan should be prepared followed by remediation However, because the area of impact is limited, an Altemative Remedial Action may be warranted
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FIGURE 10
POTABLE WELL LOCATION MAP

Quick Save Discount Beverages
5366 S Suncoast Blvd, Homosassa

| TABLE 1 <br> soil ova data DISCOUNT BEVERAGES |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Totava | FThterect OVA Responso | Notova: Responses Ren |
| B-1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| B-2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 8* | 23 | 4 | 19 |
| B-3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 8* | 80 | 4 | 76 |
| B-4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| B-5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| B-6 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| B-7 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| B-8 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| B-9 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| B-10 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $*=$ wet sample |  |  |  |  |
| NOTES | All readings measured in parts per mullion, ppm Nel OVA reading $=$ Total reading - Fillered reading |  |  |  |



| TABLE 3 <br> GROUNDWATER ELEVATION DATA discount beverages |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Depthto Water ( |  |
| MW-1 | 10000 | 975 | 9025 | 960 | 9040 |
| MW-2 | 9983 | 962 | 9021 | 942 | 9041 |
| MW-3 | 9940 | 919 | 9021 | 899 | 9041 |
| MW-4 | 9960 | 939 | 9021 | 919 | 9041 |
| MW-5 | 9959 | 934 | 9025 | 918 | 9041 |
| MW-6 | 10017 | 995 | 9022 | 975 | 9042 |
| MW-7 | 10039 | 1035 | 9022 | 999 | 9040 |
| MW-8 | 10039 | 1018 | 9021 | 998 | 9041 |
| MW-10 | 9999 | 981 | 9018 | 960 | 9039 |
| note | - Top of casing (TOC) elevations surveyed to assumed verical datum |  |  |  |  |


| $\text { TABLE } 5$ <br> MONITORING WELL CONSTRUCTION DETAILS DISCOUNT BEVERAGES |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wen | Elayáo <br> 2thi(i) |  | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { Sceer } \\ \text { gength (fi) } \end{array}\right\|$ | Silze | Sada |  |
| MW1 | 10000 | 1400 | unknown | 001 | 20/30 | 30/65 Sand |
| MW2 | 9983 | 1400 | unknown | 001 | 20/30 | 30/65 Sand |
| MW3 | 9940 | 1400 | unknown | 001 | 20/30 | 30/65 Sand |
| MW4 | 9960 | 1413 | unknown | 001 | 20130 | 30/65 Sand |
| MW5 | 9959 | 1400 | 10 | 001 | 20/30 | 30/65 Sand |
| MW6 | 10017 | 1400 | 10 | 001 | 20/30 | 30/65 Sand |
| MW7 | 10057 | 1400 | 10 | 001 | 20/30 | 30/65 Sand |
| MW8 | 10039 | 1400 | 10 | 001 | 20130 | 30/65 Sand |
| MW-1D | 9999 | 3000 | 5 | 001 | 20130 | 30/65 Sand |
| NOTES | - Top of casing (TOC) elevations surveyed to assumed vertical datum |  |  |  |  |  |


| TABLE 6 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AQUIFER TESTING RESULTS |  |  |  |  |
| DISCOUNT BEVERAGES |  |  |  |  |

TO: Leslie Pedigo<br>Southwest District Office<br>FROM: Tom Conrardy, PE K<br>Professional Engineer Administrator<br>Bureau of Petroleum Storage Systems<br>DATE: July 16, 2001<br>SUBJECT: Quick Save Discount Beverages<br>5366 South Suncoast Boulevard<br>Homosassa, Citrus County, Florida,<br>FDEP Facility No. 098503115<br>Limited Remedial Action Plan

I have reviewed the RAP Addendum dated May 21, 2001 which responded to my comments on the Limited Scope RAP referenced above. The response to comments is acceptable and I recommend approval of the Limited Scope RAP. Attached is my professional engineer certification for you to attach to the RAP Approval Order. Please contact me if you have any questions at ( 850 ) $488-3935$ or e-mail me.

Attachment

## P.E. CERTIFICATION

Remedial Action Plan for Quick Save Discount Beverages, located at 5366 South Suncoast Boulevard, FDEP Facility D\# 098503115.

I hereby certify that in my professional judgment, the components of this Remedial Action Plan satisfy the requirements set forth in Chapter 62-770, Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.), and that the engineering design features incorporated in this plan provide reasonable assurances of achieving the objectives stated in Chapter 62-770, F.A.C., for active remediation. However, I have not evaluated and do not certify aspects of this plan that are outside my area of expertise (including, but not limited to, electrical, mechanical, and structural features).

X I personally completed this review.

This review was conducted by
working under my direct supervision.

## Thomas W. Conrardy, P.E Professional Engineer Adm Petroleum Cleanup Section $7 / 16 / C y$ <br> Date

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

## Tank History

Four, 4,000 gallon capacity USTs, each contaming unleaded gasoline, were installed on the property in 1977 The steel tanks have since been upgraded and have internal lining, cathodic protection, and spill contanment The steel, suction piping system is cathodically protected.

## Discovery of Contamination

Petroleum contamination was first detected at the facility during Phase II Environmental Site Assessment activities in conducted in December, 1999 As part of the phase II investigation, groundwater samples were collected from the four compliance wells surrounding the tank pit area Laboratory analysis of the samples found concentrations of petroleum constituents (benzene, ethylbenzene, toluene and total xylenes) that exceed Groundwater Cleanup Target Levels (GCTLs) A Discharge Reporting Form (DRF) was submitted to the FDEP in response to the discovery of contamination In addition, pressure testing of the petroleum system was conducted, which identified no leaks As such the source of contamination was probably a small spill. In addition, because the study found little MTBE, the spill was probably old

## Solls Investigation

Ten soil borings were performed to delineate the extent of petroleum impacts in the vadose zone Soll gas survey results found no excessively contaminated soils (OVA reading $>500 \mathrm{ppm}$ ) One sample was collected for laboratory analysis from the area of highest OVA readings No evidence of adverse petroleum impacts was detected in the sotl sample analyzed

## Groundwater Flow Direction

Two rounds of water table elevation data were collected during the study Depth to water ranged from 9 to 10 ft below land surface The surface of the water table is too flat to contour Regionally, groundwater flows west to the Gulf of Mexico No significant vertical hydraulic gradient was found

## Groundwater Investıgation

Prior to the site assessment, four shaliow monitonng wells were located in the area of the tank pit Streamline installed four additional permanent shallow wells ( 14 ft total depth) and one double-cased deep well ( 30 ft total depth) Two of the source area wells (MW-1 and MW-4) were tested for the entire kerosene analytical group The remanning wells were sampled for EPA Method 602 and 610 parameters, and FLPRO only.

Groundwater sampling results found concentrations of volatile organic compounds (Benzene and Ethylbenzene) that exceed Groundwater Cleanup Target Levels in 2 wells Contaminants were not detected in the deep well installed into the Upper Floridan Aquifer The contaminated area is approximately 50 ft by 50 ft by 10 ft thick

## Hydrogeology

The shallow geology at the site consists of pnmarily fine grained sand and slightly silty sand from land surface to approximately 18 ft below land surface (bls) Sandy clay to clayey sand was found between 18 and 20 ft bls. Fossiliferous limestone was found from 20 ft bls to maximum boring depth of 30 ft

## Water Well Survey

Area resıdents and businesses, including the subject property, rely on groundwater for their dnnking water. Although the Southwest Florida Water Management District had little information on wells in the immediate site vicinity, an area reconnaissance identified water wells at nearly all residences in the vicinity The nearest water well to the source area is located approximately 200 ft to the west.

## Conclusions and Recommendations

The results of the Site Assessment indicate that groundwater in the surficial aquifer has been impacted by petroleum hydrocarbons, and that the level of impact exceeds the groundwater cleanup target levels However, the magnitude and extent of impacts appears to be limited and rate of migration appears to be very slow As such, a Remedıal Action Plan should be prepared to determine the most practical and cost-effective remediation strategy for the site Because the size of the contaminated area is relatively small, an Alternatıve Remedıal Action (such as short term arr sparging and soil vapor extraction) may be effective at reducing contaminant levels to within Natural Attenuation levels

TO: Leslie Pedigo<br>Southwest District Office<br>FROM: Tom Conrardy, PE T<br>Professional Engineer Administrator<br>Bureau of Petroleum Storage Systems<br>DATE: July 16, 2001<br>SUBJECT: Quick Save Discount Beverages<br>5366 South Suncoast Boulevard<br>Homosassa, Citrus County, Florida, FDEP Facility No. 098503115<br>Limited Remedial Action Plan

I have reviewed the RAP Addendum dated May 21, 2001 which responded to my comments on the Limited Scope RAP referenced above. The response to comments is acceptable and I recommend approval of the Limited Scope RAP. Attached is my professional engineer certification for you to attach to the RAP Approval Order. Please contact me if you have any questions at (850) 488-3935 or e-mail me.

Attachment

## P.E. CERTIFICATION

Remedial Action Plan for Quick Save Discount Beverages, located at 5366 South Suncoast Boulevard, FDEP Facility ID\# 098503115.

I hereby certify that in my professional judgment, the components of this Remedial Action Plan satisfy the requirements set forth in Chapter 62-770, Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.), and that the engineering design features incorporated in this plan provide reasonable assurances of achieving the objectives stated in Chapter 62-770, F.A.C., for active remediation. However, I have not evaluated and do not certify aspects of this plan that are outside my area of expertise (including, but not limited to, electrical, mechanical, and structural features).
_X_I personally completed this review.

This review was conducted by
working under my direct supervision.
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### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

The subject property is located in Citrus County at the physical address of 5366 South Suncoast Blvd. in Homosassa, Florida. Geographically, the site is located in Section 35, Township 19 South, Range 18 East. A Topographic Site Location Map is included as Figure 1.

The subject property is a gas and convenience store centrally located in the Sunny Days Shopping Plaza. The property has approximate dimensions of 190 ft by 500 ft . The Sunny Days Plaza building is rectangular-shaped with approximate dimensions of 420 ft by 40 ft . An asphalt parking lot borders the east side of the plaza building. The USTs and fuel dispensers are located in the parking lot approximately 50 ft east of the plaza building. A Site Layout Plan is included as Figure 2.

A Site Assessment Report (SAR) for Quick Save Discount Beverages (facility ID No. 98503115) was completed by Streamline Environmental, Inc. in April, 2000. The Florida Department of Environmental Protection (FDEP) approved the SAR and the recommendation for a Remedial Action Plan (RAP) of limited scope in a letter dated September 12, 2000. The RAP, recommending enhancement of oxygen in the aquifer through injection of Oxygen Releasing Compound ${ }^{\oplus}\left(\mathrm{ORC}^{\oplus}\right)$, was approved by the FDEP in correspondence dated July 19, 2001. The RAP Implementation was detailed in the first quarterly report dated November 21,2001 . This report details the results of the second quarterly sampling event following injection of the $\mathrm{ORC}^{\oplus}$.

### 2.0 GROUNDWATER MONITORING

The second quarterly sampling event was conducted on January 9, 2002. All sampling was conducted in accordance with Streamline's FDEP approved Comprehensive Quality Assurance Plan (CompQAP \#930289).

### 2.1 Groundwater Flow Direction

Water level measurements were obtained from onsite wells on January 9, 2002. Elevation data previously collected at the site indicated an extremely flat water table. Data from the second sampling event confirms that the water table is flat, with only a 0.23 ft change in head measured in the shallow wells. Elevation data measured at well MW-1D is slightly lower than that measured in the majority of the shallow wells, indicating a slight downward vertical gradient. Groundwater elevations are shown on Figure 3, and summarized on Table 1.

### 2.2 Groundwater Quality

Groundwater quality was evaluated through the collection and analysis of samples from wells MW-1, MW-2, MW-4, MW-6 and MW-7 for BTEX by EPA 8021 and polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) using EPA 8310. Additionall, samples from wells MW-2, MW-5, MW-6 and MW-7 were analyzed for TDS and pH . All samples were submitted to SunLabs, Inc. for analysis.

Current groundwater analytical data, summarized on Table 2, indicate that groundwater in excess of Groundwater Cleanup Target Levels (GCTLs) is present at well MW-1. Parameters of concern were not detected in any of the remaining wells at concentrations above the laboratory method detection limits (MDL's). Benzene was detected in well MW-1 at a concentration of 270 micrograms per liter ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ ), and ethylbenzene was detected at a concentration of $590 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$. Toluene, total xylenes and MTBE were also detected at in the samples collected from well MW-1, although the concentrations did not exceed the applicable GCTL's. Toluene was detected at a concentration of $3.0 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$, while total xylenes were detected at $8.5 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$. The MTBE concentration was $12 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$.

PAH's detected at well MW-1 include acenaphthene ( $46 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ ), acenaphthylene (42 $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L})$, naphthalene ( $110 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ ) and 1-methylnaphthalene ( $72 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ ). BTEX and PAH concentrations are shown on Figures 4 and 5, respectively.

TDS concentrations indicate that the injection of ORC ${ }^{\oplus}$ has increased dissolved solids in the shallow aquifer. However, concentrations remain below the secondary drinking water standard of $500 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ established in Chapter 62-550 Florida Administrative Code (FAC). TDS concentrations have not decreased appreciably in any of the wells sampled. This result is probably due to the lack of groundwater flow in the injection zone across the site. The pH levels in wells MW-5, MW-6 and MW-7 remain outside the drinking water standard range of $6.5-8.5 \mathrm{pH}$ units. However, the pH level at MW-5 has remained significantly higher than the baseline number established prior to the $O R C^{\oplus}$ injection. Groundwater variance parameters are summarized on Table 3. The complete laboratory analytical report is contained in Appendix A. Groundwater sampling data sheets for the second quarterly event are contained in Appendix B.

### 3.0 CONCLUSIONS

The data obtained during the second quarterly sampling event indicate that while the ORC ${ }^{( }$injection initially aided in enhancing the natural attenuation of BTEX and PAH compounds, concentrations at well MW-1 have risen during the last quarter. Contaminant concentrations at well MW-4 have decreased below laboratory MDL's, and concentrations at the other wells have remained below MDL's. Additional monitoring will help in identifying if the $O R C^{(1)}$ injection was sufficient to reduce contaminant concentrations, or if additional enhancement of subsurface oxygen levels may be necessary.

Groundwater monitoring will continue at the Discount Beverage site in accordance with the approved RAP.

TABLES

TABLE 1. GROUNDWATER ELEVATION DATA QUICKSAVE DISCOUNT BEVERAGES

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MW-1 | 99.89 | 8.28 | 91.61 | 8.98 | 90.91 |
| MW-2 | 99.99 | 8.38 | 91.61 | 9.12 | 90.87 |
| MW-3 | 99.49 | 7.87 | 91.62 | 8.61 | 90.88 |
| MW-4 | 99.64 | 8.02 | 91.62 | 8.76 | 90.88 |
| MW-5 | 99.59 | 7.95 | 91.64 | 8.67 | 90.92 |
| MW-6 | 100.17 | 8.55 | 91.62 | 9.31 | 90.86 |
| MW-7 | 100.39 | 8.97 | 91.42 | 9.70 | 90.69 |
| MW-8 | 100.39 | 8.78 | 91.61 | 9.51 | 90.88 |
| MW-10 | 99.99 | 8.43 | 91.56 | 9.17 | 90.82 |
| NOTE: | - Too of casing to assumed | 9 (TOC) elevatio ertical datum | ons surveyed |  |  |

0
TABLE 2. GROUNOWATER ANALYTICAL DATA

QUICKSAVE OISCOUNT BEVERAGES




SITE LOCATION MAP
Quick Save Discount Beverages
5366 S. Suncoast Blvd.
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Site No. 17 Sprint - Florida 4465 S. Suncoast Boulevard Homosassa, Florida FDEP I.D. No. 099046287


Fl Ia Department of Environmental section
Twin Towers Office Bldg. 2600 Blair Stone Road - Tallahassee, Florida 32399-2400
Division of Waste Management
Bureau of Petroleum Storage Systems

Storage Tank Facility Compliance Inspection Report

Facility $D \mathrm{FO4628}$ County 09 CiTRUS
Inspection Date


Facility Name


Facility Type C- USER
Latitude $25^{\circ} 47^{\prime} 49^{\prime \prime}$ Longitude $82^{\circ} 34^{\prime} 28^{\prime \prime}$
L/L Method A-GPS


- "Code" in block below corresponds to the Rule Cite; represents a Data Entry Code for ease of electronic data recording of inspection results.



$$
\text { Page } 1 \text { of } 1
$$

## Bureau of Petroleum Storage Systems Facility Inspection Cover Page

Facility Information
ID\#: 9046287
Name: SPRINT FLORIDA
4465 S Suncoast Blvd
Homosassa, FL 32646-7500
Contact: Lewis Petteway
Phone: 352-368-8760 S cms

District: SWD
County: Citrus
Type: Fuel User/Non-Retail
Status: Open
$\left.\begin{array}{c}\text { Latitude: 28:47:49.0000 } \\ \text { Longitude: } 82: 34: 28.0000\end{array}\right\} \operatorname{cms}$
LL Method: AGPS

Account Owner Information
Name: Sprint Florida
Po Box 165000 M/S Flapka0206
Attn: Jennifer Scarpino
Altamonte Springs, FL 32716-5000
Phone: 407-889-1531
Tank Owner Information
Name: Sprint Florida
Po Box 165000 M/S Flapka0206
Attn: Jennifer Scarpino
Altamonte Springs, FL 32716-5000
Phone: 407-889-1531
$\underset{\#}{\text { Tank }}$ Size Content Installed Placement Status Const Pipe Monitor 22000 Diesel-Emergen Gen 09/01/1998 ABOVE U

12500 Diesel-Emergen Gen 03/01/1990 UNDER A

***Note: Construction, Piping, and Monitoring Info not shown for CLOSED tanks (Status of A, B, or D).
No OPEN violations found!

Site No. 18 Texaco \#242031372 (aka Sunrise Food Mart \#10) 4450 S. Suncoast Boulevard Homosassa, Florida
FDEP I.D. No. 098736154 EPA I.D. No. FLD984190561

Flo a Department of Environmental. action
Twin Towers Office Bldg. 2600 Blair Stone Road © Tallahassee, Florida 32399-2400
Division of Waste Management
Bureau of Petroleum Storage Systems

Storage Tank Facility Compliance Inspection Report
Facility ID 8736154 County 09 CITRUS
Inspection Date $\qquad$ $3 / 25 / 01$

Facility Name $\qquad$ SUNRISE FOOD MART I 10 Facility Type A-RETAIC

Latitude $26^{\circ} 47^{\circ} 51^{\prime \prime}$ Longitude $87^{\circ} 34^{\prime} 35^{\prime \prime}$
$\qquad$

L/L Method $\square$

Check box to identify type of inspection performed. Update latitude/longitude as necessary. Provide Lat/Long Determination Method. ("Map", "AGPS" (Magellan), "GGPS" (Trimble)).
Provide the count of USTs and/or ASTs reviewed during this inspection

| \# USTs <br> Inspected | 3 | \# ATS <br> Inspected |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Compliance Inspection (Annual) | MCI | $X$ | Installation Inspection | TN N |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Compliance Inspection (DRF received) | TCDI |  | Closure Inspection | TAI |  |
| Compliance Inspection (Complaint received) | TCPI |  | Compliance Re-Inspection | TR |  |
| Discharge Evaluation ("short form") | DI |  | $* *$ Record the results of the TDI in a Discharge Project |  |  |

- "Code" in block below corresponds to the Rule Cite; represents a Data Entry Code for ease of electronic data recording of inspection results.


Financial Responsibility - Verify owner's coverage. Select Insurance or Other, and provide Mechanism, if appropriate.
$\qquad$ Insurance
Carrier: $\qquad$ Effective Date: $7 / 7 / 00$ Expiration Date: $9 / 7 / 01$
$\qquad$ Other Coverage meeting federal financial responsibility requirements. Mechanism: $\qquad$
$\qquad$ None

Based upon the inspection results and information provided by the fy yerioperator, this facility appears to meet the requirement e of Fond Adinnistratise Code 62761


$\qquad$
$\qquad$

Florida Department of Environme, Protection \& Bureau of Peirovenfa Storage Tank Facility Compliance Inspection Report
Facility Name:SunR.SER food MArT 10 Facility ID: 8736154 Date: $3 / 25 / 0 /$


Facility Name: Sun R. se fordo mart 10 Facility id: $\$ 736154$ Date: $3(26 / 0)$.


Florida Department of Environmei Protection Bureau of Petroleum age systems Storage Tank Facility Compliance Inspection Report
Facility Name:Sun R. se food Mart Facility m: $\$ 736154$ date: $3 / 28 / 01$

Description / Inspector's Comments


May 1, 2001
Mr. C. Mark Sumner
Citrus County Health Department
Environmental Health Section
3600 W. Sovereign Path, Suite 125
Lecanto, Fl. 34461
RE: Sunrise Food Mart \#10-ID\#09/8736154
Dear Mr. Sumner,
This letter is in reply to your correspondence of March 29, 2001 concerning the recent compliance inspection at the subject facility. Attached for your review are the following items:

1. A copy of the manifest for removal of liquids from the dispenser liners and piping sumps.
2. A copy of a work order showing repair and proper anchoring of the shear valves.

If you have any questions, please contact me at 813/684-8029.


Attach.


KEY: D-DIESEL: R-REGULAR UNLEADED: PL-PLUS UNLEADED: PR-PRIEMUN UNLEADED

COMPLIANCE WELLS:
TANKS: $\square$
GAS FILLS:
-
DIESEL FILLS:or

DISPENSERS: CTR RID
SUMPS:


VENTS:
INSPECTOR INITIAL \& DATE cons 3125\%1


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { SUnRISE food mart } 10 \\
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& \text { OMS }
\end{aligned}
$$

Ms. Melike Altun
Florida Department of Environmental Protection
2600 Blair Stone Road
Tallahassee, FL 32399-2400

## RE: SEMI-ANNUAL REMEDIATION STATUS REPORT

Former Tenneco 285-08
4450 South Suncoast Blvd.
Homosassa, Florida 32646
FDEP FAC ID \#: 098736154


PFP Work Order \#: 2000-00-4192
Dear Ms. Altun:
On behalf of El Paso Tennessee Pipeline Company (EPTP), Enviro-Logical Solutions, Inc. (ELS) is pleased to submit this Semi-annual Remediation Status Report to the Florida Department of Environmental Protection (FDEP). Enclosed please find two copies of the report for the above referenced former Tenneco site. Please review the submitted data, recommendations, and attached supporting documentation and advise ELS of your review findings.

If you have any questions or comments, please call the undersigned at (813) 963-0811.
Sincerely,
ENVIRO-LOGICAL SOLUTIONS, INC.


JPMTTKC/jab
cc: Michael Taylor, El Paso Tennessee Pipeline Company Jeff Stegman, Equiva Services, LLC.
Sean O'Brien, American Petroleum Investments, Inc.
Enviro-Logical Solutions, Inc.

# SEMI-ANNUAL REMEDIAL STATUS REPORT <br> FORMER TENNECO 285-08 <br> 4450 SOUTH SUNCOAST BOULEVARD <br> HOMOSASSA, FLORIDA <br> FDEP FACILITY NO. 098736154 

Prepared for
Florida Department of Environmental Protection 2600 Blair Stone Road Tallahassee, Florida 32399-2400

Prepared by
Enviro-Logical Solutions, Inc.
13135 North Dale Mabry Highway
Tampa, Florida 33618
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### 1.0 SEMI-ANNUAL OVERVIEW OF REMEDIAL ACTION

This report summarizes the remedial activities conducted at this site from August 2002 through March 2003.

### 1.1 Facility Name and Address

| Name: | Former Tenneco 285-08 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Address: | 4450 S. Suncoast Boulevard |
|  | Homosassa, Florida |
| FDEP \#: | 098736154 |

### 1.2 Remedial System Summary

The Remedial Action Plan (RAP) for this site was approved by the Florida Department of Environmental Protection (FDEP) on February 18, 1999. Enviro-Logical Solutions, Inc. (ELS) signed a Pay for Performance (PFP) Agreement, Work Order \#2000-00-4192 with FDEP on September 1, 1999. The system startup occurred on October 26, 1999. Two Remedial Action Plan Modifications (RAPMOD) have been submitted for this site. The first RAPMOD, approved by the FDEP on January 8, 2001, allowed the injection of FyreZyme, an FDEP approved Innovative Technology bioenhancer. The second RAPMOD, approved by the FDEP on June 12,2002, allowed the injection of hydrogen peroxide as a supplemental oxygen source as well as the use of CW-3R as a temporary treatment well. Table 1 is a summary of the design information and system repair/modification history. Figure 1 depicts the site layout.

The remedial system consists of five air sparge wells (AS-1 to AS-5) and a soil vapor extraction (SVE) system. The SVE system consists of four horizontal vapor extractions wells (HVEW-1 to HVEW-4). As outlined in the May 31, 2002 RAPMOD, low flow biosparging has been conducted in CW-3 during the past remedial period. Figure 2 depicts the current remedial system layout. Refer to the RAPMOD report and approval letter for design details and monitoring schedule. Please refer to the system "as-builts" submitted on November 23, 1999. for additional construction information.

### 1.3 Groundwater Elevation Summary

Table 2 is a summary of the historical groundwater elevation data recorded at this site. Prior to groundwater sampling, groundwater elevations are typically collected from all onsite-monitoring wells. These data, along with the top of casing elevations, are used to calculate the volume of water to be purged from wells before sampling. Figure 3 depicts the relative water tabie elevation contours on March 27, 2003. The groundwater flow direction on March 27, 2003 was generally to the west-northwest.

### 1.4 Summary of Groundwater Analytical Results

Key monitoring wells $\mathrm{CW}-1 \mathrm{R}$ and $\mathrm{CW}-3 \mathrm{R}$ were sampled several times during the past monitoring period for benzene, toluene, ethyl benzene, total xylenes (BTEX) and methyl tertbutyl ether (MTBE). Table 3 summarizes the historical groundwater analytical data. FDEP groundwater sampling and field equipment calibration logs for sampling events conducted between August 2002 and March 2003 are presented in Appendix I. Copies of the Laboratory Analytical Reports are contained in Appendix II. Figure 4 depicts the most recent dissolved hydrocarbon concentrations in groundwater. All sampling during this period was conducted according to the FDEP's groundwater sampling protocol effective April 10, 2002.

Although groundwater concentrations continue to decrease at this location, Key monitoring well CW-3R continues to exceed established Cleanup Target Levels (CTLs) for benzene, total xylenes, and MTBE. The concentration reduction trend in CW-3R continues to decrease. Key monitoring well $\mathrm{CW}-1 \mathrm{R}$ has groundwater dissolved hydrocarbon concentration below established CTLs. Overall, a greater than $90 \%$ reduction in BTEX/MTBE concentrations has been achieved in the two Key monitoring wells. Appendix III contains the FDEP Milestone Reduction charts for this location.

### 1.5 Air Sparge System Performance Summary

Table 4 is a summary of the Air Sparge System Performance. As approved in the May 2002 RAPMOD, ELS continues to utilize CW-3R as a temporary low-flow biosparging point. Currently, the air sparge system is operating on a timer, allowing operation for one hour on and the five hours off. The intermittent nature of this remedial scheme should help limit the formation of
preferential air pathways in the subsurface. The air flow rate into CW-3R over the past remedial period has varied from 3 to 5 standard cubic feet per minute (scfm) as pressures varying from 3 to 10 pounds per square inch gauge (psig).

Dissolved oxygen (DO) levels were also recorded from selected monitoring wells. Table 5 summarizes the DO data. Typically, DO levels of 2.0 milligrams per liter ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ ) or higher indicates an environment in which petroleum hydrocarbons can be aerobically degraded by an in-situ heterotrophic biomass. DO levels in CW-3R have continued to rise over the past six months, to almost $6.0 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ in March 2003. It appears that intermittent biosparging directly into this well is an efficient method of introducing DO into the subsurface surrounding the underground storage tanks. Due to the continued elevation of the $D O$ levels from biosparging, no hydrogen peroxide ( H 2 O 2 ) injections have been performed. ELS will continue to evaluate the remedial effectiveness of the current strategy and will initiate $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ injections per the RAPMOD requirements should it be deemed necessary.

### 1.6 Horizontal Soil Vapor Extraction System Performance Summary

Table 6 lists the Horizontal Soil Vapor Extraction system performance data. Soil vapor extraction has not been conducted at this site since the February 2002 restart. From that time ELS has set the remedial system to operate in a biosparge mode with biosparge flow rates averaging less than 4 scfm per biosparge well.

No effluent air treatment has been required since the June 2000 sampling event that found vapor phase BTEX/MTBE and Total Petroleum Hydrocarbon (TPH) concentrations below required emission levels. In the Semi-Annual Report (10/99 to 4/00), ELS made recommendations to stop effluent vapor treatment. The FDEP agreed with the recommendations and in August 2000 off-gas treatment was discontinued.

### 1.7 Conclusions and Recommendations

ELS will continue operation and maintenance of the existing remediation system until the site can be entered into Post Active Remediation Monitoring. Sampling of the Key and Perimeter and selected other monitoring wells will be conducted in May 2003 to assess remediation progress. ELS will update the FDEP via email about the results of this sampling event. ELS is
in the process of preparing a pilot test proposal for your review. ArcheaSolutions, Inc. has prepared a pilot test proposal for ELS to perform injections of a bioenhancer called Arkea into CW-3R. Mr. Bruce Thurby of ArcheaSolutions, Inc. made a presentation to the FDEP earlier this year. ArcheaSolutions, Inc. has applied for approval of their technology under the Innovative Technology Program. ELS will contact Mr. Rick Ruscito as we complete this pilot test proposal to ensure that we follow the proper monitoring and permitting procedures. The proposal will be submitted to your attention shortly under a separate cover letter.

### 1.8 Signatures of Environmental Professionals



### 2.0 MONTHLY SITE VISIT SUMMARY

August 12, 2002

- Remedial system down upon arrival. Breaker tripped on the power pole reset and compressor restarted.
- Performed a 24 hour intermittent sparge test in CW-3R.
- Performed O\&M on the remedial equipment.
- Collected DTW and DO levels from selected monitoring wells.
- Resumed biosparging into AS-1 and AS-2 prior to leaving the site. SVE system is off.


## September 6, 2002

- Remedial system down upon arrival. Breaker tripped on the power pole reset and compressor restarted.
- Performed O\&M on the remedial equipment.
- Sample Key wells for BTEX/MTBE
- Collected DTW and DO levels from selected monitoring wells.
- Resumed biosparging into AS-1 and AS-3 prior to leaving the site. SVE system is off.

November 5, 2002

- Remedial system up and running.
- Performed O\&M on the remedial equipment.
- Setup for intermittent biosparging exclusively in CW-3R. Set timer for 1-hour on/4 hours off operation. SVE system is off.
- Collected DTW and DO levels from selected monitoring wells.


## December 3, 2002

- Remedial system up and running.
- Performed O\&M on the remedial equipment.
- Collected DTW and DO levels from selected monitoring wells.
- Sample CW-3R for BTEXMTBE
- Resumed intermittent biosparging into CW-3R. SVE system off.


## January 9, 2003

- Remedial system up and running.
- Performed O\&M on the remedial equipment.
- Collected DTW and DO levels from selected monitoring wells.
- Resumed intermittent biosparging into CW-3R. SVE system off.


## February 4, 2003

- Remedial system up and running.
- Performed O\&M on the remedial equipment.
- Collected DTW and DO levels from selected monitoring wells.
- Resumed intermittent biosparging into CW-3R. SVE system off.

March 27, 2003

- Remedial system up and running.
- Performed O\&M on the remedial equipment.
- Collected DTW and DO levels from selected monitoring wells.
- Sample CW-3R for BTEX/MTBE.
- Resumed intermittent biosparging into CW-3R. SVE system off.


## TABLES

TABLE 1: REMEDIAL SYSTEM SUMMARY

| Facility Name: | Former Tenneco 285-08 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Facility Address: | 4450 S. Suncoast Bivd., Homosassa FL |
| Facility ID\# | 098736154 |
| Startup Date: | October 26,1999 |


TABLE 2: GROUNDWATER ELEVATION SUMMARY
All measurements in feet
DTNAPL $=$ Depth to Non-

TABLE 2: GROUNDWATER ELEVATION SUMMARY

TABLE 2: GROUNDWATER ELEVATION SUMMARY

[^5]All measurements in feet unless noted otherwise
NA $=$ Not Applicable DTW = Depth to Water OTNAPL = Depth to Non-

TABLE 3: MILESTONE GROUNDWATER ANALYTICAL SUMMARY

TABLE 3: MILESTONE GROUNDWATER ANALYTICAL SUMMARY

Nots: in Elaloulating the swortige concentration of Key Monitoring Wells, the reported detection finit is craized tor
concertrations raportide as below mathod dinection irnits (<, la ss then).

## TABLE 4: AIR SPARGE SYSTEM PERFORMANCE SUMMARY

Faclilty Name:
Facility Address:
Facility ID\#:
Startup Date:

Former Tenneco 285-08
4450 S. Suncoast Blvd., Homosassa FL 098736154
10/26/99

NA= Not Applicable/Data not avallable scfma standard cuble feat per minute psiga pounds per square inch gauge

| stite Vialt Date | Days Betweon Site Visits | Days Since Startup | Air Flow (sefm) | Calculatad Air Flow (acfm) | Pressure (psig) | Active Sparge Wolls | Estimated air flow per well (scfm) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1117100 | 0 | 83 | 11 | NA | 7 | AS-1, 2, 3, 4, 5 | 2.2 |
| 218100 | 30 | 13 | 11 | 7.6 | 7 | AS-1,2,3,4,5 | 2.2 |
| 3/23/00 | 38 | 149 | 11 | 7.3 | 8 | AS-1, 2, 3, 4, 5 | 2.2 |
| 4/17/00 | 25 | 174 | 11 | 7.3 | 8 | AS-1, 2, 3,4.5 | 2.2 |
| 5/24/00 | 37 | 211 | 11 | 8.0 | 6 | AS-1,2, 3, 4, 5 | 2.2 |
| 612100 | 9 | 220 | 13 | 9.4 | 6 | AS-1,2, 3,4,5 | 2.6 |
| $715 / 00$ | 33 | 253 | NA | NA | NA | AS-1,2,3,4,5 | NA |
| 7/12/100 | 7 | 260 | 10 | 6.1 | 10 | AS-1,2,3,4,5 | 2.0 |
| 8/24/00 | 43. | 303 | 10 | 7.6 | 5 | AS-1.2, 3,4.5 | 2.0 |
| 9/14/00 | 21 | 324 | 10 | 3.4 | 30 | AS-1,2,3,4,5 | 2.0 |
| 9/21/00 | 7 | 331 | 18 | 8.3 | 18 | AS-1, 2, 3, 4, 5 | 3.6 |
| 9/28100 | 7 | 338 | 12 | 7.3 | 10 | AS-1,2, $3,4,5$ | 2.4 |
| 10/4/00 | 6 | 344 | 16 | 8.4 | 14 | AS-1,2,3,4,5 | 3.2 |
| 1018100 | 5 | 349 | 12 | 9.1 | 5 | AS-1,2,3,4,5 | 2.4 |
| 11/15/00 | 37 | 386 | 12 | 7.3 | 10 | AS-1,2,3,4,5 | 2.4 |
| 1219900 | 34 | 420 | 12 | 7.3 | 10 | AS-1,2,3,4,5 | 2.4 |
| 1/209 | 14 | 434 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| 1/23/01 | 21 | 455 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| 216101 | 14 | 469 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| 10130/01 | 266 | 735 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| 1112/01 | 13 | 748 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| 227102 | 107 | 855 | 8 | 4.9 | 10 | AS-1,3 | 4.0 |
| 5/14/02 | 76 | 931 | 12 | 7.3 | 10 | AS-1,3 | 6.0 |
| 6/13/02 | 30 | 961 | 12 | 7.3 | 10 | AS-1.3 | 6.0 |
| 718/02 | 25 | 986 | 12.0 | 9.1 | 5 | AS-1.3 | 6.0 |
| 916/02 | 60 | 1048 | 3.0 | 2.0 | 8.0 | CW-3R | 2.0 |
| 11/5/02 | 60 | 1106 | 4.0 | 2.4 | 10 | CW-3R | 2.4 |
| $12 / 3102$ | 28 | 1134 | 5.0 | 3.0 | 10 | CW-3R | 3.0 |
| 1/9/03 | 37 | 1171 | 4 | 3.4 | 3 | CW-3R | 3.4 |
| 24/03 | 26 | 1197 | 4 | 3.2 | 4 | CW-3R | 3.2 |
| 3/27/03 | 51 | 1248 | 5 | 3.6 | 6 | CW-3R | 3.6 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

TABLE 5: DISSOLVED OXYGEN LEVELS

> Facility Name: Former Tenneco 285-08
> Facility Address: $\mathbf{4 4 5 0}$ S. Suncoast Blvd., Homosassa FL Facility ID\#: 098736154

> Startup Date:

| Dato |
| :---: |
| $1 / 5 / 00$ |
| $1 / 17 / 00$ |
| $3 / 23 / 00$ |
| $4 / 17 / 100$ |
| $5 / 17 / 100$ |
| $8 / 24 / 00$ |
| $9 / 14 / 00$ |
| $9 / 21 / 00$ |
| $9 / 28 / 00$ |
| $10 / 4 / 00$ |
| $10 / 9 / 00$ |
| $11 / 17 / 00$ |
| $5 / 14 / 02$ |
| $6 / 13 / 02$ |
| $7 / 8 / 02$ |
| $8 / 1 / 102$ |
| $8 / 13 / 02$ |
| $9 / 6 / 02$ |
| $11 / 5 / 02$ |
| $12 / 3 / 02$ |
| $1 / 9 / 03$ |
| $2 / 1 / 1 / 03$ |
| $3 / 27 / 03$ |

TABLE 6:HORIZONTAL VAPOR EXTRACTION SYSTEM PERFORMANCE SUMMARY

Facility Name:
Facility Address:
Facility (D\#:
Startup Date:

Former Tenneco 285-08
4450 S. Suncoast Blvd., Homosassa FL 098736154 10/26/99

NA= Not applicable; Data not avallable scton $=$ standard cuble feet per minuta
In. waterv Inches of water, vacuum

| Site Vialt | Days Between | Days Since | Alr Fiow | Syatem Prassure |  | Well Head Pressures |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Data | Stto Vasits | Startup | (acmin) | (in. watar) | HVEW Location | HVEW-1 | HVEW-2 | HVEW-3 | HVEW-4 |
| 1/17100 | 0 | 0 | MA | -14.0 | 1,2.3.4 | -13 | -13 | -12 | -11 |
| 218100 | 30 | 30 | 232 | -20.0 | 1.2.3.4 | . 20 | -20 | -17 | -20 |
| 3/2300 | 38 | 66 | 232 | -25.0 | 1.2,3.4 | -20 | . 21 | -20 | . 20 |
| $4 / 17 / 00$ | 25 | 81 | 215 | -33.0 | 1,2,3.4 | -20 | . 20 | . 20 | -18 |
| $5 / 24 / 00$ | 37 | 128 | 215 | -35.0 | 1,2.3.4 | -21 | -20 | -21 | -20 |
| 8,200 | 9 | 137 | 248 | -35.0 | 1,2,3,4 | -20 | -20 | -18 | -20 |
| 715,00 | 33 | 170 | NA | NA | 1.2,3,4 | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| 7/12100 | 7 | 177 | 150 | -38.0 | 1.2,3.4 | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| $8 / 2400$ | 43 | 220 | 150 | -40.0 | 1,2,3,4 | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| 2/14/00 | 21 | 241 | ra | $-40.0$ | 1.2.3.4 | NA | NA | NA | Na |
| W21/00 | 7 | 248 | 140 | -48.0 | 1.2,3,4 | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| 028100 | 7 | 255 | NA | $-45.0$ | 1,2.3.4 | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| 104400 | 0 | 281 | 160 | -45.0 | 1.2.3.4 | NA | NA | NA | Na |
| $10 \% 100$ | 5 | 288 | Na | -45.0 | 1,2,3,4. | Na | NA | NA | NA |
| 11/15/00 | 37 | 303 | 170 | 48.0 | 1.2.3.4 | NA | NA | NA | MA |
| 1211900 | 34 | 337 | 200 | -80,0 | 1.2.3.4 | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| 12001 | 14 | 351 | SVE systern tumod on. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12301 | 21 | 372 | SVE syatem tumod off. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20001 | 14 | 388 | SVE systern tumed ofr. |  |  |  | . |  |  |
| 1030001 | 286 | 652 | SVE systern turned off. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11/1201 | 13 | 865 | SVE zystem themed onf. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 227102 | 107 | 772 | SVE system tumed of. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| S14902 | 78 | 648 | SVE system tumed off. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 813002 | 30 | 878 | SVE system amed onf. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 78802 | 25 | 903 | SVE system burned of. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8/1202 | 35 | 938 | SVE system tumed of. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 98102 | 25 | 883 | SVE syatern tumed off. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11/5/02 | 60 | 1023 | SVE sysiem tumed off. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 123302 | 28 | 1051 | SVE syatom turiod ofr. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1/1003 | 37 | 1088 | SVE syatern turned off. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2111003 | 33 | 1121 | SVE syalem turned off. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 927/03 | 44 | 1185 | SVE sysiem tumed off. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

FIGURES

ENVIRO-LOGICAL SOLUTIONS, INC.






Site No. 19 Amoco \#182 (\#6322) 4205 S. Suncoast Boulevard Homosassa, Florida
FDEP I.D. No. 098945300
EPA I.D. No. FLD984212639

DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL REGULATION
Emseroffice nolnorandum
ra: Tim Bohr, TRS to $\mathrm{G}_{21294}^{\pi N}$
from: Jim LeBar, ESS
subject: Additional As'sessmant © A mace ** 6322 date: 1-27-94 (098945300)

I am requesting a quick neviour of this site for a determination on whether additional assessment (ie. groundwater, well $\$$ sill beings) is needed. The site is in its thrid yean of remediation and Amoco fit decided to remove all the tanks on site in order to speed up the cleanup. Howava, the company destroyed most of the sites key wells during this woos and put the contaminated soils back in the hole. I am asking for any recommendations as to where stich replacement wells (if any) showed he installed. Any Cominent?

Fl. Ia Department of Environmental section
Twin Towers Office Bldg. 2500 Blair Stone Road Tallahassee, Florida 32399-2400
Division of Waste Management
Bureau of Petroleum Storage Systems

Storage Tank Facility Compliance Inspection Report

Facility ID $\square$ 8945300 $\qquad$ 09 Citrus

Inspection Date


Facility Name $\qquad$ AMOCO \#182

Facility Type $\qquad$ A-RETAK

$\square$ $8.34^{\circ} 33^{\prime \prime}$ L/L Method $\square$ A-GPS

Check box to identify type of inspection performed. Update latitude/longitude as necessary. Provide Lat hong Determination Method. ("Map", "AGPS" (Magellan), "GGPS" (Trimble)).
Provide the count of USTs and/or ASTs reviewed during this inspection

| \# USTs <br> Inspected | \#A TS <br> Inspected | $\wp$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Compliance Inspection (Annual) | CI | X | Installation Inspection | TIN |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Compliance Inspection (DRF received) | TCDI |  | Closure Inspection | TXI |  |
| Compliance Inspection (Complaint received) | CPI |  | Compliance Re-Inspection | TR |  |
| Discharge Evaluation ("short form") | TDI |  | ** Record the results of the TDI in a Discharge Project |  |  |

- "Code" in block below corresponds to the Rule Cite; represents a Data Entry Code for ease of electronic data recording of inspection results.

Rule Cite $/ 27-761$ Description/ Inspector's Comments
Code

$x$
Insurance
Carrier: $\qquad$ $C+I$ Effective Date: $\qquad$ $8 / 1 / 00$ Expiration Date: 2/3/01
$\qquad$ Other Coverage meeting federal financial responsibility requirements. Mechanism: $\qquad$
$\qquad$ None

$\qquad$ of
*2001/2002 placed + RDRL are on Display at the facility.

* The dispenser lines and piping sump are Visually inspectal monthly and the conditions arrenated on the log.
* Release detection is a veeder Root TCS -350 with Sen Sous $L 1$ in North tank interstice L2 in South tank intostice C3 in piping sump.
sensor $C 1$ is in alarm and the liquid in the North tank intostice must be Removed, (see attended printout.
* fillsare colored per Api l637 and the Spill bucket has $\approx 14$ inch of liquid.

X piping sumpis dry and the pipe interstices at fe open to the sump.

* The steps are not equipped with line leak detectors, (not Requirelon Astr)
* Tanks are starting to Rust Recommend Re fainting.

Florida Department of Environme. I Protection Bureau of Petroleum Storage Tank Facility Compliance Inspection Report
$\qquad$ Facility $\mathrm{D}: 5945300^{\text {Date }: 2 / 3 / 01}$.


July 9, 2001

Mr. Steve Weeks
Quality Petroleum
P.O. Box 33802

Lakeland, FL 33802

RE: ID \# 098945300
Amoco \#182
4205 S. Suncoast Blvd.
Homosassa Springs, FL 34446

Dear Mr. Weeks:
The Storage Tank Program of the Citrus County Health Department (County) has been authorized, by contract with the Florida Department of Environmental Protection (Department), to perform compliance, discharge, closure and installation inspections at facilities regulated under Chapter 62-761 of the Florida Administrative Code (FAC).

Attached are the 62-761, FAC, compliance inspection results for the above named facility. The inspection was conducted under the authority of Chapter 376, Section 303, Florida Statutes, and is designed to determine the compliance status of the facility with regard to 62-761, FAC. Alleged violations are noted below.

* Due to the alleged violations noted, this facility may not be operating in compliance with Chapter 62-761, FAC. Review the violations referenced below. Submit a response in writing within fourteen (14) days which provides a schedule for correcting the noted violations. Be advised that failure to take corrective action may result in enforcement action and the assessment of penalties.


## CITRUS COUNTY HEALTH DEPARTMENT

62-761.600(1)(h), FAC - Interstitial monitoring is not being performed for the secondarily contained portion (s) of the storage tank systems). Any component of a storage tank system with secondary containment shall have an interstitial monitoring method meeting the requirements of Rule 62-761.640(3)(a), FAC.
Suggested Corrective Action: Correct the alarm in the North tank to allow monitoring of the interstice (s) at least monthly as required.

Note that unless otherwise indicated, the schedule for corrective action is 30 days. Any item for which insufficient information was provided to determine compliance status is followed by an asterisk (*) and must also be addressed.

If you have any questions concerning this letter please call the Storage Tank Inspection Program at (352) 527-5295.

Sincerely,

C. Mark Sumner

Environmental Specialist II
enclosure (s)
CMS/file

1. jata is current as of: 21-JUN-2001

## Bureau of Petroleum Storage Systems Facility Inspection Cover Page

## Facility Information

ID\#: 8945300
Name: AMOCO \#182
4205 S Suncoast Blvd
Homosassa Springs, FL 34446
Contact: STEUE WEEKS
Phone: - -863 6.57-2682 cuns

District: SWD
County: Citrus
Type: Retail station
Status: Open
$\left.\begin{array}{c}\text { Latitude: 28:48:22.0000 } \\ \text { Longitude: 82:34:33.0000 }\end{array}\right\} C$
II AGPS

Account Owner Information
Name: Quality Petroleum Corp
PO BOX 3889
Lakeland, FL 33802
Phone: 863-687-2682
Tank Owner Information
Name: Quality Petroleum Corp
PO BOX 3889
Lakeland, FL 33802
Phone: 863-687-2682

***Note: Construction, Piping, and Monitoring Info not shown for CLOSED tanks (Status of A, B, or D).
s רpEN violations foundi

Mc Recent Insurance Document
FR Type Effective Expiration Date Date Company Name

INSURANCE 04/29/1994 08/01/2000 COMMERCE \& INDUSTRY

```
End of Data for Facility #: 8945300
```

Site No. 20 Walgreens \#4217 (aka Larry's Auto Sales) 4029 S. Suncoast Boulevard Homosassa, Florida
FDEP I.D. No. 099202408 EPA I.D. No. FLR0002311132

Twin Towers (f ce Building 2600 Blair st ue Road

David 8. Struhs
Jeb Bush
Secretary

July 211. 2000
MR. JAMES PETERSON
PETERSON, JAMES A
POBOX 560
HOMOSASSA SPRINGS, FL 34447

```
Re: LARRYS AUTO SALES
4029 S SUNCOAST BLVD
HOMOSASSA SPRINGS, FL 34447
FDEP Identification \# (099202408
```


## Dear MR. PETERSON:

This letter is in regard to the status of the clear b of your site. This site is eligible for State funding assistance for the clean up of the reported petre um contamination. The Florida Department of Environmental Protection (Department) is requa ed by statute to preapprove the scope of work and cost for the cleanup of a petroleum contaminated site $i$. ate funds will be used to pay for that cleanup (Section $376.3071(1)(b)$, Florida Statutes ( $F . i$ ) . The Department is further required to clean up patroleum contaminated sites in priority order as establisl : I by the Petroleum Cleanup Site Priority Ranking Rule, Chapter 62-771, Flurida Administrative Code.

This site has been assigned a priority score of 3. Currently funding is available for all sites with a priority score of 3 () or greater. Therefore funding is al: lable for work on this site under the Preapproval Program. In the Preapproval Program the I)epartment worl.: directly with the contractor of your choice to determine the scope and cost for cleanup work. The Depart r nt promptly pays the contractor directly, upon completion of the work.

You should indicate your choice of contractor $t$ " completing and retuming the enclosed "Contractor Designation Form" (CDF.) Il you do not wan', designate a contractor, or would prefer that the State manage the cleanup of your sitc, complete the : iclosed CDF and designate "State" as the contractor. Please note that the "Rcal Property Owner" should cif iplete this form. If you would prefer that we coordinate our cfforts with your representative, then please in si cate this person on the "Real Property Owner Designated Contact" line.

If you have previously submitted a Contracto!, 'esignation Fom, we are requiring that you complete the jevised form because it includes important pri am information. Please take a few minutes to read and understand the information presented on the 1 s n because it may affect the cleanup of your site. Please note that the enclosed fom is the latest version of $j$ :Contractor Designation Form. We do not accept previous versions of this form.

Letter requesting contractor designation
July 20, 2000
Page two

The real property owner's signalure must be no rized and the original form retumed to my attention, Mail Station 4545 at the letterhead address. If you I ve any questions regarding this form or if you have comments on your site's score or rank, please is itact me at (850) 921-9210.


Erik Swanson
Environmental Specialist III
Petroleum Cleanup Section 2
Enclosure: Contractor Designation Form

Site No. 24 Fina Station (Jay's 66 Service)
3951 S. Suncoast Boulevard Homosassa, Florida FDEP I.D. No. 098503076

## Discharge Reporting Form

Uso this form to notify the Deparmonl al Environmental Rogulation ot:

2. :Potroloum discharges excoeding 25 gallons on pervious surfaces as doscribod in Section 17.761.460 FA.C. within one working day d discovery.
3. Hazardous substance (CERCLA regulated), discharges exceeding applicable reportable quantities established in 17.761.460(2) F.A.C. within one working day d tho discovery.
4. Within ono working day od discovery al suspected releases confirmed by: (a) released regulated substances or pollutants discovered in : the surrounding area. (b) unusual and unexplained storage system operating conditions, (c) monitoring results from a look deloclon method tor from a tank closure assessment thai indicate a release may have oceutied, or (o) manual lank gauging results for tanks of 550 gallons. ;or less, arcading len gallons par weekly las! or five gallons avorroged over lour consoculive weekly lasts.

Mail to tho DER District Office in your area listod on tho reverso side of this form
please print or type
Compicta all applicsblo blanks


1. Facility Name: Eińa staten

Facility Owner or Operator: whet stone O. L'Co

 Mailing Address: P. O,Bos 1257 , Crystal RIUER,FL 301623-1257

# $\rightarrow$. 

Date of receipt of test results or discovery: $\qquad$ monthdaypreas
6. Method od initial discovery. (circle one only)
(A) Liquid delocior (aviomatic or manual)
D. Emptying and inspection.
F. Vapor or visible signs $\alpha$ a discharge in the vicinity.
Q Vapor detector (axiomatic or manual)
E. Inventory control.
G. Closure:
(explain)
C. Tiohness less (underground tanks only).
NW icimpliancebiell
H. Other:
has sheen
7. Estimated. number of gallons discharged:
a. What pan of storage system has leakeof (circle all that apply)
A. Dispenser
B. Pip C. Filing
D. Tank
E. Unknown
9. Type of regulated substance discharged. (circle one)
A leaded gasolino
Q. vehicular diesel
L. usedhasto oil
V. hazardous substance includes pesticides, ammonia, chlorine and derivatives (write in name $\alpha$ Chemical Abstract

- Service CAS number):

2. other (write in name) $\qquad$
3. Cause of leak. (circle all that apply)
A. Unknown
C. Loose connection
B. Split
D. Corrosion

OR
Ring on Leak
E. Puncture
De. $\frac{1}{1}$
F. Installation leiluro
G. Spill $\qquad$ 1. Other (specify) $\qquad$
11. Typo od financial responsibility. (circle one)
(A) Third party insurance provided by the state insurance contractor
C. Not applicable
FPLA 76:26602
E. Sell-insurance pursuant to Chapter 17.769.500 F.A.C.
D. None


## Interoffice Memorandum

| TO: | Paula Noblitt, Southwest District office |
| :---: | :---: |
| THROUGH: | Tim Bahr, Technical Review Section $\frac{\beta}{3}$ Bureau of Waste Cleanup |
| FROM: | Jorge R. Caspary, Technical Review Section Bureau of Waste Cleanup |
| DATE: | March 27, 1991 |
| SUBJECT: | No Further Action Proposal |
|  | Mason's Concrete Ready Mix , Inc. |
|  | Crystal River Plant, Citrus County. |

Based on my review of the Contamination Asessment Reports (CARs) prepared by Dames and Moore and Law Environmental for the above referenced facility, I concur with the consultant's "No Further Action Proposal" recommendation for the petroleum related contamination.

Supplemental work to determine the source, degree and extent of the elevated pH values in the soil and groundwater is recommended.

If you have any questions, please contact me at suncom 278-0190.

PREP
REIMBURSEMENT ELIGIBILITY REVIEW CHECKIIST/ROOTING SLIP.

 Longitude $82,52,32$
$\qquad$ 28,153151 $\qquad$
DATE
$1 / 26 /$ f 4 inspection Date $8,124,93$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
/ OhgUReview Documentation (Use Documentation List and
Reimbursement Eligibility Worksheet)
/26/44 prepare Letter or Final Order (Check Appropriate line)

1. Insufficient Documentation (Generic.3)
2. Eligible (Generic.5, enclose Estimate Form and Reimbursement Application Form)

$213 / 94$ $\qquad$ 3. Ineligible (Generic.6)

Review Letter (Check each line after verification of information)


INELIGIBLE ORDERS ONLY
_ 1. SWitch "P" to "I" on file
4-40m $\qquad$ Signature
$\qquad$ Bureau Chief (Ineligible orders only-Gen.6)
$\qquad$ Project Manager (Insufficient Documentation-Gen.3)
Qfofgfeidgible signature stamp (Gen.5) and Mail copies (Nora)
$\qquad$ MPPPER Update
$\qquad$ File copy of Letter or final order to. Log out)
—_ Ineligible (STID4B) Data Entry
ELIGIBLE
$\qquad$ 1. Eligible (STIO48) Data Entry __ 2. Nailing List update
:
$\qquad$ Return to File Room

## UNIFIED ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES, INC. :

January 10, 1994

Mr. B1ll Truman
E.D. R. P.-Petroleum Insurance Seotion

Tallahassee, Elorida
RE: Disoharge Reporting Form/Letter of Intent
Hhetstone Oil Company
Yina Station
9951 S. Suncoast Blvd.
Homoseasa Springa, Florida
F.D.R.P. 098509076
Dear Mr. Truman:
Bnclosed is the Discharge Reporting Eorm for the facility referenced above. Upon a compliance inspection, pexformed by UES on August 13. 1993, a heavy petroleum sheen ( -0.25 inch thickness) нas observed in the northeest compliance well. Included is a monitor Hell inspection form for the facility.

A State of Florida certified tank and line tightness test was completed by Tankology for the facility, with no leak observed. Copies of the test resuits are included.

The owner intends to place the site in State of Florida administered cleanup, under FLIPA Polioy \# 7626602. This data was submitted to the Southsest District in August 1893.

If there should be any questions, please feel free to contact me at the telephone number. Iisted below or Mr. Mike Whetstone at (904) 628-1150.

Sincerely. $/$ /nich
Kaith MoDonald
Hydrogeologist
ENCLOSURES
cc: Mr. Mike Whetstone
Mr. Dick Sossna (Citrus County)

Florida Department of Environmental Reguiation


## Discharge Reporting Form

## : Use Uds lom io nolly the Deparmont of Emvironmantal Rogulation di:



 ono working day ol the discerviry.
4. Within ono working day of discovery od suspecied relcases conlirmed by: (a) reloasted rogulated substances or polivtants difeoverad in Tha surtounding wea, (b) unueval and unaxplained slorago ayslam oporatiog conditions, (c) monitoring rasuls fiom a loak delection molhod Ior from a tank ciosure ansosement that hadicalo a release may havo occurred, or (of) manual tank gavoing rasults for tanke of 650 gationas. for lose, axceading lon gations per weakly lest of live galons avoraoed over four consoculve weoky loista

> Mall to tho OER Districi Ohico in your aroa listod on tho reverso dide of thls lorm
please print or type
Comploie all applicablo blanks

1. DER Facility ID Nurnbor: 098503076 2. Yank Numbor: 1-4.... Dala: 8/13/93 4. Foclity Namo: Eiña Statien

Facilily Ownor or Operalor: Whet sterar $\mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~L}^{\prime} \mathrm{Co}$



5. Dale of recelpl $\alpha$ lest results of discovery: 8/13193 monthdayheas
6. Mothoo al inlide biscovery (elicie one only)
(A) Liquid deloclor (eviomatic or manuall)
D. Empitying and Inspaction.
E. Inventory contiol.
NWeompliancewe Il
$\qquad$
F. Vapor or vidble algns of a discharge in the vidinly.
G. Closurs:
(explain)
-C. Thohines tes (undorground lanks only).
H. Ohhor:
7. Estimated. inumber od gallons discharged:
llaknewn
a. What par $\alpha$ slorage oystem has feakeof (ciciele all that apdy)
A. Disponsor ${ }^{\text {B. Pipa }}$ C. Fiting Detecter on On E. Unknown
8. Type of regulated substance discharged. (circle ono)
A. leadod pasolino
0. vohicular diosel
L. used/wasle oil
V. hozardous subslance includes pestikides, ammonia,
Bunleodod pasolino
F. avialion gas
M. diosel
C. pasohol.
G. jel lual
0. nownubo oll chlotine and darivatios s write in name $\alpha$ Chemical Abstraci - Sonice CAS number)
2. Other (wrile in name) $\qquad$
10. Causo of isak. (circle al that apply)
A. Unknown
C. Looso connoclión
Q. Splil
D. Cortosion

OR
E. Puncluro $\quad$ G. Spill.

1. Othor (specily)
F. inslalation Lailuto
H. Ovorili
i1. Type of linancial tocponsibilily (elicio one)
A) Thisd party insuranco provided by the state insurance convacior
C. Nol applicable
FPLAT 76:26602
E. Solldinsuranco puisuand to Chaplor 17.769.500 F.A.C.
D. None
2. To the bost of my knowledoo and bollof all inlormation submilted on this form is trua, aecurat imindemplote.




$\qquad$


3. CHotaminatiou Nuribe I irum

 dit it ity: $0 \pi$


C. Uuthoouri of allint.

4. Poldblimer
t. Within $1 / 2$ mille: targe vella 2100,000 ged
5. Inalleate ditection:

b. WIthis l/amiles sma! wolls <100.000 gipd.
6. Indiedte directinn: $\qquad$

7. Esflmice listaner


 lemirf. Mlit

I
 $\qquad$




DER OUsirlet: $\qquad$


FIRE PREVENTION.


F : ida Department of Environmental. Lection
Twin Towers Office Bldg. - 2600 Blair Stone Road Tallahassee, Florida 32399-2400
Division of Waste Management
Bureau of Petroleum Storage Systems

Storage Tank Facility Compliance Inspection Report
Facility 18503076 County OG CITRUS
Inspection Date $\square$ $12 / 12 / 2000$

Facility Name

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { lity Name FINA STATION (HOMOSASSA) } \\
& \text { Latitude } 28.4815^{\prime \prime} \text { Longitude } 820^{\circ} 34^{\prime} 34^{\prime \prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\text { Facility Type } A-R \in T A / C
$$

L/L Method A-GPS

Check box to identify type of inspection performed. Update latitude/longitude as necessary.
Provide Lat/Long Determination Method. ("Map", "AGPS" (Magellan), "GGPS" (Trimble)).
Provide the count of USTs and/or ASTs reviewed during this inspection

| \# USTs <br> Inspected | $\mathbb{4}$ | \# ATS <br> Inspected |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Compliance Inspection (Annual) | TAI | X | Installation Inspection | TIN |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Compliance Inspection (DRF received) | TCDI |  | Closure Inspection | TXI |  |
| Compliance Inspection (Complaint received) | TCPI |  | Compliance Re-Inspection | TR |  |
| Discharge Evaluation ("short form") | SDI |  | ** Record the results of the TDI in a Discharge Project |  |  |

- "Code" in block below corresponds to the Rule Cite; represents a Data Entry Code for ease of electronic data recording of inspection results.

Rule Cite $62-761$
Code


Financial Responsibility - Verify owner's coverage. Select Insurance or Other, and provide Mechanism, if appropriate.
$\qquad$ Insurance
Carrier: $\qquad$ CI Effective Date: $4 / 24 / 2101$ Expiration Date: $4 / 24 / 201$
$\qquad$ Other Coverage meeting federal financial responsibility requirements. Mechanism: $\qquad$
$\qquad$ None

Based upon the inspection results and information provided, by the ouneroperator, this facility appears to meet the requirements of,
Florida Administrative Code 62761
, $, 2,-\infty$
O YesCWOE- Comiliancowithout Enforcement
Are-inspection will be scheduled on or after days to yenfy comection of the non-complancentems, noted.


$$
\text { Page } 1 \text { of }
$$ Sin first report from $10 / 2000$ results Diesel - pass

$$
p C U S-1 N C .
$$

* Unload- fail $*$

Prem - inc
The investigation $f_{\text {a }}$ the failed Uleadel revealed poorsticking procedures
$*$ Send copy of 1192000 report to citriuste-k office $*$ future fails or 2 consecutive inconclusive s may reguine tanktightnesctesting.
previous Sir Vendor SECiquid Analyzers Disontinued 9/2000
Dispensed lines and step's are visually checked monthly, and the results ane recorded in log book
Diesel dispenser was wet
Gas \# $3 / 4$ had $\frac{1}{2}$ inch of lisivid.
Gus \# $5 / 6+7 / 8$ both de ry
All 4 Steps have had soil removed from around them, and the flex Comnectors ale covered with baits.
4 momitaj vellsstill open marked as Aster due to disclose $8 / 13 / 1593$
placed is displayed and RDRL is an ai'

## Florida Department of <br> Memorandum Environmental Protection

T0: File

From: | Leslie Pedigo $\underset{\sim}{\infty} 0$ |  |
| ---: | :--- |
|  | Environmental Specialist III |
|  | FDEP-SWD Storage Tank Section |

Date: December 14, 1999
Subject: Discharge Report Dated April 7, 1999
Homosassa Fina
3951 South Suncoast Boulevard
Homosassa, Citrus County, Florida
FDEP ID \#098503076

The discharge reported April 7, 1999 was in response to contaminated soil discovered during the upgrade of the dispensers with dispenser liners. Visual staining and odors were noted. Since the site already had reported contamination (August 13, 1993), confirmation soil samples were not collected for analysis. The August 13, 1993 DRF was filed in response to a $1 / 4$ inch of product being discovered in the northwest monitoring well, the well closest to the dispensers. This discharge is eligible for FPLRIP and a site assessment has not been completed. It appears that the contamination noted on the April 7, 1999 DRF and April 20, 1999 Closure report is a "rediscovery" of the contamination documented in the August 13, 1993 DRF. The April 7, 1999 DRF will not be entered into PCT.

## LELP

CC: Michael Bland, FDEP-BPSS

# CLOSURE ASSESSMENT REPORT DISPENSER PAN UPGRADE 

FACLITY ID 098503076 HOMOSASSA FINA

# 3951 SOUTH SUNCOAST BOULEVARD <br> HOMOSASSA, FLORIDA 

Prepared for:<br>$J \& J$ Equipment Company<br>Brooksville, Florida

Prepared by:
Creative Environmental Solutions, Inc.
Brooksville, Florida

April 20, 1999

This closure assessment report for the dispenser pan upgrades at Facility ID 098503076 (Homosassa Fina), located at 3951 South SunCoast Blvd., Homosassa, Florida, has been reviewed by George K. Foster of Creative Environmental Solutions, Inc. (CES), Brooksville, Florida, and appears to comply with the current standards and practices in the field of geology in the State of Florida. CES's professional services have been performed using the degree of care and skill ordinarily exercised under similar circumstances by other professionals practicing in this field. The certification of geologic work contained herein applies only to the original sealed documents), and specifically does not pertain to any copies of this document or any portion thereof including mylars, linen, sepia or other materials which can be changed by the entity or entities with whom such documents) are filed. No other warranty, expressed or implied, is made as to the professional advice in this report.


George K. Foster, PG 403
President/Principal
CBS

## INTRODUCTION

The Homosassa Fina is located at 3951 South SunCoast Boulevard in Homosassa, Citrus County, Florida. For purposes of storage tank registration, the facility has been assigned ID 098503076. A location map and site plan are attached. This closure assessment was for the three unleaded gasoline dispensers and the diesel dispenser on the west side of the store building. Dispenser pans were placed under all four dispensers and the system was returned to service.

According to FDEP officials in Tampa, the site has previously documented contamination and is enrolled in the PLIRP cleanup program. FDEP reported that the site received a score of 6 based on site conditions.

## IDENTIFICATION OF CONTRACTORS

J and J Equipment Company of Brooksville, Florida conducted all construction activities. This Closure Assessment was conducted by Creative Environmental Solutions, Inc. of Brooksville.

## SUMMARY OF CLOSURE ASSESSMENT PROCEDURES

All assessment activities were conducted on March 29, 1999. After the dispensers were removed and before the new dispenser pans were installed, soil borings were advanced to depths of a least 4 ft beneath each dispenser. Soil samples were collected from each boring at one foot intervals and screened in the field for organic vapor concentrations with an organic vapor analyzer. No soil samples were returned to the laboratory for testing. No groundwater samples were collected.

## RESULTS OF ASSESSMENT

Strong soil vapors were encountered in all of the soil borings from grade to the top of the water table. Saturated soil was found in the boring beneath the diesel dispenser. The water table is present beneath the site at a depth of approximately 4 ft . The soil screening results are attached. The highest OVA reading obtained was $1,000+\mathrm{ppm}$.

## CONCLUSIONS

The closure assessment demonstrated that the soil and groundwater have been impacted. Additional assessment is warranted for this site.

Site No. 25 Island Foods \#518 (Shell) 3900 S. Suncoast Boulevard (US 19 and $8^{\text {th }}$ Street) Homosassa, Florida
FDEP I.D. No. 098503163


Fl, ia Department of Environmental Section
Twin Towers Office Bldg. 2600 Blair Stone Road - Tallahassee, florida 32399.2400
Division of Waste Management
Bureau of Petroleum Storage Systems

Storage Tank Facility Compliance Inspection Report

Facility 10503163 County 09 COMUS
Facility Name $\qquad$ Latitude $28^{-2} 18 / 9 "$ Longitude $82034^{\prime} 37$ L/L Method $4-6 \rho S$


- "Code" in block below corresponds to the Rule Cite; represents a Data Entry Code for ease of electronic data recording of inspection results.


Financial Responsibility - Verify owner's coverage. Select Insurance or Other, and provide Mechanism, if appropriate.
$\qquad$ Insurance
Carrier: $\qquad$
PHI Effective Date: $10 / 1 / 00$ Expiration Date: $0 / 1 / 01$
$\qquad$
Other Coverage meeting federal financial responsibility requirements. Mechanism:
$\qquad$ None
 Florida Administrative Code: 62761

○\% NO\& $\$$. 0
CVOE COmpliance Without Enforcement



Page $\qquad$ of $\qquad$

Twin Towers Building 2600 Blair Stone Road
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-2400

David B. Struts Secretary

June 18, 2002

Mr. Eugene E. Ray<br>Handex of Florida<br>111 Kelsey Lane, Suite E<br>Tampa, Florida 33619-

Subject: Natural Attenuation Monitoring Plan Approval-Site Assessment Approval Island Food Store \#518
3900 South Suncoast Blvd.
Homosassa Springs, Citrus County
FDEP Facility ID\# 098503163
Dear Mr. Ray:
The Bureau of Petroleum Storage Systems has completed the review of the Site Assessment Report and Natural Attenuation Monitoring Plan dated May 15, 2002, received May 17, 2002, and the Site Assessment Reports dated October 10, 1991, December 8, 2000, January 8, 2001, July 25, 2001, and February 12, 2002, submitted for the discharge discovered on October 22, 1984 at this site. We found all the documents submitted to date to be adequate to meet the site assessment requirements of Rule 62-770.600, Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.). Pursuant to Rule 62-770.690, Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.), the Department of Environmental Protection (Department) approves the Natural Attenuation Monitoring Plan.

The monitoring wells to be sampled, the sampling parameters, and the sampling frequency for the one year are as follows:

Monitoring Wells
MW-1, MW-2, MW-3 and MW-8

Contaminants of Concern
BTEX, MTBE, \& PAH

Frequency
Quarterly

The approved Remedial Action by Natural Attenuation monitoring period is one year. The sampling frequency will be evaluated following the submittal of the annual report to determine whether additional sampling may be appropriate.
If concentrations of contaminants of concern in any of the designated wells increase above the action levels listed below, a verbal authorization request should be submitted to the Department so the well or wells can be resampled no later than 30 days after the initial positive results are known. If the results of the resampling confirm the initial sampling results, then a verbal

Mr. Eugene Ray
June 18, 2002
Page two
authorization request to prepare a summary report, which includes a proposal as described in Rule 62-770.690(7)(f) F.A.C., should be submitted to the Department.

## Contaminated wells:

MW's-1, 2, \& 3: $400 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{l}$ Naphthalene; default Natural Attenuation Monitoring levels for all other constituents per Chapter 62-777 F.A.C.,

## Perimeter Well:

MW-8: Cleanup Target Levels
If the applicable No Further Action criteria in Rule 62-770.680, F.A.C., are met during the monitoring period (for at least the last two sampling events), the final deliverable report will serve as the Site Rehabilitation Completion Report required in Rule 62-770.690(8), F.A.C. Please note, the method detection limits for Carcinogenic Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons must be at or below cleanup target levels prior to site rehabilitation. If the applicable No Further Action criteria in Rule 62-770.680, F.A.C., are not met following one year of monitoring, then the final deliverable report should include a recommendation for the next course of action, as described in Rule 62-770.690(7)(g), F.A.C.

Please send a copy of the approved SAR document to Ken Weber of the Southwest Florida Water Management District within 30 days of receiving this approval letter.

The FDEP Facility Number for this site is 098503163 . Please use this identification on all future correspondence with the Department.

If you should have any questions concerning the review or the needed proposal, please contact me at 850-877-1133 ext. 27 or at the letterhead address, Mail Station 4590, or by E-mail at mzorn@ene.com.

Sincerely,


Marla K. Zom
Site Manager
Ecology \& Environment, Inc.Petroleum
Cleanup Section 6
Bureau of Petroleum Storage Systems


Rebecca Marx
FDEP Section Leader
Petroleum Cleanup Section 6
Bureau of Petroleum Storage Systems

Mr. Eugene Ray.
June 18, 2002
Page three

Reviewed by:


WilliamiNéwmyer, P.G.
Professional Geologist
Ecology•\& Environment, Inc.
Petroleum Cleanup Section 6
$\frac{6}{\text { Bureau of Petroleum Storage Systems }}$
/mkz
cc: Robin Ryan, Island Food Stores LTD, 9551 Baymeadows Road \#1, Jacksonville, Florida 32256
File


May 152002

Ms. Marla Rom
Florida Department of Environmental Protection Petroleum Cleanup Section 6, Mail Station 4590 2600 Blair Stone Road
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-2400


Reference:
General Site Assessment Report
Island Food Store \# 518
3900 South Suncoast Boulevard, Homosassa Springs, Florida
FDEP Facility ID No.: 098503163
Handex No: 122034.004
Work Order No: 2002-96-1300
Dear Ms. Zom:
Handex of Florida, Inc. (Handex) is pleased to provide you with this report of the work completed at the above referenced site under the pre-approval work order 2002-96-1300. A copy of the workorder is included in Appendix A.

## GROUNDWATER SAMPLING

On April 25, 2002, groundwater samples were collected from monitoring wells MW-1 through MW -10 and DW-1 and were delivered to Xenco Labs of Tampa, Florida for analysis. The groundwater samples collected from each well were analyzed for EPA Method 8021 (BTEX + MTBE) parameters and the groundwater samples collected from MW-1, MW-2, MW-5, MW-8, and DW-1 were also analyzed for EPA Method 8310 (PAHs) parameters. The groundwater sample collected from MW-2 was also analyzed for FL PRO, as requested. The monitoring well locations are depicted on Figure 1.

The groundwater sampling event was conducted in accordance with Handex's approved Comprehensive Quality Assurance Plan on file with the FDEP in Tallahassee, Florida. The analytical results from this recent sampling event and recent historical groundwater analytical data are summarized on Table 1 and are depicted on Figure 2. A copy of the groundwater laboratory analytical report for the sampling event conducted on April 25, 2002 is included in Appendix B and the field groundwater sampling forms are included in Appendix C.

As shown on Table 1 and depicted on Figure 2, concentrations of benzene, 1-methylnaphthalene, and 2-methyl-naphthalene above the applicable Chapter 62-777, F.A.C., Table 1 Groundwater Cleanup Target Levels (GCTLs) for these constituents were recently detected in

Ms. Marla Rom
Island Food Store \#518 General SA Report
May 1S, 2002
the groundwater sample collected from monitoring well MW-2. The remaining groundwater sampled collected on April 25, 2002 did not reveal hydrocarbon concentrations above the applicable Chapter 62-777, F.A.C., Table 1 GCTLs.

## GROUNDWATER FLOW DIRECTION

On April 25, 2002, depth-to-groundwater measurements were collected from the sampled wells only. The groundwater data collected on April 25, 2002 are summarized on Table 2 and were used to construct a groundwater elevation contour map (Figure 3). As depicted on Figure 3, the groundwater flow direction of the surficial aquifer was calculated to be in general a westerly direction beneath the site on April 25, 2002. The westerly groundwater flow direction is consistent with the previous flow direction documented beneath this site in November of 2001.

## RESULTS \& RECOMMENDATION

As summarized on Table 1 and depicted on Figure 2, the petroleum hydrocarbon concentrations have, for the most part, shown a decreasing trend across the Island Food Store \#518 site. Based on the groundwater data presented in this report, Handex recommends the implementation of a quarterly groundwater monitoring program at this location for a period of one year. Handex proposes to sample MW-1 and MW-3 for 8021 (BTEX + MTBE) parameters and MW-2 for 8021 (BTEX + MTBE) and 8310 ( PAHs ) parameters during each of the four proposed quarters.

If these recommendations are agreeable with the FDEP, Handex will generate a cost proposal to conduct the proposed scope of work. If you have any questions regarding the information contained in this report or require additional information, please do not hesitate to contact the undersigned at (813) 626-4646.

Respectfully submitted, HANDEX OF FLORIDA, INC.

Eugene Ray
Project Hydrogeologist

cc: Mark Contos, Island Food Stores, Inc., 4315 Pablo Oaks Court, Suite 2, Jacksonville, Florida 32224

U. S. HIGHWA Y 19
(ASPHALT)
(SUNCOAST BOULEVARD)

U. S. H I GH W A Y 19
(ASPHALT)
(SUNCOAST BOULEVARD)

|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

Florida Department of Environmental Protection
Bureau of Waste Cleanup - Pre-Approval Program
TABLE 1 - GROUNDWATER MONITORING WELL ANALYTICAL SUMMARY
$\begin{array}{lllc}\text { Site Name: } & \text { Island Food Store \#518 } & \text { Facllity ID \#: } & 98503163 \\ \text { Site Address: } & 3900 \mathrm{~S} \text {. Suncoast Boulevard } & \text { Handex Project \#: } & 122034.004\end{array}$

| Sample Location | Date | Benzene | Toluene | Ethyl- <br> Benzene | Total Xylenes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { BTEX } \end{aligned}$ | MTBE | EDB | Naphthalene | 1-MethylNaphthalene | 2-WethylNaphthalene | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FLPRO } \\ & \text { (mg/t) } \end{aligned}$ | Total Laad | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { PAH } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SB-2 | 5/10/01 | 57 | $<20$ | 1200 | 28 | 1285 | $<20$ | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| SB-3 | 5710101 | 21 | 2.1 | 38 | 7 | 88.1 | 7 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| SB-5 | 5/10/01 | 3.2 | $<1$ | 14 | 4.2 | 21.4 | 2.3 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| SB-11 | 5/10701 | 110 | 1 | $<1$ | 1.9 | 112.9 | 24 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| SB-13 | 5/10/01 | $\leq 1$ | $<1$ | $<1$ | $<1$ | BDL | $<1$ | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| SB-15 | 5/10/01 | $<1$ | $<1$ | $<1$ | $<1$ | BDL | $<1$ | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| SB-17 | 5/10/01 | $<1$ | $<1$ | $<1$ | $<1$ | BDL | 3 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| SB-19 | 5/10/01 | $<1$ | $<1$ | $<1$ | $<1$ | BDL | 8.1 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| SB-21 | 5/10/01 | $<1$ | $<1$ | $<1$ | $<1$ | BDL | 4.2 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| SB-23 | 5/10/01 | $<1$ | $<1$ | $<1$ | $<1$ | BDL | 2 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| SB-25 | 5/10/01 | $<1$ | $<1$ | $<1$ | $<1$ | BDL | 2.6 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| MW-1 | 6/21/01 | 30 | 56 | 2.7 | 12.4 | 101.1 | 1.2 | 0.060 | 5 | 1.7 | 2.7 | 0.11 | $<5$ | 2.8 |
| MW-1 | 04/25/02 | $<1$ | $<1$ | $<1$ | BDL | BDL | $<20$ | NA | $<0.12$ | $<0.12$ | $<0.12$ | NA | NA | BDL |
| MW-2 | 6/21/01 | 84 | 110 | 89 | 51 | 334 | $<20$ | 0.28 | 380 | 170 | 270 | 6 | 5 | 140 |
| MW-2 | 04725102 | 12.2 | $<1$ | 22.9 | 1.83 | 36.93 | <20 | NA | 253 | 104 | 115 | 3.74 | NA | BDL |
| MW-3 | $6 / 21 / 01$ | 38 | 48 | 2.5 | 14.2 | 102.7 | 3.2 | 0.064 | 2.2 | $<1.5$ | $<1.5$ | 1.4 | 12 | BDL |
| MW-3 | 04/25/02 | $<1$ | $<1$ | $<1$ | BDL | $B D L$ | <20 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| MW-4 | 6/21/01 | $<1$ | $<1$ | $<1$ | 1.1 | 1.1 | $<1$ | NA | $<1$ | $<1.5$ | $<1.5$ | 0.68 | NA | BDL |
| MW-4 | $04 / 25702$ | $<1$ | $<1$ | $<1$ | BDL | BDL | $<20$ | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| GCTL |  | 1 | 40 | 30 | 20 |  | 50 | 0.02 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 5 | 15 |  |

[^6]Florida Department of Environmental Prolection
Bureau of Waste Cleanup - Pro-Approval Program

## TABLE 1 - GROUNDWATER MONITORING WELL ANALYTICAL SUMMARY

98503163
122034.004

| $\begin{array}{l\|} \hline \text { Sample } \\ \text { Location } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Date | Benzene | Toluene | EthylBenzene | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { Xylenes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { BTEX } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | MTBE | EDB | Naphthalene | 1-MethylNaphthalene | 2-Methyl- Naphthalene | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { FL PRO } \\ \text { (mg/I) } \end{gathered}$ | Total Lead | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { PAH } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MW-5 | 11/19/01 | $<1$ | $<1$ | $<1$ | BDL | BDL | 3.0 | $<0.010$ | $<1$ | $<1.5$ | - $<1.5$ | NA | NA | BDL |
| MW-5 | 04/25/02 | $<1$ | $<1$ | $<1$ | BDL. | BDL | <20 | NA | $<0.12$ | <0.12 | $<0.12$ | NA | NA | $\overline{B D L}$ |
| MW-6 | 11/19/01 | $<1$ | $<1$ | $<1$ | BDL | BDL | 2.1 | $<0.010$ | $<1$ | $<1.5$ | $<1.5$ | NA | NA | BDL |
| MW-6 | 04/25/02 | $<1$ | $<1$ | $<1$ | BDL | BDL | $<20$ | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| MW-7 | 11/19/01 | $<1$ | $<1$ | $<1$ | BDL | BDL | 1.5 | $<0.010$ | $<1$ | $<1.5$ | $<1.5$ | NA | NA | BDL |
| MW-7 | 04/25/02 | $<1$ | $<1$ | $<1$ | BDL | BDL | $<20$ | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| MW-8 | 11/19/01 | $<1$ | $<1$ | $<1$ | BDL | BDL | $<1$ | $<0.010$ | $<1$ | $<1.5$ | $<1.5$ | NA | NA | BDL |
| MW-8 | 04/25/02 | $<1$ | $<1$ | $<1$ | BDL | BOL | $<20$ | NA | $<0.132$ | $<0.132$ | $<0.132$ | NA | NA | NA |
| MW-9 | 11/19/01 | 1.6 | $<1$ | $<1$ | BDL | 1.6 | 9.1 | $<0.010$ | $<1$ | $<1.5$ | $<1.5$ | NA | NA | BDL |
| MW-9 | 04/25/02 | $<1$ | $<1$ | $\leq 1$ | BDL | BDL | $<20$ | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| MW-10 | 11/19/01 | $<1$ | $<1$ | $<1$ | BDL | BDL | 1.0 | $<0.010$ | $<1$ | $<1.5$ | $<1.5$ | NA | NA | BDL |
| MW-10 | 04/25/02 | $<1$ | 1.15 | $<1$ | BDL | 1.15 | $<20$ | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| DW-1 | 11/19/01 | $<1$ | $<1$ | $<1$ | BDL | BDL | 1.4 | <0.010 | $<1$ | $<1.5$ | 41.5 | NA | NA | BDL |
| OW-1 | 4/25/02 | $<1$ | $<1$ | $<1$ | BDL | BDL | $<20$ | NA | $<0.12$ | $<0.12$ | $<0.12$ | NA | NA | 8 BL |
| GCTL |  | 1 | 40 | 30 | 20 |  | 50 | 0.02 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 5 | 15 |  |

[^7]enes
aphthalene and $1+2$-Methyinaphthalene
Target Levels
Notes: All Concentrations in ugh unless otherwise noted
Fiorida Department of Environmental Protectlon

```
Handex Project \#:
```

| Site Name: Site Address: | Island Food Store \#518 3900 South Suncoast Blvd. Homosassa, Springs, Florida |  | Facility ID\#: Handex Project \#: |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| WELL NUMBER | MW-1 | MW-2 | MW-3 | MW-4 |
| DIAMETER | 2-inch | 2-inch | 2-inch | 2-inch |
| WELL DEPTH | 12 ft | 12 ft | 12 ft | 12 ft |
| SCREEN INT. | 2-12 ft | 2-12 ft | 2-12 ft | 2-12 ft |
| TOC ELEV (Feet) | 100.00 | 100.42 | 100.55 | 100.69 |

[^8]TABLE 2 -GROUNDWATER ELEVATION TABLE
Facility [D\#:
Handex Project \#:
098503163
122034.004


## APPENDICES


reference the February 27, 2002 Deliverable Review - Proposal Request Letter, the March 8, 2002 cost proposal, and noted changes. Groundwater sampling per the attached sampling table. Deliverable will be a General SAR with updated tables.
deliverable 1: $\qquad$
$\qquad$
eliverable 4: Deliverable 5: $\qquad$
final Deliv.: Genera! / SA Report
period of Service:
Amount (incl. retainage): $\qquad$ \$5,369.17

This WORK ORDER is not in effect until signed by all parties. The FDEP will not pay any amount of this WORK JRDER until the original signed copy has been retumed to the FDEP. The FDEP will not pay for any portion of the scope work that has not been performed as of the date of the invoice.

## Performance of this work order shall be governed by the terms of the preapproval umbrella contract (PUC) listed above.



DEP Site Manager: -DEP Manager: contractor Representative:
contractor Representative:

second contractor signature is optional)
Due Date 1:
Due Date 2:
Due Date 3:
Due Date 4:
Due Date 5:
Final Due Date: May 30, 2003
November 26, 2003 To

Retainage (Event Total:):

Petroleum Preapproval Program Work Order Template
First Event
Work Order : 2002-96-1300 Faciity Id \%: 098503163 Site Name: Island Food Store \#510
Date: $\qquad$

| Work Order \#: 2002-96-1300 | Faciity Id \%: 098503163 | Site Name: | Istand Food Store ${ }^{\text {W }} 510$ |  | Date: | 3/26/02 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Template | Comments / Notes | Allowed Cosi |  |  |  | mplate $T$ |



Deliverables


This Event Template Totals

|  | Originat | Change | Total |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Event Toial: | $\$ 5,369.17$ | $\$ 0.00$ | $\$ 5,369$. |
| Subtotal (less retainage): | $\$ 5,369.17$ | $\$ 0.00$ | $\$ 5,369$. |
| Retainage: | $0 \%$ | $\$ 0.00$ | $\$ 0.00$ |


| Invoice | Original | Change | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - 11 st Event | \$4,729.60 | \$0.00 | \$4,729. |
| * 7 Remedial Systems | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | s0. |
| - 8 Final Deliverable | 5639.57 | \$0.00 | \$639. |
| - 9 Relainage | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0. |
| Event Template Total | \$5,369.17 | \$0.00 | \$5,369. |

Petroleum Preapproval Program
Sampling Parameter Table
fDEPRP SIte Mgr: Maria K. Zorn
SIte Name: Island Food Store $\$ 518$
Contractor Name: Handex ol Florlda
FDEP Contraot \#: PUC
Analytical Parameters (enter total no. of samples for each method)

| Groundwater |  |  | Analytical Pa | neters (en | tal no. | ples for | hod) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S | Number of Sampling Events | EPA 8021 | BTEX+MTBE, TBA, DIPE, ETBE, TAME EPA 8260 | $\begin{gathered} \text { PAHs } \\ \text { EPA } 8310 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { EDB } \\ \text { EPA } 504 \end{gathered}$ | TRPHs FL-PRO | Lead | KAG/GAG** | <Specity Others |
| Event 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MW-1 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| MW-2 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  |
| MW-3 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MW-4 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MW-5 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| MW-6 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MW.7 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MW-8 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| MW.9 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MW-10 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| OwW-1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No. Samples |  | 11 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cost per Sample |  | \$60.00 | \$150.00..... | \$127.50 | \$0.00 | \$95.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| Subtolal |  | \$660.00 | \$0.00 | \$637.50 | \$0.00 | \$95.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |

Total Laboratory Cost: $\quad \$ 1,392.50$

## APPENDIX B

GROUNDWATER ANALYTICAL

## Analytical Report 222290

for

## HANDEX of Florida

Project Manager: Gene Ray
ISLAND FOOD STORE 518
122634.004.03040.UPA

08-MAY-02


08-MAY-02

Project Manager: Gene Ray
HANDEX of Florida
111 Kelsey Lane, Suite "E"
Tampa, FL 33619
Reference: XENCO Report No: 222290
ISLAND FOOD STORE 518
Project Address: HOMOSASSA

## Gene Ray :

We are reporting to you the results of the analyses performed on the samples received under the project name referenced above and identified with the XENCO Chain of Custody Numbered 222290 . All results being reported under this Chain of Custody apply to the samples analyzed and properly identified with a Laboratory ID number.

All the results for the quality control samples were reviewed. Also, all parameters for data reduction and validation were reviewed. In view of this, we are able to release the analytical data for this report within acceptance criteria for accuracy, precision, completeness or properly flagged.

The validity and integrity of this report will remain intact as long as it is accompanied by this letter and reproduced in full, unless written approval is granted by XENCO Laboratories. This report will be filed for at least 5 years in our archives after which time it will be destroyed without further notice, unless otherwise arranged with you. The samples received, and described as recorded in COC No. 222290 will be filed for 60 days, and after that time they will be properly disposed without further notice, unless otherwise arranged with you. We reserve the right to return to you any unused samples, extracts or solutions related to them if we consider so necessary (e.g., samples identified as hazardous waste, sample sizes exceeding analytical standard practices, controlled substances under regulated protocols, etc).

We thank you for selecting XENCO Laboratories to serve your analytical needs. If you have any questions concerning this report, please feel free to contact us at any time.

Respectfully,


Recipient of the Prestigious Small Business Administration Award of Excellence in 1994. Certified and approved by numerous States and Agencies.
A Small Business and Minority Status Company that delivers SERVICE and QU'ALITY
Houston - Dallas - San Antonio - Austin - Tampa - Miami - Latin America

## 

Project ID: $122634.004 .03040 . \mathrm{UPA}$
Contact: Gene Ray
Project Location: HOMOSASSA
Quote Number:
Fax Number: $813-626-1898$

Project ID: $122634.004 .03040 . \mathrm{UPA}$ Contact: Gene Ray
Project Name: ISLAND FOOD STORE 518

| Analysis Requested | Lab ID: Fieid ID : Depth: Matrix: Sampled: | $222290-001$ MW-1 WATER APR-25-02 13:05 | 222290.002 <br> MW-2 <br> WATER <br> APR-25-02 11:32 | 222290-003 MW-3 WATER APR-25-02 10:25 | $222290-004$ <br> MW-A <br> WATER <br> APR-25-02 14:55 | $222290-005$ MW-5 WATER APR-25-02 14:15 | $222290-006$ MW. 6 WATER APR-25-02 12:25 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Aceriaphihene <br> PAHs by EPA 8310 | ExIracied: <br> Analyzed: Units: $\qquad$ | $\begin{array}{cc} \hline \text { APR-29-02 08:30 } \\ \text { APR-29-02 18:30 } \\ \text { ugh } \\ \cdots \quad . \quad & R \\ \hline & 0.110 \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Acenaphihylene |  | U 0.110 | U 12.1 |  |  | U 0.110 |  |
| Anthracene |  | U 0.170 | U 18.7 |  |  | U 0.170 |  |
| Benzo(a)anthracene |  | U 0.096 | U 10.6 |  |  | U 0.096 |  |
| Benzo(a)pyrene |  | U 0.140 | U 15.4 |  |  | U 0.140 |  |
| Benzo(g,h, i) perylene |  | U 0.260 | U 28.6 |  |  | U 0.260 |  |
| 1-Methynaphthalene |  | U 0.120 | $104 \quad 13.2$ |  |  | U 0.120 |  |
| 2-Methylnaphthalene |  | U 0.120 | $115 \mathrm{D} \quad 66.0$ |  |  | $\bigcirc \quad 0.120$ |  |
| Benzo(k)fluoranthene |  | U 0.084 | U 9.24 |  |  | U 0.084 |  |
| Benzo(b)fluoranthene |  | U 0.084 | U 9.24 |  |  | U 0.084 |  |
| Chrysene |  | U 0.130 | U 14.3 |  |  | U 0.130 |  |
| Dibenz(a, h ) Anthracene |  | U 0.048 | U 5.28 |  |  | U 0.048 |  |
| Fluoranthene |  | $\cup 0.130$ | U 14.3 |  |  | U 0.130 |  |
| Fluorene |  | U 0.150 | U 16.5 |  |  | U 0.150 |  |
| Indeno( $1,2,3-\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d}$ ) Pyrene |  | U 0.140 | U 15.4 |  |  | U 0.140 |  |
| Naphthalene |  | U 0.120 | $253 \mathrm{D} \quad 66.0$ |  |  | U 0.120 |  |

This analytical report, and the entire data package it represents, has been made for your exclusive and confidential use.
The interpretations and results expressed throughout this analytical report represent the best judgment of XENCO Laboratories. XENCO Laboratories assumes no responsibility and makes no warranty to the end use of the data hereby presented.

\section*{Project Location: HOMOSASSA Quote Number:

## Fax Number: 813-626-1898

## Fax Number: 813-626-1898

Date Received in Lab: Fri Apr-26-02 07:06 AM Report Date: 08-MAY-02 Steven S. Tafuni Project Manager: HANDEX of Florida, Tampa, FL

Contact: Gene Ray
Project Location: HOMOSAS
Project Location: HOMOSASSA
Quote Number:
Fax Number: $813-626-1898$

| Analysis Requested |
| :---: |
| PAHs by EPA 8310 |
|  |
| Phenanthrene |
| Pyrene |

This analytical report, and the entire data package it represents, has been made for your exclusive and confidential use. The interpretations and results expressed throughout this analytical report represent the best judgment of XENCO Laboratories. XENCO Laboratories assumes no responsibility and makes no warranty to the end use of the data hereby presented.
HANDEX of Florida, Tampa, FL
Project Name: ISLAND FOOD STORE 518

| Analysis Requested | Lab 10: <br> Field ID: <br> Depth: <br> Matrix: <br> Sampled: | $222290-001$ MW.1 WATER APR-25-02 13:05 | $\begin{gathered} 222290-002 \\ \text { MW-2 } \\ \text { WATER } \\ \text { APR-25-02 11:32 } \end{gathered}$ | 222290-003 <br> MW-3 <br> WATER <br> APR 25.02 10:25 | 222290-004 <br> MW. 4 <br> WATER <br> APR-25-02 14:55 | 222290-005 <br> MW-5 <br> WATER <br> APR-25-02 14:15 | $222290-006$ MW-6 WATER APR-25-02 12:25 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Phenanthrene <br> PAHs by EPA 8310 | Exdracted: <br> Analyzed: Unlts: $\qquad$ |  | APR-29-02 08:30 <br> MAY-01-02 11:05 <br> ugh <br>  RL <br> 1 11.0 | . - . . | - . |  | .... . ... .. |
| Pyrene |  | 00.120 | U 13.2 |  |  | U 0.120 |  |


Project ID: 122634.004.03040.UPA
Project Name: ISLAND FOOD STORE 518

| Analysis Requested | Lab ID: <br> Fleld ID : <br> Depth: <br> Matrix: <br> Sampled: | $222290-007$ MW-7 WATER APR-2S-02 13:20 | $222290-008$ MW-8 WATER APR-25-02 11:50 | $222290-009$ MW-9 WATER APR-25-02 11:00 | 222290-010 <br> MW-10 <br> WATER <br> APR-25-02 09:4S | $222290-011$ DW-1 WATER APR-25-02 12:20 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BTEX-MTBE by EPA 8021 <br> Benzene | Extracted: <br> Analyzed: Units: | $\begin{array}{cc} \hline \text { MAY-03-02 } & 23: 26 \\ \text { MAY-04-02 } & 04: 08 \\ \text { Ug/L } & \\ \ldots & \text { RL } \\ \text { U } & 1.00 \end{array}$ |  |  | MAY-03-02 23:32 <br> MAY-04-02 05:10 <br> ugl | $\begin{gathered} \text { MAY-03-02 23:34 } \\ \text { MAY-04-02 05:31 } \\ \text { ug/L } \\ \ldots \ldots . \mathrm{RL}_{\ldots} \\ \ldots \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Toluene |  | U 1.00 | U 1.00 | U 1.00 | $1.15 \quad 1.00$ | U 1.00 |  |
| Ethylbenzene |  | U 1.00 | U 1.00 | U 1.00 | $0 \quad 1.00$ | U 1.00 |  |
| m,p-Xylenes |  | U 2.00 | U 2.00 | U 2.00 | U 2.00 | U 2.00 |  |
| o-Xylene |  | U 1.00 | U 1.00 | U 1.00 | $\cup \quad 1.00$ | U 1.00 |  |
| MTBE |  | U 20.0 | U 20.0 | U 20.0 | U 20.0 | U 20.0 |  |
| Xylenes, Total |  | U | U | U | U | U |  |
| Total BTEX |  | U | U | U | 1.15 | U |  |

Project ID: $122634.004 .03040 . \mathrm{UPA}$ Contact: Gene Ray
Project Location: HOMOSASSA
Quate Number:

- Fax Number:

| Analysis Requested $\quad$Lab ID: <br> Field ID : <br> Depth: <br> Matrix: <br> Sampled: | $222290-007$ MW. 7 WATER APR-25-02 13:20 | $222290-008$ MW-8 WATER APR-25-02 11:50 | $222290-009$ MW-9 WATER APR-25-02 11:00 | $222290-010$ MW-10 WATER APR-25-02 09:45 | $222290-011$ <br> DW-I <br> WATER <br> APR-25-02 12:20 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PAHs by EPA 8310 $\quad \|$Extracted: <br> Analyzed: <br> Unis: <br> Acenaphthene |  | $\begin{array}{cc} \hline \text { APR-29.02 } 08: 30 \\ \text { APR-29-02 20:28 } \\ \text { ugת } & \\ \text { UL } & \text { RL } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\cdots$ | APR-29-02 08:30  <br> APR-29-02 21:07  <br> Ug/L  <br> UL 0.110 | - |
| Acenaphihyiene |  | U 0.121 |  |  | U 0.110 |  |
| Anthracene |  | U 0.187 |  |  | U 0.170 |  |
| Benzo(a)anthracene |  | U 0.106 |  |  | U 0.096 |  |
| Benzo(a)pyrene |  | $\cup 0.154$ |  |  | U 0.140 |  |
| Benzo(g.h, i) peryicne |  | U 0.286 |  |  | U 0.260 |  |
| 1-Methynaphthalere |  | U 0.132 |  |  | U 0.120 |  |
| 2-Methylnaphthatene |  | U 0.132 |  |  | U 0.120 |  |
| Benzo(k)fluoranthene |  | U 0.092 |  |  | U 0.084 |  |
| Benzo(b)fluoranthene |  | U 0.092 |  |  | U 0.084 |  |
| Chrysene |  | $\cup 0.143$ |  |  | U 0.130 |  |
| Dibenz(a,h)Anthracene |  | U 0.053 |  |  | U 0.048 |  |
| Fluoranthene |  | U 0.143 |  |  | U 0.130 |  |
| Fluorene |  | $\cup \quad 0.165$ |  |  | $\bigcirc 0.150$ |  |
| Indeno(1,2,3-c,d)Pyrene |  | U 0.154 |  |  | $\mathrm{U} \quad 0.140$ |  |
| Naphthalene |  | U 0.132 |  |  | U 0.120 |  |

This analytical report, and the entire data package it represents, has been made for your exclusive and confidential use.
The interpretations and results expressed throughout this analytical report represent the best judgment of XENCO Laboratories. XENCO Laboratories assumes no responsibility and makes no warranty to the end use of the data hereby presented.

[^9]Project Name: ISLAND FOOD STORE SI
Project ID: 122634.004 .03040. UPA Contact: Gene Ray
Project Location: HOMOSASSA
Quote Number:
Fax Number: 813-626-1898

| Analysis Requested | LabID: Field ID ; Depth : Matrix : Sampled: | 222290-007 MW-7 WATER APR-25-02 13:20 | 222290-008 <br> MW-8 <br> WATER <br> APR-25-02 11:50 | $222290-009$ MW-9 WATER APR-25-02 11:00 | $222290 \cdot 010$ <br> MW-10 <br> WATER <br> APR-25-02 09:45 | 222290-011 <br> DW-I <br> WATER <br> APR-25-02 12:20 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Phenanthrene <br> PAHs by EPA 8310 | Exuracted: <br> Analyzed: <br> Units: | . ${ }^{\text {- }}$ | APR-29-02 08:30  <br> APR-29-02 20:28  <br> ug/L  <br>  RL <br> U 0.110 | . . . . | -. ... . .. | APR-29-02 08:30 <br> APR-29-02 21:07 <br> ug $\begin{gathered} \text { RL } \\ \text { U } \\ 0.100 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Pyrene |  |  | U 0.132 |  |  | U 0.120 |  |


Work Order \#: 222290
Project Name: ISLAND FOOD STORE 518
Project ID: 122634.004.03040.UPA

| Units: ug/L | BLANK /BLANK SPIKE / BLANK SPIKE DUPLICATE RECOVERY STUDY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BTEX-MTBE by EPA 8021 <br> Analytes | Blank <br> Sample Result <br> \|A| | Spike Added [ B ] | Blank <br> Spike <br> Result <br> [C] | Blank <br> Spike <br> \%R <br> [D] | Spike Added \|E| | Blank Spike Duplicate Result [F] | $\begin{gathered} \text { Blk. Spk } \\ \text { Dup. } \\ \text { \%R } \\ \text { \|G\| } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { RPD } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | Control Limits \%R | Control Limits \%RPD | Flag |
| Benzene | $<1.00$ | 100 | 102 | 102 | 100 | 104 | 104 | 2 | 70-125 | 25 |  |
| Toluene | <1.00 | 100 | 103 | 103 | 100 | 104 | 104 | 1 | 70-125 | 25 |  |
| Ethylbenzene | $<1.00$ | 100 | 103 | 103 | 100 | 105 | 105 | 2 | 71-129 | 25 |  |
| m.p-Xylenes | $<2.00$ | 200 | 214 | 107 | 200 | 214 | 107 | 0 | 70.125 | 25 |  |
| o-Xylene | $<1.00$ | 100 | 106 | 106 | 100 | 109 | 109 | 3 | 71.133 | 25 |  |
| MTBE | $<20.0$ | 100 | 110 | 110 | 100 | 110 | 110 | 0 | 71.133 | 25 |  |


Lab Batch ID: 623665
Sample: 352352-1-BLK
Work Order \#: 222290


APPENDIX C
GROUNDWATER SAMPLING FORMS

## Florida Department of Environmental Protection GROUNDWATER SAMPLING LOG



[^10]MATERLAL COOES: AG = AMBER GLASS: CG = CLEAR GLASS: PE = POLYETHYLENE: O = OTHER (SPECIFY) NOTE: The above do not constitute all of the information required by Chapter 62-160, F.A.C.

Florida Department of Environmental Protection GROUNDWATER SAMPLING LOG


WELL CAPACITY (Gallons per Fool): $0.75^{*}=0.02 ; 1^{\prime}=0.04 ; 1.25^{\circ}=0.06 ; 2=0.16 ; 3^{\prime}=0.37 ; 4^{\circ}=0.65 ; 5^{\prime}=1.02 ; 6^{\prime}=1.47: 12^{*}=5.88$


REMARKS:

MATERIAL CODES: AG = AMBER GLASS: CG = CLEAR GLASS; PE = POLYETHYLENE: $O=$ OTHER (SPECIFY)
NOTE: The above do not constitute all of the information required by Chapter 62-160, F.A.C.

Florida Department of Environmental Protection GROUNDWATER SAMPLING LOG

SITE TSUAN FiN STCRE SIX SITE ATON: HOMOSASQA WELL NO: MW 3 MW 3 SAMPLEIO:

PURGING DATA

 SAMPLING DATA


REMARKS:

MATERGL CODES: AG = AMBER GLASS: $\quad C G=$ CLEAR GLASS; $P E=$ POLYETHIENE; $O=$ OTHER (SPECIFY
NOTE: The above do not constitute all of the information required by Chapter 62-160, F.A.C.

## Florida Department of Environmental Protection GROUNDWATER SAMPLING LOG



[^11]
## Florida Department of Environmental Protection GROUNDWATER SAMPLING LOG



Florida Department of Environmental Protection GROUNDWATER SAMPLING LOG


PURGING DATA



REMARKS:

MATERIAL CODES: AG = AMBER GLASS: CG = CLEAR GLASS: PE = POLYETHYLENE; $O=0$ HER (SPECIFY)
NOTE: The above do not constitute all of the information required by Chapter 62-160, F.A.C.

Florida Department of Environmental Protection GROUNDWATER SAMPLING LOG


PURGING DATA


WELL CAPACITY (Gallons per Fool): $0.75^{*}=0.02 ; 1^{\prime \prime}=0.04 ; 1.25^{*}=0.06 ; 2 ;=0.16 ; 3^{2}=0.37 ; 4^{*}=0.65 ; 5^{\circ}=1.02 ; 6^{*}=1.47 ; 12^{2}=5.88$


NOTE: The above do not constitute all of the information required by Chapter 62-160, F.A.C.

## Florida Department of Environmental Protection GROUNDWATER SAMPLING LOG



REMARKS:

MATERLAL CODES: AG = AMBER GLASS; CG = CLEAR GLASS; PE = POLYETHMLENE; O = OTHER (SPECIFY)
NOTE: The above do not constitute all of the information required by Chapter 62-160, F.A.C.

## Florida Department of Environmental Protection GROUNDWATER SAMPLING LOG



## REMARKS:


NOTE: The above do not constitute all of the information required by Chapter 62-160, F.A.C.

## Florida Department of Environmental Protection GROUNDWATER SAMPLING LOG
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NOTE: The above do not constitute all of the information required by Chapter 62-160, F.A.C.

Florida Department of Environmental Protection GROUNDWATER SAMPLING LOG

NAME TXANS FOD: "518 LOCATION: HOMOSASSA WELL NO:

DWI
PURGING DATA


WELL CAPACITY (Gallons per Foot): $0.75^{*}=0.02 ; 1^{\prime}=0.04 ; 1.25^{\prime}=0.06 ; 2^{\prime \prime}=0.16 ; 3^{\prime \prime}=0.37 ; 4^{*}=0.65 ; 5^{*}=1.02 ; 6^{\prime \prime}=1.47 ; 12^{*}=5.88$


REMARKS:

MATERIAL CODES: AG = AMBER GLASS; CG = CLEAR GLASS; PE = POLYETHYLENE; O = OTHER (SPECI FM)
NOTE: The above do not constitute all of the information required by Chapter 62-160, F.A.C.

Site No. 26 Texaco \#721 3861 S. Suncoast Boulevard Homosassa, Florida
FDEP I.D. No. 098503124


January 19, 2000

Mr. C. Mark Sumner
Environmental Specialist II
Citrus County Health Department
Environmental Health Section
Storage Tank Inspection Program
3600 West Sovereign Path, Suite 125
Lecanto, FL 34461

Re: Texaco \#721
FDEP 850312.4
3861 Suncoast Blvd.
Honosassa Springs, FL

Dear Mr. Sumner:
In response to your letter of December 3, 1999 the following is provided:

1) Copy of site plan and report from Handex indicating that the monitoring wells might be used for contamination assessment. The wells will be properly closed if they are not utilized for contamination assessment and we will notify you upon completion.

Sincerely,


Steve Weeks


November 24, 1999

Mr. Tom Stodd, P.G.
Florida Department of Environmental Protection
2600 Blairstone Road
Tallahassee, FL

RE: Multi-Phase Extraction Overpurge Letter Report<br>Quality \# 721<br>3861 Suncoast Blvd.<br>Homosassa Springs, Florida<br>FDEP Facility No. 098503124<br>Work Order No. 2000-00-4067-0<br>Handex Project No. 112181-04

Dear Mr. Stodd:

Handex of Florida, Inc. (Handex) is pleased to present this report detailing the work completed pursuant to the above referenced work order and subsequent change order, opies of which are included in Appendix A. A site plan is included as Figure 1.

On September 21, 1999, Handex submitted a notice of intent (NOI) (along with the $\$ 100$ permit fee) to the Florida Department of Environmental Protection (FDEP) Southwest District to discharge treated groundwater to an adjacent storm sewer at this location. On September 28, 1999, Handex received the NPDES Permit (Permit No. FLG910887) to discharge the groundwater to an adjacent storm sewer at the Quality \#721 site. On November 12, 1999, discharge monitoring reports (DMRs) for all days of discharge were submitted to the FDEP. A copy of the NOI, the NPDES permit, and the DMRs are included in Appendix B.

On August 17, 1999, quiescent groundwater samples were collected from monitoring wells MW-1, MW-2, MW-3, MW-6, and MW-8 and were sent to Envirolab Inc., to be analyzed for total lead. This sampling event was conducted to determine if the hydrocarbons on site resulted from a leaded fuel discharge as defined in the NPDES permit guidelines. A copy of the laboratory analytical report is included in Appendix $\mathbf{C}$ and copies of the field groundwater sampling forms are included in Appendix $\mathbf{D}$.

As shown on Table 1 and depicted on Figure 2, the groundwater samples collected from MW-1, MW-2, MW-3, MW-6, and MW-8 on August 17, 1999, revealed low concentrations of total lead. As a result, the effluent stream produced during the overpurge event was not analyzed for total lead.

Mr. Tom Stodd, P.G.
Quality \# 721 72-Hour Overpurge Report
November 24, 1999
In October $11^{\text {th }}, 1999$, prior to the initiation of the overpurge event, groundwater samples were collected from MW-6 and MW-8 and sent to Envirolab Inc. to be analyzed for EPA Method 602 (BTEX + MTBE) and EPA 8310 parameters. A copy of the laboratory groundwater analytical report is included in Appendix C. Field groundwater sampling forms are included in Appendix D. The groundwater sampling results from this event and previous sampling events are summarized on Table 1 and Table 1A and depicted on Figure 2.

On October $11^{\mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{h}}}$, October $12^{\mathrm{th}}$, October $13^{\mathrm{th}}$. and October $14^{\mathrm{th}}, 1999$. Handex conducted a 72-hour overpurge on monitoring wells MW-6 and MW-8, using multiphase extraction technology. Pumping began at 12:30pm on October 11,1999, and ended at 12:30pm on October 14, 1999. The recovered groundwater was treated on-site using a skid mounted portable diffuser aerator and carbon polishing. A system influent and effluent sample was collected daily and analyzed for EPA Method 602 (BTEX + MTBE), and 8310 parameters as required by the NPDES Permit No. FLG910887. The effluent stream was also analyzed daily for pH using a field meter. The results of the two system sampling events are summarized on Table 2 and copies of the laboratory analytical reports are included in Appendix C.

The MPX treatment unit operated continuously during this event and the total volume of groundwater treated and discharged to the storm sewer during this test was measured to be 5,920 gallons (Table 3).

On October 27, 1999, groundwater samples were collected from monitoring wells MW-6 and MW-8 and sent to Envirolab Inc., to be analyzed for EPA method 602 (BTEX + MTBE) and 8310 parameters. A copy of the laboratory groundwater analytical report is included in Appendix $C$ and copies of the field groundwater sampling forms are included in Appendix D. The groundwater sampling results from this event and previous sampling events are summarized on Table 1 and Table IA and depicted on Figure 2.

As depicted on Figure 2, the total volatile aromatic (VOA) concentration at MW:6 increased from 28.4 micrograms per liter ( $u g / \mathrm{l}$ ) on October 11, 1999 to $35.6 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{l}$ on October 27, 1999. The naphthalene concentration at MW-6 decreased from $200 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{l}$ on October 11, 1999 to $130 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{l}$ on October 27, 1999. The VOA concentration at MW-8 remained near below or near the method detection limits during the most recent period of monitoring. The naphthalene concentration at MW-8 decreased from $33 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{l}$ on October 11,1999 to < $1.0 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{l}$ on October 27, 1999.

Depths to groundwater were measured in all site monitoring wells during the site visit on October 27, 1999. The groundwater elevation data collected on October 27, 1999, which are summarized on Table 4, were used to construct a groundwater elevation contour map

Mr. Tom Stodd, P.G.
Quality \# 721 72-Hour Overpurge Report
Jovember 24, 1999
(Figure 3). As depicted on Figure 3, the surficial groundwater flow direction was calculated to be in a west/southwest direction beneath the site on October 27, 1999.

As shown on Table 1, the dissolved hydrocarbon concentrations at MW-8 are currently below the groundwater target cleanup levels listed in Table I of Chapter 62-777 F.A.C.. The total VOA concentration at MW-6 after the overpurge has remained consistent with the total VOA concentration measured before the implementation of the 72 -hour overpurge event. Based on the groundwater data presented in this report, Handex recommends the implementation of a monitoring only program (MOP) for two quarters, as authorized under the existing work-order \# 2000-00-4068-0. The hydrocarbon concentrations at MW-6 and MW-8 will be evaluated during the two quarters to determine if the overpurge event was successful at reducing the hydrocarbon concentrations in these wells over the long term.

Handex appreciates the opportunity to assist the FDEP on this project. If you have any questions regarding this sampling event or require additional information, please do not hesitate to contact the undersigned at (813) 626-4646.

Sincerely,
HANDEX OF FLORIDA, INC.


Cory Henderson
Project Hydrogeologist


Bary Reda P. G.
Project Manager

[^13]Site No. 28 Citrus Oldsmobile Pontiac (aka Sunset Oldsmobile) 3029 S. Suncoast Boulevard Homosassa, Florida
FDEP I.D. No. 098733790


Department of

Southwest District
3804 Coconut Palm Drive

- Tampa, Florida 3361.9

David B. Struts
Secretary

April 14, 2000
Mr. Paul Weisner
Citrus Oldsmobile Pontiac 3029 South Suncoast Blvd.
Homosassa, FI 34448
Re: Citrus Oldsmobile Pontiac 3029 South Suncoast Boulevard
Homosassa, Citrus County, Florida
Facility ID \#098733790
Dear Mr. Weisner:
Paul Gruzlovic of the Bureau of Petroleum Storage Systems has reviewed the Site Assessment Report (SAR), dated October 22, 1999 (received November 5, 1999), prepared and submitted by Unified Environmental Services, Inc., for the discharge discovered on December 15, 1998 at this site. The Department has determined that the request for a No Further Action (NFA) status for this site is not appropriate at this time. In order to meet the requirements of Chapter 62-770, Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.), the following comments need to be addressed:
(1) Boring logs were not submitted for the soil boring that were completed in the area of the former USTs. The boring logs (OVA readings, lithology, and moisture content listed on the logs) should be submitted to the Department. In addition, the sampling interval was listed as greater than two feet for several of the OVA data presented in Table 1. The actual depths that the OVA readings were collected should be included on the OVA summary table and the boring logs.
(2) A water sampling log for the groundwater samples obtained from the temporary well in the former gasoline UST area was not submitted with the report. As stated in Rule 62$770.400(2)(c), F l o r i d a$ Administrative Code (F.A.C.), water sampling logs are required to be submitted to the Department
for each well that is sampled. The water sampling log should be submitted to the Department.
(3) A site location map (portion of a USGS quadrangle) was not included in the report illustrating the location of the site. A site location map should be submitted to the Department.
(4) The site plan (Figure 1) was not scaled and the location of soil boring SB-2 appeared to be incorrect. A revised and scaled site plan should be submitted to the Department.
(5) No site plan was included in the SAR that illustrated the locations of the soil borings and temporary wells completed during the UST closure assessment. Although the UST closure report included in the SAR referred to Figure 1 as the boring and well location map, this figure was not included in the SAR. Figure 1 (UST closure report) should be

- provided to the Department.
(6) No explanation was provided in the text of the report, the laboratory report, or the laboratory chain of custody as to how the volatile organic aromatic (VOA) soil samples were collected during the UST closures. It appears the sample collection method utilized for the VOA samples may have been EPA Method 5030 and not EPA Method 5035. The VOA soil samples submitted to the laboratory should have been collected utilizing EPA Method 5035, as stated in the Department's July 15, 1998 memorandum for soil sample collection. Documentation should be provided from the laboratory to show that the samples were collected and analyzed using EPA Method 8021/5035.
(7) Arsenic was detected above the Department's direct exposure criteria in the waste oil UST soil sample, but was not sampled as part of the August 1999 sampling activities. In addition, the laboratory report for the soil samples collected during the UST closures was incomplete. A complete copy of the laboratory report included in the UST closure report should be provided to the Department.
(8) The SAR indicated that one potable well was located on-site. A map should have been provided showing the location of the well and any other private potable wells located within 0.25 mile or any public supply potable wells located within 0.5
mile. A potable well summary table should also have been included in the SAR listing the potable well construction information. A potable well survey map and well construction table should be submitted to the Department.

The direction of groundwater flow was not determined as part of the site assessment activities and no wells were installed downgradient of the two source areas. Before any additional assessment work is completed, Comment's 1 through 8 listed above should be addressed. and a response should be submitted to the Department. After reviewing the response, the Department will make a determination as to what specific supplemental site assessment activities will have to be completed before NFA status can be approved for the December 15, 1998 discharge.

Please note, applicable portions of the Site Assessment Report Addendum must be signed and sealed by a registered professional Engineer or a registered Professional Geologist authorized by Chapters 471 or 472, F.S.

Please provide two copies of the results of the supplemental assessment to me within sixty (60) days of receipt of this request.

The Department requests that written notification be provided at least three days prior to performing all future sampling events. If you have any questions concerning this review, please contact me at (813) 744-6100, ext. 427 or Paul Gruzlovic at (850) 9219036 .

Sincerely,


Leslie E.L. Pedigo Environmental Specialist III Tanks Program Division of Waste Management

## LELA

cc: Keith McDonald, Unified Environmental Services, Inc. Mark Sumner, Citrus County Health Department Paul Gruzlovic, FDEP-BPSS


# Rourd of County Conmissioners Department of Public Safety 

Mr. Harold Hall
3029 S. Suncoast Blvd.
Homosassa, Fl. 34448

Ref: Closure Assessment Report
Citrus Oldsmobile Pontiac
3029 S. Suncoast Blvd.
Homosassa, Fl. 34448
098733790

Mr. Hall:

The Citrus County Public Safety-Storage Tanks Program has completed its review of the Closure Report dated December, 1998 (received April 26, 1999) submitted by Unified Environmental for the abovereferenced facility. The analytical results were found to be in excess of state target levels.

The concentration of contaminants require that a site assessment as defined in Chapter 62-770, Florida Administrative Code, be initiated within thirty days. A Site Assessment Report (SAR) must be prepared and submitted to the Florida Department of Environmental Protection within nine (9) months of date of discovery of contamination. Two copies of the SAR must be sent to Ms. Laurel Culbreth, Florida Department of Environmental Protection 3804 Coconut Palm Dr., Tampa, Fl. 33619-8318.

If this facility may be eligible for restoration coverage under the Florida Petroleum Liability and Restoration Insurance Program (FPLRIP), then the initiation of the SAR may be temporarily postponed until the determination of your eligibility is made. If you are determined to be eligible for FPLRIP, then the Department's Bureau of Petroleum Storage Systems in Tallahassee will provide direction on when to proceed with the $S A R$. If you are denied eligibility, you will be required to initiate the $S A R$ upon notification of the denial.

If you have any questions, please contact Laurel Culbreth at (813) 744-6100 ext. 414.

Sincerely,


Site No. 29 Chevron Sprint \#6184 (aka Li’l Champ Food Store \#184) 2275 S. Suncoast Boulevard

Homosassa, Florida
FDEP I.D. No. 098503086 EPA I.D. No. FLD984193714

Department of Environmental Protection

## Jeb Bush

Governor

Twin Towers Office Building 2600 Blair Stone Road
Tallahassee, Fiorida 32399-2400

David B. Struhs Secretary

## CERTIFIED MAIL <br> RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

Ms. Sandy Metz
Lil' Champ, Inc.
Post Office Box 23180
Jacksonville, Florida 32241
Subject: $\quad$ Rescission of Site Rehabilitation Completion Order dated March 27. 1995 Lil' Champ \#184
2275 Suncoast Boulevard
Homosassa, Citrus County
FDEP Facility ID \# 098503086

Dear Ms. Metz:
The Bureau of Petroleum Storage Systems has reviewed the Summary of Phase II Environmental Assessment Findings Report dated February 1, 1999 (received October 26, 2000), prepared by ECT Consulting \& Technology, Inc., and the letter submitted for this site requesting the rescission of the Department's March 27, 1995 Site Rehabilitation Completion Order (SRCO). The data provided in the report indicate that groundwater and soil contamination above the Department's cleanup target levels specified in Chapter 62-770, Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.), still exist at this site. The Department's March 27, 1999 SRCO provided that "[i]f a subsequent discharge of petroleum or petroleum product occurs at the site, the Department may require site rehabilitation in order to reduce contaminant concentrations to the levels approved through review of the NFAP or otherwise allowed by Chapter 62-770, F.A.C. It is hereby ordered that the SRCO issued by the Department on March 27, 1995 is rescinded. Therefore, supplemental assessment and/or remediation activities must be completed in order to meet the requirements of Chapter 62-770, F.A.C.

Site conditions indicate that the increase in contaminant concentrations is not due to any subsequent discharge; therefore, further cleanup activities associated with the March 12, 1992 and October 8, 1997 discharges at this site will remain eligible for funding assistance for allowable and reasonable costs under the Pollution Liability Insurance Restoration Program (PLRIP). This site's score is 60 , which means that funding is currently available to continue

A person whose substantial interests are affected by this Order may petition for an administrative hearing under Sections 120.569 and 120.57 , F.S. The petition must contain the information set forth below and must be filed (received) in the Department's Office of General Counsel at 3900 Commonwealth Boulevard, Mail Station 35, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-3000, within 21 days of receipt of this Order. Petitioner, if different from Lil' Champ, Inc., shall mail a copy of the petition to Lil' Champ, Inc. at the time of filing. Failure to file a petition within this time period shall waive the right of anyone who may request an administrative hearing under Sections 120.569 and 120.57, F.S.

Pursuant to Section 120.54(5)(b)4.a., F.S. (1998, Supp.), and Rule 28-106.201, F.A.C., a petition for administrative hearing shall contain the following information:
a) The name, address, and telephone number of each petitioner, the name, address, and telephone number of the petitioner's representative, if any, the site owner's name and address, if different from the petitioner, the FDEP facility number, and the name and address of the facility;
b) A statement of how and when each petitioner received notice of the Department's action or proposed action;
c) An explanation of how each petitioner's substantial interests are or will be affected by the Department's action or proposed action;
d) A statement of the material facts disputed by the petitioner, or a statement that there are no disputed facts;
e) A statement of the ultimate facts alleged, including a statement of the specific facts the petitioner contends warrant reversal or modification of the Department's action or proposed action;
f) A statement of the specific rules or statutes the petitioner contends require reversal or modification of the Department's action or proposed action; and
g) A statement of the relief sought by the petitioner, stating precisely the action petitioner wishes the Department to take with respect to the Department's action or proposed action.

This Order is final and effective as of the date on the top of the first page of this Order. Timely filing a petition for administrative hearing postpones the date this Order takes effect until the Department issues either a final order pursuant to an administrative hearing or an order responding to supplemental information provided pursuant to meetings with the Department.

## Judicial Review

Any party to this Order has the right to seek judicial review of it under Section 120.68, F.S., by filing a notice of appeal under Rule 9.110 of the Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure with the clerk of the Department in the Office of General Counsel, 3900 Commonwealth

## P.G. CERTIFICATION

Rescission of March 27, 1995 Site Rehabilitation Completion Order for Lil' Champ \#184, 2275 Suncoast Boulevard, Homosassa, Citrus County, DEP Facility \#098503086

I hereby certify that in my professional judgment, the components of this request for the rescission of the March 27, 1995 Site Rehabilitation Completion Order satisfy the requirements set forth in Chapter 62-770, Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.), and that the conclusions in the Summary of Phase II Environmental Assessment Findings Report provide reasonable assurances that the objectives stated in Chapter 62-770, F.A.C., have been met.
$X$ I personally completed this review.
$\qquad$ This review was conducted by xxxxxxx, working under my direct supervision.


Department of Environmental Protection

Jeb Bush
Governor

Twin Towers Office Building
2600 Blair Stone Road
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-2400
David B. Struhs Secretary

# CERTIFIED MAIL RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED 

DEC 42000
Ms. Sandy Metz
Lil' Champ, Inc.
Post Office Box 23180
Jacksonville, Florida 32241
Subject: Rescission of Site Rehabilitation Completion Order dated March 27, 1995
Lil' Champ \#184
2275 Suncoast Boulevard
Homosassa, Citrus County
FDEP Facility ID\# 098503086 \%
Dear Ms. Metz:
The Bureau of Petroleum Storage Systems has reviewed the Summary of Phase II Environmental Assessment Findings Report dated February 1, 1999 (received October 26, 2000), prepared by ECT Consulting \& Technology, Inc., and the letter submitted for this site requesting the rescission of the Department's March 27, 1995 Site Rehabilitation Completion Order (SRCO). The data provided in the report indicate that groundwater and soil contamination above the Department's cleanup target levels specified in Chapter 62-770, Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.), still exist at this site. The Department's March 27, 1999 SRCO provided that "[i]f a subsequent discharge of petroleum or petroleum product occurs at the site, the Department may require site rehabilitation in order to reduce contaminant concentrations to the levels approved through review of the NFAP or otherwise allowed by Chapter 62-770, F.A.C. It is hereby ordered that the SRCO issued by the Department on March 27, 1995 is rescinded. Therefore, supplemental assessment and/or remediation activities must be completed in order to meet the requirements of Chapter 62-770, F.A.C.

Site conditions indicate that the increase in contaminant concentrations is not due to any. subsequent discharge; therefore, further cleanup activities associated with the March 12, 1992 and October 8, 1997 discharges at this site will remain eligible for funding assistance for allowable and reasonable costs under the Pollution Liability Insurance Restoration Program (PLRIP). This site's score is 60 , which means that funding is currently available to continue

Ms. Sandy Metz
Page two of four
cleanup activities at this time. Please complete the attached Contractor Designation Form if one has not already been submitted and return it to Leila Shuffler at the letterhead address, Mail Station 4540 so that cleanup activities can proceed.

## Legal Issues

The Department's Order shall become final unless a timely petition for an administrative proceeding (hearing) is filed under Sections 120.569 and 120.57, Florida Statutes (F.S.), within 21 days of receipt of this Order. The procedures for petitioning for a hearing are set forth below.

Persons affected by this Order have the following options:
If you choose to accept the above decision by the Department about the Phase II Environmental Assessment Findings Report you do not have to do anything. This Order is final and effective as of the date on the top of the first page of this Order.

If you disagree with the decision, you may do one of the following:

1. File a petition for administrative hearing with the Department's Office of General Counsel within 21 days of receipt of this Order;

## OR

2. File a request for an extension of time to file a petition for hearing with the Department's Office of General Counsel within 21 days of receipt of this Order. Such a request should be made if you wish to meet with the Department in an attempt to informally resolve any disputes without first filing a petition for hearing.

Please be advised that mediation of this decision pursuant to Section 120.573, Florida Statutes (F.S.), is not available.

## How to Request an Extension of Time to File a Petition for Hearing

For good cause shown, pursuant to Rule 62-110.106(4), F.A.C., the Department may grant a request for an extension of time to file a petition for hearing. Such a request must be filed (received) in the Department's Office of General Counsel at 3900 Commonwealth Boulevard, Mail Station 35, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-3000, within 21 days of receipt of this Order. Petitioner, if different from Lil' Champ, Inc., shall mail a copy of the request to Lil' Champ, Inc. at the time of filing. Timely filing a request for an extension of time tolls the time period within which a petition for administrative hearing must be made.
How to File a Petition for Administrative Hearing

## Ms. Sandy Metz
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A person whose substantial interests are affected by this Order may petition for an administrative hearing under Sections 120.569 and 120.57, F.S. The petition must contain the information set forth below and must be filed (received) in the Department's Office of General Counsel at 3900 Commonwealth Boulevard, Mail Station 35, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-3000, within 21 days of receipt of this Order. Petitioner, if different from Lil' Champ, Inc., shall mail a copy of the petition to Lil' Champ, Inc. at the time of filing. Failure to file a petition within this time period shall waive the right of anyone who may request an administrative hearing under Sections 120.569 and 120.57, F.S.

Pursuant to Section 120.54(5)(b)4.a., F.S. (1998, Supp.), and Rule 28-106.201, F.A.C., a petition for administrative hearing shall contain the following information:
a) The name, address, and telephone number of each petitioner, the name, address, and telephone number of the petitioner's representative, if any, the site owner's name and address, if different from the petitioner, the FDEP facility number, and the name and address of the facility;
b) A statement of how and when each petitioner received notice of the Department's action or proposed:action;
c) An explanation of how each petitioner's substantial interests are or will be affected by the Department's action or proposed action;
d) A statement of the material facts disputed by the petitioner, or a statement that there are no disputed facts;
e) A statement of the ultimate facts alleged, including a statement of the specific facts the petitioner contends warrant reversal or modification of the Department's action or proposed action;
f) A statement of the specific rules or statutes the petitioner contends require reversal or modification of the Department's action or proposed action; and
g) A statement of the relief sought by the petitioner, stating precisely the action petitioner wishes the Department to take with respect to the Department's action or proposed action.

This Order is final and effective as of the date on the top of the first page of this Order. Timely filing a petition for administrative hearing postpones the date this Order takes effect until the Department issues either a final order pursuant to an administrative hearing or an order responding to supplemental information provided pursuant to meetings with the Department.

## Judicial Review

Any party to this Order has the right to seek judicial review of it under Section 120.68, F.S., by filing a notice of appeal under Rule 9.110 of the Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure with the clerk of the Department in the Office of General Counsel, 3900 Commonwealth

## Ms. Sandy Metz
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Boulevard, Mail Station 35, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-3000, and by filing a copy of the notice of appeal accompanied by the applicable filing fees with the appropriate district court of appeal. The notice of appeal must be filed within 30 days after this Order is filed with the clerk of the Department (see below).

The FDEP Facility Number for this site is 098503086 . Please use this identification on all future correspondence with the Department.

## Questions

Any questions regarding the Department's review of your Phase II Environmental Assessment Findings Report should be directed to Michael J. Bland at (850) 921-9024. Questions regarding legal issues should be referred to the Department's Office of General Counsel at (850) 488-9314. Contact with any of the above does not constitute a petition for administrative hearing or request for an extension of time to file a petition for administrative hearing.

MEA/mjb


FILING AND ACKNOWLEDGMENT
FILED, on this date, pursuant to $\S 120.52$ Florida Statutes, with the designated Department Clerk, receipt of which is hereby acknowledged.

c: Theresa Fischer, ECT, 4110 Southpoint Drive, Jacksonville'; Florida 32216 Leslie Pedigo, FDEP Southwest District Office

## P.G. CERTIFICATION

Rescission of March 27, 1995 Site Rehabilitation Completion Order for Lil' Champ \#184, 2275 Suncoast Boulevard, Homosassa, Citrus County, DEP Facility \#098503086

I hereby certify that in my professional judgment, the components of this request for the rescission of the March 27, 1995 Site Rehabilitation Completion Order satisfy the requirements set forth in Chapter 62-770, Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.), and that the conclusions in the Summary of Phase II Environmental Assessment Findings Report provide reasonable assurances that the objectives stated in Chapter 62-770, F.A.C., have been met.

X I personally completed this review.
__ This review was conducted by $x x x x x x x$, working under my direct supervision.


## Lube Cube ${ }^{*}$

**anom:

CHOOSE YOUR INDUSTRY

Lube Cube ${ }^{\circledR}$ Oil Tanks: The best solution for storage of new and used lubrication oils. Lube Cube tanks have provided reliabie storage of flammable and combustible liquids for more than 10 years.

Lube Cubes Offer:

- lower installed costs than underground tanks,
- better space utilization than cylindrical aboveground tanks
- lower operating costs than 55 gallon drums
- Rectangular shape allows up to $20 \%$ more storage volume in a given space than comparable cylindrical tanks


## Lube Cubes are designed for easy and convenient installation:

- Indoors
- In service bays
- Basements
- Outdoors next to buildings

All tanks are UL 142 listed and meet fire code requirements, including NFPA 30, for flammable and combustible liquid storage
butuch cure are avaibile:

- As either single or double-wall tanks (Double-wall tanks are UL listed as integral secondary containment and therefore do not require dikes for leak containment.)
- Available nationally, from seven manufacturing facilities
- Standard sizes from 60 to 20,000 gallons

Standard Features:

- UL 142 listed
- Skid mounted for easy installation
- Shop primed exterior
- NPT fittings with PVC plugs
- Single-wall - 5 (plus emergency vent opening)
- Double-wall - above fittings (plus emergency vent and inspection opening)
- Lifting lugs

Options:

- Industrial epoxy coating (red standard)
- Special colors, coatings and interior linings available upon request
- Seven (7) gallon spill box
- Custom sizes
- Stainless steel construction
- Interior coatings
- Equipment packages
- Compartments available
- Custom dimensions and equipment packages available upon request
[ Chemical | Water / Wastewater | Petroleum | Lubricants / Automotive | Service | Contact Us| Homı
Copyright © 1999 Containment Solutions, Inc.
b Bush


## Department of

 Environmental ProtectionTwin Towers Office Building 2600 Blalr Stone Road
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-2400
Petroleum Preapproval Program

## CONTRACTOR DESIGNATION FORM

This Contractor Designation supersedes all previous designations for this site made by the Real Property Owner or Responsible Party whose signature appears

* Please read this entire form carefully. It contains important information regarding the cleanup of your site? This is a two-page form. Be sure to review and complete both pages.

1 The Florida Department of Environmental Protection (Department) is required by statute to preapprove the scope of work and cost for the cleanup of a petroleum contaminated site if state funds will be used to pay for that cleanup (Section 376.30711(1)(b), Florida Statutes (F.S.)).
2. The Real Property Owner or Responsible Party must use this form to designate a contractor that meets certain criteria (Sections 376.30711 (2)(b)-(c), F.S.) for the Department to work with on their site. In addition, if the Department should coordinate its actions with your representative, you can use this form to designate such an
3. If the Real Property Owner is not the person responsible for completing the cleanup, then the Responsible Party should complete this form and submit it along with a copy of the legal agreement that details their cleanup
4. Please fill out this form completely and carefully. The form will be returned if there is any omission, error or correction in any of the required information, including the notary portion of the form. This will delay the cleanup of
5. The Real Property Owner or Responsible Party may designate a new contractor at any time. However, this may also create a delay in the cleanup of your site. Also, the Real Property Owner or Responsible Party may be liable for payment for any work that the new contractor must perform in order to assume the site cleanup if the Department previously paid for such work. If a new contractor is designated, then any work in progress will be completed by the contractor to whom the work was awarded unless that contractor is being replaced for documented poor performance or it voluntarily agrees (in writing) to forgo the remaining work. A new designation does not take effect until this completed form is accepted and approved by the Department.
6. 6. Pursuant to Florida Law, "It is unlawful for a site owner or operator, or his or her designee, to receive any remuneration, in cash or in kind, directly or indirectly, from a rehabilitation contractor performing site cleanup activities..." under the preapproval program (Section 376.30711 (6) F.S.)
7. Please return the form with original signatures (copies cannot be accepted) to Mail Station 4540 in the Bureau of Petroleum Storage Systems at the letterhead address. If this form is not returned within 30 days or should you choose not to return it, then we will assume that you do not intend to designate a contractor or an alternative point of contact. If you choose not to designate a contractor, the Department will select one for you. If you have any questions, please call (850) 487-3299. Thank you for your cooperation.

Part 1-Real Property Owner/Responsible Party Identiflcation (all information Is required):
a. FDEP Facility ID\#: 098503086
b. Name of Real Property Owner or Responsible Party: The Pantry, Inc.
c. Please Indicate (see paragraph 3 above): Real Property Owner
d. Street Address of Real Property 2275 S. Suncoast Blvd. Homosassa, fl 34448
e. Current Business Name (if any): HLCHAMP \# 6184 dba Sprint (\#184)
f. Mailing Address for Real Property Owner or Responsible Party Identified in Part 1.6

8930 Western Way, Suite 4 Jacksonville, FL 32256
Phone: (904)464-7274

## CONTRACTOR DESIGNATION FORM (continued)

This is page two of a two-page form. Be sure to review and complete both pages.
Please read this entire form carefully. It contains important information regarding the cleanup of your site. * rat 2 - Contractor Designation (all information is required):

List the name, address and contact person for the firm you wish to designate to perform the necessary cleanup of petroleum contamination at the facility listed in Part 1.
a. Contractor Name: Environmental Consulting \& Technology
b. Contractor Address: 3701 NW 98 th St.

Gainesville, FL 32606
c. Contact Person at Contractor: Pam McElroy
d. Phone Number for Contact Person: (352) 332.0444

Part 3 -Real Property Owner or Responsible Party Designated Contact (this information is optional)
Use this section to designate an alternative point of contact to act on behalf of the person named in Part 1.b. This person will serve as your representative regarding the cleanup of your site and receive all further notices on your behalf. Do not list the contact person for the contractor. If you do not wish to designate an alternative point of contact, then leave this part blank.
a. Contact Name: Ms. Sandy Men
b. Contact Address: 8930 Western Way, Suite 4

Jacksonville, FL 32256
c. Contact Phone: (904)464-7274
d. Relationship of Contact to Real Property Owner or Responsible Party: \#Error

Part 4 - Certification by Real Property Owner or Responsible Party (all information is required): This part must be signed in the presence of a notary public.
By signing belowe you are certifying that you have read and understood all of the information on both pages of this form and that all of the baove information is true and correct to the best of your knowledge. The name in this part must match that listed in part 1.b.
a. FDEP Facility ID \# (must be the same as Part 1.a):
b. Name of Real Property Owner or Responsible Party:
c. Signature of person named above:
d. Title of person named above (if owner is a business)


-     -         -             -                 -                     - Notarization of Signature of Real Property Owner or Responsible Party (required)

State of FLORIDA
County of DUSVAL
Sworn to and subscribed before me by
Sandy metz $\qquad$ this 4 th day of OCTOBER 2001. Personally known (1)
Produced identification () Type of ID: $\qquad$ (if produced identification)

Notary's Signature
Notary's Public, State of $\qquad$ My Commission Expire $\quad 9 / 6 / 2002$ Commission Number (if applicable CC 270346
J. ELAINE WATSON

My Comm Exp. 9/6/2002 No. CC 770346 14 risconaly known 11 other I.D.

# THE PANTRY, INC. <br> Grour Cobra 

October 5, 2001
The Pantry, Inc.
Ms. Leila Shuffler
Bureau of Petroleum Storage Systems
Mail Station 4540
8930 Western Way, Sutte 4
Iacksonville, Florda 32256 904 464-7200

## 2600 Blair Stone Road

Tallahassee, FL 32399-2400
RE: Contractor Designation Form
Lil! Champ \# ${ }^{2} 184$
2275 S. Suncoast Blvd., Hcmosassa, FL 34448
FDEP Facility ID \#098503086
Dear Ms. Shuffler,
Enclosed please find an executed Contractor Designation Form (CDF) naming: Environmental Consulting \& Technology as the designated contractor for the ${ }^{*}$ above referenced site.

If you should have any questions, please contact me at (904) 464-7274 or at smetz@lil-champ.com

Respectfully,


Sandy Metz
Manager, Environmental Compliance Administration

SEM/pab
Enclosures (1)
cc: Pam McElroy, Environmental Consulting \& Technology Mark Sumner, Citrus County Health Department
Betty Sekimonyo, STB Environmental SEM correspondence file Site file

## Environmental Consulting \& Technology, Inc.

November 28, 2000

# D.ER. 

DEC O 12000
Ms. Leslie Pedigo
Southwest Districi Tampa
Florida Department of Environmental Protêction
Southwest District
3804 Coconut Palm Drive
Tampa, Florida 33619

## Re: Lil' Champ Food Store No. 184 <br> 2275 South Suncoast Blyd. <br> Homasassa, Citrus County, Florida 32649 <br> FDEP Facility I.D. No.: 098503086

Dear Ms. Pedigo:
Environmental Consulting \& Technology, Inc. (ECT), on behalf of Lil' Champ Food Stores, Inc., respectfully requests your assistance in the retraction of the December 29, 1998 Discharge Reporting Form (DRF) and the rescission of the March 27, 1995 Site Rehabilitation Completion Order (SRCO) for the above referenced site. This correspondence has been developed based upon Mr. Michael Bland's response to my initial e-mail to Mr. Lewis Cormman dated October 26, 2000. ECT has conducted a -thorough file review for this site and has determined that the site has three discharges as follows:

- March 12, 1992 - A county inspector discovered elevated vapor readings in a monitoring well(s) and a Discharge Notification Form was filed. The site was deemed eligible for reimbursement under FPLRIP on September 17, 1993. On March 27, 1995, the Florida Department of Environmental Protection (FDEP) approved a Contamination Assessment Report (CAR), a CAR Addendum and No Further Action (NFA) proposal and issued an SRCO.
- October 8, 1997 - Vapors were detected during an upgrade. Neither soil nor groundwater samples were collected for confirmatory laboratory analysis. This discharge was denied eligibility on October 20, 1997 because "...there is no indication that the discharge reported on October 8,1997 is not the same contamination that was discovered on March 12, 1992 and was determined to be eligible...". This discharge was combined with the March 12, 1992 discharge even though that particular discharge was awarded a SRCO in 1995.
- December 29, 1998 - Mr. Greg Self, then of ECT and on behalf of Lil' Champ Food Stores, filed this DRF based on the results of soil and groundwater analytics. ECT developed a report, dated February 1, 1999, documenting the results of field activities performed at the site. The report documented elevated levels of toluene, ethylbenzene and xylenes in the groundwater. On February 18, 1999; ECT submitted the results of tank tightness tests (passed) to Mr. Dave Chronister of Citrus County. The letter also requested that this discharge be retracted because the soil and groundwater contamination was associated with the previous discharge reported in 1992. ECT requested clarification on this matter again from Mr. Chronister in a letter dated July $18,1999$.

A thorough review of the files for the referenced site indicates that the SRCO was never rescinded for the first discharge. Based on the reason for the denial of eligibility for the second discharge, the SRCO should have been rescinded. Additionally, the contaminant concentration distribution in the groundwater analytical reports included in ECT's February 1, 1999 report are indicative of an older spill (elevated ethylbenzene and xylenes concentrations, no benzene or MTBE concentrations).

In December 1999, you submitted a Memorandum to Mr. Cornman indicating that this last discharge should not be retracted because this would allow a contaminated site to go unreported. ECT respectfully disagrees with this assessment since the historical information for this site indicates the SRCO should have been rescinded after the second discharge was reported in October 1997. It is ECT's professional opinion that the December 29, 1999 discharge be retracted and the March 27, 1995 SRCO be rescinded.

ECT greatly appreciates your attention to this matter. I have included copies of documentation referenced in this correspondence to ease the review of this site's file. If I can be of further assistance in your evaluation of this matter, please call me at (904) 2960544.

Sincerely,

## ENVIRONMENTAL CONSULTING \& TECHNOLOGY, INC.

Teren a. Sischer
Teresa A. Fischer
Project Manager
Attachments
cc: Mr. Michael Bland, P.G., Florida Department of Environmental Protection. 2600 Blair Stone Road, M.S. 4545, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-2400

Site No. 33 Hudson Tire (aka Palmer Tire \& Automotive) 1650 S. Suncoast Boulevard Homosassa, Florida FDEP I.D. No. 098733058

May 19, 1993

Mr. William Thornhill
West Coast Tire Inc.
14725 N. Florida Ave.
Tampa, Florida 33613
RE: Pollutant Storage Tank Closure Assessment

Dear Mr. Thornhill.
The pollutant storage tank closure assessment for the facility reference below was received on May 17.1993.

DER Facility 098733058
Thornhill Tire \& Auto
1650 S. Suncoast Blvd.
Homosassa, Florida 34448

Since no excessive contamination was found at the tank closure, there will be no further assessment required at this time.

If you have any questions, please call Fire Prevention at (904)7461335.


Fuel Tank Inspector
Citrus County Fire Prevention
cc: Mr. Dave Norris
Norris Tank \& Pump
9242 W. Melanie Lane
Crystal River, Florida 34428

RTS/jlb

## Noエ゙エア Tank \＆Pump services，Inc． 9242 W．Melanie Lane Crystal River，FL 32629

May 11， 1993

Citrus Co．Fire Prevention Bureau 1300 s ．Lecanto Hwy． Lecanto，FL 32661

Attention：Dick Sosna


Reference：
Palmers Goodyear
1650 s．Suncoast Blvd．
Homosassa，FL 34448
Dear Dick：
Enclosed you will find certified contractors form，tank disposal manifest，and oil waste manifest．

This letter is to also confirm your report that no visual signs of contamination was found during removal of the underground waste oil tank．

David H．Norris

## Underground Storage Tank Installation and Removal Form For Certified Contractors

Pollutant Storage Systern Specialty Contractors as defined in Section 489.113, Florida Statutes (Verified contractors as defined in Section 17.761.200. Florida Administrative Code) shall use this form to certify that the installation, replacement or removal of the storage tank system(s) located at the address listed below was performed in accordance with Department Reference Standards.

## General Facility Information

1. DER Facility Identification No:
$09 / 8733058$.
2. Facility Name:

PAl MEAS GoodyEAR

4. Owner Name:- Tho pa hill ILRE +Auto $\qquad$
5. Owner Address: $14725-N$
6. Number of Tanks:
a. Installed at this time $\qquad$ b. Removed at this time $\qquad$
7. Tanks) Manufactured by: Un f Now n
b.
8. Date Work Initialed: $\quad 5 / 3 / 93$
9. Date Work Completed:


## Underground Pollutant Tank Installation Checklist

Pit :aerify the completion of the following installation requirements by placing an $(X)$ in the appropriate box.

1. ...t tanks and piping are corrosion resistant and approved" for use by State and Federal Laws.
2. Excavation, backfill and compaction completed in accordance with NFPA (National Fire Protection Association) 30(87), API (American Petroleurn Institute) 1615, PEI (Petroleum Equipment Institute) RP 100-87 and the manufacturers' specifications.
3. Tanks and piping pretested and installed in accordance with NFPA 30(87), API 1615, PE/IAP100(87) and the manufacturers' specifications.
4. Steel tanks and piping are cathodically protected in accordance with NFPA 30(87). API 1632. UL (Underwriters Laboratory) 1746. STI (Steel! Tank Institute) R892-89 and the manufacturer's specifications.
5. Tanks and piping tested for tightness after installation in accordance with NFPA 30(87) and PEURP100-87.
6. Monitoring wells) or other leak detection devices installed and tested in accordance with Section 17.761.640, Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.)
7. Spill and overfill protection devices installed in accordance with Section 17-761.500. F.A.C.
8. Secondary containment installed for tanks and piping as applicable in accordance with Section $17-761.500$, F.A.C.
'lease Note: The numbers following the abbreviations (e.g. API 1615) are publication or specification numbers issued by these insiututions.

## Inderground Pollutant Tank Removal Checklist

1. Closure assessment performed in accordance with Section $17 \cdot 761.800$, F.A.C.
2. Underground tank removed and disposed of as specified in API 1604 in accordance with Section 17-761.800. F.A.C.

## Certification

aby certify and attest that I am familiar with the facility that is registered with the Florida Department of Environmental Regulation; that 10 the best of my knowledge and belief, the tank installation, replacement or removal al this facility was conducted in accordance with Chapter 489 and Section 376303, Florida Statutes and Chapter 17.761. Florida Administrative Code (and its adopted reference sources from publications and standards of the National Fire Protection Association (NFPA). the American Petroleurn Institute (API). the National Association of Corrosion Engineers (NACE). American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM): Petroleum Equipment Institute (PEI): Steel Tank Institute (STI). Underwriters Laboratory (UL); and the tank and integral piping manufacturers' specifications: and that the operations on the checklist were performed accordingly.

NORRIS TAM \& FELT SERVICE, INC.
9242 W. MELAHEL LANE
 (Type or Pining)


Certified Pollutant Tank Contractor Name
Pollutant Storage System Specialty Contractor License Number (PSSSC)


Type or Print)
Field Supervisor Narne


Field Supervisor Signature


The owner or operator: of the facility must register the tanks with the Department at least 10 days before the installation. The installer must submit this form no more than 30 days after the completion of installation to the Department of Environmental Regulation at the address printec at the top of page one

CRYSTAL RIVER, FL 34428
563-2447 1-800-932-3905
State Certificate \#PCC050776

TANK DISPOSAL MANIFEST
DATE: $-5 / 6 / 93$
1.) JOB LOCATION: $\qquad$
2.) TANK DESCRIPTION (NUMBER \& SITEL: $\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
3.) Delivered by: NoRRis. Tack + Pump SEN.
4.) DISPOSAL SITE:

5.) ACCEPTED BY (PERSON):
(COMPANY):


## CERTIFIED MANIFEST

MANIFEST/NVOICE\#
FDL984168609 OUT $\quad$ PCC046053



ill. DISCHARGE REPORTING 17-761.460.F.A.C.: Comments:

IV.

DISCHARGE RESPONSE:
Comments: $\qquad$
15. Free product present; (Explain in comments)
17. Free product being removed; 17-761.800 (3) (d) \& 17-761.820 (2)
16.
17.


Comments: TAGNK SLJOEE PUOMPED, NT DRUM FOR HALKINK N: VISUAL EVIDCNCR OF SOI CONTAMNATIOU
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
DEI

State of Florida
Department of Environmental Regulation Pollutant Storage Tank System Inspection Report Form

Facility: ID. \#: 098733058
Facility Name: Trtorwith Tire quvtocare
Facility Location:
Facility Contact:

$\frac{1}{3 x}$ Bi le


Phone: $285-2600$

Owner Contact: WiLL. Am TItandill Owner Change Date:
Latitude:

: Longitude: $\qquad$ Fac. Type:
C

 (2) TANK TO BE HANLLOD $6, \angle Z 3 / F O R$ SCRAP



| $\square$ Near Public Wells | $\square$ Repaired |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\square$ contaminated | $\square$ Upgraded |
| $\square$ complaint | $\square$ Bi st \& A\&F |
| $\square$ Acid Tanks | $\square$ Hazardous Materials |

DER District or Local Program CITRU Cuchuty Fear Prevention


Site No. 37 Ferman of Citrus County (aka Crystal Chevrolet) 1035 S. Suncoast Boulevard Crystal River, Florida
FDEP I.D. No. 098518705 EPA I.D. No. FLD981866478

## INC.

111 South Armenia Avenue

Mr. Dick Sosa
Citrus County Fire Prevention Bureau 1300 South Lecanto Highway
Lecanto, Florida 32661
RE: Results of Recent Groundwater Sampling, Crystal Chevrolet, 1101 South Suncoast Boulevard, Crystal River, Florida, FDEP FAC No. 098518705

Dear Mr. Sosa:
Pursuant to your response letter dated August 4, 1994, FGS Inc. (FGS) resampled permanent monitoring well MW-1 to evaluate current groundwater quality conditions in the m late vicinity of a former 6,000 -gallon gasoline UST. As you know, this UST was en. d from the ground in February, 1994. The results of the UST closure were submitted I July 1994.
;ampling was performed on September 16, 1994 by an FGS field technician in accordance rith FGS' FDEP-approved Comprehensive Quality Assurance Plan (CompQAP \#890395G). amples were placed on wet ice and transported to PC\&B Laboratories of Oviedo, Florida Florida DHRS Lab \#E83239) for analysis by EPA Method 602 (volatile organic aromatics).
he results of analytical testing did not indicate that dissolved petroleum-related compounds ere present above corresponding method detection limits. The groundwater sample elected from permanent monitoring well MW-1 on June 7, 1994 also did not detect the essence of petroleum-related compounds above corresponding method detection limits. ralytical results from the September 16, 1994 sampling event are summarized in Table 1: re laboratory data package is also provided.

Mr. Dick Sosna
Citrus County Fire Prevention Bureau
October 20, 1994
Page 2

Based on the results of groundwater testing from MW-1, FGS recommends that a No Further Action Proposal (NFAP) be approved for this site. If you have any questions or require additional information, please do not hesitate to contact me at (813) 874-8204.

Sincerely,
FGS, Inc.
FGS, Inc.
Andrew B. Long, P.G.
Project Manager
attachment
cc: Steven A. Uiterwyk - Ferman Motor Car Company
SUMMARY OF GROUNDWATER ANALYTICAL RESULTS

| Chemical Compound | Guldaneo conne intition OS Satastandarm (agh) | Methon Deteonish 4init ( 1924 |  | ECOM16C 9916994 |  (9) 5 (94) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Benzene | $1.0^{(0)}$ | 1.0 | $<1.0$ | $<1.0$ | <1.0 |
| Ethylbenzene | $2.0{ }^{(0)}$ | 1.0 | $<1.0$ | $<1.0$ | $<1.0$ |
| Toluene | $24.0{ }^{(0)}$ | 1.0 | <1.0 | $<1.0$ | <1.0 |
| Total Xylenes | $50.0{ }^{(0)}$ | 1.0 | <1.0 | $<1.0$ | <1.0 |
| Total VOAs | $50.0{ }^{(c)}$ | 1.0 | <1.0 | <1.0 | <1.0 |
| MTBE | $50.0{ }^{\text {c }}$ | 5.0 | < 5.0 | <5.0 | $<5.0$ |

NOTES: All concentrations reported in micrograms per liter ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ )
EQ-916C is an equipment blank

[^14]PC\&B Environmental Laboratories, Inc.

## 210 Park Road, Oviedo, Florida 32765

Phone: 407-359-7194 Fax: 407-359-7197

September 20, 1994

Andrew Long
FGS, INC.
111 South Armenia Avenue
Tampa, FL 33609

Dear Mr. Long:

Enclosed are the results of the analysis of your samples received September 17, 1994.
Our laboratory is certified by the Florida DHRS (Lab \#E83239) and operates under an FDER approved Comprehensive Quality Assurance Plan (\#900134G). All data were determined in accordance with published procedures (EPA-600/4-79-020), Methods for Chemical Analysis of Water and Wastes, Revised March 1983 and/or Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater 17th Edition 1989 and/or Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste (EPA-SW-846, Revised November 1989), unless stated otherwise in our CompQAPP under method modifications.

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to give me a call.

Sincerely,


Declan Cowiey Laboratory Director


# PC $\& B$ Environmental Laboratories, Inc. 

## 210 Park Road, Oviedo, Florida 32765

Phone: 407-359-7194 Fax: 407-359-7197

September 20, 1994

| CLIENT: | FGS, INC. $\quad$ CONTACT: Andrew Long |
| ---: | :--- |
|  | lil South Armenia Avenue |
|  | Tampa, Fl 33609 |

PROJECT NAME: Crystal Chevy PROJECT NUMBER: G94-480.15

REFERENCE: Work Order Number 9409166

| Lab Sample <br> Number | Matrix | Client ID | Date/Time <br> Sampled |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $9409166-01$ | Water | MW-1 | $09-16-94$ | 1511 |
| $9409166-02$ | Water | RB-916C | $09-16-94$ | 1457 |
| $9409166-03$ | Water | EQ-916C | $09-16-94$ | 1501 |
| $9409166-04$ | Water | Trip | NA | NA |

Parameters
2 EPA 602 Volatile Organics


| cinvironmental La <br> J Park Road <br> oviedo Fl 32765 <br> PHONE : 407-359-7194 |  | VOLATILE AROHATICS |  | CLIENT NAME : fGS, inc. <br> PROJECT NAME : CRYSTAL CHEVY <br> PROJECT NUMBER : G94-480.15 <br> DATE RECEIVED : 09-17-94 <br> PROTOCOL : EPA 624 MODIFIED |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1-h Reference Number | 9409166-1 | 9409166-3 | 9409166-4 |  |
| nt Sample 10 | MW-1 | EQ-916C | TRIP BLANK |  |
| ampled | 09-16-94 | 09-16-94 | 09-16-94 |  |
| Date Extracted | N/A | N/A | N/A |  |
| Date Analyzed | 09-19-94 | 09-19-94 | 09-19-94 |  |
| Confirmed | gcms | GCMS | GCMS |  |
| Matrix | HATER | WATER | HATER |  |
| Benzene | 1.0 U | 1.0 U | 1.0 U |  |
| Toluene | 1.0 U | 1.0 U | 1.0 u |  |
| Ethylbenzene | 1.0 U | 1.0 U | 1.0 U |  |
| chlorobenzene | 1.0 U | 1.0 U | 1.0 U |  |
| $m+\mathrm{p}$-xylenes | 1.0 U | 1.0 U | 1.0 U |  |
| o-xylene | 1.0 U | 1.0 U | 1.0 U |  |
| 1,3-Dichlorobenzene | 1.0 U | 1.0 U | 1.0 U |  |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | 1.0 U | 1.0 U | 1.0 U |  |
| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | 1.0 U | 1.0 U | 1.0 U |  |
| MTBE | 5.0 U | 5.0 U | 5.0 u |  |

```
Fl 32765
```

mVironmental Laboratories, Inc.
PROJECT NUMBER : G94-480.15
DATE RECEIVED : 09-17-94
PROTOCOL : EPA 624 MODIFIED

| Result Units | $u g / l$ | ug $/ l$ | $u g / l$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| \% Moisture | NA | NA | NA |
| Dilution Factor | 1 | 1 | 1 |

$U=$ indicates the compound was analysed for, but not detected at the specified value.
CompQap \#900134G/E83239/83353

REVIEWED BY :


# PC\&B Environmental Laboratories, Inc. <br> VOLATILE ORGANICS 

## MATRIX SPIRE RESULTS

```
MATRIX : WATER
ANALYSIS DATE : 09-19-94
```

| COMPOUND | AMOUNT <br> SPIKED | SAMPLE <br> RESULT | NS <br> RESULT | MS \% <br> RECOVERY |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene |  |  |  |  |
| Trichloroethene | 50.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 100 |
| Benzene | 50.0 | 0.0 | 39.0 | 78 |
| Toluene | 50.0 | 0.0 | 56.0 | 112 |
| Chlorobenzene | 50.0 | 0.0 | 39.0 | 78 |
|  | 50.0 | 0.0 | 48.0 | 96 |

COMMENTS :

MATRIX SPIKE QUALITY CONTROL LIMITS

|  | HATER |  |  | SOIL |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | LOWER | UPPER | RPD | LOLIER | UPPER | RPD |
| 1, 1 -Dichloroethene | 61 | 145 | 14 | 59 | 172 | $22 \mid$ |
| Trichloroethene | 71 | 120 | 14 | 62 | 137 | $24 \mid$ |
| Benzene | 76 | 127 | 11 | 66 | 142 | $21 \mid$ |
| Toluene | 76 | 125 | 13 | 59 | 139 | $21 \mid$ |
| Chlorobenzene | 75 | 130 | 13 | 60 | 133 | $21 \mid$ |



$\frac{T^{10}}{08890}$

Site No. 39 Circle K \#7489 400 S. Suncoast Boulevard Crystal River, Florida FDEP I.D. No. 098503167 EPA I.D. No. FLD984254144

Facility ID\#: 8503167
Name: Circle K \#7489
400 S Suncoast Blvd
Crystal River, FL 32629-5499
Contact: Steve Belin
Phone: 813-689-8161

District: SWD
County: Citrus
Type: A-Retail Station
Status: Open
Latitude: 28:51:22.0000
Longitude: 82:34:49.0000
LL Method: AGPS-Autonomous GPS

Account Owner: Circle K Stores Inc


| 1 | 10000 Unleaded Gas | $09 / 01 / 1984$ | UNDER | Removed |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | 10000 Unleaded Gas | $09 / 01 / 1984$ | UNDER | Removed |
| 3 | 10000 Unleaded Gas | $09 / 01 / 1984$ | UNDER | Removed |
| 4 | 10000 Vehicular Diesel | $09 / 01 / 1984$ | UNDER | Removed |

***Note:
Construction, Piping, and Monitoring Info not shown for CLOSED tanks (Status A: Closed in Place, B: Removed from the site).


Twin Towers Office Bldg. - 2600 Blair Stone Road © Tallahassee, rlórida 32399-2400
Division of Waste Management
Bureau of Petroleum Storage Systems

Storage Tank Facility Compliance Inspection Report

Facility ID 8503167 County 09 CITRUS
Facility Name $\qquad$ Latitude 2805 (22".

Longitude $\qquad$ L/L Method A- Gps

| Check box to identify type of inspection performed. Update" latitude/longitude as necessary. <br> Provide LatLong Determination Method. "Map", "AGPS" (Magellan), "GGPS" (Trimble)). <br> Provide the count of USTs and/or ASTr reviewed during this inspection | \# USTs <br> Inspected | ATS | \# ATS <br> Inspected |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Compliance Inspection (Annual) | TAI | x | Installation Inspection | TIN |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Compliance Inspection (DRF received) | TCDI |  | Closure Inspection | TXI |  |
| Compliance Inspection (Complaint received) | CPI |  | Compliance Re-Inspection | TR |  |
| Discharge Evaluation ("short form") | TDI |  | ** Record the results of the TDI in a Discharge Project |  |  |

- "Code" in block below corresponds to the Rule Cite; represents a Data Entry Code for ease of electronic data recording of inspection results.


Financial Responsibility - Verify owner's coverage: Select Insurance or Other, and provide Mechanism, if appropriate.
$\qquad$ Insurance Carrier: $\qquad$ Effective Date: $\qquad$ Expiration Date: $\qquad$ $\xrightarrow{X}$ Other Coverage meeting federal financial responsibility requirements. Mechanism: $\qquad$ $S e 1 f$
$\qquad$ None

Based upon the inspection results and information provided by the omnerfoperator this facility appears to meet the requirements of Flondosaminstrative code $62-761 \%$
A re inspection will be scheduled on or after
O No

pe ct
Inspection Date $\qquad$ $9 / 9 / 00$

Facility Type A-RETA!L
$\qquad$ $\square$

A- Gps
cility Nam: CiRcle 7489 Facility m: $8 \operatorname{So3} 167$ Date: 9/17/00
Pe Description / Inspector's Comments
comments
2000-2001 placard and RDRC are on display in facility,
The sensors in tank interstice and sip Sumps were checked 12/12/99 by 444 Tank test ens. Due for retest $12 / 12 / 00$

Regular UL tint hus Tightness Tested 10-1-99 by A4A tank testers. Lines and lect detectors were all tested 10-1-99 by $14 \Delta$ Tank Testers:
$L(D$ cue due for retest i0/1/2000
Conditions noted
All dispensed lines were dir.
premium sumpis dry
Reg $u$ sump has $\approx 5-6$ inches of lizud hue sump pumped out and prouide records of its propel disposal. 1 .

Site No. 40 H\& H Motors (NationsBank) 400 US Highway 19 S.

Crystal River, Florida FDEP I.D. No. 098732090

Facility ID\#: 8732090
Name: H\&H Motors Inc
400 Hwy 19 S
Crystal River, FL 32629-4825
Contact: Head, James H
Phone: 904-795-3174

District: SWD
County: Citrus
Type: C-Fuel User/Non-Retail
Status: Closed
Latitude: 28:52:44.0000
Longitude: 82:34:50.0000
LL Method: UNVR-Unverified

Account Owner: Dunbar, Barbara

| Tank | Size | Content | Installed | Placement | Status | Construction | Piping |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\#$ | 1000 | Unleaded Gas | $09 / 01 / 1980$ | UNDER | Removed |  |  |

## ***Note:

## Construction, Piping, and Monitoring Info not shown for CLOSED tanks (Status A: Closed in Place, B: Removed from the site).

 Bureau of Petroleum Storage Systems

Storage Tank Facility Query

Facility ID\#: 8732090
Name: H\&H Motors Inc
400 Hwy 19 S
Crystal River, FL 32629-4825
Contact: Head, James H
Phone: 904-795-3174

District: SWD
County: Citrus
Type: C-Fuel User/Non-Retail
Status: Closed
Latitude: 28:52:44.0000
Longitude: 82:34:50.0000
LL Method: UNVR-Unverified

Account Owner: Dunbar, Barbara

| Tank | Size | Content | Installed | Placement | Status | Construction | Piping |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\#$ |  |  | Monito |  |  |  |  |

***Note:
Construction, Piping, and Monitoring Info not shown for CLOSED tanks (Status A: Closed in Place, B: Removed from the site).

June 22, 1992

Mr. James H. Head
$\mathrm{H} \& \mathrm{H}$ Motors, Inc. 400 Hwy. 19 South
Crystal River, Florida 32625-4825
Ref. Fac. \# 098732090
H \& I Motors, Inc.

Dcar Mr. Head,
Attached are the 17-761 Florida Administrative Code Compliance inspection results for the above named facility. Our inspector did not indicate violations of Chapter 17-761, F.A.C. at the time of his inspection. We appreciate your firm's attention regarding environmental regulations, for pollutant storage tank system. Also please see comments on front page of inspection report.
'f you have any questions concerning this matter, feel free to call upon me.

Sincerely,

Richard T. Sosna
Fuel Tank Inspector
Citrus County Fire Prevention

R'TS/jf
cc: Mr. Ken Smith
Remdial Contractors Group
P.O. Box 255

Homosassa, Florida 32687

State of Florida
Department of Environmental Regulation Pollutant Storage Tank System Inspection Report Form

Facility: ID. \#: 098732090
County: CITRUS
Facility Name: $H \neq \mathrm{H}$ motors, 1 NC

Owner:


Latitude: $-58: 52=44^{\prime N}$. Longitude:
Fac. Type: $\qquad$

| Tank \# | Size | Contents | Date <br> Installed | Under or <br> Above | Tank <br> Type | Integral <br> Piping | Monitoring <br> System | Tank <br> Status |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2000 | $B$ | $6 / 80$ | $U$ | $C$ | iS | NONA | TS |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

moments: (0) TANK Pumper out c/ylsp (UBABCRNT
 (90\%) 621-1663 P.i.Bix 255 Htomusos, FL. 32687

By HABNR of Spin nay 6/19/9-


DEB-DTStrict or Local Program C,TRJS County Fir a PreveNTION


## UNDERGROUND STORAGE TANK <br> CLOSURE INSPECTION FORM

1. REGISTRATION AND NOTIFICATION $17-761.400 \& 450$ FAC: Comments:

2. Proper notification made 30 days prior to tanks) closure; .450 (1) (a)
3. Proper notice given 24 hours prior to storage tank (s) closure, 450 (4)


II. CLOSURE PROCEDURES/STATUS: 17.761 .800 Comments:
4. Certified contractor performed the tank removal(s), 740 (2)
5. Storage tank (s) properly closed and removed from the site; (2) (d)
6. Storage tank (s) properly closed and filled in place, (2) (d)
7. Storage tank (s) properly closed within 90 days of discovery; (2) (a)
8. All liquid \& sludge removed from the tank (s) ( 2 ) (d)
9. Storage tanks properly purged or inerted prior to transport; (2) (d)
10. All oping capped and/or removed;
11. All monitoring wells left in place for contamination assessment purposes; (2) (f)
12. Ail monitoring wells have been properly abandoned, 800 (2) (f)
13. A closure assessment was properly performed; . 800 (3),


14. 

5
15.

IV. DISCHARGE RESPONSE: Comments:

16. Free product present; (Explain in comments)
17. Free product being removed; $17-761.800$ (3) (d) \& 17-761.820 (2)
18. 

(

17. 

No Sole Contamination
WART SAMBAR DAIKON FOR LAB ANALUSLJ

STATE UNDERGROUND PETROLEUM ENVIRONMENTAL RESPONSE S.U.P.E.R. ACT SITE INVESTIGATION
I. Bite Identification

Track Number e-diaits, first 2 digits are county \% or
Facility Number, (9-diaits) $0 \rightarrow-8 \% 320 \%$ C
PIIRP site $\qquad$ ATRP Site $\qquad$ HRS CPHU Initiated $\qquad$ (If the site has no DEE Early detection Incentive track number, record the DER Storage rank Imentory facility number and check appropriate type of investigation- petroleum liability and Insurance Restoration Program, Abandoned Ink Esszoration program, or HRS county public Health Unit)

Business/Site Name

II. Bite Vicinity

Number of large public wells within $1 / 2$ mile
(Potable will procicing : 100.000 Gallons Per Day)
Number of private or small public wells whin $1 / 4$ mile
(Amy potable will producing <100,C5O GFO)
Usage of small public weil(s) $\qquad$

Number of irrigation water wells win $1 / 2$ mile
Surface water used for potable purposes whin $1 \neq$ mile (Answer yes or <compat>No, include congas direction (eq. WH, SSE] Mes)
III. Mapping

Initial investigation site map attached

locates site and all wells sampled with a legend of milos Follow-up investigation site map attached or locates all wells sampled that have not been previously mapiodi):
$\qquad$ No $\qquad$
 hRS EHVIROMMEMTAL EPIDEALOLOGY (HSEEII IF OUAD POINT RESOLUTIOM IS POOR'; ALSO INCLUDE A CITY STREET MAP.



## IMAGE QUALITY

AS YOU REVIEW THE NEXT GROUP OF IMAGES, PLEASE NOTE THAT THE ORIGINAL DOCUMENTS WERE OF POOR QUALITY.

Site No. 41 Edward Jones Investments (aka Chevron - Raddie Jones) 216 S. Suncoast Boulevard Crystal River, Florida FDEP I.D. No. 098518721

```
March E4, 1992
Mr. Raddie Jones
Janes Resturant
215 S. Suncoast Elvd.
Crystal River, Florida 马262?
REf.Fac. ## 0. FS1日7モ1
    Chevron - Raddie Jones
Dear Mi. Jone,
Attached are the 17-7もl Flarida Fdministrative Cude Gomplianae
inspection results for th= \Xituve nemen fミcility. Cur in=fector
```



```
of トis imscection. be appreciate your firm`\Xi attention regerdir:g
```



```
Also ple\Xise Eea camments on frorit pege of irispectiom report.
|f you Have any questions concornimo this-matter: fesl free to
cell upon me.
```

今incerき1y，


Richard T．Sosa
Fuel！TEnt Inspector
Citrus County Fire Prevention

RTG／jf

Attachments：Storage Tart：FiEgistration Form

QUNTY: OTTBE




EF: Ghath Br B





FAB FYPG RETEX STMTMA


To witen Closuer foporis user BEquneo

PEImBUEJEmENT UNOFED - DELTAENUR:UMENTAL
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\rightarrow$ - F.

/arencer
—nurpar



- Chmbmate - bghate
- Donklam
… RED TAME
ARAB

CITRUS COUNTH FIRE PREUENTIAN
 $\qquad$
TH Dumar a: wha my


Nept Engpectidi . NOT PEQUIDES
TANKS REMOVED


4

site wane: Chorion (Baddie You's
Qeimbur sement Project Manager: Mac Grow $\Rightarrow$ Hotateatoc tom

Report (Check applicable report name)



Generic 26 - RAP Approval Order plus cost estimate Generic 27,28 - Site completion order
Generic 27 - No Further Action Order
Generic 25 - Monitoring only Approval Order
Generic 24 - CAR/RAP Option Approval for Sites Switching to State cleanup

$\frac{8 / 18}{8 / i}$ Supervisor Review
$\frac{8 / 21 / 89 \text { Division Dictator Review }}{8 / 22}$ Signature
Div
$8 / 22$ Send Approval order (ce: district office, local program)
8/2. "report Data Entry Sheet
-.....--
DI...


Site No. 43 National Guard Armory 8551 W. Seven Rivers Drive Crystal River, Florida FDEP I.D. No. 098943703 EPA I.D. No. FLD982132193

# Bi and of County Co missioners Department of Public Safety 

April 1, 1998
National Guard Armory
P.O. Box 1008

St. Augustine, Fl. 32085-100

Ref. Fac. 098943703
National Guard Armory
8551 W. Seven Rivers Drive
Crystal River, Fl. 34429

Dear Mr. Grimes:

On $3 / 27 / 98$ a representative of the Department of Public Safety conducted a compliance inspection at the above referenced facility. This inspection was conducted under the authority of Chapter 376, Section 303, Florida Statutes, and is designed to determine the compliance status of the facility with regard to Chapter 62-761 Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C), which regulate underground stationary storage tank systems. A copy of the completed inspection form is attached.

Should you have any questions, please contact me at (352) 726-1400.
Sincerely,


David E. Chronister
Environmental Specialist III
Department of Public Safety

## DEC/bf

Department of Environmental ?gulation

## Pollutant Storage Tank System Inspection Report Form

Fir ty: ID. \#: $\frac{098943703}{\text { NATONAL GuARD ARMORY }}$ County: Citrus

Facility Contact: STELIN BAMBEM Phone: (352) 295-0362

 Owner Contact: Dpi B. Games Owner Change Date:


| Tank $m$ | Size | Contents | Date <br> Installed | Under or <br> Above | Tank <br> Type | Integral <br> piping | Monitoring <br> System | Tank <br> Status |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 4000 | 0 | $3 / 88$ | 0 | AE | $C$ | $B$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

 TRaitors Pin, Ciosunt ASSEssment Foin, Closure Rsfont


DER District or Local Program CAmus County Pubic SAFEry-Sprace Tankas Propman 1) mum E. CMRowistm


David Grimes
Contact Name (Print):


[^15]
## UNDERGROUND STORAGE TANK <br> CLOSURE INSPECTION FORM

REGISTRATION AND NOTIFICATION $17-761.400 \& 450$ FAC: Comments:


III. DISCHARGE REPORTING 17-761.460, F.A.C.: Comments:
14. Evidence of contamination or a discharge reported (Explain in comments)
460 (1), (2) and (3)
15. Discharge Reporting Form (DRF) submitted; 460 (2)

## 

16. Free product present; (Explain in comments)
17. Free product being removed; 17-761.800 (3) (d) \& 17-761.820 (2)


Comments: F.E.S. Psemeons rumour of UST. Thu perm in coco cord, -wo



Site No. 46 Citrus County - Crystal Aero Group 882 Linburgh Drive Crystal River, Florida FDEP I.D. No. 098503043

|  Southwest District <br> Linwion Cluless 3804 Coconut Palm Drive <br> Governor Tampa, Florida 33619 |  | Virginia B. Wecherell Secretary |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| JUN 071995 |  |  |
| Mr. Gary H. Kuhl, P.E., Director |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| 1300 South Lecanto Highway |  |  |
| Post office Box 167 BUREAU OF WASTE |  |  |
| Lecanto, FL 32661 ( |  |  |
|  | Citrus County-Cryatal Aero Group | JNN 121995 |
|  | Crystal River Alrport |  |
|  | 882 North Lindbergh Drive | TECHNICAL REVEW |
|  | Crystal River, citrus County, Florida | - |
|  | DEP Faodilty ID \#098503043 |  |
|  | OGC Case /93-4646 |  |

Dear Mr. Kuhl:
Michael Bland of the Bureau of Waste Cleanup has reviewed the Contamination Assessment Report (CAR) Addendum and No Further Action Proposal (NFAP) dated April 19, 1995 (received April 21, 1995) submitted by EnviroAssessments, Inc. for this site. Documentation submitted with the NFAP confirms that criteria set forth in Section 62-770.630(3), Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.), have been met. The NFAP is hereby incorporated by reference in this order. Therefore, you are released from any further obligation to conduct site rehabilitation at the site, except as set forth below.

If a subsequent discharge of petroleum or petroleum product occurs at the site, the Department may require site rehabilitation in order to reduce contaminant concentrations to the levels approved through review of the NFAP or otherwise allowed by Chapter 62-770, F.A.C.

Additionally, you are required to properly abandon all monitoring wells except compliance wells required by chapter 62761, F.A.C., for release detection. The wells must be abandoned in accordance with the requirements of Rule 62-532.500(4), F.A.C.

Persons whose substantial interests are affected by this site Rehabilitation Completion Order have a right to challenge the department's decision. Such a challenge may include filing a petition for an administrative determination (hearing) as described in the following paragraphs. However, pursuant to Chapter 62-103, F.A.C., you may request an extension of time to file the Petition. All requests for extensions of time or petitions for administrative determinations must be filed

Mr. Gary W. Kuhl, P.E., Director Citrus County Public Works
directly with the Department's office of General Counsel at the address aiyen below within twenty-one (21) days of recejpt of this notice (do not send them to the Bureau of Waste cleanup).

Notwithstanding the above, a person whose substantial interests are affected by this site Rehabilitation completion Order may petition for an administrative proceeding (hearing) in accordance with Section 120.57, Florida Statuter (F.S.). The petition must contain the information set forth below and must be filed (received) in the office of General counsel of the Department at 2600 Blair Stone Road, Tallahassee, Florida 323992400, within twenty-one (21) days of receipt of this notice. Failure to file a petition within this time period shall constitute a waiver of any right such persons have to request an administrative determination (hearing) pursuant to section 120.57. F.S.

The Petition shall contain the following information:
(a) The name, address, and telephone number of each petitioner, the Department file number (DEP facility number), and the name and address of the facility;
(b) A statement of how and when each petitioner received notice of the Department's action or proposed action;
(c) A statement of how each petitioner's substantial interests are affected by the Department's action or proposed action;
(d) A statement of the material facts disputed by each petitioner, if any;
(a) A statement of facts which each petitioner contends warrant reversal or modification of the Department's action or proposed action;
(f) A statement of which rules or statutes each petitioner contends required reversal or modification of the Department's action or proposed action; and
(g) A statement of the relief sought by each petitioner, stating precisely the action each petitioner wants the Department to take with respect to the Department's action or proposed action.

This Site Rehabilitation Completion Order is final and effective on the date of recelpt of this order unless a petition (or time extension) is filed in accordance with the preceding paragraph. Upon the timely filing of the petition, this order will not be effective until further order of the Department.

When the order is final, any party to the order has the right to seek judicial review of the order pursuant to section 120.68, F.S., by filing of a Notice of Appeal pursuant to Rule 9.110, Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure, with the clerk of the Department in the Office of General Counsel, 2600 Blair Stone Road, Tallahassee, florida 32399-2400; and by filing a copy of the Notice of Appeal, accompanied by the appilicable filing fees, with the appropriate District court of Appeal. The Notice of

Mr. Gary W. Kuhl, P.E., Director citrus County Public Works

Appeal must be filed within thirty (30) days from the date the Final Order is filed with the clerk of the Department.

Please send a copy of the approved CAR document (s) to Mr. Ken Weber of the Southwest Florida Water Management District within thirty (30) days of receiving this Site Rehabilitation Completion Order.

The DEP Facility Number for this site is 098503043. Please use this identification on all future correspondence with the Department.

Any questions you may have on the technical aspects of this
Site Rehabilitation Completion Order should be directed to Laurel culbreth at (813) 744-6100, ext. 427 or Michael Bland at (904) 921-9986. Contact with the above named person does not constitute a petition for administrative determination.
sincerely,
Weer

fー~Richard D. Garrity, Ph.D.
Director of District Management
RDG/lcp
Cc: Anne M. Miller, EnviroAssessments, Inc.
citrus County Fire Prevention Bureau
Michael Bland, FDEP-BWC
Laurel Culbreth, FDEP-SWD
Maura Sweeney, FDEP-SWD

# FILE COPY <br> Florida Department of $Y$ <br> Memorandum <br> Environmental Protection 

| T0: | Laurel Culbreth, Southwest District office |
| :---: | :---: |
| FROM: | Michael J. Bland, Technical Review Section mPB Bureau of Waste Cleanup |
| Date: | May 30, 1995 |
| Suaject: | Citrus County - Crystal Aero Group Crystal River Airport |
|  | 882 North Lindbergh Drive |
|  | Crystal River, Citrus County |

I have completed the review of the contamination Assessment Report (CAR) Addendum and No Further Action Proposal (NFAP),
dated April 19, 1995 (received April 24,1995 [received April 21, 1995 at the Southwest District]), prepared and submitted by
EnviroAssessments, for this site and recommend that the CAR be approved and a No Further Action order issued to the responsible party.
If you should have any questions concerning this review, please contact me at 291-9986.

Site No. 47 Citrus County Public Works - Airport 882 Lindbergh Drive Crystal River, Florida
FDEP I.D. No. 098945469

## Storage Tank Facility Compliance Inspection Report

## Facility ID 8945469 <br> County OG CTRUS

Facility Name CITRUS CNTY public works ApT.
Facility Type I County
Latitude $28^{\circ} 5 \alpha^{\prime} 17 "$ Longitude $52^{\circ} 34^{\prime} 35^{\prime}$
Check box for type of inspection performed and attach appropriate forms). Provide or correct latitudeЛongitude when appropriate.


Rule Cite
Description/ Inspector's Comments

|  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

Financial Responsibility - Verify owner's coverage. Select Insurance or Other, and provide Mechanism, if appropriate.Insurance Carrier: $\qquad$ Effective Date: $\qquad$ Expiration Date: $\qquad$
$x$
Other Coverage meeting federal financial responsibility requirements. Mechanism: $\qquad$ Self
$\qquad$ None

Based upon the inspection results and information provided by the owner/operator, this facility appears to meet the reguirereents of Florida
 A re-inspection will be scheduled'on or after. + days to verify correction of the non-compliance items noted.

CITRUS ENUICONMENTAL HEALTH
Storage Tank Program Office
E.MクAKK SuMnER inspector Name - Please Print



Florida. Department of Environ al Protection © Bureau of Petroleun. borage Systems
Storage Tank Facility Compliance Inspection Repent
Facility Naméfrrus Gouty public WorkS Facility m: 8945469 Date: $7 / 6 / 0 /$

Ruse Cite

data is current as of: 21-JUN-2001

## Bureau of Petroleum Storage Systems Facility Inspection Cover Page

## Facility Information

ID\#: 8945469
Name: CITRUS CNTY-PUBLIC WORKS AIRPORT
District: SWD 822 N Lingberg Dr Crystal River, FL
$\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { Contact: John Crump Public Works } \\ \text { Phone: } 352-527-7626\end{array}\right\}$ County: Citrus
Type: County Government
Status: Open
Latitude: 28:52:17.0000
Longitude: $82: 34: 35.0000\} \mathrm{cms}$
Method: AGPS
Account Owner Information
Name: Citrus Cnty Dept Of Public Works
Po Box 215
Lecanto, FL 34460
Phone: 352-746-6868
Tank Owner Information
Name: Citrus Cnty Dept Of Public Works
Po Box 215
Lecanto, FL 34460
Phone: 352-746-6868

***Note: Construction, Piping, and Monitoring Info not shown for CLOSED tanks (Status of A, B , or D).

No OPEN violations found!
ost Recent Insurance Document


# Sump Replacement Closure Summary for <br> Citrus County Crystal River Airport <br> Facility ID \#098945469 



Prepared for:
Citrus County Public Works
1300 S Lecanto Highway
Lepanto, FL 32661-9014

Prepared by:


Tampa Bay Engineering, Inc.
18167 US 19 North, Suite 550
Clearwater, FL 33764

May 1999
TBE Project No. 00084-001-01
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## Section 1

INTRODUCTION \& BACKGROUND

This report summarizes fuel storage system upgrades and assessment activities at Citrus County's Crystal River Airport. Work was conducted by TBE and Norris \& Samon Pump Service, Inc. in March-April 1999.

The site is currently occupied by the Crystal River Airport which is owned and operated by Citrus County. Two storage tanks are located on site as summarized below:

| Contents, | Above/Below Ground | Size |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unleaded Gas | Below Ground | 10,000 gallon |
| Diesel | Below Ground | 10,000 gallon |

All assessment activities were performed by TBE personnel using procedures outlined in TBE's Comprehensive Quality Assurance Plan (CompQAP) No. 920334G and FDEP's Storage Tank System Closure Assessment Requirements, revised April 1998.

## Section 2

## SYSTEM UPGRADE AND ASSESSMENT ACTIVITIES

## System Upgrades

System upgrades included the following:
(1) Overfill prevention valves were installed within the existing tank fill risers. Modifications were made to the risers and drop tubes to accommodate the installation of the valves.
(2) Portions of the concrete pad and backfill were removed to install tank sumps at both USTs. Environ tank sumps were then installed around the submersible turbine pumps (STP's) and the excavation area was restored with clean excavated backfill. New manhole rings and covers were installed above the two tank sumps and the concrete pad was restored.
(3) The existing mechanical line leak detectors at each submersible pump were removed and replaced with Veeder Root electronic line leak detectors. Veeder Root Mag 1 tank probes were installed within the existing risers. The existing Gasboy was then upgraded to accommodate the Veeder Root systems.

## Monitoring Well Abandonment

Four existing monitoring wells are scheduled to be properly closed with grout this month.

## Soil Assessment

During installation of the tank sumps, TBE personnel collected pairs of soil samples next to each submersible pump for Organic Vapor Analysis (OVA) screening. Each soil sample was transferred to a 16 -ounce mason jar, covered with aluminum foil, and screened with a Foxboro Model 128 GC Organic Vapor Analyzer (OVA), equipped with a flame-ionization detector. Petroleum-related OVA concentrations were then computed as the difference between the total OVA concentration and OVA concentration collected with a charcoal filtered probe. As shown in Appendix A, OVA concentrations up to 25 parts per million (ppm) were found in the area of the unleaded UST.

One soil sample from the unleaded gasoline tank sump excavation area with the highest OVA reading was collected and transported along with custody documentation to Savannah Laboratories for analysis per EPA methods 8021,8310 , and FL-PRO. Results are summarized below and laboratory reports included in Appendix C.

## Soil Analysis Summary Sample Date: March 30, 1999

| Constituent | Units: | Detect Limit | Soli Cleanup, Targef Levels* | Unleaded UST |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Benzene | ug/kg | 5.2 | 7 | ND |
| Ethylbenzene | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 5.2 | 400 | ND |
| Toluene | ug/kg | 5.2 | 400 | ND |
| Total Xylenes | ug/kg | 5.2 | 300 | ND |
| MTBE | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 52 | 200 | ND |
| Acenaphthene | ug/kg | 54 | 4,000 | ND |
| Acenaphthylene | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 22 | 22,000 | ND |
| Anthracene | ug/kg | 4.3 | 2,000,000 | ND |
| Benzo(a)anthracene | ug/kg | 4.0 | 1,400 | 9.6 |
| Benzo(a)pyrene | ug/kg | 4.0 | 100 | 13 |
| Benzo(b)fluoranthene | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 4.0 | 1,400 | 16 |
| Benzo(g, h, i)perylene | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 11 | 2,300,000 | ND |
| Benzo(k)fluoranthene | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 4.0 | 15,000 | 6.4 |
| Chrysene | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 4.0 | 80,000 | 11 |
| Dibenzo(a,h)antluracene | ug/kg | 11 | 100 | ND |
| Fluoranthene | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 10 | 550,000 | 21 |
| Fluorene | ug/kg | 11 | 87,000 | ND |
| Indeno(1,2,3-c,d)pyreme | ug/kg | 10 | 1,500 | 12 |
| Naphthalene | ug/kg | 22 | 1,000 | ND |
| Phenanthrene | ug/kg | 4.0 | 120,000 | 12 |
| Pyrene | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 10 | 570,000 | 19 |
| TRPH | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 12 | 340 | ND |

$u g / l=$ micrograms per liter $(p p b) \quad m g / k g=$ milligrams per kilogram (ppm) $\quad N D=n o$ detection

* lower of Direct Exposure 1 and Leachability Table V

As shown above, no soil sample constituents exceeded Chapter 62-761 FAC targets for those constituents analyzed. Therefore, no groundwater sampling/analysis was required.

## Section 4 <br> CONCLUSIONS

1. System upgrades including installation of overfill protection, STP sumps, line leak detectors, and tank gauges has been completed at the Crystal River Airport.
2. A single soil sample from the unleaded gasoline STP sump excavation revealed no constituents exceeding Chapter 62-761 FAC targets.
3. No additional assessment appears warranted for this site.

TBE Project No. 00084-001-01
Crystal River Airport
Sump Replacement Closure Summary

## SOIL ORGANIC VAPOR ANALYSIS SUMMARY

| Unleaded Tank |  | Sample Date: March 30, 1999 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Depth <br> (ft) | Odor <br> $(y / n)$ | Unfiltered | OVA Results (ppm) <br> Filtered | Petroleum Related Vapors |
| 1 | no | 0 | - | 0 |
| 2 | no | 0 | - | 0 |
| 3 | no | 60 | 40 | 20 |
| 4 | no | 45 | 20 | 25 |
| 5 | no | 10 | 10 | 0 |
| 6 | no | 90 | 100 | 0 |

Note: 6 -foot depth is top of tank (soil was moist)

Diesel Tank
Sample Date: March 30, 1999

| Depth <br> (ft) | Odor <br> ( $y / n$ ) | OVA Results (ppm) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Unfiltered | Filtered | Petroleum Related Vapors |
| 1 | nо | 0 | - | 0 |
| 2 | no | 0 | - | 0 |
| 3 | no | 0 | - | 0 |
| 4 | no | 5 | 5 | 0 |
| 5 | no | 0 | - | 0 |
| 6 | no | 45 | 40 | 5 |

Note: 6 -foot depth is top of tank (soil was moist)

# Appendix B <br> LABORATORY ANALYSIS (SOIL) \& MANIFEST 

6712 Benjamin Road • Suite 100 • Tampa, FL 33634 • (813) 885-7427 • Fax (813) 885-7049 • www.savlabs.com

|  | LOG NO: B9-50947 <br> Received: <br>  <br> Mr. Steve Howarth MAR 99 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Tampa Bay Engineering, Inc. |  |
| Reported: 12 APR 99 |  |
| Clearwater, FL 34624 |  |

Project: Crystal River/00084-001-00 Sampled By: Client Code: 114090412

Page 1
DATE/
TIME SAMPLED

03-30-99/11\&5

PARAMETER
50947-1

| Purgeable Aromatics (802I) | $<5.2$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Benzene, ug/kg dw | $<5.2$ |
| Ethylbenzene, ug/kg dw | $<5.2$ |
| Toluene, ug/kg dw | $<5.2$ |
| Xylenes, ug/kg dw | $<5.2$ |
| Total Volatile Organic Aromatics, ug/kg dw |  |
| ! Yl Tert Butyl Ether (MTBE), ug/kg dw | $<52$ |
| L Analyzed |  |

Mr. Steve Howarth
Tampa Bay Engineering, Inc. 18167 U.S. 19, North Suite 550
Clearwater, FL 34624


LOG NO: B9-50947
Received: 31 MAR 99
Reported: 12 APR 99
Mr. Steve Howarth
Tampa Bay Engineering, Inc.
18167 U.S. 19, North Suite 550
Clearwater, FL 34624
Project: Crystal River/00084-001-00
Sampled By: Client
Code: 114090412
REPORT OF RESULTS Page 3
DATE/

| LOG NO | SAMPLE DESCRIPTION, QC REPORT FOR SOLID/SEMISOLID TIME SAMPLED |
| :--- | :--- |
| $50947-2$ | Method Blank |
| $50947-3$ | Accuracy (\%Rec) |
| $50947-4$ | Precision (\%RPD) |


| PAPAMETER | 50947-2 | 50947-3 | 50947-4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Purgeable Aromatics (8021)
Benzene, ug/kg dw
Ethylbenzene, $u g / \mathrm{kg} \mathrm{dw}$
Toluene, ug/kg dw
enes, ug/kg dw
21 Volatile Organic Aromatics, ug/kg dw
Methyl Tert Butyl Ether (MTBE), ug $/ \mathrm{kg} \mathrm{dw}$
Date Analyzed
04.03 .99
$<5.0$
$<5.0$
$<5.0$
$<5.0$
$<5.0$ $<50$-.- ---

50947-3
50947-4
$\qquad$

712 Benjamin Road • Suite $100 \cdot$ Tampa, FL 33634 • (813) 885-7427 • Fax (813) 885-7049 • www.savlabs.com

LOG NO: B9-50947
Received: 31 MAR 99
Reported: 12 APR 99
Mr. Steve Howarth
Tampa Bay Engineering, Inc. 18167 U.S. 19, North Suite 550
Clearwater, FL 34624

Project: Crystal River/00084-001-00
Sampled By: Client
Code: 143690412
Page 4
REPORT OF RESULTS
DATE/
OG NO
SAMPLE DESCRIPTION , QC REPORT FOR SOLID/SEMISOLID

| $0947-2$ | Method Blank |
| :--- | :--- |
| $0947-3$ | Accuracy (\%Rec) |
| $0947-4$ | Precision (\%RPD) |

olynuclear Aromatics (8310)
Acenaphthene, ug/kg dw Acenaphthylene, $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg} \mathrm{dw}$ Ar acene, ug/kg dw Be ia) anthracene, ug/kg dw Benzo(a) pyrene, ug/kg dw Benzo(b)fluoranthene, ug/kg dw Benzo(g,h,i) perylene, ug/kg dw Benzo(k)fluoranthene, ug/kg dw Chrysene, ug/kg dw Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene, ug/kg dw Fluoranthene, ug/kg dw Fluorene, ug/kg dw Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene, ug/kg dw Naphthalene, ug/kg dw Phenanthrene, ug/kg dw Pyrene, ug/kg dw 2-Methylnaphthalene, ug/kg dw l-Methylnaphthalene, ug/kg dw Date Extracted Date Analyzed 'etroleum Range Organics (FL-PRO) Petroleum Hydrocarbons , mg/kg dw Date Extracted Date Analyzed
04.05 .99
04.06 .99

50947-3
50947-4

712 Benjamin Road • Suite $100 \cdot$ Tampa, FL 33634 • (813) 885-7427 • Fax (813) 885-7049 • www.savlabs.com


Mr. Steve Howarth
Tampa Bay Engineering, Inc.
18167 U.S. 19, North Suite 550
Clearwater, FL 34624

Project: Crystal River/00084-001-00
Sampled By: Client
Code: 114090412
Page 5
DATE/
SAMPLE DESCRIPTION , QC REPORT FOR SOLID/SEMISOLID TIME SAMPLED
OG NO

| Method Blank |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| $0947-2$ | Iccuracy (\%Rec) |
| $0947-3$ | Precision (\%RPD) |
| $0947-4$ |  |

Methods: EPA SW-846, Update III.
DOH Certification \#'s: 84385, E84282, 81291, E81005

.chael F. Valder, Project Manager



Site No. 48 A-1 Alarm Company
735 N. Suncoast Boulevard Crystal River, Florida ERNS I.D. Nos. 343840 and 203454

```
Incident Report # 203454
```


## INCIDENT DESCRIPTION

*Report taken by: MST3 WILKERSON at 13:40 on 18-OCT-93
Incident Type: FIXED
Incident Cause: EQOIPMENT FAILURE
Affected Area:
The incident was discovered on 01-AUG-93 at 00:00 local time.
Affected Medium: LAND CONCRETE

## SUSPECTED RESPONSIBLE PARTY

Name: BL CHILDS
Organization: A-1 ALARM CO Address: 735 NORTH SUNCOAST BLVD
CRYSTAL RIVER, FL
PRIMARY Phone: (904)7955179
Type of Organization: PRIVATE ENTERPRISE

INCIDENT LOCATION
735 NORTH SUNCOAST BLVD COunty: CITRUS City: CRYSTAL RIVER State: FL

## RELEASED MATERIAL (S)

CHRIS Code: OLB Official Material Name: OIL, MISC: LUBRICATING
Also Known As:
Qty Released: 0 UNKNOWN AMOUNT Qty in Water: 0 UNKNOWN AMOUNT
CHRIS Code: OMT Official Material Name: OIL, MISC: MOTOR
Also Known As:
Qty Released: 0 UNKNOWN AMOUNT Qty in Water: 0 UNKNOWN AMOUNT

DESCRIPTION OF INCIDENT
CALLER STATES THAT THE RESPONSIBLE PARTY HAS SEVERAL CONTAINERS OF OILLEAKING INST THE WAREHOUSE

INCIDENT DETAILS
Building ID:
Type of Fixed Object: UNKNOWN
Power Generating Facility: UNKNOWN
Generating Capacity:
Type of Fuel:
NPDES:
NPDES Compliance: UNKNOWN

## DAMAGES

Fire Involved: NO Fire Extinguished: UNKNOWN

INJURIES:
FATALITIES:

Hospitalized:
Empl/Crew:

Empl/Crew:
Passenger:

Passenger: Occupant:

Damages:

| Closure Type | Length of Disection of |
| :--- | :--- |
| Air: $N$ |  |
| Roscription of Closure | Closure |
| Waterway: $N$ |  |
| Track: $N$ |  |

## REMEDIAI ACTIONS

NONE
Release Secured: UNKNOWN Release Rate: Estimated Release Duration:


| WEATHER |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| ADDITIONAL AGENCIES NOTIFIED |  |
| Federal: |  |
| State/Local: |  |
| State/Local On Scene: |  |
| State Agency Number: |  |
| NOTIFICATIONS BY NRC |  |
| U.S. EPA IV | MR MILITSCHER |
| 18-OCT-93 | 13:47 |
| MSO TAMPA | PO FRANKLIN |
| 18-OCT-93 | 13:47 |
| ADDITIONAL INFORMATION |  |
|  | * END INCIDENT REPORT \# 203454 *** |

## INCIDENT DESCRIPTION

*Report taken by: MST3 WILKERSON at 13:40 on 18-OCT-93
Incident Type: FIXED
Incident Cause: EQUIPMENT FAILURE
Affected Area:
The incident was discovered on 01-AUG-93 at 00:00 local time.
Affected Medium: LAND CONCRETE

```
SUSPECTED RESPONSIBLE PARTY
Name: BL CHILDS
Organization: A-1 ALARM CO Address: 735 NORTH SUNCOAST BLVD
CRYSTAL RIVER, FL
PRIMARY Phone: (904)7955179
Type of Organization: PRIVATE ENTERPRISE
```

INCIDENT LOCATION
735 NORTH SUNCOAST BLVD County: CITROS City: CRYSTAL RIVER State: FL
RELEASED MATERIAL (S)
CHRIS Code: OLB Official Material Name: OIL, MISC: LUBRICATING
Also Known As:
Qty Released: 0 UNKNOWN AMOUNT Qty in Water: 0 UNKNOWN AMOUNT
CHRIS Code: OMT Official Material Name: OIL, MISC: MOTOR
Also Known As:
Qty Released: 0 UNKNOWN AMOUNT Qty in Water: 0 UNKNOWN AMOUNT
DESCRIPTION OF INCIDENT
CALLER STATES THAT THE RESPONSIBLE PARTY HAS SEVERAL CONTAINERS OF OILLEAKING INSI
THE WAREHOUSE

INCIDENT DETAILS

```
Building ID:
Type of Fixed Object: UNKNOWN
Power Generating Facility: UNKNOWN
Generating Capacity:
Type of Fuel:
NPDES:
NPDES Compliance: UNKNOWN
```

| Fire Involved: NO Fire Extinguished: UNKNOWN |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| INJURIES: | Hospitalized: | Empl/Crew: |
| FATALITIES: | Empl/Crew: | Passenger: |

## Damages:



M
Ar

NONE
Release Secured: UNKNOWN Release Rate: Estimated Release Duration:

ADDITIONAL AGENCIES NOTIFIED

```
State/Local:
State/Local On Scene:
State Agency Number:
```

*** END INCIDENT REPORT \# 203454

```
NATIONAI RESPONSE CENTER - PUBLIC REPORT
Incident Report # 343840
INCIDENT DESCRIPTION
*Report taken by: MST3 RUTHERFORD at 18:13 on 25-MAY-96
Incident Type: PLATFORM
Incident Cause: OTHER
Affected Area:
The incident occurred on 25-MAY-96 at 16:30 local time.
Affected Medium: WATER GULF OF MEXICO
```



RELEASED MATERIAL (S)
CHRIS Code: OIL Official Material Name: OIL: CRUDE
Also Known As:
Qty Released: 4 GALLON(S) Qty in Water: 4 GALION(S)

DESCRIPTION OF INCIDENT
PLATFORM/FLARE SCRUBBER DEVELOPED A LEAK

INCIDENT DETAILS

```
Platform Rig Name:
Platform Letter:
Location Area ID:
Location Block ID:
OCSG Number:
OCSP Number:
State Lease Number:
Pier Dock Number:
Berth Slip Number:
```

Fire Involved: NO Fire Extinguished: UNKNOWN

INJURIES: Hospitalized: Empl/Crew:

Enpl/Crew:
Passenger:

Passenger: Occupant:

Damages:

|  | Length of | Direction of |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Closure Type | Description of closure | Closure | Closure |
| Air: |  |  |  |
| Road: | $N$ |  | Mr |
| Waterway: $N$ |  |  |  |
| Track: | $N$ |  |  |

## REMEDIAL ACTIONS

USED SORBENTS TO RECOVER
Release Secured: UNKNOWN Release Rate: Estimated Release Duration:

## WEATHER

.

ADDITIONAL AGENCIES NOTIFIED
Federal:
State/Local:
State/Local On Scene:
State Agency Number:

| NOTIFICATIONS BY NRC |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LA DEPT OF ENV QUAL |  | 3?3?? |
| 25-MAY-96 | $00: 00$ | (504)2958418 |
| MSO NEW ORLEANS |  | PO HATCHER |
| 25-MAY-96 | 18:17 |  |
| DOI/OEPC - R6 |  | ? 3787 |
| 25-MAY-96 | 00:00 | (505)7661059 |
| LA STATE POIICE |  | ? 3 ? 3 ? |
| 25-MAY-96 | 00:00 | (504)9221588 |
| NOAA RPTS RGN VI (IST | CLASS BB) | ? 3 ? ${ }^{\text {? }}$ |
| 25-MAY-96 | 00:00 | (202)2672165 |
| ADDITIONAL INFORMATION |  |  |
| SHEEN SIZE: 1250 FT X $50 \mathrm{FT} / \mathrm{COLOR}:$ BROWN |  |  |

*** END INCIDENT REPORT \# 343840

Site No. 50 Crystal River Firestone 990 N. Suncoast Boulevard Crystal River, Florida FDEP I.D. Nos. 099200504 and 099600003 EPA I.D. No. FLD0398894340


Twin Towers Office Bldg. 2600 Blair Stone Road © Tallahassee, ruíida 32399-2400
Division of Waste Management
Bureau of Petroleum Storage Systems

Storage Tank Facility Compliance Inspection Report

Facility ID


County $\qquad$ Inspection Date


Facility Name $\qquad$ CRYSTAL RIVER FIRESTONE Facility Type


$$
\text { Latitude } 28.52,30^{\prime} \text { Longitude } 82 \cdot 39.49
$$

L/L Method


"Code" in block below corresponds to the Rule Cite; represents a Data Entry Code for ease of electronic data recording of inspection results.


$\qquad$ of

Forage Tank Facility Compliance Inspection Report
anility Name：CRyStac River Firestew Facility m： 9200504 Date ：2／2／01

The $750^{\circ}$ 年New／lube oil tank was removed and replaced with a 500 gallon AST for the new lube oil．
it is un know k what was dune with the old Ast．As the citiuscaty Environmental health Section was not present during ramon． The New tank has been installed on teencinciete pad t that the old tank was on．
a picture woes taken of the now tank， and the concrete pad at the base of the new tank．

1tappears the old piping hos Len used
with the new tank． with the new tank．

There is some staining of the concrete around the news tank（where old tank used to be）See plutogra，ph

A filled out registration form is included with this inspection to be signed and Sent to Tallahassee to Slow the tank has been removed from facility－

Site No. 51 Ewell Concrete (aka Masons Concrete of Crystal River)
1041 N. Suncoast Boulevard
Crystal River, Florida
FDEP I.D. No. 098520455
EPA I.D. No. FLD982169955

## Interoffice Memorandum

| TO: | Paula Noblitt, Southwest District office |
| :---: | :---: |
| THROUGH: | Tim Bahr, Technical Review Section Bureau of Waste Cleanup |
| FROM: | Jorge R. Caspary, Technical Review Section Bureau of Waste Cleanup |
| DATE: | March 27, 1991 |
| SUBJECT: | No Further Action Proposal Mason's Concrete Ready Mix, Inc. Crystal River Plant, Citrus County. DER File No. 90-1804 |

Based on my review of the Contamination Asessment Reports (CARs) prepared by Dames and Moore and Law Environmental for the above referenced facility, I concur with the consultant's "No Further Action Proposal" recommendation for the petroleum related contamination.

Supplemental work to determine the source, degree and extent of the elevated pH values in the soil and groundwater is recommended.

If you have any questions, please contact me at suncom 278-0190.
homas d. Daiello
OANA C. FERRELK
OONALD M. HOMER
SUZANNE M. bEIDER
LAW OFFICES
LAWRENCE J. MARCHBANKS, P.A.
SANETUARY CENTAE TOWERE
ABOO NORTH FEOERAL HIGHWAT
SUITE IO: E
BOCA RATON, FLORIDA 33431

LWRENCE J. MARCHBANKS
STEPHEN A. PMILLIPS
JAMES R. WEEB

February 28, 1991

Ms. Paula Noblitt
Envirommental Specialist II
Florida Department of Environmental Regulation
4520 Oak Fair Boulevard
Tampa, Florida 33610-7347
Re: Mason's Concrete Ready Mix, Inc.
D.E.R. File NO: 90-1804, Citrus County

Dear Ms. Noblitt:
This will acknowledge receipt of your proposed consent Order under cover of February 12, 1991. I am in the process of reviewing the Consent order and contamination assessment plans with Mason's Concrete's environmental engineers and I should be able to furnish you with a detailed response, if necessary, shortly.

It is my hope that it will not be necessary to negotiate an extensive consent order, since the matters cited in D.E.R.'s Warning Notice of July 5, l990, have been voluntarily rectified. Specifically addressing those matters:

1. Alleged unauthorized discharge of petroleum products to the ground.

In accordance with the provisions of Rule 17770.300(7), F.A.C., Mason's Concrete has completed the remedial action outlined in this firm's letter to you of October 19, 1990. The procedure was overseen and the results verified by Dames \& Moore, Mason's Concrete's environmental engineers. The results were confirmed by Law Environmental, Inc.,

Ms. Paula Noblitt
Page Two
February 28, 1991
an independent environmental engineering firm recommended by the Citrus County State Attorney's Office. For your information, I have enclosed copies of the final reports issued by both Dames \& Moore and Law Environmental, Inc. Please note that Law Environmental, Inc. was retained at the request of the Citrus County State Attorney's Office for the express purpose of providing an independent review of Dames \& Moore's investigation, tests and findings. As such, Law Environmental, Inc. was granted complete discretion in its investigation.
2. Alleged unauthorized discharge of process water to the ground and into an unlined pond.

Mason's Concrete has taken remedial action to minimize the discharge of process water resulting from overflow of its existing water clarifier pending approval of its application for an industrial wastewater processing permit. Mr. Mark Lefebvre of Dames \& Moore has previously consulted you regarding a permit for a permanent industrial wastewater recycle system. At your recommendation, however, the permit application has not been submitted. Mason's Concrete and Dames \& Moore are prepared to immediately submit the permit application and implement a permanent remedy notwithstanding continuing negotiation of a consent order.
3. Alleged unauthorized discharge of boiler blow-down water to the ground.

Mason's Concrete has taken measures to abate the direct discharge of hot boiler blow-down into the ground by constructing a $4^{\prime} \times 4^{\prime}$ concrete pad and berm at the discharge site. Masons's Concrete intends to permanently alleviate this condition as part of its industrial wastewater recycle system.

Ms. Paula Noblitt Page Three
February 28, 1991
4. Alleged unauthorized discharge of truck wash-down water to the ground and into an unlined pond.

In compliance with D.E.R.'s Warning Notice of July 5. 1990, Mason's Concrete has ceased using hazardous materials (phosphoric acid) in its truck washdown. The truck wash procedure will also be fully remedied as part of Mason's Concrete's industrial wastewater recycle system.

All of the matters addressed in D.E.R.'s Warning Notice have either been remedied or will be remedied in Mason's Concrete industrial wastewater processing plan. This plan will also address the Department's concerns over stormwater runoff maintenance, as deemed necessary after consultation between the Department and Mason's Concrete's environmental engineers. Since the application for a wastewater processing permit is ready for prompt filing, Masons's Concrete sees no need to delay a permanent solution to these matters by negotiation of a consent order.

Mr. Michael Glowacz of Dames \& Moore will meet with you to discuss the contents of this letter in greater detail and, hopefully, resolve the Department's concerns informally.

Very truly yours,


LJM/nn
enclosures


Interoffice Memorandum
$\left.\begin{array}{ll}\text { TO: } & \begin{array}{l}\text { Paula Noblitt, Southwest District Office }\end{array} \\ \text { THROUGH: Tim Bahr, Technical Review Section } \\ \text { Bureau of Waste cleanup }\end{array}\right\}$

Based on my review of the Contamination Asessment Reports (CARs) prepared by Dames and Moore and Law Environmental for the above referenced facility, I concur with the consultant's "No Further Action Proposal" recommendation for the petroleum related contamination.

Supplemental work to determine the source, degree and extent of the elevated pH values in the soil and groundwater is recommended.

If you have any questions, please contact me at Suncom 278-0190.

Site No. 52 Florida Pest Control \& Chemical Company 2020 N. Suncoast Boulevard Crystal River, Florida FDEP I.D. No. 098623298

## citrus county

# DEPARTMENT OF DEVELOPMENT SERVICES 

1300 South Lecanto Highway
Lepanto，Florida 32661－8099
（904）746－4223
in reply，refer to：

November 日， 1971

Mr．Dale Godshis 1 ？
Florida fest contr：
202 S．Hwy． 19
Crystal River，Fluoride geez：
Ref．Fac． $\begin{aligned} \text { \＃Ogescaege } \\ \text { Florida pest Guntrat }\end{aligned}$

Dear Mr．Godsha！ 1.
The Analytical results abm：Ene by Gary Dounson \＆Associates， inc．，as part of storage tent remove：at the referenced facility showed level e of petiolenin blytor－wartons in the water which are greater than state alomeale texas．There was no contamination levels found in the moi．A diontrige reporting form was filed with Dept．of Fnvirenmentel Beruibians by Gary Dounson \＆ Associates．

 （attached）．A Gentaninetun ms三人mswan Report should be subinitted
 reports should te formeded ：O．F．P．Southwest District，
 Telephone \＃t igtalses－ase：．

Sincerely，
Fuel Tank inefercter
Citrus County Fire Prevention
Attachments：17－7\％
re：Nancy Guans D．E．R．Soutromet instr？ Gary Dounson

## Discharge Reporting Form

Usc form to notify the Department of Environmental Regulation of:

2. Petroleum discharges exceeding 25 gallons on pervious surfaces as described in Section 17-761.460 F.A.C. within one working day of discovery.
3. Hazardous substance (CERCLA regulated) discharges exceeding applicable reportable quantities established in 17-761.460(2) F.A.C., within one working day of the discovery.
4. Within one working day of discovery of suspected releases confirmed by: (a) released regulated substances or pollutants discovered in the surrounding area, (b) unusual and unexplained storage system operating conditions, (c) monitoring results from a leak detection method or from a tank closure assessment that indicate a release may have occurred, or (d) manual tank gauging resuits for tanks of 550 gallons or less, exceeding ten gallons per weekly test or five gallons averaged over four consecutive weekly tests.

Mail to the DER District Office in your area listed on the reverse side of this form
PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE
Complete all applicable blanks

1. DER Facility ID Number: $\underline{098623298}$
2. Tank Number:
1
3. Date: $10 / 30 / 91$
4. Facility Name: Florida Pest Control \& Chemical Co.

Facility Owner or Operator: Florida Pest Control \& Chemical Co.
Facility Address: 2020 Hwy . 19, South, Crystal River
Telephone Number: $(904$ ) 795-3614 County: Citrus
Mailing Address: 116 NW 16th Ave., Gainesvil1e, FL 32629
'e of receipt of test results or discovery: $10 / 30 / 91$
month/day/year
6. rvethod of initial discovery. (circle one only)
A. Liquid detector (automatic or manual)
D. Emptying and Inspection.
F. Vapor or vișible signs of a discharge in the vicinity.
B. Vapor detector (automatic or manual)
E. Inventory control.
G. Closure:
(explain)
C. Tightness test (underground tanks only).
H. Other: 1 PPAGO2 Test Results
7. Estimated number of gallons discharged: Un/known
8. What part of storage system has leaked? (circle all that apply)
A. Dispenser
B. Pipe
C. Fitting
D. Tank
9. Type of regulated substance discharged. (circle one)
A. leaded gasoline
D. vehicular diesel
L. used/waste oil
F. aviation gas
M. diesel
B. Unleaded gasoline
G. jet fuel
O. new/lube oil
V. hazardous substance includes pesticides, ammonia, chilorine and derivatives (write in name or Chemical Abstract Service CAS number)
Z. other (write in name) $\qquad$
10. Cause of leak. (circle all that apply)
(A) Unknown
C. Loose connection
E. Puncture
G. Spill $\qquad$ I. Other (specify) $\qquad$
11. Type of financial responsibility. (circle one)
A. Third party insurance provided by the state insurance contractor
B. Self-insurance pursuant to Chapter 17-769.500 F.A.C.
C. Not applicable
12. To the best of my knowledge and bellef all Information submitted on this form is true, accurate, and complete.
$\frac{\text { D. R. Sapp, Jr., Owner }}{\text { inted Name of Owner, Operator or Authorized Representative }}$


904-798-4200

3319 Magurie Blvd. Sults 232
Orlanda Flonca 32903.3767 $407.89+7555$


Sount Distric! 2269 Bay SL. Fon Myers. Florida 33901.2896 813-332-6975

Florida Department of Environmental Regulation
Twin Towers Office Bldg. - 2600 Blair Stone Road Tallahassee, Florida 32399.2400
Lawion Ctinles, Gowernor
Casol M. Browner, Secretary

October 30, 1992

Mr. D.R. Sapp
Florida Pest Control \& Chemical Company
116 Northwest 16 th Avenue
Gainesville, Florida 32602

```
RE: Florida Pest Control \& Chemical Company 2020 U.S. Highway 19 South
Crys=al River, Florida
DER Facility \#098623298
```

Dear Mr. Sapp:
The Buread of Waste Cleanup has reviewed the Contamination Assessment Report (CAR) Addendum and No Further Action Proposal (NFAP), dated Bctober 12,1992 (received October 26, 1992), submitted for this site. Documentation submitted with the NFAP confirms that criteria set forth in Rule 17-770.630(3), Florida Administrative code (F.A.C.), have been met. The NFAP is hereby incorporated by reference in this order. Therefore, you are released from any further obligation to conduct site rehabilitation at the site, except as set forth below.

If a subsequent discharge of petroleum or petroleum product occurs at the site, the Department may require site rehabilitation in order to reduce contaminant concentrations to the levels approved through review of the NFAP or otherwise allowed by Chapter $17-770$, F.A.C.

Additionally, you are required to properly abandon all monitoring wells except compliance wells required by Chapter 17-761, F.A.C., for release detection. The wells must be abandoned in accordance with the requirements of Rule 17-532.500(4), F.A.C.

Persons whose substantial interests are affected by this Site Rehabilitation completion Order have the right to challenge the Department's decision. Such a challenge may include filing a petition for en administrative determination (hearing) as described in the following paragraphs. However, pursuant to Chapter 17-102, F.A.C., you may request an extension of time to file the Petition. All requests for extensions of time or petitions for administrative determinations must be filed directly with the Department's office of General counsel at the

Mr. D.R. Sapp
October 30, 1992
Page Two
address given below within twenty-one (21) days of receipt of this notice (do not send them to the Bureau of waste cleanup).

Notwithstanding the above, a person whose substantial interests are affected by this Site Rehabilitation Completion order may petition for an administrative proceeding (hearing) in accordance witin Section 120.57, Florida statutes (F.S.). The petition must sontain the information set forth below and must be filed (received) in the Office of General Counsel of the Department at 2600 Blair Stone Road, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-2400, within twenty-one (21) days of receipt of this notice. Failure to file a petition within this time period shall constitute a waiver of any right such person may have to request an administrative determination (hearing) under Section 120.57, F.S.

The Petition shall contain the following information:
(a) The name, address, and telephone number of each peti=ioner, the Department file number (DER facility number), and the name and address of the facility;
(b) A statement of how and when each petitioner received notice of the Department's action or proposed action;
(C) A statement of how each petitioner's substantial interests are affected by the Department's action or proposed action;
(d) A statement of the material facts disputed by each petitioner, if any;
(e) A statement of facts which each petitioner contends warrant reversal or modification of the Department's action or proposed action;
(f) A statement of which rules or statutes each petitioner contends require reversal or modification of the Department's action or proposed action; and
(g) A statement of the relief sought by each petitioner, stating precisely the action each petitioner wants the Department to take with respect to the Department's action or proposed action.

This Site Rehabilitation Completion Order is final and effective on the date of receipt of this Order unless a petition (or time extension) is filed in accordance with the preceding paragraphs. Upon the timely filing of a petition, this order will not be effective until further order of the Department.

When the order is final, any party to the order has the right to seek judicial review of the Order pursuant to Section 120.68, F.S., by filing of a Notice of Appeal pursuant to Rule 9.110, Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure, with the Clerk of the Department in the Office of General Counsel, 2600 Blair Stone

Mr. D.R. Sapp
October 30, 1992
Page Three

Road, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-2400; and by filing a copy of the Notice of Appeal, accompanied by the applicable filing fees, with the appropriate District Court of Appeal. The Notice of Appeal must be filed within thirty (30) days from the date the Final Order is filed with the Clerk of the Department.

Please send a copy of the approved CAR document(s) to Ken Weber of the Southwest Florida Water Management District within thirty :30) days of receiving this Site Rehabilitation Completion Order.

The DER Facility Number for this site is 098623298. Please use this ident-fication on all future correspondence with the Department.

Any questions you may have on the technical aspects of this Site Rehabilitation Completion Order should be directed to Michael J. Bland at (904) 488-01s0. Contact with the above named person does not: constitute a petition for administrative determination.


John M. Ruddell, Director Division of Waste Management

## JMR/mjb

cc: Gary Dounson, Gary Dounson \& Associates - Gainesville Dick Sosnà, Citrus County Fire Prevention Bureau

Site No. 56 Palms ACE Hardware
1321 SE Suncoast Boulevard Crystal River, Florida
FDEP I.D. No. 099101562

# Environmental Protection 

Virginia 16. Wetherell
Secretary

Lawton Chines Governor

October 29, 1993

Mr. Walter Bunts
W. \& L. B. Corporation

Post Office Box 666
Crystal River, Florida 32623
RE: Ace Hardware
1321 Southeast Highway 19, Crystal River, Florida DEP Facility \#099101562.


Dear Mr. Bunts:
Subject: Application for Eligibility for Restoration Coverage Under the Abandoned Tank Restoration Program

The Florida Department of Environmental Protection (DEP) has reviewed documents you submitted as application for eligibility for Restoration Coverage under the requirements of the Abandoned Tank Restoration Program (ATRP), Chapter 17-769.800, Florida Administrative code (F.A.C.). Based upon this information which you have provided, the subject facility is ineligible for participation in the ATRP for the following reason (s):
"Eligibility in the Abandoned Tank Restoration Program is restricted to those sites that have documented contamination from an abandoned petroleum storage system pursuant to section 17-769.800(3)(a), F.A.C.'

A person whose substantial interests are affected by this order of Ineligibility may petition for an administrative proceeding (hearing) in accordance with Section 120.57, Florida Statutes (F.S.). The petition must contain the information set forth below and must be filed (received) in the Office of General Counsel of the Department at 2600 Blair Stone Road, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-2400, with 21 days of receipt of this Notice. Petitioner shall mail a copy of the petition to the applicant at the address indicated above at the time of filing. Failure to file a petition within this time period shall constitute a waiver of any right such person may have to request an administrative determination (hearing) under Section 120.57, F.S.

The petition shall contain the following information: (a) The name, address, and telephone number of each petitioner, the applicant's name and address, the Department Facility Identification Number and county in which the project is proposed;

Mr. Walter Bunts
October 29, 1993
Page Two
(b) A statement of how and when each petitioner received notice of the Department's action or proposed action; (c) A statement of how each petitioner's substantial interests are affected by the Department's action or proposed action; (d) A statement of the proposed action; (d) A statement of the material facts disputed by petitioner, if any; (e) A statement of facts which petitioner contends warrant reversal or modification of the Department's action or proposed action; (f) A reversal or modification of the Department's action or proposed action; and (g) A statement of the relief sought by petitioner, stating precisely the action petitioner wants the Department to take with respect to the Department's action or proposed action.

If a petition is filed, the administrative hearing process is designed to formulate agency action. Accordingly, the Department's final action may be different from the position taken by it in this Notice. Persons whose substantial interests will be affected by any decision of the Department with regard to the application have the right to petition to become a party to the proceeding. The petition must conform to the requirements specified above, as set forth in chapter 17-103 and 28-5, F.A.C., and must be filed (received) with the Department's Office of General Counsel, 2600 Blair Stone Road, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-2400, within 21 days of receipt of this Notice. Failure to petition within the allowed time frame constitutes a waiver of any right such person has to request a hearing under section 120.57, F.S., and to participate as a party to this proceeding. Any subsequent intervention will only be at the approval of the presiding officer upon motion filed pursuant to Rule 22I-6, F.A.C.

The application is available for public inspection during the normal business hours, 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m., Monday through Friday, except legal holidays, at the office of the Petroleum Insurance Administrator at the above address.

If you have questions regarding this or the pollution liability insurance program, please contact William E. Truman, Petroleum Insurance Administrator at 904/488-0876.

cc: Nancy Evans - Southwest Florida District Office

Dear Mr. Bunts,
Attached are the 17-7G1 Florida Administrative Code Compliance inspection results for the above reamed facility. Our inspector did not indicate violations of Chapter 17-761,F.A.C. at the time of his inspection. We appreciate your firm's attention regarding environmental regulations: for pollutant storage tank system. Also please see comments on front page ot inspection report.

If you have any questions concerning this matter please call us at (904)746-1335.


Richard T. Sosa
Fuel Tank. Inspector
Citrus County Fire Prevention

RTS/jf
cc: Robert Youakim State Wide Envirommerital Tank Services, Inc. 5040 Waterside Drive Port Rickey, Florida 34689


State of Florida
Department of Environmental Regulation
Pollutant Storage Tank System Inspection Report Form

Facility ID No．： $\qquad$ UNR2GIVZFR2D County： $\operatorname{CiTRUS}$
Facility Name： $\qquad$
Facility Location： $\qquad$ Operator： $\qquad$ Phone：
Owner：WALTR时 BN NT $\qquad$ Phone：$(944) 7914590$
Latitude $28^{\circ} 53^{\prime} 03^{\prime \prime} N$ Longitude $82^{\circ} 34^{\prime} 5 y^{\prime \prime}$ 訬 Section $\qquad$ Township $\qquad$ Range $\qquad$




 TAmPA，FA．




| Inspection Type： |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\square$ Complaint Response | $\square$ Reinspection | Facility－f́nformation： |  |
| $\square$ Initial | $\square$ Installation | $\square$ Abandoned | $\square$ Non－retail |
| $\square$ ED | $\square$ Aboveground | $\square$ Retail |  |
| $\square$ Public Well Field | $\square$ Tank Removal | $\square$ Unregistered | $\square$ Govt．－Federal |

DER District：
Local Program：


Violations must be corrected by： next routine inspection $\square$ or by：

Facility Contact＇s Signature \＆Date DER Form 61－01－88（04－01－88）

Site No. 58 Texaco Lube Express 1100 (1050) S. Suncoast Boulevard

Crystal River, Florida FDEP/EPA I.D. No. None

District: SWD
County: Citrus
Type: C-Fuel User/Non-Retail
Status: Closed
Latitude: 28:53:11.0000
Longitude: 82:35:05.0000
LL Method: AGPS-Autonomous GPS

***Note:
Construction, Piping, and Monitoring Info not shown for CLOSED tanks (Status A: Closed in Place, B: Removed from the site).

Facility ID\#: 9201295
Name: Nick Nicholas Ford
4020 N Suncoast Blvd
Crystal River, FL. 32629
Contact: Nicholas, Nick
Phone: --

District: SWD
County: Citrus
Type: C-Fuel User/Non-Retail
Status: Closed
Latitude: 28:55:45.0000
Longitude: 82:36:57.0000
LL Method: UNVR-Unverified

Account Owner: Signet Investment

| Tank | Size | Content | Installed | Placement | Status | Construction | Piping | Monito |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 500 | Unknown/Not Reported |  | UNDER | Removed |  |  |  |
| ***N |  | WASt OLL |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Construction, Piping, and Monitoring Info not shown for CLOSED tanks (Status A: Closed in Place, B: Removed from the site).

Facility ID\#: 8518715
Name: Gulf Coast Ford Inc
2440 Nw Hwy 19
Crystal River, FL 34428-6321
Contact: Nick Nicholas \& Bill Buckner
Phone: 352-795-7371
,
Account Owner: Nicholas, Nick \& Taylor L E

| Tank | Size | Content | Installed | Placement | Status | Construction | Piping | Monito |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | 2000 | Unleaded Gas | -12/01/1997 | Above | In Service | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{C} \\ & \mathrm{O} \\ & \mathrm{P} \\ & \mathrm{R} \\ & \mathrm{~K} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { B } \\ & \text { K } \\ & \text { A } \\ & \text { I } \end{aligned}$ | F Q 4 |
| 1 | 6000 | Unleaded Gas | 07/01/1971 | UNDER | Removed |  |  |  |
| 1R1 | 3000 | Unleaded Gas | 05/01/1987 | UNDER | Removed |  |  |  |
| 2 | 6000 | Leaded Gas | 07/01/1971 | UNDER | Removed |  |  |  |
| 3 | 3000 | Leaded Gas | 07/01/1971 | UNDER | Removed |  |  |  |
| 4 | 3000 | Leaded Gas | 07/01/1971 | UNDER | Removed |  |  |  |

***Note:
Construction, Piping, and Monitoring Info not shown for CLOSED tanks (Status A: Closed in Place, B: Removed from the site).

Site No. 59 Southdown, Inc. (Florida Mining \& Materials Corp.-Crystal River) 1021 S. Suncoast Boulevard Crystal River, Florida
FDEP I.D. Nos. 098518701 and 099800142


Fl Ia Department of Environmental I section
Twin Towers Office Bldg. 2600 Blair Stone Road - Tallahassee, Florida 32399-2400
Division of Waste Management
Bureau of Petroleum Storage Systems

Storage Tank Facility Compliance Inspection Report

Facility ID $\square$ 8518201

County $\qquad$ OS CITRUS

Inspection Date $10 / 7 / 00$
Facility Name $\qquad$ SocTHDOCon inc

Facility Type $\qquad$
Latitude $\qquad$ 28.5316 Longitude $\qquad$ $820.5,58$ L/L Method $\qquad$ AGeS

| Check box to identify type of inspection performed. Update latitude/longitude as necessary. <br> Provide Lat/Long Determination Method. ("Map", "AGPS" (Magellan), "GGPS" (Trimble). <br> Provide the count of USPs and/or ASTs reviewed during this inspection | \# USTS <br> Inspected | \# ATS <br> Inspected | $($ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Compliance Inspection (Annual) | TAI |  | Installation Inspection | TIN |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Compliance Inspection (DRF received) | TCDI |  | Closure Inspection | TXI |  |
| Compliance Inspection (Complaint received) | TOPI |  | Compliance Re-Inspection | TR |  |
| Discharge Evaluation (*short form") | TDI |  | $* *$ Record the results of the TDI in a Discharge Project |  |  |

- "Code" in block below corresponds to the Rule Cite; represents a Data Entry Code for ease of electronic data recording of inspection results.


Financial Responsibility - Verify owner's coverage. Select Insurance or Other, and provide Mechanism, if appropriate.
$\qquad$ Insurance Carrier: $\qquad$ Effective Date: $\qquad$ Expiration Date: $\qquad$ $-x$

Other Coverage meeting federal financial responsibility requirements. Mechanism: $\qquad$ $\operatorname{self} 2128 / 002128 / 01$
$\qquad$ None

$\qquad$ of $\qquad$

# Site No. 60 Florida Power Corporation - Whetstone Oil Unit \#5 1017 S. Suncoast Boulevard <br> Crystal River, Florida FDEP I.D. No. 099101846 

Site No. 61 Fina - Crystal River 1017 SE Suncoast Boulevard

Crystal River, Fiorida FDEP I.D. No. 098503199

Project No. 93-0095


## INITIAL REMRDIAL ACHTON IREPORT <br> for

Crystal River Fina 1017 U.S. Highway 19
Crystal River, Florida
F.D.E.P. IF 09B503199

September 1993

Prepared For:
Whetstone Oil Company
Mr. Mike Whetstone


1007 Chambord Court • Orlando, Fiorida 32825 • (407) 342-8126

UNIFIED ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES, INC.

## Introduction

At the request of Whetstone Oil Company, Unified Environmental Services, Inc. (UES) performed screening of the soils after the removal of petroleum affected soils had ocurred. A surface discharge of approximately 35 gallons of diesel fuel discharged from a hose that failed during the fueling of a transport truck. On September 1, 1993, the discharge was immediately recovered by Whetstone Uil Company through the use of sand. This discharge did occur on an asphalt area of the site and minor retrofitting of the fueling system was performed to prevent future accidents of this type.

UES obtained soil samples from the the path of runoff and on each side to assure all petroleum affected soils had been removed. All soil screening activities were performed in acordance with the criteria established in Florida Atminstrative Code, Chapter 17770.200. Excessively contaminated and contaminated soils were removed and stockpiled in a truck and placed in a covered area for later removal to a thermal treatment facility, after preburn analyses was accomplished. This report discusses the findings of these investigative activities.

## Discharge Source/Soil Rernoval Activities

On September 1, 1993, during the routine fueling of a small transporter, a hose utilioed to deliver the fuel from an aboveground tank to the transporter ruptured and approximately 35 . gallons of virgin diesel was released before the valve could be shut off. A laxge portion of this diesel was trapped in the outer skirt of the transporter tank and was latter recovered and placed back into the aboveground diesel tank. A portion of the spill, approximately 20 gallons spilled onto the asphalt the transporter was parked on and migrated downhill on the asphalt to the north. A Discharge Reporting Form for the incident is presented in Attachment $\Lambda$.

Whetstone Oil Company, upon learning of the spill within 2 hours, placed clean sand on top of the diesel on the asphalt. This sand was alloved to absorb the diesel and was placed the same day into a small dump truck and placed in a eovered barn to prevent runoff.

## Preburn Analyses/Soil Disposal

On September 17, 1993, a UBS hydrogeologist observed the soil on the truck and obtained one composite soil sample for E. P. A. Method 8010/8020/9073 and the 8-RCRA Metals. This suil sample was obtained and analyzed under USS's approved Comphrensive QA Plan No.

## Unified ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES, INC.

920085 G . Results of the soil analyses is presented in Attachment B and analytes typically observed in diesel were detected.

On October 29, 1993, the transporation and disposal of 3.05 t.ons of petioleum affected soils was performed. These soils nere transported to C.A. Neyer in Orlando, Florida for thermal destruction. A copy of the soil manifest is provided in Attachment C.

## Soil Screening Activitieg

On September 17, 1993, UES performed seven soil borings with screening accomplished with a calibrated Foxboro Model 108, Organic Vapor Analyzer (OVA). During use of the OVA, both an activated charcoal and particle filter were placed over the pump intake to discern between the presence of hydrocarbons and mothane gases. The soil borings were accomplished with a 2.75 inch diameter, stainless steel hand auger. Decontamination was accomplished with a soap/water mixture followed by a clean water rinse. Figure 1 also presents the locations of the soil borings and lable 1 presents a summary of the soil screening results.

As seen by Table 1 , all soils screened exhibited less than 10 parts per million (ppro) to a depth of approximately 3 feet, where jimestone was encountered. The cleanup activities performed by Whetstone Oil Company appear to have been effective.

## Groundwater Quality

An adjacent compliance well looated along the northeast corner of the underground tank area is poorly constructed and located in an area where surface runoff may enter the well. This well was previously sampled by UES on August 13, 1933, for E. P. A. Method 602 and 610 analytes. Five well volumes of groundwater was removed from the well with a 1.5 inch diameter, stainless steel bailer, in accordance with the criteria established in URS 's approved Comphrensive Quality Assurance Plan No. 920085G.

Mesults of the groundwater samples are presented in Appendix D. As seen by the laboratory analytical reports, this well exhibited benzene and Total Volatile Oxganic Aromatics and Naphthalene in excess of the State of Florida's criteria.

Due to the poor contruction and upon approval from the Citrus County/F.D.E.F. representative this well was abandoned by a State of Florida water well driller. A copy of the abandonment report for this well is provided in Appendix E.


# ENVIROINMENTAL SERVICES, INC. 

Table : 1<br>Crystal River Fina<br>1017 U.S Highway 19<br>Crystal River, Florida<br>SUMMARY OF SOIL SGRECHING RESULTS<br>parts per million

| Sample No. | Depth Hydrecarbon | Methane |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $0-3$ | $\sim 5$ | $<1$ |
| 2 | $0-3$ | $<5$ | $<1$ |
| 3 | $0-3$ | $\sim 8$ | $\ddots<1$ |
| 4 | $0-3$ | $\sim 8$ | $\ddots<10$ |
| 5 | $0-3$ | $<10$ | $<1$ |
| 6 | $0-3$ | $<10$ | $\vdots<1$ |
| 7 | $0-3$ | $<10$ | $<1$ |

Note: All results are expressed in parts per raillion. Methane values have been removed from the hydrocarbon values. Depth is given in feet.

Site No. 62 Exxon \#5132-Crystal River/Exxon Car Wash (aka White Rose Cleaners)

NAME OF SITE: ExxON 5132

DATE: $11 / 2 / 00$
FACILITY ID\# 8503053

SITE ADDRESS/LOCATION: SOUS 19 CITY: CRYSTAL RIVER

COUNTY: CITRUS-
REASONFORVISIT: Recelved tetter + segistration form from $E t$ williams requesting that the tanks be placed out of Service. PERSONS CONTACTED: NANCY KNIGHT
$\qquad$
Persons present:C. MARK SUMner
$\qquad$
SUMMARYREPORT: AlI 4 (four) USTs were Stuck to See if the product had been revnaced 50 that the out of Service requirements could be met.
Results $=$ Plus unleaded has $4 \frac{1}{8}$ males.
PREMIOM UL LeS $25 \frac{1}{2}$-aches:
Regulevunleaded lias $3 \frac{1}{2}$ inches

for tanks to be out of Service they most $L e$ empty "this manes no move then one inch un depth as requested substancerensines"
other action required: Terns must be emptied
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
SIGNED: PR eck 3
TITLE: $\qquad$ ES III


F Ida Department of Environmental section
Twin Towers Office Bldg. 2500 Blair Stone Road - Tallahassee. Florida 32399-2400
Division of Waste Management
Bureau of Petroleum Storage Systems

Storage Tank Facility Compliance Inspection Report

Facility ID 5503053 County 09 TITUS
Inspection Date


Facility Name


Latitude $\qquad$ $28.5321 "$ $\qquad$
Facility Type A-RETALL
L/L Method $\square$ GAPS

| Check box to identify type of inspection performed. Update latitude/longitude as necessary. <br> Provide Lat/Long Determination Method. "Map", "AGPS" (Magellan), "GGPS" (Trimble)). <br> Provide the count of USTs and/or ASTs reviewed during this inspection | \# USTs <br> Inspected | Cf | \# ATS <br> Inspected |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Compliance Inspection (Annual) | CI | Installation Inspection | TIN |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Compliance Inspection (DRF received) | TCDI |  | Closure Inspection | TAI |  |
| Compliance Inspection (Complaint received) | TCPI |  | Compliance Re-Inspection | TR |  |
| Discharge Evaluation ("short form") | DI |  | $* *$ Record the results of the TDI in a Discharge Project |  |  |

- "Code" in block below corresponds to the Rule Cite; represents a Data Entry Code for ease of electronic data recording of inspection results.

Rule Cite $62-761$ Description/Inspector's Comments
Code

$\qquad$ Other Coverage meeting federal financial responsibility requirements. Mechanism: $\qquad$
$\qquad$ None

Based upon the inspectionsesults and information provided by the quaeyoperator, this facility appears to get thereaisements of
 Areinspection will be scheduledonongter $2<$ dhysto very conection or the noncompliance memsneted
 of $\qquad$

Forida inepartment of Environme I Protection © Bureau of Petroleum. rage Systems Storage Tank Facility Compliance Inspection Report

Fanilio Name Eaton 5132 Facility m 8503053 Date: $9 / 20 / 00$

| Rue cite $62-761$ |
| :--- |
| $.600(1)(6)$ |
| $2.640(3)(6)$ |
| $33.610(1)(9)$ |
| $600(2)(9)$ |

$440(3)(d)$


Florida Department of Environ al Protection Bureau of Petroleum mirage Systems Storage Tank Facility Compliance Inspection Report
Facility Nam: EXXON 5132 Facility w: 8503053 Date: $9 / 20 / 00$
Funeqte Descintion/Inspector's Comments
Comments. The 4 STPS are efolpped with
tobservations mechanical line leak detectors, and the Sol had been excausted from around them. The soil has kise-caired in clound the excavation and portions of the 4 steps are now in content with the Soil. The Swing Joints have Lad zip boots installed to protect them from Corrosion, however the hoot is tom on the premium pipe.
The 4 fill boxes are all equipped with spall buckets and have been painted as per Api 16.37. The paint has faded and it is recommended to re point the fills as per Api 1637 .
Tank levels et the time of inspection
(1) Diesel 46 38 inches.
(2) Regular ul 334 uncles.
(3) premium ul 2858 inches.
(4) plus $u$. $4 \frac{1}{8}$ inches.

The 4 monitor wells were baited resultsuace SEwell $3^{\prime} 10^{\prime \prime}$ Towcter no sheen ar odor NW well $3^{\prime} 10^{\prime \prime}$ To wotel no sheen or ado sw well $3^{\prime} 8^{\prime \prime}$ To water no sheen or odes NE well $3^{\prime} 2^{\prime \prime}$ To water NO Sheen ar odor

Florida Department of Environ: IP Protection Bureau of Petroleum rage Systems Storage Tank Facility Compliance Inspection Report

Facility Name:
Exxon 5132 Facility m:8503053 Date: $9 / 20 / 00$

Ruherite
Description / Inspector's Comments
Comments Diesel Dispenser has 18-20 oloservatias. 1 inches of Diesel in the dispenser liner, howevel no leaks were observed at the time of this inspection. Remove the liquid frons He liner, and check for any leaks when System is put beck into eradicate service. The sher value is installed and mounted properly,

* 3/4 Gas Dispenser. Las a dry liner, but ail 3 sheer values ark not tightly mounted
* 1/2 Gas Dispenser has a dry liner, bit all tHree sheer values are not tightly mounted.
The facility was closed at the time of the inspection, but the tanks have not been registered as Temporevily out of Service nor do they tet out af service requirements.
E.L. WILLIAMS, INC. 1212 W. Lwingston St.
Orlando, FL 32805
(407) 4226437


FAI TRANSMISSIOH EEADER

20: $\qquad$

7200:

$\qquad$
$\qquad$
MDPBER OE PAGES SEAT: C2
(IMCLUDTEG G世IS PB\&E)
XE PROBLEAS OCCUR AT REOEIVING ELD PLERSE CASL: A07-422-2437


MESSAGE: $\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
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\begin{aligned}
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## homitoring hell sampling report

W. E. Moore

7651 Havenford $c t$.
Orlanda, FL 32818
407-292-6799
ZOCATION:
Exxan Service Center $\qquad$

OWNER:
W11liams 0.11
Sleepy Holdow Rd, Leesburg, EL.

SAMPLE DATE: $1-29.95$ TIME: 11.15

RESHLTS WELL 1 WELL 2 HELL 3 . HELL 4 WELL 5 WELL 6 WELL 7



> W. E. Moore
> 7651 Eavenford Ct.
> Orlando, FL 32818
> $407-292-6799$

```
iocation:
    Exvon_Seryice center
    80\Omega llS 119, Erystal River_EI
OWNER: Williams Oil
    Sleepy Hollow Ri, c leesburg, 'EI,
Sample date: 2-19-95 TIme: 延: 15
```

| RESULTS | WELL 1 | WELI 2 | HELL 3 - | WELI 4 | WEL 5 | WELL 6 | WELL 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HEL HATER LEVEL | $4^{\prime \prime} 7^{\prime \prime}$ | $4^{\prime \prime \prime}$ | $4^{\prime \prime} 8^{\prime \prime}$ | 4'4゙ |  |  |  |
| a.spearance | Good | Good | Good | Geod |  |  |  |
| PRODUCT DETECTIOM | 72 \% M | OPPM | OPPA | OPPİ |  |  |  |
| SAATPLE COLLECTED | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |


H. E. Moore

7651 Havenford ct.
Orlando, FL 32818
407-292-6799
SOCATION:
-Exxon Seevicercenter

OWNER: Williams Oil
Sleepy Hollow Ro, Leeshurg, FI.

SAMPLE DATE: 3-28-85'TTME: $2 \times 15$

| RESIITS | WELI 1 | WELS 2 | HELT 3 | HELL 4 | WEIJ 5 | WELL 6 | WELL 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| WELL WATER LEV포 | $4^{3} 7 / 2$ | $4^{\prime \prime} 8^{\prime \prime}$ | $4^{\prime} 8^{\prime \prime}$ | $4^{1} 5^{\prime \prime}$ | ? |  |  |
| APPEARARCE | Gcod | Gooer | Good | Good |  |  |  |
| RRODLICT DETECTION | 76 PPA | 5 PPM | 16 $18 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { SAMPLE } \\ & \text { COLLECTED } \end{aligned}$ | - | $\cdots$ | $\Gamma$ | $\sim$ |  |  |  |



US 19 South
H. E. Moore

7651 Havenford ct. Orlando, $E L 32818$
407-292-6799
SOCATION: Exxمn Seryice cantor. -
ONNER:
Wilitams 0ij
Sleepy Hollow RH, Leeshurg, EL. $\frac{i}{\vdots} \underset{\text { SAMPLE DATE: } 4-30-95}{ }$ TINE: $\angle \leq 50$

WELL 1 WELI 2 WELI 3 WELL 4 WEIL 5 WELL 6 HELL 7

$\because$
$\vdots$
$\vdots$

W4 E. Moore
7651 Havenford Ct.
Orlando, Fi 32818
$407-292-6799$

EOCATION: Exxon_Service center
Anח_lls 119, Crystal piver, $E I$
ONNER: WLlliams Oil
Sleepy Hollow Rides Leesbuxa, 'FI,

SAMPLE DATE: 5-21-95 TIME: 2:30

RESULTS HELL 1 WELL 2 WELL $3^{\circ}$ HELL 4 WEIL 5 HELI 6 HELL 7


r:

MONITORING WELL SAMPLENG REPORT
W. E. Moore

7651 Havenford ct.
orlandor FL 32818 407-292-6799

IOCATION: ExXON Service Center
Ron US 110 Crystal River: EI
OHNER: Williams Oil
Sleepy Hollow Ba, Leeshurg, FI,

SAMPLE DATE: $6-38-95$ TIME: 202

| RESULTS | HELL 1 | WEL3 2 | HELL 3 | WELL 4 | WELL 5 | WELL 6 | WELL 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| WELL HATER LEVEL | $4^{\prime \prime} 2^{\prime \prime}$ | $44^{\prime \prime}$ | $4^{\prime} 8^{\prime \prime}$ | $4^{\prime} 3^{\prime \prime}$ |  |  |  |
| APPEARANCE | Good | Copol | Good | Good |  |  |  |
| $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { PRODUCT } \\ & \text { DETECTION } \end{aligned}\right.$ | 200604 | $4 y$ Pem | $360 p 4$ | 18.8, 20.34 |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { SANPLE } \\ & \text { COLLECTED } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



US 19 South

MOMITORING WEL SAMFLING REPORT
W. E. Moore

7651 Havenford $C t$.
Orlando, $F$ I 32818
407-292-6799
IOCATION: EXxOn Servicescenter
S00 if 119, Crystal gizer, ET,
ONNER:
Williams Oid
Sleepy Hollow Ran Eeesbuzg, EI.

SAMPLE DATE: $2-28-95$ TIME: 1600


## MONTTORING WELL SAMPLING REPORT

> W, E. Moore 7651 Bavenford ct. Orlandor FL 32818 407~292-6799

亡OCATION: EXxon Segwine renter
R00 HE 119, CEystal Biver, Et
OWNER: Williams 011
Sleepy Hollou Bi. . Ieesburg "FI -

SAMPLE DATE: 8-30-95 TIME: $10: 50$

| RESULTS | Well 1 | MELL 2 | WELT ${ }^{\text {3 }}$ | WELL 4 | HELS 5 | WELI 6 | WELL 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HELL WATER LEVEL | $4^{\prime} 2 \frac{1}{2}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | $\psi^{\prime} 8^{\prime \prime}$ | $4^{\prime \prime} z^{\prime \prime}$ | $4{ }^{4} 50$ |  |  |  |
| appearance | Good | Good | Good | Cood |  |  |  |
| product DETECTION | $22 f$ fir | 15. | 11 rem | spen |  | $\cdot$ |  |
| SAMPLE collected |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



MOHITORING WELL SAMPLING REPORT
W. E. Moore

7651 Havenford ct. Orłando, FL 32818 407-292-6799

LOCATION:
Exxon Service Centex
R00 IIS 119, Crystal River, Ei._.
OWNER:
Hilliams Oil
Sleepy Hollow fde, Leesburg, EL

SAMPIE DATE: 103 -35

| pesults | WELI 1 | WEET 2 | HEL工 3 | W区LI 4 | WELI 5 | WELL 6 | WELE 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GELL HATER LEVEZ | $3^{i} 11^{11}$ | $4^{\prime} 9^{\prime \prime}$ | $5^{-1} 1^{\prime \prime}$ | $4^{\prime \prime} 7^{\prime \prime}$ | : |  |  |
| APPEARANCE | 985 | 5 | 800 | cexa |  |  |  |
| PRODUCT Detection | $\frac{22 \rho_{n}}{2}$ | 8.8 pfm | 10 pmPm | 9.4 10 mm |  |  |  |
| SAMPLE COLTECTED |  | , |  |  |  |  |  |



MONITORING WELL SAMPLING REPORT
H. E. Moore

7651 Havenford ct. orlando, FL 32818 407-292-6795

LOCATION: Exxon Services Center
Ron us ito, Crystal River, ri
OWNER: WILliams Oil
Sleepy Hollow Rd, Leeshurso-EI

$$
\text { SAMPLE DATE: } 11-26-75 \text { TIME: } 121 / 5
$$




US 19 South

> W. E. Moore
> 7651 Havenford Ct.
> Orlando, FI 32818
> $407-292-6799$
SAMPLE DATE: $12-31-85$ TIME: $\qquad$



US 19 South
W. Ey Moore

7651 Havenford ct. Orlando, Fi 32818 407-292-6799

## :OCATION:

Exion Service Center
800 IIS 119, Crystal Biver, Et
ONAER: Williams Oil
Sleepy Holiow Ra, Leesburge FI ${ }^{\text {F }}$



US 19 South

GONITORING WEL SAMFLIH REPORT
W. E. Moore

7651 Havenford ct. Orlando, FL 32818 407-292-6799

ZOCATION: Exxon Service center $\qquad$
800 HS 119, Crystal- Biver, EI

- OLNER:

Williams Oil
Sleepy Hollow Rd; Ieesburg Fit

SAMPLE DATE: $2-2,2 \leq$ TIME:

| RESULTS | HELT 2 | WELI 2 | WELL 3 | HELL 4 | WEdI 5 | WELL 6 | WELL 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GEIL HATER LEVEL. | $4^{1} 8^{\prime \prime}$ | $4^{\prime} 10^{\prime \prime}$ | 51 | $4^{\prime \prime} 10^{\prime \prime}$ | $\because$ |  |  |
| APPEARANCE | Cond | Good | Goed | Sood |  |  |  |
| PRODLICR DETECTION | 6.540 | Sppm | $4 P A M$ | 4.1 EAM |  |  |  |
| SAMELE COLIECTED |  | . |  |  |  |  |  |



H. E. Moore<br>7651 IHavenford ct.<br>Orlando, FL 32818<br>407-292-6799

IOCATION: Exxon Service Center
Bn us 119, Crystal River, EI
OWNER: fililiags Oil
Sleepy Hollow Ra; Leesburg, $E I_{\text {, }}$




E

$\begin{array}{ll}0 \\ 2 & 0 \\ 1\end{array}$

US 19 South

> W. E. Moore
> 7651 Havenford ct. orlando, FL 32818 $407-292-6799$

EOCATION: EEGrion_Service center
ROO US 119 Orystaliniver, EI-
OWNER: Williams Oil
Sleepy Hollow'Rd. LEeesburg, Fi




MOMLTORTM: NELL SAMPLING REPORT
W. Et Moore

7651 Havenford ct.
Orlando, FI, 32818
407-292-6799
:OCATION: ExMQn_Service Center

- BOLUS 110, Crystal. Diver, FI

OWNER: Williams Oil
Sleepy Hollow Raf; Leesburg, Ff

SAMPLE DATE: $5-3 /-T 4$ TIME: $3 \mathbb{O} C$


US 79 South

HONLTORKN: WELL SAMPLING REPORT
W. Et Moore

7651 Kiavonford ct.
Orlando, Fr, 32818
407-292.-6799
:OCATION: Exxan_Service_Cemmex

OWNER: Williams Oil
SleEpy HOllow BG, Leesburg, Et

SAMPLE DATE: 3 TIME: $3 / 20$



## HOMITORING WELL SNHPLIG REPORT

W. E. Moore

7651 Havenford Ct.
Orlando, FL 32818 407-292-6799
iOCATION:
Exxon Servief Centar
AnO HK 110, frystal River, EI
OWNER: Williams oil
Sleepy Hollow Riombeesburg, FI

SAMPIIE DATE: $6=30.96$ TIME: 346


W. E, Moore

7651 Havenford ct.
Orlando, $\mathrm{FL} 32 \mathrm{B18}$

$$
407-292-6799
$$

LOCATION: Exxon_servicerenter
B00_llS 119, Crystal River, Ef
ONNER: Willians Oid
Sleepy HO110w BG, Leesburg, EI

SAMPLE DATE: $7-29-96$ tme: A OO


monitoring well sampling report
W. E. Moore

7651 Havenford ct.
orlando, FL 32818
407-292-6799
LOCATION:
Exxon Service Center

OHNER: Hilliamsoil
Sleepy Hollow Ri., Leesburg, EI.

SAMPLE DATE: $\mathbb{E} \not 27-86$ TIME: $1 / 00$



> W. E Moore
> 765 Havenford Ct Oriando, FL 32818 $407-292-6799$

BOCATION:
ExEan Seryiperentex

OWNER: Williams oji
Sleepy Hollww RA, i Kenburg, FI,

SAMPLE DATE: $7=30-76$ TIME: $\because 30$


W. E. Moore

7651 Havenford ct. Orlando, FL 32818 407-292-6799

IOCATION: Exxon Service Centex.
800 us 119, orystal River, FI
OWNER:
Hilliams Oil
Sleepy Hollow Rd, Leesburg, Fit

SAMPLE DATE: $10+30-96$ TIME: $\quad 10.30$

| RESULTS | WELL I | WELJ 2 | HELE 3 | WELE 4. | 2tEx 3 | WELL 6 | WELE 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| WEL HATER LEVEL | $4^{\prime \prime} 7^{\prime \prime}$ | $7^{\prime \prime} 8^{\prime \prime}$ | 48 | $4^{\prime} 7^{\prime \prime}$ |  |  |  |
| APPEARANCE | ciocel | $4000 d$ | Good | Rod |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { PRODICCT } \\ & \text { DETECTION } \end{aligned}$ | OPPM | OPPM | OPAl | CPP閏 |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { SAMPLE } \\ & \operatorname{COTMECTED} \end{aligned}$ | $\checkmark$ | - | , | , |  |  |  |



US 19 South
$\qquad$ -.

HONITGRING WELL SAMPLINE REPORT
W. E. Moore

7651 Havenford ct. Orlando, FL 32818 407-292-6799
LOCATION:
Evigne Sexrice canter
80n us 110, exystel: Rivex, EI
OWNER:
Wi11iamp Oi
Slespy Hollow Rd, fieesbury, Ft




US 19 South
W. E. Moore

7651 Havenford 'ct. Orlando, FL 32818

$$
407-292-6799
$$

LOCATION:
Exxon_Service Center
800. US 119, Crystal River, FI

OHNER: Williams oil
Sleepy Hollow Bd, Leesburg, EL.

SAMPLE DATE: $12-30-9$ TIME: 3.00



Site No. 64 Texaco \#24-203-0051
310 SE Suncoast Boulevard Crystal River, Florida FDEP I.D. No. 098503151 EPA I.D. No. FLD984174227


Division of Waste Management
Bureau of Petroleum Storage Systems

Storage Tank Facility Compliance Inspection Report

Facility ID $\qquad$ 8503151

County $\qquad$ Inspection Date $12 / 5 / 2000$
Facility Name $\qquad$ TEXACO \#24-203-0051 Facility Type $A-R \in T A / C$

$\qquad$ L/L Method A-GPS


- "Code" in block below corresponds to the Rule Cite; represents a Data Entry Code for ease of electronic data recording of inspection results.


Financial Responsibility - Verify owner's coverage. Select Insurance or Other, and provide Mechanism, if appropriate.
$\qquad$ Insurance Carrier: $\qquad$ Effective Date: 1/1/00 Expiration Date: $1 / 1 / 01$
$\qquad$ Other Coverage meeting federal financial responsibility requirements. Mechanism: $\qquad$
$\qquad$ None





$$
\text { Page } 1 \text { of }
$$

 y Compliance Inspection Report

Trinity Name: TEXACO 242030051 Facility m: 8503151 date: $12 / 5 / 02$

Buycite
Description / Inspector's Comments
Comments. Release detection is a Veeder root $T L S 350$ CSCD ATG (Analaim histary report is atteclal in file). The single walled pipe is tested By puDs Continuously. (please provide records fir the investigations for failed puD tests)
Monthly usual clocks of the dispensed Zines are done by Envirotrac, and conditions are noted on thess report.
swing Joints care catholicly protected lest stiviure to sal potential tend done $4 / 24 / 2000$ by TonkNolany next test due 4/2u/ 3001 .

The monitor wells are still open as pat of assesment for site retrabilitation.

All 4 Dispenser lines were dry.
$2000 / 2001$ placard i RDRC cire on display at the facility.

## Bureau of Petroleum Storage Systems <br> Facility Inspection Cover Page

Facilig Information
ID\#: 8503151
Name: TEXACO \#24-203-0051
310 Se Hwy Us 19
Crystal River, FL 32629
Contact: George Johnston
Phone: 407-263-7005 ToNX AHWell $\left.\begin{array}{c}513-67 i-2693\end{array}\right\}$
Name: Motiva Enterprises Llc 650 S North Lake Blvd \#450
Attn: Catherine Fields
Altamonte Springs, FL 32701
Phone: 407-263-7029
Tank Owner lnformation
Name: Motiva Enterprises Llc 650 S North Lake Blvd ${ }^{+1} 450$
Attn: Catherine Fields
Altamonte Springs, FL 32701
Phone: 407-263-7029
Tank

| Tank | Size Content | Installed | Placemen |  | A | Pipe | , |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 10000 Unleaded Gas | 07/01/1983 | UNDER | U | $\begin{gathered} A \\ E \\ M \\ O \end{gathered}$ | $C$ $K$ $E$ $J$ | $G$ $L$ 4 |  |
| 2 | 10000 Unleaded Gas | 07/01/1983 | UNDER | U | $\begin{aligned} & A \\ & E \\ & M \\ & O \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & C \\ & \underset{K}{E} \\ & \mathrm{~J} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & G \\ & L \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 3 | 10000 Unleaded Gas | 07/01/1983 | UNDER | U | A E M O | C K E J | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{G} \\ & \mathrm{~L} \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ | $4(m)$ |
| 4 | 10000 Vehicular Diesel | 07/01/1983 | UNDER | U | A $E$ $M$ $O$ | C K E J | $\begin{aligned} & G \\ & L \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ |  |

; 550 Waste Oil 07/01/1965 UNDER B

[^16]Storage Tank Facility Compliance Inspection Report

Facility ID $\qquad$ 8503064 County $\qquad$ Inspection Date


Facility Name $\qquad$ TEXACE \#24-203-1357

$$
\text { Latitude } 2853,3 / 6
$$

Facility Type ARETALC

$$
\text { Longitude } 82^{\circ} 35^{\prime} 03^{\prime}
$$

L/L Method $A-G \not 25$

| Check box to identify type of inspection performed. Update latitude/longitude as necessary. <br> Provide Lat/Long Determination Method. ("Map", "AGPS" (Magellan), "GGPS" (Trimble). <br> Provide the count of USTs and/or ASTs reviewed during this inspection | \# UTs <br> Inspected | \# ATS <br> Inspected |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Compliance Inspection (Annual) | TAI |  | Installation Inspection | TIN |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Compliance Inspection (DRF received) | TCDI | S | Closure Inspection | TXI |  |
| Compliance Inspection (Complaint received) | TCPI |  | Compliance Re-Inspection | TR |  |
| Discharge Evaluation ("short form") | DI |  | $* * \quad$ Record the results of the TDI in a Discharge Project | . |  |

- "Code" in block below corresponds to the Rule Cite; represents a Data Entry Code for ease of electronic data recording of inspection results.

Rule Cite
Description / Inspector's Comments
Code



Page 1 of 2
acility Name:TexAC0 24-203-1357 Facility $m: \$ 503064$ Date: $4 / 1 / 01$

|  | The absubent pads were wrappedin |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | plastic and will be propuly disposed off |
|  | K please send the manifest |
|  | for the proper disposal of the absurbeate |

The Concreteasea including the asphalt behind the vent was affected dee to the rain. no sheen woes seen intle storm wasturun off on US Is.
the clear up wis handled by Equirotiac Thy arrival $\approx 10: 30 \mathrm{Am}$ Clean up took $\approx 3$ hows.

At this 9:4s Am $4 / 3 / 2001$ no product uss seen $s+$ the area. no staining was seen ontle concrete the absubent metcial was wrapped in plastic awaiting propped disposal.

# Bureau of Petroleum Storage Systems Facility Inspection Cover Page 

## Facility Information

ID\#: 8503064
Name: TEXACO STATION \#101708
59 Us 19 S
Crystal River, FL 32629-4808
Contact:
Phone: --

District: SWD
County: Citrus
Type: Retail Station
Status: Open
Latitude: 28:53:37.0000
Longitude: 82:35:03.0000
L工 AGPS

Account Ownex Information
Name: Motiva Enterprises Llc
650 S North Lake Blvd \#450
Attn: Catherine Fields
Altamonte Springs, FL 32701
Phone: 407-654-6897
Tank Owner Information
Name: Motiva Enterprises Llc
650 S North Lake Blvd \#450
Attn: Catherine Fields
Altamonte Springs, FL 32701
Phone: 407-654-6897

Tank 8000 Unleaded Gas 02/01/1996 UNDER


## Site No. 67 Capital City Bank (aka Barnett Bank)

 101 SE Suncoast Boulevard Crystal River, Florida FDEP I.D. No. 099400262February 7, 1994

## Certified Mail

Barnett Bank
P.O. Box 4099

Jacksonville, Fl. 32201
Att: Richard Withers
Ref. Unregistered
Former Barnett Bank
101 S.E. Hwy. 19
Crystal River, Fl. 34429
Richard Withers,
The department has completed its review of the contamination findings in the letter dated January 26, 1994 submitted by Environmental Science \& Engineering. Copies of inspection report, discharge reporting form and FPLIRP checklist are attached.

At this time a contamination assessment as defined in Chapter 17-770, F.A.C. should be initiated within 30 days and a Contamination Assessment Report (CAR) must be prepared and submitted within six months from the date contamination was found. Two copies of the report should be submitted to Ms. Laurel Lucado, Department of Environmental Protection, 3804 Coconut Palm Drive, Tampa, Florida 33619.

If you have any questions concerning this letter, feel free to contact Ms. Laurel Lucado at (813)744-6100 Ext. 427.
Thanking you in advance,

Richard T. Sosa
Tanks Program Supervisor
Citrus County Fire Prevention
Attachments:
CC: Laurel Lucado - FDEP, Tampa Leslie Pedigo - FDEP, Tampa
Bill Truman - FDEP, Tallahassee
Tom Lowery - Environmental Science \& Engineering, Inc.

State of Florida
Department of Environmental Regulation
Pollutant Storage Tank System Inspection Report Form

Facility: ID. \#: $\qquad$ County: $\qquad$ Citrous
Facility Name: Former BARNET BANi<

Facility Contact: Phone:
Owner: BARNRTTBANK Phone:
Owner Address: P. O. Box
Owner Contact: Richaralwititert Owner Change Date:



Comments: TApis Removes FRom J1E゙ 1483

Inspection Type: (Choose One)
Site Information: (All that apply)RoutineDischarge (DRF)Closure.AbandonedReinspectionNear Public WellsRepairedContaminatedUpgradedComplaintEN UST \& AFTAcid TanksHazardous Materials
DER District or Local Program cithoj County Fire Prevention Plcotino Ti $\sqrt{0}$ an


Contact Name (Print):
Inspector's Signature \& Date Contact's Signature \& Date

Site No. 68 Big Lots (aka Kmart) 146 SE Suncoast Boulevard Crystal River, Florida FDEP I.D. No. 098626550

# CITRUS COUNTY FIRE PREVENTION BUREAU 

Date: September 27, 1990
Name : Ms. Gerri Pareira
Company: K-Mart
Street: 146 S.E. Hwy 19
State: Crystal River, Florida 32629

Dear: Ms. Pereira,
Attached are the 17-61 Florida Administrative Code compliance inspection results for the above named facility. Please review the noncompliance items checked in the "No" column and explained at the bottom of the attached inspection checklist. For any item checked UNK" (unknown) please review and explain the deficiency. Please submit a response within 14 days which provides .a. schedule for correcting the noted deficiencies. Only if no's or unknowns are checked, see comments on front page of inspection report.
If you have. any questions concerning this letter, please call us
at $(904) 746-1335$.

Sincerely,
kl


State of Florida
Department of Environmental Regulation
Pollutant Storage Tank System Inspection Report Form
=acing $10 \mathrm{No}:-\frac{096626550}{K-02 A R T}$
Facility Name:

Operator: - $\qquad$ Phone:
$\qquad$ Phone: $\qquad$
Owner: $\qquad$ Township $\qquad$ Range $\qquad$

 fltw.w. wo Condtinnatioul



| spection Type: |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\beth$ complaint Response | $\square$ Reinspection | Facility Information: |  |
| $\square$ Initial | $\square$ Installation | $\square$ Abandoned | $\square$ Non-retail |
| $\beth$ EDO | $\square$ Aboveground | $\square$ Retail |  |
| $\beth$ Public Well Field | $\square$ Tank Removal | $\square$ Unregistered | $\square$ Govt-Federal |

ج District:;
Local Program:

ations must be corrected by: next routine inspection $\square$

CITRuj County FluE fREvRNTON

Facility Contact's Signature \& Date or by: $\square$ $\frac{\mathrm{m}^{\prime}}{} / \frac{}{\text { day }}{ }^{\prime} \frac{}{\mathrm{yr}}$
arm 61.01-88 (04-01-88)

Site No. 69 Circle K \#2814 16 NE Suncoast Boulevard Crystal River, Florida FDEP I.D. No. 098518709 EPA I.D. No. FLD984254136

Flo. ia Department of Environmental PT ...con
Twin Towers Ore Bldg. 2600 Blair Stone Road o Tallahassee, Fun da 32399-2400
Division of Waste Management
Bureau of Petroleum Storage Systems

Storage Tank Facility Compliance Inspection Report

Facility ID $\square$ 8518709

County $\qquad$ CITRUS

Inspection Date


Facility Name $\qquad$ CIRCLE K 2814

Facility Type $\square$ $A-R E T A / L$

Latitude $\qquad$ $285342 "$

Longitude $\qquad$

L/L Method $\square$


Check box to identify type of inspection performed. Update latitude/longitude as necessary.
Provide Lat/Long Determination Method. ("Map", "AGPS" (Magellan), "GGPS" (Trimble)).
Provide the count of USTs and/or ASTs reviewed during this inspection

| \# USTs <br> Inspected | $\}$ | \# ATS <br> Inspected |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Compliance Inspection (Annual) | TCI | As | Installation Inspection | TIN |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Compliance Inspection (DRF received) | TCDI |  | Closure Inspection | TXI |  |
| Compliance Inspection (Complaint received) | TCPI |  | Compliance Re-Inspection | TR |  |
| Discharge Evaluation ("short form") | TDI |  | $* *$ Record the results of the TDI in a Discharge Project |  |  |

- "Code" in block below corresponds to the Rule Cite; represents a Data Entry Code for ease of electronic data recording of inspection results.



Taxilis Name:C.RCl K 2814 Frailly w: 851870 n Date $9 / 19 / 00$.

- Site Description / Inspector's Comments

Comments The Tanks, Lines, and Line leek detectors were tested by $A A A$ Tank Testers all parsed
Tanks tested $8 / 3 / 98$ Due $8 / 3 / 2001$ L(D tested 7/22/2021) Due 7/22/2001 Cinestested 7/22/200

Siccrifietsl anode cathodic protection System had dis Structure to soil survey per fumed by Fonknology on $10-20-98$

Conditions noted at time of inspection. all Dispensed lines weredry.
Regulates ul sumps were dry premium sump $\approx 1-2$ inches of liquid.

Cathodic protection system is fecitery installed Sacrificial Anode not impressed current please confirm when the structure to Sol testimas performed.

## ORIGINAL

## Remedial Action Plan

Circle K Store \#2814
FDEP Facility No. 098518709
FDEP Work Order No. 2003-95-1309

Prepared For:
Florida Department of Environmental Protection
2600 Blair Stone Road
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-2400

## REMEDIAL ACTION PLAN

Circle K Store \#2814
16 NE Highway 19
Crystal River, Florida
ATC Project No. 16564.0405
FDEP Facility No. 098518709
FDEP Work Order No. 2003-95-1309

December 2002

Prepared For:

Ms. Bevin K. Hankley
Florida Department of Environmental Protection
2600 Blair Stone Road
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-2400

ATC ASSOCIATES INC.
Prepared by:


ATC ASSOCIATES INC.
Reviewed by:


Division Manager

# PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING CERTIFICATION 

## REMEDIAL ACTION PLAN

## Circle K Store \#2814

16 NE U.S. Highway 19

Crystal River, Florida

FDEP Facility No. 098518709
ATC Project No. 16563.0405
FDEP Work Order No. 2003-95-1309

December 27, 2002
The engineering information in this document was prepared by and/or under the direct supervision of a Florida licensed Professional Engineer. The engineer certifies that the document conforms to currently accepted engineering practices pursuant to Chapter 471 of the Florida Statutes.
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REMEDIAL ACTION PLAN<br>CIRCLE K \# 2814<br>16 NE U.S. HIGHWAY 19<br>CRYSTAL RIVER, FLORIDA<br>FDEP FACILITY NO. 098518709

### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

ATC Associates Inc. (ATC) has prepared a Remedial Action Plan (RAP) for Circle K No. 2814 (site), located at 16 NE U.S. Highway 19, Citrus County, Florida. This report will present a cost effective and technically feasible remedial design to address the on-site soil and groundwater petroleum contamination identified in the Contamination Assessment Report (CAR) and CAR Addendum submitted by ATEC Associates, Inc in March and September 1995, respectively, and subsequent site assessment activities. This RAP document has been prepared in accordance with the Florida Department of Environmental Protection (FDEP) Petroleum Cleanup Pre-Approval Work Order 2003-95-1309 and Chapter 62-770, Florida Administrative Code (FAC).

### 2.0 BACKGROUND INFORMATION

The site is located at the intersection of NE $1^{5}$ Terrace and 16 NE U.S. Highway 19 in Crystal River, Citrus County, Florida, in the southeast quadrant of Section 21, Township 18 South, Range 17 East of the United States Geological Survey (USGS) Crystal River, Florida Quadrangle Map. The elevation at the site is approximately 10 feet above mean sea level (msl).

The site is currently a gasoline and retail convenience store with three underground storage tanks (USTs). The Circle K property is rectangular in shape. As shown in Figure 1, the facility consists of a single building, a UST pit and a single canopy fuel island located in the eastern portion of the site. The western portion of the site is vacant. The UST pit is covered with concrete, with the surrounding areas covered with asphalt or unpaved. The site is bordered to the north and west by shopping centers, to the south by NE $1^{s}$ Terrace and to the east by U.S. Highway 19.

As reported in the Contamination Assessment Report (CAR), stormwater runoff appears to flow toward a drainage gutter along the west side of U.S. Highway 19, which drains to a small retention pond. Stormwater is also able to recharge the shallow surficial aquifer through the unpaved areas on the west side of the site.

A Professional Land Survey (PLS) was prepared by Van Norman \& Associates, Inc., of Crystal River, FL in September 2001 as part of a previous Preapproval Work Order. A site plan is provided as Figure 1.

According to the CAR, a Discharge Notification Form (DRF) was submitted on November 1, 1988. According to the DRF, the discharge was due to an overfill of the UST system. The site was accepted into the Early Detection Incentive (EDI) Program on January 22, 1990. FDEP correspondence approving EDI and reimbursed cleanup are presented in Appendix A.

ATEC completed a contamination assessment for the site, and their CAR was submitted to the FDEP in March 1995. ATEC also submitted a CAR Addendum in September 1995. The FDEP issued a letter approving the CAR and Addenda on February 2, 1996 (attached as part of Appendix A). ATC performed supplemental site assessment activities and submitted a Level 3 Site Assessment Report (November 2000), a Level 4 Site Assessment Report (December 2001) and a General Site Assessment Report (June 2002).

### 3.0 SITE ASSESSMENT SUMMARY

Information presented in Section 3 was taken from the aforementioned CAR, the CAR Addenda prepared by ATEC and the subsequent assessment reports submitted by ATC. The data from the September 2002 groundwater sampling, which is part of the RAP Work Order, were presented to the FDEP in a Groundwater Sampling Report dated October 23, 2002.

### 3.1 Potable Well Survey

ATC conducted a potable well survey, which was reported in the General Site Assessment Report dated June 19, 2002 . One public supply well was located within a one-half mile radius of the site. No private or small potable water wells were identified within a $1 / 4$-mile radius of the site.

### 3.2 Utility Survey

Utility locations are shown on Figure 1.

### 3.3 Geologic Profile

The CAR states that the site geology consisted of fine-grained sand, clayey sand and sandy clay to a depth of approximately 10 to 14 feet below land surface (bls). A limestone unit is present beneath the unconsolidated sediments and extends to at least 28 feet bls. Several voids were encountered in the limestone unit. No data was obtained below 28 feet bls.

### 3.4 Soil Screening

As noted in the CAR, ATEC performed soil assessment activities at the site in 1995 and identified excessively contaminated soil (Organic Vapor Analyzer (OVA) readings) in excess of 500 parts per million (ppm) in the vicinity of the dispenser island.

During the assessment activities performed by.ATC in 2000, 2001 and 2002, ATC installed soil borings and monitoring wells, took OVA readings from each and submitted five soil samples for laboratory analyses (one on 10/24/00 and four on $11 / 7 / 01$ ). The soil assessment identified elevated OVA readings from the soil samples collected from the vadoze zone (see Table 1 and

Figure 2). Petroleum contaminants above the soil cleanup target levels established in Chapter 62-777, FAC, were detected in three of the soil samples analyzed by the laboratory (see Table 2). Based on the results of the OVA screening and the laboratory analyses, it appears that soils exhibiting OVA concentrations greater than 500 parts per million (ppm) at the site are petroleum contaminated.

The estimate mass of contamination in soil is calculated in Appendix B.

### 3.5 Aquifer Characterization

Groundwater levels range from approximately 3.05 to 6.30 feet bls with seasonal variation (Table 3). The groundwater flow direction appears to be towards the north and northwest. As reported in the CAR, the average hydraulic gradient at the site is 0.019 foot. The CAR reported an average hydraulic conductivity for the zone from 3.5 to 13.5 feet bls of 30.76 feet per day based on single well aquifer tests.

### 3.6 Groundwater Chemistry

A summary of the groundwater chemistry data collected for the site is presented in Table 4. The approximate extent of the dissolved benzene plume is shown on Figure 3 and the naphthalene plume is shown on Figure 4. The estimated mass of contamination in groundwater is calculated in Appendix $B$.

### 4.0 REMEDIAL ACTION ALTERNATIVE SELECTION

The following alternatives were considered as potential technologies for obtaining site closure:

- Submersible pump groundwater recovery and treatment;
- Vapor extraction;
- Dual phase extraction;
- Soil Excavation;
- Chemical Oxidation;
- Air sparging in conjunction with soil vapor extraction; and
- Air sparging in conjunction with vacuum recovery.


## Submersible pump groundwater recovery and treatment

Experience in the remediation of petroleum-contaminated sites indicates that groundwater recovery using submersible pumps may not be the most cost-effective or expedient technology. Remediation projects using submersible pumps have historically had a very long project life (much longer than most models predict). Additionally, the use of groundwater recovery alone as a remedial strategy does not address all phases of the contaminated media (i.e., contaminated soil). Groundwater recovery may be effective for hydraulic control of the dissolved petroleum contaminant plume if other remedial technologies cannot be applied due to vertical or horizontal site restrictions.

ATC does not recommend submersible pump groundwater recovery.

## Vapor Extraction (VE)

A VE system can be used to remediate the vadose and groundwater fluctuation zones. At sites where dissolved phase contaminants are present, an additional remediation method must be used. VE is not effective at sites with high water table elevations. The groundwater will cover the exposed well screen, reducing or possibly stopping the VE airflow.

Possible remedial benefits of adding vacuum enhancement to a groundwater recovery system are the potential remediation of the vadose and groundwater fluctuation zones. This enhancement overcomes some of the inherent limitations in groundwater recovery as a remedial method. However, as stated above, groundwater recovery at this site is not considered cost effective due to, the potential for an extended project life.

## Dual phase extraction (DPE)

Dual phase extraction (DPE) as remedial strategy would potentially remediate the vadose, the groundwater fluctuation zones, and the zone of groundwater contamination simultaneously. A
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large amount of air and water are extracted from the DPE wells, creating drawdown and exposing the adsorbed contaminants to vapor phase extraction. This technology uses expensive capital equipment (often an oil-sealed liquid ring vacuum pump). Additionally, maintenance costs on a DPE system are higher than systems using other technologies. Due to the construction of a liquid ring pump, oil can be ejected from the vapor stream if vacuum levels drop below the required operating conditions (typically $14^{\prime \prime}$ to $18^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{Hg}$ ).

ATC has experienced success remediating sites with DPE, however the general consensus with the FDEP is that DPE is not generally as effective as AS/VE. Therefore, whenever possible, ATC will propose AS/VE instead of DPE.

## Soil Excavation

Soil excavation (and subsequent off-site thermal treatment) is one of the most effective ways to reduce the source area at a petroleum-contaminated site, provided the source area is accessible to excavation activities. Soil excavation within the vadose zone and smear zone reduces the amount of source material present within the subsurface. This reduction in source material reduces the amount of adsorbed contaminants, which can desorb into the aqueous phase thus stopping the continual migration of contaminants from the soil matrix into the surrounding aquifer. Although soil excavation does reduce the amount of soil source material present at a contaminated site, it does not address the dissolved phase contaminants already present in the aqueous phase. It should also be noted that soil excavation is costly, especially if a large amount of soil needs removal and treatment.

Typically construction de-watering with discharge to storm water facilities is conducted in conjunction with a soil excavation to address the dissolved phase petroleum hydrocarbons. Generally, a short-term NPDES permit is required to discharge the recovered water. If certain contaminants such as lead are present, an NPDES-permitted discharge is not feasible.

Soil excavation is not recommended for this site since some of the source material is underneath the fuel / piping system and due to the presence of dissolved phase contaminants.

## Chemical Oxidation

Chemical oxidation of hydrocarbons appears to be an effective technology for remediating hydrocarbon contamination. This technology is highly exothermic and can damage underground pipes, tanks, and utilities. Since this site is an active gasoline station, chemical oxidation is not an appropriate remedial technology.

## Air sparging in conjunction with soil vapor extraction (AS/VE)

Air sparging (AS) in conjunction with soil vapor extraction (VE) was also considered as an alternative. AS technology can be used in a variety of geological and hydrogeological settings, as well as at sites with varying concentrations and aerial distributions of petroleum contaminants. The primary remedial processes promoted by AS are in-situ stripping of dissolved hydrocarbons with sufficiently high Henry's Law constants, enhanced aerobic biodegradation of dissolved phase contaminants due to increased dissolved oxygen levels, and volatilization of adsorbed phase constituents. At petroleum-impacted sites, the primary contaminants are volatile organic aromatic (VOA) compounds such as BTEX and naphthalene. The VOA and lighter PAH (naphthalene) compounds are highly amenable to remediation by AS and VE processes due to their volatility, strip ability and aerobic biodegradability characteristics.

A VE system is typically used in conjunction with AS to remediate the vadose and groundwater fluctuation zones as well as to recover vapors generated during AS. Similar to AS, the primary remedial processes promoted by VE are stripping, volatilization and biodegradation. VE is not effective at sites with high water table elevations. The groundwater will cover the exposed well screen, reducing or possibly stopping the VE airflow. This renders the VE system ineffective and can allow a pressure buildup from the AS system.

Due to the high water table conditions at this site, AS/VE is not considered effective. In addition, air sparging is not considered feasible due to the presence of limestone at a relatively shallow depth ( 10 to 14 feet bls).

## Vacuum Recovery (AS/VR)

Vacuum recovery (VR) is similar to VE except a vacuum blower capable of higher vacuums is used. The blower will have sufficient vacuum to extract water and air from the VR wells, allowing airflow in the event of high water table. Additionally, since this system is expected to extract groundwater, a method of treatment (liquid phase carbon or an air stripper) is required.

A RAP Summary is attached as Appendix C.

### 5.0 RECOMMENDED REMEDIAL ACTION

ATC recommends that soil and groundwater remediation at this site be performed by a VR system. VR will be implemented to extract groundwater from the saturated zone and soil vapors from the vadose and groundwater fluctuation zones and soils exposed as a result of groundwater withdrawal.

### 5.1 Vapor Recovery System Design

A VR system consisting of five vapor recovery wells is proposed for this site. The locations of the proposed vapor recovery wells are provided in Figure 5 and the process flow diagram is illustrated in Figure 6. A radius of influence of 25 feet per well was assumed for the design. The assumed 25 feet radius of influence was based on ATC's experience with sites with similar geologic and aquifer characteristics.

The vapor recovery wells will be installed to total depths of 12 feet bls and screened from 3 to 12 feet bls (Figure 7). The depth of the proposed vapor recovery wells was selected based on the shallow nature of the dissolved petroleum contamination (i.e., the depth to water is generally three to six feet bls and dissolved petroleum contamination has not been detected in the vertical extent well, which is 30 feet deep). All vapor recovery well points will be operated simultaneously during the vapor recovery process. A Roots URAI 711 blower (or equivalent) will be used to extract water and air. Air is expected to be withdrawn from each well at a rate of approximately 50 standard cubic feet per minute ( scfm ). Water is expected to be withdrawn from each well at a rate of approximately two gallons per minute (gpm). Recovered groundwater will be treated using a low profile air stripper to remove dissolved petroleum constituents prior to discharge to an on-site infiltration gallery (Appendix D). The discharge water will be transferred from the air stripper to the infiltration gallery via a transfer pump. The proposed infiltration gallery details are depicted on Figure 8.

Each piping run will be equipped with quick connect ports to insert an assembly utilizing a vacuum gauge and a flow meter. The line sizing and VR design calculations are presented in Appendix E. The transfer pump sizing and calculations are provided in Appendix F. The air stripper design calculations and specifications are presented in Appendix G. The remediation equipment including the vacuum pump and the air stripper will be placed within a fenced compound on the west side of the site. The proposed treatment system will be trailer mounted and thus an equipment layout will not be provided until prepared by the vendor.

Purchasing the equipment will be more cost effective than leasing the equipment due to the anticipated cleanup time (refer to Section 6.0). A summary of the equipment specifications is presented in Table 5.

### 5.2 Vacuum Recovery System Vapor Phase Treatment

The initial estimated average Hazardous Air Pollutant (HAP) effluent discharged into the atmosphere is approximately $54.62 \mathrm{lbs} /$ day. This calculation is based upon converting the average OVA reading from the vadose zone soils within the plume to a vapor hydrocarbon concentration. The calculation is provided in Appendix H. The FDEP guideline limit for vapor
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emissions is 13.7 pounds of HAP per day. Therefore, vapor phase treatment will be required at this site. A granulated activated carbon (GAC) adsorption system is proposed as the most appropriate offgas treatment technique. A thermal incinerator or catalytic oxidation unit is not recommended because of the higher operating costs (monthly lease and electrical or propane fees) and numerous maintenance problems.

The proposed GAC system consists of a 2,000-pound vessel. Design of the GAC system was based on estimated influent total hydrocarbon concentrations in conjunction with the estimated loading rate. The initial $2,000 \mathrm{lbs}$ vessel of carbon is expected to be spent in approximately seven days (as calculated in Appendix H). Organic vapor concentrations will be monitored with an OVA on a daily basis during start-up and a weekly basis for the first month of system operation. Air samples will be collected to evaluate HAP recovery. The samples will be analyzed for BTEX, MTBE and TPH via EPA Method 18. One air sample will be collected from the untreated air at start-up. Subsequent air samples will be collected from the untreated air and the GAC treated air at each regularly scheduled O\&M visit until the HAP recovery rate falls below 13.7 pounds per day. Carbon will be replaced on an as needed basis, based on OVA measurements and chemistry analysis taken from "after carbon" sample ports. The carbon vessels will be removed when the daily emission is less than 13.7 pounds of hydrocarbons per day based on the untreated air influent sample analysis, with a minimum of 30 days off-gas treatment (see Section 7.1).

### 5.3 Construction

The major phases of construction include: installation of the five vapor recovery wells; trenching and system piping; plumbing connections and installation of vault boxes for the vapor recovery well heads; installation of the equipment pad and fenced enclosure; piping/plumbing of all skid mounted equipment; installation of the infiltration gallery; coordinating the temporary power pole and meter installation; electrical connections for all motorized equipment; and start-up services. The proposed Trenching Layout is presented as Figure 9. The proposed construction activities should be completed within approximately 12 working days. Petroleum contaminated soil (based on OVA/FID field screening) encountered during construction activities (i.e. during trenching) will be disposed of at a licensed treatment facility if it is not suitable for re-use as backfill material. Record drawings will be submitted upon completion of system construction. Please note, Withlachochee River Electric Cooperative (WREC) will supply power. ATC contacted WREC in order to determine if three-phase power was available to the site. At the time of report preparation, no response has been received. Control panel specifications are provided within Appendix I.

# Remedial Action Plan 

Circle K \# 2814
Crystal River, Florida
ATC Project No. 16564.0405
FDEP Facility No. 098518709

### 6.0 ESTMMATED PROJECT LIFE

The "mixed tank" model (continuously mixed tank reactor) was used to estimate the project life for groundwater remediation with VR. The mixed tank model assumes that contaminated water is pumped from a well-mixed aquifer at the same rate that clean water is entering. The model results and assumptions used are presented in Appendix $\mathbf{J}$. The model predicts dissolved benzene levels will reach Chapter 62-777, FAC Table V cleanup levels in approximately 130 days. The most elevated petroleum constituent concentration detected at the site is naphthalene, however, a project life based on naphthalene could not be estimated because the coefficients were not available. Therefore, ATC projects a minimum project life of one year for this site. Please note that this model assumes that all contaminated groundwater removed from the aquifer is replaced by "clean" water and that there is no continual source material contributing contaminants into the aquifer system. Any contaminants contained within the surficial aquifer system, especially the smear zone, that have not been identified can act as a continual source into the aquifer and thus extend cleanup times beyond the mixed tank model predictions. It must also be noted that since a pilot study was not performed, groundwater and air flow rates could vary appreciably, thus altering the project life estimate. ATC proposes that a one-year project life be used for this site.

### 7.0 MONITORING AND MAINTENANCE

Remediation system start-up is expected to be conducted within two weeks of completing construction activities. Monitoring and sampling will be performed by trained personnel in accordance with the procedures outlined in ATC's FDEP approved Comprehensive Quality Assurance Plan (\#890174G). During remediation, groundwater levels will be measured in the monitoring weils quarterly. Operation and maintenance site visits will be conducted weekly for the first month and monthly thereafter. Proposed operation and maintenance (O\&M) activities are summarized in the following subsections.

### 7.1 Vapor Recovery System

Air samples from the VR system will be collected as outlined in Section 5.2. Once HAP recovery levels have been reduced to acceptable discharge levels (after a minimum of 30 days of operation), notification of the removal of the air treatment will be submitted to the FDEP.

Water effluent samples from the air stripper will be collected during start-up and monthly, thereafter, for analysis of BTEX/MTBE (EPA Method 8021) and PAHs (EPA Method 8310). Total influent water samples will be collected monthly for the first quarter and quarterly thereafter for analysis of BTEX/MTBE and PAHs.

O\&M will be performed in conjunction with VR system sampling. The O\&M activities will include but not be limited to: checking for line leaks; adjusting flow rates for the vapor recovery wells; and running a general maintenance check. Collection of vacuum and

OVA/FID readings at select monitoring points will be performed quarterly to evaluate effectiveness of the remediation system.

### 7.2 Groundwater Monitoring

Quarterly sampling of select monitoring wells will be performed to monitor the progress of remediation of dissolved petroleum constituents. Monitoring wells MW-13 (upgradient), MW-6, MW-8R and MW-11 (source), MW-12 and MW-7R (downgradient) will be sampled and analyzed for BTEX/MTBE and PAHs via EPA Methods 8021 and 8310, respectively. ATC will collect groundwater samples from all on-site monitoring wells at the completion of one year of system operation for analysis of BTEX/MTBE and PAHs.

### 7.3 Soil Sampling

Three confirmatory soil samples will be collected in areas previously identified as having soil contamination above SCTLs or greater than 500 ppm OVA readings. Soil samples will be analyzed for BTEX/MTBE, PAHs, and TRPHs to determine if the petroleum constituent concentrations in the vadose and groundwater fluctuation zone soils are below the Chapter 62777, FAC SCTLs.

### 7.4 Reporting

Quarterly status reports will be submitted to the FDEP in the form of quarterly operation \& maintenance reports. The reports will summarize the remedial activities conducted during the reporting period and will include site data presented on the FDEP Remedial Action O\&M Reporting tables.

### 8.0 ESTIMATED CONSTRUCTION COSTS

The estimated system construction costs are summarized in Table 6, and cost details are provided in Appendix K.

### 9.0 REMARKS

The recommendation, findings, or specifications contained in this report represent our professional opinions. These opinions were arrived at in accordance with currently accepted hydrogeologic and engineering practices at this time and location. Other than this, no warranty is implied or intended.

### 10.0 PAY FOR PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

ATC elects not to perform the proposed remedial actions on a pay for performance basis since the significant portion of the dissolved plume has migrated off-site.

TABLES

TABLE 1
SUMMARY OF SOIL SCREENNG RESULTS
CIRCLE K \# 2814
TAMPA, FLORIDA
ATC PROJECT NO. 16564.0405

| Sample Number | Sample Depth (f) | OVAFFID Reading (ppm) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Unfiltered | Filtered | Corrected |
| SB-16 | 0.1 | ND | NA | ND |
|  | 1-2 | ND | NA | ND |
|  | 2-3 | ND | NA | ND |
|  | 3-4 | 1,400 | ND | 1,400 |
|  | 4-5 | 1,030 | ND | 1,030 |
| SB-9 | 0-1 | 8 | NA | 8 |
|  | 1-2 | ND | NA | ND |
|  | 2-3 | ND | NA | ND |
|  | 3-4 | 400 | 100 | 300 |
| SB-11 | 0-1 | ND | NA | ND |
|  | 1-2 | ND | NA | ND |
|  | 2-3 | 10 | ND | 10 |
|  | 3-4 | 30 | ND | 30 |
| SB-13 | 0.1 | ND | NA | ND |
|  | 1-2 | ND | NA | ND |
|  | 2-3 | 30 | NA | NA |
|  | 3-4 | 700 | 35 | 665 |
| SB-15 | 0-1 | ND | NA | ND |
|  | 1-2 | ND | NA | ND |
|  | 2-3 | 25 | NA | NA |
|  | 3-4 | 1400 | 45 | 1355 |
| SB-17 | 0-1 | ND | NA | ND |
|  | 1-2 | ND | NA | ND |
|  | 2-3 | 50 | ND | 50 |
|  | 3-4 | 7000 | 200 | 6800 |
| SB-18 | 0-1 | ND | NA | ND |
|  | 1-2 | ND | NA | ND |
|  | 2-3 | ND | NA | ND |
|  | 3-4 | 15 | ND | 15 |
| SB-23 | 0-1 | 1200 | 25 | 1175 |
|  | 1-2 | 1900 | 120 | 1780 |
|  | 2-3 | 2000 | 100 | 1900 |
|  | 3-4 | 2800 | 300 | 2500 |
| SB-24 | 0-1 | ND | NA | ND |
|  | 1-2 | 10 | ND | 10 |
|  | 2-3 | 10 | ND | 10 |
|  | 3-4 | 200 | 35 | 165 |

All results are reported in parts per million (ppori).
Sample depth reporied in approximate foct below lend surface.
All measurements were made using an organic vapor analyzer equippod with a flame-ionization detector (OVAFID).
Filtered samples were passed through an activated casbon filter prior to measurement.
Corrected readings are reported as the difference between the unfiluered and fithered readings.
NA - Not analyzed.
ND $=$ Not detected.

| TABLE 1 <br> SUMMARY OF SOIL SCREENING RESULTS <br> CIRCLE K $\# 2814$ <br> CRYSTAL RIVER, FLORIDA <br> ATC PROJECT NO. 16564.0405 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample Number | Sample Depth (fi) | OVA/FID Reading (ppm) |  |  |
|  |  | Unfiltered | Filtered | Corrected |
| SB-28 | 0.1 | ND | NA | ND |
|  | 1-2 | ND | NA | ND |
|  | 2-3 | ND | NA | ND |
|  | 3-4 | ND | NA | ND |
| SB-29 | 0.1 | ND | NA | ND |
|  | 1-2 | ND | NA | ND |
|  | 2-3 | ND | NA | ND |
|  | 3-4 | 110 | ND | 110 |
| SB-30 | 0-1 | ND | NA | ND |
|  | 1-2 | ND | NA | ND |
|  | 2-3 | ND | NA | ND |
|  | 3-4 | ND | NA | ND |
| SB-31 | 0-1 | ND | NA | ND |
|  | 1-2 | ND | NA | ND |
|  | 2-3 | ND | NA | ND |
|  | 3-4 | ND | NA | ND |
| SB-32 | 0-1 | ND | NA | ND |
|  | 1-2 | ND | NA | ND |
| SB-33 | 0-1 | ND | NA | ND |
|  | 1-2 | ND | NA | ND |
|  | 2-3 | ND | NA | ND |
|  | 3-4 | ND | NA | ND |
| SB-34 | 0-1 | ND | NA | ND |
|  | 1.2 | ND | NA | ND |
|  | 2-3 | ND | NA | ND |
|  | 3-4 | ND | NA | ND |
| SB-35 | 0-1 | ND | NA | ND |
|  | 1-2 | ND | NA | ND |
|  | 2-3 | ND | NA | ND |
|  | 3-4 | ND | NA | ND |
| SB-36 | 0-1 | ND | NA | ND |
|  | 1-2 | ND | NA | ND |
|  | 2-3 | ND | NA | ND |
|  | 3.4 | ND | NA | ND |

All results are reported in parts per million (ppm).
Sample depth reported in approximate feet below land surfice.
All measurements were made using an or ganic vapor analyzer equipped with a hame-ionization detoctor (OVA/FID).
Filtered samples were passed through an activated carbon fitter prior to mensuremert.
Corrected readings are reported as the differenoe between the unfitered and filtered readings.
NA = Not analyzed.
ND = Not detected.

TABLE 1
SUMMARY OF SOIL SCREENING RESULTS
CIRCLE K \# 2814
TAMPA, FLORIDA
ATC PROJECT NO. 16564.0405

| Sample Number | Sample Depth (f) | OVAFID Reading (ppm) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Unfiltered | Filtered | Corrected |
| SB-37 | 0-1 | ND | NA | ND |
|  | 1-2 | ND | NA | ND |
|  | 2-3 | ND | NA | ND |
|  | 3-4 | 50 | 30 | 20 |
| SB-38 | 0-1 | ND | NA | ND |
|  | 1-2 | ND | NA | ND |
|  | 2-3 | ND | NA | ND |
|  | 3-4 | ND | NA | ND |
| SB-39 | 0-1 | ND | NA | ND |
|  | 1-2 | ND | NA | ND |
|  | 2-3 | 10 | ND | 10 |
|  | 3-4 | 150 | ND | 150 |
| SB-40 | 0.1 | ND | NA | ND |
|  | 1-2 | ND | NA | ND |
|  | 2-3 | 500 | 5 | 495 |
|  | 3-4 | >10,000 | 200 | >9,800 |
| MW-7R | 0-2 | ND | NA | ND |
|  | 2-4 | 600 | 140 | 460 |
|  | 4-6 | 800 | 200 | 600 |
|  | 6-8 | 300 | 100 | 200 |
|  | 8-10 | 150 | 100 | 50 |
|  | 10-12 | 80 | 50 | 30 |
| MW-8R | 0-2 | 60 | ND | 60 |
|  | 2-4 | 40 | 40 | ND |
|  | 4-6 | 3,000 | 200 | 2,800 |
|  | 6.8 | 1,800 | 250 | 1,550 |
|  | 8-10 | 750 | 200 | 550 |
|  | 10-12 | 250 | 30 | 220 |
| MW-15 | 0-2 | ND | NA | ND |
|  | 2-4 | ND | NA | ND |
|  | 4-6 | ND | NA | ND |
|  | 6-8 | 5 | ND | 5 |
|  | 8-10 | ND | NA | ND |
|  | 10-12 | ND | NA | ND |

All resulis are reported in parts per million (ppm).
Sample depth reported in approximate feei below hand surface.
All measurements were made using an organic vapor aralyzer equipped with a flame-ionization detector (OVA/FID).
Filcerod samples were passed througb an activated earbon filter prior to measuremerth.
Corrected readings are reported as the difference between the anfiltered and filiered readings.
NA - Nor analyzed.
$\mathrm{ND}=\mathrm{N}$ or detected.

CRYSTAL RIVER, FLORIDA
ATC PROJECT NO. 16564.0405

| Sample Number | Sample Depth (f) | OVA/FID Reading (ppm) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Unfiltered | Filtered | Corrected |
| MW-16 | 0-2 | ND | NA | ND |
|  | 2-4 | ND | NA | ND |
|  | 4-6 | ND | NA | ND |
|  | 6.8 | ND | NA | ND |
|  | 8-10 | ND | NA | ND |
|  | 10-12 | ND | NA | ND |
| MW-17 | 0-2 | ND | NA | ND |
|  | 2-4 | ND | NA | ND |
|  | 4-6 | 400 | 225 | 175 |
|  | 6-8 | 450 | 275 | 1,550 |
|  | 8-10 | 700 | 200 | 500 |
|  | 10-12 | 900 | 150 | 750 |
| MW-18 | 0-2 | ND | NA | ND |
|  | 2-4 | ND | NA | ND |
|  | 4-6 | 500 | 50 | 450 |
|  | 6-8 | 450 | 30 | 420 |
|  | 8-10 | 800 | 200 | 600 |
|  | 10-12 | 550 | 100 | 450 |
| MW-19 | 0-2 | ND | NA | ND |
|  | 2-4 | ND | NA | ND |
|  | 4-6 | 20 | 10 | 10 |
|  | 6-8 | 70 | 20 | 50 |
|  | 8-10 | 100 | 30 | 70 |
|  | 10-12 | 90 | 40 | 50 |
| MW-20* | 0-2 | ND | NA | ND |
|  | 2-4 | ND | NA | ND |
|  | 4-6 | 10 | ND | 10 |
|  | 6-8 | 10 | ND | 10 |
|  | 8-10 | 20 | 5 | 15 |
|  | 10-12 | 100 | 50 | 50 |
| DW-1 | 0-2 | ND | NA | ND |
|  | 2-4 | ND | NA | ND |
|  | 4-6 | 10 | ND | 10 |
|  | 6-8 | 10 | ND | 10 |
|  | 8-10 | 20 | 5 | 15 |
|  | 10-12 | 100 | 50 | 50 |

* Logged from drill cuttings

All resules are reportod in parts per mitlion (ppm).
Sumple depth reported in approximate feel below hand surface.
All measurements were made using an organic vapor analyzer equipped with a flame-ionization detector (OVA/FID).
Fiticred samples were passed through an activaled casbon fitter prior to measurement.
Corrected readings are reportod as the difference between the unfiltered and filtered readings.
NA - Not analyzed.
ND = Not detected.


| Florida Department of Environmental Protection - Bureau of Waste Cleanup - Petroleum Cleanup Section - Remedial Action O\&M Reporting |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TABLE 2: SOIL ANALYTICAL SUMMARY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Facility Name: |  | Circle K $\boldsymbol{\$ 2 8 1 4}$ |  |  |  | Facility ID\#: |  | 098518709 | Not Detected = ND <br> Not Sampled = NS <br> Analytical Results $=m g / k g$ |  |  |  |
| Sample |  | Benzene | Toluene | Ethyl Benzene | Total Xylenes | MTBE | Naphthalene | 2meth. Naphthalene | 1meth. Naphthalene | TPH |  |  |
| Location | Date |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SS-1 | 10/24/00 | $<0.03$ | 0.240 | 0.7 | 2 | $<0.3$ | 0.44 | 0.74 | 0.43 | 106 | SB-16 @ 3-4' bls (1400 ppm OVA) |  |
| SS. 1 | 11/72001 | $<0.054$ | <0.108 | 2.38 | 0.929 | $<0.054$ | 9.7 | 57.4 | 33.4 | 9,330 | SB-23 @ 1'-2' bis (1780 ppm OVA) |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SS-2 | 11/72001 | $<0.001$ | 0.002 | 0.107 | 0.049 | $<0.006$ | 0.29 | 1.18 | 0.61 | 693 | SB-23 @ $0^{\prime} \cdot \mathrm{i}^{\prime}$ bls (1175 ppm OVA) |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SS. 3 | 11/72001 | $<0.001$ | <0.001 | $<0.001$ | $<0.001$ | $<0.005$ | <0.05 | <0.05 | <0.05 | $<16$ | SB-24@ 1'-2' bls (10 ppm OVA) |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SS-4 | 11/72001 | $<0.001$ | 20.001 | $<0.001$ | $<0.001$ | 40.005 | <0.05 | <0.05 | $<0.05$ | $<16$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


Florida Department of Environmental Protection - Bureau of Waste Cleanup - Petroleum Cleanup Section - Remedial Action O\&M Reporting
TABLE 3: GROUNDWATER ELEVATION TABLE
All Mcasurements $=$ Fect
No Data $=$ Blank


MLI di

.






Table 5
Equipment Summary
Circle K \#2814
ATC Project No. 05.16564.0405

Manufactures
Model
Minimum Required Flow
Minimum Required Vacuum
Motor HP
Voltatge / Phase
XP / TEFC
Anscillary Equipment
Vacuum Indicator
Temperature Indicator
In-Line Particle Filter
Moisture Separator
Transfer Pump
Transfer Pump Motor HP
XP/TEFC
Air Flow Indicator
Totalizer
Porable Flow Indicator
Hose Sets

Manufacturer
Model
Minimum Required Flow
Moior HP
Voltatge / Phase
XP / TEFC
Transfer Pump
Transfer Pump Motor HP
XP/TEFC
Anscillary Equipment

Equipment
Carbon Load (Per Vessel)
Inlet / Outlet Size \& Type
Total Number

```
Vacuum Recover: System
Roots
412 RAM-VJ
250 scfm
15.0 " Hg vacuum
25
230 V / 3 Ph
XP
Specifications
0.30" Hg vacuum gauge
0.250 deg F temperature gauge
4"
Rotron MS350B or Larger
Myers QP-10
1.0 (do not use fractional HP motors - no cost savings)
TEFC
Rotron 550606,70-350 scfm,3"
1.5" Mastermeter
Divyer VFC-EC-122. 5-50 scfm
Spiralite 160,2"
```

    \(\Delta\) ir Seripper
    Nepeco
Turbotray 242
15 gpm
3 HP
$230 \mathrm{~V} / 3 \mathrm{Ph}$
TEFC (Class I, Div II)
Myers QP-10
1.0
TEFC

Multilevel float switches. blower inlet silencer, blower pressure gauge. blower pressure switch. manual drain valve, check valve. brass gate valve. brass sample ports.

Vapor Treatment
Vapor Phase Carbon
2.000 lbs

4"Male Camlock 2

## System Enclosure

Enclosed trailer. minimum 7.000 lb gross whicle weight. dual axles. electric brakes, double rear door. 36 " side door

## Daintenance \& Niscellaneous

1-Year supply of oil, belts. filter clements. liller hags, to be included for all items. Must include shipping \& disposal of used items \& oil.
(2) copics of system manual musi be provided

Table 6
Estimated System Construction Costs
Circle K \#2814
Tampa, Florida
ATC Project No. 05.16564.0405

Item
Estimated Cost

Equipment

| Vacuum Pump and Appurtances | $\$$ | $29,250.00$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Vacuum Header Assemblies / Hose Connections | $\$$ | $5,000.00$ |
| Air Stripper | $\$$ | $19,500.00$ |
| Vapor Phase Carbon Cost | $\$$ | $10,000.00$ |
| Main Control Panel | $\$$ | $7,500.00$ |
| Trailer Enclosure | $\$$ | $8,500.00$ |

Subcontractors

| Vacuum Well Installation | $\$$ | $4,000.00$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Electrical | $\$$ | $5,000.00$ |
| System Construction | $\$$ | $30,800.00$ |

## ATC Services

Engineering Services \$ 2,078.00
Well Installation Oversight \$ 4,157.00
System Construction Oversight \$ 12,613.00
System Startup \& Reporting
$\$ 3,687.00$

Total
\$ 142,085.00

FIGURES

ـ







| LEGEND |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \& MONITORING FELL LOCATIONS <br> - abandoned monitoring mell <br> I又 MISSING MONITORING MELL <br> - oe -- overhead electric line |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| - - naphthalene plume <br> (2) TOTAL NAPHTHALENE CONCENTRATIONS IN $\mu \mathrm{E} / \mathrm{I}$ <br> (NS) NOT SAMPLED |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |








(

## CITRUS COUNTY FIRE PREVENTION BUREAU

1300 S. LECANTO HWY.

## -ficer:

"'am M. (MIke) Connell

## LECANTO, FLORIDA 32661

## Name: Mr. Russ Powell

Company: Touch of Quality Cleaners
Street: 471 Kings Bay Plaza
State: Crystal River, Florida 32629

Dear: Mr. Powell,

DER FAC \# 098944869
:. Establishment Touch of Quality Address : 471 Kings Bay Plaza Crystal River, Florida 32629

Attached are the 17-61 Florida Administrative Code compliance inspection resultṣ for the above named facility. Our inspector did not indicate violations of Chapter 17-61, F.A.C. at the time of his inspection. We appreciate your firm's attention regarding environmental regulations, for pollutant storage tank systems.

If you have any questions concerning this letter please call us at (904) 746-1335.
$\because$
(904) 746-1335

Date:.September 28, 1990

State of Florida
Department of Environmental Regulation
Pollutant Storage Tank System Inspection Report Form
$\qquad$
Latitude $28^{\circ} 53^{\prime} 44^{\prime \prime} N$ Longitude $82^{\circ} 35^{\prime} / 10^{\prime \prime} W$ Section $\qquad$ Township $\qquad$ Range

| Tank \# | Size | Contents | Installation <br> Date | U/A or <br> In-Contact | Tank <br> Construction | Integral <br> Piping | Monitoring <br> System | Tank <br> Status |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Nus | np | $\times \times / 8 /$ | $U$ | $C$ | $C$ | -1 | $U$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



| Inspection Type: |  | Facility Information: |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\square$ Complaint Response | $\square$ Reinspection | $\square$ Abandoned | $\square$ Non-retail |
| $\square$ Initial | $\square$ Installation | $\square$ Aboveground | $\square$ Retail |
| $\square$ EDI | $\square$ Tank Removal | $\square$ Govt. Federal | $\square$ Retrofit (M. or O.) |
| $\square$ Public Well Field | $\square$ Unregistered | $\square$ Govt. -Other | $\square$ Retrofit (L. or R.) |

DER District:


Violations must be corrected by: next routine inspection $\square$

Local Program:

 or by:

Facility ID\#: 8944869
Name: Touch Of Quality Cleaners
471 Ne 1st Ter
Crystal River, FL 32629-4250
Contact: Powell Russ
Phone: 904-795-7871

District: SWD
County: Citrus
Type: C-Fuel User/Non-Retail
Status: Open
Latitude: 28:53:49.0000
Longitude: 82:35:10.0000
LL Method: UNVR-Unverified

Account Owner: Powell, Russ

| Tank | Size | Content | Installed | Placement | Status | Construction | Piping | Monitc |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\#$ | 1000 | Fuel Oil-Onsite Heat | $07 / 01 / 1981$ | UNDER | In Service | $C$ | $C$ | C |

***Note:
Construction, Piping, and Monitoring Info not shown for CLOSED tanks (Status A: Closed in Place, B: Removed from the site).

Facility ID\#: 9502006
Name: Touch Of Quality Cleaners (Moved)
471 Kings Bay Plaza
Crystal River, FL 34429-4717
Contact: Russell Powell
Phone: 352-795-7871

Account Owner: Touch Of Quality Cleaners

| Tank | Size | Content | Installed | Placement | Status | Construction | Piping |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \# | Monito |  |  |  |  |  |  |

***Note:
Construction, Piping, and Monitoring Info not shown for CLOSED tanks (Status A: Closed in Place, B: Removed from the site).

Facility ID\#: 9502003
Name: Touch Of Quality Cleaners
3956 Suncoast Blvd
Homosassa, FL 34448-2601
Contact: Russell Powell
Phone: 352-796-7965

District: SWD
County: Citrus
Type: 3-Dry Drop-Off
Status: Closed
Latitude: 28:47:23.3520
Longitude: 82:34:02.9064
LL Method: ADDM-Address Matching

Account Owner: Touch Of Quality Cleaners

| Tank | Size | Content | Installed | Placement | Status | Construction |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\#$ |  | Tetrachloroethylene |  | ABOVE | Removed |  |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

***Note:
Construction, Piping, and Monitoring Info not shown for CLOSED tanks (Status A: Closed in Place, B: Removed from the site).

Site No. 75 Former Fox Automotive Service (Fina - Franks) Suncoast Boulevard and SR 44

Crystal River, Florida
FDEP I.D. No. 098503061

# Department of Environmental Protection 

Twin Towers Office Building 2600 Blair Stone Road
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-2400
David B. Struts Secretary

May 24, 199.9
Kathy George
Bra Family Trust
4917 Booth Rd
Plant City, FL 33565
Re: Fina-Frank's
FDEP Facility \#098503061
Discharge Date: December 1, 1988

## Dear Kathy George:

The Florida Department of Environmental Protection is required to direct the cleanup of petroleum contamination sites in priority order and to preapprove the scope and cost of all work that is funded by the State. The priority order for cleanup is determined pursuant to the Petroleum Cleanup Site Priority Ranking Rule, Chapter 62-771, Florida Administrative Code.

This site has been assigned a priority score of 55 . Currently funding is available for all sites with a priority score of 50 or greater. Therefore, funding is available for work on this site under the Preapproval Program. In the Preapproval Program the Department works directly with the contractor of your choice to determine the scope and cost for cleanup work. Payment is made by the Department promptly upon completion of the work. You should indicate your choice of contractor by completing and returning the enclosed "Contractor Designation/Point of Contact Designation". If you would prefer the state to manage the cleanup of your site, complete the enclosed CDF and designate the state as the contractor. Please note that this form is designed to be completed by the "Real Property Owner". If you would prefer that we coordinate our efforts with your representative, then please indicate this person on the "Real Property Owner Designated Contact" line. If you have previously submitted a Contractor Designation form, you do not have to return the enclosed one.

The real property owner's signature must be notarized and the original form returned to Rebecca Marx, Mail Station 4545 at the letterhead address. She may be reached at (850) 921-9050.

If you have any questions or comments on your site's score or rank, please contact me at (850) 487-3299 or Mail Station 4545 at the letterhead address.

Sincerely,


GR
Enclosure: Contractor Designation/Point of Contact Designation blank form
cc: Southwest District File

State of Florida
Department of Environmental Regulation
Pollutant Storage Tank System Inspection Report Form

Facility ID No: $\frac{098503061}{F / N A-F R A N K 5}$
Facility Name: $\quad$ F NA - FRANK

Operator: $\qquad$ Phone:
Owner: $\qquad$ Phone:
Latitude $28^{\circ} 53^{\prime} 53^{\prime \prime} N$ Longitude $87^{\circ} 5^{\prime \prime} 13^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N} /$, Section $\qquad$ Township $\qquad$ Range $\qquad$


Comments:



| Inspection Type: |  | Facility Information: |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\square$ Complaint Response | $\square$ Reinspection | $\square$ Abandoned | $\square$ Non-retail |
| $\square$ Initial | $\square$ installation | $\square$ Aboveground | $\square$ Retail |
| $\square$ ED l | $\square$ Tank Removal | $\square$ Govt. Federal | $\square$ Retrofit (M. or O.) |
| $\square$ Public Well Field | $\square$ Unregistered | $\square$ Govt. Other | $\square$ Retrofit (L. or R.) |

DER District:
Local Program:
$\qquad$

Inspector's Signature \& Date
Clews Contr Froe PrevisnJon
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
Facility Contact's Signature \& Date
Violations must be corrected by: next routine inspection $\square$ or by: $\square$
$\qquad$ 1

QEGISTRATIONNOTIFICATION:

1. Facility has properly registered all applicabie tanks on site? 17-61.050(1)(a).
2. Current Registration placard is properly displayed? $376.303(1)(\mathrm{b})$, F.S.
3. Proper notification has been made for the following: 17-61.050(1)(b)
4. abandonment
5. facility sale
6. retrofitting
7. tank test failure
8. discharges
9. monitoring response

|  | Yes | No | Unk | N/A |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |
| 2 |  |  |  | 1 |
| 3 |  |  |  |  |
| 4 |  |  |  |  |
| 5 |  |  |  |  |
| 6 |  |  |  |  |
| 7 |  |  |  |  |
| 8 |  |  |  |  |
| 9 |  |  |  |  |

## H. TANK STATUS:

10. Tank Designated Out of Service: 17-61.050(3)(b)1.:
11. inventory + monitoring records kept or
12. secured against tampering
13. Tanks properly abandoned? $17-61.050(3)(\mathrm{c})$
14. in place or
15. removed

iII. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE:
16. The schedule for retrofitting has been met? 17-61.060(2)(c) \& (3)(b)2.
17. overill protection
18. piping and/or
19. tanks
20. Structure-to-soil potential test schadules for sacrificial anode protected systems are being met?
21. tanks 17-61.060(2)(d)1.a.
22. piping 17-61.060(3)(b)1.b.
23. Impressed current protected systems are continuously energized and metered?
24. tanks 17-81.060(2)(d)1.b.
25. piping 17-61.060(3)(b)1.c.

| 16 |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 17 |  |  |  |  |
| 18 |  |  |  |  |
| 10 |  |  |  |  |
| 10 |  |  |  |  |
| 20 |  |  |  |  |
| 21 |  |  |  |  |
| 22 |  |  |  |  |
| 23 |  |  |  |  |
| 24 |  |  |  |  |
| 25 |  |  |  |  |

## IV. INVENTORY REQUIREMENTS:

25. Daily inventory records maintained? 17-61.050(4)(c)2.a.
26. water
27. product
28. meter readings
29. Inventory reconciliation is performed? 17-61.050(4)(c)2.b.
30. each 5 consecutive readings
31. once a week
32. alternate procedure
33. Significant loss/gain investigation 17-61.050(4)(c)3.
34. periormed
35. found source of discrepancy, and/or
36. followed up with precision testing? 17-61.050(4)(c)


# Department of Environmental Protection 

Jeb Bush
Governor

Twin Towers Office Building 2600 Blair Stone Road
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-2400

David B. Struhs Secretary


## CERTIFIED MAIL

RETURN RECEIPT REOUESTED

Mr. Martin T. Hogan
$\therefore$ Shamrock Ventures, Inc.
2401 Fountainview
Suite 801
Houston, Texas 77057

Subject: Site Rehabilitation Completion Order
Franks Fina
310 Northeast US Highway 19
Crystal River, Citrus County
FDEP Facility ID\# 098503061
Discharge Date: December 1, 1988 (EDI)

Dear Mr. Hogan:

The Bureau of Petroleum Storage Systems has reviewed the Site Rehabilitation Completion Report (SRCR) dated and received February 1, 2002, and the Monitoring Well Abandonment Report dated February 26, 2002 (received February 28, 2002), prepared by ATC Associates, Inc., for this site. Documentation submitted with the SRCR confirms that criteria set forth in Rule 62-770.680(1), Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.), have been met. The SRCR is hereby incorporated by reference in this Site Rehabilitation Completion Order (Order). Therefore, you are released from any further obligation to conduct site rehabilitation at the site for petroleum product contamination associated with the discharge listed above, except as set forth below.

In the event concentrations of petroleum products' contaminants of concem increase above the levels approved in this Order, or if a subsequent discharge of petroleum or petroleum product occurs at the site, the Department of Environmental Protection (Department) may require site rehabilitation to reduce concentrations of petroleum products' contaminants of concern to the levels approved in the SRCR or otherwise allowed by Chapter 62-770, F.A.C.

Mr. Martin T. Hogan
Page two

## Legal Issues

The Department's Order shall become final unless a timely petition for an administrative proceeding (hearing) is filed under Sections 120.569 and 120.57, Florida Statutes (F.S.), within 21 days of receipt of this Order. The procedures for petitioning for a hearing are set forth below.

Persons affected by this Order have the following options:
If you choose to accept the above decision by the Department about the Site Rehabilitation Completion Report you do not have to do anything. This Order is final and effective as of the date on the top of the first page of this Order.

If you disagree with the decision, you may do one of the following:
(1) File a petition for administrative hearing with the Department's Office of General Counsel within 21 days of receipt of this Order; or
(2) File a request for an extension of time to file a petition for hearing with the Department's Office of General Counsel within 21 days of receipt of this Order. Such a request should be made if you wish to meet with the Department in an attempt to informally resolve any disputes without first filing a petition for hearing.

Please be advised that mediation of this decision pursuant to Section 120.573 , F.S., is not available.

## How to Request an Extension of Time to File a Petition for Hearing

For good cause shown, pursuant to Rule 62-110.106(4), F.A.C., the Department may grant a request for an extension of time to file a petition for hearing. Such a request must be filed (received) in the Department's Office of General Counsel at 3900 Commonwealth Boulevard, Mail Station 35, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-3000, within 21 days of receipt of this Order. Petitioner, if different from Shamrock Ventures, Inc., shall mail a copy of the request to Shamrock Ventures, Inc. at the time of filing. Timely filing a request for an extension of time tolls the time period within which a petition for administrative hearing must be made.

## How to File a Petition for Administrative Hearing

A person whose substantial interests are affected by this Order may petition for an administrative hearing under Sections 120.569 and 120.57, F.S. The petition must contain the information set forth below and must be filed (received) in the Department's Office of General Counsel at 3900 Commonwealth Boulevard, Mail Station 35, Tällahassee, Florida 32399-3000,
within 21 days of receipt of this Order. Petitioner, if different from Shamrock Ventures, Inc., shall mail a copy of the request to Shamrock. Ventures, Inc. at the time of filing. Failure to file a petition within this time period shall waive the right of anyone who may request an administrative hearing under Sections 120.569 and 120.57, F.S.

Pursuant to Section 120.54(5)(b)4.a., F.S., and Rule 28-106.201, F.A.C., a petition for administrative hearing shall contain the following information:
(a) The name, address, and telephone number of each petitioner, the name, address, and telephone number of the petitioner's representative, if any, the site owner's name and address, if different from the petitioner, the FDEP facility number, and the name and address of the facility;
(b) A statement of how and when each petitioner received notice of the Department's action or proposed action;
(c) An explanation of how each petitioner's substantial interests are or will be affected by the Department's action or proposed action;
(d) A statement of the material facts disputed by the petitioner, or a statement that there are no disputed facts;
(e) A statement of the ultimate facts alleged, including a statement of the specific facts the petitioner contends warrant reversal or modification of the Department's action or proposed action;
(f) A statement of the specific rules or statutes the petitioner contends require reversal or modification of the Department's action or proposed action; and
(g) A statement of the relief sought by the petitioner, stating precisely the action petitioner wishes the Department to take with respect to the Department's action or proposed action.

This Order is final and effective as of the date on the top of the first page of this Order. Timely filing a petition for administrative hearing postpones the date this Order takes effect until the Department issues either a final order pursuant to an administrative hearing or an order responding to supplemental information provided pursuant to meetings with the Department.

## Judicial Review

Any party to this Order has the right to seek judicial review of it under Section 120.68, F.S., by filing a notice of appeal under Rule 9.110 of the Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure with the clerk of the Department in the Office of General Counsel, 3900 Commonwealth Boulevard, Mail Station 35, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-3000, and by filing a copy of the notice of appeal accompanied by the applicable filing fees with the appropriate district court of appeal. The notice of appeal must be filed within 30 days after this Order is filed with the clerk of the Department (see below).

The FDEP Facility Number for this site is 098503061 . Please use this identification on all future correspondence with the Department.

## Questions

Any questions regarding the Department's review of your Site Rehabilitation Completion Report should be directed to Laura J. Mooney at (850) 921-0846. Questions regarding legal issues should be referred to the Department's Office of General Counsel at (850) 488-9314. Contact with any of the above does not constitute a petition for administrative hearing or request for an extension of time to file a petition for administrative hearing.

MEA/jm

cc: Laurel Culbreth, FDEP Southwest District Office
Mr. Kevin Stites, ATC Associates, Inc., 5801 Benjamin Center Drive, Suite 101, Tampa, Florida 33634
File

FILING AND ACKNOWLEDGMENT
FILED, on this date, pursuant to §120.52 Florida Statutes, with the designated Department Clerk, receipt of which is hereby acknowledged.


## P.G.CERTIFICATION

Site Rehabilitation Completion Report for Franks Fina; 310 Northeast US Highway 19, Crystal River, Citrus County, FDEP Facility ID\# 098503061.

I hereby certify that in my professional judgment, the components of this Site Rehabilitation Completion Report satisfy the requirements set forth in Chapter 62-770, Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.), and that the conclusions in this report provide reasonable assurances that the objectives stated in Chapter 62-770, F.A.C., have been met.
_I I personally completed this review.
$x$ This review was conducted by Laura J. Mooney $X 1 \mathrm{~m}$ working under my direct supervision.

Site No. 76 Chevron (Sunmart \#22)
639 NE Suncoast Boulevard
Crystal River, Florida FDEP I.D. No. 098503047


Twin Towers Office Bldg, - 2600 Blair Stone Road - Tallahassee, Florida 32399-2400
Division of Waste Management
Bureau of Petroleum Storage Systems

Storage Tank Facility Compliance Inspection Report

Facility ID $\qquad$ County $\qquad$ 09 citrus

Inspection Date $\qquad$ $10 / 6 /(00$

Facility Name $\qquad$ CHEVRON

Facility Type A-RETAIC
Latitude $\qquad$ $28^{\circ} 5359^{\prime}$ Longitude $\qquad$ $82^{\circ} 35^{\prime} 17^{\prime \prime}$ L/L Method $\qquad$ GDS
Check box to identify type of inspection performed. Update latitude/longitude as necessary. Provide Lat/Long Determination Method. ("Map", "AGPS" (Magellan), "GGPS" (Trimble)). Provide the count of USTs and/or ASTs reviewed during this inspection

| \# USTs <br> Inspected | $\Im$ | \# ATS <br> Inspected |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Compliance Inspection (Annual) | CI | Installation Inspection | TIN |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Compliance Inspection (DRF received) | TCDI |  | Closure Inspection | TXI |
| Compliance Inspection (Complaint received) | CPI |  | Compliance Re-Inspection | CR |
| Discharge Evaluation ("short form") | TDI |  | $* *$ Record the results of the TDI in a Discharge Project |  |

- "Code" in block below corresponds to the Rule Cite; represents a Data Entry Code for ease of electronic data recording of inspection results.

Bute elite
Description / Inspector's Comments
Code

$\qquad$ of 2

Tank Facility Compliance Inspection Report
Faulty Name CHEURON Facility D: $\$ 503047$ Date: $(0 / 6 / 00$ DescriptionTInspector's Comments
Comments * Release Detection Cont.
(2) Lines and line leak detectors were tested $2 / 2000$ By Down under tank testers all passed
(3) Dispensed lines are checked mostly by HI TECH Environmental and any Conditions are noted on log sleet.
(4) Tonkswere tested an 1998 and are doe agsin in 2001
$x$ Conditions noted of time of inspection,
(1) fills were moorkel per Api 1637
(2) All 4 Dispenser lines were dy.
(3) the sol has been removed around the steps and the swing taints are wrapped.
(4) Te 4 monitor wells cir open; they ace marked as assessment due to plirp status i
(5) placard isdisplayed at facility. Recommendations
(1) have the position of the shear values checked che be sure they are mounted tightly.

October 12, 2000

Mr. Carl Exford
Central Florida Petroleum
P.O. Box 1110

Brandon, FL 33509

RE: ID \# 098503047
Chevron
639 N.E. Hwy. 19
Crystal River, FL 34429

Dear Mr. Exford:
The Storage Tank Program of the Citrus County Health Department (County) has been authorized, by contract with the Florida Department of Environmental Protection (Department), to perform compliance, discharge, closure and installation inspections at facilities regulated under Chapter 62-761 of the Florida Administrative Code (FAC).

Enclosed, please find a copy of the Storage Tank Facility Compliance Inspection Report for the inspection recently performed at the above named facility. Please refer to this report for comments regarding the inspection.

If there are any questions conceming this matter, you may contact the Storage Tank Program at (352) 527-5295.

Sincerely,

C. Mark Sumner

Environmental Specialist II

Enclosure(s)
CMS/file

# CITRUS COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH <br> ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH DIVISIOX <br> STORAGE TANKS INSPECTION PROGRAM <br> 3600 West Sovereign Path, Suite 125, Lecanto, FL 34461 <br> Phone (352) 527-5289/SC 632-5295/Fax (352) 527-5316 

This data is current as of: 06-OCT-2000

## Bureau of Petroleum Storage Systems Facility Inspection Cover Page

## Facility Information

ID\#: 8503047
Name: CHEVRON
639 Ne Hwy 19
Crystal River, FL 34429
Contact:
Phone: 352-795-3130

\author{
District: SWD <br> County: Citrus <br> Type: Retail Station <br> Status: Open <br> Latitude: 28:53:59.0000 <br> Longitude: $82: 35: 17.0000\}$ CMS <br> LL Method: AGPS

}

Account Owner Information
Name: Central Fl Petroleum Dist Lc
Po Box 1110
Brandon, FL 33509-1110
Phone: 813-681-4279

Tank Owner Information
Name: Central Fl Petroleum Dist Lc
Po Box 1110
Brandon, FL 33509-1110
Phone: 813-681-4279
Tank
1R1 10000 Unleaded Gas 06/01/1987 UNDER

2R1 10000 Unleaded Gas 06/01/1987 UNDER U

3R1 10000 Unleaded Gas 06/01/1987 UNDER


16000 Leaded Gas 07/01/1963 UNDER B
26000 Unleaded Gas 07/01/1963 UNDER B
36000 Unleaded Gas 07/01/1963 UNDER B

[^17]Twin †owers Office Building
Lawton Chiles
Governor

## 2600 Blair Stone Road

2600 Blair Stone Road
allahassee, Florida $32399-2400$

## Virginis B. Wetherel

 Secreary```
Mr. Bill Hanley
Central Florida oil company
Post Office Box 428
Ocala, Florida 34478-0428
SUBJECT: Sunmart %22
    U.S. Highway 19
    Crystal River, citrus county
    FDEP Facility ID $098503047
```

Dear Mr. Hanley:

The Department has completed a contamination assessment at this site in accordance with its eligibility for the Early Detection Incentive Program created by the state Underground Petroleum Environmental Response Act. The results of the assessment indicate that the site meets the criteria in section 62-770.600(5). Florida Administrative code (FAC), which are used to determine when "no further action" is necessary at a petroleum contamination site. No additional assessment or cleanup will be conducted by the Department or its contractors. If a new discharge of petroleum or petroleum product occurs you will be responsible for all corrective actions required by Chapter 62-761, 62-762 and 62-770, FAC.

Please contact Rebecca Lockenbach at 904/487-3299 or the above address if you have any questions about this site.


DAJ/r11
cc: Suzanne Schomer, RUST
Ken Weber, SWFWMD
Nancy Evans, FDEP, Tampa

Site No. 77 BP Shop (aka Giant \#107/Co-Op Oil Company \#3) 662 NE Suncoast Boulevard Crystal River, Florida FDEP I.D. No. 098503139


Division of Waste Management
Bureau of Petroleum Storage Systems

Storage Tank Facility Compliance Inspection Report

Facility ID $\qquad$ County $\qquad$ 09 CITRUS

Inspection Date $/ 5 / 27 / 00$
Facility Name $\qquad$ GIANT \# 107 Facility Type A-RETAlC Latitude $28 \leq 4,0$ Longitude $8.35,58$ L/L Method A-GPS


- "Code" in block below corresponds to the Rule Cite; represents a Data Entry Code for ease of electronic data recording of inspection results.


Financial Responsibility - Verify owner's coverage. Select Insurance or Other, and provide Mechanism, if appropriate.
$\qquad$ Insurance Carrier: $\qquad$ $C+T$ $\qquad$ $i / 9 / 00$ Expiration Date: $1 / 3 / 01$
$\qquad$ Other Coverage meeting federal financial responsibility requirements. Mechanism:


$$
\text { Page } 1 \text { of }
$$

7. age Tank Facility Compliance Inspection Report

Facility Name: $S$
$=\frac{\text { cite } 62-761 \text { Description/Ispectors Comments }}{}$
-w o(j)(b) Ra The Components of the storage tank e System thetare cathodicly protected have notbeen tested annually as required.

* comments *
$\rightarrow$ Release detection is Sin By ustman records reviewed from $10 / 93 \rightarrow 9 / 20000$ 6/20030 was inconclusive on premier. all others pass no fails no cense. ne.
$\rightarrow$ System also equipped um a weeded Rot is 250 notused for Robsedetection.
$\rightarrow$ placed is current. The RDRL is on file, and The dispenser lines ce lisiolly inspected mistily.
$\rightarrow$ Dusing this inspecting it was noted that all bes dispenser liners were dry, and that diesel $\# 12,13, \%$ had $\approx 1-3$ inkles of liquid. *(please piande documentation of the liquid removal) *
$\rightarrow$ The monitor wells appear th hove been closed, Bot please provide verification) is not closed wells will have to be properly abandoned.

FDEP
Attn: Barbara Suderman
WRS Site Manager
Petroleum Cleanup Section 5
2600 Blair Stone Road
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-2400

## RE: . Giant Oil \#107 PFP Milestone Verification Report

 662 US Highway 19 NE, Crystal River, Citrus County, Florida FDEP Facility I.D. No. 098503139 - PFP Work Order 2003-95-1162' FRS Project No. RE90498.07
## RECEIVED BY

JUN 092003<br>TEAM 5

Dear Ms. Suderman:
FRS Environmental Remediation, Inc. (FRS) has recently completed groundwater sampling activities at the referenced facility (Figures 1 and 2). On April 24, 2003, FRS personnel collected groundwater samples from Key Wells MW-3, MW-21, MW-22 and CW-3 for laboratory analysis in accordance with EPA Methods 8021 (BTEX/MTBE) and 8310. On May 22, 2003, FRS personnel obtained groundwater samples from replacement monitor well MW-9R for laboratory analysis in accordance with EPA Methods 8021 (BTEX/MTBE) and 8310. The sample date was within 30 days of the April 24, 2003 sample event and per prior discussions with FDEP, the sample results can be utilized for milestone purposes.

On April 24, 2003, FRS personnel were unable to locate monitor well MW-9 as it had apparently been paved over with asphalt. Subsequent attempts to locate the well were unsuccessful and therefore, FRS replaced the well. On May 20, 2003, FRS personnel supervised Preferred Drilling Solutions, Inc. during the installation of replacement well MW-9R (see. Figure 2). The shallow well was installed to a depth of approximately 12.0 feet below land surface (BLS) utilizing a hollow stem auger attached to a truck mounted drill rig. The well was constructed of 2 -inch inner diameter schedule 40 flush-joint PVC with a ten (10) foọt screened section slotted at 0.010 inches. A 20/30 grade silica sand filter pack was poured into the annular space between the bore hole and the screen. A fine sand seal was placed above the $20 / 30$ sand pack, and cement grout was poured on top of the fine sand seal to ensure that surface infiltration does not preferentially flow down the bore hole. The top of the well was completed at grade with a locking expandable cap and enclosed in a protective steel manhole mounted in a 2' x 2' concrete pad (monitoring well construction detail included as Attachment A). Organic vapor analysis of soil samples was performed on soil samples obtained at 2.0 feet and 4.0 feet below land surface as the depth to groundwater was approximately 4.0 feet. The results of the analysis revealed organic vapor readings of 128 parts per million ( ppm ) at 2.0 feet and 230.0 ppm at 4.0 feet. A brown, fine to medium grain sand was observed at these
sampling intervals followed by limestone from 6.0 to 12.0 feet. A boring log is provided in Attachment B.

The laboratory results indicated that the Giant Oil \#107 facility has met Milestone Number 3 ( $50 \%$ reduction), Milestone \#4 ( $75 \%$ reduction) and Milestone \#5 ( $90 \%$ reduction) as established in the Pay-for-Performance Agreement. The laboratory analytical results are illustrated on Figure 3. The laboratory analytical report and chain of custody form has been included as Attachment C. Groundwater sample logs are provided in Attachment D.

Laboratory analytical results were compared to baseline data for the two (2) contaminant categories as outlined on page 2 of 6 on Attachment A of Work Order \#2003-95-1162. These categories consist of the BTEX/MTBE group (i.e., the sum of the concentrations of benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, xylenes and MTBE) and the total naphthalenes group (i.e., the sum of the concentrations of naphthalene, 1-methylnaphthalene and 2-methylnaphthalene). The baseline date of July 1, 2002 and the associated data were outlined in FRS' report submitted to the FDEP on August 22, 2002 and approved by the FDEP on September 25, 2002 (letter provided in Attachment E). Monitor well MW-30 had previously been proposed for use as a Key Well, however, due to low concentrations of contamination, it was removed from the list of Key Wells (letter provided in Attachment E). Subsequently, Amendment \#2003-95-1162-2 was issued in November 2002 outlining the current Key Well list (Attachment E). The laboratory analytical results and percent reductions for each contaminant category are summarized in Table 1.

FRS will submit an invoice for Milestone Numbers 3, 4 and 5 under a separate cover and upon approval of this document by the FDEP. If you have any questions or comments conceming this information, please contact our office at (813) 246-4961.

Sincerely,
FRS Environmental Remediation, Inc.


I

d

## FIGURES

## FGGURE 1 <br> STIE LOCATION MAP GIANT OLL \# 107 CRYSTAL FIVER, FLORIDA



SECTION: 21
TOWNSHIP: 18 SOUTH
RANGE: 17 EAST



## TABLE

Florida Department of Environmental Protection -. Bureau of Waste Cleanup -. Pre-Approval Program -- Remedial Action O\&M Reporting
TABLE 1: MILESTONE REDUCTION SUMMARY Facility Name: Giant Oil \#107 Facility ID \#:

| Sample |  | Benzene | Toluene | Ethyl Benzene | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \text { Xylenes } \end{gathered}$ | MTBE | $\begin{aligned} & \text { BTEX + } \\ & \text { MTBE } \end{aligned}$ | Naphthalene | 1-Methyl Naphthalene | 2-Methyl Naphthalene | Total Naphthalenes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Location | Date |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cleanup Ievel |  | 1.0 | 40.0 | 30.0 | 20.0 | 50.0 | 141.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 60.0 |
| MW-3 | 3/7/1995 | BIDL |  |  |  | BDL |  | 2,065.0 |  |  |  |
|  | 10/27/1999 | BDL | BDL | BDL | BDL | BDL | BDL | 21.6 | 562.0 | 529.0 | 1,112.6 |
|  | 7/1/2002 | $<1.0$ | $<1.0$ | $<1.0$ | $<1.0$ | 1.4 | 1.4 | 27.0 | 150.0 | 93.0 | 270.0 |
|  | 4/24/2003 | $<0.9$ | $<1.2$ | $<0.9$ | <2.2 | $<5.0$ | $<0.9$ | $<1.0$ | $<1.0$ | $<1.0$ | $<1.0$ |
| Percent Reduction |  | <GCTLS | <GCTLS | <GCTLS | <GCTLS | <GCTLS | <GCTLS | <GCTLS | < GCTLS | <GCTLS | <GCTLS |
| MW-9 | 10/27/1999 | BDL | BDL | BDL | 6.0 | BDL | 6.0 | 61.1 | 677.0 | 443.0 | 1,181.1 |
|  | 711/2002 | $<1.0$ | $<1.0$ | $<1.0$ | $<1.0$ | 2.0 | 2.0 | 1.2 | 480.0 | 760.0 | 1,241.2 |
|  | 5/22/2003 | $<0.9$ | <1.2 | $<0.9$ | $<2.2$ | $<5.0$ | $<0.9$ | $<1.0$ | 16.0 | $<1.0$ | 16.0 |
| Percent Reduction |  | <GCTLS | <GCTL.S | <GCTLS | <GCTLS | < GCTLS | <GCTLS | <GCTLS | <GCTLS | <GCTLS | <GCTLS |
| MW-21 | 7/6/2000 | 5,192.0 | 1,847.0 | 3,404.0 | 9,308.0 | 99.8 | 19,850.8 | 408.0 | 102.0 | 83.1 | 593.1 |
|  | 711/2002 | 2,800.0 | 84.0 | 1,000.0 | 890.0 | 60.0 | 4,834.0 | 920.0 | 230.0 | 440.0 | 1,590.0 |
|  | 4/24/2003 | 3.0 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 3.9 | $<5.0$ | 9.5 | $<1.0$ | $<1.0$ | $<1.0$ | $<1.0$ |
| Percent Reduction |  | 99.9 | <GCTLS | <GCTLS | <GCTLS | <GCTLS | 102.8 | <GCTLS | <GCTLS | <GCTLS | <GCTLS |
| MW-22 | 7/6/2000 | 938.0 | 50.9 | 2,150.0 | 638.0 | BDL | 3,776.9 | 827.0 | 728.0 | 311.0 | 1,866.0 |
|  | 7/1/2002 | 490.0 | 9.4 | 1,500.0 | 354.0 | $<1.0$ | 2,353.4 | 790.0 | 140.0 | 350.0 | 1,280.0 |
|  | 4/24/2003 | 5.8 | <1.2 | 18.0 | 3.6 | $<5.0$ | 27.4 | $<1.0$ | <1.0 | $<1.0$ | $<1.0$ |
| Percent Reduction |  | 99.0 | <GCTLS | <GCTLS | <GCTLS | <GCTLS | 105.1 | <GCTLS | <GCTLS | <GCTLS | <GCTLS |
| CW-3 | 3/7/1995 | BDL |  |  |  | 4.1 |  | 84.4 |  |  |  |
|  | 10/27/1999 | 7.9 | BDL | 2.7 | BDL | BDL | 10.6 | 46.0 | BDL | BDL | BDL |
|  | 7/1/2002 | 360.0 | 8.9 | 160.0 | 45.6 | 110.0 | 684.5 | 20.0 | 79.0 | 120.0 | 219.0 |
|  | 4/24/2003 | 3.9 | <1.2 | $<0.9$ | 3.4 | $<5.0$ | 7.3 | $<1.0$ | $<1.0$ | $<1.0$ | $<1.0$ |
| Percent Reduction |  | 99.2 | <GCTLS | <GCTLS | <GCTLS | <GCTL, | 124.6 | <GCTLS | <GCTLS | <GCTLS | <GCTLS |

ATTACHMENT A MONITORING WELL CONSTRUCTION DETAIL


ATTACHMENT B BORING LOG

## FRS PROJECT NO.: RE90498.07

| PROJECT NAME: | Giant Oil \#107 | DATE \& TIME BEGAN/FINISHED: | 5/20/03 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LOCATION: | Crystal River, Florida | TOTAL DEPTH: | 12.00' BLS |
| CLIENT NAME: | FDEP | SURFACE ELEvation: |  |
| geologist: | Sam Esser | DRILLING METHOD: | Hollow Stem Auger |
| DRILLING CONTRACTOR: | Preferred Drilling Solutions, Inc. | GROUNDWATER DEPTH; | $\sim 4.0^{\prime}$ BLS |


| GEOLOGICAL DESCRIPTION |  | TOTAL PETROLEUM HYDROCARBON VAPOR CONCENTRATION (PPM) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Depth <br> (Ft) | Materials Description Notes/Observations | Sample Depth ( Ft ) | Total Hydrocarbons | $C_{1}$ to $C_{3}$ <br> (Filtered) | Non-Methane Hydrocarbons $\left(>C_{4}\right)$ |
| 0-1.0 | Asphalt and limestone fill. |  |  |  |  |
| 1.0-2.0 | Brown to tan, fine- to medium-grained sand. | 2.0 | 128.0 | 0 | 128.0 |
| 2.0-6.0 | Brown, medium-grain sand. Water table at approximately 4.0 feet. | 4.0 | 230.0 | 0 | 230.0 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 6.0- \\ & 12.0 \end{aligned}$ | Limestone. |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

NOTES:
(1) "Total" hydrocatoons reading is the measurement of total organic vapors. $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ to $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ hydrocartons reading is the measurement of methane, ethane, and propane drawn through a carbon filter. The non-methane hydrocarbon reading is the difference between the two readings.

| BLS | Below Land Surface |
| :--- | :--- |
| NR | No Reading |
| BDL | Below Detection Limits |
| HSA | Hollow Stem Auger |
| FAMSL | Feet Above Mean Sea Level |

Site No. 78 Citgo Food Mart \#4 707 NE Suncoast Boulevard (@ NE Third Avenue) Crystal River, Florida FDEP I.D. No. 098732510

Fl" 如a Department of Environmental: tection
Twin Towers Office Bldg. 2600 Blair Stone Road © Tallahassee, riorida 32399-2400
Division of Waste Management
Bureau of Petroleum Storage Systems

Storage Tank Facility Compliance Inspection Report

Facility ID $\qquad$ 8732.510

County
Inspection Date $2 / 2 \delta / 01$
Facility Name $\qquad$ CIG (OD MART \# 4 Facility Type A-RETALL
$\qquad$ Longitude $\qquad$ $87 \cdot 32,24^{\prime \prime}$

L/L Method $\square$
Check box to identify type of inspection performed. Update latitude/longitude as necessary.
Provide Lat/Long Determination Method. ("Map", "AGPS" (Magellan), "GGPS" (Thimble)).
Provide the count of USTs and or ASTr reviewed during this inspection
$\left.\begin{array}{|l|l|l|l|}\hline \text { \# UTs } \\ \text { Inspected }\end{array}\right)\left\{\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { \# ATS } \\ \text { Inspected }\end{array} \quad \right\rvert\,\right.$


- "Code" in block below corresponds to the Rule Cite; represents a Data Entry Code for ease of electronic data recording of inspection results.


$\qquad$ of

Forage Tank Facility Compliance Inspection Report
Facility Name:CITGO FOOT MART \#4 Facility m: 8732510 Date:2/28/01

Tanks Tightness tested by tenknology (5i2) 459-1459 3/10/00 Next test due 3/10/2003 (All 3 PASSED)

Lines + line lek detectors were tested by Tanknologj 3/10/00. All passed. Next tests ate due by 3/10/2001.
current registration is paid and on display.
The dispenser lines are visually inspected Monthly and the conditions obsoivedore noted on the log sleets. The RNR is listed on each monthly log sleet.

Tank Release detection Is an AuTOSt. KJR4 $4+G$ a pintoct of the current tank status, Alarm Report, a Leak test time report hes been-sdded to the file.

The fills are marked pol Api l637 spp are equipped with LCD, the sollhes been rumoured for around the pumps and He swing Joints have been protected from corrosion.

Photos taken of facility 2 Lriauld in the dispensed
Cine.

$$
\text { Page } 2 \text { of } 2
$$

February 28, 2001

Mr. Brad Weiniski
K.E. Allen Inc.

210 E. North Ave.
Lake Wales, FL 33853-3218

RE: DEP FAC \#098732510<br>Citgo Food Mart \#4<br>707 US Hwy. 19<br>Crystal River, FL 32629

## Dear Mr. Weiniski:

The Storage Tank Program of the Citrus County Health Department (County) has been authorized, by contract with the Florida Department of Environmental Protection (Department), to perform compliance, discharge, closure and installation inspections at facilities regulated under Chapter 62-761 of the Florida Administrative Code (FAC).

Attached are the 62-761, FAC, compliance inspection results for the above named facility. The inspection was conducted under the authority of Chapter 376, Section 303, Florida Statutes, and is designed to determine the compliance status of the facility with regard to 62-761, FAC. Alleged violations are noted below.

Due to the alleged violations noted, this facility may not be operating in compliance with Chapter 62-761, FAC. Review the violations referenced below. Submit a response in writing within fourteen (14) days which provides a schedule for correcting the noted violations. Be advised that failure to take corrective action may result in enforcement action and the assessment of penalties.

62-761.700(1)(c)1, FAC - There is water or other liquid present in the dispenser liners) for the storage tank systems). Spill containment devices, dispenser liners, and piping sumps shall be maintained to provide access for monthly examination and water removal as necessary. Water collected in spill containment devices, or in piping sumps and dispenser liners that is above the opening of the integral piping connection, or any regulated substances collected in these storage tank system components shall be removed and be either reused or disposed of properly. Suggested Corrective Action: Remove any liquid from the dispenser liners), and either reuse or properly dispose of it.

Note that unless otherwise indicated, the schedule for corrective action is 30 days. Any item for which insufficient information was provided to determine compliance status is followed by an asterisk ( ${ }^{*}$ ) and must also be addressed.

If you have any questions concerning this letter please call the Storage Tank Inspection Program at (352) 527-5295.

Sincerely,

C. Mark Sumner

Environmental Specialist II
enclosure (s)
CMS/file

This data is current as of: $29-\mathrm{NOV}-2000$

## Bureau of Petroleum Storage Systems Facility Inspection Cover Page

Facility Information
ID\#: 8732510
District: SWD
Name: CITGO FOOD MART \#4
707 Us Hwy 19
Crystal River, FL 32629
Contact: Dazedly An ty
Phone: 352-563-0910

> BAAD weinISKI

CMS
County: Citrus
Type: Retail Station
Status: Open
Latitude: 28:53:59.0000 $\} \mathrm{Cms}$

Account Owner information $\frac{56}{5}-4,-4676$
Name: K E Allen Inc
210 E North Ave
Lake Wales, FL 33853-3218
Phone: 941-676-8307
Tank Owner Information
Name: K E Allen Inc
210 E North Ave
Lake Wales, FL 33853-3218
Phone: 941-676-8307
$\underset{\#}{\text { Tank }}$ Size Content Installed Placement Status Coast Pipe Monitor
1 8000 Leaded Gas 04/01/1987 UNDER

28000 Unleaded Gas 04/01/1987 UNDER

38000 Unleaded Gas 04/01/1987 UNDER

***Note: Construction, Piping, and Monitoring Info not shown for CLOSED tanks (Status of A, B, or D).
No OPEN violations found!


Jeb Bush
Governor

# Department of Environmental Protection 

Twin Towers Office Building 2600 Blair Stone Road<br>Tallahassee, Florida 32399-2400

David B. Struhs Secretary

CERTIFIED MAIL RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED<br>Mr. Brad Weinischke<br>Mid-State Energy, Inc.<br>210 E. North Avenue<br>Lake Wales, FL 33853-3299<br>Subject: Site Rehabilitation Completion Order<br>Citgo Food Mart No. 4<br>707 U.S. Hwy. 19<br>Crystal River, Citrus County<br>FDEP Facility ID\# 09-8732510 (PLRIP)

Dear Mr. Weinischke:
The Bureau of Petroleum Storage Systems has reviewed the Site Assessment Report (SAR) and No Further Action Proposal (NFAP) dated June 12, 2002 (received June 21, 2002), prepared and submitted by Edwards \& Belyea Environmental, Inc. for the petroleum product discharge discovered on September 1, 1998 at this site. Documentation submitted with the NFAP confirms that criteria set forth in Rule 62-770.680(1), Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.), have been met. The NFAP is hereby incorporated by reference in this Site Rehabilitation Completion Order (Order). Therefore, you are released from any further obligation to conduct site rehabilitation at the site for petroleum product contamination associated with the discharge listed above, except as set forth below.
(1) In the event concentrations of petroleum products' contaminants of concern increase above the levels approved in this Order, or if a subsequent discharge of petroleum or petroleum product occurs at the site, the Department of Environmental Protection (Department) may require site rehabilitation to reduce concentrations of petroleum products' contaminants of concern to the levels approved in the NFAP or otherwise allowed by Chapter 62-770, F.A.C.

## Legal Issues

The Department's Order shall become final unless a timely petition for an administrative proceeding (hearing) is filed under Sections 120.569 and 120.57, Florida Statutes (F.S.), within 21 days of receipt of this Order. The procedures for petitioning for a hearing are set forth below.

Persons affected by this Order have the following options:
If you choose to accept the above decision by the Department about the No Further Action Proposal you do not have to do anything. This Order is final and effective as of the date on the top of the first page of this Order.

If you disagree with the decision, you may do one of the following:
(1) File a petition for administrative hearing with the Department's Office of General Counsel within 21 days of receipt of this Order; or
(2) File a request for an extension of time to file a petition for hearing with the Department's Office of General Counsel within 21 days of receipt of this Order. Such a request should be made if you wish to meet with the Department in an attempt to informally resolve any disputes without first filing a petition for hearing.

Please be advised that mediation of this decision pursuant to Section 120.573, F.S., is not available.

## How to Request an Extension of Time to File a Petition for Hearing

For good cause shown, pursuant to Rule 62-110.106(4), F.A.C., the Department may grant a request for an extension of time to file a petition for hearing. Such a request must be filed (received) in the Department's Office of General Counsel at 3900 Commonwealth Boulevard, Mail Station 35, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-3000, within 21 days of receipt of this Order. Petitioner, if different from Mid-State Energy, Inc., shall mail a copy of the request to Mid-State Energy, Inc., at the time of filing. Timely filing a request for an extension of time tolls the time period within which a petition for administrative hearing must be made.

## How to File a Petition for Administrative Hearing

A person whose substantial interests are affected by this Order may petition for an administrative hearing under Sections 120.569 and 120.57, F.S. The petition must contain the information set forth below and must be filed (received) in the Department's Office of General Counsel at 3900 Commonwealth Boulevard, Mail Station 35, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-3000, within 21 days of receipt of this Order. Petitioner, if different from Mid-State Energy, Inc., shall mail a copy of the request to Mid-State Energy, Inc. at the time of filing. Failure to file a petition
within this time period shall waive the right of anyone who may request an administrative hearing under Sections 120.569 and 120.57, F.S.

Pursuant to Section 120.54(5)(b)4.a., F.S., and Rule 28-106.201, F.A.C., a petition for administrative hearing shall contain the following information:
(a) The name, address, and telephone number of each petitioner, the name, address, and telephone number of the petitioner's representative, if any, the site owner's name and address, if different from the petitioner, the FDEP facility number, and the name and address of the facility;
(b) A statement of how and when each petitioner received notice of the Department's action or proposed action;
(c) An explanation of how each petitioner's substantial interests are or will be affected by the Department's action or proposed action;
(d) A statement of the material facts disputed by the petitioner, or a statement that there are no disputed facts;
(e) A statement of the ultimate facts alleged, including a statement of the specific facts the petitioner contends warrant reversal or modification of the Department's action or proposed action;
(f) A statement of the specific rules or statutes the petitioner contends require reversal or modification of the Department's action or proposed action; and
(g) A statement of the relief sought by the petitioner, stating precisely the action petitioner wishes the Department to take with respect to the Department's action or proposed action.

This Order is final and effective as of the date on the top of the first page of this Order. Timely filing a petition for administrative hearing postpones the date this Order takes effect until the Department issues either a final order pursuant to an administrative hearing or an order responding to supplemental information provided pursuant to meetings with the Department.

## Judicial Review

Any party to this Order has the right to seek judicial review of it under Section 120.68, F.S., by filing a notice of appeal under Rule 9.110 of the Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure with the clerk of the Department in the Office of General Counsel, 3900 Commonwealth Boulevard, Mail Station 35, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-3000, and by filing a copy of the notice of appeal accompanied by the applicable filing fees with the appropriate district court of appeal. The notice of appeal must be filed within 30 days after this Order is filed with the clerk of the Department (see below).

Please send a copy of the approved assessment document to Ken Weber of the Southwest Florida Water Management District within 30 days of receiving this Order.

The FDEP Facility Number for this site is 09-8732510. Please use this identification on all future correspondence with the Department.

## Questions

Any questions regarding the Department's review of your No Further Action Proposal should be directed to Danny Callahan at (850) 245-8916. Questions regarding legal issues should be referred to the Department's Office of General Counsel at (850) 245-2278. Contact with any of the above does not constitute a petition for administrative hearing or request for an extension of time to file a petition for administrative hearing.

MEA/dgc
cc: Laurel Culbreth, FDEP SW District Office


Mr. Frank Cowan, Edwards \& Belyea Environmental, Inc., P.O. Box 18403, Tampa, FL 33679-8403 File

## FILING AND ACKNOWLEDGMENT

FILED, on this date, pursuant to §120.52 Florida Statutes, with the designated Department Clerk, receipt of which is hereby acknowledged.


## P.G. CERTIFICATION

Templated Site Assessment Report (TSAR) and No Further Action Proposal (NFAP) without conditions dated June 12, 2002 (received June 21, 2002) prepared and submitted by Edwards \& Belyea Environmental, Inc. for the Citgo Food Mart No. 4 site, 707 U.S. Highway 19, Crystal River, Citrus County, Florida, FDEP Facility ID\# 098732510.

I hereby certify that in my professional judgment, the components of this No Further Action Proposal satisfy the requirements set forth in Chapter 62-770, Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.), and that the conclusions in this report provide reasonable assurances that the objectives stated in Chapter 62-770, F.A.C., have been met.

X personally completed this review.

This review was conducted by
working under my direct supervision.


Mr. Michael Webb, P.E.
Florida Department of Environmental Protection
Petroleum Cleanup Section, Mail Station 4530
2600 Blair Stone Road
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-2400

Re: Second Semi-Annual Natural Attenuation Monitoring Report Quality Amoco \#85 806 Northeast US Highway 19<br>Crystal River, Citrus County, Florida<br>FDEP Facility ID \#: 098503098<br>FDEP Work Order \#: 2002-93-0352<br>Handex Project: 111432.009

Dear Mr. Webb:
Handex of Florida, Inc. (Handex) has completed the second semi-annual groundwater sampling event at the above-referenced site as outlined in Florida Department of Environmental Protection (FDEP) pre-approval work order number 2002-93-0352-0. This is the second deliverable associated with the work order. The following is a summary of activities conducted for this monitoring period. A site plan of the facility is presented as Figure 1. A copy of the work order is presented in Appendix A.

## GROUNDWATER QUALITY MONITORING

Groundwater samples were collected on March 11, 2003 from monitoring wells MW-10 and MW-11. Groundwater samples were analyzed by ELAB of Ormond Beach, Florida using United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) Method 8021 for total volatile organic aromatics (VOAs) including methyl tert-butyl ether (MTBE). Laboratory analyses reported benzene and MTBE above Groundwater Cleanup Target Levels (GCTLs) as outlined in Table 1, Chapter 62-777, Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.) in the groundwater sample collected from MW-11. Laboratory analyses reported benzene above its GCTL, in the groundwater sample collected from MW-10. Other compounds analyzed in the groundwater samples collected from MW-10 and MW-11 were reported below laboratory detection limits (BDL) or below GCTL.s. A groundwater concentration map is presented as Figure 2. Groundwater analytical data are summarized in Table 1. Copies of the laboratory analytical report and groundwater sampling logs are presented in Appendix B.

Mr. Michael Webb, P.E. Quality Amoco \#85
FDEP Facility ID \#: 098503098
April 29, 2003
Page 2

## WATER-TABLE ELEVATION MONITORING

In conjunction with the collection of groundwater samples, water table measurements were collected from existing site monitoring wells on March 11, 2003. Liquid phase hydrocarbons (LPH) were not detected. Figure 3 is a contoured water table elevation map depicting the groundwater flow direction on March 11, 2003. Water table elevation data are presented in Table 2.

## SUMMARY

The results of the March 11, 2003 sampling event reported the benzene concentration in MW10 and MW-11 above its GCTL. The MTBE concentration was reported above its GCTL in MW-11. Other compounds analyzed in the groundwater samples collected from MW-10 and MW-11 were reported BDL or below GCTLs.

Based upon the current distribution of hydrocarbon impacted groundwater, groundwater flow direction, and proximity to a City of Crystal River public supply well, Handex recommends that one monitoring well be installed downgradient of MW-11 in the concrete area south of the service bay. Because it has been nearly two years since comprehensive groundwater sampling has been performed, Handex recommends a full round of sampling of the site wells following well installation. At that time, Handex will make an assessment concerning the need to supplement natural attenuation monitoring (NAM) with an altemative remedial strategy. This deliverable concludes the work under the present work order. Upon FDEP approval, Handex will submit a proposal for the recommended next scope of work.

As always it is a pleasure working with the Florida Department of Environmental Protection. If you have questions or comments concerning the report please feel free to contact the undersigned at (352) 735-1800.

Respectfully submitted,
HANDEX OF FLORIDA, INC.

cc: Mr. Steve Weeks, Quality Petroleum, P.O. Box 3889, Lakeland, Florida, 33802
Project File 111432.009

FIGURES




## TABLES

Florida Department of Environmental Protection - Bureau of Waste Cleanup - Petroleum Cleanup Section
TABLE 1: GROUNDWATER MONITORING WELL ANALYTICAL SUMMARY
Facility ID\#: 098503098

Florida Department of Environimental Protection - Bureau of Waste Cleanup - Petroleum Cleanup Section
TABLE 1: GROUNDWATER MONITORING WELL ANALYTICAL SUMMARY

| Facility | me: QUA | Y AMO | \#85 | Facility ID\#: 098503098 |  |  |  |  |  |  | Not Sampled = NS <br> Analytical Results = ppb <br> Not Installed $=$ NI |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Location | $\begin{gathered} \text { imple } \\ \text { Date } \end{gathered}$ | Benzene | Toluene | Ethyl Benzene | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \text { Xylenes } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { VOA } \end{aligned}$ | MTBE | Total Naphthalenes | Total PAHs | Total Lead | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Dissolved } \\ \text { Lead } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Total VOH | EDB |
| CW-4 | 02/20/1990 | 1.5 | 6.10 | BDL | 2.50 | 10.10 | 28.00 | NS | NS | 0.01 | BDL | NS | BDL |
|  | 12111/1992 | BDL | BDL | BDL | 8DL | BDL | 2.00 | BDL | BDL | NS | NS | NS | NS |
|  | 04/10/1996 | BDL | BDL | BḊL | 8DL | BDL | 2.40 | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
|  | 10/09/7996 | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
|  | 03/31/1997 | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
|  | 04/0211999 | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
|  | 10/01/1999 | $<0.5$ | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | BDL | 1.9 | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MW-5 | 02/20/1990 | BDL | 2.80 | BDL | 3.00 | 5.80 | 300.00 | NS | NS | 0.01 | BDL | NS | BDL |
|  | 12/11/1992 | BDL | BDL | BDL | BDL | BDL | BDL | BDL | BDL | NS | NS | NS | NS |
|  | 04/10/1996 | BDL | BDL | BDL | BDL | BDL | 1.40 | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
|  | 10/09/4996 | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
|  | 03/31/1997 | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
|  | 04/02/1999 | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
|  | 10/01/1999 | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
|  | 04/05/2000 | $<0.50$ | $<0.50$ | $<0.50$ | BDL | BDL | 1.20 | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
|  | 09/29/2000 | <1.0 | $<1.0$ | $<1.0$ | BDL | BDL | <1.0 | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
|  | 07/13/2001 | <1.0 | $<1.0$ | $<1.0$ | BDL | BDL | <1.0 | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MW-6 | 02/20/1990 | BDL | BDL | BDL | BDL | BDL | BOL | NS | NS | 0.01 | BDL | BDL | BDL |
|  | 12/11/1992 | BDL | BDL | BDL | BDL | BDL | BOL | BDL | BDL | NS | NS | NS | NS |
|  | 04/10/1996 | BDL | BDL | BDL | BDL | BDL | BDL | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
|  | 10/09/1996 | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
|  | 03/31/1997 | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
|  | 04/02/1999 | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
|  | 10/01/1999 | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
|  | 09/29/2000 | $<1.0$ | $<1.0$ | $<1.0$ | BDL | BDL | <1.0 | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
|  | 07/13/2001 | $<1.0$ | $<1.0$ | $<1.0$ | BDL | BDL | $<1.0$ | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Florida Department of Environmental Protection - Bureau of Waste Cleanup - Petroleum Cleanup Section
TABLE 1: GROUNDWATER MONITORING WELL ANALYTICAL SUMMARY

Florida Department of Environmental Protection - Bureau of Waste Cleanup -- Petroleum Cleanup Section
TABLE 1: GROUNDWATER MONITORING WELL ANALYTICAL SUMMARY

| Facility | me: QUAL | Y AMO | \#85 | Facility ID\#: 098503098 |  |  |  |  |  | Not Sampled = NS <br> Analytical Results $=$ ppb <br> Not Installed $=\mathrm{NI}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Location | $\begin{gathered} \text { mple } \\ \text { Date } \end{gathered}$ | Benzene | Toluene | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ethyl } \\ \text { Benzene } \end{gathered}$ | Total Xylenes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { VOA } \end{aligned}$ | MTBE | Total Naphthalenes | Total PAHs | Total Lead | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dissolved } \\ \text { Lead } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { VOH } \end{aligned}$ | EDB |
| MW-9D | 02/20/1990 | 1.3 | 1.80 | BDL | 5.40 | 8.50 | BDL | NS | NS | BDL | BDL | BDL | BDL |
|  | 12/11/1992 | BDL | BDL | BDL | BDL | BDL | BDL | BDL | BDL | NS | NS | NS | NS |
|  | 04/10/1996 | BDL | 0.80 | BDL | 1.20 | 2.00 | 1.00 | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
|  | 10/09/1996 | 5.2 | BDL | BDL | BDL | 5.20 | 3.10 | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
|  | 03/31/1997 | BDL | BDL | BDL | BDL | BDL | BDL | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
|  | 10/18/1997 | BDL | BDL | BDL | BDL | BDL | BDL | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
|  | 04/08/1998 | BDL | BDL | BDL | BDL | BDL | BDL | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
|  | 10/21/1998 | BDL | BDL | BDL | BDL | BDL | 1.20 | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
|  | 04/02/1999 | $<0.5$ | $<0.5$ | $<0.5$ | <0.5 | BDL | $<0.5$ | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
|  | 10/01/1999 | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
|  | 09/29/2000 | $<1.0$ | <1.0 | $<1.0$ | BDL | BDL | $<1.0$ | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
|  | 07/13/2001 | $<1.0$ | $\leq 1.0$ | $<1.0$ | BDL | BDL | $<1.0$ | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MW-10 | 07/13/2001 | 16 | < 5.0 | 25 | BDL | 41 | $<5.0$ | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
|  | 12/14/2001 | 11 | 1.8 | 6.0 | 2.3 | 21.1 | $<1.0$ | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
|  | 06/14/2002 | $<1.0$ | 0.4 | <1.0 | 0.5 | 0.9 | 1.5 | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
|  | 09/27/2002 | 4.5 | 0.9 | 1.5 | 2.8 | 9.7 | 6.0 | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
|  | .031.91/2003 | 2.4 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 1.8 | 5.2 | 2.9 | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MW-11 | 07/13/2001 | 19 | 5.3 | 9.3 | 6.9 | 40.5 | <1.0 | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
|  | 12/14/2001 | 25 | 2.6 | 1.2 | 10.6 | 39.4 | 65 | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
|  | 06/14/2002 | 14 | 3.2 | 1.2 | 4.3 | 22.7 | 51 | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
|  | 09/27/2002 | 23 | 2.8 | 8.0 | 16 | 49.8 | 64 | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
|  | 03/11/2003 | 46 | 3.8 | 1 | 8.7 | 59.5 | 110 | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | . |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Florida Department of Environmental Protection - Bureau of Waste Cleanup - Pre-Approval Program - Remedial Action O\&M Reporting

| Well No. |  |  |  | CW-2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | MW-6 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dlameter (Inches) | 4 |  |  | 4 |  |  | $4$ |  |  | $4$ |  |  | $2$ |  |  | $4$ |  |  |
| Well Depth | 10.00 |  |  | $10.00$ |  |  | $10.00$ |  |  | $10.00$ |  |  | $12.00$ |  |  | $12.00$ |  |  |
| Scraen Interval | 2 to 10 |  |  | 2 to 10 |  |  | 2 to 10 |  |  | 8 to 10 |  |  | 2 to 12 |  |  | $2 \text { to } 12$ |  |  |
| TOC Elevation | 20.00 |  |  | 19.94 |  |  | 19.41 |  |  | 19.36 |  |  | 19.27 |  |  | 19.67 |  |  |
| DATE | ELEV | DTW | FP | ELEV | DTW | FP | ELEV | DTW | FP | ELEV | DTW | FP. | EL.EV | DTW | $F P$ | ELEV | DTW | FP |
| 02/20/1990 | 15.56 | 4.44 |  | 15.41 | 4.53 |  | 15.65 | 3.76 |  |  |  |  | 15.52 | 3.75 |  | 14.82 | 4.85 |  |
| 12/11/1992 | 15.45 | 4.55 |  | 15.22 | 4.72 |  | 15.18 | 4.23 |  | 15.52 | 3.84 |  | 15.79 | 3.48 |  | 15.42 | 4.25 |  |
| 04/10/1996 | 15.98 | 4.02 |  | 15.89 | 4.05 |  | 15.91 | 3.50 |  | 15.96 | 3.40 |  | 15.92 | 3.35 |  | 15.95 | 3.72 |  |
| 10/09/1996 | 17.35 | 2.65 |  | 16,92 | 3.02 |  | 16.83 | 2.58 |  | 17.16 | 2.20 |  | 17.12 | 2.15 |  | 16.93 | 2.74 |  |
| 03/31/1997 | 16.20 | 3.80 |  | 16.09 | 3.85 |  | 16.06 | 3.35 |  | 16.30. | 3.06 |  | 16.22 | 3.05 |  | 16.17 | 3.50 |  |
| 10/16/1997 | 15.05 | 4.95 |  | 15.83 | 4.11 |  | 15.89 | 3.52 |  | 16.18 | 3.18 |  | 16.16 | 3.11 |  | 15.97 | 3.70 |  |
| 04/08/1998 | 16.45 | 3.55 |  | 16.42 | 3.52 |  | 16.37 | 3.04 |  | 16.57 | 2.79 |  | 16.41 | 2.86 |  | 16.43 | 3.24 |  |
| 10116/1998 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10/21/1998 | 15.98 | 4.02 |  | 15.76 | 4.18 |  | 15.89 | 3.52 |  | 16.34 | 3.02 |  | 16.13 | 3.14 |  | 15.85 | 3.82 |  |
| 04/02/1999 | 15.68 | 4.32 |  | 15.54 | 4.40 |  | 15.83 | 3.58 |  | 16.18 | 3.18 |  | 15.81 | 3.46 |  | 14.70 | 4.97 |  |
| 10/01/1999 | 16.83 | 3.17 |  | 16.78 | 3.16 |  | 16.80 | 2.61 |  | 17.04 | 2.32 |  | 17.01 | 2.26 |  | 16.81 | 2.86 |  |
| 04/05/2000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 15.46 | 3.81 |  | 14.72 | 4.95 |  |
| 09/29/2000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 14.82 | 4.45 |  | 14.63 | 5.04 |  |
| 07/13/2001 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 17.22 | 2.05 |  | 17.26 | 2.41 |  |
| 12/14/2001 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 15.97 | 3.30 |  | 15.80 | 3.87 |  |
| 06/14/2002 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 16.47 | 2.80 |  | 16.49 | 3.18 |  |
| 09/27/2002 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 17.42 | 1.85 |  | 17.36 | 2.31 |  |
| 03/11/2003 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 16.22 | 3.05 |  | 16.27 | 3.40 |  |

All Measurements $\mathbf{x}$ Feet
Table
Facility Name:
QUALITY AMOCO 85


| DATE | ELEV | DTW | FP | ELEV | DTW | FP | ELEV | DTW | FP | ELEV | DTW | FP | ELEV | DTW | FP | ELEV | DTW | FP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 02120/1990 | 15.42 | 4.71 |  | 15.33 | 4.70 |  | 14.80 | 5.09 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12/11/1992 | 15.32 | 4.81 |  | 15.34 | 4.69 |  | 15.30 | 4.59 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 04/10/1996 | 15.96 | 4.17 |  | 15.93 | 4.10 |  | 15.89 | 4.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10/09/1998 | 16.92 | 3.21 |  | 16.83 | 3.20 |  | 16.89 | 3.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 03/31/1997 | 16.23 | 3.90 |  | 16.13 | 3.90 |  | 16.34 | 3.55 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10/16/1997 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 04/08/1998 | 16.41 | 3.72 |  | 16.39 | 3.64 |  | 16.43 | 3.46 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10/16/1998 | 16.15 | 3.98 |  | 15.87 | 4.16 |  | 15.86 | 4.03 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10/21/1998 | 16.08 | 4.05 |  | 15.78 | 4.25 |  | 15.80 | 4.09 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 04/02/1999 | 15.91 | 4.22 |  | 15.68 | 4.35 |  | 15.55 | 4.34 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10/01/1999 | 17.03 | 3.10 |  | 16.82 | 3.21 |  | 16.79 | 3.10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 04/05/2000 | 15.28 | 4.85 |  | 15.10 | 4.93 |  | 14.95 | 4.94 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 09/29/2000 | 14.61 | 5.52 |  | 14.47 | 5.56 |  | 14.47 | 5.42 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07/13/2001 | 17.18 | 2.95 |  | 17.27 | 2.76 |  | -17.29 | 2.60 |  | 17.01 | 2.41 |  | 16.29 | 3.04 |  |  |  |  |
| 12/14/2001 | 15.93 | 4.20 |  | 15.59 | 4.44 |  | 15.58 | 4.31 |  | 15.33 | 4.09 |  | 14.75 | 4.58 |  |  |  |  |
| 06/14/2002 | 16.63 | 3.50 |  | 16.48 | 3.55 |  | 16.46 | 3.43 |  | 16.22 | 3.20 |  | 15.58 | 3.75 |  |  |  |  |
| 09/27/2002 | 17.48 | 2.65 |  | 17.29 | 2.74 |  | 17.27 | 2.62 |  | 17.02 | 2.40 |  | 16.37 | 2.96 |  |  |  |  |
| 03/11/2003 | 16.26 | 3.87 |  | 16.28 | 3.75 |  | 16.24 | 3.65 |  | 16.06 | 3.36 |  | 15.33 | 4.00 |  |  |  |  |

Site No. 79 Amoco \#185 806 NE Suncoast Boulevard Crystal River, Florida FDEP I.D. No. 098503098

Division of Waste Management
Bureau of Petroleum Storage Systems

Storage Tank Facility Compliance Inspection Report

Facility ID
Facility Name $\qquad$ Amoco $\# 185$

Latitude $\qquad$ $28^{\circ} 53.59 "$ Longitude


Inspection Date


Facility Type A-RETAK
L/L Method $\square$

| Check box to identify type of inspection performed. Update latitude/longitude as necessary. <br> Provide Lat/Long Determination Method. ("Map", "AGPS" (Magellan), "GGPS" (Thimble). <br> Provide the count of USTs and/or ASTr reviewed during this inspection |
| :--- |
| \# USTs <br> Inspected |

- "Code" in block below corresponds to the Rule Cite; represents a Data Entry Code for ease of electronic data recording of inspection results.

$\qquad$ Other Coverage meeting federal financial responsibility requirements. Mechanism: $\qquad$
$\qquad$ None

$\qquad$ of $\qquad$

Fe Tank Facility Compliance Inspection Report
ty Name:Amoce \#185 Facility m: 8503098 Date: $7 / 3 / 01$
*2001-2002 Placed is on hand. along with an RDRL

* The dispenser linus and He Cathodic rectifier readings are clecked $t$ documented monthly.
* The sill to structure test wis last dane by AAA Tank Testers on $1 / 1 / 8 / 2000$ test is next due $1 / / 5 / 2001$.
* all 5 Tanks were tisliness tested by Hey Tech petroleum 12-13-95. all passed. Next test is due by 12-13-0x.
* Release detection is S.1.R. by Simmons version S.7C.M. All SiR Report have been passing since lost year except Diesel and Premium ul were inconclusive August 2000 .
* Current Rectifier) readings as of $7 / 3 / 2001$ are 22 Volts +0.5 Amps.
ge Tank Facility Compliance Inspection Report
amity Name:A Mock \#185 Facility m: 8503098 Date: $7 / 3 / 01$

Description/ Inspector's Comments

* Disperser lines conditions.
\#(1) Dry, \# (2) Dry $\mathrm{w} /$ some Accumulated sand.
\#(3/4) DRy. \#(5)DRy. \#th DRy.
(1/2) DRy, (3/4(2) Dry. \#t 5/4) DRy.
* All piping has a check Valve installed beneath the dispenser.
* All fills are Marked Per Api l637. Diesel spill bucked is wet with less then one incl of accumulated liquid. promiumul spill bucket is dry.
Both Reg. ul spill buckets arediy mid grade use spill bucket is dry All fills are equipped with flow shut off drop tubes.
*There were ten observation wells located at this site 4 have been prupesly closed 2/2/2000, however six wells remain open.
* an over all site photo was taken and added to the file.


Mr. Michael Nebo, P.E.
Florida Department of Environmental Protection
Petroleum Cleanup Section, Mail Station 4530
2600 Blair Stone Road
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-2400

Re: Second Semi-Annual Natural Attenuation Monitoring Report
Quality Amoco \#85
806 Northeast US Highway 19
Crystal River, Citrus County, Florida
FDEP Facility ID \#: 098503098
FDEP Work Order \#: 2002-93-0352
Handex Project: 111432.009

Dear Mr. Webb:
Handex of Florida, Inc. (Handex) has completed the second semi-annual groundwater sampling event at the above-referenced site as outlined in Florida Department of Environmental Protection (FDEP) pre-approval work order number 2002-93-0352-0. This is the second deliverable associated with the work order. The following is a summary of activities conducted for this monitoring period. A site plan of the facility is presented as Figure 1. A copy of the work order is presented in Appendix A.

## GROUNDWATER QUALITY MONITORING

Groundwater samples were collected on March 11, 2003 from monitoring wells MW-10 and MW-11. Groundwater samples were analyzed by ELAB of Ormond Beach, Florida using United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) Method 8021 for total volatile organic aromatics (VOAs) including methyl tert-butyl ether (MTBE). Laboratory analyses reported benzene and MTBE above Groundwater Cleanup Target Levels (GCTLs) as outlined in Table 1, Chapter 62-777, Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.) in the groundwater sample collected from MW-11. Laboratory analyses reported benzene above its GCTL, in the groundwater sample collected from MW-10. Other compounds analyzed in the groundwater samples collected from MW-10 and MW-11 were reported below laboratory detection limits (BDL) or below GCTLs. A groundwater concentration map is presented as Figure 2. Groundwater analytical data are summarized in Table 1. Copies of the laboratory analytical report and groundwater sampling logs are presented in Appendix B.

## WATER-TABLE ELEVATION MONITORING

In conjunction with the collection of groundwater samples, water table measurements were coliected from existing site monitoring wells on March 11, 2003. Liquid phase hydrocarbons (LPH) were not detected. Figure 3 is a contoured water table elevation map depicting the groundwater flow direction on March 11, 2003. Water table elevation data are presented in Table 2.

## SUMMARY

The results of the March 11, 2003 sampling event reported the benzene concentration in MW10 and MW-11 above its GCTL. The MTBE concentration was reported above its GCTL in $\mathrm{MW}-11$. Other compounds analyzed in the groundwater samples collected from MW-10 and MW-11 were reported BDL or below GCTLs.

Based upon the current distribution of hydrocarbon impacted groundwater, groundwater flow direction, and proximity to a City of Crystal River public supply well, Handex recommends that one monitoring well be installed downgradient of $\mathrm{MW}-11$ in the concrete area south of the service bay. Because it has been nearly two years since comprehensive groundwater sampling has been performed, Handex recommends a full round of sampling of the site wells following well installation. At that time, Handex will make an assessment concerning the need to supplement natural attenuation monitoring (NAM) with an alternative remedial strategy. This deliverable concludes the work under the present work order. Upon FDEP approval, Handex will submit a proposal for the recommended next scope of work.

As always it is a pleasure working with the Florida Department of Environmental Protection. If you have questions or comments concerning the report please feel free to contact the undersigned at (352) 735-1800.

Respectfully submitted,
HANDEX OF FLORIDA, INC.

cc: Mr. Steve Weeks, Quality Petroleum, P.O. Box 3889, Lakeland, Florida, 33802
Project File 111432.009

FIGURES
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TABLE 1: GROUNDWATER MONITORING WELL ANALYTICAL SUMMARY

| Facility N | me: QUAL | Y AMO | \#85 | Facility ID\#: 098503098 |  |  |  |  | Not Sampled $=$ NS <br> Analytical Results = ppb <br> Not Installed = NJ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Location | Pple ${ }^{\text {Date }}$ | Benzene | Tolueria | Ethyl Benzene | Total Xylenes | Total VOA | MTBE | Total Naphthalenes | Total PAHs | Total <br> Lead | Dissolved <br> Lead | Total VOH | EDB |
| MW-9D | 02/20/1990 | 1.3 | 1.80 | BDL | 5.40 | 8.50 | BDL | NS | NS | BDL | BDL | BDL | BDL |
|  | 12/11/1992 | BDL | BDL | BDL | BDL | BDL | BDL | BDL | BDL | NS | NS | NS | NS |
|  | 04/10/1996 | BDL | 0.80 | BDL | 1.20 | 2.00 | 1.00 | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | .NS |
|  | 10/09/1996 | 5.2 | BDL | BDL | BDL | 5.20 | 3.10 | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
|  | 03/31/1997 | BDL | BDL | BDL | BDL | BDL | BDL | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
|  | 10/16/1997 | BDL | BDL | BDL | BDL | BDL | BDL | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
|  | 04/08/1998 | BDL | BDL | BDL | BDL | BDL | BDL | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
|  | 10/21/1998 | BDL | BDL | BDL | BDL | BDL | 1.20 | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
|  | 04/02/1999 | $<0.5$ | $<0.5$ | $<0.5$ | $<0.5$ | BDL | $<0.5$ | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
|  | 10/01/4999 | NS | .NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
|  | 09/29/2000 | $<1.0$ | $<1.0$ | $<1.0$ | BDL | BDL | $<1.0$ | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
|  | 07/13/2001 | $<1.0$ | $<1.0$ | $<1.0$ | BOL | BDL | $<1.0$ | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MW-10 | 07/13/2001 | 16 | $<5.0$ | 25 | BDL | 41 | $<5.0$ | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
|  | 12/14/2001 | 11 | 1.8 | 6.0 | 2.3 | 21.1 | $<1.0$ | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
|  | 06/14/2002 | $<1.0$ | 0.4 | $\leqslant 7.0$ | 0.5 | 0.9 | 1.5 | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
|  | 09/27/2002 | 4.5 | 0.9 | 1.5 | 2.8 | 9.7 | 6.0 | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
|  | . $031.11 / 2003$ | 2.4 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 1.8 | 5.2 | 2.9 | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MW-11 | 07/13/2001 | 19 | 5.3 | 9.3 | 6.9 | 40.5 | $<1.0$ | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
|  | 12/14/2001 | 25 | 2.6 | 1.2 | 10.6 | 39.4 | 65 | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
|  | 06/14/2002 | 14 | 3.2 | 1.2 | 4.3 | 22.7 | 51 | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
|  | 09/27/2002 | 23 | 2.8 | 8.0 | 16 | 49.8 | 64 | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
|  | 03/11/2003 | 46 | 3.8 | 1 | 8.7 | 59.5 | 110 | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | . |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

No Data = Blank

| Well No. | $\begin{gathered} \text { CW-1 } \\ 4 \\ 10.00 \\ 2 \text { to } 10 \\ 20.00 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} C W-2 \\ 4 \\ 10.00 \\ 2 \text { to } 10 \\ 19.94 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{CW}-3 \\ 4 \\ 10.00 \\ 21010 \\ 19.41 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{CW}-4 \\ 4 \\ 10.00 \\ 8 \text { to } 10 \\ 19.36 \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { MW-5 } \\ 2 \\ 12.00 \\ 2 \text { to } 12 \\ 19.27 \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { MW-6 } \\ 4 \\ 12.00 \\ 2 \text { to } 12 \\ 19.67 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dlameter (Inches) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Well Depth |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Screen Interval |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOC Elevation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DATE | ELEV | DTW | FP | ELEV | DTW | FP | ELEV | DTW | FP | ELEV | DTW | FP. | ELEV | DTW | FP | ELEV | DTW | FP |
| 02/20/1990 | 15.56 | 4.44 |  | 15.41 | 4.53 |  | 15.65 | 3.76 |  |  |  |  | 15.52 | 3.75 |  | 14.82 | 4.85 |  |
| 12/11/1992 | 15.45 | 4.55 |  | 15.22 | 4.72 |  | 15.18 | 4.23 |  | 15.52 | 3.84 |  | 15.79 | 3.48 |  | 15.42 | 4.25 |  |
| 04/10/1996 | 15.98 | 4.02 |  | 15.89 | 4.05 |  | 15.91 | 3.50 |  | 15.96 | 3.40 |  | 15.92 | 3.35 |  | 15.95 | 3.72 |  |
| 10/09/1996 | 17.35 | 2.65 |  | 16.92 | 3.02 |  | 16.83 | 2.58 |  | 17.16 | 2.20 |  | 17.12 | 2.15 |  | 16.93 | 2.74 |  |
| 03/31/1997 | 16.20 | 3.80 |  | 16.09 | 3.85 |  | 16.06 | 3.35 |  | 16.30 | 3.06 |  | 16.22 | 3.05 |  | 16.17 | 3.50 |  |
| 10/16/1997 | 15.05 | 4.95 |  | 15.83 | 4.11 |  | 15.89 | 3.52 |  | 16.18 | 3.18 |  | 16.16 | 3.11 |  | 15.97 | 3.70 |  |
| 04/08/1998 | 16.45 | 3.55 |  | 16.42 | 3.52 |  | 16.37 | 3.04 |  | 16.57 | 2.79 |  | 16.41 | 2.86 |  | 16.43 | 3.24 |  |
| 10/16/1998 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10/21/1998 | 15.98 | 4.02 |  | 15.76 | 4.18 |  | 15.89 | 3.52 |  | 16.34 | 3.02 |  | 16.13 | 3.14 |  | 15.85 | 3.82 |  |
| 04/02/1999 | 15.68 | 4.32 |  | 15.54 | 4.40 |  | 15.83 | 3.58 |  | 16.18 | 3.18 . |  | 15.81 | 3.46 |  | 14.70 | 4.97 |  |
| 10/01/1999 | 16.83 | 3.17 |  | 16.78 | 3.16 |  | -16.80 | 2.61 |  | 17.04 | 2.32 |  | 17.01 | 2.26 |  | 16.81 | 2.86 |  |
| 04/05/2000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 15.46 | 3.81 |  | 14.72 | 4.95 |  |
| 09/29/2000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 14.82 | 4.45 |  | 14.63 | 5.04 |  |
| 07/13/2001 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 17.22 | 2.05 |  | 17.26 | 2.41 |  |
| 12/14/2001 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 15.97 | 3.30 |  | 15.80 | 3.87 |  |
| 06/14/2002 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 16.47 | 2.80 |  | 16.49 | 3.18 |  |
| 09/27/2002 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 17.42 | 1.85 |  | 17.36 | 2.31 |  |
| 03/11/2003 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 16.22 | 3.05 |  | 16.27 | 3.40 |  |

Florida Department of Environmental Frotection - Bureau of Wasto Cleanup - Pre-Approval Program - Remedial Action O\&N Reporting
GROUNDWATER ELEVATION TABLE
98503098
$M W-11$
2
15.00
2 to 15
19.33

| DATE | ELEV | DTW | FP | ELEV | DTW | FP | ELEV | DTW | FP | ELEV | DTW | FP | ELEV | DTW | FP | ELEV | DTW | FP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 02/2011990 | 15.42 | 4.71 |  | 15.33 | 4.70 |  | 14.80 | 5.09 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12111/1992 | 15.32 | 4.81 |  | 15.34 | 4.69 |  | 15.30 | 4.59 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 04/10/1996 | 15.96 | 4.17 |  | 15.93 | 4.10 |  | 15.89 | 4.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10/09/1996 | 16.92 | 3.21 |  | 16.83 | 3.20 |  | 16.89 | 3.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 03/31/1997 | 16.23 | 3.90 |  | 16.13 | 3.90 |  | 16.34 | 3.55 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10/16/1997 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 04/08/1998 | 16.41 | 3.72 |  | 16.39 | 3.64 |  | 16.43 | 3.46 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10/16/1998 | 16.15 | 3.98 |  | 15.87 | 4.16 |  | 15.86 | 4.03 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10/21/1998 | 16.08 | 4.05 |  | 15.78 | 4.25 |  | 15.80 | 4.09 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 04/02/1999 | 15.91 | 4.22 |  | 15.68 | 4.35 |  | 15.55 | 4.34 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10/01/1999 | 17.03 | 3.10 |  | 16.82 | 3.21 |  | 16.79 | 3.10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 04/05/2000 | 15.28 | 4.85 |  | 15.10 | 4.93 |  | 14.95 | 4.94 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 09/29/2000 | 14.61 | 5.52 |  | 14.47 | 5.56 |  | 14.47 | 5.42 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07/13/2001 | 17.18 | 2.95 |  | 17.27 | 2.76 |  | -17.29 | 2.60 |  | 17.01 | 2.41 |  | 16.29 | 3.04 |  |  |  |  |
| 12/14/2001 | 15.93 | 4.20 |  | 15.59 | 4.44 |  | 15.58 | 4.31 |  | 15.33 | 4.09 |  | 14.75 | 4.58 |  |  |  |  |
| 06/14/2002 | 16.63 | 3.50 |  | 16.48 | 3.55 |  | 16.46 | 3.43 |  | 16.22 | 3.20 |  | 15.58 | 3.75 |  |  |  |  |
| 09/27/2002 | 17.48 | 2.65 |  | 17.29 | 2.74 |  | 17.27 | 2.62 |  | 17.02 | 2.40 |  | 16.37 | 2.96 |  |  |  |  |
| 03/11/2003 | 16.26 | 3.87 |  | 16.28 | 3.75 |  | 16.24 | 3.65 |  | 16.06 | 3.36 |  | 15.33 | 4.00 |  |  |  |  |

Site No. 80 City of Crystal River Water Tower 524 NE First Avenue Crystal River, Florida FDEP I.D. No. 098628468

GURUS COUNTY
DEPARTMENT OF DEVELOPMENT SERVICES
1300 South Lecanto Highway
Lecanto, Florida 32661-8099
(904) 746-4223

Mr. Bernie Hilgenberg City of Crystal River
123 N.W. Hwy. 19
Crystal River, Florida 32629
Ref. Fac.\# 098628468
Crystal River Well \& 1 Water Tank

Dear Mr. Hilgenberg,
Attached are the 17-61 Florida Administrative Code compliance inspection results for the above named facility. Our inspector did not indicate violations of Chapter $17-61, F, A . C$ at the time of his inspection. We appreciate your firm's attention regarding environmental regulations, for pollutant storage tank system's. Also see comments on front page of inspection report.
If you have any questions concerning this letter, please feel free to call us at (904)746-1135.

Sincerely,
MichardTome
Richard. T. Sosna
Fuel Tank Inspector

RTS/jE

State of Florida
Department of Environmental Regulation
Pollutant Storage Tank System Inspection Report Form
$\qquad$



Owner: C1TM Cuysunc River. Phone:
Latitude $28^{\circ} 53^{\prime} 55^{\prime \prime} /{ }^{\prime}$. Longitude $82^{\circ} 35^{\prime} 30^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$. Section Township

Range



| Inspection Type: |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\square$ Complaint Response | $\square$ Reinspection | Facilitytinformation: |  |
| $\square$ initial | $\square$ Installation | $\square$ Abandoned | $\square$ Non-retail |
| $\square$ EDT | $\square$ Aboveground | $\square$ Retail |  |
| $\square$ Public Well Field | $\square$ Unregistered | $\square$ Govt. -Federal | $\square$ Retrofit (M. or O.) |
|  | $\square$ Govt. Other | $\square$ Retrofit (L. or R.) |  |

DER District:
Local Program:


Violations must be corrected by: next routine inspection $\square$ $\square$ or by:


Site No. 81 Dixie Automotive
846 NE Suncoast Boulevard
Crystal River, Florida FDEP I.D. No. 098842217


November 22， 1991

Mr．Ed Austin
Penninsular Motor Club
P．0．Box 31087
Tampa，Florida 33631－31087
Ref．Fac．\＃098842217
Dixie Automotive
846 US 19 N.
Crystal Piver，Flarida Эこもご


Sincerely，


Fuel Tank Inspector
Citrus County Fire Prevention

RTS／jf
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May 12, 2003
File No.: 41771.001

Mr. Tim Foster<br>Florida Department of Environmental Protection<br>Petroleum Cleanup Team I<br>Bureau of Petroleum Storage Systems<br>2600 Blair Stone Road, MS 4540<br>Tallahassee, Florida 32399-2400<br>Re: General/SA Report<br>AAA-Dixie Automotive<br>846 US HWY 19 North<br>Crystal River, Florida<br>FDEP Facility No. 098842217<br>Work Order No. 2003-91-0786-0



Dear Mr. Foster:

On behalf of AAA Insurance Company, Gannett Fleming, Inc. is submitting this General/SA Report to document groundwater conditions for the AAA Dixie Automotive facility in Crystal River, Florida. The work was completed as outlined in the Petroleum Preapproval Program Work Order No. 2003-91-0786-0. A copy of the approved work order is provided as Appendix A. The activities described herein were conducted during the April 24, 2003, site visit.

## Site Location and Description

AAA Insurance Company's Dixie Automotive facility is located on the south side of U.S. Highway 19, approximately 0.5 miles west of SR 44 in Crystal River, Citrus County, Florida. The site occupies the lot between U.S. Highway 19 and the City of Crystal River's Water Supply Tower No. Three. The site's latitude is approximately 28 degrees, 54 minutes, 0 seconds North, and the longitude is approximately 82 degrees, 35 minutes, 0 seconds West. The site is shown on the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) Crystal River, Florida 7.5 minute quadrangle in Section 21, Township 18 South, Range 17 East. Figure 1 shows the site location, topography, and surface drainage features. Figure 2 shows the site layout.

Mr. Tim Foster
Florida Department of Environmental Protection
May 12, 2003

The site is currently inactive and formerly operated as a gas station. The site has been built up from the surrounding area which is predominantly flat marsh and wetlands. The property adjacent to the site is currently undeveloped with a creek located to the east.

## Groundwater Level Determination

Water level measurements were recorded for each of the 4 groundwater monitoring wells. Each water level was measured to the nearest 0.01 inch using an electric water level meter (Table 1). Information from water level determination activities show groundwater to be flowing east-southeast (Figure 3).

## Groundwater Monitoring Well Sampling

The 4 existing groundwater monitoring wells were sampled for BTEX and MTBE by EPA Method No. 8021B, PAHs by EPA Merhod No. 8310, total Pb by EPA Method No. 6010, and TRPHs by the FL-PRO method. Each well was purged and sampled using the slow-flow technique as described in the Standard Operating Procedures, $4^{\text {th }}$ Edition, for the Florida Petroleum Preapproval Program (SOPs). Field measurements were recorded during purging of each well as required in the SOPs. Each sample was collected in laboratory-supplied containers, placed on ice, and transported to ELABS, Inc. for the above analysis. Groundwater sampling datasheets are provided in Appendix B.

Analytical results show benzene is the only constituent present above groundwater cleanup criteria as determined by Chapter 62-777 F.A.C. Benzene was detected in MW-3 at a concentration of $1.9 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ (micrograms per liter). Table 2 and Figure 4 show the analytical results for the suite of groundwater monitoring wells sampled during the site visit. The laboratory analytical results are provided in Appendix C.

## Conclusions and Recommendations

Based on hydrologic information, minimal groundwater contaminant impact, and the absorptive/cleansing nature of the surrounding environment (wetland) we recommend entering the facility into a Monitoring Only Program. A subsequent proposal and cost estimate detailing the program will be provided upon approval of this report.

## Gannett Fieming

Mr. Tim Foster
Florida Department of Environmental Protection May 12, 2003
-3-

It has been a pleasure working with you on this project and if you have any questions, please contact us at (904) 332-9400.

Sincerely,
GANNETT FLEMING, INC.


Oren C. Reedy, C.P.S.s.
Project Soil Scientist


Fredric L. Pirkle, Ph.D., P.G.
Project Geologist

## Enclosures



## TABLE 1: GROUNDWATER ELEVATION SUMMARY



| Sample |  | Benzeno | Toluene | Ethyt benzeno | Total Xylenes | Total VOA | MTBE | EDB | Total Lead | TRPHs | Naphthalene | Chramlum | 1-Meth | 2-Meth | Other |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Location | Data |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MW-1 | 7/19/2002 | $<1$ | $<1$ | $<1$ | $<1$ | $<1$ | $<1$ | $<0.02$ | 19 | 990 | 4 | NS | 10 | 10 |  |
|  | 4/24/2003 | 0.9 | $<1$ | 0.9 | 1.4 | 3.3 | $<1$ | NS | $<5$ | 890 | $<1$ | NS | - <1.5 | $<1: 5$ |  |
| MW-2 | 7/19/2002 | $<1$ | $<1$ | 1 | $\leqslant 1$ | $\leqslant 1$ | $<1$ | $<0.02$ | $<10$ | 1600 | 21 | NS | 12 | 5 |  |
|  | 4/24/2003 | 0.7 | $<1$ | 2.4 | 3.2 | 6.3 | $<1$ | NS | $<5$ | 13013 | $0<1$ | NS | $\bullet<1.5$ | <1.5 |  |
| MW-3 | 7/19/2002 | $<1$ | $<1$ | 1 | NS | $<1$ | NS | $<0.02$ | 14 | $<200$ | $<10$ | 12 | $<10$ | $<10$ |  |
|  | 4/24/2003 | 1.9 | 0.4 | $<1$ | 0.8 | 2.7 | 1.7 | NS | <5 | 160 | $<1$ | NS | $<1.5$ | $<1.5$ |  |
| NW-4 | 10/23/2002 | $<1$ | $<1$ | 1 | NS | $<1$ | NS | $<0.02$ | 30 | 3800 | $<10$ | $\leq 10$ | $<10$ | $<10$ |  |
|  | 4/24/2003 | $<1$. | - <1 | $<1$ | $<1$ | $<1$ | $<1$ | NS | 2.4 | $160 / 600<1$ |  | NS | $\leqslant 1.5$ | <1:5 |  |
| Wetland | 10/23/2002 | $<1$ | $<1$ | $<1$ | NS | $<100$ | NS | NS | $<10$ | $<200$ | $<10$ | $<10$ | $<10$ | $<10$ |  |
| TW-8 | 1/29/1991 | $<1$ | $<1$ | $<1$ | $<1$ | $<10$ | $<10$ | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |  |
| TW-10 | 1/29/1991 | $<1$ | 1. | $<1$ | $<1$ | 1 | NS | NS | $<5$ | <0.2 ppm | NS | NS | NS | NS |  |
| MW-1 | 2/13/1991 | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | 10 | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |  |
| MW-2 | 2/13/1991 | $<0.6$ | 5 | $<0.9$ | $<0.9$ | 5 | $<0.9$ | NS | $<5$ | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |  |
| MW-3 | 2/13/1991 | $<6$ | $<10$ | $<9$ | $<9$ | $<6$ | $<9$ | NS | $<5$ | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |  |
| MW-1 | 6/19/1991 | $<0.6$ | $<1$ | $<0.9$ | $<0.9$ | $<0.6$ | $<0.9$ | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |  |
| MW-2 | 6/19/1991 | $<0.6$ | $<1$ | $<0.9$ | $<0.9$ | $<0.6$ | 2 | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |  |
| MW-3 | 6/19/1991 | $<1.2$ | $<2$ | $<1.8$ | $<1.8$ | $<1.2$ | $<1.8$ | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |  |
| MW-1 | 9/5/1991 | <0.6 | $<1$ | $<0.9$ | $<0.9$ | $<0.6$ | $<0.9$ | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |  |
| NW-2 | 9/5/1991 | $<0.6$ | $<1$ | $<0.9$ | <0.9 | $<0.6$ | $<0.9$ | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |  |
| MW-3 | 9/5/1991 | $<0.6$ | $<1$ | $<0.9$ | $<0.9$ | $<0.6$ | $<0.9$ | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |  |
| MW-1 | 12/2/1991 | $<0.6$ | $\leqslant 1$ | $<0.9$ | $<0.9$ | $<0.6$ | $<0.9$ | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |  |
| MW-2 | 12/2/1991 | $<0.6$ | $<1$ | $<0.9$ | $<0.9$ | $<0.6$ | 2 | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |  |
| MW-3 | 12/2/1991 | $<3$ | $<5$ | $<4.5$ | $<4.5$ | $<3$ | $<4.5$ | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |  |
| MW-1 | 2/20/1992 | $<0.6$ | $<1$ | $<0.9$ | $<0.9$ | $<0.6$ | $<0.9$ | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |  |
| MW-2 | 2/20/1992 | $<0.6$ | $<1$ | $<0.9$ | $<0.9$ | $<0.6$ | $<0.9$ | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |  |
| MW-3 | 2/20/1992 | $<3$ | $<5$ | $<4.5$ | <4.5 | $<3$ | $<4.5$ | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |  |

## AAA-DIXIE AUTOMOTIVE FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF ENIRONMENTAL PROTECTION 846 US HWY 19 NORTH CRYSTAL RIVER, FLORIDA
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$\square$

Site No. 82 Sprint Florida 35 NE Fifth Street
Crystal River, Florida FDEP I.D. No. 099101357


Twin Towers Office Bldg. © 2600 Blair Stone Rome © Tallahassee, Florida 32399-2400
Division of Waste Management
Bureau of Petroleum Storage Systems

Storage Tank Facility Compliance Inspection Report

Facility ID $\qquad$ $410135 ?$

County $\qquad$ Inspection Date $11 / 3 / 00$
Facility Name Spin int FloRA (CRy RUR)
Facility Type


Latitude $\square$ $28^{\circ} 53^{\prime} 57^{\prime \prime}$ $\square$ E253'35"

L/L Method $\square$ $A-G O S$


- "Code" in block below corresponds to the Rule Cite; represents a Data Entry Code for ease of electronic data recording of inspection results.



November 13, 2000
Ms. Cathy Stephens
Sprint Environmental Health and Safety
555Lake Border Dr.
Apopka, FL 32703

RE:
DEP FAC \#099101357
Sprint Florida
Crystal River, FL

Dear Ms. Stephens:
The Storage Tank Program of the Citrus County Health Department (County) has been authorized, by contract with the Florida Department of Environmental Protection (Department), to perform compliance, discharge, closure and installation inspections at facilities regulated under Chapter 62-761 of the Florida Administrative Code (FAC).

Enclosed, please find a copy of the Storage Tank Facility Compliance Inspection Report for the inspection recently performed at the above named facility. Please refer to this report for comments regarding the inspection.

If there are any questions concerning this matter, you may contact the Storage Tank Program at (352) 527-5295.

Sincerely,

C. Mark Sumner

Environmental Specialist II

Enclosure(s)
CMS/file

## Bureau of Petroleum Storage Systems Facility Inspection Cover Page

Facilily Information
ID\#: 9101357
Name: SPRINT FLORIDA
35 Ne 5 th St
Crystal River, FL 32629-4163
Contact: Lewis Peteway
Phone: 352-368-8760

District: SWD
County: Citrus
Type: Fuel User/Non-Retail
Status: Open
Latitude: 28:53:57.0000
Longitude: 82:35:35.0000
LL Method: AGPS

Account Owner Information
Name: Sprint Florida
Po Box 165000 M/S Flapka0206
Attn: Jennifer Scarpino
Altamonte Springs, FL 32716-5000
Phone: 407-889-1531

Tank Owner Information
Name: Sprint Florida
Po Box 165000 M/S Flapka0206
Attn: Jennifer Scarpino
Altamonte Springs, FL 32716-5000
Phone: 407-889-1531
Tank
Size
Content
Installed Placement Ṣtatus Const Pipe Monitor 21000 Diesel-Emergen Gen 07/01/1998 ABOVE


11000 Diesel-Emergen Gen 06/01/1980 ABOVE
B
***Note: Construction, Piping, and Monitoring Info not shown for CLOSED tanks (Status of A, B, or D). No OPEN riolations found!

Site No. 84 Chevron - Kwik Stop
118 NW Suncoast Boulevard Crystal River, Florida FDEP I.D. No. 098503048

## Bureau of Petroleum Storage Systems Facility Inspection Cover Page

Facility Information
ID\#: 8503048
Name: CHEVRON-KWIK STOP
118 Nw Hwy 19
Crystal River, FL 32629-3931
Contact: Rajendra Patel $\}$
Phone: 352-563-5770 $\} \mathrm{cms}$

District: SWD
County: Citrus
Type: Retail Station
Status: Open
Latitude: 28:53:58.0000
Longitude: 82:35:40.0000
LL Method: AGPS

Account Owner Information
Name: Patel, Rajendra \& Chandrika
118 Nw Us Hwy 19
Crystal River, FL 34428
Phone: 352-563-5770
Tank Owner Information
Name: Patel, Rajendra \& Chandrika
118 Nw Us Hwy 19
Crystal River, FL 34428
Phone: 352-563-5770
$\underset{\#}{\text { Tank }}$ Size Content Installed Placement Status Const Pipe Monitor
1R1 8035 Unleaded Gas 06/01/1987 UNDER U

2R1 8035 Unleaded Gas 06/01/1987 UNDER U

3R1 8035 Unleaded Gas 06/01/1987 UNDER

UNDER B
UNDER B
UNDER B
UNDER B

110000 Leaded Gas
23000 Gasohol
33000 Gasohol
44000 Gasohol
$\qquad$

,forage Tank Facility Compliance Inspection Report
Facility Name:CHEVEX Kw:K sToP Facility m:5503048 Date:2/s/2001


Jeb Bush
Govemor

# Department of <br> Environmental Protection 

Twin Towers Office Building 2600 Blair Stone Road
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-2400
David B. Struhs Secretary
December 10, 2002

Mr. David Rogers, P.G.
Terra Tech Enterprises, Inc.
14156 River Road
Pensacola, FL 32507

Subject: $\quad$ Site Park Response
Chevron Quick Stop
118 NW Highway 19
Crystal River, Citrus County, FL
FDEP Facility DD\# 09-8503048

Dear Mr. Rogers:
The Bureau of Petroleum Storage Systems has reviewed the letter dated December 4, 2002 (received December 9, 2002), regarding the Bureau Chief's decision to not approve the source removal project at this facility.

Once again, prior to Program funding and procedure changes, the Department approved a Limited Scope Remedial Action Plan (LSRAP) for this facility on December 24, 2001 consisting of source removal operations in conjunction with planned dispenser upgrades. Since this time, all source removal operations in excess of $\$ 75,000.00$ now have to go through the Bureau Chief, Mr. Mike Ashey for final approval due to current Program funding issues.

The site information for this facility was reviewed by Mr. Ashey ( cost proposal / work order submitted by Terra-Tech Enterprises, Inc.) and was deemed ineligible since no significant eminent threat to public health or safety is present at this facility. Mr. Ashey reviews all of the available data (reports) for each site in this situation to make his determination. His answer for this site is final (will not be funded by the Department) until fiscal issues are resolved to allow the work to proceed under the Preapproval Program. However, the facility owner does have the option to proceed with his planned dispenser upgrades at his own expense.

Mr. David Rogers, P.G.
Terra Tech Enterprises, Inc.
Page No. 2

If you should have any questions, please contact me at $850-245-8916$, at the letterhead address, Mail Station 4580, or at my E-mail address.


Environmental Specialist $\square$
Petroleum Cleanup Section 4
Bureau of Petroleum Storage Systems
E-mail: Dan.Callahan@dep.state.fl.us
$/ \mathrm{dgc}$
cc: Mr. Rajendra Patel, 118 NW U.S. Hwy 19, Crystal River, FL 34428 File


BUREAU OF PETROLEUM STORAGE SYSTEMS
Mr. Danny Callahan
Environmental Specialist
FL Department of Environmental Protection
MS 4580
2600 Blair Stone Rd.
Tallahassee, FL 32399-2400

Re: Response to FDEP Correspondence Dated October 28, 2002
Chevron Kwik Stop
118 NW US Highway 19
Crystal River, Citrus County, FL
FDEP Facility ID\# 098503048

Dear Mr. Callahan:
This correspondence has been prepared in response to the FDEP correspondence dated October 28, 2002 sent to Mr. Rajendra Patel (site owner). A copy of the correspondence is attached. The last sentence of the third paragraph states " Only soil contamination is present at this facility with no groundwater impact or migration potential present." Please note the following comments:

1) Only one sampling event of all site monitoring wells has been conducted at the site. This event occurred in January of 2001.
2) Three groundwater samples collected from around the dispenser area during the Geoprobe investigation in September of 2000 had compounds detected above the applicable groundwater target levels.
3) The depth to groundwater at the facility is very shallow (approximately 3 to 5 feet).
4) Soils around the dispenser island are significantly contaminated.

It is my professional opinion, based on over eighteen year of experience conducting assessment and remediation activities at petroleum contaminated sites in Florida, that groundwater has been impacted and the poteritial for further groundwater impact and subsequent migration is highly likely. Experience also indicates that the longer the time period prior to implementing cleanup activities, the more costly the project is. I would like the Department to reconsider Mr. Ashley's ineligible determination. This is a chance to remediate and close a site in a cost effective and timely manner.

If you have any questions, Please contact me at (850) 492-0913 or email drterra@mindspring.com.

Sincerely,
David M. Rogers, P.G.
President
CC: Mr. Rajendra Patel
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## SECTION 1

## INTRODUCTION

This Limited Scope Remedial Action Plan Modification (LSRAP MOD) has been prepared by Terra Tech Enterprises, Inc. (TTE) for the Chevron Kwik Stop located at 118 Northwest U.S. Highway 19, Crystal River, Citrus County, Florida (Figures 1, $2 \& 3$ ). TTE is conducting this phase of work under the Florida Department of Environmental Protection (FDEP) Petroleum Preapproval Program Work Order Number 2001-95-0216-0. The LSRAP MOD has been prepared as directed by FDEP staff. A Level 3 Limited Scope Remedial Action Plan (LSRAP) which proposed soil vapor extraction as the remedial technology was submitted to the FDEP on August 23, 2001. The LSRAP was conditionally approved by the FDEP in correspondence received September 22, 2001. Based on new FDEP soil source removal guidelines (Soil Source Removal Guidelines for Petroleum Cleanup Preapproval Program Sites - September 3, 2001) which were put into effect after submittal of the LSRAP, a modification to the existing LSRAP for utilization of source removal as the primary remediation method was requested by FDEP staff. I addition, the site owner has indicated that his dispensers will be upgraded during 2002 and source removal activities can be scheduled to coincide with this work. The site has been assigned a Priority Ranking Score of 61 .

## 2002

Following is a brief summary of site chronological events as ascertained from the available information researched. Pertinent correspondence is include within Appendix A.

6/87: The three existing underground storage tanks (UTs) were reportedly installed in 1987. The tank registration form indicates the three tanks are constructed of fiberglass-clad steel and are used for the storage of unleaded gasoline.

6/17/96: Environmental Audit conducted by Affordable Environmental Audits, Inc. Groundwater analysis indicated $6 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ of Ethylbenzene and $48 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ of total Xylenes were detected in the sample from the southeast compliance well and $12 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ Ethylbenzene and $276 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ total Xylenes were detected in the northwest compliance well.

6/25/96: Discharge Reporting Form submitted to the FDEP for the facility.
6/26/96: Florida Petroleum Restoration Insurance Program Claim submitted for the facility.
7/10/96: FDEP Notice of Eligibility.

7/31/96: FDEP correspondence regarding deductible requirements.

8/16/96: Lines tested and passed.
9/97: Original lines replaced with fiberglass lines. Impacted soils detected with an OVA during replacement activities. Closure Assessment completed by Environmental Evaluations, Inc.

6/25/98: STB Environmental conducts Super Act Investigation and site inspection.

12/2/98: FDEP correspondence indicating site had a ranking score of 61.

5/22/00: FDEP correspondence requesting a proposal from TTE.

8/07/00: Work Order 2001-00-6541-0 was executed.

8/9/00: Drilling and sampling notification given to FDEP.

8/24/00: Revised drilling and sampling notification given to FDEP.

9/5-7/00: Direct push borings SB-1 through SB-20 and soil sampling completed. Obtained 10 'groundwater samples from direct push borings. Sampled two compliance wells. Area survey conducted. County file review conducted.

10/11/00: Level 4 General Report submitted to the FDEP.

10/23/00: FDEP correspondence, Deliverable Review received by TTE. Correspondence requested additional maps and City well information.

10/30/00: TTE submits Deliverable Review Response to the FDEP.
11/5/00: FDEP correspondence, Deliverable Review and Proposal Request received by TTE. Correspondence approves Level 4 Report and request proposal for the next phase of work.

12115/00: Work Order 2001-91-0069-0 executed. This work order was for drilling of 8 handaugered borings in potential source areas, soil sampling and analysis, installation of 6 water table monitoring wells and one deep well, groundwater sampling of the new wells and preparation of a Level 4 General Report using the Template Site Assessment Report form.

12/20/00: Drilling and sampling notification given to FDEP.

1/23-27/01: Hand augered borings B-1 through B-8 completed with OVA screening and laboratory sampling. MW-1 through MW-6 and DW-1 installed and sampled.

2/08/01: `Professional Land Survey (PLS) competed by Spectra Engineering \& Research, Inc.
2/15/01: Field notes, laboratory analytical reports, boring logs, and PLS submitted to the FDEP by TTE.

3/21/01: Level 4 General Report submitted to the FDEP by TTE.
5/15/01: FDEP correspondence, Deliverable Review received by TTE. Correspondence indicates the Level 4 Report had conditionally satisfied the approved work order subject to responding to the Geologist Review. The correspondence also indicated that the site was ready to go into remediation and requested that a detailed proposal be submitted to the FDEP to address soil remediation at the facility.

5/31/01: Preapproval Cost Proposal submitted to the FDEP for groundwater monitoring, soil vapor extraction Pilot Testing and Preparation of a Remedial Action Plan (Soil Design).

6/11/01: FDEP Staff contacted TTE regarding proposal. FDEP staff indicated that pilot testing was not necessary and a Level 3 LSRAP should be prepared based on utilizing soil vapor extraction as the remedial technology without pilot testing recommended by TTE to assess the feasibility of using this approach.

6/25/01: Work Order 2001-94-0216-0, directing TTE to prepare a LSRAP using Soil Vapor Extraction (SVE) as the remediation technology, executed.

8/23/01: LSRAP (SVE) submitted to the FDEP.
9/22/01: FDEP correspondence Deliverable Review (LRAP) and Level 3 limited Scope Remedial Action Plan Review received by TTE. Correspondence indicates that the deliverable was conditionally satisfied pending responses to P.E. review. New source removal guidelines were included with correspondence.

10/15/01: TTE submits review responses to the FDEP. This correspondence indicates that, based on the new source removal guidelines, the site appears to be an adequate candidate for source removal.

## Server



## SECTION 2 <br> SITE DESCRIPTION

The site is located in Section 21, Township 18 South, Range 17 East in Crystal River, Citrus County, Florida, as shown on the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) 7.5 minute topographic map of the Crystal River, Florida Quadrangle (Figure 1).

The site is currently a retail fuel dispensing facility and convenience store. Figure $\mathbf{2}$ is a site map showing the location of the store building, the UST and dispenser areas, compliance wells, utilities and other associated features. Compliance well CW-NE is 9.60 feet in depth, CW-NW is 7.40 feet in depth, CW-SE is 8.70 feet in depth, and CW-SW is 6.20 feet in depth. All compliance wells are 2 -inch diameter and screened to the surface.

Land use in the area is primarily commercial and residential. Figure 3 illustrates surrounding land uses.

Site utilities identified are shown on Figure 2 and includes overhead electric and underground electric, water, sewer, and telephone lines.

Public and private water supply wells were investigated by conducting area reconnaissance; and by contacting and conducting file searches at the Citrus County Environmental Health Department, and STB Environmental (contracted by FDEP to perform Super Act Investigations). One public supply well (City of Crystal River Well) was identified within a $1 / 4$ mile radius of the site as is located approximately 1000 feet to the east-southeast. Another public supply well (City of Crystal River Well) is located approximately 1.1 miles to the northeast of the site. Well locations are illustrated on Figure 1. Mr. Keith Mullins / US Filter (contracted to operate the City of Crystal River Water Treatment Plant) was contacted regarding the depth and production of the City Well located within $1 / /$ mile of the site. He indicated that the well is cased to a depth of 84 ' BLS where it intersects a naturally occurring limestone cavern of unknown depth. The permitted production capacity for the well is 285,000 GPD. However, the well is currently used for standby purposes only.

The nearest surface water body to the site, Kings Bay, is located approximately 800 to 1,000 feet southwest of the site.

## SECTION 3

## SUMMARY OF SITE ASSESSMENTS

Previously conducted site assessments include a Level 4 Report submitted to the FDEP on October 11, 2000 by TTE; and an additional Level 4 Report submitted to the FDEP by TTE on March 21, 2001.

### 3.1 Assessment Activities and Level 4 Reports

1. One public supply wetl was identified within a $1 / 4$ mile radius of the site.
2. Subsurface utilities identified at the site include water, sewer, electric, and telephone lines.
3. The nearest surface water body to the site is Kings Bay, located approximately 800 to 1,000 feet southwest of the site.
4. Lithologic sequences identified during the advancement of soil borings and monitoring wells include approximately 9 to 10 feet of fine to medium grained quartz sand (SW) overlying limestone to a depth of at least $25^{\prime}$ BLS.
5. OVAFID soil screening results and soil analytical data indicate contaminated soils are present in the immediate vicinity of the dispenser island. The extent of soils contamination has been adequately defined in all directions.
6. The depth to groundwater on September 6, 2000 ranged from 3.61 feet to 4.10 feet. The direction of groundwater flow as measured on this date was to the west-southwest with a hydraulic gradient of approximately $0.004 \mathrm{f} / \mathrm{ft}$. The depth to groundwater on January 27, 2001 ranged from 4.94 feet to 5.50 feet. The direction of flow was to the north-northwest with an average hydraulic gradient of approximately 0.013 ftfft . It is unknown at this time if tidal influences affect groundwater flow patterns at the site.
7. Groundwater samples collected on September $6 \& 7,2000$ during advancement of geoprobe borings, indicated groundwater with dissolved contaminant levels above applicable target levels were present in the vicinity of the dispenser island. Based on the results of the geoprobe investigation six water table monitoring wells (MW-1 through MW-6) and one deep well (DW-1) were installed at the site. Groundwater samples collected on January 27, 2001 from monitoring wells MW-1 through MW-6 and DW-1 indicated that only lead, detected in MW-1 ( $0.229 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ ), exceeded the applicable target levels during this sampling event. The discrepancy is thought to be a result of sampling only the surface of the water table during
the geoprobe investigation as compared with sampling the entire screened interval of the monitoring wells.
8. A review of all the data indicates that the contaminated soils identified around the dispenser island are a continuing potential source of groundwater impact.

Assessment data is summarized on Tables 1 through 4, and Figures 1 through 13.

### 3.2 Contaminant Mass Calculations

Contaminant mass in the Vadose Zone was estimated using the following method:

1. The arithmetic average of TPH concentrations was determined from previous soil sampling data (Avg = 152 ppm from Table 2).
2. The area inside the zero TPH concentration line was determined (an elliptical shape was assumed from Figure 14 Area $=1,458$ square feet).
3. To calculate the volume, the average TPH concentration ( 152 ppm ) was multiplied by the area within the zero TPH concentration line (Area $=1,458$ square feet). This value is then multiplied by the average thickness of the contamination ( $T=4$ feet).
4. The volume of contamination was multiplied by the soil density $\left(p=65 \mathrm{Kg} / \mathrm{t}^{3}\right)$.
5. The result of this calculation was then converted from units of mg to pounds by multiplying by $2.2 \times 10^{-6}$.

Based on these calculations, the contaminant mass is as follows:

$$
\text { Mass }=152 \mathrm{ppm} \times 1,458 \mathrm{ft}^{2} \times 4 \mathrm{ft} \times 65 \mathrm{Kg} / \mathrm{ft}^{3} \times 2.2 \times 10^{-6}=126 \text { pounds }
$$

Contaminant Mass Calculations are presented in Appendix B.

## SECTION 4

## LIMITED SCOPE REMEDIAL ACTION PLAN

### 4.1 Remedial Actions

Proposed remedial actions will consist of soil excavation of an approximately 50 ' $\times 25^{\prime} \times 6-7^{\prime}$ deep area as illustrated on Figure 15 (including anticipated caving). This includes impacted areas identified during assessment phases and removal of one to two feet of the smear zone. Required permits will be obtained prior to initiating excavation activities. All OSHA requirements will be followed during site activities. During excavation activities, soils will be sampled and screened in the field in accordance with Chapter 62-770, F.A.C. Excavation will continue until OVA readings are <50 PPM. Confirmatory soil laboratory analytical data will be obtained by collecting composite soil samples from the bottom and each of the excavation side walls. The soil samples will be analyzed for BTEX + MTBE, PAHs, and FL-PRO (based on previous sampling results).

Prior to backfilling the excavation area, a horizontal, 6-inch diameter slotted PVC well screen is proposed to be installed as shown on Figures 15 and 16. The well screen will be installed below the water table and can be utilized in the future, if necessary to meet target levels, for air and/or liquid injection or groundwater recovery.

The excavation areas will be backfilled with clean fill to the original grade. The clean select backfill material will be placed in the excavation in maximum loose lifts not to exceed 12 inches. The material will be compacted to a minimum of 90 percent of the maximum dry density as determined by ASTM D 698. In-place field density testing will be conducted in accordance with ASTM D 2922 and applicable OSHA regulations. The area will be resurfaced with concrete.


The piping from the tank area to the dispensers and a portion of the sites water supply line will have to be replaced as a result of excavation activities. No other underground structures have been identified which will affect the proposed remedial strategy.

Contaminated soils removed during excavation activities will be transported and disposed of at a licensed thermal treatment facility. Pre-burn soil samples will be collected and provided to the treatment facility in accordance with applicable regulations. It is estimated that a maximum of 500 tons (approximately 325 cubic yards) of contaminated soils will be transported from the site for thermal treatment.


### 4.6 Cleanup Time Frame Estimates

It is expected to take approximately one week to complete the excavation program. It is estimated that groundwater target levels will be maintained and a Site Rehabilitation Completion Report will be submitted within one year of post soil source removal monitoring.

### 4.7 Monitoring and Reporting

Proposed monitoring will include interim monitoring until source removal activities have been completed to verify the contamination is not migrating and post source removal monitoring to assure source removal activities were successful and applicable target levels have been met. The proposed remedial strategy is expected to result in the groundwater meeting applicable Target Levels or Natural Attenuation Monitoring default levels. The proposed monitoring program will consist of quarterly sampling of MW-1, MW-2, MW-3 and MW-4. The designated wells will be sampled and analyzed for BTEX + MTBE.


Once source removal activities are completed, it is considered appropriate for the site to fall under the provisions of Chapter 62.770 .690 - Natural Attenuation. As stated in Chapter 62770.690 (7) 2. (b) "The monitoring period shall be a minimum of one year, unless two consecutive sampling events have indicated that the applicable cleanup target levels have been met, in which case the requirements of paragraph (8) shall apply" (paragraph (8) requires submittal of a Site Rehabilitation Completion Report (SRCR)).

Quarterly reports will be prepared and submitted to the Department to document the success of the remedial program.

### 4.8 Cleanup Cost Estimates and Comparisons

Following is a cost estimate comparison for implementation of the LSRAP (SVE System) versus source removal. A cost estimate will be provided in the Preapproval Program format following approval of this LSRAP MOD.

## SUE SYSTEM IMPLEMENTATION

EQUIPMENT


INSTALLATION

| VEWs | $\$ 10,000.00$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Trenching and Piping - including materials | $15,000.00$ |
| Compound | $5,000.00$ |
| Electrical | $1,200.00$ |
| Permitting | $1,50.00$ |
|  | Total $\$ 32,700.00$ |

## START-UP, MONITORING AND MAINTENANCE (1 Year)

System Start-up $\quad \$ 2.500 .00$

Electrical Usage
System O \& M \& Reporting

2,000.00
22,000.00
Total $\$ 26,500.00$

MONITORING AND MAINTENANCE (Year 2)

O \& M \& Reporting
$\$ 20,000.00$

TOTAL ESTIMATED COST
$\$ 87,400.00$


## SOURCE (SOIL) REMOVAL IMPLEMENTATION

\$25,000.00



In accordance with the new source removal guidelines, The cost for source removal is less than $25 \%$ greater than the proposed in-situ method and has a greater chance of success.

## SECTION 5

## CONCLUSIONS


#### Abstract

As directed by FDEP staff a LSRAP MOD has been prepared for the site. Proposed remedial actions will consist of soil excavation of an approximately $50^{\prime} \times 25^{\prime} \times 6-7$ deep area (including 'anticipated caving). This includes impacted areas identified during assessment phases and removal of one to two feet of the smear zone. Required permits will be obtained prior to initiating excavation activities. All OSHA requirements will be followed during site activities. During excavation activities, soils will be sampled and screened in the field in accordance with Chapter 62-770, F.A.C. Excavation will continue until OVA readings are $<50 \mathrm{PPM}$. Confirmatory soil laboratory analytical data will be obtained by collecting composite soil samples from the bottom and each of the excavation side walls. The soil samples will be analyzed for BTEX + MTBE, PAHs, and FL-PRO.


Prior to backfiling the excavation area, a horizontal, 6-inch diameter slotted PVC well screen is proposed to be installed. The well screen will be installed below the water table and can be utilized in the future, if necessary to meet target levels, for air and/or liquid injection or groundwater recovery.

The excavation areas will be backfilled with clean fill to the original grade. The clean select backfill material will be placed in the excavation in maximum loose lifts not to exceed 12 inches. The material will be compacted to a minimum of 90 percent of the maximum dry density as determined by ASTM D 698. In-place field density testing will be conducted in accordance with ASTM D 2922 and applicable OSHA regulations. The area will be resurfaced with concrete.

The piping from the tank area to the dispensers and a portion of the sites water supply line will have to be replaced as a result of excavation activities. No other underground structures have been identified which will affect the proposed remedial strategy.

Contaminated soils removed during excavation activities will be transported and disposed of at a licensed thermal treatment facility. Pre-burn soil samples will be collected and provided to the treatment facility in accordance with applicable regulations. It is estimated that a maximum of 500 tons (approximately 325 cubic yards) of contaminated soils will be transported from the site for thermal treatment.
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TABLES 1-4

TABLE 1: SOIL SCREENING SUMMARY
Facility Name: CHEVRON KWIK STOP
Facility ID 098503048

| SAMPLE |  |  |  | OVA SCREENING RESULTS |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { BORING } \\ & \text { NO. } \end{aligned}$ | DATE COLLECTED | $\begin{gathered} \text { DEPTH } \\ \text { TO } \\ \text { WATEA } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | SAMPLE INTERVAL [FBLS] | TOTAL READING ( ppm ) | CARBON FLTERED [ppm] | NET READING (ppm) | COMMENTS |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { SB-1 } \\ & \text { SB-1 } \\ & \text { SB-1 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 09 / 06 / 100 \\ & 09 / 06 / 00 \\ & 09 / 06 / 00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4^{\prime} \\ & 4^{\prime} \\ & 4^{\prime} \end{aligned}$ | $1^{1}$ <br> $2^{-\cdots}$ <br> 3 | $\begin{array}{r} <1 \\ \hline-<1 \\ <1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ <1 \\ <1 \end{array} \\ \hline 1 \end{gathered}$ | $-\lll<1-1$ | -----m $\quad .-\quad .-$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline 5 B-2 \\ & 58 \cdot 2 \\ & S B \cdot 2 \end{aligned}$ | 09/06/00 <br> 09/06/00 <br> 09/06/00 |  | $\begin{array}{\|l} 1 \\ 2 \\ \hline 3 \end{array}$ | $-\frac{-\frac{1}{<1}}{\frac{<1}{1}}$ | $-\frac{\leq 1}{\leq 1}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline<1 \\ <1 \\ \hline<1 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | - |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{SB} \cdot 3 \\ & \mathrm{SB} \cdot 3 \\ & \mathrm{SB} \cdot 3 \end{aligned}$ | 09/06/30 09/06/00 $09 / 06 / 00$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 4^{\prime} \\ & 4^{\prime} \\ & 4^{\prime} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -\frac{1}{2} \\ -\quad 3 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $-\frac{<}{<1}-$ | $\begin{aligned} & -<1 \\ & -\quad<1 \\ & <1 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & <1 \\ & <1 \\ & <1 \end{aligned}$ | - - |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { SB-4 } \\ & \text { SB-4 } \\ & \text { SB-4 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 09 / 06 / 00 \\ & 09 / 06 / 00 \\ & 09 / 06 / 00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{4}^{\prime} \\ & \mathbf{4}^{\prime} \\ & \mathbf{4}^{\prime} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & \hline{ }^{2} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} <1 \\ <1 \\ \hline<1 \end{array}$ | $-\frac{<1}{<1}$ | $\begin{gathered} \leqslant 1 \\ \hline-1 \\ \hdashline-1 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 58.5 \\ & 58.5 \\ & 58.5 \end{aligned}$ | 09/06/100 09/06/00 09/06/00 |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 1 \\ \hline 2 \\ \hdashline 3 \end{array}$ | $\frac{\frac{<1}{<1}}{<1}-$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline<1 \\ & \hline<1 \\ & <1 \end{aligned}$ | $-\begin{gathered} <1 \\ -1 \\ -1 \end{gathered}$ | $\qquad$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { SB. } 6 \\ & \text { SB. } 6 \\ & \text { SB. } 6 \end{aligned}$ | 05/06/00 09/06/00 09/06/00 | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \\ & 4 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $-\frac{c 1}{<1}$ | $-\frac{<1}{<1}$ | $-\frac{<1}{<1}-$ |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline 58.7 \\ & 58.7 \\ & \text { SB.7 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 09/06/00 <br> $09 / 06 / 00$ <br> 09/06/00 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 4^{\prime} \\ & 4^{\prime} \\ & 4^{\prime} \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{1}{\frac{2}{3}}$ | $<1$ $<1$ $<1$ | $\cdots \frac{\stackrel{<1}{<1}}{<1}$ | $\begin{gathered} <1 \\ \hline-s 1 \\ -<1 \end{gathered}$ | -- |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { SB.8 } \\ & \text { SB. } \\ & \text { S8.8 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 09 / 06 / 00 \\ & 09 / 06 / 00 \\ & 09 / 06 / 00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{4}^{\prime} \\ & 4^{\prime} \\ & 4^{\prime} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ 2 \\ \hline 3 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline<1 \\ & <1 \\ & <1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & <1 \\ & <1 \\ & \hline<1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} <1 \\ -\quad<1 \\ \hline<1 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | .. •-•-- |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { SB. } 9 \\ & \text { SB. } 9 \\ & \text { SB. } 9 \end{aligned}$ | 09/06/00 <br> 09/05/00 <br> 09/06/00 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 11 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{120}{140}-$ | $\begin{gathered} \frac{2}{5} \\ -\cdots 5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 118 \\ -135 \\ 565 \end{array}$ |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & S B \cdot 10 \\ & S B \cdot 10 \\ & S B \cdot 10 \end{aligned}$ | 09/05/00 <br> 09/06/00 <br> 09/06/00 | $\begin{aligned} & 4^{\circ} \\ & 4^{\prime} \\ & 4^{\prime} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1 \\ -\quad 2 \\ \hline 3 \end{array}$ | $\frac{-\frac{1}{<1}}{\langle 1}$ | $\begin{aligned} & <1 \\ & <1 \\ & <1 \end{aligned}$ | $-\frac{l}{\langle 1} \begin{aligned} & \langle 1 \\ & \hline<1 \end{aligned}$ | -- |
| $\begin{aligned} & 58-11 \\ & 58-11 \\ & 58.11 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 09 / 06 / 00 \\ & 09 / 06 / 00 \\ & 09 / 06 / 00 \end{aligned}$ | 4 <br> 4 <br> 4 <br> 4 | 1 2 3 | $\begin{array}{r} \quad<1 \\ -\quad<1 \\ <1 \end{array}-$ | $\begin{aligned} & <1 \\ & \hline<1 \\ & <1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r\|} \hline<1 \\ <1 \\ <1 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | - |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { SB-12 } \\ & \text { SB-12 } \\ & 58 \cdot 12 \end{aligned}$ | 09/07/00 <br> 09/07/00 <br> 09/07/00 | $\begin{aligned} & 4^{\prime} \\ & 4^{\prime} \\ & 4^{\prime} \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\begin{array}{r} <1 \\ <1 \\ \hline<1 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \leqslant 1 \\ \hline \leqslant 1 \\ <1 \end{gathered}$ | $\frac{<1}{<1}$ |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & S 8 \cdot 13 \\ & S 8 \cdot 13 \\ & S 8.13 \end{aligned}$ | 09/07/00 09.07/00 $09 / 07 / 00$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4^{\prime} \\ & 4^{\prime} \\ & 4^{\prime} \end{aligned}$ | 1 <br> 2 <br> 3 | $\begin{aligned} & <1 \\ & \hline<1 \\ & \hline<1 \end{aligned}$ | $-\begin{array}{r} <1 \\ <1 \\ <1 \end{array} .$ | $<1$ <br> $<1$ <br> $<1$ |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 58 \cdot 14 \\ & 58-14 \\ & S 8 \cdot 14 \end{aligned}$ | 09/07/00 <br> 09/07/00 <br> 09/07/00 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 4^{\prime} \\ & 4^{\prime} \\ & 4^{\prime} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -\quad \frac{1}{2} \\ & -\quad 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 68 \\ & .24 \\ & 19 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 48 \\ -\quad \frac{13}{2} \\ - \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 20 \\ 11 \\ 17 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | - - - -- |

TABLE 1: SOIL SCREENING SUMMARY (Continued)
Facility Name: CHEVRON KWIK STOP
Facility ID\# 098503048

| SAMPLE |  |  |  | OVA SCREENING RESULTS |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BORING NO. | DATE COLLECTED |  | SAMPLE INTERVAL (FBLS) | TOTAL READING (ppm) | CARBON FILTERED $(\mathrm{ppm})$ | NET READING (ppm) | COMMENTS |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { SB-15 } \\ & \text { SB-15 } \\ & \text { S8-15 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 09 / 07 / 00 \\ & 09 / 07 / 00 \\ & 09 / 07 / 00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 4^{\prime} \\ & \mathbf{4}^{\prime} \\ & \mathbf{4}^{\prime} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{1^{\prime}}{2^{\prime}}$ | $\begin{gathered} 70 \\ -940 \\ -81000 \end{gathered}$ | $\frac{20}{\frac{300}{180}}-\cdots$ | $\begin{array}{r} 50 \\ -\quad 640 \\ ->820 \end{array}$ | Lab sample ( -1 ) |
| SE-16 <br> SB-16 <br> SB-16 | $\begin{aligned} & 09 / 07 / 00 \\ & 09 / 07 / 00 \\ & 09 / 07 / 00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 4^{\prime} \\ & 4^{\prime} \\ & 4^{\prime} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{1^{\prime}}{-\frac{2^{\prime}}{3^{\prime}}}$ | -680 $>1000^{-}$ $>1000$ | 400 260 600 | 280 <br> $\underset{2740}{>400}-$ |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { SE-17 } \\ \text { SB-17 } \\ - \text { SE- } 17 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .09 / 07 / 00 \\ & 09 / 07 / 00 \\ & 09 / 07 / 00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4^{\prime} \\ & 4^{\prime} \\ & 4^{\prime} \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{1^{\prime}}{2^{\prime}}-$ | $\begin{array}{r} 280 \\ 125 \\ \hline 400 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 7 - 40 230 | $\begin{gathered} 273 \\ \hline 85 \\ 170 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | - Lab sample (L). |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { SB-18 } \\ & \text { SB-18 } \\ & \text { SB-18 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 09 / 07 / 00 \\ & 09 / 07 / 00 \\ & 09 / 07 / 00 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1 \\ & 2^{\prime} \\ & \hline 3^{\prime} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \\ & \alpha \\ & \hline<1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & <1 \\ & <1 \\ & <1 \end{aligned}$ | $<1$ $<1$ $<1$ | ------- |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { SB-19 } \\ & \text { SB-19 } \\ & \text { SB-19 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 09/07/00 } \\ & \text { 09/07/00 } \\ & 09 / 07 / 00 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 4^{\prime} \\ & 4^{\prime} \\ & 4^{\prime} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2^{\prime}} \\ & 3^{\prime} \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{1}{<1}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} \hline 1 & - \\ <1 & - \\ \hline 61 & \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -\frac{1}{<1} \\ <1 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{SB}-20 \\ & \mathrm{SB}-20 \\ & \mathrm{SB}-20 \end{aligned}$ | 09/07/00 <br> 09/07/00 <br> 0907/00 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 4^{\prime} \\ & 4^{\prime} \\ & \mathbf{4}^{\prime} \end{aligned}$ | $-\frac{1^{\prime}}{2^{\prime}}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 11 \\ <1 \\ -\quad 1 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & <1 \\ & \hdashline<1 \\ & <1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1 \\ & \hline<1 \\ & <1 \\ & <1 \end{aligned}$ | ---. |
| $\begin{aligned} & B-1 \\ & B-1 \\ & B-1 \\ & B-1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 01 / 23 / 01 \\ & 01 / 23 / 01 \\ & 01 / 23 / 01 \\ & 01 / 23 / 01 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 5^{\prime} \\ & 5^{\prime} \\ & 5^{\prime} \\ & 5^{\prime} \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{-\frac{1^{\prime}}{2^{\prime}}}{-\frac{3^{\prime}}{4^{\prime}}-}$ | 50 <br> $-\quad 90$ <br> .150 <br> $\therefore 1000$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 30 \\ & 40 \\ & 20 \\ & 20 \\ & 100 \end{aligned}$ | $-\frac{20}{50}-\frac{130}{900}$ |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{B}-2 \\ & \mathrm{~B}-2 \\ & \mathrm{~B}-2 \\ & \mathrm{~B}-2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 01 / 23 / 01 \\ & 01 / 23 / 01 \\ & 01 / 23 / 01 \\ & 01 / 23 / 01 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 5^{\prime} \\ & 5^{\prime} \\ & 5^{\prime} \\ & 5^{\prime} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \frac{1^{\prime}}{2^{\prime}} \\ \hline-\frac{3^{\prime}}{4^{\prime}} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 110 \\ 2300 \\ 5000 \\ -\quad 2500 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20- \\ & 100-- \\ & 150- \\ & 100 \end{aligned}$ | $-\frac{90}{2200}$ | - .... - Lab sample (H) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{B}-3 \\ & \mathrm{~B}-3 \\ & \mathrm{~B}-3 \\ & \mathrm{~B}-3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 01 / 23 / 01 \\ & 01 / 23 / 01 \\ & 01 / 23 / 01 \\ & 01 / 23 / 01 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 5^{\prime} \\ & 5^{\prime} \\ & 5^{\prime} \\ & 5^{\prime} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -\frac{1^{\prime}}{2^{\prime}} \\ -3^{\prime} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 140 \\ -400 \\ \hline 300 \\ 1500 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 30 \\ & \frac{50}{175} \\ & \hline 175 \end{aligned}$ | 110 <br> 350 <br> 125 <br> 1325 | -- ... -- - |
| $\begin{aligned} & B-4 \\ & B-4 \\ & 8-4 \\ & 8-4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 01 / 23 / 01 \\ & 01 / 23 / 01 \\ & 01 / 23 / 01 \\ & 01 / 23 / 01 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5^{\prime} \\ & 5^{\prime} \\ & 5^{\prime} \\ & 5^{\prime} \end{aligned}$ | $\cdots-\frac{1^{\prime}}{2}-\frac{3^{\prime}}{4^{\prime}} \begin{aligned} & 4^{\prime} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 280 500 -150 -300 | $\begin{gathered} -\frac{40}{75} \\ \cdots 50 . \\ \cdots 100 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 240 <br> -425 <br> .100 <br> 200 | . $\cdot \cdots$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & B-5 \\ & B-5 \\ & B-5 \\ & B-5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 01 / 23 / 01 \\ & 01 / 23 / 01 \\ & 01 / 23 / 01 \\ & 01 / 23 / 01 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5^{\prime} \\ & 5^{\circ} \\ & 5^{\prime} \\ & 5^{\prime} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & !^{\prime} \\ & \hline 2^{\prime} \\ & \hline 3^{\prime} \\ & \hline 4^{\prime} \end{aligned}$ | 80 <br> 200 <br> $-\quad 125$ <br> 40 | $\begin{aligned} & 40 \\ & \hline 40 \\ & 40 \\ & 30 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 40 \\ \hline 160 \\ 85 \\ 10 \end{gathered}$ | Lab sample (L) |

TABLE 1: SOIL SCREENING SUMMARY (Continued)
Facility Name: CHEVRON KWIK STOP
Facility ID\# 098503048

TABLE 2: SOIL ANALYTICAL SUMMARY
Facility ID: 098503048

| Sample |  |  |  | OVA | Laboratory Analyses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Baring No. | Date Collected | Depth to Water ( $t$ ) | Sample Interval (fbls) | Net OVA <br> Reading <br> (ppm) | Benzene (ppm) | Ethylbenzene (ppm) | Toluene (ppm) | Total Xylenes (ppm) | Total VOAs (ppm) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MTBE } \\ & \text { (ppm) } \end{aligned}$ | Naphthalenes (ppm) | Total PAHs (ppm) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TRPH } \\ & \text { (ppm) } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| SB-15 (H) | $97 / 100$ | $4{ }^{\prime}$ | 3 | >820 | 0.00375 | 0.074 | <0.001 | 0.03465 | 0.1124 | 0.00177 | $<0.330$ | $<0.330$ | 3.64 |  |
| S8-9 (M) | 9/6/00 | $4^{\prime}$ | 3 | 565 | $<0.001$ | 0.0716 | 0.00713 | 0.03344 | 0.11217 | 0.0103 | $<0.330$ | $4.64{ }^{\circ}$ | 30.8 | 'Phenanthrene (1.19). <br> Flourantheris ( 0.81 ). <br> Benzo(a)anthracene (0.42). Benzo(b)flouranthene (0.55). Benzo(a)pyrene (0.39). Pyrene (0.89). Chrysene (0.39) |
| SB. 17 (L) | 977100 | $14^{\prime}$ | 2 | 125 | $<0.001$ | 0.0052 | 0.00202 | 0.01823 | 0.02545 | 0.00116 | $<0.330$ | $<0.330$ | 2.8 |  |
| B-2 (h) | 1/23/01 | 5 | $3^{\prime}$ | 4850 | 1 | 91 | 11 | 260 | 363 | 0.73 | 204 | $18.97{ }^{\circ}$ | 480 | -Anthracene (0.4). Benzo (a) anthracene (0.32). Benzo (a) pyrene (0.4). Benzo (b) fluoranthene (.37). Benzo (g.h.i) perylene ( 0.34 ). Benzo (k) Huoranthene ( 0.14 ). Chrysene (0.73). Dibenzo (a.h) anthracene (0.23). Fluoranthene (4.6). <br> Fluorene (3.3). Indeno (1.2.3-dd) pyrene ( 0.14 ). Phenanthrene (2.8). Pyrene (5.2) |
| B-5 (L) | 1/23/01 | $5{ }^{\prime}$ | $4^{\prime}$ | 10 | 0.063 | 2.2 | <0.31 | 1.8 | 4.063 | $<0.2$ | 33 | $277.9{ }^{\circ}$ | 290 | -Acenaphthene (81). Anthracene (B.5). Benzo (a) anthracene (14). Benzo (a) pyrene (10). Benzo (b) fluoranthene (12). Benzo (g.h.i) perylene (5.1). Benzo (k) fluoranihene (9). Chrysene (14). Dibenzo (a.h) anthracere ( 0.67 ). Fluuranthene (54). Indeno (1.2,3 cd) pyrene (3.7). Phenanthrene (30). Pyrene (36) |
| E-6 (M) | 1/23/01 | 5 | $4^{\prime}$ | $450$ | $\overline{0.22}$ | $\overline{25}$ | $2.7$ | $7.3$ | $35.2{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | $<0.2$ | 60 | $9.633^{\circ}$ | 103 | -Acenaphthyrene (5.3). Anthracene (0.079). Benzo (a) anthracene (0.087). Benzo (a) pyrene (0.11). Benzo (b) fluoranthene (.086). Benzo (k) liuoranthene ( 0.031 ). Chrysene (0.17). Fluoranthene (0.61). Fluorene (1.5). Phenanlhrene (0.77) Pyrene (8.89) |


TABLE 3: GROUNDWATER ANALYTICAL SUMMARY
Below Detection Limits $=$ BDL
Facility ID\#: 98503048 Nol Sampled = NS

CHEVRONKWK STOP
Facility Name:
TABLE 3: GROUNDWATER ANALYTICAL SUMMARY
CHEVRON KWK STOP Facility IO\#:.. 98503048
CHEVRON KWK STOP Facility IO\#:.. 98503048 Facility Name: CHEVRONKNKSTO

> .

TABLE 3: GROUNDWATER ANALYTICAL SUMMARY
Below Detection Limits = 8DL
Not Sampled - NS
Analytical Results = ugh

TABLE 4: GROUNDWATER ELEVATION TABLE
Facility ID\#: 098503048
All Measurements - Feet No Data = Blank


TABLE 4: GROUNDWATER ELEVATION TABLE (Continued)
Facility ID\#: 098503048
Facility Name: CHEVRON KWIK STOP

| WEU no. | M N - 3 |
| :---: | :---: |
| OMmeter | $2^{*}$ |
| wel depth. | 12 |
| scafen interval | 2-12' |
| tocellevation | 10.08 |



Site No. 86 Charlie's Fish House, Inc. 224 N. Suncoast Boulevard Crystal River, Florida FDEP I.D. Nos. 098503046 and 099046097

I ida Department of Environmental ,tection
Twin Towers Office Bldg. - 2600 Blair Stone Road - Tallahassee, Florida 32399-2400
Division of Waste Management
Bureau of Petroleum Storage Systems

Storage Tank Facility Compliance Inspection Report

Facility ID


County
09 Citrus
Inspection Date $20 / 10 / 00$
Facility Name $\qquad$ HOUSE

Facility Type $\square$ V-MARINE

Latitude $\qquad$ Longitude $\qquad$ L/L Method AGPS
$\square$ AGES

Check box to identify type of inspection performed. Update latitude/longitude as necessary.
Provide La/Long Determination Method. ("Map", "AGPS" (Magellan), "GGPS" (Trimble)).
Provide the count of USTs and/or ATs reviewed during this inspection

| \# USTs <br> Inspected | \# ATS <br> Inspected |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |



- "Code" in block below corresponds to the Rule Cite; represents a Data Entry Code for ease of electronic data recording of inspection results.


Financial Responsibility - Verify offer's coverage. Select Insurance or Other, and provide Mechanism, if appropriate. $>$

Insurance Carrier: $\angle \rightarrow C R D$ Effective Date
$\qquad$ Other Coverage meeting federal financial responsibility requirements. Mechanism: $\qquad$
$\qquad$ None


$$
\text { Page } /
$$ of $\qquad$

October 12, 2000

Mr. Kofmehl
Charlie's Fish House
P.O. Box 395

Crystal River, FL 32623

RE: ID \# 098503046
Charlie's Fish House
224 Hwy. 19 North
Crystal River, FL 32623

Dear Mr. Kofmehl:

The Storage Tank Program of the Citrus County Health Department (County) has been authorized, by contract with the Florida Department of Environmental Protection (Department), to perform compliance, discharge, closure and installation inspections at facilities regulated under Chapter 62-761 of the Florida Administrative Code (FAC).

Enclosed, please find a copy of the Storage Tank Facility Compliance Inspection Report for the inspection recently performed at the above named facility. Please refer to this report for comments regarding the inspection.

If there are any questions concerning this matter, you may contact the Storage Tank Program at (352) 527-5295.

Sincerely,

C. Mark Sumner

Environmental Specialist II

Enclosure(s)

CMS/file

This data is current as of: 04-0CT-2000

## Bureau of Petroleum Storage Systems Facility Inspection Cover Page

Facility Information

ID\#: 8503046
Name: CHARLIES FISH HOUSE INC 224 Hwy 19 N
Crystal River, FL 32629-4233
Contact: Brownlee Jackson
Phone: 904-795-2468

District: SWD
County: Citrus
Type: Marine Fueling Facility/Coastal
Status: Open
Latitude: 28:53:56.0000 $\}$ cms
LL Method: AGPS

Account Oyner Information
Name: Whetstone Oil Co
Po Box 1257
Crystal River, FL 34423-1237
Phone: 352-795-3464
Tank Owner Information
Name: Whetstone Oil Co
Po Box 1257
Crystal River, FL 34423-1237
Phone: 352-795-3464
$\underset{\#}{\text { Tank }}$ Size Content Installed Placement Status Const Pipe Monitor
22000 Vehicular Diesel 02/01/1990 ABOVE
$\begin{array}{lll}U & \mathrm{C} & \mathrm{B} \\ \mathrm{K} & \mathrm{D}\end{array}$


K


11000 Leaded Gas
UNDER A
*** Note: Construction, Piping, and Monitoring Info not shown for CLOSED tanks (Status of A, B, or D). No OPEN violations found!

FACIITIY SITE SKETCH
site name：Charlies floh House
DRESS： 224 HWY 15 N
FDEP NUMBER： 8503046

NORTHi


DRAFING REVIEHEE RND ：OMミニE

| INSPECTORS ENITIAI CMSloflelox |
| :---: |
| AND DATE |

5ニF FOPN 5 － $5=$

Site No. 87 Pete's Pier Dockside (aka Knox Bait House) 558 NW Third Avenue Crystal River, Florida FDEP I.D. No. 098503111

OCALA
-FFICE BOX 523
OC. FFLORIDA 34478
PHONE (904) 867-5211
FAX (904) 867-0135
"Providing Complete Remediation Services"

## SUMMARY REPORT

Knox Bait Thouse 558 N.W. 3rd Avenue<br>Crystall River, Flonida

BTEX Environmental Consultants, Inc. was contracted by First National Bank of Northwest Florida to remove and destroy or otherwise properly dispose of one underground fuel storage tank. The storage tank previously contained unleaded gasoline. The storage tank is located on the attached figures. Work was initiated on October 25,1995 when the tank was located and unearthed for subsequent storage tank removal. BTEX collected soil samples from the area surrounding the storage tank. Head space analysis of the samples was performed in order to determine the organic vapor concentrations within the soil. All organic vapor analysis was performed using a Thermo Environmental Instruments, Inc. Organic Vapor Meter (OVM). This model OVM is capable of detecting Volatile Organic Aromatics (VOA) in the range of 1 ppm to 5000 ppm . The OVM uses a photo ionization detector (PID). Standard manufacturer's operating procedures were followed in determining the organic vapor content of the soil samples. All necessary calibrations were made according to manufacturer's recommendations in accordance with Quality Assurance Requirements required by Chapter 62-160 of the Florida Administrative Code (FAC) entitled "Quality Assurance" and in accordance with BTEX's Comprehensive Quality Assurance Plan (CompQAP) \#920147G (last annual review approved October 28, 1994), as filed with the Quality Assurance Section of the Florida Department of Environmental Protection (FDEP) in Tallahassee.

The samples were placed in glass jars covered with aluminum foil and sealed for the prescribed period of time. The locations of the soil samples are presented in the attached figures. OVA results are also attached. Chapter 62-770.200(2) FAC entitled "Petroleum Contamination Site Cleanup Criteria" defines a reading in excess of 50 parts per million (ppm) as excessively contaminated by constituents of the kerosene analytical group and readings greater than 500 ppm as excessive for the gasoline analytical group. Groundwater was encountered at depths of approximately three (3) feet below land surface. Once the tank was pumped free of the contents and degassed the ends were cut out and the sludge was removed. The tank was surveyed for holes or leaks. The tank appeared to have corrosion pits but no corrosion holes were seen to penetrate the tank. The tank was removed as scrap steel. A Lower Explosion Limit (LEL)

Page 2
RE: Knox Bait House
November 6, 1995
meter was used to detect any explosive conditions due to fumes which may have been present in the tanks before they were scraped. Groundwater data and soil sampling data which was obtained during the underground storage tank removal indicates the presence of hydrocarbon contamination in the soils and groundwater. Soil samples that were taken range from 2 parts per million to over 549 parts per million. The OVM readings are attached in a table form and can be keyed into the location map that is also attached. A groundwater sample was not taken because the tank was adjacent to an area that was previously determined to be contaminated. Samples were taken along product lines and under the dispenser. High organic vapor readings were encountered under the dispenser area, indicating a release associated with this tank system has taken place.

The Storage Tank Registration Form, Underground Storage Tank Removal Form for Certified Contractors. and a Closure Assessment Form were prepared for the owner's signature and filing with the Southwest District of the Florida Department of Environmental Protection (FDEP) in Tampa. A Discharge Notification Form (DNF) has already been sent to the Southwest District of the FDEP and is included for your records.

Sincerely,


JBS/ps




> TANE PULL SOMES SOREENINO RRO
> FNOX BATHOUSE

File Name: Anowabling Date: 4 Aovernber 1995 Drawn By: P. M. Hoors Checked By: J.A. Dunaway


NORTH $r^{\circ}=10^{\circ}$

7701 Worth East 96th Auerve Post Office Bor 5.23 Ocala, Florida 34779 Telephone (907) 867-8860 Telefax: (904) 867-0135



LOCATION: 558 NORTHWEST 3RD STREET SITE: KNOX BAIT HOUSE
DATE: OCTOBER 251995

| OVM/PID READINGS |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Soil | Depth | Ambient | ppm | Net |
| B6 | Pump | 90 | 648 | 558 |
| I5 | $4^{\prime}$ | 6 | 8 | 2 |
| I7 | $4^{\prime}$ | 6 | 8 | 2 |
| C8 | $3.5^{\prime}$ | 6 | 20 | 14 |
| F7 | $3^{\prime}$ | 6 | 14 | 8 |
| G4 | $3^{\prime}$ | 6 | 8 | 2 |
| D4 | $2.5^{\prime}$ | 6 | 63 | 57 |
| B4 | $3^{\prime}$ | 6 | 16 | 10 |
| B8 | $3^{\prime}$ | 6 | 175 | 169 |
| Boring 1 | $0.5^{\prime}$ | 8 | 26 | 18 |
| Boring 2 | $0.5^{\prime}$ | 2 | 25 | 23 |
| Boring 3 | $0.5^{\prime}$ | 2 | 121 | 119 |
| Boring 4 | $0.5^{\prime}$ | 2 | 551 | 549 |

Florida Department of Environmental Regulation Twin Towers Office Bldg. © 2600 Blair Stone Road © Tallahassee Florida 32399-2400


## Storage Tank Registration Form

## Please Print or Type - Review Instructions Before Completing Form



## Complets One Line For Each Tank At This Facility (Use Codes - See Instuctions)

Complete 9-16 for tanks in use: 9-19 for tanks out of use

| 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 4000 | $A$ | $X X / 84$ | $U 1$ | $C$ | $B$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

20. SCOIT I. SUMNER Certified Contractor*

DPR\# PCCO51690
$\frac{\text { Department of Professional Regulation License Number* }}{}$
-For new tenk installation or tank removal
To the best of my knowledge and belist all information submitted on this form istyye, accurate and complete.



# Underground Storage Tank Installation and Removal Form For Certified Contractors 

Pollutant Storage System Specialty Contractors as defined in Section 489.113, Florida Statutes (Cerified contractors as defined in Section 17.761.200, Fiorida Administrative Code) shall use this form to certify that the installation, replacement or removal of the storage tank system(s) located at the address listed below was periormed in accordance with Department Reference Standards.

## General Facility Information

1. DER Facility Identification No .: __ 098503111
2. Facility Name: KNOX BATT HOUSE.

Telephone:904 $\quad$ 769-3207
3. Street Address (physical location): 558 NORTHWEST 3RD STREET
4. Owner Name: FTBST NATTONAT. BANK NORTHWEST FLORIDA_ _ Telephone: (904) 769-3207
5. Owner Address: 101 EAST 23RD STREET, PANAMA CITY, FLORIDA 32402
6. Number of Tanks: a. Installed at this time $\qquad$ b. Removed at this time $1-4000$ mal . removed
7. Tank(s) Manufactured by: _untrions
8. Date Work Initiated: $10 / 25 / 95$
9. Date Work Completed: $11 / 3 / 95$

## lerground Pollutant Tank Installation Chocklist

Please cerify the completion of the following installation requirements by placing an $(X)$ in the appropriate box.

1. The tanks and piping are corrosion resistant and approved for use by State and Federal Laws.
2. Excavation, backfill and compaction completed in accordance with NFPA (National Fire Protection Association) 30(87), API (American Petroleum Institute) 1615, PEI (Petroleum Equipment Institute) RP100-87 and the manufacturers' specifications.
3. Tanks and piping pretested and installed in accordance with NFPA 30(87), AP! 1615, PEI/RP100(87) and the manufacturers' specifications.
4. Steel tanks and piping are cathodically protected in accordance with NFPA 30(87), API 1632, UL (Underwriters Laboratory) 1746, STI (Steel Tank Institute) R892-89 and the manufacturer's specifications.
5. Tanks and piping tested for tightness after installation in accordance with NFPA 30(87) and PEI/RP100-87.
6. Monitoring well(s) or other leak detection devices installed and tested in accordance with Section 17.761.640, Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.)
7. Spill and overfill protection devices installed in accordance with Section 17.761 .500 , F.A.C.
8. Secondary containment installed for tanks and piping as applicable in accordance with Section 17.761.500, F.A.C.
9. Closure assessment performed in accordance with Section 17.761 .800 . F.A.C.

Jnderground tank removed and disposed of as specified in API 1604 in acordance with Section 17.761.800, F.A.C.

## Certification

I hereby certify and attest that I am familiar with the facility that is registered with the Florida Department of Environmental Regulation; the best of my knowledge and belief, the tank installation, replacement or removal at this facility was conducted in accordance with Chapler \& Section 376.303, Florida Statutes and Chapter 17-761, Forrida Administrative Code (and its adopled reference sources from publications and stic of the National Fire Protection Association (NFPA), the American Petroleum Institute (API), the National Association of Corrosion Engineers ( American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM); Petroleum Equipment Institute (PEl); Steel Tank Institute (STI); Underwriters Laboratory (U the tank and integral piping manufacturers' specifications; and that the operations on the checklist were performed accordingly.


The owner or operator of the facility must register the tanks with the Department at least 10 days before the installation. The installer must this form no more than 30 days after the completion of installation to the Department of Ervironmental Regulation at the address printed at of page one.

## Closure Assessment Form

Owners of storage tank systems that are replacing, removing or closing in place storage tanks shall use this form to demonstrate that a storage system closure assesment was performed in accordance with Rule 17-761 or 17-762, Florida Administrative Code. Eligible Early Detection Incentive (EDI) and Reimbursement Program sites do not have to perform a closure assessment.

Please Print or Type<br>Complete All Applicable Blanks

1. Date: $\qquad$ Exiday, November 3, 1993
2. DER Facility ID Number: 098503111
3. County: Citrus
4. Facility Name: Knox Bait Fouse
5. Facility Owner: filliam Ho Page
6. Facility Address: 558-Northwest 3rd Avenue, Grystal Riven, F1.
7. Mailing Address: 558 Northwest 3rd Avenue, Crystal River, Fl.
8. Telephone Number: Q 04 () $553-1040$
9. Facility Operatowillizm- H. Page
10. Are the Storage Tank(s): (Circle one or both) A. Aboveground or (E) Underground
11. Type of Product(s) Stored:Unleaded gasoline
12. Were the $\operatorname{Tank}(\mathrm{s}):$ (Circle one)
A. Replaced
(B) Removed
C. Closed in Place
D: Upgraded (aboveground tanks only)
13. Number of Tanks Closed: I. Removed
14. Age of Tanks ilurs. (installeć 198\%)

Faciility Assessment Information


1. Is the facility participating in the Florida Petroleum Liability Insurance and Restoration Program (FPLIRP)?
2. Was a Discharge Reporting Form submitted to the Department?

If yes, When:
$10 / 27 / 95$
Where: Tallahassee/Tampa
3. Is the depth to ground water less than 20 feet?
4. Are monitoring wells present around the storage system?

If yes, specify type: $\square$
Water monitoring $\square$ Vapor monitoring
5. Is there free product present in the monitoring wells or within the excavation?
6. Were the petroleum hydrocarbon vapor levels in the soils greater than 500 parts per million for gasoline? Specify sample type: $\square$ Vapor Monitoring wells $X$ Soil sample(s)
7. Were the petroleum hydrocarbon vapor levels in the soils greater than 50 parts per million for diesel/kerosene? Specily sample type: $\square$ Vapor Monitoring wells $\square$ Soil sample(s)
8. Were the analytical laboratory results of the ground water sample(s) greater than the allowable state target levels' (See target levels on reverse side of this form and supply laboratory data sheets)
9. If a used oil storage system, did a visual inspection detect any discolored soil indicating a release?
10. Are any potable weils located within $1 / 4$ of a mile radius of the facility?
11. Is there a surface water body within $1 / 4$ mile radius of the site? If yes, indicate distance:less than 5'

Page 1 d 2

Soutreag Dixrct
 407.437 .2850
12. A detailed drawing or sketch of the facility that includes the storage system location, monitoring wells, buildings, storm drains, sample locations, and dispenser locations must accompany this form.
13. If a facility has a pollutant storage tank system that has both gasoline and kerosene/diesel stored on site, both EPA Method 602 and EPA Method 610 must be performed on the ground water samples obtained.
14. Amount of soils removed and receipt of proper disposal.
15. If yes is answered to any one of questions 6-9, a Discharge Reporting Form 17-761.000(1) indicating a suspected release shall be submitted to the Department within one working day.
16. A copy of this form and any attachments must be submitted to the Department's district office in your area and to the locally administered program office under contract with the Department within 60 days of completion of tank removal or filling a tank with an inert material.


Huatuegerlosist
Title of Person Performing Assessment

# State Ground Water Target Levels That Affect A <br> Pollutant Storage Tank System Closure Assessment 

State ground water target levels are as follows:

1. For gasoline (EPA Method 602):
a. Benzene
1 ugh
b. Total VOA
$50 \mathrm{ug} /$

- Benzene
- Toluene
- Total Xylenes
- Ethylbenzene
c. Methyl Test -Butyl
$50 u g h$ Ether (MTBE)

2. For kerosene/desel (EPA Method 610):
a. Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHS) (Best achievable detection limit, $10 \mathrm{ug} /$ maximum)

## Florida Department of Environmental Regulation



## Discharge Reporting Form

Use this form to notify the Department $\alpha$ Environmental Regulation $\alpha$ :

1. Results of tank tightness listing that exceed allowable tolerances within ten days of receipt of lest result.
2. Petroleum discharges exceeding 25 gallons on pervious surfaces as described in Section 17.761 .450 FA .C. within one working day ot discovery.
3. Hazardous substance (CERCLA regulated), discharges er ceding applicable reportable quantities established in $17-761.450$ (2) F.A.C., within one working day of the discovery.
4. Within one working day of discovery of suspected releases confirmed by: (a) released regulated substances or pollutants discovered in the surrounding area, (b) unusual and unexplained storage system operating conditions, (c) monitoring results from a leak detection menace or from a tank closure assessment that indicate a release may have occurred, or (d) manual tank gauging reșuts for tanks of 550 gallons or less exceeding ton gallons per weekly test or five gallons averaged over four consecutive weekly tests.

## Mail to the DER District Office in your area listed on the reverse side ct this form

## PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE

## Complete all applicable blanks

1. DER Facility ID Number.

2. Tank Number:

3. Dar:

4. Facility Name: Kncix S. ATS HOuses

Facility Omer or operator: First Notcruat Bonk if Mr therest Fluaidit Facility Address: 555 Nu' 38 d Avenue
Telephone Number: (livy) $\frac{76 ;-3207}{10}$ county. Citing

5. Date of receipt $\alpha$ lest results $\alpha$ discovery.

$$
10 / 27155
$$

6. Method at initial discovery. (circle one only)
A. Liquid detecter (automatic or manual)
Q. Emptying and Inspection.
F. Vapor or visible signs of a discharge in the vicinity.
Q. Vapor detector (automatic or manual)
E. tiventory control.
G. Closure: $\qquad$
C. Tininess lest (underground tanks only).
linkninin
7. Estimated number a gallons discharged: $\qquad$
A. Dispenser
(E)
C. Fitting
D. Tank
E. Unknown
a. What part of storage system has leaked? (circle all that apdy)
8. Types a regulated substance discharged. (circle one)
A. leaded gasoline
D. vehicular diesel
L used waste ail
F. aviation gas
M. diesel
C joasonol
G. tel
Q newfube oft
V. hazardous substance includes pesticides, ammonia.


#### Abstract

chlorine and centares (write in name or Chemical Aessach Service CAS number)


$\qquad$
$Z$ other (wite in name) $\qquad$

# C. Loose connection 

E. Purchire
G. Sill
F. Installation failure
H. Overfill

W1. Wipe of inaxial responsibirty. (circe one)

## Tixhird party insurance provided by the state insurance contractor 

By He ts bent of m
my knowlodgs and boliaf ell information submitter


# Department of Environmental Protection 

Southwest District

Lawton Chiles
Governor
JUL 064995
3804 Coconut Palm Drive
Tampa, Florida 33619

Virginia B. Wetherell Secrecary

Mr. William Page<br>Knox Bait House<br>558 N.W. 3rd Ave.<br>Crystal River, EL 34429

Re: Knox Bait House
558 N.W. 3rd Ave.
Crystal River, Florida
Facility ID \#098503111
Dear Mr. Page:
On June 15, 1995, representatives of the Southwest District office conducted a compliance inspection at the above referenced facility. This inspection was conducted under the authority of Chapter 376, Section 303, Florida Statutes, and is designed to determine the compliance status of the facility with regard to Chapter 62-761, Florida Administrative Code (E.A.C.), which regulates underground stationary storage tank systems. During this inspection, possible non-compliance items were noted and recorded on the enclosed compliance inspection form.

Therefore, this facility may currently not be operating in compliance with Chapter 17-762, F.A.C. Standards. Any noncompliance items should be corrected.

Petroleum contamination was discovered during an environmental audit conducted by BTEX Environmental Consultants, Inc. in April, 1995. If it is determined, upon closure of the three unmaintained tanks, that the contamination is from these tanks, you may want to submit the enclosed ATRP form. If the contamination is not from the unmaintaned tanks a contamination assessment must be initiated.

Rlease send a copy of the environmental audit conducted by BTEX to this office.

Mr. William Page

Contact me at (813) 744-6100, ext. 367, if you have any questions.

Sincerely,
Nancy 5 Knight
Nancy E. Knight
Storage Tank Program
Division of Waste Management
NR
Enclosures

## KNOX BAIT HOUSE

FACILITY ID\# 098503111

1. There are three errors in the storage tank registration:

- One underground storage tank was discovered during the inspection that has not been registered.
- The 4,000 gallon unleaded fuel tank is listed in the storage tank registration data base as containing leaded fuel. The content code of " $A$ " must be changed to " $B$ " on the storage tank registration form (STRF).
- The two 1000 gallon tanks are listed as being removed, while they are actually still on site. The tank status code of "B" needs to be changed to "F" on the STRF.
The owner of any in-service, out of service or unmaintained storage tank system that has a capacity of more than 110 gallons shall register the storage tank system with the Department on the DEP Form 62-761.900(2) (62-761.400, E.A.C.). A STRF needs to be completed and signed to add the newly found tank to your inventory and to correct the contents of the 4,000 gallon tank and to correct the disposition of the two 1,000 gallon tanks. A copy of this form is enclosed for your convenience. Send the original registration form to Tallahassee and forward a copy to this office by July 20, 1995. (See item \#1 on the enclosed inspection form.)

2. Inventory records have not been completed since March 1993. All records required to be kept pursuent to this Chapter shall, unless otherwise specified in the text of those rules, be maintained for two years and shall be available for inspection by the Department at the facility. If records are not kept at the facility, they shall be available at the facility or other location acceptable to the Department upon five working days notice. Records of the following are required:
(a) Measurements and reconciliation of inventory;
(b) Results of examinations of monitoring wells and other release detection systems;
(c) Dates of upgrading or replacement of existing storage tank systems;
(d) Results of maintenance examinations of storage tank systems;
(e) Results of all tightness tests of storage tank systems;
(f) Results of tests of integral piping;
(g) Description and dates of repairs;
(h) Closure assessment reports if the location continues
as a facility;
(i) Release detection system performance claims as specified in Rule 62-761.600(1)(c), F.A.C.; and (j) Certification of Financial Responsibility on form 62$761.900(3) .(62-761.710, F . A . C$.

## SUGGESTED CORRECTIVE ACTIONS

## KNOX BAIT HOUSE

## FACILITY ID\# 098503111

Send the a copy of the March and April 1994, monitoring well records to this office by July 20, 1995. (See item \#io on the enclosed inspection form.)
3. No inventory was kept of the 4000 gallon unleaded fuel tank. Owners or operators shall maintain inventory records for each tank that contains vehicular fuel. Inventory records shall be reconciled weekly (62-761.720(1), F.A.C.). Losses or gains from each recording period shall be averaged (62-761.720(2) F.A.C.). Begin conducting inventory measurements immediately. Send a copy of the inventory for the last two weeks of June to this office by July 20, 1995. (See item \#22 on the enclosed inspection form.)
4. There are two 1,000 gallon tanks and one, newly discovered tank that have not been used since 1984. Owners of unmaintained storage tank systems must permanently close the systems within 90 days of the effective date of this rule or of the discovery of the existence of the unmaintained storage tank system (62761.800(2)(a), F.A.C.). The tanks must be properly closed in accordance with Rule 62-761.800(2), F.A.C. by July 31, 1995. A closure assessment must be conducted in accordance with 62$761.800(3)$, F.A.C. and the Department's "Pollutant storage Tank Closure Assessment Requirements". This document is enclosed for your reference. (Please see item \#53 on the enclosed inspection form.)
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FA $I$ ITY ID \#: 098503111
FACILITY NAME: KNOX BAIT HOUSE
FACILITY LOCATION: 558 NW 3RD AVE, CRYSTAL RIVER
FACILITY CONTACT: PAGE WILLIAM
OWNER: KNOX BAIT HOUSE
OWNER ADDRESS: 558 NW 3RD AVE, CRYSTAL RIVER, FL, 32629-4004 OWNER CONTACT: WILLIAM PAGE
LATITUDE: 28-53-77 LONGITUDE: 82-35-41
LAST UST COMPLIANCE DATE: 11/18/93
CONTAMINATION DATA AVAILABLE: NONE


| INSPECTION TYPE | (ALL THAT APPLY) |
| :---: | :---: |
| ROUTINE | X DISCHARGE |
| - INSTALL | - CLOSURE |
| - ABANDONED | - REINSPECT |

SITE INFORMATION (ALL THAT APPLY)

| - NEAR PUB WELL | REPAIRED |
| :--- | :--- |
| - CONTAMINATED | - UPGRADED |
| - COMPLAINT | $\underline{\underline{X}}$ UST \& AST |
| - ACID TANKS | HAZARD MAT |

DEP DISTRICT OR LOCAL PROGRAM:

INSPECTORS SIGNATURE \& DATE

COUNTY: CITRUS

PHONE: (904) 795-2771
PHONE: (904) 795-2771 OWNER CHANGE DATE: 05/06/86
FAC TYPE: MARINE FACILITY
LAST EST COMPLIANCE DATE:00/00/00


CONTACTS SIGNATURE \& DATE

STATE: OF FLORIDA
DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION
POLLUTANT STORAGE TANK SYSTEM
INSPECTION REPORT FORM - COVER PAGE
F. LITY ID \#: 098503111

COUNTY: CITRUS
FACILITY NAME: KNOX BAIT HOUSE
FACILITY LOCATION: 558 NW ORD AVE, CRYSTAL RIVER
FACILITY CONTACT: PAGE WILLIAM
PHONE: (904) 795-2771
COMMENTS: $\qquad$
NW monitor well Oppm, NE Open
SE monitor well infiltered flaming out at 4,000
filtered going off scale
SW monitor well unfiltered 10 ppm
baled SE well - no sheen no odor
I" of product found in unknown tank.
no shear valve in dispenser
No inventory was being conducted on the 4.000 gal unleaded tank No product was a going into or out of this tank fris Monitoring well records were reviewed from 193 to Jun 95 except for Marchr April. 1994 which could not be found.
 Facility I.D.\#: 098003111 Date: $15 \operatorname{Sun} 95$

## UNDERGROUND STORAGE TANK COMPLIANCE INSPECTION FORM

Registration/notification: Commens: Curpent placard displayed one tank diseovered during inspection I" product

1. Facility has registered all applicable tanks on site; $17-761.400$ _
2. Current registration placard is properly displayed; 17-761.410(6)

Proper notification has been made for the following; 17-761.450:
3. Proper closure ( 30 days prior) ( 1 ) (a)
4. Change of ownership ( 30 days after); (1) (b)
5. Upgrading, replacement or installation (10 days prior ); (1) (c)
6. Change of tank status (in service/out of service), (within 30 days); (1) (d)
7. Change of facifity status (e.g. substances stored), (within 30 days); (1) (e)
8. Change of method of financial responsibility (within 30 days); (3)
9. Start of ciosure, upgrades or installation ( 24 hr . verbal or written); (4)





## V. PERFORMANCE STANDARDS/CATHODIC PROTECTION Comments:

Storage tank criteria; 17-761.500,.520 and .550:
24. Facility meets applicable storage tank standards; (1)

25 Systems meet siting requirements; (4)
26. Tank(s) equipped with spill containment; (5) (b)
27. Tank(s) equipped with overfil protection; (5) (b)
28. Facility meets construction upgrading schedule; 17-761.510


Name: Snox lorit Foluse

## UNDERGROUND STORAGE TANK COMPLIANCE INSPECTION FORM

PERFORMANCE STANDARDS/CATHODIC PROTECTION Continued

Piping criteria; 17-761.500:
29. New piping has secondary containment, (2)
30. Dispensers are upgraded with properly installed and maintained liners; (6)
31. Facility meets construction upgrading schedule, $17-761.510(6)$,

Cathodic Protection/Certified Contractors /Tightness Testing
32. Cathodic protection system provides continuous protection, 17-761730(1)-(4)
33. PSSSC conducted all storage tank repairs, installations or removals; 17-761.740 (1)-(9)
34. Test performed by a D.P.R.-registered tester, 17-761.740

VI. RELEASE DETECTION/MONITORING WELIS Comments:
35. New petroleum or hazardous substance storage tanks provided with an approved release detection system Upon installation; 17-761.600 (3)
36. All release detection systens meet general release standards; 17-7€1.600
37. Release detection systems are monitored for a discharge at least every 30 days, 17-781.600 (5)
38. Groundwater monitoring wells are properly sampled and meet the requirements of 17-761.640 (1)
39. Vapor monitoring wells are properly sampled and meet the requirements of 17-761.640 (2)

An approved release detection system is provided for:
40. Existing hazardou's substance storage tanks; 17-761.560
41. Existing vehicular fuel storage tanks; 17-761.610
42. Other existing regulated substance storage tanks; 17-761.620
43. Integral piping provided with secondary containment; 17-761.630
44. Integral piping without secondary containment; 17-761.640 (8)

out-of-segule status conimans: in product found in newly discoveied
45. Storage systems have been emptied of regulated substances; 17-761.200 (26)

Out-of-Service storage tank systems have; 17-761.800:
46. Corrosion protection properly maintained; (1) (a) (1)
47. Release detection system monitored for evidence of a discharge at least every six months; (1) (a) (2)
48. Vent lines open, ancillary equipment secured; (1) (6)
49. Been upgraded or replaced before returning to service; (1) (c)
50. Been tested tight before returning to service; (1) (c)
51. Been out-of-service for no more than two years; (1) (d)
52. Been out-of-service for no more than 12 months (unprotected baie steel systems), (2) (b)
53. Proper closure for an unmaintained tank; (2)
54. Had a closure assessment properly periormed; (3)


## Vill. VARIANCE Comments:

55. Facility applied for Alternate Frocedure (Explain in comment) 17.701.850

55 $\square$


## IX. Other Comments:

56. Any other violations noted during inspection (Explain in comments)
57. 




道

## Discharge feporting Form
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Site No. 90 City of Crystal River Public Works 1000 NW Sixth Avenue Crystal River, Florida
FDEP I.D. No. 098518728

## CONTAMINATION ASSESSMENT REPORT ADDENDUM

for

CITY OF CRYSTAL RIVER
MAINTENANCE GARAGE
1000 N.W. SIXTH AVENUE
CRYSTAL RIVER, FIORIDA
FDER Facility ID \#098518728 $\longleftarrow$ F/C

August, 1994
for submittal to:
Florida Department of Environmental Protection
Southwest District 3804 Coconut Palm Drive Tampa, Florida 33619
prepared for:
City of Crystal River Department of Public Works

123 N.W. Highway 19 Crystal River, FL 34428
prepared by:
EE\&G, INC.
1130043 rd Street North
Clearwater, Florida 34622
EE\&G Project Number: 40347-0003
$\frac{\text { Well } 24 \text { Actiti8-29-94 }}{\text { William H. Goulet: P.G. }}$

### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

EE\&G, Inc., formerly Enviropact Consultants, Inc. (Enviropact) was retained by the City of Crystal River, Florida, to perform supplemental Contamination Assessment (CA) tasks in accordance with Florida Administrative Code (FAC) Chapter 17-770 (Petroleum Contamination Site Cleanup Criteria) at the City of Crystal River Public Works Facility/Maintenance Garage, 1000 N.W. Sixth Avenue, Crystal River, Citrus County, Florida. The FDER facility ID number for this site is \#098518728.

### 1.1 BACKGROUND

Enviropact performed a Contamination Assessment of the subject facility, and submitted a Contamination Assessment Report Addendum (CARA) to the FDER in February, 1992. The CARA identified "excessively contaminated" soil in the vicinity of the former UST vault at the subject site, and recommended removal of this soil as an Initial Remedial Action (IRA) activity. Following a review of the CAR Addendum document, in a letter dated March 6, 1992 (please see Attachment 1), the FDER recommended performing the proposed soil IRA, and collecting another round of groundwater samples from the existing monitoring wells. Key monitoring wells destroyed during soil removal activities would have to be replaced.

### 2.0 INITIAL REMEDIAL ACTION

On July 6-7, 1993, approximately six hundred seventy-nine (679) tons, or four hundred eighty-five (485) cubic yards, of "excessively contaminated" soil, as defined by FAC Chapter 17770.200(2), was removed from the subject facility as an IRA activity. Concurrent with soil removal, six thousand three hundred $(6,300)$ gallons of petroleum contaminated water was pumped from a eight by ten by six ( 8 X 10 X 6) foot deep sump excavated in the area of monitoring well MW-5 (please see Figure 1, Site Plan).

The soils were transported to the KLEENSOII International, Inc., facility at 13838 Harlee Road in Palmetto, Florida, for thermal treatment. The water was pumped into a tanker truck and disposed of at Tim's Oil Recovery (HOWCO) in St. Petersburg, Florida.

An IRA report form with supporting documentation (site plan, copies of laboratory analyses of soil sample disposal profile analyses, summary of headspace analyses utilized to identify "excessively contaminated" soils, copies of transport/disposal manifests) was submitted to FDEP for review, and approved by the Bureau of Waste Cleanup on August 26, 1993 (please see Attachment 2).


### 3.0 SUPPLEMENTAL CONTAMINATION ASSESSMENT

### 3.1 MONITORING WELL INSTALLATION

Two (2) monitoring wells, MW-4 and MW-5, were destroyed during the IRA event. A third well, MW-3, was damaged and could not be sampled. On June $16,1994, \mathrm{MW}-3,4$ and 5 were replaced with new wells $M W-3 R, 4 R$ and $5 R$ installed at approximately the same locations.

The wells were installed by National Petroleum Testing Consultants, Inc. (NPTC), of Largo, Florida, using a truck mounted drilling rig equipped with eight (8) inch hollow stem augers. The well installations were initiated by using hand operated post hole diggers to a depth of approximately four (4) feet below grade. This allowed buried utilities to be safely identified. The water table was encountered approximately three (3) feet below grade.

Hollow stem augers were then used to advance borings to a total depth of twelve (12) feet below grade. Monitoring wells consisting of two (2) inch Schedule 40 PVC pipe were installed. Ten (10) foot long screened intervals extend from the well bottoms to two (2) feet below grade. The annulus of each well was filled with clean $20 / 30$ silica sand to a depth of one (1) foot above the screened interval. A six (6) inch layer of bentonite was placed above the sand. The remaining annular volume was filled with neat Portland cement grout. The wells were completed with locking caps and traffic bearing eight (8) inch steel manhole covers. Well
completion diagrams for $M W-3 R, 4 R$ and $5 R$ are presented in Attachment 3.

### 3.2 SUPPIEMENTAL SOIL ASSESSMENT

Supplemental soil assessment in accordance with FAC 17-770.200(2), was performed in conjunction with the monitoring well installation event. Soil samples were collected from each monitoring well boring at one and one half (1.5) and three (3) feet below grade, and placed in sixteen (16) ounce glass jars, which were then sealed with aluminum foil. Any volatile compounds present in the soil were allowed to "degas" into the headspace of the jar. A Foxboro Century Model 128 Organic Vapor Analyzer (OVA) instrument was then employed to measure the level of hydrocarbon vapor in the headspace of the jar.

The OVA utilized for the headspace analyses was fitted with a charcoal filter. The filter was intended to allow discrimination between suspecced petroleum hydrocarbons and naturally occurring methane in the soil vapors. With the filter removed, the FID measured the sum of all hydrocarbons in the soil vapor in parts per million ( ppm ). With the filter in place, only methane was measured, as petroleum hydrocarbons were removed by the filter.

The level of suspected petroleum hydrocarbons in the soil vapor was obtained by subtracting the filtered reading from the unfiltered reading for each sampling location. The unfiltered, filtered and
total headspace readings obtained from each sampling location are summarized in Table 3.2.

TABLE 3.2
City of Crystal River Maintenance Garage
1000 N.W. Sixth Avenue, Crystal River, Florida SUMMARY OF SOIL HEADSPACE ANALYSES

| 10cation | Headspace Readings (parts per million) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | depth (BGS) | w/ofilter | w/filter | total | odor |
| MW-3R | $1.5^{1}$ | 0 | - | 0 | none |
|  | $3.0{ }^{1}$ | 0 | - | 0 | none |
| MW-4R | 1.51 | 200 | 200 | 0 | none |
|  | $3.0{ }^{\prime}$ | 100 | 100 | 0 | none |
| MW-5R | 1.5' | 520 | 320 | 200 | strong |
|  | $3.0{ }^{\prime}$ | >1000 | >1000 | --- | strong |
|  | Foxboro 128 oVA (FID)1 samples analyzec on site 06/16/9 |  |  |  |  |

On the basis of the headspace readings and odors, all of the drill cuttings (soil) from MW-5R were containerized in two (2) 17H-55 DOT drums.

### 3.2.1 DISPOSAL PROFILE ANALYSES

A composite sample was collected from the drums of drill cuttings (soil) from MW-5R, placed in the appropriate sample containers (please see Attachment 4) and transported to the GEOS, Inc., laboratory in Tampa, Florida, for disposal profile analyses by EPA methods 8010, 8020, 9073, and for total metals Arsenic, Barium, Cadmium, Chromium, Lead, Mercury, Selenium and silver. The results of these analyses are summarized in table 3.2.1. The complete
results of the disposal profile analyses are presented in GEOS, Inc., laboratory report \#T4-07-083 (please see Attachment 5).

TABLE 3.2.1
City of Crystal River Maintenance Garage 1000 N.. . Sixth Avenue, Crystal River, Florida SUMMARY OF DISPOSAL PROFILE RNALYSES

Analyte
Drum Composite
EPA method 8010
Halogenated Volatile Organics, all <100 ug/kg

| EPA method 8020 | $960 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Methyl-Tert-Butyl-Ether | $<100 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |
| Benzene | $1,060 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |
| Toluene | $1,070 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |
| Ethylbenzene | $5,600 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |
| Xylenes, total |  |
| EPA method 9073 |  |
| Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons | $250 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ |
| RCRA metals |  |
| Barium, total | $29.5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ |
| Chromium, total | $40 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ |

sample collected 07/07/94 GEOS, Inc., Laboratory Report \#T4-07-083

### 3.3 SUPPLEMENTAL GROUNDTATER ASSESSMENT

On July 7, 1994, groundwater samples were collected from all site monitoring wells, including the replacement wells. A field cleaned equipment blank was also collected. The groundwater samples and blanks were placed in the appropriate sample containers with preservative (please see Attachment 4) and transported in an iced cooler under Chain of Custody to the GEOS, Inc., laboratory in Tampa, Florida, where they were analyzed for Purgeable Aromatics
(with MTBE) detectable by EPA method 602, and Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons detectable by EPA method 610. All sampling was performed in accordance with the EE\&G approved Comp QAPP 93-0189G.

The results of the groundwater sample analyses are sumarized in Table 3.3. The complete results of the groundwater sample analyses (GEOS, Inc. Laboratory Report \#T4-07-083), along with copies of the field sampling worksheets and Chain of Custody form, are presented in Attachment 5.

TABLE 3.3
City of crystal River Maintenance Garage 1000 N. W. Sizth Avenue, Crystal River, FL SUMMARY OF GROUNDTATER ANADYTICAL DATA

| Analyte | MW-I | MW-2 | MW-3R | MW- 4 R | MW-5R | VA-1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EPA 602 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MTBE | $<5$ | <5 | 5.4 | 8.0 | 3,780 | <5 |
| Benzene | <1 | <1 | <1 | <1 | 4,160 | $<1$ |
| Toluene | <1 | <1 | <1 | <1 | 5,550 | <1 |
| Ethylbenzene | <1 | <1 | <1 | $<1$ | 1,460 | <1 |
| Xylenes, total | $<1$ | $\leq 1$ | $<1$ | <1 | 7,200 | $<1$ |
| Total VOAs | <1 | $<1$ | <1 | <1 | 18,370 | <1 |
| EPA 610 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Naphthalene | <10 | $<10$ | $<10$ | $<10$ | 430 | $<10$ |
| 1-Methyl Naphthalene | $<10$ | $<10$ | $<10$ | $<10$ | 140 | $<10$ |
| 2-Methyl Naphthalene | $\leq 10$ | $<10$ | $<10$ | $<10$ | 240 | $\leq 10$ |
| Total Naphthalenes | $<10$ | $<10$ | $<10$ | $<10$ | 810 | $<10$ |
|  | all values in ug/L samples collected 07/07/94 |  |  |  |  |  |
| GEOS, In | c., L | rator | Report | T4-07- |  |  |

### 3.4 GROUNDWATER FLOW DIRECTION

Following installation, replacement wells $M W-3 R, 4 R$ and $5 R$ were surveyed using a rod and level, and tied into the existing casing elevation survey by backshooting MW-2. Depth to water measurements in all wells were obtained during the July 7, 1994, groundwater sampling event. These data were combined to obtain groundwater elevations in each well (please see Water Table Elevation Calculation Sheet in Attachment 6).

The water table elevations were plotied on the site Plan and contoured (please see Figure 2, Grounawater Elevation Contour Map). Groundwater appears to flow radially away from a local high in the area of MW-3R. This is very similar to the pattern of groundwater flow depicted in the Groundwater Elevation Contour Map presented in the 1992 CARA submittal.

It should be reiterated that tidal influence on groundwater flow at the subject site was shown by data presented in section 2.5 of the 1992 CARA submittal. Water levels in wells were found to fluctuate by as much as 0.70 feet over a 24 -hour period.


### 4.0 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Methyl-Tert-Butyl-Ether (MTBE), Benzene, total VOAs and total Naphthalenes were found to be present in the samples collected from MW-5R above FAC 17-770.730(5)(a)2 target levels. With the exception of low levels of MTBE detected in samples collected from MW-3R (5.4 ug/L) and MW-4R (8.0 ug/L), no compounds detectable by the analytical methodologies employed were found to be present above detection limits in any of the other groundwater samples analyzed, or in the equipment blank.

EE\&G suggests that a short-term groundwater recovery strategy or limited scope Remedial Action Plan (RAP) be formulated for the subject site, pursuant to the FDEP Engineering Support section September 10, 1993, ESS-9 Guidelines document. Short-term groundwater recovery and treatment would be performed in an effort to achieve No Further Action or Monitoring Only (NFA/MO) criteria.

## City of Crystal River

August 13, 1993


Mr. Richard Sosa
Fuel Tank Inspector
Citrus County Fire Prevention Bureau
1300 South Lecanto Highway
Lecento, FL 32661
RE: Initial Remedial Action Report City of Crystal River-Public Works Facility 1000 Northwest fth Avenue Crystal River, Florida FDEP Facility I.D. 709852728

07551872 :
Enclosed please find a copy of the completed Initial Remedial Action Report for the above referenced facility. This report is being submitted in an effort to satisfy the requirements of Chapters 17-770.630(1)14; 17-773.500[1](a)4; and 17-773.500[2](a)4 Florida Administrative Code.
For clarification on any technical matters relating to the IRA, please notify:

Enviropact/Evans Environmental, Inc. $1130043 r d$ Street North
Clearwater, FL 34622
Attn: Darrin McAllister - Project Manager
Also, we would appreciate a copy of all correspondences relating to the IRA activities performed on site and the subsequent review and approval process.


## PETROLEOM CONTAMINATION

## INITIAI REMEDIAL ACTION REPORT FORM

An Initial Remedial Action report, sumarizing the initial remedial action (IRA), should be prepared to satisfy the requirements of Chapters 17-770.630(1)14; 17-773.500(1)(a)4; and 17-773.500(2) (a)4, Florida Administrative code, (FAC). This form may be used for the IRA report. The report should be sent to the appropriate local program or:

Florida Department of Environmental Regulation
Bureau of Waste Cleanup
Engineering Support Section
2600 Blair stone Road
Tallahassee, FI 32399-2400
I. FACILITY NAME: City of Crystal River - Public horks Facility

Facility Address: 1000 northwest 6th Ave., Crystal River, Florida
DER Facility Number (if applicable): 09852728
Date IRA Initiated: 7/6/93 Date IRA Completed: 7/7/93
II. FREE PRODOCT RECOVERY
A. Type(s) of Product Discharged: N/A
B. Quantity

1. Estimated Gallons Lost: N/A
2. Gallons Recovered: N/A through N/A (date)
3. Attach Exhibit Indicating Amount of Product Recovered, Dates and Cumulative Totals.
C. Attach a Scaled site Plan, Indicating the Locations and Product Thickness in Wells, Boreholes, Excavations, or Utility Conduits and Wells utilized for Recovery of Free product.
D. Method of Product Recovery: N/A
E. Type of Discharge During Product Recovery: $\qquad$
F. Type of Treatment, i.e., Oil/Water separator: N/A
G. Attach Written Proof of Proper Disposal of Recovered Product: N/A

## III. SOIL EXCAVATION

NOTE: Soil shall be defined as excessively contaminated using the procedure stated in chapter 17-770.200(2), FAC. Representative soll sampling shall be performed as close to the time of excavation as possible, but at no time shall exceed three (3) months prior to the start of excavation. Stockpiled soils greater than thirty (30) days on site waiting for treatment and disposal, must be re-samplea immediately prior to disposal to assure soils are still excessiveiy contaminated.

If soil sampling data indicates that the amount of soil that is ercessively contaminated exceeds 1500 cubic yards, treatment of all excessively contaminated soil at the site shall be addressed in a remedial action plan, and no soil IRA activities shall be performed except for the removal of soils in the immediate vicinity of the tanks.
only soil above the ambient water table at the time of excavation can be considered as excessively contaminated soil.

Unless the established weight per unit volume of 1.4 tons/cubic yard (as referenced in FAC Fule 17-775) is used for the excavated soil, the weight per unit volume must be detemined by a field test (in which an accurately measured volume of soil is weighed) at the time of excavation.
A. Volume of Contaminated soil Excavated in cubic Yards: - $485.5 \mathrm{yd}^{3}$. Dimensions Including Depth of Excavation(s): Due to the shape of the excavation, a "best fit" circle (with a 37 foot radius) was used to estimate area (area $=0.7854 \times \mathrm{D}^{2}$ ). Average depth of excavation was three (3) feet below land surface.
HOTE: Attach written proof from the Department in the form of an Alternate Procedure Approval order authorizir:g excavating over 1500 cubic yards iz applicable. Autnorization must be prior to the excavation of soils.
B. Type(s) of product in Soil: Diesel Fuel (vehicular)
C. Depth (ft) to Ambient Groundwater at the Time of Excavation(s): 3.5 feet below land surface
D. Did Dewatering (i.e. groundwater depression) occur at Time of Excavation?: NO

E, Type of Instrument and Method Used to Determine Excessive Soil Contamination: Foxboro Century 128 OVA (FID) - headspace analyses as prescribed in FAC 17-770.200(2) - 50 ppm criteria utilized for defining "excessively contaminated" soils.
F. Attach a table that compares the OVA-FID readings taken with -harcoal filter verses readings without filter. Include vertical depths for each sample.
G. Using the OVA procedure for defining excessively contaminated soil as referenced in Rule 17-770.200(2); FAC, include a scaled site plan with the information listed ; Bin:

1. Location of excavation, old tank farm, dispensers, and product lines, present tank farm, and all soil samples. the corresponding OVA-FID readings for each soil sample (with charcoal filter and without) and its depth must be given.
2. Sampling Procedure is as follows:

Start sampling in a location where it is suspected that excessively contaminated soil exists. Sample from the first soil boring outward in a grid pattern, at five (5) to ten (10) Foot intervals, until the perimeter of the excessively contaminated soil plume is defined. Vertical sampling should be performed starting approximately at the initial area of contamination and continued at three (3) foot intervals, or fraction thereof, until a depth approximately one (I) foot above the water table is reached.
H. Copies of Iaboratory Analyses for Fre Treatment Soil Samples as Required in Chapter $17-775.420(3)$, Table II, FAC Must be Attached.
I. Were Tanks Replaced at this site?: NO

## IV. SOIL TREATMENT AND DISPOSAL

A. Method of Treatment of Excessively contaminated Soil: Thermal Treatment (Rotary Kiln)
B. For Off Site Treatment and Disposal at Permitted STTF, Iand Farms, or Landfills Attach Documentation From the Treatment Facility Which confirms the weight or Volume of Soil Treated and Date Received.

For other Treatment and Disposal Methocis (i.e. On-site Land Farming, Eioremediation), Attach post Treatment Laboratory Analyses for Each 250-300 Cubic Yards of Treated 5oil in Accordance with Chapter 17-775.400 and the "cuidelines for Assessment and Remediation of Petroleum Contaminated Soils", Edition February 1991 or Most current Revision.

For Mobile Thermal Treatment Units, Attach Laboratory Analysis per Chapter 17-775(5), FAC.
C. Method of Disposal of Contaminated soil and Indicate Recipient and Address: KLEENSOIL, International, Inc. 13838 Harlee Road, Palmetto, Florida 34221
V. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS: Six thousand three hundred (6300) gallons of petroleum contaminated water was pumped from an $8^{\prime} \times 10^{\prime} \times 6^{\prime}$ deep "sump" excavated in the area of monitoring well $\mathrm{MW}-5$. Water was purped directly into a tanker truck on site and disposed of at Tims Oil Recovery (HOWCO) of St. Petersburg, FL' (Manifest attached)

Darrin McAllister
Ferson Completing Form
signature, Date $7 / 21 / 93$
Project Manager - Enviropact/Evans Environmental Title, Affiliation



FIGURE 2: LIMITS OF SOIL IRA EXCAVATION (JULY 6-7, 1993) 1130043 rd Street North CITY OF CRYSTAL RIVER 1 I N.W. 6TH AVENUE CKYSTAL RIVER, FLORIDA

## City of Crystal River - Public Works Facility 1000 Northwest 6th Avenue, Crystal River, FL SUMMARY OF IRA ACTIVITY SOIL HEADSPACE ANALYSES

| location | depth | W/o filter | w/filter | total | odor |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $1.5^{1}$ | >1000 | 100 | >900 | strong |
| 2 | $1.5^{1}$ | >1000 | 0 | >1000 | strong |
| 3 | $1.5{ }^{1}$ | 850 | 200 | 650 | strong |
| 4 | 1.51 | >1000 | 0 | >1000 | strong |
| 5 | 1.51 | 600 | 0 | 600 | strong |
| 6 | $1.5{ }^{1}$ | >1000 | 200 | $>800$ | strong |
| 7 | $1.5^{\prime}$ | 200 | 120 | 80 | strong |
| 8 | 1.51 | 120 | 40 | 80 | strong |
| 9 | 1.51 | 35 | 10 | 25 | none |
| 10 | 1.51 | 40 | 0 | 40 | slight |
| 11 | 1.51 | 60 | 0 | 60 | slight |
| 12 | 1.51 | 20 | 0 | 20 | none |
| 13 | 1.51 | 800 | 200 | 600 | strong |
| 14 | 1.51 | 350 | 20 | 330 | strong |
| 15 | 1.51 | 10 | 0 | 10 | none |
| 16 | $1.5{ }^{\prime}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | none |
| 17 | 1.51 | 10 | 0 | 10 | none |
| 18 | 1.51 | 0 | 0 | 0 | none |
| 19 | 1.51 | 30 | 0 | 30 | slight |
| 20 | 1.51 | 130 | 40 | 90 | moderate |
| 21 | 1.51 | 20 | 10 | 10 | none |
| 22 | 1.51 | 0 | 0 | 0 | none |
| 23 | 1.51 | 25 | 10 | 15 | none |
| 24 | 1.51 | 10 | 0 | 10 | none |
| 25 | 1.51 | 70 | 0 | 70 | slight |
| 26 | 1.51 | 100 | 20 | 80 | moderate |
| 27 | 1.51 | 30 | 0 | 30 | none |
| 28 | 1.51 | 100 | 0 | 100 | slight |
| 29 | 1.51 | 250 | 100 | 150 | strong |
| 30 | $1.5{ }^{1}$ | 320 | 150 | 170 | strong |
| 31 | 1.51 | 10 | 0 | 10 | none |
| 32 | 1.51 | 30 | 0 | 30 | none |
| 33 | 1.51 | 10 | 10 | 0 | none |
| 34 | 1.51 | 120 | 100 | 20 | none |
| 35 | 1.51 | 400 | 220 | 180 | strong |
| 36 | $1.5{ }^{1}$ | 100 | 80 | 20 | none |
| 37 | 1.51 | 250 | 100 | 150 | strong |
| 38 | 1.51 | 420 | 200 | 220 | strong |
| 39 | 1.51 | 0 | 0 | 0 | none |
| all readings in parts per million (ppm) (continued) |  |  |  |  |  |

City of Crystal River - Public Horks Facility 1000 Northwest 6th Avenue, Crystal River, FI, SUMMARY OF IRA ACTIVITY SOIL HEADSPACE ANALYSES (continued- page 2)

| location | depth | W/o filter | W/filter | total | odor |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40 | 1.51 | 100 | 60 | 40 | none |
| 41 | 1.51 | 220 | 80 | 140 | strong |
| 42 | 1.51 | 0 | 0 | 0 | none |
| 43 | 1.51 | 0 | 0 | 0 | none |
| 44 | 1.51 | 20 | 0 | 20 | none |
| 45 | 1.51 | 100 | 20 | 80 | siight |
| 46 | 1.51 | 0 | 0 | 0 | none |
| 47 | 1.51 | 0 | 0 | 0 | none |
| 48 | 1.51 | 0 | 0 | 0 | none |
| 49 | $1.5{ }^{\prime}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | none |
| SB-1 | $1{ }^{\prime}$ | >1000 | >1000 | 0 | none |
|  | $2^{\prime}$ | >1000 | >1000 | 0 | none |
| SB-2 | $1{ }^{\prime}$ | 800 | 800 | 0 | none |
|  | $2^{\prime}$ | 850 | 850 | 0 | none |
| SB-3 | I' | 80 | 80 | 0 | none |
|  | $2^{1}$ | 100 | 100 | 0 | none |
| SB-4 | $I^{\prime}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | none |
|  | $2^{\prime}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | none |
| SB-5 | I' | 0 | 0 | 0 | none |
|  | $2^{\prime}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | none |
| SB-6 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | none |
|  | $2^{\prime}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | none |
| SB-7 | $1{ }^{\prime}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | none |
|  | $2^{\prime}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | none |
| $S B-8$ | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | none |
|  | $2^{\prime}$ | 180 | 180 | 0 | none |
| SB-9 | $1{ }^{\prime}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | none |
|  | $2^{\prime}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | none |


|  | City of Crystal River - Public Works Facility 1000 Northwest 6th Avenue, Crystal River, FL SUMMARY OF IRA ACTIVITY SOIL HEADSPACE ANALYSES (continued- page 3) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Iocation | depth | W/o filter | w/filter | total | odor |
| SB-10 | $1{ }^{\prime}$ | 60 | 60 | 0 | none |
|  | $2^{\prime}$ | 120 | 120 | 0 | none |
| SB-11 | $1{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | none |
|  | $2^{\prime}$ | 20 | 20 | 0 | none |
| SB-12 | $1{ }^{1}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | none |
|  | $2^{\prime}$ | 600 | 600 | 0 | none |
| SB-13 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | none |
|  | $2^{\prime}$ | 10 | 10 | 0 | none |
| SB-14 | $1{ }^{\prime}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | none |
|  | $2^{\prime}$ | 20 | 20 | 0 | none |
| SB-15 | $1{ }^{\prime}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | none |
|  | $2^{\prime}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | none |
| SB-16 | $1{ }^{\prime}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | none |
|  | $2^{\prime}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | none |
| SB-17 | $1{ }^{\prime}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | none |
|  | $2^{\prime}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | none |
| SB-18 | $1{ }^{\prime}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | none |
|  | $2^{\prime}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | none |
| SB-19 | $1{ }^{\prime}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | none |
|  | $2^{\prime}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | none |
| SB-20 | $1{ }^{1}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | none |
|  | $2^{\prime}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | none |
|  | all readings in parts per million (ppm) <br> Foxboro Century 128 OVA (FID) <br> all analyses performed on site $7 / 6-7 / 93$ |  |  |  |  |



123 North Hest Highwoy 19 // Crystal River, Florida 32529 // Telephone (904) 795.4216

## SPECIFICATIONS

REMOVAL OF CONTAMINATED SOIL

BID \#92-14
JOHN LETTOW, DIRECTOF
FACILITY MAINTENANCE
CITY HALI
568 N.W. FIRST AVENUE
CRYSTAL RIVER, FL 34428

SITE:
Fublic Works Facility, D.E.R. I.D. \#0985e7er, located at 1000 N.W. Sixth Avenue, Crystal River, Florida. Attachment 4 includes maps which indicate the extent of plume and explains groundwater elevation which is tidally influenced.

REGULATIUNS:
The Contractor shall provide a copy of their Department of Environmental Regulations approved license along with a copy of insurance and a list of other cities to which work was provided.

The Contractor shall follow all requirements set by F.A.C. Chapter 17-770 and 17-775.

SCOFE OF WORK:
Contractor to provide all equipment for the removal, treatment and backfill of approximately goo tons of contaminated soil at the subject. facility.

Prior to the initiation of backfilling activities, the Contractor shall pump standing water from the excavation. No backfilling may occur before the completion of pumping activities.

Contragtor shall remediate the soil vie thermal treatmant (incineration) as specified in the F.A.C. Chapter 17-775. The stationary or mobil thermal treatment unit to be used must be disclosed to the $C i t y$ before any work begins, and must be permitted in accordance with F.A.C. Chapter 17-775. 300.

Contractor shall provide documentation that any waste hauler used is approved and licensed by the Departmant of Environmental Regulation.

Soil shall be treated to meet or excead the clean soil standards set forth in F.A.C. Chapter 17-775.400. Treatment eertificates and clean soil analytical. Results shall be provided to the City before final payment is made.

Thermally treated soils native to the subject site and meeting clean soil standards may ba used as backfill. If imported soil is to be used at the subject site as backfili, such soil shall be tested according to F.A.C. Chapter 17-775.410. The City reserves the right to reject the use of any soil based on said analytical data.

All soil used in the backfiliing process shall be compected to a minimum of $90 \%$ relative compaction.

An Environmental Consultant will be on site during all worl to provide oversight and inspection on behalf of the City of Crystal River.

Attachment \#2 contains preburn analytical results for the subject site.

PERFOFMLNCE BOND:
A Performance Bond will be required for the total mount of the project or provide an irrevocable letter of credit or cash from a bent.

## BID PROFOSAL

Fee per ton for initial 800 tons including required laboratory anelysis mobilization fees, fermitting fees, etc. $\qquad$
Cost per ton for treatment of additional soil over 800 tons. $\qquad$
Cost for excavation backfilling and compaction based on 800 yerd excavation
per ton

TOTAL COST \$ $\qquad$
Miscellaneous fees (such as disposal of contaminated water and costs for equigment and labor). $\qquad$

Copi三s Gi the Contaminstion Assessment Report and Contamination Assessment Rerurt Addenctum may be viewed by appointment at the City of Crystal River City Hall, 568 N.W. ist Avenue, Crystal Piver, FL 34423.

Any questions regarding these bid specifications, aall John Lettow at 904-795-4216.



FIGURE 1: GROUNDWATER ELEVATION CONTOUR MAP CITY OF CRYSTAL RIVER 1000 N.W. GTH AVENUE GRYSTAL RIVER, FLORIDA

DATA FFOM:
9/17M1 - CASING ELEYATION SURYEY
3/25/91 - GAUGING EVERT

## LEAEND

母 M H-1: MOHTTOR HEEL
-5.10-: GROUNOWATEF ELEYATION (FT. ABOYE MSL)
———: DIRECTION OF GROUNDWATER FLOW




FIGURE 6: SOIL HEADSPACE READINGS, $3^{\circ}-4^{\prime}$ DEPTH
11300 43rd Street North CITY OF CRYSTAL RIVER

INTERVAL
Clearwater, Florida 34622-490C 1000 N.W. 6TH AVENUE
(813) 573.9663

CRYSTAL RIVER, FLORIDA

State of Florida
Department of Environmental Regulation
Pollutant Storage Tank System
Inspection Report Form
$\qquad$


Operator: D NO TN LE TOW

Phone:
Owner: $28^{\circ} 54^{\prime 2} 25^{\prime \prime} N$ Longitude $82^{\circ} 36^{\prime} 17^{\prime \prime} W$ Section Township Range


Comments:





 ASSESSMENT REPQT.
FT TANKJ HAWV NOT BERN REDLACIED



Local Program:

DER District:


Violations must be corrected by: next routine inspection $\square$ OER Fam 6100.38 (04-01-88)

IMSPECTIOM FORH - USI Compliance Section
is REGISTRATION NNOLIEICATION:

1. Facility hás registered all applicable tanks on site 17-761.400
2. Current registration placard is properly displayed 17-761.410(6)

Proper notification has been made for the following, 17-761:450:
atratarit
3. Abandonment, and closure (30 days prior) (1)(a)
4.- Change of ownership: (30 days after) (1)(b)
5. L Retrofitting, replacement or installation (10 days prior) (1)(c)
6. Change of tank status (in service/out of service) (1)(d)
7., Change of facility status (e, g. substances stored) (l) (e)

8, Change of method of financial responsibility (within 30 days) (3)
II: RECORD KEEPING:
The following records were maintained for two (2) years and were available for inspectiontwithin five (5) working days; 17-761.710(1)
9.- Demonstration of financial responsibility 17-761.480 and $710(\mathrm{j})$
10. Measurements and reconclliations of inventory (a)
11. Results from checks of release detection systems every 30 days (b):
12. All records including dates of upgrading or replacement of existing storage tank systems (c)
13. Results of maintenance examination of storage tank systems (d)
14. Results of tightness tests of entire.system
15. Description and dates of repairs (g)
16.: closure: assessment reports if continuing as a facility ( $h$ )
17. Performance claims of release detection equipment 17-761.600(1)(c) and $.710(\mathrm{j})-(\mathrm{i})$
18.- Records of maintenance of cathodic protection systems 17-761.730
19. Results of internal tank inspections 17-751.510.2(c)
III. REPRRTING/OISCHARGE RESPONSE:

20, Tank System tightness Test Failure within 10 days 17-761.460(1)
21.-Suspected or Confirmed Discharge within one day 17-761.460(3)
22. System component repaired to prevent further discharge 17-761.700(1)-(6)
23. Initiated initial corrective actions (for a release) 17-770.300
IV. IHYENTORY REOUIREMENTS:

The following information was recorded in inventory records on a minimum of a reekly basis; 17-761.720(1)

24: The type of vehicular fuel(1)(a)
25. Physical inventory performed(1)(b)
26. Inputs and outputs of vehicular fuel(1)(c)
27. Amount of water in the tank(1)(d)
28. Average of losses/gains provided for a significantiloss gain determination: 17-781(2)
29. Performed significant loss/gain investigation and follow up with precision testing if applicable 17-751(2)


## PEREORMANCE STANOAROS/REPAIRS/CATHODIC PROTECIION

A. T. Storage tank criteria
30. Meets applicable storage tank standards (1)
31. Systems meet siting requirements (4)
32. Tank equipped with spill containment (5)(b)
33. . Tank equipped with overfill protection (5)(b)
34. Meets construction upgrading schedu7e 17-761.510
B. Piping criteria 17-761.500
35. New piping has secondary containment (2)
36. Dispensers are upgraded with properly installed and maintained liners
37. Meets construction upgrading schedule 17-761.510(6)
C. Repairs to storage tank systems 17-761.700
38. Failed component properly repaired (1) \& (2) \& (3)

We 39. Tightness testing of the repaired component prior to +, being brought back into service (6)
D. Cathodic Protection/Certified Contractors/Tightness Testing
40. Cathodic protection system for tank and piping provides

Sontinuous protection 17-761.730(1)-(4)
47. Certified contractors were utilized for all storage tank repairs, installations or renewals 17-761.740(8)
42. Test performed by a registered tester with D.P.R.

- 中


## I. LEAK DELECIOOMMONITOR HELLS


44. Groundwater wells properly designed, constructed \& installed 17-761.640(1)

45: Vapor detection wells properly designed, constructed and. installed 17-761.640(2)
46. Interstitial monitoring able to detect a release 17-761.640(3)

47: Piping has adequate Tine leak detection 17-761.630(2)
48. Automatic tank gauging systems capable of
detecting 0.2 gal/hr. 7eak 17-761.640(6)
IIL: VARIANCE
49. Facility applied for Alternate Procedure (Explain in comment)
50. Corrosion protection devices properly maintained
51. Ventline and other ancillary equipment properiy secured and maintained
52. Is the leak detection maintained for out-of service rejuirement


# DATE $3 / 5$ zeal <br> ORR Facility H 15255828 <br>  <br>  <br> Contact Person／telephone <br> Jon LEitgow <br> Latitude <br> $\qquad$ <br> Longitude 

For the items below that nay indicate noncompliance or gross negligence，please explain in detail and prov supporting documentation．

I．Was any contamination discovered prior to january 1, 1989？If yes explain．

2．Petroleum Liability Insurance Program Affidavit form completed？If yes，give， notarized． $\qquad$
3．Is the site insured by FPLIPA？If not；supply the carter insured with，or oud type of financial responsibility mechanism used：．

A．Restoration Coverage Notice of Elfghlllty issued？If y ns，glue effective dat．
区 区
5．Has site access ever been denied？
6．Has a Storage Tank Program compliance inspection ever been performed＜compat＞for this facility？If yes，give the date of the most recent inspection and supply co． $3 / 22 / 41$

7．Has the suspected petroleum storage system component responsible for the disc been removed from service within 3 days of discovery．if no explant． $\qquad$
$\qquad$
8．Have steps to obtain cleanup services been int Elated within 3 days of the disc discovery？If no，explain．

LI．Information Required for Site Scoring and Ranking
电
9．Is there evidence of a contamination problem？If yes，explain in comment sect
If Juesto．2．chest one：
a．Two or more monitoring wells／boreholes show $\quad 2^{\prime \prime}$ ．free product．
b．Only 1 monitoring well shows s＂free product or monitoring wells show sR＂
Ire product or petroleum sheen．

DER Form No．

Page 1 of 2

Site No. 93 Li'l Champ Food Store \#111 1943 NW Suncoast Boulevard Crystal River, Florida FDEP I.D. No. 098503083


Flu Aa Department of Environmental :ection
Twin Towers Office Bldg. 2600 Blair Stone Road © Tallahassee, Florida 32399-2400
Division of Waste Management
Bureau of Petroleum Storage Systems

Storage Tank Facility Compliance Inspection Report

Facility ID $\qquad$ 8503083

County $\qquad$ OScines
$\qquad$ LI C CHAMP FOOD STORE III
Facility Name $\qquad$ $28^{\circ} 55^{\prime} 34^{\prime \prime}$ Longitude $52^{\circ} 36^{\prime} 52$
$\qquad$ Latitude


- "Code" in block below corresponds to the Rule Cite; represents a Data Entry Code for ease of electronic data recording of inspection results.



$$
\text { Page } 1
$$ of

Florida Department of Environn. Sal Protection © Bureau of Petroleun borage Systems Storage Tank Facility Compliance Inspection Report

Facility Name:
Gil Champ

$$
0: 8503083
$$



January 26, 2001
Mr. Brent Muzak
Li Champ Food Stores Inc.
P.O. Box 23180

Jacksonville, FL 32241

RE: ID \# 098503083 . • :
Lil Champ Food Store \#111
1943 N.W. US Hwy. 19
Crystal River, FL 32629

## Dear Mr. Muzak:

The Storage Tank Program of the Citrus County Health Department (County) has been authorized, by contract with the Florida Department of Environmental Protection (Department), to perform compliance, discharge, closure and installation inspections at facilities regulated under Chapter 62-761 of the Florida Administrative Code (FAC).

Enclosed, please find a copy of the Storage Tank Facility Compliance Inspection Report for the inspection recently performed at the above named facility. Please refer to this report for comments regarding the inspection.

If there are any questions concerning this matter, you may contact the Storage Tank Program at (352) 527-5295.

Sincerely,

C. Mark Sumner

Environmental Specialist II

Enclosure (s)

CMS/file

## CITRUS COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH <br> environmental health division

## Bureau of Petroleum Storage Systems Facility Inspection Cover Page

Facility Information
ID\#: 8503083
Name: LIL CHAMP FOOD STORE \#111
1943 Nw Us Hwy 19
Crystal River, FL, 32629
Contact: Lil Champ Stores Inc
Phone: $404-464-7219$


District: SWD
County: Citrus
Type: Retail Station
Status: Open
Latitude: 28:55:34.0000
Longitude: $82: 36: 52.0000$
LL Method: AGPS oms
Account Owner Information
Name: Lil Champ Inc
Po Box 23180
Attn: Brent Puzak
Jacksonville, FL 32241-3180
Phone: 904-464-7219
Tank Owner Information
Name: Lil Chann Inc
Po Box 23180
Attn: Brent Puzak
Jacksonville, FL 32241-3180
Phone: 904-464-7219
Tank Size Content Installed Placement Status Const Pipe Monitor 18000 Unleaded Gas 08/01/1978 UNDER U

28000 Unleaded Gas 08/01/1978 UNDER U

***ivote: Construction, Piping, and Monitoring Info not shown for CLOSED tanks (Status of A, B, or D).
No OPEN violations found!


Mr. Richard Sosna

Fire Marshal
1300 S. Lecanto Hwy.
Lepanto, Fl. 32661

## RE: Vapor Scan at Huntley Jiffy Store \# 111, 1943 NW Hwy. 19 Crystal River, Fl.

Dear Mr. Sosna:
On May 16, 1990 a representative of IT Corporation mobilized to Huntley Jiffy store number 111 in Crystal River to preform an organic vapor scan of the four existing compliance wells, in response to your request. A Foxboro model 128GC organic vapor analyzer (OVA) was used to scan for the presence of volatile organic vapors within the compliance wells at the above referenced site. This type of OVA is a flame ionization detector (FID). None of the compliance wells contained any volatile organic vapors (OVA readings of 0 ). The wells were also found to have no detectable hydrocarbon odor.

It was previously reported that a hydrocarbon odor existed in the water from the tank-pit wells during a compliance visit early this year. However, no vapor monitoring device was used during that visit and only a manual inspection of the ground water was performed.

If you have any further questions, please don't hesitate to call us at (904) 867-0377.

Sincerely,


Chris Callegari
Hydrogeologist
$\infty$
Robert Arenburgh (Huntley Jiffy Stores Inc.)

FIELD ACTIVITY DAILY LOG





# Department of Environinental Regulation <br> Discharge Notification Form 


Form 17-1.218(3)
 HoFimenst situ int



(b) willun 3 working diver is discovery.
3. Positive response of a detection device, monitoring well test of sample ur laboratory report within 3 working days of disce:ery. Mail to the DER District Office in your district:

PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE
Put " $X$ " where answer is unknown.

1. Facility Number: 98503083 2. Tank Number: $\qquad$ 3: Date: $3 / 15 / 90$
2. Facility Name: Hull lur J, Hoo \#111


'. Te
Mailing Address:
3. Date of test or discovery: $\qquad$ $3 / 14 / 50$

County: - Citrus
6. Method of initial discovery. (circle one only.)
A. Automatic detector in ground, monitoring
D. Emptying and inspection. well, or containment.
E. Inventory control.
B. NFPA 329 test (underground tanks only).
F. Oud or or visible signs at facility or in vicinity. Manual test of monitoring wells).
G. Other:
(explain)
l. Estimated number of gallons lost:
$1.4 .0 / 6$
8. What part of the storage system is leaking? (circle all that apply)
A. Dispenser
B. Pipe
C. Fitting
D. Tank

Unknown
H. Sacrificial anode type
I. Impressed current type
J. Double walled
M. Other or Unknown $\qquad$ (explain),
9. If a tank is leaking; circle the choices which describe the type.
A. Abovegroutid
D. Underground
B. Factory welded
E. Eide or asphalt-coated sites!
C. Fielderccied
F. Fiberglass -clad steel
10. Type of pollutant discharged. (circle one)
A. Leaded Gasoline.?
E. Aviation fuel.
B. Unleaded gasoline.
C. Gasohol or alcohol-enriched gasoline.
(2) Unknown_ No Tref yore_ (exp! ain)
11. Cause of leak. (circle all that apply)
(A) Unknown

> Piping

Tank
B. Split
C. Loose connection -
D. Other
12. TO THE BEST OF MY KNOẂLEDĠE AND BELIEF ALL INFOMMATION SUBMITTED ON THIS FCRM IS TRUE, AC. CURATE. AND COMPLETE.
abri F Arrabuyit.
Name of Owner, Operator or Authorized Representative
G. Split
H. Corrosion
I. Puncture

d. Installation failure p. Other $\qquad$


Florida Department of Environmental Regulation

Southwest District
Lawton Chiles, Governor
$\bullet$
3804 Coconut Palm
813-744.6100
DEC 021992

Ms. Marcia Glick
Iil' Champ/Jiffy Food Stores
9143 Phillips Highway
Suite 200
Jacksonville, FL 32256
RE: Jiffy Food Store \#111


1943 U.S. Highway 19
Crystal River, Citrus County, Florida
DER Facility ID \#098503083
Dear Ms. Glick:
Michael Bland of the Bureau of Waste Cleanup has reviewed the Contamination Assessment Report (CAR) and No Further Action Proposal (NFAP) dated September 1992 (received September 30, 1992) submitted for this site. Documentation submitted with the NFAP confirms that criteria set forth in section 17-770.630(3), Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.), have been met. The NFAP is hereby incorporated by reference in this order. Therefore, you are released from any further obligation to conduct site rehabilitation at the site, except as set forth below.

If a subsequent discharge of petroleum or petroleum product occurs at the site, the Department may require site rehabilitation in order to reduce contaminant concentrations to the levels approved through review of the NFAP or otherwise allowed by Chapter 17-770, F.A.C.

Additionally, you are required to properly abandon all monitoring wells except compliance wells required by Chapter 17-761, F.A.C., for release detection. The wells must be abandoned in accordance with the requirements of Rule 17-532.500(4), F.A.C.

Persons whose substantial interests are affected by this Site Rehabilitation Completion order have a right to challenge the Department's decision. Such a challenge may include filing a petition for an administrative determination (hearing) as described in the following paragraphs. However, pursuant to Chapter 17-103, F.A.C., you may request an extension of time to file the Petition. All requests for extensions of time or petitions for administrative determinations must be filed directly with the Department's Office of General Counsel at the address given below within twenty-one (21) days of receipt of this notice (do not send them to the Bureau of Waste cleanup).

Please send a copy of the approved CAR documents(s) to Mr. Ken Weber of the Southwest Florida Water Management District within thirty (30) days of receiving this site Rehabilitation Completion Order.

The DER Facility Number for this site is 098503083. Please use this identification on all future correspondence with the Department.

Any questions you may have on the technical aspects of this Site Rehabilitation Completion Order should be directed to Laurel Lucado at (813) 744-6100, ext. 427. Contact with the above named person does not constitute a petition for administrative determination.


John M. Ruddell, Director Division of Waste Management

JMR/lls
CC: William J. Kotziers, P.G., IT Corporation
Richard T.\&Sosna, Citrus County Fire Prevention Division Michael Bland, FDER-BWC Laurel Iucado, FDER-SWD

Site No. 94 Judy Cressey 2051 NW Suncoast Boulevard

Crystal River, Florida FDEP I.D. No. 099202341

# Florida Department of Environmental Protection 

Twin Towers Office Building<br>2600 Blair Stone Road<br>Tallahassce, Florida 32399-2400

Virginis b. Wetherell Secretary

March 17, 1994

Ms. Judy A. Cressey
3971 North Timucua Point
Crystal River, Florida 34428
RE: Cressey Property
2051 Northwest U.S. 98
Crystal River, florida
DEP Facility \#099202341
Dear Ms. Cressey:
The Bureau of Waste Cleanup has reviewed the contamination Assessment Report (CAR) Addendum and No Further Action Proposal (NFAP), dated February 25, 1994 (received March 2, 1994), submitted for this site. Documentation submitted with the NFAP confirms that criteria set forth in Rule 17-770.630(3), Florida Administrative code (F.A.C.), have been met. The NFAP is hereby incorporated by reference in this order. Therefore, you are released from any further obligation to conduct site rehabilitation at the site, except as set forth below.

If a subsequent discharge of petroleum or petroleum product cocurs at the site, the Department may require site rehabilitation in order to reduce contaminant concentrations to the levels approved through review of the NFAP or otherwise allowed by Chapter 17-770, F.A.C.
idditionally, you are required to properly abandon all monitoring wells except compliance wells required by Chapter 1.7-761, F.A.C., for release detection. The wells must be abandoned in accordance with the requirements of Rule 1.7-532.500(4), F.A.C.

Persons whose substantial interests are affected by this Site Rehabilitation Completion Order have the right to challenge the Department's decision. Such a challenge may include filing a petition for an administrative determination (hearing) as described in the following paragraphs. However, pursuant to Chapter 17-103, F.A.C., you may request an extension of time to Eile the Petition. All requests for extensions of time or petitions for administrative determinations must be filed directly with the Department's office of General counsel at the

Ms. Judy A. Cressey
March 17, 1994
Page Two
address given below within twenty-one (21) days of receipt of this notice (do not send them to the Bureau of Waste cleanup).

Notwithstanding the above, a person whose substantial interests are affected by this site Rehabilitation Completion Order may petition for an administrative proceeding (hearing) in accordance with Section 120.57 , Florida Statutes (F.S.). The petition must contain the information set forth below and must be filed (received) in the office of General Counsel of the Department at 2600 Blair Stone Road, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-2400, within twenty-one (21) days of receipt of this notice. Failure to file a petition within this time period shall constitute a waiver of any right such person may have to request an administrative determination (hearing) under section 120.57, F.S.

The Petition shall contain the following information:
(a) The name, address, and telephone number of each petitioner, the Department file number (DEP facility number), and the name and address of the facility;
(b) A statement of how and when each petitioner received notice of the Department's action or proposed action;
(c) A statement of how each petitioner's substantial interests are affected by the Department's action or proposed action;
(d) A statement of the material facts disputed by each petitioner, if any;
(e) A statement of facts which each petitioner contends warrant reversal or modification of the Department's action or proposed action;
(f) A statement of which rules or statutes each petitioner contends require reversal or modification of the Department's action or proposed action; and
(g) A statement of the relief sought by each petitioner, stating precisely the action each petitioner wants the Department to take with respect to the Department's action or proposed action.

This Site Rehabilitation Completion Order is final and effective on the date of receipt of this order unless a petition (or time extension) is filed in accordance with the preceding paragraphs. Upon the timely filing of a petition, this order will not be effective until further order of the Department.

When the order is final, any party to the order has the right to seek judicial review of the order pursuant to Section 120.68, F.S., by filing of a Notice of Appeal pursuant to Rule 9.110, Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure, with the Clerk of the Department in the Office of General Counsel, 2600 Blair Stone

Ms. . Judy A. Cressey
March 17, 1994
Page Three

Road, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-2400; and by filing a copy of the Notice of Appeal, accompanied by the applicable filing fees, with the appropriate District Court of Appeal. The Notice of Appeal must be filed within thirty (30) days from the date the Final Order is filed with the clerk of the Department.

Please send a copy of the approved CAR document (s) to Ken Weber of the Southwest Florida Water Management District within thirty (30) days of receiving this Site Rehabilitation completion Order.

The DEP Facility Number for this site is 099202341. Please use this identification on all future correspondence with the Department.

Any questions you may have on the technical aspects of this Site Rehabilitation Completion order should be directed to Michael J. Bland at (904) 921-9986. Contact with the above named person does not constitute a petition for administrative determination.
sincerely,


John M. Ruddell, Director Division of Waste Management

JMR/mjb
cc: Jim Edwards, Imperial Testing Laboratories - Lakeland Dick Sosna, Citrus County Fire Prevention Bureau

#  

Twin Towers Office Building 2600 Blair Stone Road<br>Tallahassee, Florida 32399-2400

Virginix B. Wetherell
Secretary

January 14, 1994

Ms. Judy A. Cressey 3971 North Timucua Point Crystal River, Florida 34428

RE: Cressey Property 2051 Northwest U.S. 98 Crystal River, Florida DEP Facility \#099202341

Dear Ms. Cressey:


The Bureau of Waste Cleanup has reviewed the Contamination Assessment Report (CAR) and No Further Action Proposal (NFAP), dated November 8, 1993 (received November 12, 1993), submitted for this site. In order to meet the requirements of Chapter 17-770, Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.), the following comment needs to be addressed:
(1) The NFAP cannot be approved at this time because the total lead concentration detected in the groundwater sample collected from MW-1 exceeds the Department's target cleanup concentration of 50 ppb . As such, MW-1 should be sampled and analyzed for total and dissolved lead to confirm the 9/1/93 groundwater samples analytical results and so that this review can be completed and a decision reached on the type of action that is warranted based on comprehensive data.

The DEP Facility Number for this site is 099202341. Please use this identification on all future correspondence with the Department.

Please provide the results of the supplemental assessment to me within sixty (60) days of receipt of this request. If additional time is needed, a time extension request shouid be submitted, in accordance with Rule $17-770.800(6)$, F.A.C. If you should have any questions concerning this review, please contact me at (904) 921-9986.

Please note, all supplemental contamination assessment related documents should be signed and sealed by a registered professional in accordance with Rule 17-770.500, F.A.C. The

Ms. Judy A. Cressey
January 14,1994
Page Two
certification should be made by a registered professional who is able to demonstrate competence in the subject area (s) addressed within the sealed document.

Sincerely,


Michael J. Bland, P.G.
Technical Review Section Bureau of Waste Cleanup
/mob
cc: Jim Edwards, Imperial Testing Laboratories - Lakeland Dick Sosna, Citrus County Fire Prevention Bureau

# CONTAMINATION ASSESSMENT REPORT 

2051 N.W. Highway US 98
Crystal River, Florida
DER Facility No. 099202341

Prepared for
Ms. Judy A. Cressey

Prepared by
IMPERIAL TESTING LABORATORIES

-     - 3905 Kidron Read

Lakeland, Florida 33811

November 1993
Project No. 2877
2877.CAR

November 8, 1993

Mr. Tim Bahr<br>Department of Environmental Protection<br>Bureau of Waste Cleanup<br>Twin Towers Office Building<br>2600 Blair Stone Road<br>Tallahassee, FL 32399<br>Re: Contamination Assessment Report for Judy A. Cressey<br>2051 N.W. Highway US 98<br>Crystal River, Florida<br>Facility \#099202341

Queas of Waste Cleand

Hov 12 45

Fectinical feview Section

Gentlemen:
A letter dated March 8, 1993 from the FDEP was received by Ms. Cressey which required a contamination assessment be initiated and completed and which notified her of this site's eligibility for reimbursement of clean up costs under the Abandoned Tank Restoration Program.

The underground storage tanks were removed from this site and a closure assessment performed. Imperial Testing Laboratories (ITL) personnel monitored the tank removal and conducted the closure assessment and did not detect any excessively contamination soils within the tank excavation. However, a petroleum odor and sheen on the water present in the excavation prompted the filing of a discharge notification and Abandoned Tank Restoration Program application as directed by the Citrus County Tank Inspector, Richard Sosna.

Soil samples were taken from nine (9) soil borings and four (4) monitor wells installed by ITL to assess the extent of soil and groundwater contamination at the site. The location of the borings and monitor wells are depicted on Figure 1. The boring and monitor well logs are attached as Appendix A. The soil samples were tested for evidence of petroleum soil contamination utilizing a Porta Fid II Organic Vapor Analyzer (OVA) according to methods given in FDEP FAC 17-770. None of the soil boring or monitor well OVA results showed any indication of petroleum soil contamination. The OVA measurement logs are attached as Appendix B.

Mr. Tim Barr<br>Department of Environmental Protection<br>Page 2

November 8, 1993

The summary of the permanent monitor wells construction details and the groundwater elevations measured in them on September 20, 1993 are given in Table 1. The field survey notes and water level measurement records are attached as Appendix C. The groundwater elevations given in Table 1 were utilized to construct a groundwater elevation contour map in order to determine the groundwater flow directions at the site. This data is depicted on Figure 1 and shows both monitor wells 2 and 4 to be downgradient of the former tank field and a general groundwater flow direction of south to south-southeast.

Groundwater samples were taken from the four monitor wells during the month of September, 1993 and analyzed for gasoline parameters per 17-770. All of the EPA Methods 601 and 602 results were below detectable limits. One lead analysis indicated slightly above the 50 ppb standard. However, this is believed to be associated with the turbid sample from this well. Unrepresentative elevated lead analysis results associated with the dissolution of soil bearing lead by field acidification of unavoidably turbid groundwater samples is a common finding during 17-770 contamination assessments. The groundwater quality analysis results are attached as Appendix D and the quality assurance documentation is attached as Appendix E.

Based on the Department's guideline document "No Further Action and Monitoring Only Guidelines for Petroleum Contaminated Sites" this site meets all of the criteria for a "No Further Action" classification. A well inventory was not done since the site meets the "No Further Action" criteria even if wells are present. On behalf of Ms. Cressey we respectfully request a classification of this site as "No Further Action".

Please feel free to contact us if there are any questions regarding this submittal.

Jim R. Edwards, P.G. \#601
President, ITL

JRE/mwl
cc: DEP -2
Client
File - 3
attachments:
Figure 1
Table 1
2877 car


Mr: Tim Bahr
Department of Environmental Protection
Page 4
November 8; 1993

## Attachment

| TABLEII. SUMMARY OF PERMANENT MONITOR WELIS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Install Date | Well No. | Well Depth | Casing Depth | - MP Elevation | Groundwater Depth | Groundwater Elevations |
| 8/30/93 | 1 | 11 | 2 | 7.24 | 5.29 | 1.95 |
| 8/30/93 | 2 | 11 | 2 | 7.45 | 5.51 | 1.94 |
| 8/30/93 | 3 | 11 | 2 | 7.00 | 5.05 | 1.95 |
| 9/16/93 | 4 | 12 | 2 | 6.52 | 4.59 | 1.93 |

All measurements in feet
Water level Measurements taken 9/20/93
MP (measuring point) elevations based on assumed elevations of 7 feet above NGVD for the MW-3 MP estimated from the USGS 7.5 minute "Crystal River" Quadrangle on which the subject site is depicted.
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UNDERGROUND STORAGE TANK CLOSURE INSPECTION FORM

Site No. 97 Gulf Coast Ford (aka Nick Nicholas Ford) 4020 N. Suncoast Boulevard (@State Park Road) Crystal River, Florida FDEP I.D. Nos. 098518715 and 099201295 EPA I.D. No. FLD981745383

INITIAL REMEDIAL ACTION and
TANK CLOSURE ASSESSMENT REPORT for

Gulf Coast Ford-South Parcel 4020 N Suncoast Blvd.
Crystal River, Florida
P.D.E.R. unregistered

May 1992

## wnun <br> ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES, INC.

Introduction
At the request of J\&J Equipment, Inc., Unified Environmental Services, Inc., performed the closure assessment of an abandoned waste oil tank. The site previously existed as a Chevron fuel station and utilized the waste oil tank for automotive repair and maintenance activities. The present owner never utilized any of the petroleum tanks on the facility. Additionally, Mr. Nick Nicholas requested that the old undergorund, gasoline tank area be investigated for evidence of a petroleum discharge. Four soil borings and screening was accomplished in the old underground tank area by a DES hydrogeologist and excessively contaminated soile encountered. All petroleum storage tanks have been inactive on the south parcel of the property since at least 1986, when the gasoline tanks were excavated.

After closure of the waste oil tank, initial remedial actions (IRA) were scheduled to remove and treat the excessively contaminated soils encountered in the old gasloine, underground tank area. These activities resulted in the removal of approximately 191 tons of excessively contaminated soils. Prior to backfilling, bubbling of the old gasolins tank area ocurred for approximately 3 days, until no petroleum odor was observed. Soil borings and groundwater sampling and analyses performed approximately 3 days later confirmed the absence of any further petroleum affected soils or groundwater. Two, temporary groundwater wells were previousiy installed in the old tank area, prior to backfilling, and groundwater analyses confirmed the absence of any analytes exceeding the criteria established in Florida Adminstrative Code. Chapter 17-770. The owner of the property has applied to the Abandoned Tank Restoration Program for financial assistenance. This report discusses the findings of these investigative activities.

## Tank Removal Activities

On May 5, 1992, Jis Equipment, Inc. performed the removal of one 550 gallon, steel waste oil tank. The tank had remained inactive since 1986. Prior to the waste oil tank removal, the remaining product was removed. A copy of the product manifest can be found in Appendix $A$ and a site plan illustrating the waste oil tank area is illustrated on Figure 1. No evidence of overspill protection or a network of compliance wells was observed.

Upon removal, the tank was inspected for signs of structural breach. Signs of rusting and pitting was evident, but no signs of breach in the structural integrity was observed. The tank was transported to Tampa Scrap for recycling. A photograph of the old waste oil tank area is presented in Appendix B.


Prior to the removal of the waste oil tank, in 1986, four, steel, 4000 gallon, gasoline tanks were excavated and removed from the facility. Figure 1 illustrates the old tank area. The old tanks utilized the suction type of system to feed petroleum, via underground, steel, product lines, to two dispensers located on the south side of the sales office building, approximately 15 feet away.

## Soil Screening Activities (Phase 1)

On May 5, during the removal of the abandoned waste oil tank, approximately 0.037 cubic yards of oil affected soils were observed around the fill port. The affected soils appeared to be restricted to immediately around the fill port and were observed from approximately 0.50-1.0 feet in depth. No other evidence of a discharge from the old waste oil tank was observed. Screening of the soils in the old waste oil tank area, with a calibrated Foxboro, Model 108, Organic Vapor Analyzer (OVA) indicated that less than 10 parts per million of hydrocarbons were present.

Four soil borings were installed in the old, underground tanks, gasoline tank area also on May 5, 1992, to determine the presence of petroleum affected soils. A 2.75 inch diameter, stainless steel hand auger was utilized to perform the four soil borings and a calibrated, Foxboro, Model 108, OVA was utilized to screen the soils in accordance with the criteria established in Florida Adminstrative Code, Chapter 17-770.200. Due to previous backfilling with concrete debris in the gasoline tank area, borings i-3 resulted in termination at approximately 4 feet in depth. No hydrocarbons were encountered at these first three borings. Soil boring no. 4 did extend to groundwater at approximately 7 feet in depth, with hydrocarbons concentrations at approximately 1000 parts per million and methane detected at approximately 80 parts per million (ppm). Photographs of these soil bowings are also presented in Appendix B. A discharge reporting form was immediately filed with the Southwest District of the E.D.E.R..

## INITIAL REMEDIAL ACTION

## Soil Sampling/Preburn Analyses

On July 12, 1992, a UES hydrogeologist performed three soil boring and obtained soil samples under Comp. QA No. 9200085G. Photographs of the soil borings are presented in Appendix $C$.

##  <br> ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES, INC.

Three soil samples were obtained for pre-burn analyses for TRPH, 8020 and the 8 RCRA Metals. A copy of the preburn analytical reports can be found in Appendix C. All soil samples were obtained in accordance with the criteria established in F.A.C. Cahpter 17775.

## Soil Excavation

On July 20, 1992, Initial Remedial Action (IRA) was initiated at the old gasoline, underground petroleum tank area. A trackhoe was utilized to excavate the soils, with a backhoe utilized to transport the soils to a visquene area for temporary stockpiling. Screening of the soils was performed continously during the soil excavation activity. Photographs of the soil excavation and stockpile are presented in Appendix D.

Excavation continued on July 21, 1992, for excessively contaminated petroleum affected soils. After excavation of excessively contaminated soils was complete, the soils were transported off site for thermal treatment.

## Groundrater Aeration

On July 20, 1992, after excavation of soils was complete, a bubbling unit (BD) was placed into the old underground, gasoline tank area and allowed to operate overnight. A petroleum sheen had been observed on the old tank area groundwater and made OVA interpretation of the soils difficult, if not impossible. By allowing the groundwater concentrations to decrease by the BO and enhanced aeration, determination of soils that were excessively contaminated could more easily assessed the next day.

A groundwater sample was obtained the following morning (7/21/92) prior to further excavation and analyzed for E.P.A. Method 602 analytes. Tank area groundwater samples were obtained by placing a stainless steel bailer into the tank area groundwater and pulling the bailer across the middle of the excavated area. Results of the groundwater analysés indicated that benzene was present at approximately 29.3 parts per billion (ppb) and Total Volatile Organic Aromatics (Total VOA's) were present at approximately 58.6 ppb. These groundwater concentrations still exceeded the criteria established in F.A.C. Chapter 17-770 for benzene and Total 7OA's at 1.0 and 50.0 ppb , respectively.

Excavation of all excessively contaminted soils continued on July 21, 1992. After all encountered petroleum, excessively contaminated. soils were removed from the old gasoline tank area and the BO was again placed into the tank area and allowed to operate overnight. Again, on the following morning ( $7 / 22 / 92$ ) another groundwatex sample was obtained from the old tank area for E.P.A. Method 602 analysis. Results of the groundwater analyses indicated that all E.P.A. Method 602 constituents were within the criteria established in F.A.C. Chapter 17-770. Copies of the laboratory analytical report for the July 21 and 22 tank area groundwater analyses are gresented in Appendix E.

## Temporary Well Installation

During backfilling of the old gasoline tank area on July 23-2́. . two, 2 inch diameter, Schedule 40 , PVC, monitor wells were installed. These wells can be easily removed and backfilled. Each well is equipped with approximately 10 feet of 0.010 inch slotted screen, 2 feet of soild riser attached with stainless steel screws and a coupling and a traffic bearing manhole. The well head is equipped with a watertight, locking plug and has been grouted inside the manhole in the annular space, to approximately 1.5 feet, below land surface. Groundwater was encountered during the soil excavation at approximately 7 feet below land surface. Photographs of the wells are presented in Appendix F.

## Groundrater Quality Confirmation

On July 23 and 24, 1992, during the backfilling of the old tant area, groundwater samples were obtained from the old underground tank area for analyses. Results of the groundwater analyses on July 23, 1992 for E.P.A. Method 602 analytes confirmed the groundwater quality to be within the criteria established in F.A.C. Chapter 17770. Methly Tert Buthyl Ether (MTBE) was detected at approximateiy 1.72 ppb , with all other analytes with the State of Florida's criteria. The groundwater analyses obtained on July 24, 1992, from the old tank area for E.F.A. Method 602 and 610 analytes also indicated that further remediation had ocurred and all analytes tested were below detectable levels and within the criteria established in E.A.C. Chapter 17-770. Copies of these analytical reports can be found in Appendix $G$.

Typically, several days are allowed to pass before groundwater analyses are obtained from a newly installed well, to allow for equilibrium to establish. Photographs of the well installations are presented in Appendix F. Approximately 5 days after the installation of the two, temporary monitor wells in the old
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TABLE 1

Gulf Goast Ford-South Parcel<br>4020 N. Suncoast Blvd.<br>Crystal River, Florida

SJHMARY OP OFA RESULTS (parts per million)

| DEPTH | NORTH | EAST | SOUTH | WEST | CEvTEE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0-1 | $<10$ | $¢ 10$ | $<10$ | <10 | $<10$ |
| 1-2 | $<10$ | $<10$ | $<10$ | <10 | $<10$ |
| 2-3 | $<10$ | $<10$ | $<10$ | $<10$ | $<10$ |
| 3-4 | <10 | <10 | < 10 | $<10$ | $<10$ |
| 4-5 | <10 | <10 | $<10$ | $<10$ | 25 |
| 5-6 | $\sim 30$ | $\sim 30$ | ~30 | $\sim 45$ | 300 |
| 6-7 | $\sim 550$ | $\sim 420$ | $\sim 380$ | $\sim 800$ | $\sim 850$ |
| 7-8 | ~800 | $\sim 800$ | $\sim 1200$ | $\sim 4000$ | -8500 |
| 8-9 | $\sim 150$ | $\sim 100$ | $\sim 250$ | $\sim 440$ | $\sim 480$ |
| 9-10 | < 50 | $<50$ | $<50$ | $<50$ | $<50$ |

Note: Depth is given in feet.
OVA values have had methane removed, through the use of an activated charcoal filter.
gasoline tank area, groundwater analyses for E.P.A. Method 602 analytes was obtained. Results of the analyses indicated that all tested analytes were again within the criteria established in E.A.C. Chapter 17-770. A copy of the temporary monitor well laboratory analytical report can be also found in Appendix $G$, with the locations of the wells illustrated on Figure 1.

## Soil Screening Results

During excavation of the soils on July 20 and 21,1992 , sareening of the soils was performed continously, utilizing a Eoxboro, Model 108, OVA. Results of the soil screening revealed that the petroleum affected soils were between 5-7 feet in depth, and encompassed ar area approximately $45 \times 35$ feet in diameter. Soil values ranged in hydrocarbon values between approximately 6500 ppm at the water table approximately 7-8 feet in depth and decreased to less than 50 ppm at approximately 5 feet in depth. Table 1 summarizes the OVA values observed in the old gasoline tank area.

Eour soil borings to approximately 4 feet in depth were performed at the previously existing fuel island locations and to approximately 8 feet in depth, in the old underground, gasoline tank area on July 24, 1992. Screening of the soils at these borings. revealed that no indication of a discharge was observed and all OVA. values were less than 5 ppm.

## Soil Disposal/Remediation

Because prior soil samples on July 12, 1992 had been obtained and analyzed from the old gasoline tank area, removal of the excavated, excessively contaminated soil from the facility was expediated. Immediately after excavation of the soils, on July 21, 1992: approximately 190.90 tons of excessively contaminted soils were transported to an F.D.E.R. approved thermal incinceration facility. A copy of the disposal manifest can be found in Appendix $H$.

## Discharge Reporting/Closure Assessment/IRA Report Form

Enclosed in Appendix I are copies of the Discharge Reporting Form, Closure Assessment Form and IRA report form.

## Conclusions

Based on the observed groundwater and soil quality after removal of the excessively contaminted soils and confirmation of groundwater quality through several groundwater analyses, the site poses a iow environmental risk.


July 27, 1992
Mr. Dennis Morgan
Nick Nicholas Ford
2901 Hwy. 44
Inverness, Florida 32650
Ref. Fac. \# 098518715
Gulf Coast Ford - South Parse US Hwy. 19 \& State Park Road Crystal River, Florida 32629

Dear Mr. Morgan,
Attached are the 17-761 Florida Administrative Code Compliance inspection results for the above named facility. Our inspector did not indicate violations of Chapter 17-761, F.A.C. at the time of his inspection. We appreciate your firm's attention regarding environmental regulations, for pollutant storage tank system. Also please see comments on front page of inspection report.

If you have any questions concerning this matter, feel free to call upon me.

Sincerely,


Richard T. Sosna
Fuel Tank Inspector
Citrus County Fire Prevention

RTS/jf
Attachments: FLIRPA Check list
cc: Keith McDonald - Unified Environmental

## State of Florida

## Department of Environmental Regulation

## Pollutant Storage Tank System Inspection Report Form

Facility: ID. \#: $0485 / 8715$
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{ }^{4}
$$


ill.
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for
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Old Gasoline and Waste Oil Tank Area 4020 North Suncoast Boulevard
Crystal River, Citrus County, Florida
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Prepared For:
Gulf Coast Ford
 P.G. \#0015:3
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### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

At the request of Gulf Coast Ford, Unified Environmental Services, Inc. (JES) has performed a Contamination Assessment Report for petroleum hydrocarbons, at a previous Chevron facility, located at 4020 North Suncoast Boulevard (Hhy. 19), in Crystal River, Citrus County, Florida. Figure 1 illustrates a Site Vicinity Map for the facility. On May 5, 1933, the result of soil borings in the old gasoline tank area revealed the presence of excessively contaminated soils. A low quanity ( 0.037 yd ) of waste oil affected soils was also observed and removed from around the fill port for the waste oil tank, located approximately 35 feet west of the old gasoline tank area. A Discharge Reporting Form was submitted to the onsite Citrus County/F.D.E.P. representative.

Initial Remedial Action (IRA) activities were performed on the gasoline affected soils on July 20, 1992, with 191 tons of excessively contaminated soils excavated and thermally treated. The excavation was allowed to aerate for two days prior to backfilling. Two temporary wells were installed in the excavation during the backfilling activities, with groundwater analyses results indicating groundwater quality for E.P.A. Method 602 and 610 analytes were within the criteria established in Florida Adminstrative Code, Chapter 17-770.

UES supervised the installation of three, permanent, monitor wells to determine the horizontal extent of groundwater contamination. Groundwater samples were obtained by a DES representative and analyzed under Comprehensive Quality Assurance No. 920085G. Analyses was conducted on the groundwater samples for the gasoline group group of parameters, as defined in Florida Administrative Code, Chapter 17-770. The well located adjacent to the previous waste oil tank was also sampled for the gasoline group of parameters as well as E.P.A. Method 625 and 4-RCRA Metals. UES also accomplished the hand auger of eight (8) auger borings in the vicinity of the UST' area and dispenser islands to determined if any hydrocarbon contaminated soil existed. Soil screening was accomplished by a OES hydrogeologist, utilizing an Organic Vapor Analyzer, per the criteria set forth in E.A.C. Chapter 17-770.200. This report discusses in detail the investigative methodology and results of findings from the Contamination Assessment.

### 1.1 SITE HISTORY

The facility had operated as a full service gasoline station for automotive vehicles for approximately 15 years, until 1986, when J\&J Equipment, Inc. performed the tank removal. Three (3), underground storage tanks (OST's), single-galled, bare steel were
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## UNIFIED

 ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES, INC.previously in operation and contained 4,000 gallons of gasoline. Single-walled, steel piping existed from the tanks to the dispensers, with the tanks not being being equipped with overspill/overfill protection. The system was the suction type, With the pump dispensers located approximately 40 feet ot the southeast of the tanks. Figure 2 illustrates a Site Plan for the previously existing facility.

On May 5, 1992, during the removal and closure assessment of the exisitng waste oil tark, four soil borings were accomplished at the old gasoline tank area. Excessively contamianted soils were encountered at the south quadrant of the old gasoline tank area. Also, waste oil affected soils ( 0.037 cubic yard) were encountered at the exisitng waste oil tank fill port area from approximately 0.50-1.0 feet in depth. Groundwater depth at the site is approximately 7 feet. The Citrus County inspector agreed that the extent of the waste oil affected soils was minimal. A copy of the Discharge Feporting Form for the old gasoline tank area is presented in Appendix A.

Application to the Abandoned Tank Restoration Program was sought, with elgibility by the F.D. E.P. on January 26, 1993. A copy of the elgibility letter can be found in Appendix B.

## 2. 0 ASSESSMENT METHODOLOGY

2.1 MONITOR WELL INSTALLATION

On May 26, 1993, three permanent monitor wells (MW) were installed by Hydrologic Florida, Inc. to delineate the possible horizontal extent of groundwater contamination. Two, (2) temporary monitor Wells (East, West) previously existed in the previous gasoline OST area from the IRA activities. Monitor well (MW-1) was installed adjacent to the former waste oil tank and appproximately 30 feet to the west of the former gasoline tank. Monitor well no. 2 was installed approximately 20 feet to the southeast and monitor well no: 3 was installed approximately 5 feet to the north of the former gasoline tanka area. Figure 2 illustrates a Site Plan, illustrating the mell locations. The methodology for the installation of all wells utilized to obtain groundwater data is presented below.

The three monitor wells installed on May 26, 1993, are constructed of two (2) inch diameter, Schedule 40 PVC , and consist of ten (10) feet of 0.010 inch slotted screen and two (2) feet of solid riser. Groundwater was encountered approximately seven (7) feet in depth below land surface (BLS). The wells were installed by utilizing a 6.25 inch diameter, 0.D. hollow-stem auger and rotary driliing
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procedures. All down hole equipment was steam cleaned and clean gloves were utilized between each well. The annular space in the borehole was packed with a $6 / 20$ quartz, clean, well sorted sand to approximately one (1) foot above the well screen. A bentonite seal was placed above the sand pack for approximately 0.50 feet and the remaining annular space was grouted to the surface. The well was completed with a locking expansion plug and a traffic bearing manhole. The well was developed until the development water was clear. Figure 3 illustrates a Typical Monitor. Well Detail.

On July 23, 1992, two temporary monitor wells were installed in the previous gasoline tank area soil excavation to allow for groundwater quality correlation. The wells are constructed of FVC well screen approximately 5 feet in length, two inches in diameter, with three feet of soild riser. The borhole was created with a 2 foot wide, trackhos bucket, during the IRA activities, with the well inserted in the excavation approximately 2 feet into the groundwater. Natural backilll, clean sand was utilized to fill the hole, with the wells held in place by a rope. The top of casing of the temporary wells were then placed into a traffic bearing manhole, with a concrete grout placed from land surface to approximately 2 feet in depth. A water tight plug was placed on top the well. Figure 4 presents a temporary monitor well detail.

### 2.2 SOIL BORING METHODOLOGY

On May 26, 1993, eight (8) soil borings (SB) were drilled. The soil borings were accomplished with a 2.75 inch diameter, stainless steel hand auger. Decontamination between soil borings was accomplished with a soap/water scrub and clean water rinse. Soil samples were obtained at one foot intervals from land surface to approximately 7 feet in depth. Figure 5 presents a soil boring plan. The auger borings were utilized to determine the site geology and to obtain soil samples for OVA analysis.

### 2.3. SOIL SCREENING METHODOLOGY

During drilling of the soil borings and installation of the permanent monitor vells, the soil cuttings were continuously screened with a Foxboro, Model 108, Organic Vapor Analyzer (OVA). During the drilling activities, grab soil samples were obtained at 2 foot intervals and placed in 500 ml , glass jars and immediately covered with alumimum foil. The OVA was calibrated prior to use with standard gases (methane-95ppm and zero air) to assure proper soil screening values. Periodically; an activated charcoal filter was placed on the OVA pump intake to discern between the presence of hydrocarbons and methane. Decontamination of the soil jars was
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accomplished using a mixture of detergent and potable water with potable water being the final rinse. All soil screening was accomplished in accordance with the criteria established in Florida Adminstrative Code, Chapter 17-770.200.

### 2.4 GROUNDHATER SAMPLING

OES obtained a groundwater samples and analyzed the sample in accordance with EPA procedures and in accordance with OES's approved Comprehensive Quality Assurance Plan, no. 920085G. Appendix C contains a copy of the approved FDER QA Sheet. Prior to obtaining groundwater samples, the well was purged at least five (5) mell volumes and allowed to recharge, to assure the collection of a representative groundwater sample.

The groundwater samples were immediately placed on ice and delivered to the labovatory for analyses. Groundwater analyses
 and four metal analyses ( $\mathrm{Ar} . \mathrm{Cd}, \mathrm{Cr}, \mathrm{Pb}$ ). WW's $2-3$ were sampled for E.P.A. Method 601,602,504.1 and 239.2 analyses and the east and west temporary wells were sampled for E.P.A. Method 602 analyses. A 1.5 inch diameter, stainless steel hand bailer was utilized to purge the five well volumes and obtain the groundwater samples.

### 2.5 SURFACE FATER/POTABLE WELL SJRVEY

As seen by Figure 1, the site is located approximately 700 feet, northeast of a canal that leds to the Gulf Of Mexico. The Gulf of Mexico is located approximately 0.75 mile east of the facility. A wetlands area is located. to the east of Highway 19, approximately 200 feet.

Potable water and waste water disposal is provided to Gulf Coast Ford via a potable well and septic tank. The potable well and septic tank are approximately 400 feet to the northwest of the old gasoline tank area. The Cxystal River Water Department has water and waste water lines within 0.10 mile of the site and plans to transfer these utilities to the Gulf Coast Ford South Parcel are in progress. No other potable wells were observed within a one-quarter mile radius of the site by a field reconnisance survey performed on May 26, 1993, by a JES hydrogeologist.
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### 2.6 UTILITY SURVEY

The existence of underground utilities at the facility was determined by obtaining site plans of existing utilities. Local utility companies were contacted and clearance was obtained of existing utilities. No utilities were observed that would serve as conduit for hydrocarbon migration.

## 3. 0 CONTAMINATION ASSESSMENT RESULTS

### 3.1 SITE GEOLOGY

During all monitor well drilling activities, soil samples were collected and field analyzed to determine site lithology. Figure 5 illustrates the location of the soil borings. Drillers logs are provided in Appendix $D$. As indicated from land surface to approximately 5 feet in depth, a fine grained quartz sand was encountered. Underlying this, a fine grained well sorted quartz sand, with soms clay and limestone was encountered to a depth of approximately 8 feet. Limestone was encountered at approximately 8-12 feet, with sand lenses observed. The Crystal River Limestone encountered consisted of a soft texture, white appearance with abundant marine fossils. The Grystal River Formation is part of the Ocala Group and is of late Eocene Age.

### 3.2 FREE PRODUCT OCURRENCR

No free product has been observed at the facility.

### 3.3 HORIZONTAL DISSOLVED HYDROCARBON PLDME

The analytical reports for the wells can be found in Appendix $\mathbb{E}$. All of the testing of the five wells indicated that the concentrations were within the criteria outlined in F. A. C. Chapter 17-1770, with the exception of dissolved lead. Dissolved lead was detected at 0.110 and .653 parts per million in monitor wells no. 2 and 3, respectively. The State of Florida allowable limit is 0.05 parts per million. Table 1 presents a summary of the groundwater analytical data and Figure 6 presents a groundwater plume map for the facility.

No indication of groundwater impact from waste oil was observed from groundwater analyses of the gasoline group of constituents, E.P.A. Method 625 and four RCRA Metal analyses. The previously

# UNIFIED ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES, INC. 

TABLE 1
Gulf Coast Ford
4020 N. Suncoast Blvd.
Crystal River, Citrus County, Rlorida

## SOMMARY OF GROUNDFATER ANALYSES (parts per billion)

Location BEN. T.VOA MTBE 601 EDB $\operatorname{PB} \quad A R \quad C D \quad C R \quad 625$

| Temp-WEST | $<1$ | $<5$ | $<5$ | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Temp-EAST | $<1$ | $<1$ | $<1$ | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | --- |
| $M M-1$ | $<1$ | $<1$ | $<1$ | $<1$ | $<.02$ | .03 | .11 | .02 | .15 | $<1$ |
| $M W-2$ | $<1$ | $<1$ | $<1$ | $<1$ | $<.02$ | .11 | -- | -- | -- | $-\ldots$ |
| $M W-3$ | $<1$ | $<1$ | $<1$ | $<1$ | $<.02$ | .66 | -- | -- | -- | -- |

NOTE: All results are expressed in parts per billion (ppb), with the exception of the metal analyses. Metals are expressed in parts per million.
BEN-Benzene, T. VOA-Total Volatile Organic Aromatics,
EDB-Ethylene Dibromide.
MTBE-Methyl Tert Butyl Ether
PB-Lead
AR-Arsenic
CD-Cadmium
CR-Chromium
601- E.P.A. Method 601 analyses (SOLYENTS)
625- E.P.A. Method 625 analyses (Base Neutrals/Acid Extractable)


# UNIFIED ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES, INC. 

TABLE 2
Gulf Coast Ford 4020 N. Suncoast Blvd.
Crystal River, Gitrus County, Florida

SOMMARY OF SOIL SCREENING (parts per million)

| Sample Location | Depth | Hydrocarbon | Methane |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MH-1 | $0-12$ | $<1$ | $<3$ |
| MW-2 | $0-12$ | $<1$ | $<1$ |
| $\mathrm{MH}-3$ | $0-12$ | $<1$ | $<1$ |
| $\mathrm{SB}-1$ | $0-7$ | $<10$ | $<1$ |
| $\mathrm{SB}-2$ | $0-7$ | $<10$ | $<1$ |
| $\mathrm{SB}-3$ | $0-7$ | $<10$ | $<1$ |
| $\mathrm{SB}-4$ | $0-7$ | $<10$ | $<1$ |
| $\mathrm{SB}-5$ | $0-7$ | $<10$ | $<1$ |
| $\mathrm{SB}-6$ | $0-7$ | $<10$ | $<1$ |

Note: All results are expressed in parts per million and were obtained Fith a calibrated, Foxboro, Model 108, Organic Vapor Analyzer. Depth is given in feet.

# UNITIED ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES, INC. 

observed waste oil affected soils had been documented in the Closure Assessment as being restricted to the 0.50-1.0 feet in depth. Groundwater depth is approximately 7 feet.

### 3.4 SOIL CONTAMINATION ESTIMATE

The screening of the soils was accomplished to approximately 12 feet in depth to the north, south and nest (MWI-3) of the old gasoline tank area and to approximately 7 feet in depth in soil borings no. 1-8. Screening of the soils in all soil screening episodes revealed that no hydrocarbon contaminated petroleum affected soils were encountered. Previously, 191 tons of excessively and contaminated soils were removed and disposed during the IRA activities. Table 2 presents a summary of the soil screening results and Figure 5 presents the locations of the soil borings.

### 3.5 GRODNDHATER ELEVATIONS

On July 1 and September 17, 1993, groundwater elevations were obtained. The top of casings had previously been surveyed to a common benchmark and depth to groundwater was obtained from monitor wells no. 1-3, with the data presented in tabular form on the figures. As seen by Figures 7 and 8, the groundwater flow direction varies and flowed to the west and southwest, for the respective dates. Hydrualic gradient (i) was calculated by utilizing the hydraulic difference between NW 1 and 2. The distance is approximately 70 feet, with a hydraulic difference of 0.03 feet. With i=g/d, a hydraulic gradient of $0.0004 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ was estaimated. With the close proximity to the canal to the west and a wetlands to the east, shallow aquifer conditions may be influenced tidal and precipitation.

### 4.0 CONCIUSIONS

Groundwater quality impact appears to be low, with dissolved lead detected above allowable concentrations. Petroleum contaminated soils or free product were observed to not exist. Groundwater flow directions appears to be to the west-southwest, with a hydrualic gradient of approximately $0.0004 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$. Due to presence of low level dissolved lead in the groundwater, it is recommended that a Monitoring Only Plan (MOP) be implemented for one year.



Monitor wells no.1-3 are recommended for Quarterly E.P.A. Method 602 and 239.2 groundwater analyses: Listed below are the sampling and reporting schedule for this MOP recommendation.

1st Quarter: Sample- 3/28/94
2nd Quarter: Sample- 6/28/94
3rd Quarter: Sample- 7/29/94
4th Quarter: Sample $12 / 28 / 94$
Yearly report: Submittal on 1/28/95

### 5.0 REFERENCES

Environmental Geology and Hydrogeology of the Ocala Area, Fiorida 1991, FGS, Lane and Hoenstine

Hydrogeologic Framenork: Special Publication No. 32, 1931, F.D.R.R., F.G.S., F.D.N.R.

Applied Hydrogeology, 1989, Fetter Jr.

Fla a Department of Environmental Pi action
Twin Towers Office Bldg. © 2600 Blair Stone Road o Tallahassee, Fonda 32399-2400
Division of Waste Management
Bureau of Petroleum Storage Systems

Storage Tank Facility Compliance Inspection Report

Facility D $\qquad$ 8518715

County $\qquad$ 09 CITRUS

Inspection Date $\qquad$ $9 / 13$
FLORIDA
$\qquad$ GULF COAST FORD

Facility Type $\qquad$
Facility Name Latitude $\square$ $28^{\circ} 55^{\prime} 12 \prime$ Longitude $\square$ $82^{\circ} 36^{\prime} 40^{\prime \prime}$ L/L Method $A-G B S$


- "Code" in block below corresponds to the Rule Cite; represents a Data Entry Code for ease of electronic data recording of inspection results.


Financial Responsibility - Verify owner's coverage. Select Insurance or Other, and provide Mechanism, if appropriate.
$\qquad$ Insurance Carrier: $\qquad$ CpI Effective Date: 1/24/2000 Expiration Date: $1 / 24 / 2001$
$\qquad$ Other Coverage meeting federal financial responsibility requirements. Mechanism: $\qquad$
$\qquad$ None
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Facility Name:GU(F CoAsT FoRD Facility m:8518715 Date: $\$ / 13 / 00$.


September 13, 2000
Mr. Dennis Morgan
Nick Nicholas Ford
P.O. Box 639

Invemess, FL 34451

RE: ID \# 098518715
Gulf Coast Ford
2440 N.W. Hwy 19
Crystal River, FL 34428

Dear Mr. Morgan:
The Storage Tank Program of the Citrus County Health Department (County) has been authorized, by contract with the Florida Department of Environmental Protection (Department), to perform compliance, discharge, closure and installation inspections at facilities regulated under Chapter 62-761 of the Florida Administrative Code (FAC).

Enclosed, please find a copy of the Storage Tank Facility Compliance Inspection Report for the inspection recently performed at the above named facility. Please refer to this report for comments regarding the inspection.

If there are any questions conceming this matter, you may contact the Storage Tank Program at (352) 527-5295.

Sincerely,

C. Mark Sumner

Environmental Specialist II

Enclosure(s)
CMS'file

## Bureau of Petroleum Storage Systems

Facility Inspection Cover Page

Facility Information
ID\#: 8518715
Name: GULF COAST FORD INC 2440 Nw Hwy 19
Crystal River, FL 34428-6321
Contact: Nick Nicholas \& Bill Buckner
Phone: 352-795-7371
District: SWD
County: Citrus
Type: Fuel User/Non-Retail
Status: Open
Latitude: $28: 55: 12.0000$
Longitude: $82: 36: 40.0000$ CMS
LLMethod: AGPS
Account Owner Information
Name: Nicholas, Nick \& Taylor L E
Po Box 639
Inverness, FL 34451-639
Phone: 904-726-1231
Tank Owner Information
Name: Nicholas, Nick \& Taylor LE
Po Box 639
Inverness, FL 34451-639
Phone: 904-726-1231
$\underset{\#}{\text { Tank }}$ Size Content Installed Placement Status Const Pipe Monitor 52000 Unleaded Gas 12/01/1997 ABOVE

16000 Unleaded Gas 07/01/1971 UNDER
B K
1R1 3000 Unleaded Gas 05/01/1987 UNDER
B
26000 Leaded Gas 07/01/1971 UNDER B
3 3000 Leaded Gas 07/01/1971 UNDER B
43000 Leaded Gas 07/01/1971 UNDER B
***Note: Construction, Piping, and Monitoring Info not shown for CLOSED tanks (Status of A, B, or D). No OPEN violations found!

May 1, 1999
Ms. Nancy Knight
FDEP-Tanks Section
Tampa, Elorida
RE: Groundwater Quality Assessment
Gulf Coast Ford
2440 North Suncoast Boulevard
Crystal Rivex, Florida
FDEP\# 098518715
Dear Ms. Knight:
At the request of kr. Nicholas, another groundwater sample was obtained from the temporary mell in the former underground gasoline tank area that previously exhibited 16 parts per billion of benzene in December 1997. On February 5, 1939, the groundwater was purged sive fell volunes from the temporary well and sampled under UES approved Comphrensive Quality Assurance Plan No. 920085. Results of the EPA Hethod 602 groundrater analyses from the temporary well indicates below detectable levels and clean groundwater for tested analytes. Enclosed is Attachment $A$ is a copy of the Eebruary 1998 groundwater analytical reports.

Based on the findings of the soil and groundwater testing and based on current groundwater quality at the previous area of concern, a No Further Action status is requested for the former underground tank area and facility.

Please contact me with any questions at the telephone number listed below.

cc: Mr. Nich Nicholas-GC Ford




The qualifier "U" denotes that the analyte was not present at the limit of detection shown. Because of interferencas sometimes present in environmental seflect a dilution factor.


Gulf Coast Ford
2440 North SunCoast Boulevard Crystal River, Florida
F.D.E.P. \# 098518715 Decenber 1997

## UNHEHM

## Introduction

At the request of the J\&J Equipment, Inc, on December 22, 1997. Unified Environmental Gervices, Inc. (UES) performed soil screenine during the removal of an underground, gasoline tank located at 2440 North SunCoast Boulevard (Hwy.13) in Cyrstal River, Citrus County, Florida. Screening of the soils at the former dispenser and tank area was performed at one intervals in depth. One temporary well. was also installed and sampled for gasoline constituents.

After analyses of the groundwater was received, low level benzene was detected above site rehabiliation levels. In response to this and after discussion with Citrus County and the FDEF in Tampa, Florida, additional aotivities were requested. Two temporary wells and Exoundwater analyses for EPA Method 602 and 610 constituenta was accomplished and one composite soil sample for Fl Fro Total Fetroleum Hydrocarbuns was obtained. All environmental activities were acoomplished under URS is approved ComQAFP No. 920085. This report dicusses the findings of these investigative activities.

Tank Removal Activities
On December 22, 1997, the removal of one 3000 gallon, underground, single-ralled steel tank that utilized suction was accomplished by J\&J Equipment, Inc. The tank appeared to be in excellent structural condition. The tank was cleaned onsite and disposed as scrap steel. A copy of the tank removal form, registration, tank disposal and product disposal manifest are provided in Attachment A.

## Soil Screening Activity

On December 22, 1997, the tank closure assessment activities involved boring and screening the soils at one foot intervals in depth at the dispensers, product line and tank area to the Eroundwater. This activity was performed by a oes Professional Geologist, utilizing a 3.5 inch diameter, stainless steel hand auger and a calibrated, Foxboro, Model 108, Organic Vapor Analyzer. (OVA). During use of the OVA, both a particle and activated charcoal filtex were placed over the OYA pump intake, to discern between the presence of hydrocarbons and methane gases. Soils were placed into two separate 16 oz . glass mason jars and immediately covered with aluminum foil. Decontamination between soil samples was accomplished with a soap/water scrub and clean water rinse. A Citrus County/EDEF representative was present for these activities.

Results of the screening episode can be found in Table 1 and rigure 1 illustrates a site plan and the soil boring locations．As seen by the OVA results，no excessively contaminated soils were observed． The greatest suil screening value observed was 2 parts per million located at soil boring no．4，where the product line，vent line and fill entered the tank．Groundwater was encountered at， approximately 7 feet in depth．

## Groundwater Quality

Following the removal of the underground tank，one 2 inch diameter， teroporary monitor well was installed at soil sample location no． 4. The Hell consist of 5 feet of 0.010 inch slotted screen and 5 feet of riser．The well was sand packed，developed and sampled for EFA Method 602 constituerts．

Results of the groundwater arialyses are presented in Attachment $B$ ． Benzene was detected at 16 parts per billion and Total Volatiles were detected at 357 parts per billion．Regulatory site rehabiliation levels for benzene and Total Volatiles are 1 and 50 parts fer billion，respectively．

## Closure Assessment／Discharge Reporting Form

Enclosed in Attachment C is a copy of the Closure Assessment and Discharge Reporting Forms for the facility．

## LIMITED CONTAMINATION ASSESSHENT

In response to the detected low level groundwater quality impact from hydrocarbons，two additional temporary monitor wells were installed．The additional wells also consisted of 5 feet of 0.010 inch slotted screer and 5 feet of solid riser．The annular space in the borehole was sand packed and the wells developed on January 7 ， 1998．The wells are grouted at land surface and have water－tight plugs．During installation of the two additional wells，the soils Here screened with the OVA and one composite soil sample obtained for $\operatorname{FL}$ FRO Total Petroleum Hydrocarbon analyses．The composite soil sample was obtained from temporary well location no．1，between 4－7 feet in depth．Also wellhead elevations and depth to groundwater was obtained on January 8，1998，from the wells prior to purging and sampling．Fresented below are the findings of these investigative activities．

TABLE 1
Texaco Food Mart
7593 W. Grover/Cleveland Blvd.
Homossassa, Florida
SDMMARY or ova besolits
(parts per million)

| Soil Sample | Deptil | Total Yalue | Methane | Hydrocarbons |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0-6 | Tank | ---- | - |
| 1 | 6-7 | $\sim 5$ | $\sim 5$ | $<1$ |
| 2 | 0-6 | Tank | ---- | ----- |
| 2 | 6-7 | <1 | <1 | <1 |
| 3 | 0-6 | Tank | -- |  |
| 3 | 6-7 | <1 | $<1$ | <1 |
| 4 | 0-6 | Tank | - | ----- |
| 4 | 6-7 | $\sim 11$ | $\sim 9$ | $\sim 2$ |
| 5 | 0-7 | $<1$ | $<1$ | <1 |
| 6 | 0-7 | $<1$ | $<1$ | <1 |
| 7 | 0-7 | $<1$ | $<1$ | $<1$ |
| THW-1 | 0-7 | $<1$ | $<1$ | <1 |
| TMW-2 | 0-7 | $<1$ | <1 | <1 |

NOTE: Depth is presented in feet below land surface, with the greatest value observed in the sample interval presented. TMW: Temporary Monitor Well.

LEGEND
A COMPLIANCE WELL
$\&$ SOIL SAMPLE WITH

- OVA SCREEN
$\odot$ TEMPORARY WELL
Scale: N.T.S.

Soil Quality
Results of the soil screening with the OVA are presented on Table 1 and Figure 1 presents the location of the two additional. tempurary wells. All soil screening values for the two additional wells indicated less than 1 part per million of hydrocarbons were present. The composite soil sample analyses obtained from temporary well no. 1 indicates 9.1 part per million of Total Fetroleum Hydrocarbons. A copy of the soil sample (ss-1) analyses is presented in Attachment $D$.

## Groundmater Quality

Results of the groundwater quality analyses indicates that all EFA Method 610 constituents are below detectable levels. Temporary well. no. 2 also exhibited below detectable levels for EPA Method 602 constituents. Temporary well no. 1 exhibited 11 parts per billion of Toluene and 8 parts per billion of Total Xylenes. Results of the groundwater analyses can also be found in Attachment D.

## Conclusions

Lon level groundwater quality impact was initially observed during Closure Assessmert. Due to aeration, dilution, dispersion and natural biodegradation, subsequent groundwater quality analyses via two additional wells indicates acceptable site rehabilitation levels have been acheived. Soil quality indicates acceptable levels. No human receptor were observed within a 0.50 mile radius of the site. A No Further Action status is requested for the site.

## Discharge Reporing Form
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Lawton Chiles Governor

Twin Towers Office Building 2600 Blair Stone Road<br>Tallahassee, Florida 32399-2400

## Virginia B. Wetherell Secretary

May 28, 1997

Mr. Dennis Morgan
Nick Nicholas Ford, Inc.
Post Office Box 639
Inverness, Florida. 34451-0639
RE: Nick Nicholas Ford
2901 U.S. Highway 44 West
Inverness, Florida
DEP Facility \#098626580
Dear Mr. Morgan:
The Bureau of Petroleum Storage Systems has reviewed the Contamination Assessment Report (CAR) and No Further Action Proposal (NFAP), dated March 22, 1997 (received April 7, 1997), submitted for this site. Documentation submitted with the NFAP confirms that criteria set forth in Rule 62-770.630(3), Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.), have been met. The NFAP is hereby incorporated by reference in this Order. Therefore, you are released from any further obligation to conduct site rehabilitation at the site, except as set forth below.

If a subsequent discharge of petroleum or petroleum product occurs at the site, the Department may require site rehabilitation in order to reduce contaminant concentrations to the levels approved through review of the NFAP or otherwise allowed by Chapter 62-770, F.A.C.

Persons whose substantial interests are affected by this Site Rehabilitation Completion Order have the right to challenge the Department's decision. Such a challenge may include filing a petition for an administrative determination (nearing) as described in the following paragraphs. However, pursuant to Chapter 62-103, F.A.C., you may request an extension of time to file the Petition. All requests for extensions of time or petitions for administrative determinations must be filed directly with the Department's Office of General Counsel at the address given below within twenty-one (21) days of receipt of this notice (do not send them to the Bureau of Waste Cleanup).

Notwithstanding the above, a person whose substantial interests are affected by this Site Rehabilitation Completion Order may petition for an administrative proceeding (hearing)

Mr. Dennis Morgan
May 28, 1997
Page 2
in accordance with Section 120.57, Florida Statutes (F.S.). The petition must contain the information set forth below and must be filed (received) in the Office of General Counsel of the Department at the Douglas Office Building, 3900 Commonwealth Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-3000, within 21 days of receipt of this notice. Failure to file a petition within this time period shall constitute a waiver of any right such person may have to request an administrative determination (hearing) under Section 120.57, F.S.

The Petition shall contain the following information:
(a) The name, address, and telephone number of each petitioner, the Department file number (DEP facility number), and the name and address of the facility;
(b) A statement of how and when each petitioner received notice of the Department's action or proposed action;
(c) A statement of how each petitioner's substantial interests are affected by the Department's action or proposed action;
(d) A statement of the material facts disputed by each petitioner, if any;
(e) A statement of facts which each petitioner contends warrant reversal or modification of the Department's action or proposed action;
(f) A statement of which rules or statutes each petitioner contends require reversal or modification of the Department's action or proposed action; and
(g) A statement of the relief sought by each petitioner, stating precisely the action each petitioner wants the Department to take with respect to the Department's action or proposed action.

This Site Rehabilitation Completion Order is final and effective on the date of receipt of this Order unless a petition (or time extension) is filed in accordance with the preceding paragraphs. Upon the timely filing of a petition, this Order will not be effective until further order of the Department.

When the Order is final, any party to the Order has the right to seek judicial review of the Order pursuant to Section 120.68, F.S., by filing of a Notice of Appeal pursuant to Rule 9.110, Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure, with the Clerk of the Department in the Office of General Counsel, Douglas Office Building, 3900 Commonwealth Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-3000; and by filing a copy of the Notice of Appeal, accompanied by the applicable filing fees, with the appropriate District Court of Appeal. The Notice of Appeal must be filed within 30 days from the date the Final Order is filed with the Clerk of the Department.

Please be advised that mediation of this decision, pursuant to Section 120.573, F.S., is not available.

Mr. Dennis Morgan
May 28, 1997
Page 3

The DEP Facility Number for this site is 098626580. Please use this identification on all future correspondence with the Department.

Any questions you may have on the technical aspects of this Site Rehabilitation completion Order should be directed to Michael J. Bland at (904) 921-9024. Contact with the above named person does not constitute a petition for administrative determination.

Sincerely,


Join M. Ruadell, Director Division of Waste Management

JMR/mjb
cc: Keith McDonald, Unified Environmental Services - Orlando Laurel Culbreth, DEP Southwest District Office Dick Sosna, Citrus County Fire Prevention Bureau

## PG. CERTIFICATION

NFAP for 098626580

I hereby certify that in my professional judgement, the components of this NFAP satisfy the requirements set forth in Chapter 62-770, F.A.C., and that the geological interpretations in this report provide reasonable assurances of achieving the assessment objectives stated in Chapter 62-770, F.A.C.
$X$
I personally completed this review.
__ This review was conducted by XXXXXXX working under my direct supervision.


Date

Site No. 100 Shell - Seven Rivers (aka Texaco \#242030614)
6164 N. Suncoast Boulevard
Crystal River, Florida
FDEP I.D. No. 098842367
EPA I.D. No. FLD984180067

Storage Tank Facility Compliance Inspection Report

Facility DD 8842367 County C9 CITPUS
Facility Name $\qquad$ SHell seven Rivers


Inspection Date 4/19/01
Facility Type $\square$

L/L Method A-GpS


- "Code" in block below corresponds to the Rule Cite; represents a Data Entry Code for ease of electronic data recording of inspection results.

$\qquad$ Other Coverage meeting federal financial responsibility requirements. Mechanism: $\qquad$
$\qquad$ None

Based upon the inspection results and information propjet by the omaerfoperator, this facility appears tomeetite requirements of
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Florida Department of Environing Ialprotection o Bureall of Petrolem. Lovage systems

- Storage Tank Facility Compliance Inspection Report

Facility Name: SHEL SEVEN RIVERS Facility $\mathrm{S}: 8842367$ Date: $4 / 19 / 01$.

Description / Inspector's Comments

data is current as of: 19-APR-2001

## Bureau of Petroleum Storage Systems Facility Inspection Cover Page

Facility Information
ID\#: 8842367
Name: SHELL SEVEN RIVERS
6164 N Suncoast Blvd
Crystal River, FL 32629-6711
Contact: Tom Rushmore $\dot{\gamma}$
Phone: 352-629-0361 cr2 S

District: SWD
County: Citrus
Type: Retail station
Status: Open
Latitude: 28:57:01.0000
Longitude: 82:37:35.0000 cros
IL: AGPS

Account Owner Information
Name: Rushmore Ltd
109 Ne 9 th st
Ocala, FL 34428
Phone: 352-629-0361
Tank Owner Information
Name: Rushmore Ltd
109 Ne 9th st
Ocala, FL 34428
Phone: 352-629-0361



* $\quad$ te: Construction, Piping, and Monitoring Info not shown for CLOSED tanks (Status of A, B, or D).

```
Open Violations
```

Insp Date Viol Sig Violation Text 01/24/2001 86. B Release Detection Performed At Least Once A Month Most Recent Insurance Document

| FR Type | Effective <br> Date | Expiration <br> Date | Company Name |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| INSURANCE | $11 / 01 / 1997$ | $01 / 01 / 2002$ | COMMERCE \& INDUSTRY |

End of Data for Facility \#: 8842367
LE 1 GROUNDWATER ELEVATION SUMMARY
TEXACO FOOD STORE
6164 N. SUNCOAST BOULEVARD
CRYSTAL RIVER, FLORIDA
FDEP FACILITY NUMBER 098842367

| Monitor Well | Reference |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number | Elevation |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $9 / 1 / 94$ |  | $11 / 23 / 94$ |  |
|  |  | DTW | GWE | DTW | GWE |
| MW-1 | 98.25 | 4.58 | 93.67 | 4.72 | 93.53 |
| MW-2 | 97.76 | 4.08 | 93.68 | 4.22 | 93.54 |
| MW-3 | 97.94 | 4.14 | 93.80 | 4.40 | 93.54 |
| MW-4 | 98.43 | 4.74 | 93.69 | 4.92 | 93.51 |
| MW-5 | 98.46 | 4.81 | 93.65 | 4.98 | 93.48 |
| MW-6 | 98.51 | NM | NM | 5.01 | 93.50 |
| MW-7 | 98.38 | NM | NM | 4.85 | 93.53 |
| DMW-1 | 98.35 | NM | NM | 4.80 | 93.55 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Notes: |  |  |  |  |  |
| All measurements recorded in feet |  |  |  |  |  |
| DTW = depth to groundwater |  |  |  |  |  |
| GWE = groundwater elevation |  |  |  |  |  |


| Table No. 2 <br> Summary of OVA Readings <br> Texaco Food Store <br> 6164 N. Suncoast Boulevard Crystal River, Florida DEP Facility I.D. \#098842367 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Soil Boring Iocation | Depth in Fi: | IOV (ppm) | Filtered (ppm) | (Cornected |
| SB-1 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.5 \\ & 2.5 \\ & 3.5 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 70 \\ 120 \\ 130 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 15 \\ & 20 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 50 \\ 105 \\ 110 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| SB-2 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.5 \\ & 2.5 \\ & 3.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \\ & 180 \\ & 840 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 65 \\ 60 \\ 200 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 35 \\ 120 \\ 640 \end{gathered}$ |
| SB-3 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{ND} \\ & \mathrm{ND} \\ & \mathrm{ND} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{ND} \\ & \mathrm{ND} \\ & \mathrm{ND} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{ND} \\ & \mathrm{ND} \\ & \mathrm{ND} \end{aligned}$ |
| SB-4 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ND } \\ & \text { ND } \\ & \text { ND } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{ND} \\ & \mathrm{ND} \\ & \mathrm{ND} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{ND} \\ & \mathrm{ND} \\ & \mathrm{ND} \end{aligned}$ |
| SB-5 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.5 \\ & 2.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 65 \\ & 74 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 32 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 33 \\ & 54 \end{aligned}$ |
| SB-6 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.5 \\ & 2.5 \\ & 3.5 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 800 \\ >1000 \\ >1000 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 200 \\ 420 \\ 340 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 600 \\ & >580 \\ & >660 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| SB-7 | 1.5 | ND | ND | ND |
| SB-8 | 1.5 | ND | ND | ND |
| SB-9 | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ 2-4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{ND} \\ 1,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\overline{1,000}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{ND} \\ & \mathrm{ND} \end{aligned}$ |
| SB-10 | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ 2-4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{ND} \\ 20 \end{gathered}$ | $\overline{\mathrm{ND}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{ND} \\ 20 \end{gathered}$ |
| SB-11 | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ 2-4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{ND} \\ & \mathrm{ND} \end{aligned}$ | - | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{ND} \\ & \mathrm{ND} \end{aligned}$ |
| All readings measured with an organic vapor analyzer (OVA) equipped with a flame-ionization detector. <br> SB-1 Through SB-8 Were Performed on September 1, 1994. <br> SB-9 Through SB-10 Were Performed on November 21, 1994. |  |  |  |  |
| ND - Not Detected <br> ppm - Parts Per Million <br> TOV - Total Organic Vapors <br> Filtered - Filtered With Carbon <br> Corrected - Petroleum Hydrocarbon Vapors (Difference Between TOV and Filtered Readings) <br> $>\quad$ - Greater Than |  |  |  |  |


| TABLE NO. 3 <br> Summary of Compliance Well Construction Details <br> Texaco Food Store <br> 6164 N. Suncoast Boulevard Crystal River, Florida DEP Facility I.D. \#098842367 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| location | Depthtornopof Screen/(ft) | Totalmepth\% (ft) |
| MW-1 | 2.4 | 9.6 |
| MW-2 | 2.1 | 9.0 |
| MW-3 | 1.1 | 11.0 |
| MW-4 | 2.5 | 9.8 |
| Note: Well construction details were determined by field measurements on September 1, 1994. |  |  |





Fl da Department of Environmentali section
Twin Towers Office Bldg. - 2500 Blair Stone Road Tallahassee. Florida 32399-2400
Division of Waste Management
Bureau of Petroleum Storage Systems

Storage Tank Facility Compliance Inspection Report

Facility ID $\qquad$ County $\qquad$ 09 CITRUS

Inspection Date $\square$ 1114100


Latitude $\square$ $2857^{\circ} 06$ Longitude $\square$ $82^{\circ} 37^{\prime} 34^{\prime \prime}$ L/L Method $\square$ AGES


- "Code" in block below corresponds to the Rule Cite; represents a Data Entry Code for ease of electronic data recording of inspection results.
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November 16, 2000
Mr. John Martynowski
Seven Rivers Community Hospital
6201 N Suncoast Blvd
Crystal River, FL 34428

RE: ID \# 099300093
Seven Rivers Community Hospital
6201 N Suncoast Blvd
Crystal River, FL 34428

Dear Mr. Martynowski:
The Storage Tank Program of the Citrus County Health Department (County) has been authorized, by contract with the Florida Department of Environmental Protection (Department), to perform compliance, discharge, closure and installation inspections at facilities regulated under Chapter 62-761 of the Florida Administrative Code (FAC).

Enclosed, please find a copy of the Storage Tank Facility Compliance Inspection Report for the inspection recently performed at the above named facility. Please refer to this report for comments regarding the inspection.

If there are any questions conceming this matter, you may contact the Storage Tank Program at (352) 527-5295.

Sincerely,

C. Mark Sumner

Environmental Specialist II

Enclosure(s)

This data is current as of: $06-\mathrm{NOV}-2000$

## Bureau of Petroleum Storage Systems Facility Inspection Cover Page

Faclity Information

ID\#: 9300093
Name: SEVEN RTVERS COMMUNITY HOSPITAL 6201 N Suncoast Blvd Crystal River, FL 34428


District: SWD
County: Citrus
Type: Fuel User/Non-Retail
Status: Open
Latitude: 28:57:06.0000
Longitude: 82:37:34.0000 $\} \subset=75$
LL Method: AGPS

Account Owner Information
Name: Tenet Healthcare 6201 N Suncoast Blvd
Crystal River, FL 34429
Phone: 904-795-8322

Tank Owner Information
Nane: Tenet Healthcare
6201 N Suncoast Blvd
Crystal River, FL 34429
Phone: 904-795-8322

***Note: Construction, Piping, and Monitoring Info not shown for CLOSED tanks (Status of A, B, or D).
Nio OPEN violations found:


# Boakd of County Com issioners Department of Public Safety 

285 South Kensington Avenue, Lecanto, Florida 34461
(352) 726-1606—————————3ax (352) 726-1001

October 14, 1998
W.R.E.C.

Attn: Roy Sibley
P.O. Box 278

Dade City, Fl. 33526

Ref. Fac. 099700797
W.R.E.C.-Seven Rivers Hospital

6201 N. Suncoast Blvd.
Crystal River, Fl. 34428

Dear Mr. Sibley:

On 10/14/98 a representative of the Department of Public Safety conducted a compliance inspection at the above referenced facility. This inspection was conducted under the authority of Clapter 376, Section 303, Florida Statutes, and is designed to determine the compliance status of the facility with regard to Chapter 62-761 Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C), which regulate underground and aboveground stationary storage tank systems. A copy of the completed inspection form is attached.

Should you have any questions, please contact me at (352) 726-1400.
Sincerely,


David E. Chronister
Environmental Specialist III
Department of Public Safety

DEC/bf

Depa hent of Environmental f gulation Pollutant Storage Tank System Inspection Report Form

Facility: 10. \#: O99700797 County: Cin2us
Facility Name: wREC - SEven RUERS tospirat
Facility Location: G201 N. Suncoast B4UD. GRYSTKRIMN, FL. 34424
Facility Contact:


Owner Contact: ROY Sl/5key Owner Change Date:
Latitude: $2 \alpha^{\circ}: \sqrt{\prime}: 06^{\prime \prime}$ Longitude: $\left.F Z^{\circ}: 3\right\rangle^{\prime \prime}: \jmath^{\prime \prime}$ Fac. Type: $C$

| Tank \# | Size | Contents | Date <br> Installed | Under or <br> Above | Tank <br> Type | Integral <br> Piping | Monitoring <br> System | Tank <br> Status |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 738 | 1100 | $G$ | $1 / 95$ | $A$ | $I$ | $F$ | $K$ | $U$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



| Inspection Type: (Choose One) | Site Information: (All that apply) |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\boxed{X X}$ Routine | $\square$ Discharge (DRF) | $\square$ Near Public Wells $\square$ Repaired |  |
| $\square$ Installation | $\square$ Closure | $\square$ Contaminated | $\square$ Upgraded |
| $\square$ Abandoned | $\square$ Reinspection | $\square$ complaint | $\square$ Both UST \& AST |
|  |  | $\square$ Acid Tanks | $\square$ Hazardous Materials |

 David EE Crironistso J. Soy Sisley contact Name (print): Inspector Name (Print):


Name LURSC-5rwerner rive

Date $\qquad$

## ABOVEGROUND STORAGE TANK COMPLIANCE INSPECTION FORM



## i. REGISTRATION/NOTIFICATION: Comments:

containment within one working day. .450(4)

1. $\because$ Facility has registered all applicable tanks on site, 17-762.400 A/25u/duts
2. Current registration placard is properly displayed; 17-762.410(4)
Proper notification has been made for the following: 17-762.450:
3. Abandonment and closure ( 30 days prior); (1) (a)
4. Change of ownership ( 30 days after); (1) (b)
5. Relrofiting, replacement or upgrading; (10 days prior); (1) (c)
6. Change of tank status (in service/out-of-service); (1) (d)
7. Change of facility status (e.g. substances stored); (1) (e)
8. Change of method of financial responsibility (within 30 days); (2)
9. The facility owner/operator notified D.E.R. of internal tank inspection 24 hrs prior to the test; (3)
10. Loss of greater than 100 galions on an impervious surface or 500 gallons inside secondary
11. 
12. 

.
3
3 7I77IVIIIIIIIIIIIITIIIIII
4.
5.
6.
7.
8.

9
10.

i. RECORD KEEPING: Comments: MaFDi furill,
11. All records were maintained for two (2) years and were available for inspection within five (5) working days; 17-762.710
12. Some but not all records were maintained for two (2) years and were available for inspection within five (5) working days; 17-762.710
!
 $\qquad$


## 


36. Monitoring wells properly designed, constructed and installed; 62-762.640 or 62-762.600 (6)
35.
3. 37 Interstifal monitoning adequate to detecta release from integral piping, $62,762.600(4) \&(5) \neq 3$

| VII. | OUT-OF SERVICE STATUS: Comments: |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\prod$ | Wi. 38, Are the coriosive protection devices properiy maintained; $62-762.800$ (1) (a) 38. $\square$ |  |  |
|  | 39. Is the vent line and other ancillary equipment properly secured and maintained; (1) (b) 39. |  | $\checkmark$ |
|  | 40 . Test performed to insure the integrity of out-of-seriice system prior to being telumed to service (1) (c) |  |  |



| IX. | OTHERS: Comments: $\qquad$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| =xmmad | Wa 42 MAnyotherviolation noted dunn. Inspection (Explain in compents) |

## Site No. 102 Commercial Carrier Corporation

6639 N. Tallahassee Road (Old US Highway 19)
Crystal River, Florida
FDEP I.D. No. 099101140


Jeb Bush
Governor

Southwest District

| 3804 Coconut Palm Drive |  | David B. Struhs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tampa, Florida 33619 | - | Secretary |

June 16, 2000
Mr. James Card
Comcar Industries, Inc.
Post Office Drawer 67
Auburndale, FL 33823

Re: Comercial Carriers Corporation


Crystal River Texminal
6659 North Tallahassea Road
Crystal River, Citrus County, Florida
Facility 10 \#

Dear Mr. Card:
Paul Gruzlovic of the Bureau of Petroleum Storage Systems has reviewed the Site Assessment Report (SAR), dated January 12, 2000 (received January 13, 2000), prepared and submitted by Universal Solution, Inc., for the discharge discovered on April 13, 1999 at this site. In order to meet the requirements of Chapter 62-770, Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.), the following comments need to be addressed:

1) Well construction detail figures and boring logs were not submitted for TW-1 through TW-6. The construction detail figures and boring logs [OVA readings, lithology (based on a soil classification system specified on the log), and moisture content] should be submitted to the Department.
2) Water sampling logs for the groundwater samples obtained between October 1999 through December 1999 were not submitted with the report. As stated in Rule 62-770.400(2)(c), Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.), water sampling logs are required to be submitted to the Department for each well that is sampled. The water sampling logs should be submitted to the Department.
3) The screen interval and total depth of the wells were not listed on the groundwater elevation summary table (Table 4) of the SAR. This information should be added to Table $\triangle$ and submitted to the Department.
4) A statement was included in the SAR that the soil samples for OVA screening and for laboratory analyses were collected from the drill cuttings. Collecting soil samples from the drill cuttings or from off of the auger flights are not approved methods by the Department for OVA screening or for obtaining soil samples for laboratory analyses. Additional soil borings will be required before the site Assessment will be approved.
5) High, medium, and low soil samples (based on the OVA screening results) were not collected. The only soil sample that was obtained was from soil boring SB, which appears to have been completed next to $T W-3$. Figure 2 should be revised to show the correct designation for the soil boring in which the soil sample was obtained. Additionally, the lab report that was submitted did not include pages 5, 6, 7, 10; 11, 13 and 14 . Figure 2 should be revised and the missing pages from the laboratory report should be submitted to the Department.
6) The units were not specified on the soil analytical summary table (Table 2) and the concentrations for 1methylnaphthalene and 2-methylnaphthalene should be listed on the table. The report incorrectly stated that the only parameter that was detected above the Department's soil cleanup target levels from sample SS-1 was TRPH. Benzene, ethylbenzene, total xylenes, naphthalene, 1 -methylnaphthalene, and 2-methylnaphthalene exceeded the Department's leachability-based criteria. A revised Table 2 should be submitted to the Department.
_-7) A potable well search was not completed. All public supply wells within 0.5 mile and all private potable wells within 0.25 mile should be located on a map. The well construction details should also be provided to the Department in a table.
7) Site location (USGS topographic quadrangle) and local vicinity maps were not included in the report. The maps should be submitted to the Department.
8) The SAR did not include a statement as to how long diesel has been distributed at the site or if any other petroleum products have been stored and dispensed at the site. A summary of the site history and operations pertaining to petroleum distribution should be provided to the Department.

The comments listed above should be addressed and the supplemental assessment activities listed below should be completed.
(1) Based on the total PAH concentration measured in TMN-1 and the TRPH concentration measured in MW-1, a doublecased vertical extent well (MW-4D) should be installed. The proposed location of $M W-4 D$ is shown on the attached map. During the advancement of the boring for MW-4D, soil samples for OVA screening should be collected at two-foot intervals above the water table and at five-foot intervals below the water table.
(2) A water-table well (MW-5) should be installed at the location illustrated on the attached map. Soil samples should be obtained at two-foot intervals during the advancement of the boring for the well and screened with an OVA.
(3) Soil borings $S B-A$ through $S B-D$ should be completed to a depth of one-foot below the water table at the locations indicated on the attached map. Soil samples should be obtained at two foot intervals and screened with an OVA.
(4) High, medium, and low soil samples (based on OVA screening) should be collected from the vadose zone from the four soil borings, MW-4D, or MW-5. The soil samples should be analyzed for BTEX/MTBE (EPA Method 8021/5035), PAHs, and TRPHs.
(5) Groundwater samples should be obtained from MW-1, MW-4D and MW-5 and analyzed for BTEX/MTBE (EPA Method 602), PAHS (EPA Method 8310), and TRPHS (FL-PRO). MW-2 and MW3 should also be sampled and the samples should be analyzed for BTEX/MTBE (EPA Method 602). The top of casing elevations for the new wells should be measured
and water levels should be obtained from all of the wells.

Please note, applicable portions of the Site Assessment Report. Addendum must be signed and sealed by a registered professional Engineer or a registered Profèssional Geologist authorized by Chapters 471 or 472 , F.S.

Please provide two copies of the results of the supplemental assessment to me within sixty (60) days of receipt of this request.

The Department requests that written notification be provided at least three days prior to performing all future sampling events. If you have any questions concerning this review, please contact me at (813) 744-6100, ext. 427 or Paul Gruzlovic at (850) 9219036.

Sincerely,


Lesilie E.L. Pedigo Environmental Specialist III
Tanks Program Division of Waste Management

LELP
Cc: Brad Ernst, Universal Solutions, Inc. Mark Sumner, Citrus County Health Department
Paul Gruzlovic, FDEP-BPSS


Mr. James Card
Commercial Carrier Corp.
P.O. Drawer 67

Auburndale, FL 33823

RE:
DEP FAC $\# 099101140$
Commercial Carrier Corp. 6659 Tallahassee Road
Crystal River, FL 32629

Dear Mr. Card:

The Storage Tank Program of the Citrus County Health Department (County) has been authorized, by contract with the Florida Department of Environmental Protection (Department), to perform compliance, discharge, closure and installation inspections at facilities regulated under Chapter 62-761 of the Florida Administrative Code (FAC).

Attached are the 62-761, FAC, compliance inspection results for the above named facility. The inspection was conducted under the authority of Chapter 376, Section 303, Florida Statutes, and is designed to determine the compliance status of the facility with regard to 62-761, FAC. Alleged violations are noted below.

Due to the alleged violations noted, this facility may not be operating in compliance with Chapter 62-761, FAC. Review the violations referenced below. Submit a response in writing within fourteen (14) days which provides a schedule for correcting the noted violations. Be advised that failure to take corrective action may result in enforcement action and the assessment of penalties.

## CITRUS COUNTY HEALTH DEPARTMENT

62-761.400(3), FAC - Financial Responsibility has not been demonstrated. Financial responsibility is the ability to pay for corrective action and third-party liability resulting from a discharge at the facility. The demonstration of financial responsibility shall be made by the owner or operator in accordance with the Code of Federal Regulations, Title 40, Part 280, Subpart H.
Suggested Corrective Action: Complete the enclosed Certification of Financial Responsibility (Form 62-761.900(3)) and mail a copy to this office. The original must be maintained as part of facility recordkeeping and available for inspection within 5 working days notice.

62-761.600(1)(d), FAC - The release detection method for the storage tank system(s) is not monitored at least monthly. Except as otherwise specified in Rule 62-761.600-640, FAC, the release detection method or combination of methods used at a facility shall be performed at least once a month, but not exceeding 35 days, to determine if a release from the storage tank system has occurred.
Suggested Corrective Action: Begin monitoring the release detection system(s) at least monthly as required.

62-761.640(3)(d), FAC - The small diameter piping in contact with the soil, and connected to an underground storage tank system(s), does not have a line leak detector that meets leak detection requirements. Line leak detectors shall be capable of detecting a discharge of 3.0 gph with a probability of detection of 0.95 and a probability of false alarm of 0.05 at a line pressure of 10 psi within one hour.
Suggested Corrective Action: Have the line leak detectors tested annually as required.

Note that unless otherwise indicated, the schedule for corrective action is 30 days. Any item for which insufficient information was provided to determine compliance status is followed by an asterisk $\left(^{*}\right)$ and must also be addressed.

If you have any questions concerning this letter please call the Storage Tank Inspection Program at (352) 527-5295.

Sincerely,

C. Mark Sumner

Environmental Specialist II
enclosure(s)
CMS/file

Fl Ia Department of Environmental Section
Twin Towers Office Bldg. - 2600 Blair Stone Road © Tallahassee, Florida 32399-2400
Division of Waste Management
Bureau of Petroleum Storage Systems

Storage Tank Facility Compliance Inspection Report

Facility 1 D $\qquad$ $910 / 140$

County $\qquad$ Inspection Date $1 / 17 / 2001$
Facility Name $\qquad$ COMMERCIAL CARRIER

Facility Type $\qquad$
Latitude $\qquad$ $28^{\circ} 7^{\circ} 26^{\circ}$ Longitude $\qquad$ $\mathrm{L} / \mathrm{Method} 4-G D S$
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\text { Page } 1 \text { of } 2
$$

Facility Name: Comm ERCIAC CARRIER Facility m:9/0/140 Date: $1 / 17 / 2001$,

1 Cite
Description / Inspector's Comments
Release detection is supposed to be a monthly sampling of the 4 monitol wells. The records provided showed that the wells were only Sampled $1 / 2 / 2000,5 / 8 / 2000,6 / 21 / 2000$, $0 / 14 / 2000,+12 / 12 / 2000$ last yeas. Also, The depth to blister monuments. indicate that for the wells cst year was only $2-3$ feet alive the bottom of the well 62-761.640(2)(G)2.9. FAC requires that the wells extend at beast five feet below the normal groundwater surface level. Since last year we were in drought conditions please provide records to demonstrate that He NorMAL ground water Surface level is five feet above bottom of wells. If Not tour wells cull not meet required constivetion standards and all not be exempt fran site suitability requirements. System also equipped with bedel bot $\pi \mathrm{L}$ 250 I for monitoring of inventory, Sump, pipe interstice, and dispenser liner. pipe $\operatorname{sum} p$ and dispense l lineruee dry water in hells is clear with no siren or odd. fillis marked poi Api 1637 Plecerd is displayed pictures were taker of dispenser and liner.

This data is current as of: 04-JAN-2001

## Bureau of Petroleum Storage Systems Facility Inspection Cover Page

Facility Information
ID\#: 9101140
Name: COMMERCIAL CARRIER CORP 6659 N Tallahassee Rd Crystal River, FL-32629-2942
Contact: Puleatter 2
Phone: $352-795-3723 S$ cms.
Sifth obrlen
Account Owner Information
Name: Commercial Carrier Corp
Po Drawer 67
Attn: James Card
Auburndale, FL 33823
Phone: 941-967-1101
Tank Ormer Information
Name: Commercial Carrier Corp
Po Drawer 67
Attn: James Card
Auburndale, FL 33823
Phone: 941-967-1101

District: SWD
County: Citrus
Type: Fuel User/Non-Retail
Status: Open
Latitude: 28:57:26.0000
Longitude: 82:37:55.0000 $\leq \mathrm{Cm}$
LL Method: AGPS

Size
Tank
\#
Content Installed Placement Status Const Pipe Monitor
112000 Vehicular Diesel 04/01/1991 UNDER

212000 Vehicular Diesel 02/01/1991 UNDER.U


***iote: Construction, Piping, and Monitoring Info not shown for CLOSED tanks (Status of A, B, or D).
No OPEN violations found!

Site No. 103 Crystal River Precast Plant 7010 N. Suncoast Boulevard (@ Powerline Road)

Crystal River, Florida
EPA I.D. No. FLD984178996

GROUND HATER ANALYTICAL RESULTS
The ground water sample was analyzed for the kerosene/mix product components specified in FAC $17-770.600$ ( 8 ) (b) as requested by FDER. The certificates of analysis are attached in Appendix $C$. The analytical parameters detected in the ground water samples are:

| Toluene | $3200 \mathrm{ug} / 1$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Xylene | $120 \mathrm{ug} / 1$ |
| Chlorobenzene | $20 \mathrm{ug} / 1$ |

All other analytical parameters were below analytical detection limits.
At this time the detected parameters can not be attributed to a known on site source. The drums of chemicals and/or petroleum products stored on site did not contain toluene, xylene or chlorobenzene as indicated by their respective material safety data sheets (MSDS). Further the disposal analysis records of the petroleum contaminated soil did not indicate the presence of toluene, xylene or chlorobenzene. Also information obtained from Zurn personnel who formerly worked on the site did not identify an on-site source of the chemicals.

If there are any questions, please contact myself or Robert stephens at (813) 622-7174.

Respectfully,

cc: Bill Morton
Zurn Industries, Inc.
P. O. BOX 2000

Erie, PA 16514-2000
(814) 452-2111 Ext. 277
$595397 \backslash$ P1SMMRYI.MJ3



Site No. 104 Crystal River Quarries, Inc. 7040 N. Suncoast Boulevard Crystal River, Florida
FDEP I.D. Nos. 098518659 and 099045639

Fl da Department of Environmental: section
Twin Towers Office Blag. - 2600 Blair Stone Road - Tallahassee, Florida 32399.2400
Division of Waste Management
Bureau of Petroleum Storage Systems

Storage Tank Facility Compliance Inspection Report

Facility $1 D$ $\qquad$ 9045639

County $\qquad$ OG CitRuS

Inspection Date $\square$ $11 / 22 / 00$

Facility Name $\qquad$ CRYSTAL RIVER QUARRIES RU

Facility Type CAUSER

Latitude $\qquad$ 28.5750 Longitude $\qquad$ $82^{\circ} 3757$ L/L Method GPS:

| Check box to identify type of inspection performed. Update latitude/longitude as necessary. <br> Provide Lat/Long Determination Method. ("Map", "AGPS" (Magellan), "GGPS" (Trimble)). <br> Provide the count of USTs and/or ASTs reviewed during this inspection | \# USTs <br> Inspected | \# ATS <br> Inspected | S |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Compliance Inspection (Annual) | CI | Installation Inspection | TIN |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Compliance Inspection (DRF received) | TCDI |  | Closure Inspection | TWI |  |
| Compliance Inspection (Complaint received) | TOPI |  | Compliance Re-Inspection | TR |  |
| Discharge Evaluation ("short form") | TDI |  | $* *$ Record the results of the TDI in a Discharge Project |  |  |

- "Code" in block below corresponds to the Rule Cite; represents a Data Entry Code for ease of electronic data recording of inspection results.

Rule Cite $62-76$
Code



Page $\qquad$ of 2

Facility Name CRySTAL R(UER QuarriES Facility m: 9043639 Date: $11 / 22 / 00$
i. Cite

Description / Inspector's Comments
Comments.
Release Detection for the three 10,000 Gal Astr is a documented Visual inspection perfomal monthly of the tents, the containment wa all, $^{\text {the }}$ the associated piping, and the dispensed Liners.
Mounting inspections were checked from $1 / 2000$ to 11/2000

At the time of this inspection the Containnort area hies din and clean With o well maintained costing the Diesel \#t 2 Dispencerwos du, the gas \#3dispencer hate lesssthan Due inch of liquid, and $43 \leq$ Diesel $\# 1$ dispenses had less then one ind of liquid.

There west no signs of a reverse around the piping, The fill area is to be marked As per Api 163?

Photos were taken of the tanks, the piping, the dispenses, the containment wall, and the auto shut off fire Valves. $2000 / 20011$ placarduas displayed.

November 27, 2000

Mr. Frank Colitz
Crystal River Quarries
P.O. Box 216

Crystal River, FL 34423

RE: DEP FAC \#099045639
Crystal river Quarries
7040 N. Suncoast Blvd.
Crystal River, FL 34428

Dear Mr. Colitz:
The Storage Tank Program of the Citrus County Health Department (County) has been authorized, by contract with the Florida Department of Environmental Protection (Department), to perform compliance, discharge, closure and installation inspections at facilities regulated under Chapter 62-761 of the Florida Administrative Code (FAC).

Attached are the 62-761, FAC, compliance inspection results for the above named facility. The inspection was conducted under the authority of Chapter 376, Section 303, Florida Statutes, and is designed to determine the compliance status of the facility with regard to 62-761, FAC. Alleged violations are noted below.

Due to the alleged violations noted, this facility may not be operating in compliance with Chapter 62-761, FAC. Review the violations referenced below. Submit a response in writing within fourteen (14) days which provides a schedule for correcting the noted violations. Be advised that failure to take corrective action may result in enforcement action and the assessment of penalties.

62-761.510(1)(d), FAC - The storage tank system(s) installed before July 13, 1998, and which produces a gravity head on the dispenser and/or integral piping is not equipped with the proper valves. Valves meeting the requirements of Section 2-1.7 of National Fire Protection Association Code 30A, shall be installed by January 13, 1999, on any storage tank system located at an elevation that produces a gravity head on the dispenser or on small diameter piping. Suggested Corrective Action: Install the correct valves on the storage tank system(s).

Note that unless otherwise indicated, the schedule for corrective action is 30 days. Any item for which insufficient information was provided to determine compliance status is followed by an asterisk (*) and must also be addressed.

If you have any questions concerning this letter please call the Storage Tank Inspection Program at (352) 527-5295.

Sincerely,

C. Mark Sumner

Environmental Specialist II
enclosure(s)
CMS/file

This dara is curtent as of: 06-NOV-2000

## Bureau of Petroleum Storage Systems <br> Facility Inspection Cover Page

Facility Information
ID\#: 9045639
Name: CRYSTAL RIVER QUARRIES INC 7040 N Suncoast Blvd Crystal River, FL 34428
Contact: Crystal River Quarries Inc
Phone: 352-795-2409


District: SWD County: Citrus

Type: Fuel User/Non-Retail
Status: Open
Latitude: 28:57:50.0000
Longitude: 82:37:57.0000
LL Method: AGPS

Account Owner Information
Name: Crystal River Quarries Inc
Po Box 216
Crystal River, FL 34423-216
Phone: 904-795-2409

Tank Owner Information
Name: Crystal River Quarries Inc
Po Box 216
Crystal River, FL 34423-216
Phone: 904-795-2409

| Tank | Size | Content | Installed | Placemen | tatu | , | A | Monitor |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\cdots$ | 10000 | Vehicular Diesel | 11/01/1989 | ABOVE | U | K C O | A B I K | $\begin{aligned} & Q \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ |
| 2 | 10000 | Unleaded Gas | 11/01/1989 | ABOVE | U | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{K} \\ & \mathrm{C} \\ & \mathrm{O} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { A } \\ & \text { B } \\ & \text { I } \\ & \text { K } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 3 | 10000 | Vehicular Diesel | 11/01/1989 | ABOVE | U | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{K} \\ & \mathrm{C} \\ & \mathrm{O} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{A} \\ & \mathrm{~B} \\ & \mathrm{I} \\ & \mathrm{~K} \end{aligned}$ | $\left.\begin{array}{l} Q \\ 4 \\ \end{array}\right)$ |
| 10 | 15000 | Fuel Oil-Onsite H | 07/01/1959 | ABOVE | B |  |  | - |
| 11 | 500 | Waste Oil | 07/01/1981 | UNDER | B |  |  |  |
| 4 | 4000 | Vehicular Diesel | 07/01/1959 | ABOVE | B |  |  |  |
| 5 | 4000 | Vehicular Diesel | 07/01/1959 | ABOVE | B |  |  |  |
| 6 | 1000 | Leaded Gas | 07/01/1960 | UNDER | B |  |  |  |
| 7 | 1000 | Unleaded Gas | 07/01/1981 | UNDER | B |  |  |  |
| 8 | 6000 | Vehicular Diesel | 07/01/1959 | ABOVE | B |  |  |  |

Site No. 204 Berryman \& Henigar (aka Henigar \& Ray Engineering Association, Inc.)

# Board of County Commissioners 

## Department of Public Safety

285 South Kensington Avenue, Lecanto, Florida 34461
(352) 726-1606

Fax (352) 726-1001

March 16, 1999
Ms. Debbie Sparks
Berryman \& Henigar
640 E. Hwy 44
Crystal River, Fl. 34429

## Ref: Tank Closure Assessment Report <br> Berryman \& Henigar <br> 640 E. Hwy 44 <br> Crystal River, Fl. 34429 <br> ID\# 098628562

Ms. Sparks:
A Tank Closure Assessment Report dated December 1998, was received by this office March 16, 1999, which outlined closure activities that took place on December 9, 1998 at the referenced facility. Based on the data presented in the aforementioned report, and on field observations conducted during the tank removal, it appears no further assessment will be required at this time for this former underground storage tank area.

Should you have any questions please call me at (352) 726-1400.

David E. Chronister
Environmental Specialist III
Citrus County Public Safety-Storage Tanks Program
DEC/bf

## Pollutant Storage Tank System Inspection Report Form


County: Citrus
Facility Location: 640 E. HWY, 49 CRYsTal MINER, FL. 34429





| Tank\# | Size | Contents | Date <br> Installed | Under or <br> Above | Tank <br> Type | Integral <br> Piping | Monitoring <br> System | Tank <br> Status |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2000 | $B$ | $12 / \& 6$ | $U$ | $A E M$ | $C$ | $B$ | $B$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Comments: JiJ Removes ut. U~NFIBe Acromure Closure psesjement

Inspection Type: (Choose One)
Site Information: (All that apply)


Devin E Citrowespre
Inspector Name (Print):

: R Form 701.01 .91

## UNDERGROUND STORAGE TANK CLOSURE INSPECTION FORM

1. All of the facility's tanks properly registered; . 400
2. Proper notification made 30 days prior to tank (s) closure; 450 (1) (a)
3.     - Proper notice given 24 hours prior to storage tanks) closure, 450 (4)


## I. CLOSURE PROCEDURES/STATUS: 17.761 .800 Comments:

4. Certified contractor performed the tank removal(s); .740 (2) J ? 5
5. Storage tanks) properly closed and removed from the site; (2) (d)
6. Storage tank(s) properly closed and filled in place, (2) (d)
7. Storage tanks) properly closed within 90 days of discovery; (2) (a)
8. All liquid \& sludge removed from the tank (s); (2) (d)
9. Storage tanks properly purged or inerted prior to transport; (2) (d)
10. All piping capped and/or removed;
11. All monitoring wells left in place for contamination assessment purposes; (2) (f)
12. All monitoring wells have been properly abandoned; 800 (2) (f)


III. DISCHARGE REPORTING 17-761.460, F.A.C.: Comments:
13. Evidence of contamination or a discharge reported (Explain in comments) 460 (1). (2) and (3)
14. Discharge Reporting Form (DRF) submitted; 460 (2)

IV. DISCHARGE RESPONSE: Comments: $\qquad$
15. Free product present; (Explain in comments)
16. 
17. Free product being removed; $17-761.800$ (3) (d) \& $17-761.820$ (2)
18. 


 For RIDONT.

## Underground Storage System Installation and Removal Form for Certified Contractors

pollutant Storage Systems Contractor as defined in Section 489.113, Florida Statutes (certified contractors as defined in Section 62761.200 , Florida Administrative Code) shall use this form to certify that the installation, replacement or removal of the underground age tank system(s) located at the address listed below was performed in accordance with Department Reference Standards. This les system components such as dispenser liners, piping sumps, and overfill protection devices.

## General Facility Information

| Faciliry Name: Berryman \& Henigar | DEP Facility Identification No.:098628562 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sreet Address (physical location): 640 E. Highway 44 | Crystal River |
| Citrus | Telephone \#: (352) 795-6551 |
| Owner Name: Berryman \& Henigar, Inc. | Telephone \#: (352) 795-6551 |
| Owner Address: 640 E. Highway 44 Crystal River, FL 34429 |  |

Storage Tank System Information

| Number of Tanks Installed: $\quad 0$ | Number of Tanks Removed:_1 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Date Work Initiated: $12 / 10 / 98$ | Date Work Completed: 12/10/98 |
| Tank(s) Manufactured by:_N/A |  |
| Description of work Completed: $\quad$ Removed and disposed of $1-1000$ galon underground |  |
| al tank. Took water/soil samples as required by County Inspector. |  |

## Certification

I hereby certify and attest that I am familiar with the facility that is registered with the Florida Department of Environmental Protection; that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the storage tank system installation, replacement or removal at this facility was conducted in accordance with Chapter 489, Florida Statutes, Section 376.303, Florida Statutes, and Chapter 62-761, Florida Administrative Code, and its adopted reference standards and documents for underground storage tank systems.

owner or operator of the facility must register the tanks with the Department upon completion of the installation. The installer must it this form to the County no more than 30 days after the completion of installation, replacement, or removal of a storage tank system.

Site No. 208 Secret Garden Gift Shop 941 N.E. Highway 19 Crystal River, Florida FDEP I.D. No. 099801727

## SCANNED DOCUMENT

SCORE TRACKING SHEET



6
7


Verification Received from STB Field Office
 All Information Received for Scoring Scored with PCT Updated \& Letter Printed Letter Mailed \& Packet sent to STB Scanning
Letter Type (Circle One)
$A=$ Low Score, No CDF
$B=$ High Score, CDF Requested
$C=$ PCPP High, CDF Requested $\quad$ New Score

(Date CDF requested must be transferred to CDF list) Number of Pages:



NOTES

MARK

## Site Priority Ranking Sheet

Facility \#: $\qquad$

## Criteria:

Yes
No

## Points

## Fire/Explosion Hazard:

1. Free product or volatilized petroleum products $\xrightarrow[+]{+}$ $\qquad$ at or above 20\% of the Lower Explosive Limit (LEL) in existing utility conduits or vaults, buildings or other inhabited confined spaces ( 60 points).
2. Ignitable free product on surface waters or impoundments $\qquad$
 ( 60 points).

## Threat to Uncontaminated Drinking Water Supplies:

1. Uncontaminated municipal or community well fields of greater than 100,000 gallons per day permitted capacity with a well within $1 / 2$ mile of the site ( 30 points).

Additionally:
WD
HRS
Y 1
$1125 / 02$
a. If the well field's 1 foot draw down contour is known to encompass the site regardless of the well field's distance from the site ( 20 points).
or
b. If the well field is located down gradient of the site (15 points).

$$
\text { well } ; ~ L .25 \mathrm{~m}^{\prime}
$$

2. Uncontaminated private wells constructed prior to date of contamination discovery, or uncontaminated public water


1WS 6090317 system well field with less than 100,000 gallons per day permitted capacity with a well within $1 / 4$ mile of the site ( 20 points).

SI
WB
Additionally:
HRS
a. If the well field's 1 foot draw down contour is known to encompass the site regardless of the well field's distance from the site ( 10 points).
or
b. If the well field is located down gradient of the site ( 5 points).
3. Uncontaminated surface water body used as a public water system supply within $1 / 2$ mile of the site ( 10 points).

## Migration Potential:

## 1. Source Characteristics (select only one)

a. Recent spills or free product found in wells/ boreholes ( 4 points) except free product of 2 inches or more in 2 or more wells/boreholes ( 6 points).
b. Recent product loss or wells/groundwater contaminated but no free product (2 points).
2. Product Type (select only one):
a. Light petroleum product (kerosene, gasoline, $\qquad$
 aviation fuel and similar petroleum products) with water soluble additives or enhancers (MTBE, ethanol and similar substances) (3 points).
b. Light petroleum product with no additives or enhancers ( 2 points).
c. Heavy petroleum product (fuel oil, diesel and similar petroleum products) ( 1 point).

## Environmental Setting:

1. Site located in G-1 aquifer (4 points). $\qquad$

2. Site located in a G-2 aquifer (2 points).
3. Site located in high recharge/permeability geological area (4 points).
4. Site located within $1 / 2$ mile of an Outstanding Florida

Water (1 point).

$\qquad$


Comments: $\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$



| Facility ID: 9801727 County: 09 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Name: CRYSTAL RIVER CITY ROW PROPERTY |  |  |
| Address: 941 NE HWY 19 |  |  |
| City: CRYSTAL RIVER | FL | 32629 |

Number of Large public well ( $>100,00 \mathrm{gpd}$ ) within $1 / 2$ mile: 1
Number of small public and private wells within $1 / 4$ mile: 0 Investigation: 1/25/02 Investigator: Will Bryant
Comments: Central Water Area
Signature: $\qquad$

| Well ID | Well Use | Name | Case Material | Diameter | Capacity - GPM |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| AAC1479 | 40 Community Well | CRYSTAL RIVER WELL 1 | Black Steel | 10 | 960 |
|  |  | NW FTH ST WELL <br> Crystal River | FL 34429 | CITY ARTESIAN WELL |  |

No petroleum indicator compounds (BTEX \& MTBE) were detected in the most recent sample from this well
Sample 10: 000309-022
Sample Date: 2/29/00


Facility ID: 09-9801727
CRYSTAL RIVER CITY ROW PROPERT 941 NE HWY 19
Crystal River FL 32629


[^19]
$0.2 \quad 0.4$
0.6 Miles

1:24000
Florida Department of Health
Bureau of Water Programs
Well Surveillance Section


Disclainer:
This product is for reference purposes only and is not to be coastrued as a legal document. Any reliance on the information contained herein is at te usors own risk. The Florida Department of Healich and its agents assume no responsibility for any use of the in formadion conluined bercio or any loss resilting therefrom.


[^0]:    1) Vacuum readings in inches of water column 2) Blank cells denote data unavailable.
[^1]:    Notes:

    1) Vacuum readings in inches of water column
    2) $\mathrm{DO}=$ Dissotved Oxygen, readings in milligrams per liter ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ )
    3) Blank cells Indicate data not recorded 4) $P=$ Pressure
[^2]:    Notes: 1. DTW = Depth to water, ELEV = water table elevation and FP = Iquid phase hydrocarbon thickness. All readings in leet.
    2. " " " denotes that liquid phase hydrocartons were present, but not recorded.
    3. Blank cells indicate data not collected/available.

[^3]:    Notes:

    1. Results in milcrograms per liter (ug $A$ )
    2. Data prior to Soptember 2000 was oblained from the analytical summery tables of previous raports.
    3. Atter March 2000, all values $>0.5 \mathrm{ug}$ h hava been rounded to the nearest whole mumber. Valuas $<0.5 \mathrm{ugh}$ have been rounded to the nearesi tenth. 4. ". denotes no delection limil or state larget level for tils summed value.
    4. A blank cell indicalas the parameter was not analyzed.
    5. Total VOA equals the sum of benzene, toluene. ethylbenzene and lotal xylene compounds (o-xylene and pm-xylene).
    6. Laboratory detoction Umits are listed for all parameters yelding below laboratory detection limilt results after March 2000
[^4]:    "Protect, Conserve and Manage Florida's Environment and Natural Resources" Visit Our Internet Site At: www.dep.state.fl.us/dwm/bureaus/bpss.htm Printed on recycled paper.

[^5]:    Former Tenneco 285-08 098736154

[^6]:    EDB = Ethylene Dibromide $N A=$ Parameter Not Analyzed
    olal BTEX = Sum of benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and total xylenes
    BDL = All components contributing to the summed value were below their respective detection limits
    Total PAHs = Total of all EPA Method 610 constituents excluding Naphthalene and $1+2$-Methylnaphthalene
    GCLTs = Chapter 62-777, F.A.C., Table I, Groundwater Cleanup Target Levels
    $E D B=$ Ethylene Dibromide or 1,2-dibromoethane
    FL PRO = Total Recoverable Petroleum Hydrocarbons

[^7]:    EDB = Ethylene Dibromide MTBE = Methyl-lert-butyl-ether

[^8]:    Site Name:

[^9]:    Project Name: ISLAND FOOD STORE 518

[^10]:    REMARKS

[^11]:    REMARKS:

[^12]:    REMARKS:

[^13]:    ce: Steve Weeks, Quality Petroleum, Inc.

[^14]:    Forida Primary Drinking Water Standard (Forida Administrative Code 17-550.310-320)
    (b) Regommended Protective Concentration: Toxicant Profiles, Center for Biomedical and Toxicological Research, Florida State University, 1985-1988
    (c) Fiorida Administrative Code 17-770.730 target levels for groundwater remediation.

[^15]:    7 Form 761-01.81

[^16]:    ote: Construction, Piping, and Monitoring Info not shown for CLOSED tanks (Status of A, B, or D).

[^17]:    ***Note: Construction, Piping, and Monitoring Info not shown for CLOSED tanks (Status of A, B, or D).

[^18]:    UNIFIED ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES, INC.

[^19]:    
    40 Comanaity Water Sysuem ( 100,000 gallonsiday)
    41 Non-Cormannity Public Water System
    12 Linited Uve Public Water Syricm(64E-8)
    a3 Private Wuar Well
    45 Nom TrusiemiNom Coumminy Watar Symema
    46 Commmity Water Syater (< 100,000 gellousfdry)

