

**FINAL
CULTURAL RESOURCE ASSESSMENT SURVEY**

**PROJECT DEVELOPMENT AND ENVIRONMENT STUDY
US 19 (SR 55)
FROM SOUTH OF US 98 TO CR 488
CITRUS COUNTY**

**Work Program Item Segment No: 405822 1
Federal-Aid Program No: 1852 007 P**

The proposed project involves improving US 19 (SR 55) to a six-lane divided facility from US 98 to Turkey Oak Drive, and improvements to the CR 488 intersection in Citrus County. The total length of the project is approximately 18.8 miles.



Prepared for:

**Florida Department of Transportation
District Seven
11201 North McKinley Drive
Tampa, Florida 33612-6456**

May 2004

FINAL CULTURAL RESOURCE ASSESSMENT SURVEY

PROJECT DEVELOPMENT AND ENVIRONMENT STUDY US 19 (SR 55) FROM SOUTH OF US 98 TO CR 488 CITRUS COUNTY

**Work Program Item Segment No: 405822 1
Federal-Aid Program No: 1852 007 P**

The proposed project involves improving US 19 (SR 55) to a six-lane divided facility from US 98 to Turkey Oak Drive, and improvements to the CR 488 intersection in Citrus County. The total length of the project is approximately 18.8 miles.



Prepared for:

**Florida Department of Transportation
District Seven
11201 North McKinley Drive
Tampa, Florida 33612-6456**

Prepared by:

**Archaeological Consultants, Inc.
2345 Bee Ridge Road, Suite 6
Sarasota, Florida 34239**

May 2004

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) conducted a Project Development and Environment (PD&E) Study for improvement alternatives along US 19 (SR 55) from south of US 98 to North Dunnellon Road (CR 488) in Citrus County, Florida. The project is approximately 18.8 miles in length. The project location map (Figure 1-1) illustrates the location and limits of the PD&E Study.

A cultural resource assessment survey (CRAS) was undertaken to comply with Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966 (Public Law 89-665), as amended, and the implementing regulations 36 CFR 800 (revised May 1999), as well as the provisions contained in the revised Chapter 267, Florida Statutes. All work was carried out in conformity with Part 2, Chapter 12 (“Archaeological and Historical Resources”) of the Florida Department of Transportation’s Project Development and Environment Manual (revised January 1999), and the standards contained in The Historic Preservation Compliance Review Program of the Florida Department of State, Division of Historical Resources Manual (revised November 1990).

The purpose of the CRAS was to locate, identify, and bound any cultural resources within the project Area of Potential Effect (APE) and to assess their significance in terms of eligibility for listing in the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP). The archaeological and historical/architectural field surveys were conducted in December 2001 and January 2002. This report documents the results of the cultural resource assessment survey component of the PD&E Study. The CRAS documented in this report was performed for the roadway alignment alternatives and does not include proposed stormwater retention/mitigation areas. These areas will be surveyed during the future design of individual US 19 segments.

Archaeological background research, including a review of the Florida Site File (FSF) and the NRHP, indicated that six archaeological sites (8CI133, 8CI139, 8CI140, 8CI141,

8CI142, and 8CI158) were recorded previously within the US 19 PD&E Study APE. None is listed or considered potentially eligible for listing in the NRHP. A review of relevant site locational information for environmentally similar areas within Citrus County and the surrounding region indicated a moderate to high probability for the occurrence of prehistoric sites at several localities within the APE. The background research also indicated that sites, if present, would most likely be small lithic or artifact scatters. The results of historical research suggested a low potential for historic period archaeological sites. As a result of field survey, two newly identified (8CI1091, 8CI1110) and four (8CI133, 8CI139, 8CI140, 8CI158) previously recorded sites were identified. The locations of two other (8CI141 and 8CI142) previously recorded archaeological sites could not be verified. In addition, one archaeological occurrence (AO) was found. The newly and previously recorded archaeological sites are lithic and artifact scatters. All are considered to have limited research potential, and thus, do not appear to be potentially eligible for listing in the NRHP.

Historical/architectural field survey resulted in the identification and evaluation of eight (8CI400, -401, -458, -459, -460, -461, -487, -938) previously recorded and 17 (8CI1092 through -1108) newly recorded historic resources, one of which is a cemetery. The standing structures include residential and commercial properties constructed during the 1920s through 1950s. The architectural styles include Mediterranean Revival, Frame Vernacular, Masonry Vernacular and Bungalow. None of the buildings is distinguished by their architectural features, nor are they known to be associated with significant events or with the lives of persons significant in the past. By these criteria, none appears eligible for listing in the NRHP, either individually or as part of a historic district. The cemetery also is not considered NRHP eligible.

Thus, the US 19 improvement project will have no involvement with any cultural resources, including archaeological sites and historic structures, which are listed, determined eligible, or considered potentially eligible for listing in the NRHP.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>Section</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Page</u>
	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	i
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	iii
	LIST OF FIGURES AND TABLES	v
1	INTRODUCTION	1-1
	1.1 Purpose	1-1
	1.2 Project Description	1-2
2	ENVIRONMENTAL SETTING	2-1
3	PREHISTORIC REVIEW	3-1
	3.1 Paleo-Indian	3-1
	3.2 Archaic	3-3
	3.3 Formative	3-7
	3.4 Mississippian/Acculturative	3-10
4	HISTORICAL OVERVIEW	4-1
5	RESEARCH CONSIDERATIONS AND METHODS	
	5.1 Background Research and Literature Review	5-1
	5.2 Field Methodology	5-6
	5.3 Laboratory Methods and Curation	5-8
	5.4 Unexpected Discoveries	5-8
6	SURVEY RESULTS	6-1
	6.1 Archaeological	6-1
	6.2 Historical	6-11
7	CONCLUSIONS AND SITE EVALUATIONS	7-1
	7.1 Archaeological	7-1
	7.2 Historical	7-2

TABLE OF CONTENTS
(continued)

<u>Section</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Page</u>
8	REFERENCES CITED	8-1
	8.1 Archaeological	8-1
	8.2 Historical	8-12
	APPENDICES	
	Appendix A - Florida Site File (FSF) Forms	
	Appendix B - Survey Log Sheet	

LIST OF FIGURES AND TABLES

<u>Figure</u>		<u>Follows Page</u>
1.1	Project Location Map	1-1
3.1	Florida Archaeological Regions	3-1
5.1a	US19(SR55)Zones of Archaeological Probability	5-5
5.1b	US19(SR55)Zones of Archaeological Probability	5-5
5.1c	US19(SR55)Zones of Archaeological Probability	5-5
5.1d	US19(SR55)Zones of Archaeological Probability	5-5
6.1a	Location of Previously and Newly Recorded Archaeological Sites and Historic Structures.	6-1
6.1b	Location of Previously and Newly Recorded Archaeological Sites and Historic Structures.	6-1
6.1c	Location of Previously and Newly Recorded Archaeological Sites and Historic Structures.	6-1
6.1d.	Location of Previously and Newly Recorded Archaeological Sites and Historic Structures.	6-1
<u>Table</u>		<u>Follows Page</u>
3.1	Culture Periods in Florida	3-1
5.1	Previously Recorded Archaeological Sites	5-2
6.1	Previously and Newly Recorded Historic Resources within the US 19 (SR 55) PD&E Study APE	6-11

SECTION 1

INTRODUCTION

The FDOT conducted a PD&E Study for improvement alternatives along US 19 (SR 55) from south of US 98 (milepost 1.730) to North Dunnellon Road (CR 488) (milepost 20.742) in Citrus County, Florida. The project location map (Figure 1-1) illustrates the location and limits of the PD&E Study.

This report documents the results of the cultural resource assessment survey (CRAS) component of the PD&E Study. The CRAS documented in this report was performed for the roadway alignment alternatives, and does not include proposed stormwater retention/mitigation areas. These areas will be surveyed during the future design of individual US 19 segments.

The purpose of the CRAS was to locate, identify, and bound any prehistoric and historic period archaeological sites and historic structures associated with this project, and to assess their significance in terms of eligibility for listing in the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP) according to the criteria set forth in 36 CFR Section 60.4. The historical/architectural and archaeological surveys were conducted in December 2001 and January 2002. Field surveys were preceded by background research. Such work served to provide an informed set of expectations concerning the kinds of cultural resources which might be anticipated to occur with the project's area of potential effect (APE), as well as a basis for evaluating any new sites discovered. The APE for the archaeological and historical/architectural surveys included all alternative alignments extending from just south of US 98 at the south to just north of CR 488 at the north. The east and west boundaries of the APE include all land facing both sides of US 19.

This survey was initiated in order to comply with Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966 (Public Law 89-665), as amended, and the implementing regulations 36 CFR 800 (revised May 1999), as well as the provisions contained within

the revised Chapter 267, Florida Statutes. All work was carried out in conformity with Part 2, Chapter 12 (“Archaeological and Historical Resources”) of the Florida Department of Transportation’s Project Development and Environment Manual (revised January 1999), and the standards contained in “The Historic Preservation Compliance Review Program of the Florida Department of State, Division of Historical Resource” Manual (revised November 1990).

1.1 PURPOSE

The purpose of the PD&E Study was to provide documented environmental and engineering analyses to assist the FDOT and Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) in reaching a decision on the type, location and conceptual design of the necessary improvements, in order to accommodate future traffic demand in a safe and efficient manner. The PD&E Study also satisfied the requirements of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) and other Federal requirements in order to qualify the project for federal-aid funding of future development phases of the project.

This Study documents the need for the improvements, and presents the procedures utilized to develop and evaluate various improvement alternatives. Information relating to the engineering and environmental characteristics essential for alternatives and analytical decisions was collected. Design criteria have been established and preliminary alternatives have been developed. The comparison of alternatives was based on a variety of parameters utilizing a matrix format. This process identified the alternative that would have minimal impacts, while providing the necessary improvements. **The design year for the analysis is 2025.**

1.2 PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The PD&E Study limits encompass the portion of US 19 from south of US 98 to North Dunnellon Road (CR 488) in western Citrus County (Sections 1, 12, 13, 24, and 25 of

Township 20 South, Range 17 East; Sections 3, 10, 15, 22, 26, 27, 34, and 35 of Township 19 South, Range 17 East; Sections 5, 6, 8, 17, 20, 21, 22, 27, 28, and 34 of Township 18 South, Range 17 East; Sections 30 and 31 of Township 17 South, Range 17 East; and Section 25 of Township 17 South, Range 16 East). The total length of the Study is approximately 18.8 miles (mi). US 19 is primarily a north/south rural principal arterial which follows the West Coast of Florida. Within the project limits, US 19 is part of the National Highway System (NHS) and the Florida Intrastate Highway System (FIHS). The facility serves as a major evacuation route for residents in Citrus County.

For the purposes of evaluating improvement alternatives, the project was divided into six segments based on the existing and future land use, projected traffic volumes for the design year 2025, existing typical sections and available existing right-of-way (ROW). The project segments are identified as follows:

Segment 1: South of US 98 to West Green Acres Street; 4.86 mi

Segment 2: West Green Acres Street to West Jump Court; 2.07 mi

Segment 3: West Jump Court to West Fort Island Trail (CR 44); 4.65 mi

Segment 4: West Fort Island Trail (CR 44) to NE 1st Terrace; 0.86 mi

Segment 5: NE 1st Terrace to Turkey Oak Drive; 2.05 mi

Segment 6: Turkey Oak Drive to North Dunnellon Road (CR 488); 4.31 mi

SECTION 2

ENVIRONMENTAL SETTING

The US 19 project corridor, from just south of US 98 to CR 488 in Citrus County, is located in Township 20 South, Range 17 East, Sections 1, 12, 13, 24, and 25; Township 19 South, Range 17 East, Sections 3, 10, 15, 22, 26, 27, 34, and 35; Township 18 South, Range 17 East, Sections 5, 6, 8, 17, 20, 21, 22, 27, 28, and 34; Township 17 South, Range 17 East, Sections 30 and 31; and Township 17 South, Range 16 East, Section 25 (USGS Chassahowitzka, Fla. 1954, PI 1988; Homosassa, Fla. 1954, PR 1988; Crystal River, Fla. 1954, PR 1988; and Red Level, Fla. 1954, PR 1988, MR 1992). The land along the project corridor is characterized predominantly by mixed commercial and residential development. In addition, the vicinity includes large areas of undeveloped land, as contained within the Chassahowitzka National Wildlife Refuge as well as Homosassa Springs State Wildlife Park and Wildlife Management Area. The corridor passes through the communities of Chassahowitzka, Homosassa, and Crystal River.

Environmental factors such as geology, topography, relative elevation, soils, vegetation, and water resources are important in determining where prehistoric and historic period archaeological sites are likely to be located. These variables influenced what types of resources were available for utilization in a given area. This, in turn, influenced decisions regarding settlement location and land-use patterns. Because of the influence of the local environmental factors upon the aboriginal inhabitants, a discussion of the effective environment is included.

Geologically, the project corridor is located within the Gulf Coastal Lowlands and Coastal Swamps physiographic region (Vernon 1951; White 1970). The Gulf Coastal Lowlands are composed of sands and clayey sands overlying limestone and/or dolomite. Limestones of the Ocala Formation underline the general project area, from Crystal River south to the Chassahowitzka River and east to Homosassa Springs (Vernon 1951:164). The Ocala limestone is covered irregularly by the Suwannee limestone, the Alachua

formation and clastics of the Pleistocene epoch. “A thin section of the formation is preserved in a shallow basin at the town of Crystal River, and the springs that combine to form rise from this limestone” (Vernon 1951:164).

The Coastal Swamps are situated along the coast with elevations generally less than ten feet (USDA 1988). Along the project corridor, the elevations range from five to ten feet above mean sea level (AMSL). Four rivers in Citrus County are located proximate to the US 19 project corridor. These rivers, the Homosassa, Halls, Chassahowitzka, and Crystal, originate from springs in Citrus County (USDA 1988:6). They eventually make their way to the Gulf of Mexico, located about five miles west of the project corridor. Other freshwater sources near the US 19 project corridor include various creeks (Miller, Baird, Potter, and Salt Creeks), King’s Bay, swamps, ponds, lakes, and seasonal depressions.

From the beginning of the project corridor at US 98 north to the area of CR 490, the soils are a mix of poorly drained/somewhat poorly drained (Adamsville, Basinger, EauGallie, and Myakka) to moderately well-drained (Tavares) types. Tavares, the only well-drained soil along the corridor, is typically found on knolls and ridges throughout Citrus County. Adamsville, EauGallie, and Myakka fine sands are found in the flatwoods areas along this section of the corridor. Basinger fine sands occupy the poorly defined drainageways and sloughs. From CR 490 north towards Halls River, the corridor is dominated by poorly to very poorly drained soils. Basinger and EauGallie fine sands are characteristic, as well as Quartzipsamments, Boca fine sand, Okeelanta-Lauderhill-Terra Ceia muck, EauGallie fine sand (depressional), and the Myakka Limestone Substratum-EauGallie Limestone Substratum Complex. Boca fine sand and the Myakka Limestone Substratum-EauGallie Limestone Substratum Complex are found on low broad areas of the flatwoods. Characteristic of the depressions and drainageways along this segment of the corridor are Okeelanta-Lauderhill-Terra Ceia muck and EauGallie fine sand (depressional). Areas of quartzipsamments indicate where soils have been reworked and reshaped by earth moving equipment.

From the vicinity of Halls River to the northern terminus of the project area at CR 488, the poorly drained soils continue to predominate. These include all the soil types previously discussed, in addition to Okeelanta muck, Basinger fine sand (depressional), and Boca fine sand (depressional) which occupy depressions and freshwater coastal swamps. Other local soil types include Immokalee, Citronelle, Redlevel, and Broward fine sands, and Matlacha Limestone substratum/Urban Land Complex. The latter, as well as "Pits," are areas that have been mined and/or filled with other soils and materials.

The vegetation communities along the corridor include the South Florida Flatwoods, Freshwater Marshes and Ponds, Long Leaf Pine/Turkey Oak Hills, Cabbage Palm Flatwoods, Slough, and Cabbage Palm Hammock (USDA 1988). The South Florida and cabbage palm Flatwoods are similar. Characteristic vegetation includes scattered pines and cabbage palms with an understory of grasses and palmetto. The cabbage palm flatwoods have a greater percentage of palms and herbaceous plants. The dense stands of cabbage palm with scattered oaks are often seen as islands within the South Florida flatwoods. The freshwater marshes, ponds, and sloughs are generally characterized by open expanses of grasses, sedges, and rushes, plus other herbaceous plants. This vegetative regime is associated with the poorly drained, depressional soils and ones saturated during the rainy season. The longleaf pine/turkey oak community is associated with the better drained soils.

Large tracts of land, mostly outside of the APE, have remained undeveloped and have retained some of the natural vegetation. However, much of the native vegetation within the APE has been removed as a result of development, including buried fiber optic cables, utility lines, culverts, electrical lines, ditches, billboards and other signage, and access roads for residential communities.

Paleoenvironmental Considerations: The prehistoric environment of Citrus County and the surrounding area was different from that which is seen today. Sea levels were much lower, the climate was drier, and potable water was scarce. Dunbar (1981:95) notes that

due to the arid conditions during the period 16,5000 to 12,500 B.P., “the perched water aquifer and potable water supplies were absent.” Palynological studies conducted in Florida and Georgia suggest that between 13,000 and 5,000 years ago, this area was covered with an upland vegetation community of scrub oak and prairie (Watts 1969, 1971, 1975). The rise of sea levels severely reduced xeric habitats over the next several millennia.

By 5,000 years ago, the mid-Holocene hypsithermal, a climatic event marking a brief return to the Pleistocene climatic conditions, induced a change towards more open vegetation. Southern pine forests replaced the oak savannahs. Extensive marshes and swamps developed along the coasts and subtropical hardwood forests became established along the southern tip of Florida (Delcourt and Delcourt 1981). Northern Florida saw an increase in oak species, grasses and sedges (Carbone 1983). At Lake Annie, in south-central Florida, pollen cores are dominated by wax myrtle and pine. The assemblage suggests that by this time a forest dominated by longleaf pine, along with cypress swamps and bayheads, existed in the area (Watts 1971, 1975). By about 3,500 B.C., surface water was plentiful in karst terrains and the level of the Florida aquifer rose to five feet above present levels. After this time, modern floral and climatic and environmental conditions began to be established (Watts 1975).

SECTION 3

PREHISTORIC REVIEW

A discussion of the regional prehistory or culture history of a given area is presented here to provide a framework within which to examine the local archaeological resources. Aboriginal populations have inhabited Florida for at least 14,000 years. The earliest cultural stages are fairly similar throughout the Southeast. Cultural regionalism began to develop approximately 4000 years ago with the advent of fired clay pottery and was evident by 500 B.C.

In general, archaeologists summarize the prehistory of a given area (i.e. an archaeological region) by outlining the sequence of archaeological cultures through time. These cultures are defined largely in geographical terms, but also reflect shared environmental and cultural factors. The US 19 project corridor is located within the North Peninsular Gulf Coast archeological region as defined by Milanich and Fairbanks (1980:22). This area extends from Pasco County northward to the Apalachee Bay region (Figure 3.1). Within this zone, Milanich and Fairbanks (1980) and, more recently, Milanich (1994) have defined the Paleo-Indian, Archaic, Formative, and Mississippian/Acculturative stages on the basis of unique sets of material culture traits such as characteristic stone tool forms and ceramics, as well as subsistence, settlement, and burial patterns (Table 3.1). These broad temporal units are further subdivided into culture periods or phases: Paleo-Indian, Archaic (Early, Middle, and Late), Orange, Florida Transitional, Deptford, Weeden Island-related (Formative), and Safety Harbor (Mississippian/Acculturative). The historic aboriginal culture period is Seminole. A brief summary of these periods follows.

3.1 PALEO-INDIAN

The Paleo-Indian stage is the earliest cultural manifestation in Florida (12,000-7500 B.C.) (Milanich 1994). Archaeological evidence for Paleo-Indians consists primarily of scattered finds of diagnostic lanceolate projectile points. The Florida peninsula at this

time was quite different than today. The climate was cooler and drier. Vegetation was typified by xerophytic species with scrub oak, pine, open grassy prairies, and savannas being the most common (Milanich 1994:40). Since sea levels were as much as 15 feet below present levels, it is probable that many of the sites dating from this time period have been inundated (Ruppé 1980; Scholl et al. 1969). Thus, Paleo-Indian sites may exist below the waters of the Gulf of Mexico and off the Atlantic coast (Clausen et al. 1979; Ruppé 1980). Evidence for this includes sites that were discovered as a result of dredging activities in the Gulf (Karklins 1970).

Archaeologists hypothesize that Paleo-Indians lived in migratory bands and subsisted by hunting and gathering, including now-extinct Pleistocene megafauna. Since the climate was cooler and much drier, it is likely that these nomadic bands traveled between permanent and semi-permanent sources of water, exploiting seasonally available resources. This has been referred to as the Oasis hypothesis (Dunbar 1991). These watering holes would have attracted the animals which the Indians hunted, thus providing food and drink.

Excavations at the Harney Flats Site in Hillsborough County (8HI507) have provided a rich body of data concerning Paleo-Indian lifeways (Daniel and Wisenbaker 1987). Daniel and Wisenbaker (1987:175) have suggested that Paleo-Indian settlement may “not have been related as much to seasonal changes as generally postulated for the succeeding Archaic period,” but instead movement was perhaps related to the scheduling of “tool-kit replacement, social needs, and the availability of water,” among other factors. During the late Paleo-Indian period, the large lanceolate Suwannee and Simpson points were replaced by the smaller Tallahassee, Santa Fe, and Beaver Lake types (Milanich 1994:53).

A few Paleo-Indian camp sites have been found in North Central Florida or along the North Peninsula Gulf Coast. These are concentrated along the Ocala Lime Rock Ridge (Dunbar and Waller 1983). River crossings, sink holes, spring caverns or other karst

features are the most common site locations. Sites containing Paleo-Indian points and the bones of now extinct mammals have been found at the bottom of the Withlacoochee River at the Marion/Citrus County line, at Silver Springs, and at Silver Glen Springs, among other localities (Neill 1964). Paleo-Indian land sites are rare because they are often deeply buried and, thus, are not easily detected. In Citrus County, only four sites have been recorded as having a Paleo-Indian component (Ellis *et al.* 1995:18). One of these sites, 8CI208, is located ¼ mile west of the project corridor along Crystal River.

3.2 ARCHAIC

As the Paleo-Indian period gradually came to a close, climatic changes occurred, and the Pleistocene megafauna died out. Archaeological evidence suggests a slow cultural change which led toward an increasingly intensive exploitation of localized food resources. These changes may reflect a transition from the late Pleistocene to a more seasonal, modern climate when the pine-dominated forest began to cover the landscapes. With loss of the Ice Age mammals, Archaic populations turned to the hunting of smaller game like deer, raccoon, and opossum, as well as reliance on wild plants and shellfish, where available.

The Archaic stage (7500-500 B.C.) has been divided into three periods: Early Archaic (7500-5000 B.C.), Middle Archaic (5000-3000 B.C.), and Late Archaic (3000-500 B.C.) (Milanich 1994). Some researchers (Bullen 1959, 1972, 1975) separate the Orange (2000-1000 B.C.) and the Transitional (1200-500 B.C.) periods from the Late Archaic. Milanich (1994:35) however, suggests that even with the advent of fired clay pottery, the basic lifestyles of the aboriginal occupations of the Late Archaic remained relatively unchanged.

The beginning of the Archaic is denoted by interrelated environmental and cultural changes. The environmental changes associated with the end of the Pleistocene necessitated modification of the extant prehistoric settlement patterns and subsistence

strategies. Whereas the Paleo-Indians depended more heavily upon Pleistocene megafauna and the relatively limited number of freshwater sources, Archaic populations hunted smaller game and learned to exploit their environment more effectively. These adaptive changes resulted in an increase in the number and types of archaeological sites, such as marine and freshwater shell middens. The effects of the changing environment can be seen in the variation in site locations. Though Early Archaic materials are often found in association with Paleo-Indian deposits, especially around water sources, other Early Archaic sites are located in areas devoid of Paleo-Indian components.

Early Archaic sites are usually recognized by the presence of Dalton and/or Bolen points as well as the Kirk varieties. Milanich (1994:64) notes that there are no well documented Early Archaic coastal or riverine shell midden sites. This may be due to sea level rise as opposed to avoidance of these areas. The lithic tool assemblage has a wider variety of tool types than during the previous time period. Early Archaic populations continued to locate their sites around available water sources. However, as water sources became more numerous, larger populations could be sustained. This resulted in larger sites that were occupied for longer periods of time. Two sites (8CI208 and 8CI209) containing an Early Archaic component are located near the project corridor (FSF).

During the Middle Archaic, wetter conditions prevailed. Sea levels began to rise and pine forests and swamps began to emerge. The climate changed to one of more pronounced seasonality. Settlement was increasingly focused within coastal and riverine locales (Milanich 1994:64). Subsistence was based on hunting, fishing, shellfish collecting, and plant gathering. The previously proposed theory that Archaic populations practiced a seasonal migration pattern between the interior and the coast has also been called into question by recent investigations. Evidence from Horr's Island, located on the southwest Florida coast, indicates that this Middle Archaic site was occupied during all seasons of the year (Russo 1991).

Milanich (1994:81) suggests that Early and Middle Archaic peoples used aquatic environments for burial. The Early Archaic Windover Site, located near Titusville, contained primary and flexed burials within a peat pond. These were held in place with wooden stakes and the interments included grave goods such as textiles and worked bone, shell, and wood (Doran and Dickel 1988). The Gauthier cemetery was situated within a slough between a pond and Lake Poinsett. These burials were also primary and flexed (Carr and Jones 1981; Sigler-Eisenberg 1984). Underwater interments have also been recovered from the Middle Archaic Bay West Site near Naples and at the Republic Groves Site in Hardee County (Beriault *et al.* 1981; Wharton *et al.* 1981). These burial sites, like Windover, had an adjacent land site evidenced by a midden. Burials within freshwater shell midden deposits have been identified at the Tick Island Site within the St. Johns River basin.

Middle Archaic sites are often characterized by large stemmed projectile points, especially the Newnan type. Other point types include Hillsborough, Levy, Putnam, Alachua, and Marion (Bullen 1975). In addition, silicified coral was more prevalent as a raw material for lithic tools (Milanich 1994), and thermal alteration of the stone became more common (Ste. Claire 1987).

The Middle Archaic sites recorded throughout the state include large base camps, smaller special-use campsites, quarries, and burial areas. The most common sites are the smaller campsites which were most likely used for hunting or served as special use extractive sites for such activities as gathering nuts or other botanical materials. Nut collecting stations would have been used seasonally. Aboriginal populations mined the stone for their tools at quarry sites. They usually roughly shaped the item prior to transporting to another locale for finishing. Base camps are defined by the larger artifact assemblage and wider variety of tool forms present. The Chassahowitzka Swamp I Site (8CI891) and the Scrub Oak Site (8CI134), both very near the project corridor, have Middle Archaic components (Ellis *et al.* 1995; ACI 2000).

By about 2000 B.C. the firing of clay pottery made its appearance in Florida. The first ceramic types had fibers (Spanish moss or palmetto) as the tempering agents within the clay. These wares are referred to as the Orange or Norwood series. The ceramics lacked decoration until about 1650 B.C. when they were decorated with geometric designs and punctations. The projectile points used by the Late Archaic populations were virtually the same as those utilized during the Middle Archaic period with the inclusion of the Clay, Culbreath, and Lafayette stemmed and corner-notched varieties.

Milanich (1994:86-87) indicates that there is little difference between Middle and Late Archaic populations except that there are more Late Archaic sites and the density of sites is higher. The Late Archaic settlements were primarily located near wetland locales. The abundance of resources located in and near wetlands permitted large settlements.

This change in settlement patterns may be related to environmental changes. By the end of the Middle Archaic, the climate had become like that of today. The vegetation changed from species which preferred moist conditions to pines and mixed forests (Watts and Hansen 1988) and sea levels rose, inundating coastal Archaic sites. The adaptation to this environment allowed for a wider variety of resources to be exploited and a wider variation in settlement patterns. No longer was site location tied to the proximity of scarce waterholes. Shellfish, fish and other food sources were now available from coastal and freshwater wetlands resulting in an increased population size. Three sites, 8CI866, 8CI890, and 8CI891, situated west and southwest of the southern project area and near the Chassahowitzka River/swamp area, all have a Late Archaic component (FSF).

During the Transitional stage of the Late Archaic, regional differences in cultural adaptation developed. For example, along Florida's west coast, sand was mixed with fibers as a tempering agent, whereas the manufacture of a temperless paste characterized the St. Johns area, and limestone tempered ceramics dominated the general Citrus/Hernando/Pasco County area. Nonetheless, because the basic Archaic settlement and subsistence patterns were being followed, Bullen (1959, 1965) suggests that there was a

diffusion of cultural traits as a result of the movement of small groups. Among the sites close to the project corridor which date to this period are the Northeast Tiger Tail Bay Site (8CI48) and the Bicentennial Park North Site (8CI923) (Weisman and Newman 1993; Ellis *et al.* 1995).

3.3 FORMATIVE

The Formative stage in the North Peninsula Gulf Coast archaeological region is comprised of the Deptford and Weeden Island-related periods, circa 500 B.C. to A.D. 900. The Deptford period (500 B.C. to A.D. 200) has been well documented as a coastal culture along the Gulf and Atlantic shorelines. The sites tend to be located in live oak-magnolia hammocks immediately adjacent to saltwater marshes. Some sites in the latter environment have been inundated by the rising sea level. Smaller inland sites, probably for hunting, are also known, but less well-understood. Deptford subsistence strategies were based on hunting and gathering with an emphasis on the coastal resources. Coastal sites, often located near saltwater marshes, are easily identified by the presence of shell middens. Archaeologists believe the Deptford people spent most of the year along the lagoons and salt marshes. Seasonally, small groups may have moved inland and up the rivers to exploit the riverine and hammock resources (Milanich and Fairbanks 1980:72).

Deptford pottery is easily identified and is characterized by linear patterns of small rectangles or squares on the outside of pots. Simple stamp, linear check stamp, and check stamp patterns were applied by pressing a carved wooden paddle into the moist clay prior to firing. Other pottery was decorated by wrapping the wooden paddle with a cord and pressing it into the moist clay. Spanish moss was replaced by better tempering agents such as sand and grit.

Some archaeologists believe maize horticulture was probably introduced to the Deptford people by about 200 B.C. (Milanich 1974:64). The beginning of food production ushered in a more complex culture. Burial mounds and other ceremonial mounds were

constructed. There is some evidence that around 200 A.D., soils better suited to cultivation were sought inland by the expanding Deptford populations (Kohler 1991). The Crystal River Site (8CI01) in Citrus County as well as 8CI38, 8CI39, and 8CI48 have components which date from this time period. All are near the project corridor.

Milanich's comments concerning small, non-coastal Deptford sites in northwest Florida may also be relevant to the North Peninsula Gulf Coast archaeological region.

Although the Deptford peoples occupied locales along the coasts, the presence of inland sites suggests occasional movements into the interior forests. Some Deptford populations might also have occupied inland locations year-round.

Whether the small, noncoastal Deptford sites in northwest Florida were occupied during specific times of the year is unknown. The size of the inland sites relative to the coastal villages suggests short-term occupations by small groups. It is conceivable that excursions by canoe were made up the river valleys to harvest nuts, berries, and other non-marine resources during the late summer and early fall for winter use on the coast. Also in the fall deer congregate in the coastal-plain hardwood hammocks, since that is both the rutting and the acorn season. As deer are most successfully hunted at this time, the interior sites could be the remains of hunting camps (1994:120).

The Weeden Island-related period (A.D. 200 - 900) evolved out of the preceding Deptford period. Ceremonialism and its expressions, such as the construction of complex burial mounds containing exotic and elaborate grave offerings, reached their greatest development during this time period. Similarly, the subsistence economy, divided between maritime and terrestrial animals and perhaps horticultural products, represents the maximum effective adjustment to the environment. In general, Weeden Island period

sites are found along the coast, on bay shores, or on streams, and nearly all are marked by shell refuse with burial mounds of sand situated near the middens (Willey 1949).

Many Weeden Island sites consist of villages and associated mounds, as well as ceremonial/burial mound sites. Artifact assemblages are distinguished by the presence of Weeden Island ceramic types. These are among some of the finest ceramics in the Southeast; they are often thin, well-fired, burnished, and decorated with incising, punctation, complicated stamping, and animal effigies (Milanich 1994:211). Coastal sites are marked by the presence of shell middens, indicating a continued pattern of exploitation of marine and estuarine resources. Interaction between the inland farmer/gatherers and coastal hunter/gatherers may have developed into mutually beneficial exchange systems (Kohler 1991:98). This could account for the presence of non-locally made ceramics at some of the Weeden Island period sites. There is no definitive evidence for horticulture (e.g., charred cobs, kernels, or beans) in this coastal area (Milanich 1994:215).

In the North Peninsula Gulf Coast archaeological region, sites from the Weeden Island-related period are often described as “Weeden Island Related” because Weeden Island ceramics are not the dominant wares. There is a higher percentage of plain ceramics as well as an increased prevalence of St. Johns series of ceramics. Weeden Island sites have been identified both on the coast and in proximity to the more productive agricultural soils of the inland areas of the region (Kohler and Johnson 1986). For example, sites dating to the late Weeden Island period in the North Peninsula Gulf Coast region may contain both cord-marked and check-stamped ceramics, the latter in small quantities ca. A.D. 700 (Milanich 1994:163). Several sites dating to this period or that have a component dating to this period are located near the project corridor, including the famous Crystal River Site (Weisman 1987) as well as the Duval Island Site (8CI5) located near Floral City (Mitchem and Weisman 1987).

3.4 MISSISSIPPIAN/ACCULTURATIVE

The final aboriginal cultural manifestation in the North Peninsula Gulf Coast archaeological region is Safety Harbor, named for the type site in Pinellas County. Archaeologists believe that over time, the Weeden Island related cultures evolved into another culture, the Safety Harbor (A.D. 900-1725), which is divided into four phases: Englewood (A.D. 900-1100), Pinellas (A.D. 1100-1500), Tatham (A.D. 1500-1567), and Bayview (A.D. 1567-1725) (Mitchem 1989). The first two phases are precolumbian. These temporal divisions are based upon radiocarbon dates associated with certain ceramic types during the precolumbian phases and datable European artifacts during the colonial phases. The project area is within the Northern Safety Harbor region.

As with the preceding Weeden Island period, the utilitarian village wares tend to be devoid of decoration. Pasco Plain is the most common type recovered from village and camp sites (Milanich 1994:392). Sand-tempered Plain, St. Johns Plain, St. Johns Check Stamped, and cord marked pottery are also recovered from these sites. It is, however, the decorated ceramics recovered from burial mounds that allow for easy dating of a site. The projectile points most commonly associated with this period are the Pinellas, Ichetucknee, and Tampa varieties. The other tool types are basically the same as during the previous periods.

Most settlements, including the residential sites and isolated burial mounds, are dispersed (Milanich 1994:392). Sites within this Northern Safety Harbor region tend to be located along the coast, as evidenced by oyster shell middens, and within the Cove of the Withlacoochee, as evidenced by freshwater shell middens. The relationship between the coastal and interior Safety Harbor sites is poorly understood. In the circum-Tampa Bay area, the sites tend to be nucleated villages with associated mounds. There is a possibility that the Crystal River Site may reflect this more southern settlement pattern.

The subsistence economy of the Safety Harbor people remained basically the same as the preceding Weeden Island period. Exploitation focused on maritime and riverine resources. However, evidence for horticulture has been recovered within the Cove of the Withlacoochee (Mitchem 1989:588), but not within the coastal areas. Evidence to date suggests that extensive agricultural pursuits were not an important factor in the diet as was the case with the Mississippian chiefdoms (Fort Walton culture) of northern Florida. This is not to say, however, that influences from the northern areas were limited. The evolution of the socio-political system and the influences of the Southeastern Ceremonial Complex can be seen in the burial practices and grave offerings placed in the mounds. Near the project corridor are two burial mounds (8CI02 and 8CI51) which have a Safety Harbor component. Four other sites, two artifact scatters (8CI412 and 8CI1070) and two shell middens (8CI37 and 8CI38), near the project corridor, also have components that date to this time.

The Timucuan Indians are the historic counterparts of the Safety Harbor people. With the arrival of the Panfilo de Narvaez expedition in 1528 and Hernando de Soto in 1539 the Native American cultures came into contact with direct and indirect European influences. The de Soto expedition headed north from Tampa Bay and passed through several towns on its way to Apalachee. These included one near Dade City (Plain of Guancozo), one near Lacochee (Luca), one reported to be near Istachatta (Vicela), and one reported on Duval Island (Tocaste) at the southern end of Lake Tsala Apopka (Milanich 1994:77). Spanish influence and contact are indicated by the presence of European objects, especially beads, at a number of different sites in this region. The presence of cut marks on bones that could only be the result of metal swords and knives also reflected the European presence. The introduction of European diseases, warfare, and the general disruption of their cultural system resulted in the demise of these aboriginal populations.

3.5 SEMINOLE

By A.D. 1700, the indigenous populations were almost decimated and Creek Indians, forced out of Alabama and Georgia by the British, moved into North Central Florida. These Indians became known as the Seminoles. The first Seminole settlements were located in the scattered oak-hickory uplands and on the Brooksville Ridge (Weisman 1989). Their dispersed villages were situated within the reservation established by the 1823 Treaty of Moultrie Creek. By the early 1830's government policy shifted in favor of deportation of the Seminole to lands west of the Mississippi. Outrage at this policy of forced relocation led to the Second Seminole War of 1835 to 1842. Following this conflict, the Seminoles who remained in Florida were driven even further south, thus clearing the way for white homesteaders.

Archaeologically, Seminole sites are identified primarily by the presence of brushed ceramics. The sites tend to be small, and have a low artifact density. Several Seminole sites have been identified within the Cove of the Withlacoochee area (Weisman 1989). The Hart Pond Site in Citrus County, situated several miles east of the project corridor, has a component that dates to the Seminole period.

SECTION 4

HISTORICAL OVERVIEW

The cultural traditions of the native Floridians ended with the advent of European expeditions to the New World. The initial events, authorized by the Spanish crown in the 1500s, ushered in devastating European contact. The first European to have contact with present day Citrus County was Ponce de Leon. Arriving in St. Augustine in 1513, his journals record his exploration of the Gulf Coast of Florida from Charlotte Harbor to Apalachee Bay. Next, Panfilo de Narvaez arrived in the Tampa Bay area in 1528. His party explored northward from Tampa Bay eventually crossing the Withlacoochee River near present day Dunnellon and investigated the mouth of the river in search of the Gulf of Mexico. Finally, Hernando de Soto landed in the Tampa Bay area in 1539; he sought the allegedly rich Indian village of Cale. De Soto's party crossed the Withlacoochee River near Istachatta. The party passed through the area of Floral City and Inverness completing their trek through the county by crossing the Withlacoochee again at Dunnellon and continuing on north and westward (Dunn 1989:13-14). In the project area, Spanish explorers would have encountered Alachuan or Timucuan Indians (Denson et al. 1997:16). By the early 1700s, the native populations were largely wiped out--ravaged by conquest, disease, and the typical effects of European contact.

The area which now constitutes the State of Florida was ceded to England in 1763 after two centuries of Spanish possession. England governed Florida until 1783, when the Treaty of Paris returned Florida to Spain; however, Spanish influence was nominal during this second period of ownership. Prior to the American colonial settlement of Florida, portions of the Creek Nation and remnants of other Indian groups from Alabama, Georgia, and South Carolina moved into Florida and began to repopulate the vacuum created by the dissemination of the aboriginal inhabitants. The Seminoles, as these migrating groups of Indians became known, formed at various times loose confederacies for mutual protection against the new American Nation to the north (Tebeau 1971:72).

The bloody conflict between the Americans and the Seminoles over Florida first came to a head in 1818, and was subsequently known as the First Seminole War. As a result of the war and the Adams-Onis Treaty of 1819, Florida became a United States Territory in 1821. Andrew Jackson, named provisional governor, divided the territory into St. Johns and Escambia Counties. At that time, St. Johns County encompassed all of Florida lying east of the Suwannee River including the area known today as Citrus County. Escambia County included the land lying to the west. The following year, in August of 1822, Citrus County became part of Duval County, and on December 29, 1824 it became part of Alachua County. At its creation, Alachua County included present day Columbia, Hillsborough, Hernando, Marion, Levy, Manatee, Suwannee, Bradford, Baker, DeSoto, Citrus, Pasco, Sarasota, Charlotte, Union, and Gilchrist Counties (Dunn 1989:15).

While Florida was a Territory, a few Europeans settled on the west coast in what would become Citrus County. William Turner, of Pennsylvania Dutch heritage, acquired a Spanish Land Grant and built a house in 1820 at a place he called Cedar Grove (near present-day Red Level).

Even though the First Seminole War was fought in north Florida, the Treaty of Moultrie Creek in 1823 was to affect the settlement of all of south Florida. The Seminoles relinquished their claim to the whole peninsula in return for occupancy of approximately four million acres of reservation south of Ocala and north of Charlotte Harbor (Mahon 1967:46-50). The treaty never satisfied Indians or whites. The inadequacy of the reservation and desperate situation of the Seminoles living there, plus the mounting demand of the whites for their removal, produced another conflict. Ft. King was established as the military administrative center for the coastal area, which included Citrus County.

By 1835, the Second Seminole War was underway. During this war, Fort Cooper was established two miles south-southeast of present day Inverness. At this site, General Scott directed a post of observation to be established, and left Major Cooper with his battalion, amounting to about 350 men and one company of artillery, a field piece and 17 days' provisions (Schuck 1970:10). The men were promised to be relieved in nine days.

Accordingly, Cooper built a blockhouse and picketing overlooking what is today Fort Cooper Lake. During the middle of April, troops set out from Tampa to relieve those at the new Fort Cooper. Although attacked by Seminoles between present day Floral City and Fort Cooper, the troops finally arrived and a battle ensued. The Seminoles eventually retreated, and Fort Cooper was evacuated (Dunn 1989:23).

Federal survey of lands in the project area, then part of Hernando County, began in 1835. In this year, B. and B.J. Clements surveyed the exterior and subdivision lines of Townships 17 and 18 South, Range 17 East. A.W. Warrall resurveyed the exterior lines of both townships in 1844 (DEP Plat 1835). The surveyor's plat map illustrates the Withlacoochee River in the northern part of Township 17 South, Range 17 East. No homesteads, roads or other manmade features are depicted for the sections (30 and 31) within the US 19 study area. Also shown in the plat map of Township 18 South, Range 17 are the original road to Homosassa, which crosses Sections 22, 27, and 34, and the roads to Fort Clinch and Camp Izard. Camp Izard was named after Lt. James F. Izard, the first casualty of an 1836 battle with the Seminoles. (Denson et al. 1997:17). Both Townships 17 and 18 South, Range 17 East were noted to have second and third rate timber, cabbage palm, and saw palmetto. Scattered ponds are illustrated in Township 18 South, Range 17 East (DEP Field Notes Vol. 53:292, 307, 313, 318, 323, 329, 334).

The Second Seminole War lasted until 1842 when the federal government decided to end the conflict by withdrawing troops from Florida. Some of the battle weary Seminoles were persuaded to migrate west where the federal government had set aside land for Native American inhabitation. However, those who were adamant about remaining were allowed to do so, but were pushed further south into the Everglades and Big Cypress Swamp. This area became the last stronghold for the Seminoles (Mahon 1967:321).

Encouraged by the passage of the Armed Occupation Act in 1842, which was designed to promote settlement and protect the Florida frontier, Anglo-American pioneers and their families began to move south through Florida. The Act made available 200,000 acres outside the already developed regions south of Gainesville to the Peace River, barring

coastal lands and those within a two mile radius of a fort. The Armed Occupation Act stipulated that any family or single man over 18 years of age able to bear arms could earn title to 160 acres by erecting a habitable dwelling, cultivating at least five acres of land, and living on it for five years. During the nine month period the law was in effect, 1184 permits were issued totaling some 189,440 acres. Concentrated mostly at Homosassa and Crystal River, at least 61 of these homesteads were granted for land in present day Citrus County (Covington 1961:48; Dunn 1989:24-25). This Act proved so popular that Hernando County, created in 1843, and of which present Citrus County was then a part, changed its name to Benton to honor Missouri Senator Thomas Hart Benton, who had proposed the Act. The county took back the name of Hernando in 1850 when Senator Benton took a pro-Union stand on slavery (Dunn 1989:24).

In 1845, the State of Florida was admitted to the Union, and Tallahassee was selected as the state capital. At this time, the U. S. Government continued land surveys in the project area. Exterior and subdivision lines of Township 19 South, Range 17 East were surveyed in 1844-45 by A. W. Warrall (DEP Plat 1845). Exterior and subdivision lines of Township 20 South, Range 17 East were surveyed by M. Garrison in 1848. Due to a discrepancy, Garrison resurveyed some of the northern section lines in 1850 (DEP Plat 1848). The Homosassa Road, noted on both plats, runs a course similar to that of US 19. At the junction with an unnamed road in Township 19 South, Range 17 East, Homosassa is located and the names of one or two property owners are given. The Chassahowitzka River is shown in Township 20 South, Range 17 East (DEP Plat 1845 and 1848). The environment in both Townships 19 and 20 South is described as 3rd rate timber including blackjack, pine, blackgum, bay, some cypress, and an occasional maple or white ash tree. Cabbage palmetto, gallberry bushes, and a few ponds were also noted (DEP Field Notes Vol. 53:347, 353, 358, 364, 370, 371; Vol. 153:334, 335, 341, 347, 353).

The earliest deeds for lands in Township 19 South, Range 17 East, as contained within the US 19 project area, were recorded between 1846 and 1852. In Section 27, where the Homosassa Road intersects with another road, W.S. Olmsted, John James, William Cooley, Thomas Chase, and Ferdinand O'Connell were deeded lands between 1846 and 1852 (DEP

Tract Book Vol. 15: 6). William Cooley and Thomas Chase were issued land permits under the Armed Occupation Act of 1842 (Dunn 1989:24). The Florida Land and Improvement Company also purchased a 40 acre parcel in Section 27 on February 3, 1883.

Lands in Township 18 South, Range 17 East were originally deeded to individual purchasers as early as 1849. Among those receiving land in Sections 17, 20, and 21 in the area of Crystal River were John A. Biggs and Patrick McFeely. Heirs of James Imrie received deeds in 1849 to land granted Imrie under the Armed Occupation Act of 1842. In 1852, Neil Ferguson bought parts of Section 21 (DEP Tract Book Vol. 14:291). Ferguson was the recipient of a land grant under the Armed Occupation Act of 1842 (Dunn 1989:24).

In December of 1855, the Third Seminole War, or Billy Bowlegs War, began as a result of pressure placed on Native Americans remaining in Florida to move west (Covington 1982). The War started in present day Collier County when Seminole Chief Holatter-Micco, Billy Bowlegs, and 30 warriors attacked an army camp killing four soldiers and wounding four others. The attack was in retaliation for damage done by several artillerymen to banana plants belonging to Billy Bowlegs. This hostile action renewed state and federal interest in the final elimination of the Seminoles from Florida, and several regional military posts were established (Tebeau 1966).

Military action was not decisive during the war; therefore, in 1858 the U.S. Government resorted to monetary persuasion to induce the remaining Seminoles to migrate west. Chief Billy Bowlegs accepted \$5,000 for himself, \$2,500 for his lost cattle, each warrior received \$500, and \$100 was given to each woman and child. On May 4, 1858 the ship *Grey Cloud* set sail from Fort Myers with 38 Seminole warriors and 85 Seminole women and children. Stopping at Egmont Key, 41 captives and a Seminole woman guide were added to the group. This made for a total of 165 Seminoles migrating west. On May 8, 1858, the Third Seminole War was declared officially over (Covington 1982:78-80).

In 1861, Florida followed South Carolina's lead and seceded from the Union in a prelude to the American Civil War. Florida had much at stake in this war, as evidenced in a report

released from Tallahassee in June of 1861. It listed the value of land in Florida's 35 counties as \$35,127,721 and the value of the slaves in the state at \$29,024,513 (Dunn 1989:59). Even though the coast of Florida experienced a naval blockade during the war, the interior of the state saw very little military action. A major contribution of the state to the war effort was to supply beef to the Confederate Government. The war lasted until 1865 when General Robert E. Lee surrendered to General U. S. Grant at Appomattox Courthouse in Virginia. Immediately following the war, the South underwent a period of Reconstruction to prepare the Confederate States for readmission to the Union. The program was administered by the U. S. Congress, and on July 25, 1868 Florida officially returned to the Union (Tebeau 1971: 251). Growth in Citrus County after the Civil War was related to the development of the local citrus, cattle, timbering, and phosphate/mining industries. In the timbering industry, both cedar trees and pine were harvested for lumber; sap from pine trees was collected to be turned into turpentine and other naval stores.

John P. Johns bought land at Cedar Grove after serving in the Confederate Army. He married a woman of Danish heritage, Elsie Peterson, and raised a family of eleven children. When they died in the 1880s, Mr. and Mrs. Johns were buried on their property in the "old Peterson field" at what later became the Woods-Hollins Ranch at Red Level (Dunn 1989:73).

During the Reconstruction period which following the end of the Civil War, Florida's financial crisis, born of pre-war railroad bonded indebtedness, led Governor William Bloxham to search for a buyer for an immense amount of state lands. Bloxham's task was to raise adequate capital in one sale to free from litigation the remainder of state lands for desperately needed revenue. In 1881, Hamilton Disston, a Philadelphia investor and friend of Governor Bloxham, purchased four million acres from the State of Florida in order to clear the state's debt. This transaction, which became known as the Disston Purchase, enabled the distribution of large land subsidies to railroad companies, inducing them to begin extensive construction programs for new lines throughout the state. Hamilton Disston and the railroad companies, in turn sold smaller parcels of land to developers and private investors. Portions of the US 19 project area were included in the Disston Purchase,

including Section 27 of Township 19 South, Range 17 East, and Sections 12, 24 and 25 in Township 20 South, Range 17 East.

In 1885 and 1886, Henry Walker, Lucy Ferren, Zedediah Dawson, and Josiah Vail bought land in Section 13 of Township 20 South, Range 17 East (DEP Tract Book Vol. 15:10). Sections 1, 12, 24 and 25 also were conveyed to individuals during the 1880s (DEP Tract Book Vol. 15:9, 10, 12).

With the exception of a few early deeds, most of Township 17 South, Range 17 East was not settled until the end of the nineteenth century. James Winn became the owner of part of Section 31 in 1884, and Augustus Priest purchased part of Section 30 in 1885 (DEP Tract Book Vol. 14:285).

Moving from south to north, the US 19 PD&E Study corridor passes through the communities of Chassahowitzka, Homosassa Springs, Crystal River, and Red Level. The small community of Chassahowitzka, whose colorful name is derived from a Seminole word meaning “hanging pumpkin” or “pumpkin opening (place)” (Dunn 1989:12-13), was strategically located near the Chassahowitzka River and the end of the old Homosassa Road. This settlement was assigned a post office between 1846 and 1849 (Bradbury and Hallock 1962:15). Although the community never grew residentially or commercially, it acquired facilities to accommodate sport fishermen, including the Chassahowitzka Hotel constructed circa 1860. A grocery store and boat rental business were established in the twentieth century. This latter business also once served as a fish house which bought commercial catches of fish and delivered them to a wholesale market (St. Petersburg Times 1978; Turner-Thompson 2001).

A post office was established in 1845 at Homosassa, one of the earliest settlements in the county (Bradbury and Hallock 1962:39). Because of its proximity to road and water transportation, David Levy Yulee built a sugar mill in the vicinity. In 1851 Yulee completed a six-year term as U.S. Senator and harvested his first crop of sugar cane. During the Civil War, he supplied sugar and molasses to the Confederacy until the mill was destroyed by

Union naval forces in 1864. When the sugar mill was destroyed, Yulee's home on Tiger Tail Island was burned to the ground. While at his 5100 acre sugar plantation, David Yulee maintained orange groves and is credited with developing the Homosassa orange, an early and popular prize-winning fruit (Dunn 1989:28-29).

In 1875, John Neff opened a store in Homosassa that met the needs of a growing number of sportsmen spending time fishing in Citrus County. At the same time, local people netted turtles in coastal waters and delivered them to northern markets. In 1888, John Dunn built a hotel on the bank of the Homosassa River and filled it with tourists and sportsmen arriving by train. Dunn, who had purchased land from Yulee in 1884, is credited with platting the town of Homosassa and establishing Citrus as a separate county in 1887 (Denson *et al.* 1997:27).

By the end of the 1880s, Citrus County was famed as a sportsmen's paradise and Homosassa was well-known as a place for sport-fishing. Grover Cleveland, two-term President of the United States (1884-1888 and 1892-1896), was among those who made the area famous. In 1880, Cleveland built a hunting and fishing lodge on the banks of the Homosassa River. During his presidency, Cleveland brought government officials to the lodge to enjoy recreational fishing (Dunn 1989:76).

Crystal River began as a small trading post and fishing village. In 1857, a post office was established in the community (Bradbury and Hallock 1962:20). During the 1870s and 1880s, a boom in the lumber industry brought growth. By 1884, Crystal River had a population of approximately 200 people, two public schools, eight businesses in the commercial district, and a cedar mill that made cedar slats for pencil making, cedar lining for closets and chifferobes, and cedar oil that was used in soaps and perfumes (Dunn 1989:92). By 1889, the Florida Central and Peninsular Railroad was built from Ocala through Crystal River to Homosassa (Pettengill 1952:53; Dunn 1989:30).

The small community of Red Level, at the northern end of the project, did not develop until the late 1880s. The general area was originally settled as Cedar Grove in 1820. The new

town of Red Level was reportedly named for the red ash which was emitted from the local chemical plant and blanketed the landscape, roads, and homes. A post office was established in 1889 at Red Level and was closed in 1919 (Bradbury and Hallock 1962:70).

With the extension of railroads into Citrus County, commercial fishing became a principal industry. Using ice delivered from Ocala or Crystal River, fresh fish were cold-packed in barrels and transported to areas as far away as New York. The entire business reached a new level after the turn of the twentieth century when fish houses that could process large quantities of fresh fish were built. In 1906, Williams Fish Company and several other fish houses were established in Homosassa. Ice also proved helpful in the development of the shrimp industry. Vast catches of mullet were packed in brine to be sold later (Dunn 1989:83).

Cedar and pine tree harvesting was among the three post-Civil War industries that had the greatest impact on the growth of Citrus County. The lumber industry in Crystal River and Homosassa began in the early 1880's. At first, cedar trees were cut on nearby islands and shipped to markets in other states. Later, a cedar mill was established in Homosassa that turned cedar into slats which were then shipped to the Dixon Pencil Company in Tennessee. In 1920, the slat mill in Homosassa was moved to Crystal River, marking the end of the cedar industry in Homosassa. Logging of cypress and hardwoods, however, continued for another twenty years in the area (Dunn 1989:79).

On June 2, 1887, Hernando County was divided into present day Citrus, Pasco, and Hernando Counties by the Florida State Legislature. Citrus County's temporary county seat was placed at Mannfield, in the center of the county, until a permanent location could be determined. In 1889, Mannfield lost to Inverness in a close public vote, and the county seat was placed permanently there. In May, the Florida Orange Canal and Transit Company donated one square acre of land in Inverness for a courthouse which was built later that year (Mattick 1992: 8-2).

During the late nineteenth century, railroads played a major role in the development of Citrus County, as well as the State of Florida. The Florida Central and Peninsular Railroad (FC&P) was formed by W. Baynard Cutting in 1888. By 1890, the FC&P provided service to Tampa and three years later had constructed a line north to Savannah, Georgia. Also in 1893, the FC&P purchased the Tavares, Orlando and Atlantic and the East Florida and Atlantic railroads. The FC&P was the Plant system's major competitor and by 1893 provided rail access to all major Florida markets, including those in Citrus County, just as the Plant System had (Pettengill 1952:53; Mann 1983:121-122). In 1902 the Plant system merged with the Atlantic Coast Line Railroad (Tebeau 1971:283).

In 1889, hard rock phosphate was discovered in Dunnellon, 17 miles north of Inverness. Phosphate is a main ingredient of fertilizer, and the Florida phosphate industry developed markets worldwide, especially in Europe. The industry boomed until the beginning of World War I when the market in Europe, mainly Germany, was no longer accessible. After the war, soft pebble phosphate was found in the Polk County area, and the industry in Citrus County never recovered (Laurie 1993:8-3; Elliott & Associates 1992:13).

In 1911, the Citrus County Board of County Commissioners adopted a resolution to build a new county courthouse in Inverness. Also during the decade, the Masonic Temple and numerous commercial buildings were constructed in the downtown Inverness area. In 1914, W. T. Baxley moved his Coca-Cola bottling operations from Hernando to Inverness, where, in 1932, he built a new brick plant located along North Apopka Avenue (Elliott & Associates 1992:17).

State Road 15, today's US 19, was completed by 1926 (Kendrick 1964:246). This unimproved road followed the path of earlier military roads.

The Great Depression years began early in Florida with the collapse of the real estate market in 1927. The following decade saw the closing of banks, mines, mills and citrus packing plants, followed by widespread unemployment. By the mid-1930s, federal programs implemented under the Roosevelt administration began employing large numbers of

construction workers helping to revive the economy of Citrus County. The programs were instrumental in the construction of parks, bridges, and public buildings. In Floral City, to the south of the current project, the Works Progress Administration (WPA) constructed a community center building in 1936. The stone used for the building came from abandoned phosphate mines in the area. The WPA also provided for the cleaning of the Orange State Canal (Florida 1993:8-4). In Inverness, the Federal Emergency Administration of Public Works, more commonly known as the Public Works Administration (PWA), provided the means to construct a new high school in 1936. Government funding during the 1930s also paid for the construction of a new city hall in Inverness and the repairing and widening of Main Street (Elliott & Associates 1992:19).

In 1939, Crystal River had a population of 869. The town grew steadily as a fish, oyster, and lumber center (Federal Writers Project 1939:389). In the same year, Homosassa Springs, with a population of 50, was “best known for the hunting lodge constructed here by Dazzy Vance, a retired baseball pitcher” (Federal Writers Project 1939:389). Popular among baseball players, the lodge was the site of a winter baseball school for rookies and youngsters.

In 1943, US Highway 19 was built as the coastal route to St. Petersburg. Also at this time, several connecting roads were paved (Vernon 1951:6-7). Many of the small hamlets of the county grew rapidly after these roads were completed.

World War II finally completed the recovery from the Great Depression. After the war, the population was more mobile and many who had served at Florida’s military bases during the war returned with their families to live. Citrus and cattle were the major agricultural products in the county in 1945. Citrus County remained sparsely populated, with only 6111 residents in 1950 (Vernon 1951:11). While Florida’s population increased 417.3% during the period 1900 to 1950, that of Citrus County grew only 12.9% (Vernon 1951:11). In the early 1950s, Red Level was described as a “small farming and cattle raising settlement,” and the site of dolomite pits; Crystal River, Homosassa Springs, Homosassa, and

Chassahowitzka were seen as “centers of hunting, fishing and recreation for tourists and commercial fishing” (Vernon 1951:6). Some limestone was produced near Crystal River.

In 1993, Citrus County had 100,829 people and ranked 30th among Florida’s counties. While agriculture, recreational sports, and cattle ranching created an economic base for the county during the late nineteenth century and first half of the twentieth century, modern residents work for Florida Power and Light and the Crystal River nuclear power plant, in the retail trade sector, in health services, or in hotels. Only 18% of the county land is in farms (1993), and the leading agricultural products are poultry and hay. Timber is cut for hardwood logs and softwood pulp, and the fish and shellfish industries continue to thrive (Purdum 1994:18). Red Level, Crystal River, Homosassa, and Chassahowitzka grew as residential areas during the last decades of the twentieth century as people became willing to live further from their places of employment, or wanted to retire to a warm climate where they could enjoy year-round recreation.

SECTION 5

RESEARCH CONSIDERATIONS AND METHODS

5.1 BACKGROUND RESEARCH AND LITERATURE REVIEW

A comprehensive review of archaeological and historical literature, records, and other documents and data pertaining to the project area was conducted. The focus of this research was to ascertain the types of cultural resources known in the project area and vicinity, their temporal/cultural affiliations, site location information, and other relevant data. This included a review of sites listed in the NRHP, the Florida Site File (FSF), cultural resource survey reports, published books and articles, unpublished manuscripts, maps, and interviews. In addition to the FSF in the Division of Historical Resources in Tallahassee, other data relative to the historical research were obtained from the Citrus County Property Appraiser's Office, the Citrus County Circuit Court Office, Citrus County Historical Society, Crystal River Historical Society, and the files of Archaeological Consultants, Inc. (ACI). Several informants were also interviewed to collect local historical information. It should be noted that the FSF data in this report were obtained in July 2001 from the FSF. However, according to Dr. Marion Smith, administrator of the FSF, input is typically six months behind receipt of reports and site files.

5.1.1 Archaeological Considerations: For archaeological survey projects of this kind, specific research designs are formulated prior to initiating fieldwork in order to delineate project goals and strategies. Of primary importance is an attempt to understand, on the basis of previous investigations, the spatial distribution of known resources. Such knowledge serves not only to generate an informed set of expectations concerning the kinds of sites which might be anticipated to occur within the project tract, but also provides a valuable regional perspective, and thus, a basis for evaluating any new sites discovered.

A review of the Chassahowitzka, Crystal River, Homosassa, and Red Level quadrangle maps at the FSF indicated that six prehistoric cultural resources (8CI133, -139, -140, -141, -142, and -158) are recorded within the US 19 project APE. The locations of these sites are illustrated in Section 6 (Figure 6.1a-d). In addition, 62 other sites are located within a two mile radius of the project area (Table 5.1). These previously recorded sites include middens and shell middens; burial, platform and domiciliary mounds, plus a mound complex; lithic and artifact scatters; single artifact sites; historic refuse sites; a quarry; and miscellaneous historic period resources (e.g., road segment). Six of the sites are of an unknown type. Many of these sites were recorded as the result of cultural resource surveys conducted during the last 30+ years. Some sites, not recorded during systematic survey projects, have limited information available.

Background research at the Bureau of Archaeological Research indicated that at least 28 surveys or excavations have been conducted in the general vicinity of the US 19 PD&E Study corridor. Five of these surveys (Jones 1978; Browning 1986; ACI 1995a, 1997, and 2000) include parts of the project corridor. In addition to Jones' "informal" survey of a segment of US 19, four surveys of land near the juncture of US 19, CR 480, and US 98 have been conducted. Among these are Browning's 1986 archaeological resource assessment of proposed impacts to US 19 from SR 50 to US 98. As a result, four sites were found, including one (8CI218) which is located about two miles south of the current PD&E Study project area (Browning 1986). In 1995, archaeological survey of a segment of US 98 from the North Suncoast Expressway to US 19, conducted by ACI, resulted in the identification of two sites (8CI133 and 8CI134) previously found by Calvin Jones. These resources were reevaluated, and the boundaries redefined (ACI 1995a). 8CI133 and 8CI134 were investigated again during a survey of additional right-of-way for US 98 (ACI 1997); 8CI134 was further evaluated in 1998 when ACI surveyed proposed pond sites for US 98 and a proposed realignment for a segment of CR 480 (ACI 2000).

A partial cultural resource inventory of Chassahowitzka and Cedar Keys was conducted by Alan Dorian of Florida State University (Dorian 1980). Three of the several sites

recorded are within two miles of the US 19 project corridor. All are lithic scatters. North of the Chassahowitzka Swamp, in the vicinity of Homosassa Springs, 15 archaeological sites have been recorded and reevaluated as the result of several surveys (Deming 1985; Dickel 1989; Williams 1989; Ellis et al. 1995; Weisman and Newman 1993; and Denson et al 1997).

Several miles north of Chassahowitzka, the town of Crystal River and the Crystal River State Reserve have, since the 1970s, been the focus of many cultural resource assessment surveys. In 1978, Chance and Fryman conducted a survey of the 201 wastewater management facilities for the City of Crystal River; no sites were recorded as a result (Chance and Fryman 1978). A year later, the Crystal Cove property, located adjacent (west) to the project corridor, was subjected to an archaeological survey (Kennedy 1979). No new sites were discovered, but several previously recorded resources were reevaluated. In 1984, an in-depth survey of 8CI1, the Crystal River archaeological site located in the Crystal River State Reserve was conducted (Weisman 1984). Over the next 10+ years, many sites in the Crystal River State Reserve, and along the Crystal River, continued to be the focus of archaeological surveys (Jones 1984; Weisman 1990, 1991, 1992; Weisman and Newman 1993, 1995; Ellis et al. 1995).

Near Crystal River, at Paradise Point, a survey of the Ridgeview Apartments tract produced no new sites (Williams 1985). Other surveys in and around Crystal River include the Betz Farm DRI (Deming 1987), Proposed Bridge Replacement for Kings Bay Bridge (Ballo 1988), Archaeological Investigations at 8CI425 and 8CI426 (Browning 1991), and an Archaeological and Historical Survey of Crystal River (Laurie 1992).

In the vicinity of the project terminus at CR 488, seven surveys were carried out. In the mid-1960s, investigations were conducted along the route of the Cross Florida Barge Canal, situated just north of CR 488 (Bullen 1966). Over 50 sites were recorded across the state during this survey, including seven (8CI35-41) in the US 19 project vicinity (Table 5.1). In 1978, Willis conducted an archaeological and historical survey of the

Lake Rousseau to Crystal River Power Plant Water Supply Pipeline. As a result, one site was found (Willis 1978). This resource is not within two miles of the current project corridor.

During a cultural resource assessment of the west leg mainline portion of the proposed Florida Gas Transmission Company expansion project, which runs north/south through Citrus County, paralleling US 19 and crossing the corridor just north of the project terminus, 75 new sites were found and 15 previously recorded sites were reevaluated (Athens et al. 1994). None is near the project corridor. In 1995, archaeological survey of Citrus County (Ellis et al. 1995) resulted in the identification and recording of 137 new sites. Of these, 16 are proximate to the US 19 project corridor. Also in 1995, ACI conducted a survey of a 1.2 mile segment of US 19/98 across the Cross Florida Barge Canal. No new sites were located, but three previously recorded lithic and artifact scatters (8CI66-68) were noted to the east of the Barge Canal project area (ACI 1995b).

Other archaeological surveys in the vicinity include that of the proposed Suncoast Parkway, conducted by Janus Research in 1996 (Janus Research 1996). As a result, 16 new sites were identified. However, none is near the project corridor. This survey covered several miles and continued through most of the county (Janus Research 1996). Also in 1996, ACI surveyed 4800 acres for the Holnam/HCR Limestone Company. This project area, located adjacent and to the northwest of the US 19 corridor, resulted in the discovery of a total 15 prehistoric and historic period archaeological sites. Of these, four are located within three miles of the project area (ACI 1995b).

In summary, most of the previously recorded sites in proximity to the US 19 project corridor are lithic or artifact scatters and shell middens, the latter of which are situated along or near a freshwater source (Table 5.1). Some of these sites evidenced relatively few artifacts and/or thin midden deposits, thus suggesting short term occupations. Other local sites, including the complex of mounds and middens along Crystal River at the Crystal River Archaeological Site (8CI1), evidence long term settlement. The small sites

most likely served as short term extractive sites for the exploitation of the marine resources. The lithic and artifact scatter sites situated in environments similar to that of the US 19 project corridor suggest temporary or episodic use as extractive camps where local, perhaps seasonal, resources were exploited.

On the basis of these data, informed expectations concerning the types of sites expected to occur within the project APE, as well as their likely environmental settings, could be generated. As archaeologists have long realized, aboriginal populations did not select their habitation sites and special activity areas in random fashion. Rather, many environmental factors had a direct influence upon site location selection. Among these variables are soil drainage, distance to freshwater, relative topography, and proximity to food and other resources including stone and clay. On the basis of the aforementioned projects, plus more generalized regional studies and predictive models, it has been repeatedly demonstrated that sites are most often located near a source of potable water, on better drained soils, or on land relatively higher than the surrounding terrain, especially around the upland margins of wetland features.

Given these known patterns of aboriginal settlement, it was anticipated that several small lithic or artifact scatter type sites might be found. Given the relative distance from the major river systems, shell middens and large site complexes with multiple middens and mounds, were not expected. The Zones of Archaeological Probability (ZAPs) are noted in Figures 5.1a-d.

Given the results of the historic research, as detailed in Section 4, historic period archaeological sites, including nineteenth century homesteads, military trails, forts, battle sites, or Seminole Indian encampments, were considered likely. Historic period sites, if found, were anticipated to be small refuse sites, possibly associated with the old military trails which, in part, paralleled the route of US 19.

5.1.2 Historical/Architectural Considerations: Examination of the FSF and NRHP listings indicated that more than 100 historic structures have been recorded within the general project area. These include one NRHP-listed property, the Old City Hall/Coastal Heritage Museum (8CI455) at 523 Citrus Avenue, and one NRHP-eligible property, the Dr. Hudson Office (8CI443) at 564 N. Citrus Avenue. There are other resources which were considered potentially eligible for NRHP listing: the Eubanks-Edwards House (8CI469) at 657 Citrus Avenue, and the Seminole Club (8CI520) at 135 NE 3rd Street. All these historic resources were identified and evaluated by Murray Laurie during an architectural and historical survey of the City of Crystal River, conducted in 1992. Laurie recommended a potential historic district along Citrus Avenue, between 5th and 7th Streets. Of the 104 historic properties recorded by Laurie, 50 are located within approximately two city blocks of the US 19 study corridor. In addition, two historic cemeteries also are located within the project study area: the Crystal River Cemetery (8CI524) and the Stage Stand Cemetery (8CI938). Neither cemetery is listed or has been determined eligible for listing in the NRHP. Of these previously recorded historic resources, seven structures (8CI400, -401, -458, -459, -460, -461, -487) and one historic cemetery (8CI938) are located within the US 19 PD&E Study APE. None is currently listed, determined eligible, or considered potentially eligible for listing in the NRHP.

Windshield survey indicated approximately 16 additional structures along US 19 which appeared to be 50 years of age or older. These Frame Vernacular, Masonry Vernacular and Bungalow style residential and commercial structures range in date from circa 1925 to 1950.

5.2 FIELD METHODOLOGY

Archaeological field methodology consisted of an initial windshield survey of the project corridor. Field survey efforts were focused on all areas identified in the background research as having a high to moderate probability for prehistoric and historic period site occurrence. Those localities deemed to have a low site potential were archaeologically

sampled. Following ground surface inspection, subsurface shovel testing was carried out in order to locate sites not exposed on the ground, as well as to test for the presence of buried cultural deposits in areas yielding surface artifacts. Subsurface testing was systematically carried out at 82 feet intervals in the high probability zones and 164 feet intervals in the moderate and low probability zones. Additional test pits also were dug in judgmentally selected areas within the low ZAPs, as well as around the positive shovel tests in order to ascertain site boundaries and determine site condition.

Shovel tests were circular, and measured approximately 20 inches in diameter by at least 3.3 feet in depth unless precluded by bedrock. All soil removed from the test pits was screened through 1/4 inch mesh hardware cloth to assure the recovery of any artifacts. The locations of all shovel tests were plotted on the aerial maps, and, following the recording of relevant data such as stratigraphic profile and artifact finds, all test pits were backfilled.

Historic structures field methodology consisted of a preliminary reconnaissance survey of the area to determine the location of all historic sites including structures and cemeteries believed to be 50 years of age or older, and to ascertain if these resources could be eligible for listing in the NRHP. This was followed by an in-depth study of each resource. Photographs were taken and information needed for the completion of Florida Site File (FSF) forms was gathered. In addition to physical descriptions and historical associations, each historic resource was reviewed to assess historic context, condition, and potential NRHP eligibility. Pertinent records housed at the Citrus County Property Appraiser's Office in Inverness were examined, and residents and other knowledgeable persons were interviewed to obtain information concerning site-specific building construction dates and/or possible association with individuals or events significant to local or regional history.

5.3 LABORATORY METHODS AND CURATION

All recovered cultural materials were initially cleaned and sorted by artifact class. Lithics were divided into tools and debitage on the basis of gross morphology. Tools were measured, and the edges examined with a 10x hand lens for traces of edge damage. Lithic debitage was subjected to a limited technological analysis focused on ascertaining the stages of stone tool production. Flakes and non-flake production debris (i.e. cores, blanks, preforms) were measured, and examined for raw material types and absence or presence of thermal alteration. Flakes were classified into four types (primary decortication, secondary decortication, non-decortication, and shatter) on the basis of the amount of cortex on the dorsal surface and the shape (White 1963). Aboriginal and historic ceramics were classified into commonly recognized types on the basis of observable characteristics such as aplastic inclusions and surface treatment.

Artifacts and associated project-related records are being curated at the office of ACI pending transfer to the FDOT, or a designated repository.

5.4 UNEXPECTED DISCOVERIES

It was anticipated that if human burial sites such as Indian mounds, lost historic and prehistoric cemeteries, or other unmarked burials or associated artifacts were found, then the provisions and guidelines set forth in Chapter 872, F.S. (Florida's Unmarked Burial Law) would be followed. However, it was not anticipated that such sites would be found during this survey.

SECTION 6

SURVEY RESULTS

6.1 ARCHAEOLOGICAL

Archaeological field survey included both ground surface reconnaissance and the excavation of a total 175 subsurface shovel tests. Of these, 57 were excavated systematically at 82 feet intervals in zones of high archaeological probability and 40 at 164 feet intervals in areas of moderate probability. In addition, 78 shovel tests were excavated systematically and judgmentally both within and adjacent to the project right-of-way in order to bound the sites discovered as a result of surface reconnaissance and subsurface testing, as well as to sample other selected areas not included in the high and moderate probability zones.

As a result of these efforts, a total of six archaeological sites, and one archaeological occurrence were found within the project APE; two previously recorded sites (8CI141 and 8CI142) could not be located. An “Archaeological Occurrence” is defined as “the presence of one or two nondiagnostic artifacts, not known to be distant from their original context which fit within a hypothetical cylinder of 30 meters diameter, regardless of depth below surface.” Thus, occurrences are not recorded as sites. Of the six sites identified, two are newly discovered (8CI1091, 8CI1110) and four are previously recorded (8CI133, 8CI139, 8CI140, 8CI158). No evidence was found of previously recorded sites 8CI141 or 8CI142, which were noted to be located within the project APE. Site locations are illustrated in Figures 6.1a-d, and completed site file forms, both original and updated (where applicable), are contained in Appendix A. The site descriptions which follow are ordered geographically, from south to north. Although their locations could not be verified during this survey, descriptions of previously recorded sites 8CI141 and 8CI142 also are included.

8CI133: The Intersection Site is located [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]. It is situated within the project APE [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]. The site occupies gently sloping terrain, with an elevation of 15 feet AMSL. The Chassahowitzka Swamp floodplain is located approximately [REDACTED]. The site is located on Tavares fine sand (0-5% slope), a moderately well-drained soil which occurs on knolls and ridges. Natural vegetation consists of longleaf pine and turkey oak, with an understory of creeping bluestem, lopsided indiagrass, and low panicum (USDA 1988). Today, the site area is devoid of native vegetation.

8CI133 was first identified in 1978 by Calvin Jones of the Florida Bureau of Archaeological Research (FSF 1977). Jones dated the site to the Weeden Island (unspecified) period based on the discovery of Weeden Island Plain sherds. Site size was estimated at three acres and the site condition was described as heavily damaged by roadway construction. Jones recommended further testing prior to additional development. In 1995, ACI conducted systematic subsurface testing within and near the site area as part of the US 98 PD&E Study (ACI 1995a). As a result, the presence of this site was confirmed within the US 98 project APE.

Archaeological testing within the US 19 PD&E Study APE entailed the excavation of 24 shovel tests at 82 foot intervals along the extreme outer limits of the APE, [REDACTED]. [REDACTED]. As a result, four shovel tests produced a total of eight waste flakes and one flake tool. These artifacts were found between 0 and 31 inches below surface in a zone of dark grey to light brown sand. Ground surface reconnaissance yielded negative results. All nine lithic artifacts are made of thermally altered chert. The debitage assemblage includes three extra-large and five medium-sized non-decortication flakes. No ceramics were recovered.

On the basis of prior investigations, that portion of 8CI133 contained within the US 19 project APE was estimated to measure [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]. This site probably represents a limited activity camp associated with the procurement of locally available resources. The debitage assemblage is most likely indicative of late stage bifacial tool production, or of activities associated with the reworking of finished tools. While only non-diagnostic artifacts were discovered during the current PD&E Study, 8CI133 originally was dated (Jones 1977) to the Weeden Island (unspecified) period (A.D. 200 - 800) on the basis of the ceramics recovered. Given the limited and mundane nature of the artifact assemblage, and the low research potential, the Intersection Site is not considered to be among the best examples of its type for the region. Therefore, it is not considered eligible for listing in the NRHP, as located both within and outside the US 19 APE. An updated FSF form is contained in Appendix A.

8CI142: The Culvert Site is located [REDACTED]
[REDACTED], and occupies a low sand ridge, with an elevation of 10 feet AMSL. The nearest source of fresh water is a pond located about 820 feet to the east. The local soil type is Adamsville fine sand, a somewhat poorly-drained type which occurs in the flatwoods. Natural vegetation associated with this soil type consists of longleaf pine, scrub-oak, and saw-palmetto (USDA 1988). Today, the site area is devoid of native vegetation, and characterized by a weedy ground cover.

8CI142 was first identified in 1978 by Calvin Jones of the Florida Bureau of Archaeological Research (FSF 1978). Jones found “a handful of chert flakes,” and dated the site to the Archaic Period (unspecified) based on the recovery of waste flakes and absence of ceramics. The site size was estimated [REDACTED] and the site condition was described as damaged by road construction.

ACI's investigation entailed ground surface reconnaissance and the excavation of a total six shovel tests at 82 feet intervals along the extreme outer limits of the APE to [REDACTED]. As a result, no evidence of this site was found. Based upon the information provided by Jones, the Culvert Site is not considered eligible for listing in the NRHP. The results of the current investigation suggest that the portion of 8CI142 located within the project APE has been destroyed. A copy of the original FSF form is contained in Appendix A.

Archaeological Occurrence (AO #1): This archaeological occurrence is located [REDACTED] [REDACTED] (Figure 6.1a). AO #1 consists of one large thermally altered non-decortication waste flake found at 31 inches below surface in a matrix of light yellow/brown sand. The excavation of six shovel tests around the one positive unit yielded negative results. This finding is not considered a significant resource.

8CI141: The One Pole Site is located [REDACTED] [REDACTED]. The site occupies a small sand ridge, with an elevation of 10 feet AMSL. The nearest fresh water is a pond, adjacent to the north. The local soil type is Adamsville fine sand, a somewhat poorly-drained type which occurs in the flatwoods. Natural vegetation consists of longleaf pine and saw-palmetto (USDA 1988). Today, the general site area is vegetated in a weedy ground cover.

8CI141 was first identified in 1978 by Calvin Jones of the Florida Bureau of Archaeological Research (FSF 1978). Jones found "a handful of chert flakes" and a single sand-tempered plain sherd. On the basis of the sherd, he dated the site to the Weeden Island (unspecified) period. Site size was estimated [REDACTED] and the site condition was described as damaged by roadway construction.

ACI excavated a total of seven shovel tests within the general site area, [REDACTED]. Testing was conducted at 82 feet and 164 feet intervals. As a result, no evidence of this site was found. Based upon the information provided by Jones, the One Pole Site is not considered eligible for listing in the NRHP. The results of the current investigation suggest that the portion of 8CI141 located within the project APE has been destroyed.

8CI1091: The Suncoast Baptist Site is located [REDACTED] within the project APE. The site occupies gently sloping terrain, with an elevation of 10 feet AMSL. The nearest source of fresh water is a freshwater swamp, located approximately [REDACTED]. This site occurs on two soil types: Tavares fine sand (0-5% slope), a moderately well-drained type which occurs on knolls and ridges, and Adamsville fine sand a somewhat poorly-drained type which occurs in the flatwoods. Natural vegetation for these soil types include longleaf pine, turkey oak, and saw-palmetto, with an understory of creeping bluestem, lopsided indiangrass, and low panicum (USDA 1988). Today, the site area is mostly cleared and disturbed as the result of filling and residential and commercial development.

8CI1091 was discovered as the result of systematic subsurface testing at 82 feet and 164 feet intervals. Of the total 16 shovel tests excavated in the site area, three contained a total of six waste flakes and one flake tool. These artifacts were recovered between 20 and 39 inches below surface in a matrix of yellow/brown sand. No artifacts were found on the surface. The debitage assemblage includes one extra-large secondary decortication waste flake, and two small, two medium, and one large non-decortication waste flakes. One flake tool of thermally altered chert was also recovered. All but one of the chert waste flakes were thermally altered. No ceramics were found.

On the basis of subsurface testing, the Suncoast Baptist Site is estimated [REDACTED]. The limited and functionally narrow range of artifacts suggest that 8CI1091 represents a limited activity

camp associated with the reworking of finished tools. Although no diagnostics were found, the high percentage of thermal alteration may suggest a Middle to Late Archaic period of occupation (cf., Ste. Claire 1987). While the recorded location of this cultural resource is of interest to regional settlement pattern studies, given the limited artifact density and variability, the research potential of 8CI1091, as contained within the APE, is considered low. Hence, the Suncoast Baptist Site does not appear to be eligible for listing in the NRHP.

8CI140: The East Graveyard Site is located [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED] the APE. The site occupies a small sand ridge, with an elevation of 10 feet AMSL. The local soil type is Adamsville fine sand, a somewhat poorly drained type which occurs in the flatwoods. Natural vegetation consists of longleaf pine and saw-palmetto (USDA 1988). Today, the site area is cleared and developed with commercial properties.

8CI140 was first identified in 1978 by Calvin Jones of the Florida Bureau of Archaeological Research (FSF 1978). Although no diagnostic artifacts were found, Jones dated the site to the Archaic Period (unspecified) based on the waste flakes recovered and absence of ceramics. Site size was estimated [REDACTED] and the site condition was described as heavily damaged by highway construction.

ACI's investigation confirmed the presence of the East Graveyard Site within [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED].

Of the total 13 shovel tests excavated, two produced a total of two pieces of lithic debitage. These artifacts were found at 8 and 31 inches below surface in a matrix of yellow colored sand. No tool forms or ceramics were found. The recovered artifacts include two thermally altered non-decortication waste flakes.

As a result of this survey, the boundaries of 8CI140 have been enlarged [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED] Given the limited and mundane nature of the artifact assemblage recovered, the East Graveyard Site probably represents a limited activity camp associated with the procurement of locally available resources. The debitage assemblage is very limited, but may indicate late stage bifacial tool production or modification. The absence of temporally diagnostic artifacts makes it impossible to either support or alter the original Archaic Period temporal assignment. While the recorded location of this cultural resource is of interest to regional settlement pattern studies, given the limited artifact density and variability, the research potential of 8CI140 as contained within the APE, is considered low. Hence, the East Graveyard Site does not appear to be eligible for listing in the NRHP. An updated FSF form is contained in Appendix A.

8CI139: The Graveyard Site is located [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]. The site occupies a small sand ridge with an elevation of 10 feet AMSL. The local soil is Tavares fine sand (0-5% slope), a moderately well-drained type which occurs on knolls and ridges. Natural vegetation consists of longleaf pine and turkey oak, with an understory of creeping bluestem, lopsided indiagrass, and low panicum (USDA 1988). Today, the site area is cleared and vegetated with weeds.

8CI139 was first identified in 1978 by Calvin Jones of the Florida Bureau of Archaeological Research 1977 (FSF 1978). Although no diagnostics were found, Jones dated the site to the Archaic Period (unspecified) based on the amount and type of waste flakes recovered, and the absence of ceramics. Site size was estimated [REDACTED] and the site condition was described as heavily damaged by road construction.

Systematic subsurface testing by ACI confirmed the presence of the Graveyard Site within the project APE. Testing was conducted at 82 feet intervals within the general site

area [REDACTED]

Of the total 20 shovel tests excavated, 11 produced 94 pieces of lithic debitage and five expedient flake tools, as well as domestic refuse of uncertain date. These artifacts were found between 0 and 39 inches below surface in successive zones of grayish brown and yellowish brown fine sand. Ground surface reconnaissance in the vicinity of the productive shovel tests yielded more than half (57%) of the total lithic materials recovered.

The total artifact assemblage recovered includes five primary decortication flakes, seven secondary decortication flakes, 80 non-decortication flakes, and two pieces of shatter. All the debitage is chert, of which all but five pieces were thermally altered. The size of the waste flakes range from small (0-1 cm) to XX Large (5+cm), with most falling within the medium and large size categories. Five flake tools, all of thermally altered chert, were also found. No aboriginal pottery was discovered.

In addition to the prehistoric cultural materials, a limited number of historic/modern (?) artifacts were recovered. These include three thick pieces of clear glass from either a bottle or jar and two pieces of vitreous ware. Two pieces of the clear glass fit together and have marked on the bottom "Made in United Kingdom" and what appears to be the word "Sons" and "Glasgow." No information on the date of this material could be found. One of the vitreous ware fragments has the partial imprint of a sitting Indian and the letters "NANGO" printed under the picture. According to Kovel (1986:127) this type of ceramic was manufactured by the SHENANGO China Co. in New Castle, Pennsylvania between 1901 and 1991. This material was found both on and near the surface.

As a result of the US 19 PD&E Study CRAS, the boundaries of the Graveyard Site have been expanded [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] Given the limited and mundane nature of the prehistoric artifact assemblage, the site probably represents a limited activity camp associated with the procurement of locally available resources. Activities associated with the manufacture

and modification of chipped stone tools were a likely site function. While only non-diagnostic artifacts were discovered during the PD&E Study, the high percentage of thermal alteration may suggest a Middle to Late Archaic period of occupation (cf., Ste. Claire 1987). The small amount of glass and ceramics, found in a disturbed context, are of uncertain age. Since it could not be ascertained whether these materials are at least 50 years old, a historic period component has not been recorded. While the recorded location of this cultural resource is of interest to regional settlement pattern studies, given the limited artifact density and variability, the research potential of 8CI139, as contained within the APE, is considered low. Hence, the Graveyard Site does not appear to be eligible for listing in the NRHP. An updated FSF form is contained in Appendix A.

8CI158: The Bomb Roller Site is located [REDACTED]. The site occupies a hilltop and slope, with a general elevation of 13 feet AMSL. The nearest sources of fresh water are two ponds [REDACTED]. This site occurs on two soil types: Tavares fine sand (0-5% slope), a moderately well-drained type which occurs on knolls and ridges, and Adamsville fine sand, a somewhat poorly-drained type which occurs in the flatwoods. Natural vegetation for these soil types includes longleaf pine, turkey oak, and saw-palmetto, with an understory of creeping bluestem, lopsided indiagrass, and low panicum (USDA 1988). Today, the general site area is cleared and has been disturbed as the result of filling and residential and commercial development.

8CI158 was first identified by William D. Browning of the Florida Department of Transportation in 1981 (FSF 1981). Browning found 15 waste flakes, six plain (undecorated) aboriginal pottery sherds, glass, and a marble fragment (i.e., blue, grey, and purple Bomb Roller). Site size was estimated [REDACTED], and no temporal/cultural assignment was provided. The site was considered to be largely destroyed as the result of development.

Systematic subsurface testing within the US 19 project APE confirmed the presence of the Bomb Roller Site. Testing was conducted at 82 feet and 164 feet intervals within the [REDACTED]. As a result, of the total 14 shovel tests excavated, two produced a total of two pieces of lithic debitage. These artifacts were recovered from 16 and 31 inches below surface in a matrix of light yellow/brown sand. No tool forms or ceramics were found. The two pieces of debitage are non-decortication flakes. One is medium and the other is extra-large in size. One is thermally altered.

As a result of this survey, the boundaries of the Bomb Roller Site have not been changed. This site probably represents a limited activity camp associated with the procurement of locally available resources. Given the limited amount of artifacts recovered, the site function and temporal/cultural affiliation are unknown. While the recorded location of this cultural resource is of interest to regional settlement pattern studies, given the limited artifact density and variability, the research potential of 8CI158 as contained within the APE, is considered low. Hence, the Bomb Roller Site does not appear to be eligible for listing in the NRHP. An updated FSF form is contained in Appendix A.

8CI1110: The Red Navel Site is located [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] The site occupies level terrain with an elevation of approximately 9 feet AMSL. The local soil type is Citronelle fine sand, a somewhat poorly drained type which occurs in the flatwoods. Limestone bedrock is at a depth of 20 inches or less (USDA 1988:56). Natural vegetation consists of scattered pines and cabbage palms and an understory of palmetto and grasses (USDA 1988:56). Today, the general site area is cleared and grassed.

8CI1110 was identified during judgmental subsurface testing within a low probability zone. Of the total six shovel tests excavated at a 164 feet interval within [REDACTED], two contiguous units produced a total of eight pieces of lithic debitage. Due to the presence of buried fiber-optic cable, testing was not possible along

the [REDACTED]. Artifacts were vertically distributed between 20 and 40 inches below surface in a highly mixed context of gray, brown and white colored sand. No tool forms or ceramics were found. The recovered artifacts, all of chert, are mostly large secondary decortication flakes and shatter. One non-decortication flake and one secondary decortication flake are thermally altered.

The Red Navel Site is estimated to measure [REDACTED] [REDACTED]. Given the large size and corticated nature of the debitage, it appears that early stage reduction of locally available silicified limestone (chert) was among the primary site activities. Testing revealed a thoroughly disturbed stratigraphy throughout the cultural deposit, and it is probable that these artifacts were displaced from their point of origin through modern land altering activities associated with the construction and maintenance of US 19. The absence of temporally diagnostic artifacts makes it impossible to date the period of site use/occupation. Given the lack of contextual integrity, this archaeological site is not considered eligible for listing in the NRHP. An original FSF form is contained in Appendix A.

6.2 HISTORICAL

The historical/architectural survey resulted in the recording of 24 historic structures and one historic cemetery. Of these, eight were previously recorded and 17 were newly identified. Nine of the structures are private residences and 15 are commercial properties. All represent examples of architectural styles popular during the 1920s through the 1950s. These styles include Mediterranean Revival, Frame Vernacular, Masonry Vernacular, Spanish Revival, and Bungalow. A summary of relevant site information, including FSF number, address, style, and estimated date of construction, is provided in Table 6.1, and site locations are illustrated in Figure 6.1a-d. Site file forms for previously and newly recorded sites are contained in Appendix A. The site descriptions which follow are ordered geographically, from south to north.

8CI1092: This one-story Masonry Vernacular style gas station, located at 9566 US 19, was constructed ca. 1947. This building is rectangular in plan, set on a concrete slab foundation, constructed of masonry, and sheathed in stucco. The gable on hip roof is covered with composition shingles. Although the building is abandoned, there is evidence of the location of gasoline pumps. This particular “house” gas station form was once common throughout the country. In addition, limited historical research reveals no apparent significance. Therefore, it is not considered NRHP eligible.

8CI1093: This one-story Frame Vernacular style residence, located at 9288 US 19, was constructed ca. 1946. This building is rectangular in plan, set on concrete block piers, and clad with wood drop and T-111 siding. The gable roof is sheathed in composition shingles. Alterations include replacing the original windows with metal three-light awning windows. A wood frame garage and an aluminum shed are located west of the house. This Frame Vernacular style residence, similar to 8CI1094, represents a building type common to Citrus County. Furthermore, available data did not suggest any significant historical associations. It does not appear to meet NRHP criteria.

8CI1094: This one-story Frame Vernacular style residence, located at 9268 US 19, was constructed ca. 1946. This building is rectangular in plan, set on concrete block piers, and clad with wood drop and T-111 siding. The gable roof is sheathed in composition shingles. Alterations include replacing the original windows with metal three-light awning windows. This Frame Vernacular style residence, similar to 8CI1093, represents a building type common to Citrus County. Furthermore, available data did not suggest any significant historical associations. It does not appear to meet NRHP criteria.

8CI1095: This one-story Frame Vernacular style residence, located at 9262 US 19, was constructed ca. 1950. This building is rectangular in plan, constructed on a continuous concrete block foundation, and clad with wood drop siding. The gable roof is sheathed in composition shingles. Alterations include replacing the original windows with metal three-light awning windows. A wood frame outbuilding is located to the south. This structure is typical of Frame Vernacular style residences found throughout Citrus County.

Limited historical data suggests no historical significance. Therefore, it is not considered NRHP eligibility.

8CI1096: The Withlacoochee State Forest Office and Fire Tower is located at 7940 US 19. This small Frame Vernacular style office building is rectangular in plan and was constructed on a concrete slab foundation ca. 1950. The lower section of the walls, below the window sill, is constructed of concrete block while the upper section is constructed of wood frame clad in asbestos shingles. The gable roof is sheathed in composition shingles. A steel frame fire tower and two wood frame outbuildings are located west and southeast of the office. There is nothing remarkable about this office and fire tower, which follow a standard plan used by the U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service. In fact, there are several identical complexes found throughout Florida. Therefore, it is not considered NRHP eligible.

8CI1097: This Masonry Vernacular style residence, located at 6982 US 19, was constructed ca. 1947. This building is rectangular in plan, constructed of concrete block, and protected by a composition shingle hip roof. Alterations include enclosing the porch and replacing the original windows with metal three-light and two-light awning windows. Wood frame outbuildings are located east and west of the house. Presently, this building is abandoned. Limited historical research suggests no historical significance. Furthermore, this style is common throughout the area. It, therefore, is not considered eligible for the NRHP.

8CI938: This community cemetery, established in the early twentieth century reflects the social history of the area. The earliest grave dates from 1905 and the cemetery appears to be actively used. Marker materials include marble, granite and concrete. As this is a public (county-owned) cemetery, it is not associated with any particular religious denomination. As a result, it does not appear to have attributes (e.g., unique headstones or burial practices) sufficient to qualify for NRHP-listing. Therefore, the Stage Stand Cemetery does not appear eligible for listing in the NRHP.

8CI400: Although greatly obscured by canvas canopies, this one-story Mediterranean Revival style structure, located at 3862 US 19, was constructed ca. 1928. Originally a residence, it has since been converted to a produce stand. This wood frame building is sheathed in stucco and the original six-over-one double-hung sash windows remain in place. This modest Mediterranean Revival style structure is common throughout Florida. Furthermore, alterations and additions have diminished architectural integrity. Therefore, this property does not appear eligible for the NRHP.

8CI1098: This one-story Frame Vernacular style commercial building, located at 3795-99 US 19, was constructed ca. 1952. This building is rectangular in plan, set on a concrete slab foundation, and constructed of wood frame clad in aluminum siding with a concrete block addition. A portion of the roof is gabled and the remaining areas are flat. This modest multi-use commercial structure represents a building type common to Citrus County. Furthermore, available data did not suggest any significant historical associations. It does not appear to meet NRHP criteria.

8CI1099: The Bell Villa Motel, located at 3430-50 US 19, was constructed ca. 1943. This building follows the traditional motor court form with 12 guest rooms. Masonry Vernacular in style, the motel is set on a concrete slab foundation and constructed of concrete block. Alterations include the replacement of some casement windows with either single-hung-sash or three-light awning windows. This early 1940s motel is typical of the type of tourist accommodation constructed to attract vacationers to the waterways of Citrus County. In addition, limited research did not reveal any historical significance. Therefore, it does not appear NRHP eligible.

8CI401: This one-story Masonry Vernacular style commercial structure, located at 2495 US 19, was constructed ca. 1920. It is constructed of locally quarried limestone. In 1987, when this building was initially recorded by Murray D. Laurie, her evaluation indicated that it was potentially eligible for the local register as “...*one of the few stone buildings in this area dating from the 1920s. Said to have been a train station, a church, and an upholstery shop. The pub predates the highway, U.S. 19, built in the 1940s.*” In

2001, the building was completely remodeled by the application of stucco, the replacement of all original windows with vinyl multi-light single-hung sash windows, and the installation of a disproportionate cornice across the length of the main facade. Therefore, 8CI401 has lost its integrity. In fact, it now resembles a contemporary building. Based on these extensive alterations, 8CI401 does not appear eligible for the NRHP.

8CI1100: This Masonry Vernacular style residence, located at 674 NE 5th Street (SR 44), was constructed ca. 1945. This building is rectangular in plan, constructed of concrete block and sheltered by a composition shingle gable roof. Alterations include enclosing the porch with fixed commercial plate glass windows. It appears that this building was originally a residence but was converted to an office circa 1970. Limited historical research reveals no apparent significance. Additionally, this form is common throughout the area and modifications have diminished architectural integrity. It, therefore, is not considered NRHP eligible.

8CI1101: This Frame Vernacular style residence, located at 855 NE 5th Street (SR 44), was constructed ca. 1952. This residence is rectangular in plan, set on concrete block piers, and clad with wood drop siding. The side-gabled roof is sheathed in composition shingles. Alterations include modifying the porch and replacing the original windows. This residence is typical of modest Frame Vernacular style residences located throughout the area. Moreover, limited research revealed no historically significant data. The structure, therefore, is not considered NRHP eligible.

8CI1102: Serenity Memorial Funeral Home, located at 823 NE 5th Street (SR 44), was constructed ca. 1930. This Bungalow style building is rectangular in plan, built on concrete block piers, and clad in stucco. The intersecting gable roof is sheathed with composition shingles. About 1970, this residence was converted to a funeral home and as a result the building has lost integrity. Alterations included replacing all of the original windows and remodeling the porch on the main facade. In addition, many fine examples

of the Bungalow style still exist throughout the town of Crystal River. It is, therefore, not considered NRHP eligible.

8CI487: This one-story Spanish Revival style building, located at the intersection of NE 1st Avenue and 5th Street, was constructed ca. 1920. This building is rectangular in plan, set on a concrete slab foundation, and constructed of concrete block. The gable roof is sheathed with barrel tile. Historically, the north side of the building contained pumps for the first city water system and the south end served as a jail. All pump equipment and cell partitions have been removed and the building is now boarded up and used for storage. A 150-foot tall city water tower is located immediately south of the former pump house and jail. In 1992, when this structure was initially recorded by Murray D. Laurie, her evaluation indicated that 8CI487 may be significant at the local level or may contribute to a potential historic district; however, it was not adjudged individually eligible for listing in the NRHP. A review of the area immediately surrounding the old jail/pump house revealed that there is not enough historic fabric remaining to constitute a historic district.

Due to the 1992 flood, the City of Crystal River received a Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) public assistance grant to construct a new water system. Today, the former system serves as a backup water system with modern pumps located outside of the pump house/old jail. As a result, 8CI487, is no longer able to convey its historic function and does not appear individually eligible for NRHP listing.

8CI458: The Sparkman Building, located at 568 N. Citrus Avenue, was constructed ca. 1920. This modest brick commercial building (identical to 8CI459) is a typical example of the Masonry Vernacular style common in early twentieth century construction and still evidenced throughout Citrus County. Although it served as a restaurant, limited available data on the building have not demonstrated historic significance that would meet NRHP eligibility criteria. As a result, 8CI458 does not appear eligible for the NRHP.

8CI459: The Sparkman Building, located at 572 N. Citrus Avenue, was constructed ca. 1920. This modest brick commercial building (identical to 8CI458) is a typical example of the Masonry Vernacular style common in early twentieth century construction and still evidenced throughout Citrus County. Although it served as a grocery store, limited available data on the building have not demonstrated historic significance that would meet NRHP eligibility criteria. As a result, 8CI458 does not appear eligible for the NRHP.

8CI1103: This former gas station, located at 103 US 19, was constructed ca. 1947. This Masonry Vernacular style building is rectangular in plan, constructed on a concrete slab, and built of concrete block. It has undergone significant alterations and additions to accommodate its function as a retail store. Limited historical research reveals no apparent significance. Additionally, this particular “small box with canopy” gas station form (Jackle and Sculle 1994) was once common throughout the area and the additions and alterations to accommodate the new use have diminished its architectural integrity. It therefore is not considered NRHP eligible.

8CI460: This one-story Masonry Vernacular style commercial building, located at 1 US 19 was constructed ca. 1907. It is likely this building is constructed of brick. However, it is presently sheathed in granite panels and stucco. Built at the intersection of US 19 and Citrus Avenue, access to the building was provided by a canted entrance. Original windows have been replaced with 4-light metal awnings. This commercial structure was substantially altered in 1973 which degraded its historic integrity. Originally the Crystal River Bank, the building is no longer able to convey that historic association. Therefore, 8CI460 does not appear to meet NRHP eligibility criteria.

8CI461: This one-story Mediterranean Revival style building, located at 611 N. Citrus Avenue, was constructed ca. 1920. Modest in size, this structure is rectangular in plan, and constructed of wood frame covered with stucco. Stylistic details include a parapet that is scalloped to a rounded peak in the center. Available data have not shown this commercial structure to be historically significant. In addition, unsympathetic alterations

to the primary facade have diminished the historic appearance. When considering these aforementioned factors, along with the commonality of the design, 8CI461 does not meet NRHP eligibility criteria.

8CI1104: The Hayes Motel, located at 1151 US 19, was constructed ca. 1943. This building follows the traditional motor court form with approximately 31 guest rooms. Masonry Vernacular in style, the motel is set on a concrete slab foundation and constructed of brick. Alterations include the replacement of some casement windows with either single-hung-sash, three-light awning or jalousie windows. Additions have included a caged swimming pool and the expansion of the office building. This early 1940s motel is typical of the type of tourist accommodation constructed to attract vacationers to the waterways of Citrus County. In addition, limited research did not reveal any historical significance. Therefore, it does not appear NRHP eligible.

8CI1105: This Masonry Vernacular style residence, located at 4690 US 19, was constructed ca. 1952. This building is rectangular in plan, constructed of concrete block, and sheltered by a composition shingle roof. Alterations include replacing the original windows with three-light and two-light awning windows. A concrete block carport has been added and there is a concrete block outbuilding near the house. Many examples of this type of post-World War II residence exist throughout Citrus County. Furthermore, limited data suggests no historical significance. It is, therefore, not considered NRHP eligible.

8CI1107: This Frame Vernacular style residence, located at 4609 N. Champion Point, was constructed ca. 1946. This residence is rectangular in plan, set on a continuous concrete block foundation, and clad with asbestos shingles. The front-gabled roof is sheathed in 5-V crimp metal. Limited research did not reveal any historical significance. Additionally, many examples of this type of residence exist throughout Citrus County. Therefore, it is not considered NRHP eligible.

8CI1106: This Masonry Vernacular style residence, located at 4575 N. Champion Point, was constructed ca. 1947. This building is rectangular in plan, constructed of concrete block, and sheltered by a 5-V crimp metal roof. Alterations include installing a plate glass window and enclosing the porch. Many examples of this type of Masonry Vernacular style residence exist throughout Citrus County. Furthermore, limited data suggests no historical significance. It is therefore, not considered NRHP eligible.

8CI1108 This Frame Vernacular style residence, located at 5832 US 19, was constructed ca. 1949. This residence is irregular in plan, set on a continuous concrete block and limerock foundation, and clad with wood drop siding. The gable roof is sheathed in composition shingles and 5-V crimp metal. Alterations include replacing the original windows with either fixed plate glass, one-over-one single-hung sash or jalousie windows. An addition has been constructed on the west elevation. Given the similarity of this Frame Vernacular style residence to others in Citrus County, and the lack of historic significance evidenced in the available data, it does not appear to meet NRHP eligibility criteria.

SECTION 7

CONCLUSIONS AND SITE EVALUATIONS

All cultural resources identified as a result of this survey were evaluated for their significance as per the criteria of eligibility for listing in the NRHP. A discussion of site evaluations follows.

7.1 ARCHAEOLOGICAL

The archaeological survey resulted in the recording of two newly discovered archaeological sites (8CI1091 and 8CI1110) and an archaeological occurrence, in addition to the extension of boundaries and updating of site file information for four previously recorded resources (8CI133, 8CI139, 8CI140, and 8CI158). In addition, two other archaeological sites (8CI141 and 8CI142) are recorded within the project APE. Survey within these site areas by ACI yielded negative results.

All the archaeological sites located within the US 19 PD&E Study corridor are viewed as limited activity campsites, probably associated with the procurement of local resources including silicified limestone suitable for stone tool manufacture. The sites are generally characterized by artifact assemblages limited in terms of both density and functional diversity. All are common for the region. While the sites have yielded locational information of importance to regional settlement pattern studies, continued investigation of these resources, as contained within the proposed project ROW, is not believed to have the potential to yield additional data of significance to regional or state prehistory. By this standard (NRHP Criterion D), none of the archaeological sites located within the proposed project ROW is adjudged potentially eligible for listing in the NRHP.

7.2 HISTORICAL

The historical/architectural survey resulted in the recording of 24 historic structures and one historic cemetery. Of these, eight sites (8CI400, -401, -458, -459, -460, -461, -487, and -938) were previously recorded and 17 resources (8CI1092 through 8CI1108) are newly identified. Nine of the structures are private residences and 15 are commercial properties. All represent examples of architectural styles popular during the 1920s through the 1950s. These styles include Mediterranean Revival, Frame Vernacular, Masonry Vernacular and Bungalow. The commercial structures at the intersection of US 19 and Citrus Avenue in Crystal River, as located within and adjacent to the project APE, do not form a cohesive historic district. As noted by Murray Laurie during her survey of Crystal River (Laurie 1992:39), the residential properties in Crystal River “are too widely dispersed to constitute a National Register historic district and would be difficult to organize as a multiple property group because they lack a commonality of style and a unifying theme.”

In conclusion, as a result of the CRAS for the US 19 PD&E Study, it appears that the proposed highway improvement project will have no involvement with any archaeological sites or historic structures which are listed, determined eligible, or considered potentially eligible for listing in the NRHP.

SECTION 8

REFERENCES CITED

8.1 ARCHAEOLOGICAL

Archaeological Consultants, Inc. (ACI)

- 1995a A Cultural Resource Assessment Survey US 98 From the North Suncoast Expressway to US 19, Citrus and Hernando Counties, Florida. Archaeological Consultants, Inc. (ACI) Manuscript on file, ACI, Sarasota.
- 1995b A Cultural Resources Assessment Survey of Holnam/HCR Limestone Crystal River Quarry Expansion Project, Citrus County, Florida. Manuscript on file, ACI, Sarasota.
- 1996 Cultural Resource Assessment Survey, Bridge Replacement at Riverhaven Drive in Homosassa Springs, Citrus County, Florida. Manuscript on file, ACI, Sarasota.
- 1997 Cultural Resource Assessment Survey, Additional Right-of-Way, US 98 from the North Suncoast Expressway to US 19, Citrus and Hernando Counties, Florida. Technical Memorandum on file, ACI, Sarasota.
- 2000 Cultural Resource Assessment Survey Technical Memorandum, Proposed Pond Sites and CR 480 Realignment US 98 from Suncoast Parkway to US 19, Citrus County, Florida. Manuscript on file, ACI, Sarasota.

Athens, William P., Charlotte Donald, Jon Berkin, and Paul V. Heinrich

- 1994 Phase I Cultural Resources Investigation of the West Leg Mainline Portion of the Proposed Florida Gas Transmission Company Phase III Expansion Project. Manuscript on file, Florida Division of Historical Resources, Tallahassee.

Ballo, George R. and Melissa G. Wiedenfeld

- 1988 Proposed Bridge Replacement for King Bay Bridge in Crystal River, Citrus County, Florida. Manuscript on file, Florida Department of Transportation, Tallahassee.

Beriault, John, Robert Carr, Jerry Stipp, Richard Johnson, and Jack Meeder

- 1981 The Archeological Salvage of the Bay West Site, Collier County, Florida. The Florida Anthropologist 34:39-58.

Browning, William

- 1986 Archaeological Resources Assessment Survey of Proposed Improvements to SR 55/US 19, from SR 50 Northerly to US 98, Hernando and Citrus Counties. Manuscript on file, Florida Department of Transportation, Tallahassee.
- 1991 Archaeological Investigations at Sites 8CI425 and 8CI426 in SR-44 Water Retention Area #4, Citrus County, Florida. Manuscript on file, Florida Department of Transportation, Tallahassee.

Bullen, Ripley P.

- 1959 The Transitional Period of Florida. Southeastern Archaeological Conference Newsletter 6:43-53.
- 1965 Crystal River Indian Mound Museum. [Condensed version of Bullen 1953b with additional information on his 1960, 1964, and 1965 excavations.] On file, Florida Museum of Natural History, Gainesville.
- 1966 Report on the Completion of the Archaeological Survey of the Cross Florida Barge Canal. Manuscript on file, Smithsonian Institution, River Basin Survey. Washington, D.C.

Bullen, Ripley P.

- 1972 The Orange Period of Peninsular Florida. In Fiber-tempered Pottery in Southeastern United States and Northern Colombia: Its Origins, Context, and Significance, edited by Ripley P. Bullen and James B. Stoltman, pp. 9-33. Florida Anthropological Society Publications 6. Gainesville.
- 1975 A Guide to the Identification of Florida Projectile Points. Kendall Books, Gainesville.

Bullen, Ripley P. and Walter Askew

- 1965 Tests at the Askew Site, Citrus County, Florida. The Florida Anthropologist 18(4):201-217.

Carbone, Victor

- 1983 Late Quaternary Environment in Florida and the Southeast. The Florida Anthropologist 36 (1-2):3-17.

Carr, Robert S., and B. Calvin Jones

- 1981 Florida Anthropologist Interview with Calvin Jones, Part II: Excavations of an Archaic Cemetery in Cocoa Beach, Florida. The Florida Anthropologist 34:81-89.

Chance, Marsha A. and Mildred L. Fryman

- 1978 An Archaeological and Historical Survey of the Proposed City of Crystal River 201 Wastewater Management Facilities, Citrus County, Florida. Manuscript on file, Florida Division of Historical Resources, Tallahassee.

Clausen, Carl J., A.D. Cohen, Cesare Emiliani, J.A. Holman, and J.J. Stipp

- 1979 Little Salt Spring, Florida: A Unique Underwater Site. Science 203:609-614.

Daniel, Randy and Michael Wisenbaker

1987 Harney Flats: A Florida Paleo-Indian Site. Baywood Publishing Company, Inc., Farmington, New York.

Delcourt, Paul A. and Hazel R. Delcourt

1981 Vegetation Maps for Eastern North America: 40,000 Years B.P. to the Present. In Geobotany II, edited by R.C. Romans, pp. 123-165. Plenum Publishing, New York.

Deming, Joan G.

1985 Preliminary Cultural Resources Assessment of the Homosassa Attraction Property, Citrus County, Florida. Manuscript on file, ACI, Sarasota.

1987 Archaeological Survey of the Betz Farm (DRI) Tract, Citrus County, Florida. Manuscript on file Archaeological Consultants, Inc., Sarasota.

Denson, Robin L., Gary D. Ellis, and Russell Dorsey

1997 Yulee Sugar Mill Ruins, The Archaeological and Historical Study of the State Historic Site, Citrus County, Florida. Manuscript on file, Florida Division of Historical Resources, Tallahassee.

des Fontaines, John

1990 Wedgewood Whiteware. Proceedings of the Wedgewood Society 13:1-8.

Deurling, Richard J. and Peter L. MacGill

1981 Environmental Geology Series, Tarpon Spring Sheet. Map Series 99. Florida Department of Natural Resources, Division of Resource Management, Bureau of Geology, Tallahassee.

Dickel, David N.

1989 Proposed Parking Lot Extension at Homosassa Springs Park, Citrus County, Florida. Manuscript on file, Florida Division of Historical Resources, Tallahassee.

Doran, Glen H., and David N. Dickel

1988 Multidisciplinary Investigations at the Windover Site. In Wet Site Archaeology, edited by Barbara Purdy, pp. 263-289. Telford Press, Caldwell, N.J.

Dorian, Alan W.

1980 Literature Search and Partial Cultural Resource Inventory of Chassahowitzka, Cedar Keys [Suwannee National Wildlife Refuges]. Manuscript on file, Florida Division of Historical Resources, Tallahassee.

Dunbar, James and B.J. Waller

1983 A Distribution Analysis of the Clovis/Suwannee Paleo-Indian Sites of Florida: A Geographic Approach. The Florida Anthropologist 36:18-30.

Dunbar, James

1981 The Effect of Geohydrology and Natural Resource Availability on Site Utilization at the Fowler Avenue Bridge Mastodon Site (8HI393c/uw) in Hillsborough County, Florida. In Report on Phase II Underwater Archaeological Testing at the Fowler Bridge Mastodon Site (8HI393c/uw) Hillsborough County, Florida by Jill Palmer, James Dunbar, and Danny H. Clayton. Interstate 75 Highway Phase II Archaeological Report No. 5. Florida Division of Historical Resources, Tallahassee.

Dunbar, James

- 1991 Resource Orientation of Clovis and Suwannee Age Paleoindian Sites in Florida. In Clovis: Origins and Adaptations, edited by R. Bonnicksen and K. Turnmier, pp. 185-213. Corvallis: Center for the First Americans, Oregon State University, Corvallis.

Ellis, Gary, Robin L. Denson, and Russell A. Dorsey

- 1995 Phase II Archaeological Study, Citrus County, Florida. Manuscript on file, Citrus County Historical Resources Office, Inverness.

Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT)

- 1999 Project Development and Environment Manual Part 2, Chapter 12 Archaeological and Historical Resources. On file, FDOT, Tallahassee.

Florida Division of Historical Resources (FDHR)

- 1990 The Historic Preservation Compliance Review Program of the Florida Department of State, Division of Historical Resources Manual. On file, Florida Division of Historical Resources, Tallahassee.

Janus Research

- 1996 Cultural Resource Assessment Survey for the Suncoast Parkway - Project 2, Project Development and Environment (PD&E) Study, Citrus County, Florida. Manuscript on file, Janus Research, St. Petersburg.

Jones, Olive and Catherine Sullivan

- 1989 The Parks Canada Glass Glossary for the Description of Containers, Tableware, Closures, and Flat Glass. Studies in Archaeology, National Historic Parks and Sites, Canadian Park Service, Environment Canada.

Karklins, Karlis

1970 The Fish Creek Site, Hillsborough County, Florida. The Florida Anthropologist 23(2):67-73.

Kennedy, William J.

1979 Archaeological and Historical Resources of the Crystal Cove Property, Crystal River, Florida. Manuscript on file, Florida Division of Historical Resources, Tallahassee.

Kohler, Timothy A. and G. Michael Johnson

1986 Dixie County Archaeological Reconnaissance, Winter 1985-1986. Manuscript on file, Florida Division of Historical Resources, Tallahassee.

Kohler, Timothy A.

1991 The Demise of Weeden Island, and Post-Weeden Island Cultural Stability in Non-Mississippianized Northern Florida. In Stability, Transformation, and Variations: The Late Woodland Southeast, edited by M.S. Nassaney and C.R. Cobb, pp. 91-100. Plenum, New York.

Kovel, Ralph and Terry Kovel

1986 Kovels New Dictionary of Marks, Pottery 7 Porcelain 1850 to the Present. Crown Publishing, Inc. New York.

Laurie, Murray D.

1992 Architectural and Historical Survey of Crystal River, Florida. Manuscript on file, Florida Department of Historical Resources, Tallahassee.

Milanich, Jerald T. and Charles H. Fairbanks

1980 Florida Archaeology. Academic Press, New York.

Milanich, Jerald T.

- 1974 Life in a 9th Century Indian Household, a Weeden Island Fall-Winter Site on the Upper Apalachicola River, Florida. Bureau of Historic Sites and Properties, Division of Archives, History and Records Management, Bulletin 4, pp. 1-44. Florida Department of State, Tallahassee.
- 1994 Archaeology of Precolumbian Florida. University Press of Florida, Gainesville.

Miller, James J.

- 1973 An Archaeological Survey of the Florida Power Corporation Crystal River Tract, Citrus County, Florida. Manuscript on file, Bureau of Historical Resources, Tallahassee.

Mitchem, Jeffrey M.

- 1989 The Ruth Smith, Weeki Wachee, and Tatham Mounds: Archaeological Evidence of Early Spanish Contact. The Florida Anthropologist 42:317-339.

Neill, Wilfred T.

- 1964 Trilisa Pond, an Early Site in Marion County, Florida. The Florida Anthropologist 17:187-200.

Ruppe, Reynold J.

- 1980 The Archaeology of Drowned Terrestrial Sites: A Preliminary Report. In Bureau of Historic Sites and Properties, Bulletin Number 6. Florida Division of Historical Resources, Tallahassee.

Russo, Michael

- 1991 Archaic Sedentism on the Florida Coast: A Case Study from Horr~~s~~ Island. Ph.D. dissertation, University of Florida, Gainesville.

Scholl, D.W., F.C. Craighead, and M. Stuiver

- 1969 Florida Submergence Curve Revised: Its Relation to Coastal Sedimentation Rates, Science 163:562-564.

Sigler-Eisenberg, Brenda J.

- 1984 The Gauthier Site: a Microcosm of Biocultural Adaptation in the Upper St. Johns River Basin. Paper presented at the 41st Southeastern Archaeological Conference, Pensacola.

Ste. Claire, Dana

- 1987 The Development of Thermal Alteration Technologies in Florida: Implications for the Study of Prehistoric Adaptations. The Florida Anthropologist 40(3):203-208.

United States Department of Agriculture (USDA)

- 1988 Soil Survey of Citrus County. Soil Conservation Service, Washington, D.C.

United States Geological Survey (USGS) Quadrangle

- 1954 Chassahowitzka, Fla., Photo Inspected 1988
1954 Crystal River, Fla. Photorevised 1988.
1954 Homosassa, Fla. Photorevised 1988.
1954 Red Level, Fla. Photorevised 1988, Minor Revision 1992.

Vernon, Robert O.

- 1951 Geology of Citrus and Levy Counties, Florida. Geological Bulletin No. 33. The Florida Geological Survey, Tallahassee.

Watts, William A.

- 1969 A Pollen Diagram from Mud Lake, Marion County, North-Central Florida. Geological Society of America Bulletin 80:631-642.
- 1971 Post Glacial and Interglacial Vegetational History of Southern Georgia and Central Florida. Ecology 51:676-690.
- 1975 A Late Quaternary Record of Vegetation from Lake Annie, South-Central Florida. Geology 3:344-346.

Weisman, Brent R.

- 1987 A Cultural Resource Inventory of the Crystal River Archaeological Site (8CI1), Citrus County, Florida. Manuscript on file, Florida Division of Historical Resources, Tallahassee.
- 1989 Like Beads on a String. University of Alabama Press, Tuscaloosa.
- 1990 CARL Inventory Project, Report of Activities, Crystal River State Reserve, Citrus County, Florida. Manuscript on file, Florida Division of Historical Resources, Tallahassee.
- 1991 An Inventory and Evaluation of Archaeological and Historical Resources on Crystal River State Reserve, Citrus County, Florida. Manuscript on file, Florida Division of Historical Resources, Tallahassee.
- 1992 Impacts to Archaeological Remains of Proposed Planting Activities, Crystal River Site, Citrus County, Florida. Manuscript on file, Florida Division of Historical Resources, Tallahassee.

Weisman, Brent R. and Jeffrey Mitchem

- 1986 Survey of Spanish Contact and Seminole Sites Along the Withlacoochee River. Miscellaneous Project Report Series No. 29, Florida State Museum, Department of Anthropology, Gainesville.

Weisman Brent R. and Christine L. Newman

- 1993 Archaeological Management Areas in the Crystal River State Reserve and St. Martins Marsh Aquatic Preserve. Manuscript on file, Florida Division of Historical Resources, Tallahassee.
- 1995 Archaeological Testing of Proposed Office and Shop Areas, Crystal River State Buffer Preserve. Manuscript on file, Florida Division of Historical Resources, Tallahassee.

Wharton, Barry, George Ballo, and Mitchell Hope

- 1981 The Republic Groves Site, Hardee County, Florida. The Florida Anthropologist 34:59-80.

White, Anta M.

- 1963 Analytic Description of the Chipped Stone Industry from Snyders Site, Calhoun County, Illinois. In Miscellaneous Studies in Typology and Classification. Anthropological papers, Museum of Anthropology, University of Michigan, Number 19.

White, W.A.

- 1970 The Geomorphology of the Florida Peninsula, Florida Department of Natural Resources, Bureau of Geology, Bulletin No. 51, Tallahassee.

Williams, J. Raymond

- 1985 An Archaeological Survey of the 5 Acre Ridge View Apartments Tract in Crystal River, Citrus County, Florida. Manuscript on file, Florida Department of Historical Resources, Tallahassee.
- 1989 Archaeological Survey of the 20 Acre Citrus Springs and Spring Garden Apartments Tract, Citrus County, Florida. Manuscript on file, Florida Division of Historical Resources, Tallahassee.

Willey, Gordon R.

1949 Archaeology of the Florida Gulf Coast. Smithsonian Miscellaneous Collections, Volume 113. Washington, D.C.

Willis, Raymond F.

1978 Archaeological-Historical Survey of Lake Rousseau to Crystal River Power Plant Water Supply Pipeline and Transmission Corridor Access Road - Citrus County, Florida. Manuscript on file, Environmental Science and Engineering, Inc., Gainesville.

8.2 HISTORICAL

Archaeological Consultants, Inc. (ACI)

1991 A Cultural Resources Survey of A Segment of State Road 44 From SR 44 (U.S. 41) To I-75 In Citrus and Sumter Counties, Florida. Archaeological Consultants, Inc, Sarasota.

Bradbury, Alford G. and E. Story Hallock

1962 A Chronology of Florida Post Offices. The Florida Federation of Stamp Clubs, Vero Beach.

Covington, James W.

1961 The Armed Occupation Act of 1842. Florida Historical Quarterly 40:41-53.

1982 The Billy Bowlegs War 1855-1858: The Final Stand of The Seminoles Against The Whites. The Mickler House Publishers, Chuluota.

Denson, Robin L., Gary D. Ellis and Russell Dorsey

1997 Yulee Sugar Mill Ruins: The Archaeological and Historical Study of the State Historic Site, Citrus County. Manuscript on file, Florida Division of Historical Resources, Tallahassee.

Department of Environmental Protection, State of Florida (DEP)

1835 Plat. Township 17 South, Range 17 East; Township 18 South, Range 17 East

1845 Plat. Township 19 South, Range 17 East

1848 Plat. Township 20 South, Range 17 East.

n.d. Field Notes. Volumes 53, 153.

n.d. Tract Book. Volumes 14, 15.

Dunn, Hampton

1989 Back Home: A History of Citrus County, Florida. 2nd edition, Citrus County Historical Society, Inc., Inverness.

Ebert, Catherine and Gary V. Goodwin

1997 National Register of Historic Places Nomination, Crystal River Old City Hall. On file, Florida Division of Historical Resources, Tallahassee.

Elliott & Associates

1992 Historical, Architectural, and Archaeological Survey, City of Inverness Project Report. Manuscript on file, Florida Division of Historical Resources, Tallahassee.

Federal Writers Project

1939 Florida: A Guide to the Southernmost State. Oxford University Press, New York.

Jackle, John A. and Keith A. Sculle

1994 The Gas Station in American. John Hopkins University Press, Baltimore.

Kendrick, Baynard

1964 Florida Trails to Turnpikes, 1914-1964. University Press of Florida, Gainesville.

Laurie, Murray

1993 National Register of Historic Places Nomination, Florida City Historic District (8CI788). On file, Florida Division of Historical Resources, Tallahassee.

Mahon, John K.

1967 History of the Second Seminole War 1835-1842. University Press of Florida, Gainesville.

Mann, Robert W.

1983 Rails Neath the Palms. Darwin Publications, Burbank.

Mattick, Barbara E.

1992 National Register of Historic Places Nomination, Citrus County Courthouse (8CI161). On file, Florida Division of Historical Resources, Tallahassee.

Pettengill, George W., Jr.

1952 The Story of the Florida Railroads 1834-1903. Bulletin No. 86. The Railway & Locomotive Historical Society, Inc., Boston.

Purdum, Elizabeth D.

1994 Florida County Atlas and Municipal Fact Book. Institute of Science and Public Affairs, Florida State University, Tallahassee.

Schafer, Daniel L.

1996 U.S. Territory and State. In The New History of Florida, edited by Michael Gannon. University Press of Florida, Gainesville.

Schuck, J. P.

1970 National Register of Historic Places Nomination, Fort Cooper. Manuscript on file, Florida Division of Historical Resources, Tallahassee.

St. Petersburg Times

1978 **M**aggie Smith and Her Fish House: A Mirror Into the Past. **@**

Tebeau, Charlton W.

1966 Florida's Last Frontier: The History of Collier County. University of Miami Press, Coral Gables.

1971 A History of Florida. University of Miami Press, Coral Gables. Revised 1980. Softcover reprinted, 1992.

Turner-Thompson, Kathy

2001 Citrus County Historical Resources, Inverness, Florida. Telephone Interview with Jeanette Knowles, October 9 and 12, 2001.

APPENDIX A: Florida Site File (FSF) Forms

ARCHAEOLOGICAL SITE FORM FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE


Site #8 C1133
Recorder Site #
Field Date 12/26/01
Form Date 1/13/02

Version 2.2 3/97

Consult Guide to Archaeological Site Forms for detailed instructions.

Original
 Update
(give site #)

Site Name(s) Intersection Multiple Listing [DHR only]
Project Name US 19 (SR 55) PD&E FMSF Survey #
Ownership: private-profit private-nonprofit private-individ. private-unspecifd. city county state federal foreign Native Amer. unknown



TYPE OF SITE (Check all choices that apply. If needed write others in at bottom)

- | SETTING * | | STRUCTURES - OR - FEATURES * | | FUNCTION * | |
|--|---|---|---|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Land- terrestrial | <input type="checkbox"/> Lake/Pond- lacustrine | <input type="checkbox"/> aboriginal boat | <input type="checkbox"/> fort | <input type="checkbox"/> road segment | <input type="checkbox"/> none specified |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Cave/Sink- subterranean | <input type="checkbox"/> River/Stream/Creek- riverine | <input type="checkbox"/> agric./farm building | <input type="checkbox"/> midden | <input type="checkbox"/> shell midden | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> campsite |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> terrestrial | <input type="checkbox"/> Tidal- estuarine | <input type="checkbox"/> burial mound | <input type="checkbox"/> mill unspecified | <input type="checkbox"/> shell mound | <input type="checkbox"/> extractive site |
| <input type="checkbox"/> aquatic | <input type="checkbox"/> Saltwater- marine | <input type="checkbox"/> building remains | <input type="checkbox"/> mission | <input type="checkbox"/> shipwreck | <input type="checkbox"/> habitation (prehistoric) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> intermittently flooded | <input type="checkbox"/> marine unspecified | <input type="checkbox"/> cemetery/grave | <input type="checkbox"/> mound unspec. | <input type="checkbox"/> subsurface features | <input type="checkbox"/> homestead (historic) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Wetland- palustrine | <input type="checkbox"/> "high energy" marine | <input type="checkbox"/> dump/refuse | <input type="checkbox"/> plantation | <input type="checkbox"/> surface scatter | <input type="checkbox"/> farmstead |
| <input type="checkbox"/> usually flooded | <input type="checkbox"/> "low energy" marine | <input type="checkbox"/> earthworks | <input type="checkbox"/> platform mound | <input type="checkbox"/> well | <input type="checkbox"/> village (prehistoric) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> sometimes flooded | | | | | <input type="checkbox"/> town (historic) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> usually dry | <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____ | | | | <input type="checkbox"/> quarry |

HISTORIC CONTEXTS (check all that apply, use most specific subphases, e.g. if Glades Ia only, don't also note Glades I.)

- | Aboriginal* | | | Nonaboriginal* |
|--|---|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Alachua | <input type="checkbox"/> Englewood | <input type="checkbox"/> Glades unspec. | <input type="checkbox"/> Seminole: 2d War to 3d |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Archaic, Early | <input type="checkbox"/> Fort Walton | <input type="checkbox"/> Hickory Pond | <input type="checkbox"/> Seminole: 3d War On |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Archaic, Middle | <input type="checkbox"/> Glades Ia | <input type="checkbox"/> Leon-Jefferson | <input type="checkbox"/> Seminole unspecified |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Archaic, Late | <input type="checkbox"/> Glades Ib | <input type="checkbox"/> Malabar I | <input type="checkbox"/> Swift Creek, Early |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Archaic unspecified | <input type="checkbox"/> Glades I unsp. | <input type="checkbox"/> Malabar II | <input type="checkbox"/> Swift Creek, Late |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Belle Glade I | <input type="checkbox"/> Glades I Ia | <input type="checkbox"/> Manasota | <input type="checkbox"/> Swift Creek, unspecif. |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Belle Glade II | <input type="checkbox"/> Glades I Ib | <input type="checkbox"/> Mount Taylor | <input type="checkbox"/> Transitional |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Belle Glade III | <input type="checkbox"/> Glades I Ic | <input type="checkbox"/> Norwood | <input type="checkbox"/> Weeden Island I |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Belle Glade IV | <input type="checkbox"/> Glades I unsp. | <input type="checkbox"/> Orange | <input type="checkbox"/> Weeden Island II |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Belle Glade unspec. | <input type="checkbox"/> Glades IIa | <input type="checkbox"/> Paleoindian | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weeden Island unspec. |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Cades Pond | <input type="checkbox"/> Glades IIb | <input type="checkbox"/> Pensacola | <input type="checkbox"/> Prehistoric nonceramic |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Deptford | <input type="checkbox"/> Glades IIc | <input type="checkbox"/> Perico Island | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Prehistoric ceramic |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Other | <input type="checkbox"/> Glades IIIa | <input type="checkbox"/> Safety Harbor | <input type="checkbox"/> Prehistoric unspecified |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> Glades IIIb | <input type="checkbox"/> Santa Rosa | |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> Glades IIIc | <input type="checkbox"/> Santa Rosa-Swift Creek | |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> Seminole: Colonization | |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> Seminole: 1st War To 2d | |
- (Less common phases are not check-listed. For historic sites, also give specific dates if known.)

*Consult Guide to Archaeological Site Form for preferred descriptions not listed above (data are "coded fields" at the Site File).

SURVEYOR'S EVALUATION OF SITE

Potentially eligible for a local register? yes: name of register at right no insufficient info Name of local register if eligible: _____
Individually eligible for National Register? yes no insufficient info
Potential contributor to NR district? yes no insufficient info
Explanation of Evaluation (Required if evaluated; limit to 3 lines; attach full justification) Site appears to be non-significant given the limited and mundance nature of the artifact assemblage and lack of associated cultural features.

Recommendations for Owner or SHPO Action None

DHR USE ONLY OFFICIAL EVALUATIONS DHR USE ONLY

NR DATE	KEEPER-NR ELIGIBILITY <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no	Date
DELIST DATE	SHPO-NR ELIGIBILITY <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no <input type="checkbox"/> potentially elig. <input type="checkbox"/> insufficient info	Date
	LOCAL DESIGNATION	Date
Local office		
National Register Criteria for Evaluation: <input type="checkbox"/> a <input type="checkbox"/> b <input type="checkbox"/> c <input type="checkbox"/> d (See National Register Bulletin 15, p.2)		

ARCHAEOLOGICAL SITE FORM

Consult *Guide to Archaeological Site Form* for detailed instructions.

FIELD METHODS

SITE DETECTION*

SITE BOUNDARIES*

- | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> no field check | <input type="checkbox"/> exposed ground | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> screened shovel | <input type="checkbox"/> bounds unknown | <input type="checkbox"/> remote sensing | <input type="checkbox"/> unscreened shovel |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> literature search | <input type="checkbox"/> posthole digger | _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> none by recorder | <input type="checkbox"/> insp exposed ground | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> screened shovel |
| <input type="checkbox"/> informant report | <input type="checkbox"/> auger--size: _____ | _____ | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> literature search | <input type="checkbox"/> posthole tests | <input type="checkbox"/> block excavations |
| <input type="checkbox"/> remote sensing | <input type="checkbox"/> unscreened shovel | _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> informant report | <input type="checkbox"/> auger--size: _____ | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> estimate or guess |

Other methods; number, size, depth, pattern of units; screen size (attach site plan) 24 TPs, 4 positive; 50x50x100cm; 25 meter intervals; 1/4" mesh screen.

SITE DESCRIPTION

Extent Size (m2) unk. Depth/stratigraphy of cultural deposit Site size in the APE: 300m n/s by 60m e/w; depth of cultural material 0-80 cm below surface; 0-10 cm dark grey/brown; 10-40 cm lt. brown

Temporal Interpretation*- Components (check one): single prob single prob multiple multiple uncertain unknown
Describe each occupation in plan (refer to attached large scale map) and stratigraphically. Discuss temporal and functional interpretation: _____

Integrity Overall disturbance*: none seen minor substantial major redeposited destroyed-document ! unknown
Disturbances/threats/protective measures Development/US 19 Widening/None

Surface: area collected _____ m2 # collection units _____ Excavation: # noncontiguous blocks _____

ARTIFACTS

Total Artifacts # 9C (C)ount or (E)stimate? Surface # 0 (C) or (E) Subsurface # 9C (C) or (E)

COLLECTION SELECTIVITY*

ARTIFACT CATEGORIES* and DISPOSITIONS* (example: A bone-human)

- unknown
- unselective (all artifacts)
- selective (some artifacts)
- mixed selectivity

- Pick exactly one code from Disposition List
- | | |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| _____ bone-animal | _____ exotic-nonlocal |
| _____ bone-human | _____ glass |
| _____ bone-unspecified | <u>A</u> lithics-aboriginal |
| _____ bone-worked | _____ metal-nonprecious |
| _____ brick/building debris | _____ metal-precious/coin |
| _____ ceramic-aboriginal | _____ shell-unworked |
| _____ ceramic-nonaboriginal | _____ shell-worked |
| _____ daub | Others: _____ |

- Disposition List
- A- category always collected
 - S- some items in category collected
 - O- observed first hand, but not collected
 - R- collected and subsequently left at site
 - I- informant reported category present
 - U- unknown

- ### SPATIAL CONTROL*
- uncollected
 - general (not by subarea)
 - unknown
 - controlled (by subarea)
 - variable spatial control
 - Other _____

Artifact Comments All thermally altered chert, non-decortication waste flakes: 3 xlg., 5 med., plus one flake tool

DIAGNOSTICS (Type or mode, and frequency: e.g., Suwannee ppk, heat-treated chert, Deptford Check-stamped, ironstone/whiteware)

- | | | | | | |
|----------|----------|----------|----------|-----------|----------|
| 1. _____ | N= _____ | 5. _____ | N= _____ | 9. _____ | N= _____ |
| 2. _____ | N= _____ | 6. _____ | N= _____ | 10. _____ | N= _____ |
| 3. _____ | N= _____ | 7. _____ | N= _____ | 11. _____ | N= _____ |
| 4. _____ | N= _____ | 8. _____ | N= _____ | 12. _____ | N= _____ |

ENVIRONMENT

Nearest fresh water type* & name (incl. relict source) Swamp Distance (m)/bearing 50m E

Natural community (FNAI category* or leave blank) Variable: xeric scrub, pine flats

Local vegetation Oaks, pines, vines, weeds

Topography* gently sloping Min Elevation 5 meters Max Elevation 5 meters

Present land use US 19 ROW

SCS soil series Tavares f.s. (0-5% slope) Soil association Basinger-EauGallie-Myakka

FURTHER INFORMATION

Informant(s): Name/Address/Phone/Email n/a

Describe field & analysis notes, artifacts, photos. For each, give type* (e.g., notes), curating organization *, accession #s, and short description.
Field notes, aerials, artifacts, analysis all curated at ACI, Sarasota

Manuscripts or Publications on the site (Use continuation sheet, give FMSF# if relevant) Project Development and Environment Study
Cultural Resource Assessment Survey US 19 (SR 55) from South of US 98 to CR 488, Citrus County, Florida
WPI No.: 405822 1; FAP No.: 1852 007 P

Recorder(s): Name/Addr./Phone/Email Lee Hutchinson; ACI, P.O. Box 5103, Sarasota, FL 34277; 941/925/9906; acimainfl@cs.com
Affiliation* or FAS Chapter Archaeological Consultants, Inc.

* Consult *Guide to Archaeological Site Form* for preferred descriptions not listed above (data are "coded fields" at the Site File).



SITE PLAN & USES REQUIRED: At 1:1000 (1:3600) or larger scale, show site boundaries, scale north arrow, datum, test collection units, landmarks, mapless data.



ARCHAEOLOGICAL SITE FORM

Site #8 CI133

USGS MAP



FLORIDA MASTER
SITE FILE

FDAHRM 802==

Site No. 8 Ci 142 1009==

Site Name cult vent 830==

Other Name(s) for Site _____ 930==

Other Nos. for Site _____ 906==

NR Classification Category: site - ~~archaeological resource~~ 916==

County Citrus County US 808==

Instructions for locating site (or address)  813==

Location: _____ 868==
subdivision name block no. lot no.

Owner of Site: Name _____

Address _____ 902==

Occupant, Tenant, or Manager:
Name _____

Address _____ 904==

Reporter (or local contact):
Name _____

Address _____ 816==

Recorder:
Name & Title Jones, B. Cohen (archaeologist); FDAHRM

Address _____ 818==

Survey Date 7803 820== Type Ownership private 848==

Inventory Status _____ 914==

Previous Survey(s), Excavation(s) or Collection(s): (enter activity/title of project or survey/
name/date/repository)
Survey / on-official survey Jones, B.-E/1978/FDAHRM

Recording Station ARS BHSB 839==

Specimens (Inventory Numbers) _____ 804==

Specimens (Present Repository of Materials) _____ 870==

_____ 880==

Date of Visit to Site 7803 828== Recording Date _____ 832==

Photographic Record Numbers _____


_____ 860==

Location of Site (Specific):

Map Reference (incl. scale & date)

USGS Cassaowitz 1975

MIN 1954
809==



Description of Site:

Original Use(s) of Site small ship station 838==

Site Size (approx. acreage of property) 1.4 acre 833==

Condition of Site:

- Check one
- Excellent 863==
 - Good 863==
 - Fair 863==
 - Deteriorated 863==
 - Ruins 863==
 - Unexposed 863==
 - Redeposited 863==

Integrity of Site:

- Check one or more
- Altered 858==
 - Unaltered 858==
 - Destroyed 858==
 - Restored (Date:) () 858==
 - Moved (Date:) () 858==
 - Original Site 858==

Condition of Site (Remarks): () (US 19-98 has out there)

Sifs - otherwise undamaged () () 863==

Threats to Site:

- Check one or more
- Zoning () () 878==
 - Development () () 878==
 - Deterioration () () 878==
 - Borrowing () () 878==
 - Other (See Remarks below): 878==
 - Transportation () () 878==
 - Fill () () 878==
 - Dredge () () 878==

Threats to Site (Remarks): _____

879==

Site No. 8ci 142

Site Name Culvert

ARCHAEOLOGICAL SITE DATA SUPPLEMENT

Description of Site (cont.)

Landform Gulf Coastal Lowlands 814==

Elevation ~~1-11 ft.~~ ^{5 925==} to 924== Est. Depth of Deposit 50cms 837==

Drainage 03100207 ~~Chassahowitzka River~~ coastal area from Tampa Bay
~~to with the river Chassahowitzka River~~ 810==

Soil Association(s)/Series Myakka-Basingir 836==

Vegetation 4' Forest of Longleaf Pine and Xerophytes
Oaks 834==

Water Source ~~unpaved~~ ^{250 M} to E 831==

Visible Site Features midden (?) (small scatter of lithics
only) (?)

876==

Artifacts Collected or Observed Lithics: (?) (a few small
chert flakes in deep light tan sand - none
saved) (?) 875==

Cultural Classification:

Culture/Phase _____ 840==

Developmental Stage Archaic? 842==

Probable Dates: Beginning _____ 844== Ending _____ 846==

Remarks and Recommendations No tests recommended for
this small site

835==

Major Bibliographic References _____

920==

Present Use (check one or more as appropriate)

- | | | | |
|--|---|---|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Agricultural 850== | <input type="checkbox"/> Government 850== | <input type="checkbox"/> Park 850== | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Transportation 850== |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Commercial 850== | <input type="checkbox"/> Industrial 850== | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Private Residence 850== | Other (Specify): |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Educational 850== | <input type="checkbox"/> Military 850== | <input type="checkbox"/> Religious 850== | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>used</i> 850== |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Entertainment 850== | <input type="checkbox"/> Museum 850== | <input type="checkbox"/> Scientific 850== | <input type="checkbox"/> 850== |

Period (check one or more as appropriate)

- | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Pre-Columbian 845== | <input type="checkbox"/> 16th Century 845== | <input type="checkbox"/> 18th Century 845== | <input type="checkbox"/> 20th Century 845== |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 15th Century 845== | <input type="checkbox"/> 17th Century 845== | <input type="checkbox"/> 19th Century 845== | |

Areas of Significance (check one or more as appropriate)

- | | | | |
|--|---|---|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Aboriginal 910== | <input type="checkbox"/> Community Planning 910== | <input type="checkbox"/> Landscape Architecture 910== | <input type="checkbox"/> Sculpture 910== |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Archaeology | <input type="checkbox"/> Conservation 910== | <input type="checkbox"/> Law 910== | <input type="checkbox"/> Social/Humanitarian 910== |
| Prehistoric 910== | <input type="checkbox"/> Economics 910== | <input type="checkbox"/> Literature 910== | <input type="checkbox"/> Theater 910== |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Archaeology | <input type="checkbox"/> Education 910== | <input type="checkbox"/> Military 910== | <input type="checkbox"/> Transportation 910== |
| Historic 910== | <input type="checkbox"/> Engineering 910== | <input type="checkbox"/> Music 910== | Other (Specify): |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Agriculture 910== | <input type="checkbox"/> Exploration & Settlement 910== | <input type="checkbox"/> Philosophy 910== | <input type="checkbox"/> 910== |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Architecture 910== | <input type="checkbox"/> Industry 910== | <input type="checkbox"/> Politics/Govt. 910== | <input type="checkbox"/> 910== |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Art 910== | <input type="checkbox"/> Invention 910== | <input type="checkbox"/> Religion 910== | <input type="checkbox"/> 910== |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Commerce 910== | | <input type="checkbox"/> Science 910== | <input type="checkbox"/> 910== |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Communications 910== | | | |

Description (Evidence): *A handful of chert blades only*

862==

Present & Original Physical Appearance (use continuation sheet if necessary)

small low sand ridge about same elevation of us. 98; this ridge is covered with scrub oak & pine.

935==

FLORIDA MASTER
SITE FILE

FDAH RM 802==

Site No. 8 ci 141 1009==

Site Name one pole 830==

Other Name(s) for Site _____ 930==

Other Nos. for Site _____ 906==

NR Classification Category: site - archaeological remains 916==

County Citrus 808==



_____ 813==

Location: _____ 868==
subdivision name block no. lot no.

Owner of Site: Name _____

Address _____ 902==

Occupant, Tenant, or Manager: Name _____

Address _____ 904==

Reporter (or local contact): Name _____

Address _____ 816==

Recorder: Name & Title Jones, B. (archaeologist); FDAH RM _____

Address _____ 818==

Survey Date 7803 820== Type Ownership private 848==

Inventory Status _____ 914==

Previous Survey(s), Excavation(s) or Collection(s): (enter activity/title of project or survey/
name/date/repository)

survey/un-official/Jones, B.C./1978/FDAH RM

Recording Station ARS BHSP 839==

Specimens (Inventory Numbers) _____ 804==

Specimens (Present Repository of Materials) _____ 870==


Date of Visit to Site 7803 828== Recording Date _____ 880==

Photographic Record Numbers _____ 832==

_____ 860==

Location of Site (Specific):

Map Reference (incl. scale & date) USGS Homosassa 7.5 MIN 1954 809==



Description of Site:

Original Use(s) of Site Seasonal village 838==

Site Size (approx. acreage of property) 1/2 acre 833==

Condition of Site:

Check one

- Excellent 863==
- Good 863==
- Fair 863==
- Deteriorated 863==
- Ruins 863==
- Unexposed 863==
- Redeposited 863==

Integrity of Site:

Check one or more

- Altered 858==
- Unaltered 858==
- Destroyed 858==
- Restored (Date:) 858==
- Moved (Date:) 858==
- Original Site 858==

Condition of Site (Remarks): () only change is from USGS which cut thru site () 863==

Threats to Site:

Check one or more

- Zoning () () 878==
- Development () () 878==
- Deterioration () () 878==
- Borrowing () () 878==
- Other (See Remarks below): 878==
- Transportation () () 878==
- Fill () () 878==
- Dredge () () 878==

Threats to Site (Remarks): _____

879==

ARCHAEOLOGICAL SITE DATA SUPPLEMENT

Description of Site (cont.)

Landform Gulf Coastal Lowlands 814==

Elevation 10-14 ft ^{10 925==} Est. Depth of Deposit. 30 cm 837==

Drainage 03100207 Homosassa River
to with productive River, ~~Homosassa~~ ^{MASSACHUSETTS} ~~overland~~ 810==

Soil Association(s)/Series Candler-PAOLA-TAVARES 836==

Vegetation 4; Forest of Large Leaf Pine and
Xerophytic Oaks 834==

Water Source water pond; rdg to N 831==

Visible Site Features midden: (1) (lithic and sherd
in deep light tan colored sand) (1)
876==

Artifacts Collected or Observed Lithic (~~1~~) ~~small quantity~~
of chert flakes; sherd: (1) ~~one~~
Weeden Island Plain (~~1~~) (~~1~~) (~~Not signed~~) 875==

Cultural Classification:
Culture/Phase Weeden Island (?) 840==

Developmental Stage _____ 842==

Probable Dates: Beginning _____ 844== Ending _____ 846==

Remarks and Recommendations No tests should be made
at this small site
835==

Major Bibliographic References _____
820==

Present Use (check one or more as appropriate)

- | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Agricultural 850== | <input type="checkbox"/> Government 850== | <input type="checkbox"/> Park 850== | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Transportation 850== |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Commercial 850== | <input type="checkbox"/> Industrial 850== | <input type="checkbox"/> Private Residence 850== | Other (Specify): |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Educational 850== | <input type="checkbox"/> Military 850== | <input type="checkbox"/> Religious 850== | <input type="checkbox"/> _____ 850== |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Entertainment 850== | <input type="checkbox"/> Museum 850== | <input type="checkbox"/> Scientific 850== | <input type="checkbox"/> _____ 850== |

Period (check one or more as appropriate)

- | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Pre-Columbian 845== | <input type="checkbox"/> 16th Century 845== | <input type="checkbox"/> 18th Century 845== | <input type="checkbox"/> 20th Century 845== |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 15th Century 845== | <input type="checkbox"/> 17th Century 845== | <input type="checkbox"/> 19th Century 845== | |

Areas of Significance (check one or more as appropriate)

- | | | | |
|--|---|---|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Aboriginal 910== | <input type="checkbox"/> Community Planning 910== | <input type="checkbox"/> Landscape Architecture 910== | <input type="checkbox"/> Sculpture 910== |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Archaeology | <input type="checkbox"/> Conservation 910== | <input type="checkbox"/> Law 910== | <input type="checkbox"/> Social/Humanitarian 910== |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Prehistoric 910== | <input type="checkbox"/> Economics 910== | <input type="checkbox"/> Literature 910== | <input type="checkbox"/> Theater 910== |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Archaeology | <input type="checkbox"/> Education 910== | <input type="checkbox"/> Military 910== | <input type="checkbox"/> Transportation 910== |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Historic 910== | <input type="checkbox"/> Engineering 910== | <input type="checkbox"/> Music 910== | Other (Specify): |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Agriculture 910== | <input type="checkbox"/> Exploration & Settlement 910== | <input type="checkbox"/> Philosophy 910== | <input type="checkbox"/> _____ 910== |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Architecture 910== | <input type="checkbox"/> Industry 910== | <input type="checkbox"/> Politics/Govt. 910== | <input type="checkbox"/> _____ 910== |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Art 910== | <input type="checkbox"/> Invention 910== | <input type="checkbox"/> Religion 910== | <input type="checkbox"/> _____ 910== |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Commerce 910== | | <input type="checkbox"/> Science 910== | <input type="checkbox"/> _____ 910== |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Communications 910== | | | |


Description (Evidence): *a handful of chert flakes and one plain sand tempered sherd*

862==

Present & Original Physical Appearance (use continuation sheet if necessary)

small sand wedge which is about even with elevation of US 98; appears present north side of site

935==



ARCHAEOLOGICAL SITE FORM
FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE

Site #8 C11091
Recorder Site #
Field Date 1/4/02
Form Date 1/13/02

[X] Original
[] Update
(give site #)

Version 2.2 3/97

Consult Guide to Archaeological Site Forms for detailed instructions.

Site Name(s) Suncoast Baptist
Project Name US 19 (SR 55) PD&E
Ownership: [] private-profit [] private-nonprofit [] private-individ. [] private-unspecifd. [] city [] county [X] state [] federal [] foreign [] Native Amer. [] unknown
USGS 7.5 Map Name & Date Homosassa, Fla., 1954, PR 1988 County Citrus

TYPE OF SITE (Check all choices that apply, if needed write others in at bottom)

SETTING *

STRUCTURES - OR - FEATURES *

FUNCTION *

- Land- terrestrial
Cave/Sink- subterranean
terrestrial
aquatic
intermittently flooded
Wetland- palustrine
usually flooded
sometimes flooded
usually dry
Lake/Pond- lacustrine
River/Stream/Creek- riverine
Tidal- estuarine
Saltwater- marine
marine unspecified
"high energy" marine
"low energy" marine
Other
aboriginal boat
agric/farm building
burial mound
building remains
cemetery/grave
dump/refuse
earthworks
fort
midden
mill unspecified
mission
mound unspec.
plantation
platform mound
road segment
shell midden
shell mound
shipwreck
subsurface features
surface scatter
well
none specified
campsite
extractive site
habitation (prehistoric)
homestead (historic)
farmstead
village (prehistoric)
town (historic)
quarry

HISTORIC CONTEXTS (Check all that apply, use most specific subphases, e.g., if Glades Ia only, don't also use Glades I)

- Aboriginal*
Alachua
Archaic, Early
Archaic, Middle
Archaic, Late
Archaic unspecified
Belle Glade I
Belle Glade II
Belle Glade III
Belle Glade IV
Belle Glade unsp.
Cades Pond
Deptford
Other
Englewood
Fort Walton
Glades Ia
Glades Ib
Glades I unsp.
Glades IIa
Glades IIb
Glades IIc
Glades II unsp.
Glades IIIa
Glades IIIb
Glades IIIc
Glades III unsp.
Glades unsp.
Hickory Pond
Leon-Jefferson
Malabar I
Malabar II
Manasota
Mount Taylor
Norwood
Orange
Paleoindian
Pensacola
Perico Island
Safety Harbor
St. Augustine
St. Johns Ia
St. Johns Ib
St. Johns I unsp.
St. Johns IIa
St. Johns IIb
St. Johns IIc
St. Johns II unsp.
St. Johns unsp.
St. Johns unsp.
Santa Rosa
Santa Rosa-Swift Creek
Seminole: Colonization
Seminole: 1st War To 2d
Seminole: 2d War to 3d
Seminole: 3d War On
Seminole unspecified
Swift Creek, Early
Swift Creek, Late
Swift Creek, unsp.
Transitional
Weeden Island I
Weeden Island II
Weeden Island unsp.
Prehistoric nonceramic
Prehistoric ceramic
Prehistoric unspecified
Nonaboriginal*
First Spanish 1513-99
First Spanish 1600-99
First Spanish 1700-1763
First Spanish unspecified
British 1763-1783
Second Spanish 1783-1821
American Territorial 1821-45
American Civil War 1861-65
American 19th Century
American 20th Century
American unspecified
African-American

*Consult Guide to Archaeological Site Form for preferred descriptions not listed above (data are "coded fields" at the Site File).

SURVEYOR'S EVALUATION OF SITE

Potentially eligible for a local register? [] yes: name of register at right [X] no [] insufficient info Name of local register if eligible:
Individually eligible for National Register? [] yes [X] no [] insufficient info
Potential contributor to NR district? [] yes [X] no [] insufficient info

Explanation of Evaluation (Required if evaluated; limit to 3 lines; attach full justification) Site appears to be non-significant given the limited and mundance nature of the artifact assemblage and lack of associated cultural features.

Recommendations for Owner or SHPO Action None

DHR USE ONLY OFFICIAL EVALUATIONS DHR USE ONLY

Table with columns for NR DATE, DELIST DATE, KEEPER-NR ELIGIBILITY, SHPO-NR ELIGIBILITY, LOCAL DESIGNATION, and Date. Includes checkboxes for 'yes', 'no', 'potentially elig.', and 'insufficient info'.

ARCHAEOLOGICAL SITE FORM

Site # 8 CI1091

Consult *Guide to Archaeological Site Form* for detailed instructions.

FIELD METHODS

SITE DETECTION*

- no field check
- literature search
- informant report
- remote sensing
- exposed ground
- posthole digger
- auger--size: _____
- unscreened shovel
- screened shovel

SITE BOUNDARIES*

- bounds unknown
- none by recorder
- literature search
- informant report
- remote sensing
- insp exposed ground
- posthole tests
- auger--size: _____
- unscreened shovel
- screened shovel
- block excavations
- estimate or guess

Other methods; number, size, depth, pattern of units; screen size (attach site plan) 16 TP's, 3 positive; 50x50x100cm; 25 and 50 meter intervals; 1/4" mesh screen

SITE DESCRIPTION

Extent Size (m2) 1250 Depth/stratigraphy of cultural deposit Site size in the APE: 125m n/s by 10m e/w; depth of cultural material 50-100 cm below surface; 0-15 cm grey/brown; 15-100 cm yellow/brown

Temporal Interpretation*- Components (check one): single prob single prob multiple multiple uncertain unknown
Describe each occupation in plan (refer to attached large scale map) and stratigraphically. Discuss temporal and functional interpretation: _____

Integrity Overall disturbance*: none seen minor substantial major redeposited destroyed-document ! unknown
Disturbances/threats/protective measures Development/US 19 Widening/None

Surface: area collected _____ m2 # collection units _____ Excavation: # noncontiguous blocks _____

ARTIFACTS

Total Artifacts # 7C (C)ount or (E)stimate? Surface # 0 (C) or (E) Subsurface # 7C (C) or (E)

COLLECTION SELECTIVITY*

- unknown
- unselective (all artifacts)
- selective (some artifacts)
- mixed selectivity

SPATIAL CONTROL*

- uncollected
- unknown
- Other _____
- general (not by subarea)
- controlled (by subarea)
- variable spatial control

ARTIFACT CATEGORIES* and DISPOSITIONS* (example: A bone-human)

- Pick exactly one-code from Disposition List
- | | |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| _____ bone-animal | _____ exotic-nonlocal |
| _____ bone-human | _____ glass |
| _____ bone-unspecified | <u>A</u> lithics-aboriginal |
| _____ bone-worked | _____ metal-nonprecious |
| _____ brick/building debris | _____ metal-precious/coin |
| _____ ceramic-aboriginal | _____ shell-unworked |
| _____ ceramic-nonaboriginal | _____ shell-worked |
| _____ daub | _____ Others: _____ |

Disposition List

- A**- category always collected
- S**- some items in category collected
- O**- observed first hand, but not collected
- R**- collected and subsequently left at site
- I**- informant reported category present
- U**- unknown

Artifact Comments All but one was thermally altered; chert, non-decortication waste flakes: 2 sm; 2 md, 1 lg; 1exlg sec. nd, 1 flake tool

DIAGNOSTICS (Type or mode, and frequency: e.g., Suwannee ppk, heat-treated chert, Deptford Check-stamped, ironstone/whiteware)

- | | | | | | |
|----------|----|----------|----|-----------|----|
| 1. _____ | N= | 5. _____ | N= | 9. _____ | N= |
| 2. _____ | N= | 6. _____ | N= | 10. _____ | N= |
| 3. _____ | N= | 7. _____ | N= | 11. _____ | N= |
| 4. _____ | N= | 8. _____ | N= | 12. _____ | N= |

ENVIRONMENT

Nearest fresh water type* & name (incl. relict source) Swamp Distance (m)/bearing 100 m W
 Natural community (FNAI category* or leave blank) Variable: xeric scrub, pine flats
 Local vegetation weeds, pine
 Topography* sloping Min Elevation 3 meters Max Elevation 3 meters
 Present land use US 19 ROW
 SCS soil series Tavares f.s. (0-5% slope); Adamsville f.s. Soil association Basinger-EauGallie-Myakka

FURTHER INFORMATION

Informant(s): Name/Address/Phone/Email n/a
 Describe field & analysis notes, artifacts, photos. For each, give type* (e.g., notes), curating organization *, accession #s, and short description.
 Field notes, aeriels, artifacts, analysis all curated at ACI, Sarasota

Manuscripts or Publications on the site (Use continuation sheet, give FMSF# if relevant) Project Development and Environment Study Cultural Resource Assessment Survey US 19 (SR 55) from South of US 98 to CR 488, Citrus County, Florida

WPI No.: 405822 1; FAP No.: 1852 007 P

Recorder(s): Name/Addr./Phone/Email Lee Hutchinson; ACI, P.O. Box 5103, Sarasota, FL 34277; 941/925/9906; acimainfl@cs.com
Affiliation* or FAS Chapter Archaeological Consultants, Inc.

* Consult *Guide to Archaeological Site Form* for preferred descriptions not listed above (data are "coded fields" at the Site File).

SITE PLAN & USGS REQUIRED: A 1:300 (1:3000) or larger scale, show site boundaries, scale north arrow, datum, test/collection units, landmarks, mappers, date





ARCHAEOLOGICAL SITE FORM

Site #8 CI1091

USGS MAP

Homosassa, Fla. 1954, PR 1988



ARCHAEOLOGICAL SITE FORM FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE

Site #8 CI140


- Original
- Update
(give site #)

Version 2.2 3/97

Consult Guide to Archaeological Site Forms for detailed instructions.

Recorder Site # _____
 Field Date 1/3/02
 Form Date 1/13/02

Site Name(s) East Graveyard Multiple Listing (DHR only)
 Project Name US 19 (SR 55) PD&E FMSF Survey # _____
 Ownership: private-profit private-nonprofit private-individ. private-unspecifd. city county state federal foreign Native Amer. unknown
 USGS 7.5 Map Name & Date Homosassa, Fla., 1954, PR 1988 County Citrus



TYPE OF SITE (Check all choices that apply; if needed write others in at bottom)

SETTING *

- Land- terrestrial
- Cave/Sink- subterranean
- terrestrial
- aquatic
- intermittently flooded
- Wetland- palustrine
- usually flooded
- sometimes flooded
- usually dry
- Lake/Pond- lacustrine
- River/Stream/Creek- riverine
- Tidal- estuarine
- Saltwater- marine
- marine unspecified
- "high energy" marine
- "low energy" marine
- Other _____

STRUCTURES - OR - FEATURES *

- aboriginal boat
- agric/farm building
- burial mound
- building remains
- cemetery/grave
- dump/refuse
- earthworks
- fort
- midden
- mill unspecified
- mission
- mound unspec.
- plantation
- platform mound
- road segment
- shell midden
- shell mound
- shipwreck
- subsurface features
- surface scatter
- well

FUNCTION *

- none specified
- campsite
- extractive site
- habitation (prehistoric)
- homestead (historic)
- farmstead
- village (prehistoric)
- town (historic)
- quarry

HISTORIC CONTEXTS (Check all that apply; use most specific subphases; e.g., if Glades Ia only, don't also use Glades I)

- | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------|----------------------------------|---|--|--|---|--|---|--|---|---|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|---|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|---|---------------------------------------|---|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------------------|--|--|--|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|---|--|-------------------------------------|---|---|--|---|--|---|---|--|---|---------------------------------------|--|---|--|--|--|--|-----------------------|--|--|--|--|--|---|---|---|--|--|---|---|
| Aboriginal* | <input type="checkbox"/> Alachua | <input type="checkbox"/> Archaic, Early | <input type="checkbox"/> Archaic, Middle | <input type="checkbox"/> Archaic, Late | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Archaic unspecified | <input type="checkbox"/> Belle Glade I | <input type="checkbox"/> Belle Glade II | <input type="checkbox"/> Belle Glade III | <input type="checkbox"/> Belle Glade IV | <input type="checkbox"/> Belle Glade unspec | <input type="checkbox"/> Cades Pond | <input type="checkbox"/> Deptford | <input type="checkbox"/> Other | <input type="checkbox"/> Englewood | <input type="checkbox"/> Fort Walton | <input type="checkbox"/> Glades Ia | <input type="checkbox"/> Glades Ib | <input type="checkbox"/> Glades I unsp. | <input type="checkbox"/> Glades IIa | <input type="checkbox"/> Glades IIb | <input type="checkbox"/> Glades IIc | <input type="checkbox"/> Glades II unsp. | <input type="checkbox"/> Glades IIIa | <input type="checkbox"/> Glades IIIb | <input type="checkbox"/> Glades IIIc | <input type="checkbox"/> Glades III unsp. | <input type="checkbox"/> Glades unspec. | <input type="checkbox"/> Hickory Pond | <input type="checkbox"/> Leon-Jefferson | <input type="checkbox"/> Malabar I | <input type="checkbox"/> Malabar II | <input type="checkbox"/> Manasota | <input type="checkbox"/> Mount Taylor | <input type="checkbox"/> Norwood | <input type="checkbox"/> Orange | <input type="checkbox"/> Paleoindian | <input type="checkbox"/> Pensacola | <input type="checkbox"/> Perico Island | <input type="checkbox"/> Safety Harbor | <input type="checkbox"/> St. Augustine | <input type="checkbox"/> St. Johns Ia | <input type="checkbox"/> St. Johns Ib | <input type="checkbox"/> St. Johns I unspec. | <input type="checkbox"/> St. Johns IIa | <input type="checkbox"/> St. Johns IIb | <input type="checkbox"/> St. Johns IIc | <input type="checkbox"/> St. Johns II unspec. | <input type="checkbox"/> St. Johns unspecif. | <input type="checkbox"/> Santa Rosa | <input type="checkbox"/> Santa Rosa-Swift Creek | <input type="checkbox"/> Seminole: Colonization | <input type="checkbox"/> Seminole: 1st War To 2d | <input type="checkbox"/> Seminole: 2d War to 3d | <input type="checkbox"/> Seminole: 3d War On | <input type="checkbox"/> Seminole unspecified | <input type="checkbox"/> Swift Creek, Early | <input type="checkbox"/> Swift Creek, Late | <input type="checkbox"/> Swift Creek, unspecif. | <input type="checkbox"/> Transitional | <input type="checkbox"/> Weeden Island I | <input type="checkbox"/> Weeden Island II | <input type="checkbox"/> Weeden Island unspec. | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Prehistoric nonceramic | <input type="checkbox"/> Prehistoric ceramic | <input type="checkbox"/> Prehistoric unspecified | Nonaboriginal* | <input type="checkbox"/> First Spanish 1513-99 | <input type="checkbox"/> First Spanish 1600-99 | <input type="checkbox"/> First Spanish 1700-1763 | <input type="checkbox"/> First Spanish unspecified | <input type="checkbox"/> British 1763-1783 | <input type="checkbox"/> Second Spanish 1783-1821 | <input type="checkbox"/> American Territorial 1821-45 | <input type="checkbox"/> American Civil War 1861-65 | <input type="checkbox"/> American 19th Century | <input type="checkbox"/> American 20th Century | <input type="checkbox"/> American unspecified | <input type="checkbox"/> African-American |
|--------------------|----------------------------------|---|--|--|---|--|---|--|---|---|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|---|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|---|---------------------------------------|---|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------------------|--|--|--|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|---|--|-------------------------------------|---|---|--|---|--|---|---|--|---|---------------------------------------|--|---|--|--|--|--|-----------------------|--|--|--|--|--|---|---|---|--|--|---|---|
- (Less common phases are not check-listed. For historic sites, also give specific dates if known.)

*Consult Guide to Archaeological Site Form for preferred descriptions not listed above (data are "coded fields" at the Site File).

SURVEYOR'S EVALUATION OF SITE

Potentially eligible for a local register? yes: name of register at right no insufficient info Name of local register if eligible: _____
 Individually eligible for National Register? yes no insufficient info
 Potential contributor to NR district? yes no insufficient info
 Explanation of Evaluation (Required if evaluated; limit to 3 lines; attach full justification) Site appears to be non-significant given the limited and mundance nature of the artifact assemblage and lack of associated cultural features.

Recommendations for Owner or SHPO Action None

DHR USE ONLY OFFICIAL EVALUATIONS DHR USE ONLY

NR DATE	KEEPER-NR ELIGIBILITY <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no	Date
DELIST DATE	SHPO-NR ELIGIBILITY <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no <input type="checkbox"/> potentially elig. <input type="checkbox"/> insufficient info	Date
	LOCAL DESIGNATION	Date
Local office _____		
National Register Criteria for Evaluation <input type="checkbox"/> a <input type="checkbox"/> b <input type="checkbox"/> c <input type="checkbox"/> d (See National Register Bulletin 15, p.2)		

ARCHAEOLOGICAL SITE FORM

Site # 8 C1140

Consult *Guide to Archaeological Site Form* for detailed instructions.

FIELD METHODS

SITE DETECTION*

- no field check
- literature search
- informant report
- remote sensing
- exposed ground
- posthole digger
- auger--size: _____
- unscreened shovel
- screened shovel

SITE BOUNDARIES*

- bounds unknown
- none by recorder
- literature search
- informant report
- remote sensing
- insp exposed ground
- posthole tests
- auger--size: _____
- unscreened shovel
- screened shovel
- block excavations
- estimate or guess

Other methods; number, size, depth, pattern of units; screen size (attach site plan) 13 TP's, 2 positive; 50x50x100cm; 25 meter intervals; 1/4" mesh screen

SITE DESCRIPTION

Extent Size (m2) unk Depth/stratigraphy of cultural deposit Site size in the APE: 250m n/s by 75m e/w; depth of cultural material 20-80 cm below surface; 0-40 cm grey/brown; 40-100 cm yellow sand

Temporal Interpretation*- Components (check one): single prob single prob multiple multiple uncertain unknown
Describe each occupation in plan (refer to attached large scale map) and stratigraphically. Discuss temporal and functional interpretation: _____

Integrity Overall disturbance*: none seen minor substantial major redeposited destroyed-document ! unknown
Disturbances/threats/protective measures Development/US 19 Widening/None

Surface: area collected _____ m2 # collection units _____ Excavation: # noncontiguous blocks _____

ARTIFACTS

Total Artifacts # 2C (C)ount or (E)stimate? Surface # 0 (C) or (E) Subsurface # 2C (C) or (E)

COLLECTION SELECTIVITY*

- unknown
- unselective (all artifacts)
- selective (some artifacts)
- mixed selectivity

SPATIAL CONTROL*

- uncollected
- unknown
- Other _____
- general (not by subarea)
- controlled (by subarea)
- variable spatial control

ARTIFACT CATEGORIES* and DISPOSITIONS* (example: A bone-human)

- Pick exactly one code from Disposition List
- | | |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| _____ bone-animal | _____ exotic-nonlocal |
| _____ bone-human | _____ glass |
| _____ bone-unspecified | <u>A</u> lithics-aboriginal |
| _____ bone-worked | _____ metal-nonprecious |
| _____ brick/building debris | _____ metal-precious/coin |
| _____ ceramic-aboriginal | _____ shell-unworked |
| _____ ceramic-nonaboriginal | _____ shell-worked |
| _____ daub | _____ Others: _____ |

Disposition List*

- A** - category always collected
- S** - some items in category collected
- O** - observed first hand, but not collected
- R** - collected and subsequently left at site
- I** - informant reported category present
- U** - unknown

Artifact Comments 1 lg thermally altered (TA), non-decortication chert waste flake, 1 exlg non-TA non-decort. flake

DIAGNOSTICS (Type or mode, and frequency: e.g., Suwannee ppk, heat-treated chert, Deptford Check-stamped, ironstone/whiteware)

- | | | | | | |
|----------|----|----------|----|-----------|----|
| 1. _____ | N= | 5. _____ | N= | 9. _____ | N= |
| 2. _____ | N= | 6. _____ | N= | 10. _____ | N= |
| 3. _____ | N= | 7. _____ | N= | 11. _____ | N= |
| 4. _____ | N= | 8. _____ | N= | 12. _____ | N= |

ENVIRONMENT

Nearest fresh water type* & name (incl. relict source) Sloughs Distance (m)/bearing unk W
 Natural community (FNAI category* or leave blank) pine flats
 Local vegetation weeds, pine
 Topography* base of slope Min Elevation 3 meters Max Elevation 3 meters
 Present land use US 19 ROW
 SCS soil series Adamsville f.s. Soil association Basinger-EauGallie-Myakka

FURTHER INFORMATION

Informant(s): Name/Address/Phone/Email n/a
 Describe field & analysis notes, artifacts, photos. For each, give type* (e.g., notes), curating organization *, accession #s, and short description.
 Field notes, aerials, artifacts, analysis all curated at ACI, Sarasota

Manuscripts or Publications on the site (Use continuation sheet, give FMSF# if relevant) Project Development and Environment Study Cultural Resource Assessment Survey US 19 (SR 55) from South of US 98 to CR 488, Citrus County, Florida
 WPI No.: 405822 1; FAP No.: 1852 007 P

Recorder(s): Name/Addr./Phone/Email Lee Hutchinson; ACI, P.O. Box 5103, Sarasota, FL 34277; 941/925/9906; acimainfl@cs.com
 Affiliation* or FAS Chapter Archaeological Consultants, Inc.

* Consult *Guide to Archaeological Site Form* for preferred descriptions not listed above (data are "coded fields" at the Site File).

SITE PLAN & USGS REQUIRED (at 1:300 (1:3600) or larger scale, show site boundaries, scale north arrow, datum, test collection units, landmarks, mappers, date)





ARCHAEOLOGICAL SITE FORM

Site #8CI140

USGS MAP

Homosassa, Fla. 1954, PR 1988



ARCHAEOLOGICAL SITE FORM FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE


Site #8 C1139
Recorder Site # _____
Field Date 12/27/01
Form Date 1/13/02

Version 2.2 3/97

Consult Guide to Archaeological Site Forms for detailed instructions.

Original
 Update
(give site #)

Site Name(s) Graveyard Site Multiple Listing (DHR only)
Project Name US 19 (SR 55) PD&E FMSF Survey # _____
Ownership: private-profit private-nonprofit private-individ. private-unspecifd. city county state federal foreign Native Amer. unknown
USGS 7.5 Map Name & Date Homosassa, Fla., 1954, PR 1988 County Citrus



TYPE OF SITE (Check all choices that apply; if needed write others in at bottom)

SETTING *		STRUCTURES - OR - FEATURES *		FUNCTION *	
<input type="checkbox"/> Land- terrestrial	<input type="checkbox"/> Lake/Pond- lacustrine	<input type="checkbox"/> aboriginal boat	<input type="checkbox"/> fort	<input type="checkbox"/> road segment	<input type="checkbox"/> none specified
<input type="checkbox"/> Cave/Sink- subterranean	<input type="checkbox"/> River/Stream/Creek- riverine	<input type="checkbox"/> agric/farm building	<input type="checkbox"/> midden	<input type="checkbox"/> shell midden	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> campsite
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> terrestrial	<input type="checkbox"/> Tidal- estuarine	<input type="checkbox"/> burial mound	<input type="checkbox"/> mill unspecified	<input type="checkbox"/> shell mound	<input type="checkbox"/> extractive site
<input type="checkbox"/> aquatic	<input type="checkbox"/> Saltwater- marine	<input type="checkbox"/> building remains	<input type="checkbox"/> mission	<input type="checkbox"/> shipwreck	<input type="checkbox"/> habitation (prehistoric)
<input type="checkbox"/> intermittently flooded	<input type="checkbox"/> marine unspecified	<input type="checkbox"/> cemetery/grave	<input type="checkbox"/> mound unspec.	<input type="checkbox"/> subsurface features	<input type="checkbox"/> homestead (historic)
<input type="checkbox"/> Wetland- palustrine	<input type="checkbox"/> "high energy" marine	<input type="checkbox"/> dump/refuse	<input type="checkbox"/> plantation	<input type="checkbox"/> surface scatter	<input type="checkbox"/> farmstead
<input type="checkbox"/> usually flooded	<input type="checkbox"/> "low energy" marine	<input type="checkbox"/> earthworks	<input type="checkbox"/> platform mound	<input type="checkbox"/> well	<input type="checkbox"/> village (prehistoric)
<input type="checkbox"/> sometimes flooded					<input type="checkbox"/> town (historic)
<input type="checkbox"/> usually dry	<input type="checkbox"/> Other _____				<input type="checkbox"/> quarry

HISTORIC CONTEXTS (Check all that apply; use most specific subphases; e.g. if Glades Ia only, don't also use Glades I)

Aboriginal*			Nonaboriginal*
<input type="checkbox"/> Alachua	<input type="checkbox"/> Englewood	<input type="checkbox"/> Glades unspec.	<input type="checkbox"/> Seminole: 2d War to 3d
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Archaic, Early	<input type="checkbox"/> Fort Walton	<input type="checkbox"/> Hickory Pond	<input type="checkbox"/> Seminole: 3d War On
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Archaic, Middle	<input type="checkbox"/> Glades Ia	<input type="checkbox"/> Leon-Jefferson	<input type="checkbox"/> Seminole unspecified
<input type="checkbox"/> Archaic, Late	<input type="checkbox"/> Glades Ib	<input type="checkbox"/> Malabar I	<input type="checkbox"/> Swift Creek, Early
<input type="checkbox"/> Archaic unspecified	<input type="checkbox"/> Glades I unsp.	<input type="checkbox"/> Malabar II	<input type="checkbox"/> Swift Creek, Late
<input type="checkbox"/> Belle Glade I	<input type="checkbox"/> Glades IIa	<input type="checkbox"/> Manasota	<input type="checkbox"/> Swift Creek, unspecif.
<input type="checkbox"/> Belle Glade II	<input type="checkbox"/> Glades IIb	<input type="checkbox"/> Mount Taylor	<input type="checkbox"/> Transitional
<input type="checkbox"/> Belle Glade III	<input type="checkbox"/> Glades IIc	<input type="checkbox"/> Norwood	<input type="checkbox"/> Weeden Island I
<input type="checkbox"/> Belle Glade IV	<input type="checkbox"/> Glades II unsp.	<input type="checkbox"/> Orange	<input type="checkbox"/> Weeden Island II
<input type="checkbox"/> Belle Glade unsp.	<input type="checkbox"/> Glades IIIa	<input type="checkbox"/> Paleoindian	<input type="checkbox"/> Weeden Island unspec.
<input type="checkbox"/> Cades Pond	<input type="checkbox"/> Glades IIIb	<input type="checkbox"/> Pensacola	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Prehistoric nonceramic
<input type="checkbox"/> Deptford	<input type="checkbox"/> Glades IIIc	<input type="checkbox"/> Perico Island	<input type="checkbox"/> Prehistoric ceramic
<input type="checkbox"/> Other _____	<input type="checkbox"/> Glades III unsp.	<input type="checkbox"/> Safety Harbor	<input type="checkbox"/> Prehistoric unspecified
		<input type="checkbox"/> Seminole: 1st War To 2d	<input type="checkbox"/> African-American

(Less common phases are not check-listed. For historic sites, also give specific dates if known.)

*Consult Guide to Archaeological Site Form for preferred descriptions not listed above (data are "coded fields" at the Site File).

SURVEYOR'S EVALUATION OF SITE

Potentially eligible for a local register? yes: name of register at right no insufficient info Name of local register if eligible: _____

Individually eligible for National Register? yes no insufficient info _____

Potential contributor to NR district? yes no insufficient info _____

Explanation of Evaluation (Required if evaluated; limit to 3 lines; attach full justification) Site appears to be non-significant given the limited and mundance nature of the artifact assemblage and lack of associated cultural features.

Recommendations for Owner or SHPO Action None

DHR USE ONLY ***** OFFICIAL EVALUATIONS ***** DHR USE ONLY

NR DATE	KEEPER-NR ELIGIBILITY <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no	Date
DELIST DATE	SHPO-NR ELIGIBILITY <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no <input type="checkbox"/> potentially elig. <input type="checkbox"/> insufficient info	Date
	LOCAL DESIGNATION	Date
Local office		
National Register Criteria for Evaluation <input type="checkbox"/> a <input type="checkbox"/> b <input type="checkbox"/> c <input type="checkbox"/> d (See National Register Bulletin 15, p.2)		

ARCHAEOLOGICAL SITE FORM

Site # 8 C1139

Consult *Guide to Archaeological Site Form* for detailed instructions.

FIELD METHODS

SITE DETECTION*

- no field check
- literature search
- informant report
- remote sensing
- exposed ground
- posthole digger
- auger--size: _____
- unscreened shovel
- screened shovel

SITE BOUNDARIES*

- bounds unknown
- literature search
- informant report
- remote sensing
- insp exposed ground
- posthole tests
- auger--size: _____
- unscreened shovel
- screened shovel
- block excavations
- estimate or guess

Other methods; number, size, depth, pattern of units; screen size (attach site plan) 20 TP's, 11 positive; 50x50x100cm; 25 meter intervals; 1/4" mesh screen

SITE DESCRIPTION

Extent Size (m2) unk Depth/stratigraphy of cultural deposit Site size in the APE: 274m n/s by 75m e/w; depth of cultural material 0-100 cm below surface; 0-30 cm grey/brown; 30-100 cm yellow/brown

Temporal Interpretation*- Components (check one): single prob single prob multiple multiple uncertain unknown
Describe each occupation in plan (refer to attached large scale map) and stratigraphically. Discuss temporal and functional interpretation: _____

Integrity Overall disturbance*: none seen minor substantial major redeposited destroyed-document ! unknown
Disturbances/threats/protective measures Development/US 19 Widening/None

Surface: area collected _____ m2 # collection units _____ Excavation: # noncontiguous blocks _____

ARTIFACTS

Total Artifacts # 99C (C)ount or (E)stimate? Surface # 57C (C) or (E) Subsurface # 42C (C) or (E)

COLLECTION SELECTIVITY*

- unknown
- unselective (all artifacts)
- selective (some artifacts)
- mixed selectivity

SPATIAL CONTROL*

- uncollected
- unknown
- Other _____
- general (not by subarea)
- controlled (by subarea)
- variable spatial control

ARTIFACT CATEGORIES* and DISPOSITIONS* (example: A bone-human)

- Pick exactly one code from Disposition List
- _____ bone-animal
 - _____ bone-human
 - _____ bone-unspecified
 - _____ bone-worked
 - _____ brick/building debris
 - _____ ceramic-aboriginal
 - S _____ ceramic-nonaboriginal
 - _____ daub
 - _____ exotic-nonlocal
 - S _____ glass
 - A _____ lithics-aboriginal
 - _____ metal-nonprecious
 - _____ metal-precious/coin
 - _____ shell-unworked
 - _____ shell-worked
 - Others: _____

Disposition List*

- A- category always collected
- S- some items in category collected
- O- observed first hand, but not collected
- R- collected and subsequently left at site
- I- informant reported category present
- U- unknown

Artifact Comments 95 waste flakes, all chert, all but 5 thermally altered; size range from small to XX large. 5 expedient chert flake tools.

DIAGNOSTICS (Type or mode, and frequency: e.g., Suwannee ppk, heat-treated chert, Deptford Check-stamped, ironstone/whiteware)

- | | | | | | |
|----------|----------|----------|----------|-----------|----------|
| 1. _____ | N= _____ | 5. _____ | N= _____ | 9. _____ | N= _____ |
| 2. _____ | N= _____ | 6. _____ | N= _____ | 10. _____ | N= _____ |
| 3. _____ | N= _____ | 7. _____ | N= _____ | 11. _____ | N= _____ |
| 4. _____ | N= _____ | 8. _____ | N= _____ | 12. _____ | N= _____ |

ENVIRONMENT

Nearest fresh water type* & name (incl. relict source) Slough Distance (m)/bearing adj. E
 Natural community (FNAI category* or leave blank) Variable: xeric scrub, pine flats
 Local vegetation Oaks, pines, vines, weeds
 Topography* ridge Min Elevation 3 meters Max Elevation 3 meters
 Present land use US 19 ROW
 SCS soil series Tavares f.s. (0-5% slope) Soil association Basinger-EauGallie-Myakka

FURTHER INFORMATION

Informant(s): Name/Address/Phone/Email n/a
 Describe field & analysis notes, artifacts, photos. For each, give type* (e.g., notes), curating organization *, accession #s, and short description.
 Field notes, aeriels, artifacts, analysis all curated at ACI, Sarasota

Manuscripts or Publications on the site (Use continuation sheet, give FMSF# if relevant) Project Development and Environment Study Cultural Resource Assessment Survey US 19 (SR 55) from South of US 98 to CR 488, Citrus County, Florida

WPI No.: 405822 1; FAP No.: 1852 007 P



Recorder(s): Name/Addr./Phone/Email Lee Hutchinson; ACI, P.O. Box 5103, Sarasota, FL 34277; 941/925/9906; acimainfl@cs.com
 Affiliation* or FAS Chapter Archaeological Consultants, Inc.

* Consult *Guide to Archaeological Site Form* for preferred descriptions not listed above (data are "coded fields" at the Site File).



USGS MAP

Homosassa, Fla. 1954, PR 1988



ARCHAEOLOGICAL SITE FORM FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE

Site #8 Ci158

- Original
 - Update
- (give site #)

Version 2.2 3/97

Consult Guide to Archaeological Site Forms for detailed instructions.

Recorder Site # _____

Field Date 12/28/01


Form Date 1/13/02

Site Name(s) Bomb Roller Multiple Listing (DHR only)

Project Name US 19 (SR 55) PD&E FMSF Survey # _____

Ownership: private-profit private-nonprofit private-individ. private-unspecifd. city county state federal foreign Native Amer. unknown

USGS 7.5 Map Name & Date Crystal River, Fla. 1954, PR 1988 County Citrus



TYPE OF SITE (Check all choices that apply; if needed write others in at bottom)

SETTING *

- Land- terrestrial
- Cave/Sink- subterranean
- terrestrial
- aquatic
- intermittently flooded
- Wetland- palustrine
- usually flooded
- sometimes flooded
- usually dry
- Lake/Pond- lacustrine
- River/Stream/Creek- riverine
- Tidal- estuarine
- Saltwater- marine
- marine unspecified
- "high energy" marine
- "low energy" marine
- Other _____

STRUCTURES - OR - FEATURES *

- aboriginal boat
- agric/farm building
- burial mound
- building remains
- cemetery/grave
- dump/refuse
- earthworks
- fort
- midden
- mill unspecified
- mission
- mound unspec.
- plantation
- platform mound
- road segment
- shell midden
- shell mound
- shipwreck
- subsurface features
- surface scatter
- well

FUNCTION *

- none specified
- campsite
- extractive site
- habitation (prehistoric)
- homestead (historic)
- farmstead
- village (prehistoric)
- town (historic)
- quarry

HISTORIC CONTEXTS (Check all that apply; use most specific subphases; e.g. if Glades Ia only, don't also use Glades I)

Aboriginal*

- Alachua
- Archaic, Early
- Archaic, Middle
- Archaic, Late
- Archaic unspecified
- Belle Glade I
- Belle Glade II
- Belle Glade III
- Belle Glade IV
- Belle Glade unspec
- Cades Pond
- Deptford
- Other (Less common phases are not check-listed. For historic sites, also give specific dates if known.)
- Englewood
- Fort Walton
- Glades Ia
- Glades Ib
- Glades I unsp.
- Glades IIa
- Glades IIb
- Glades IIc
- Glades II unsp.
- Glades IIIa
- Glades IIIb
- Glades IIc
- Glades III unsp.
- Glades unspec.
- Hickory Pond
- Leon-Jefferson
- Malabar I
- Malabar II
- Manasota
- Mount Taylor
- Norwood
- Orange
- Paleoindian
- Pensacola
- Perico Island
- Safety Harbor
- St. Augustine
- St. Johns Ia
- St. Johns Ib
- St. Johns I unspec.
- St. Johns IIa
- St. Johns IIb
- St. Johns IIc
- St. Johns II unspec.
- St. Johns unspecif.
- Santa Rosa
- Santa Rosa-Swift Creek
- Seminole: Colonization
- Seminole: 1st War To 2d

Seminole: 2d War to 3d

- Seminole: 3d War On
- Seminole unspecified
- Swift Creek, Early
- Swift Creek, Late
- Swift Creek, unspecif.
- Transitional
- Weeden Island I
- Weeden Island II
- Weeden Island unspec.

Nonaboriginal*

- First Spanish 1513-99
- First Spanish 1600-99
- First Spanish 1700-1763
- First Spanish unspecified
- British 1763-1783
- Second Spanish 1783-1821
- American Territorial 1821-45
- American Civil War 1861-65
- American 19th Century
- American 20th Century
- American unspecified
- African-American

*Consult Guide to Archaeological Site Form for preferred descriptions not listed above (data are "coded fields" at the Site File).

SURVEYOR'S EVALUATION OF SITE

- Potentially eligible for a local register? yes: name of register at right no insufficient info Name of local register if eligible: _____
- Individually eligible for National Register? yes no insufficient info
- Potential contributor to NR district? yes no insufficient info

Explanation of Evaluation (Required if evaluated; limit to 3 lines; attach full justification) Site appears to be non-significant given the limited and mundance nature of the artifact assemblage and lack of associated cultural features.

Recommendations for Owner or SHPO Action None

DHR USE ONLY ***** OFFICIAL EVALUATIONS ***** DHR USE ONLY

NR DATE	KEEPER-NR ELIGIBILITY <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no	Date
DELIST DATE	SHPO-NR ELIGIBILITY <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no <input type="checkbox"/> potentially elig. <input type="checkbox"/> insufficient info	Date
	LOCAL DESIGNATION:	Date
	Local office	
National Register Criteria for Evaluation a b c d (See National Register Bulletin 15, p.2)		

ARCHAEOLOGICAL SITE FORM

Site # 8 CI158

Consult *Guide to Archaeological Site Form* for detailed instructions.

FIELD METHODS

SITE DETECTION*

- no field check
- literature search
- informant report
- remote sensing
- exposed ground
- posthole digger
- auger--size: _____
- unscreened shovel
- screened shovel

SITE BOUNDARIES*

- bounds unknown
- literature search
- informant report
- remote sensing
- insp exposed ground
- posthole tests
- auger--size: _____
- unscreened shovel
- screened shovel
- block excavations
- estimate or guess

Other methods; number, size, depth, pattern of units; screen size (attach site plan) 14 TP's, 2positive; 50x50x100cm; 25 and 50 meter intervals; 1/4" mesh screen

SITE DESCRIPTION

Extent Size (m2) 11055 Depth/stratigraphy of cultural deposit Site size: 67m n/s by 175m e/w; size in the APE: 175 m e/w by 55 n/s; depth of cultural material 40-80 cm below surface; 0-10 cm grey/brown; 10-100 cm lt yellow/brown

Temporal Interpretation*- Components (check one): single prob single prob multiple multiple uncertain unknown
Describe each occupation in plan (refer to attached large scale map) and stratigraphically. Discuss temporal and functional interpretation:

Integrity Overall disturbance*: none seen minor substantial major redeposited destroyed-document ! unknown
Disturbances/threats/protective measures Development/US 19 Widening/None

Surface: area collected _____ m2 # collection units _____ Excavation: # noncontiguous blocks _____

ARTIFACTS

Total Artifacts # 2C (C)ount or (E)stimate? Surface # 0 (C) or (E) Subsurface # 2C (C) or (E)

COLLECTION SELECTIVITY*

- unknown
- unselective (all artifacts)
- selective (some artifacts)
- mixed selectivity

SPATIAL CONTROL*

- uncollected
- unknown
- Other _____
- general (not by subarea)
- controlled (by subarea)
- variable spatial control

ARTIFACT CATEGORIES* and DISPOSITIONS* (example: A bone-human)

- Pick exactly one code from Disposition List
- _____ bone-animal
 - _____ bone-human
 - _____ bone-unspecified
 - _____ bone-worked
 - _____ brick/building debris
 - _____ ceramic-aboriginal
 - _____ ceramic-nonaboriginal
 - _____ daub
 - _____ exotic-nonlocal
 - _____ glass
 - A _____ lithics-aboriginal
 - _____ metal-nonprecious
 - _____ metal-precious/coin
 - _____ shell-unworked
 - _____ shell-worked
 - _____ Others: _____

Disposition List*

- A- category always collected
- S- some items in category collected
- O- observed first hand, but not collected
- R- collected and subsequently left at site
- I- informant reported category present
- U- unknown

Artifact Comments 1 lg TA non-decor flake, 1 med. NTA, non-decor flake

DIAGNOSTICS (Type or mode, and frequency; e.g., Suwannee ppk, heat-treated chert, Deptford Check-stamped, ironstone/whiteware)

- | | | | | | |
|----------|----------|----------|----------|-----------|----------|
| 1. _____ | N= _____ | 5. _____ | N= _____ | 9. _____ | N= _____ |
| 2. _____ | N= _____ | 6. _____ | N= _____ | 10. _____ | N= _____ |
| 3. _____ | N= _____ | 7. _____ | N= _____ | 11. _____ | N= _____ |
| 4. _____ | N= _____ | 8. _____ | N= _____ | 12. _____ | N= _____ |

ENVIRONMENT

Nearest fresh water type* & name (incl. relict source) Pond Distance (m)/bearing 100 SE
 Natural community (FNAI category* or leave blank) Variable: xeric scrub, pine flats
 Local vegetation Oaks, pines, vines, weeds
 Topography* hilltop and slope Min Elevation 4 meters Max Elevation 4 meters
 Present land use Residential and SR 44 ROW
 SCS soil series Tavares f.s. (0-5% slope), Adamsville f.s. Soil association Tavares-Adamsville

FURTHER INFORMATION

Informant(s): Name/Address/Phone/Email n/a
Describe field & analysis notes, artifacts, photos. For each, give type* (e.g., notes), curating organization *, accession #s, and short description.
Field notes, aeriels, artifacts, analysis all curated at ACI, Sarasota

Manuscripts or Publications on the site (Use continuation sheet, give FMSF# if relevant) Project Development and Environment Study
Cultural Resource Assessment Survey US 19 (SR 55) from South of US 98 to CR 488, Citrus County, Florida
WPI No.: 405822 1; FAP No.: 1852 007 P

Recorder(s): Name/Addr./Phone/Email Lee Hutchinson; ACI, P.O. Box 5103, Sarasota, FL 34277; 941/925/9906; acimainfl@cs.com
Affiliation* or FAS Chapter Archaeological Consultants, Inc.

* Consult *Guide to Archaeological Site Form* for preferred descriptions not listed above (data are "coded fields" at the Site File).

SITE PLAN & USGS REQUIRED At 1:300 (1:9600) or larger scale, show: site boundaries, scale, north arrow, datum, test/collection units, landmarks, mappers, date.




ARCHAEOLOGICAL SITE FORM

Site #8CI158

USGS MAP

Crystal River, FL 1954 DD 1088



ARCHAEOLOGICAL SITE FORM FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE

Site #8 CI1110

Recorder Site #

Field Date 2/12/02

Form Date 2/14/02

Version 2.2 3/97

Consult Guide to Archaeological Site Forms for detailed instructions.

- Original
 - Update
- (give site #)

Site Name(s) Red Navel Multiple Listing [DHR only]
 Project Name US 19 (SR 55) PD&E FMSF Survey #
 Ownership: private-profit private-nonprofit private-individ. private-unspecifd. city county state federal foreign Native Amer. unknown
 USGS 7.5 Map Name & Date Crystal River, Fla. 1954, PR 1988 County Citrus



TYPE OF SITE (Check all choices that apply; if needed write others in at bottom)

- | SETTING * | | STRUCTURES - OR - FEATURES * | | | FUNCTION * | |
|---|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Land- terrestrial | <input type="checkbox"/> Lake/Pond- lacustrine | <input type="checkbox"/> aboriginal boat | <input type="checkbox"/> fort | <input type="checkbox"/> road segment | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> none specified | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Cave/Sink- subterranean | <input type="checkbox"/> River/Stream/Creek- riverine | <input type="checkbox"/> agric/farm building | <input type="checkbox"/> midden | <input type="checkbox"/> shell midden | <input type="checkbox"/> campsite | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> terrestrial | <input type="checkbox"/> Tidal- estuarine | <input type="checkbox"/> burial mound | <input type="checkbox"/> mill unspecified | <input type="checkbox"/> shell mound | <input type="checkbox"/> extractive site | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> aquatic | <input type="checkbox"/> Saltwater- marine | <input type="checkbox"/> building remains | <input type="checkbox"/> mission | <input type="checkbox"/> shipwreck | <input type="checkbox"/> habitation (prehistoric) | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> intermittently flooded | <input type="checkbox"/> marine unspecified | <input type="checkbox"/> cemetery/grave | <input type="checkbox"/> mound unspec. | <input type="checkbox"/> subsurface features | <input type="checkbox"/> homestead (historic) | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Wetland- palustrine | <input type="checkbox"/> "high energy" marine | <input type="checkbox"/> dump/refuse | <input type="checkbox"/> plantation | <input type="checkbox"/> surface scatter | <input type="checkbox"/> farmstead | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> usually flooded | <input type="checkbox"/> "low energy" marine | <input type="checkbox"/> earthworks | <input type="checkbox"/> platform mound | <input type="checkbox"/> well | <input type="checkbox"/> village (prehistoric) | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> sometimes flooded | | | | | <input type="checkbox"/> town (historic) | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> usually dry | <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____ | | | | <input type="checkbox"/> quarry | |

HISTORIC CONTEXTS (Check all that apply; use most specific subphases; e.g., if Glades Ia only, don't also use Glades I)

- | Aboriginal* | | | Nonaboriginal* |
|--|---|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Alachua | <input type="checkbox"/> Englewood | <input type="checkbox"/> Glades unspec. | <input type="checkbox"/> Seminole: 2d War to 3d |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Archaic, Early | <input type="checkbox"/> Fort Walton | <input type="checkbox"/> Hickory Pond | <input type="checkbox"/> Seminole: 3d War On |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Archaic, Middle | <input type="checkbox"/> Glades Ia | <input type="checkbox"/> Leon-Jefferson | <input type="checkbox"/> Seminole unspecified |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Archaic, Late | <input type="checkbox"/> Glades Ib | <input type="checkbox"/> Malabar I | <input type="checkbox"/> Swift Creek, Early |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Archaic unspecified | <input type="checkbox"/> Glades I unsp. | <input type="checkbox"/> Malabar II | <input type="checkbox"/> Swift Creek, Late |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Belle Glade I | <input type="checkbox"/> Glades IIa | <input type="checkbox"/> Manasota | <input type="checkbox"/> Swift Creek, unspecif. |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Belle Glade II | <input type="checkbox"/> Glades IIb | <input type="checkbox"/> Mount Taylor | <input type="checkbox"/> Transitional |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Belle Glade III | <input type="checkbox"/> Glades IIc | <input type="checkbox"/> Norwood | <input type="checkbox"/> Weeden Island I |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Belle Glade IV | <input type="checkbox"/> Glades II unsp. | <input type="checkbox"/> Orange | <input type="checkbox"/> Weeden Island II |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Belle Glade unsp. | <input type="checkbox"/> Glades IIIa | <input type="checkbox"/> Paleoindian | <input type="checkbox"/> Weeden Island unspec. |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Cades Pond | <input type="checkbox"/> Glades IIIb | <input type="checkbox"/> Pensacola | <input type="checkbox"/> Prehistoric nonceramic |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Deptford | <input type="checkbox"/> Glades IIIc | <input type="checkbox"/> Perico Island | <input type="checkbox"/> Prehistoric ceramic |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Other | <input type="checkbox"/> Glades III unsp. | <input type="checkbox"/> Safety Harbor | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Prehistoric unspecified |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> Santa Rosa | <input type="checkbox"/> African-American |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> Santa Rosa-Swift Creek | |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> Seminole: Colonization | |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> Seminole: 1st War To 2d | |
- (Less common phases are not check-listed. For historic sites, also give specific dates if known.)

*Consult Guide to Archaeological Site Form for preferred descriptions not listed above (data are "coded fields" at the Site File).

SURVEYOR'S EVALUATION OF SITE

Potentially eligible for a local register? yes: name of register at right no insufficient info Name of local register if eligible: _____
 Individually eligible for National Register? yes no insufficient info
 Potential contributor to NR district? yes no insufficient info
 Explanation of Evaluation (Required if evaluated; limit to 3 lines; attach full justification) Limited and non-diagnostic artifact assemblage in a highly disturbed context. Lacks integrity.

Recommendations for Owner or SHPO Action None.

DHR USE ONLY		OFFICIAL EVALUATIONS		DHR USE ONLY	
NR DATE	KEEPER-NR ELIGIBILITY <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> no	Date			
DELIST DATE	SHPO-NR ELIGIBILITY <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> no <input type="checkbox"/> potentially elig. <input type="checkbox"/> insufficient info	Date			
	LOCAL DESIGNATION	Date			
	Local office				
National Register Criteria for Evaluation: <input type="checkbox"/> a <input type="checkbox"/> b <input type="checkbox"/> c <input type="checkbox"/> d (See National Register Bulletin 15, p.2)					

ARCHAEOLOGICAL SITE FORM

Site # 8 CI1110

Consult *Guide to Archaeological Site Form* for detailed instructions.

FIELD METHODS

SITE DETECTION*

- no field check
- literature search
- informant report
- remote sensing
- exposed ground
- posthole digger
- auger--size: _____
- unscreened shovel
- screened shovel

SITE BOUNDARIES*

- bounds unknown
- none by recorder
- literature search
- informant report
- remote sensing
- insp exposed ground
- posthole tests
- auger--size: _____
- unscreened shovel
- screened shovel
- block excavations
- estimate or guess

Other methods; number, size, depth, pattern of units; screen size (attach site plan) 50-100 cmbs/mixed gray, brown, white sand

SITE DESCRIPTION

Extent Size (m2) _____ Depth/stratigraphy of cultural deposit _____

Temporal Interpretation*- Components (check one): single prob single prob multiple multiple uncertain unknown
Describe each occupation in plan (refer to attached large scale map) and stratigraphically. Discuss temporal and functional interpretation: _____

Integrity Overall disturbance*: none seen minor substantial major redeposited destroyed-document ! unknown
Disturbances/threats/protective measures Road construction/US 19 widening/none

Surface: area collected _____ m2 # collection units _____ Excavation: # noncontiguous blocks _____

ARTIFACTS

Total Artifacts # 8(C) (C)ount or (E)stimate? Surface # 0 (C) or (E) Subsurface # 8(C) (C) or (E)

COLLECTION SELECTIVITY*

- unknown
- unselective (all artifacts)
- selective (some artifacts)
- mixed selectivity

SPATIAL CONTROL*

- uncollected
- unknown
- Other _____
- general (not by subarea)
- controlled (by subarea)
- variable spatial control

ARTIFACT CATEGORIES* and DISPOSITIONS* (example: A bone-human)

Pick exactly one code from Disposition List

- _____ bone-animal
- _____ bone-human
- _____ bone-unspecified
- _____ bone-worked
- _____ brick/building debris
- _____ ceramic-aboriginal
- _____ ceramic-nonaboriginal
- _____ daub
- _____ exotic-nonlocal
- _____ glass
- lithics-aboriginal
- _____ metal-nonprecious
- _____ metal-precious/coin
- _____ shell-unworked
- _____ shell-worked
- _____ Others: _____

Disposition List*

- A- category always collected
- S- some items in category collected
- O- observed first hand, but not collected
- R- collected and subsequently left at site
- I- informant reported category present
- U- unknown

Artifact Comments All lithic debitage, including 2 thermally altered.

DIAGNOSTICS (Type or mode, and frequency: e.g., Suwannee ppk, heat-treated chert, Deptford Check-stamped, ironstone/whiteware)

- | | | | | | |
|----------|----|----------|----|-----------|----|
| 1. _____ | N= | 5. _____ | N= | 9. _____ | N= |
| 2. _____ | N= | 6. _____ | N= | 10. _____ | N= |
| 3. _____ | N= | 7. _____ | N= | 11. _____ | N= |
| 4. _____ | N= | 8. _____ | N= | 12. _____ | N= |

ENVIRONMENT

Nearest fresh water type* & name (incl. relict source) Crystal River Distance (m)/bearing 4 km/S

Natural community (FNAI category* or leave blank) Cabbage Palm Flatwoods

Local vegetation Grass

Topography* Level land Min Elevation 2 meters Max Elevation 3 meters

Present land use US 19 ROW

SCS soil series Citronelle fine sand Soil association Boca-Broward-Red Level

FURTHER INFORMATION

Informant(s): Name/Address/Phone/Email n/a

Describe field & analysis notes, artifacts, photos. For each, give type* (e.g., notes), curating organization *, accession #s, and short description.
Field notes, aerials, artifacts at ACI, Sarasota.

Manuscripts or Publications on the site (Use continuation sheet, give FMSF# if relevant) Project Development and Environment Study, Cultural Resource Assessment Survey, US 19 (SR 55) from south of US 98 to CR 488, Citrus County, Florida

Recorder(s): Name/Addr./Phone/Email Joan Deming, ACI/P.O. Box 5103, Sarasota, FL 34277/941-925-9906/ACImainFL@cs.com
Affiliation* or FAS Chapter Archaeological Consultants, Inc.

* Consult *Guide to Archaeological Site Form* for preferred descriptions not listed above (data are "coded fields" at the Site File).

SITE PLAN & USGS REQUIRED At 1:300 (1:8000) or larger scale, show site boundaries, scale, north arrow, datum, test/collection units, landmarks, mappers, date.





ARCHAEOLOGICAL SITE FORM

Site #8 CI1110

USGS MAP

Crystal River, Fla. 1954, PR1988



HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE

Site #8 C11092
Recorder # 1/27
Field Date 1/4/02
Form Date 2/12/02

Original
 Update
(give site #)

Version 3.0 11/96

Consult Guide To Historical Structure Forms for detailed instructions.

Site Name(s) (address if none) 9566 US19 (SR 55) Multiple Listing [DHR only] _____
Survey US 19 (SR 55) PD & E Study from South of US 98 to CR 488 Survey # _____
National Register Category (Please check one: consult with Site File before using last four): building structure district site object

LOCATION & IDENTIFICATION

Address (include N,S,E,W;#;St.,Ave.,etc.) 9566 US19 (SR 55)
Cross Streets (nearest/between) NW corner of SR 480 and US 19
City/Town (within 3 miles) Homosassa In Current City Limits: y n unknown
County Citrus Tax Parcel #(s) 2520S17E11400
Subdivision name _____ Block _____ Lot _____
Ownership (Please check one): private-profit private-individual city county Native American
 private-nonprofit private-unspecified state federal foreign unknown
Name of Public Tract (e.g., park) _____
Route to (especially if no street address) _____

MAPPING

USGS 7.5' Map Name & Date Chassahowitzka, Fla. 1954, PR 1988
Township 20S Range 17E Section 25 1/4 section: NW SW SE NE Irregular-name: _____
Landgrant _____ UTM: Zone 16 17 Easting 0348300 Northing 3178256
Plat or other map (map's name, location) _____

DESCRIPTION

Style* Masonry Vernacular Exterior Plan* rectangular Number of Stories 1
Structural System(s)* masonry
Foundation: Type(s)* slab Material(s)* concrete
Exterior Fabric(s)* Stucco
Roof: Type(s)* gable on hip Material(s)* Composition Shingle
Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.)* _____
Chimney: No. _____ Material(s)* _____ Location(s)* _____
Windows (types, materials, etc.)* _____

Main Entrance (stylistic details) _____
Porches: #open _____ #closed _____ #incised _____ Location(s) _____
Porch roof type(s) _____
Exterior Ornament _____

Interior Plan* _____
Condition (Please check one): excellent good fair deteriorated ruinous
Surroundings (N=None, S=Some, M=Most, A=All/nearly all) _____ commercial S residential _____ institutional _____ undeveloped _____
Ancillary Features (No., type of outbuildings; major landscape features. Use continuation sheet for descriptions of interior, landscaping, etc) _____ Two Concrete block
(ice cooler and storage) to west of building; evidence of gasoline pumps to the south of building.

Archaeological Remains None observed Check if Archaeological Form completed
*Consult Guide to Historical Structure Forms for preferred descriptions (coded fields at the Site File).

DHR USE ONLY		OFFICIAL EVALUATIONS		DHR USE ONLY	
NR DATE	KEEPER-NR ELIGIBILITY: <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no			Date	
	SHPO-NR ELIGIBILITY: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> no <input type="checkbox"/> potentially elig. <input type="checkbox"/> insufficient info			Date	
DELIST DATE	LOCAL DESIGNATION:			Date	
	Local office				
National Register Criteria for Evaluation <input type="checkbox"/> a <input type="checkbox"/> b <input type="checkbox"/> c <input type="checkbox"/> d (See National Register Bulletin 15, p.2)					

HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM

Site # 8 CI1092

Consult Guide to Historical Structure Forms for detailed instructions

HISTORY

Construction date: Exactly _____ (year) Approximately 1947 (year) Earlier than _____ (year) Later than _____ (year)
 Architect (last name first): Unknown Builder (last name first): Unknown
 Moves: yes no unknown Dates _____ Original address _____
 Alterations: yes no unknown Dates _____ Nature* _____
 Additions: yes no unknown Dates _____ Nature* _____
 Original Use* (give date ranges) Gas Station
 Intermediate Uses* (give date ranges) _____
 Present Use* (give date ranges) Abandoned
 Ownership History (especially original owner, dates, profession, etc.) Robert Mc Clain Huey (02/2002)

*Consult Guide to Historical Structure Forms for preferred descriptions (coded fields at the Site File).

RESEARCH METHODS (Check all choices that apply; if needed write others at bottom)

- | | | | |
|---|---|---|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> formal archaeological survey | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> past surveys search at FMSF | <input type="checkbox"/> local library research | <input type="checkbox"/> Sanborn maps |
| <input type="checkbox"/> informal archaeological inspection | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> past sites search at FMSF | <input type="checkbox"/> non-local library research | <input type="checkbox"/> subdivision maps |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Public Lands Survey (DEP) | <input type="checkbox"/> FL Archives (Gray Building) | <input type="checkbox"/> building permits | <input type="checkbox"/> plat maps |
| <input type="checkbox"/> tax records/property deeds | <input type="checkbox"/> FL Photo Archives (Gray Building) | <input type="checkbox"/> demolition permits | <input type="checkbox"/> local newspaper files |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> tax records only | <input type="checkbox"/> occupant/owner interview | <input type="checkbox"/> commercial permits | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> interior inspection | <input type="checkbox"/> neighbor interview | <input type="checkbox"/> occupation permits | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> other methods (specify) | | | |

SURVEYOR'S EVALUATION OF SITE (Check one choice on each line)

Potentially eligible for local register? yes: name register at right no insufficient info Name of local register if eligible: _____
 Individually eligible for National Register? yes no insufficient info _____
 Potential contributor to Nat. Reg. district? yes no insufficient info _____
 Area(s) of Historical Significance (See National Register Bulletin 15, p. 8 for categories: e.g. "architecture," "ethnic heritage," "community planning & development," etc.)
Community Planning and Development

Explanation of Evaluation (required, whether positive or not; limit to three lines; attach longer statement, if needed, on separate sheet) This particular house" gas station form was once common throughout Citrus County. In addition, limited historical research reveals no apparent significance. Therefore it is not considered NRHP eligible.

DOCUMENTATION (Photos, Plans, etc.)

Bibliographic References (Use Continuation Sheet, give FMSF Manuscript # if relevant) Citrus County Property Appraisers Office

Photographs (required) B&W print(s) at least 3x5, at least one main facade.

Location of negatives & negative numbers ACI Roll 1/27

RECORDER

Name (last name first)/Address/Phone/Fax/Email/Affiliation Scupholm, Carrie; Norman Tesa
P.O. Box 5103; Sarasota, FL 34277-5103; 941/925-9906; 941/925-9767;
ACImainFL@cs.com; Archaeological Consultants Inc.


Remember: Use a Supplement for Site Forms or other continuation sheet for descriptions that do not fit in the spaces above.

REQUIRED: (1) USGS 7.5' MAP WITH STRUCTURE PINPOINTED IN RED
 (2) LARGE SCALE STREET OR PLAT MAP
 (3) PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, PREFER B&W, AT LEAST 3x5

PHOTOGRAPH





STREET OR PLAT MAP



USGS MAP

Chassahowitzka, Fla. 1954, PR 1988



HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE

Version 3.0 11/96

Consult Guide To Historical Structure Forms for detailed instructions.

Site #8 CI1093

Recorder # 1/26

Field Date 1/4/02

Form Date 2/12/02

Original
 Update
(give site #)

Site Name(s) (address if none) 9288 US19 (SR 55) Multiple Listing [DHR only] _____
Survey US 19 (SR 55) PD & E Study from South of US 98 to CR 488 Survey # _____
National Register Category (Please check one: consult with Site File before using last four): building structure district site object

LOCATION & IDENTIFICATION

Address (Include N,S,E,W;#;St.,Ave.,etc.) 9288 US 19 (SR 55)
Cross Streets (nearest/between) South of Maine Street on west
City/Town (within 3 miles) Homosassa In Current City Limits: y n unknown
County Citrus Tax Parcel #(s) 2420S17E0010000J00020
Subdivision name _____ Block _____ Lot _____
Ownership (Please check one): private-profit private-individual city county Native American
 private-nonprofit private-unspecified state federal foreign unknown
Name of Public Tract (e.g., park) _____
Route to (especially if no street address) _____

MAPPING

USGS 7.5' Map Name & Date Chassahowitzka, Fla. 1954, PR 1988
Township 20S Range 17E Section 24 1/4 section: NW SW SE NE Irregular-name:
Landgrant _____ UTM: Zone 16 17 Easting 0348308 Northing 3178730
Plat or other map (map's name, location) _____

DESCRIPTION

Style* Frame Vernacular Exterior Plan* rectangular Number of Stories 1
Structural System(s)* Wood
Foundation: Type(s)* Pier Material(s)* concrete block
Exterior Fabric(s)* wood, drop siding; T-111 siding
Roof: Type(s)* gable Material(s)* Composition Shingle
Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.)* _____
Chimney: No. 1 Material(s)* brick Location(s)* ridge- east end
Windows (types, materials, etc.)* 3-light awning, metal, independent; fixed plate glass, metal, flanked by awning windows
Main Entrance (stylistic details) _____
Porches: #open 1 #closed _____ #incised _____ Location(s) South facade
Porch roof type(s) _____
Exterior Ornament _____
Interior Plan* Unknown
Condition (Please check one): excellent good fair deteriorated ruinous
Surroundings (N=None, S=Some, M=Most, A=All/nearly all) M commercial S residential _____ institutional _____ undeveloped
Ancillary Features (No., type of outbuildings; major landscape features. Use continuation sheet for descriptions of interior, landscaping, etc) Wood frame garage and aluminum shed west of the house

Archaeological Remains None observed Check if Archaeological Form completed
*Consult Guide to Historical Structure Forms for preferred descriptions (coded fields at the Site File).

DHR USE ONLY		OFFICIAL EVALUATIONS		DHR USE ONLY	
NR DATE	KEEPER-NR ELIGIBILITY: <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no			Date	
	SHPO-NR ELIGIBILITY: <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no <input type="checkbox"/> potentially elig. <input type="checkbox"/> insufficient info			Date	
DELIST DATE	LOCAL DESIGNATION:			Date	
	Local office				
National Register Criteria for Evaluation: <input type="checkbox"/> a <input type="checkbox"/> b <input type="checkbox"/> c <input type="checkbox"/> d (See National Register Bulletin 16, p.2)					

HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM

Site # 8 CI1093

Consult Guide to Historical Structure Forms for detailed instructions

HISTORY

Construction date: Exactly _____ (year) Approximately 1946 (year) Earlier than _____ (year) Later than _____ (year)

Architect (last name first): Unknown Builder (last name first): Unknown

Moves: yes no unknown Dates _____ Original address _____

Alterations: yes no unknown Datesc. 1970 Nature* windows replaced

Additions: yes no unknown Dates _____ Nature* _____

Original Use* (give date ranges) Private residence

Intermediate Uses* (give date ranges) Private residence

Present Use* (give date ranges) Private residence

Ownership History (especially original owner, dates, profession, etc.) TJ & Betty Storch (02/2002)

*Consult Guide to Historical Structure Forms for preferred descriptions (coded fields at the Site File).

RESEARCH METHODS (Check all choices that apply; if needed write others at bottom)

<input type="checkbox"/> formal archaeological survey	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> past surveys search at FMSF	<input type="checkbox"/> local library research	<input type="checkbox"/> Sanborn maps
<input type="checkbox"/> informal archaeological inspection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> past sites search at FMSF	<input type="checkbox"/> non-local library research	<input type="checkbox"/> subdivision maps
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Public Lands Survey (DEP)	<input type="checkbox"/> FL Archives (Gray Building)	<input type="checkbox"/> building permits	<input type="checkbox"/> plat maps
<input type="checkbox"/> tax records/property deeds	<input type="checkbox"/> FL Photo Archives (Gray Building)	<input type="checkbox"/> demolition permits	<input type="checkbox"/> local newspaper files
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> tax records only	<input type="checkbox"/> occupant/owner interview	<input type="checkbox"/> commercial permits	
<input type="checkbox"/> interior inspection	<input type="checkbox"/> neighbor interview	<input type="checkbox"/> occupation permits	
<input type="checkbox"/> other methods (specify)			

SURVEYOR'S EVALUATION OF SITE (Check one choice on each line)

Potentially eligible for local register? yes: name register at right no insufficient info Name of local register if eligible: _____

Individually eligible for National Register? yes no insufficient info _____

Potential contributor to Nat. Reg. district? yes no insufficient info _____

Area(s) of Historical Significance (See National Register Bulletin 15, p. 8 for categories: e.g. "architecture," "ethnic heritage," "community planning & development," etc.) _____

Community Planning and Development _____

Explanation of Evaluation (required, whether positive or not; limit to three lines; attach longer statement, if needed, on separate sheet) This Frame Vernacular style residence, similar to CI1094, represents a building type common to Citrus County. Furthermore, available data did not suggest any significant historical associations. It does not appear to meet NRHP criteria.

DOCUMENTATION (Photos, Plans, etc.)

Bibliographic References (Use Continuation Sheet, give FMSF Manuscript # if relevant) Citrus County Property Appraisers Office

Photographs (required) B&W print(s) at least 3x5, at least one main facade.

Location of negatives & negative numbers ACI Roll 1/26

RECORDER

Name (last name first)/Address/Phone/Fax/Email/Affiliation Scupholm, Carrie; Norman Tesa
 P.O. Box 5103; Sarasota, FL 34277-5103; 941/925-9906; 941/925-9767;
 ACImainFL@cs.com; Archaeological Consultants Inc.


Remember: Use a Supplement for Site Forms or other continuation sheet for descriptions that do not fit in the spaces above.

- REQUIRED:**
- (1) USGS 7.5' MAP WITH STRUCTURE PINPOINTED IN RED
 - (2) LARGE SCALE STREET OR PLAT MAP
 - (3) PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, PREFER B&W, AT LEAST 3x5

PHOTOGRAPH



STREET OR PLAT MAP





9288 US 19 (SR 55)

US 19 (SR 55)



USGS MAP

Chassahowitzka, Fla. 1954, PR 1988



HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE

Site #8 CI1094

Recorder # 1/25

Field Date 1/4/02

Form Date 2/12/02

Original

Update

(give site #)

Version 3.0 11/96

Consult Guide To Historical Structure Forms for detailed instructions.

Site Name(s) (address if none) 9268 US 19 (SR 55) Multiple Listing [DHR only] _____

Survey US 19 (SR 55) PD & E Study from South of US 98 to CR 488 Survey # _____

National Register Category (Please check one: consult with Site File before using last four): building structure district site object

LOCATION & IDENTIFICATION

Address (Include N,S,E,W, #; St., Ave., etc.) 9268 US 19 (SR 55)

Cross Streets (nearest/between) North of Maine Street on west

City/Town (within 3 miles) Homosassa In Current City Limits: y n unknown

County Citrus Tax Parcel #(s) _____

Subdivision name _____ Block _____ Lot _____

Ownership (Please check one): private-profit private-individual city county Native American
 private-nonprofit private-unspecified state federal foreign unknown

Name of Public Tract (e.g., park) _____

Route to (especially if no street address) _____

MAPPING

USGS 7.5' Map Name & Date Chassahowitzka, Fla. 1954, PR 1988

Township 20S Range 17E Section 24 1/4 section: NW SW SE NE Irregular-name: _____

Landgrant _____ UTM: Zone 16 17 Easting 0348275 Northing 3178811

Plat or other map (map's name, location) _____

DESCRIPTION

Style* Frame Vernacular Exterior Plan* rectangular Number of Stories 1

Structural System(s)* Wood

Foundation: Type(s)* Pier Material(s)* concrete block

Exterior Fabric(s)* wood, drop siding; T-ill siding

Roof: Type(s)* gable Material(s)* Composition Shingle

Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.)* _____

Chimney: No. 1 Material(s)* brick Location(s)* ridge- east end

Windows (types, materials, etc.)* 3-light awning, metal, independent; fixed plate glass,

metal, flanked by awning windows

Main Entrance (stylistic details) _____

Porch(es): #open 1 #closed _____ #incised _____ Location(s) South elevation

Porch roof type(s) _____

Exterior Ornament _____

Interior Plan* Unknown

Condition (Please check one): excellent good fair deteriorated ruinous

Surroundings (N=None, S=Some, M=Most, A=All/nearly all) M commercial S residential _____ institutional _____ undeveloped

Ancillary Features (No., type of outbuildings; major landscape features. Use continuation sheet for descriptions of interior, landscaping, etc) Wood frame outbuilding

east of the house

Archaeological Remains None observed Check if Archaeological Form completed

*Consult Guide to Historical Structure Forms for preferred descriptions (coded fields at the Site File).

DHR USE ONLY		OFFICIAL EVALUATIONS		DHR USE ONLY	
NR DATE	KEEPER-NR ELIGIBILITY: <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no			Date	_____
	SHPO-NR ELIGIBILITY: <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no <input type="checkbox"/> potentially elig. <input type="checkbox"/> insufficient info			Date	_____
DELIST DATE	LOCAL DESIGNATION: _____			Date	_____
	Local office				
National Register Criteria for Evaluation: <input type="checkbox"/> a <input type="checkbox"/> b <input type="checkbox"/> c <input type="checkbox"/> d (See National Register Bulletin 15, p.2)					

HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM

Site # 8 CI1094

Consult Guide to Historical Structure Forms for detailed instructions

HISTORY

Construction date: Exactly _____ (year) Approximately 1946 (year) Earlier than _____ (year) Later than _____ (year)

Architect (last name first): Unknown Builder (last name first): Unknown

Moves: yes no unknown Dates _____ Original address _____

Alterations: yes no unknown Dates c. 1970 Nature* windows replaced

Additions: yes no unknown Dates _____ Nature* _____

Original Use* (give date ranges) Private residence

Intermediate Uses* (give date ranges) Private residence

Present Use* (give date ranges) Private residence

Ownership History (especially original owner, dates, profession, etc.) _____

*Consult Guide to Historical Structure Forms for preferred descriptions (coded fields at the Site File).

RESEARCH METHODS (Check all choices that apply; if needed write others at bottom)

<input type="checkbox"/> formal archaeological survey	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> past surveys search at FMSF	<input type="checkbox"/> local library research	<input type="checkbox"/> Sanborn maps
<input type="checkbox"/> informal archaeological inspection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> past sites search at FMSF	<input type="checkbox"/> non-local library research	<input type="checkbox"/> subdivision maps
<input type="checkbox"/> Public Lands Survey (DEP)	<input type="checkbox"/> FL Archives (Gray Building)	<input type="checkbox"/> building permits	<input type="checkbox"/> plat maps
<input type="checkbox"/> tax records/property deeds	<input type="checkbox"/> FL Photo Archives (Gray Building)	<input type="checkbox"/> demolition permits	<input type="checkbox"/> local newspaper files
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> tax records only	<input type="checkbox"/> occupant/owner interview	<input type="checkbox"/> commercial permits	
<input type="checkbox"/> interior inspection	<input type="checkbox"/> neighbor interview	<input type="checkbox"/> occupation permits	
<input type="checkbox"/> other methods (specify) _____			

SURVEYOR'S EVALUATION OF SITE (Check one choice on each line)

Potentially eligible for local register? yes: name register at right no insufficient info Name of local register if eligible: _____

Individually eligible for National Register? yes no insufficient info _____

Potential contributor to Nat. Reg. district? yes no insufficient info _____

Area(s) of Historical Significance (See National Register Bulletin 15, p. 8 for categories: e.g. "architecture," "ethnic heritage," "community planning & development," etc.) _____

Community Planning and Development _____

Explanation of Evaluation (required, whether positive or not; limit to three lines; attach longer statement, if needed, on separate sheet) This Frame Vernacular style residence, similar to CI1093, represents a building type common to Citrus County. Furthermore, available data did not suggest any significant historical associations. It does not appear to meet NRHP criteria.

DOCUMENTATION (Photos, Plans, etc.)

Bibliographic References (Use Continuation Sheet, give FMSF Manuscript # if relevant) Citrus County Property Appraisers Office

Photographs (required) B&W print(s) at least 3x5, at least one main facade.
 Location of negatives & negative numbers ACI Roll 1/25


RECORDER

Name (last name first)/Address/Phone/Fax/Email/Affiliation Scupholm, Carrie; Norman Tesa
P.O. Box 5103; Sarasota, FL 34277-5103; 941/925-9906; 941/925-9767;
ACImainFL@cs.com; Archaeological Consultants Inc.

Remember: Use a Supplement for Site Forms or other continuation sheet for descriptions that do not fit in the spaces above.



REQUIRED: (1) USGS 7.5' MAP WITH STRUCTURE PINPOINTED IN RED
 (2) LARGE SCALE STREET OR PLAT MAP
 (3) PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, PREFER B&W, AT LEAST 3x5

PHOTOGRAPH



STREET OR PLAT MAP

9262 US 19 (SR 55)





US 19 (SR 55)



USGS MAP

Chassahowitzka, Fla. 1954, PR 1988



HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE

Site #8 C11095
Recorder # 1/27
Field Date 1/4/02
Form Date 2/12/02

Original
 Update
(give site #)

Version 3.0 11/96

Consult Guide To Historical Structure Forms for detailed instructions.

Site Name(s) (address if none) 9262 US19 (SR 55) Multiple Listing [DHR only] _____
Survey US 19 (SR 55) PD & E Study from South of US 98 to CR 488 Survey # _____
National Register Category (Please check one: consult with Site File before using last four): building structure district site object

LOCATION & IDENTIFICATION

Address (Include N,S,E,W, #; St., Ave., etc.) 9262 US 19 (SR 55)
Cross Streets (nearest/between) NW corner of 480 and US 19
City/Town (within 3 miles) Homosassa In Current City Limits: y n unknown
County Citrus Tax Parcel #(s) _____
Subdivision name _____ Block _____ Lot _____
Ownership (Please check one): private-profit private-individual city county Native American
 private-nonprofit private-unspecified state federal foreign unknown
Name of Public Tract (e.g., park) _____
Route to (especially if no street address) _____

MAPPING

USGS 7.5' Map Name & Date Chassahowitzka, Fla. 1954, PR 1988
Township 20S Range 17E Section 24 1/4 section: NW SW SE NE Irregular-name: _____
Landgrant _____ UTM: Zone 16 17 Easting 0348303 Northing 3178819
Plat or other map (map's name, location) _____

DESCRIPTION

Style* Frame Vernacular Exterior Plan* rectangular Number of Stories 1
Structural System(s)* Wood
Foundation: Type(s)* continuous Material(s)* concrete block
Exterior Fabric(s)* wood, drop siding
Roof: Type(s)* gable Material(s)* Composition Shingle
Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.)* _____
Chimney: No. _____ Material(s)* _____ Location(s)* _____
Windows (types, materials, etc.)* 3-light awning, metal, paired and independent; fixed plate glass, metal, flanked by awnings
Main Entrance (stylistic details) Front-gable hood over main entrance
Porches: #open _____ #closed _____ #incised _____ Location(s) _____
Porch roof type(s) _____
Exterior Ornament _____
Interior Plan* Unknown
Condition (Please check one): excellent good fair deteriorated ruinous
Surroundings (N=None, S=Some, M=Most, A=All/nearly all) M commercial S residential _____ institutional _____ undeveloped
Ancillary Features (No., type of outbuildings; major landscape features. Use continuation sheet for descriptions of interior, landscaping, etc) Wood frame outbuilding to the south.

Archaeological Remains None observed Check if Archaeological Form completed
*Consult Guide to Historical Structure Forms for preferred descriptions (coded fields at the Site File).

DHR USE ONLY		OFFICIAL EVALUATIONS		DHR USE ONLY	
NR DATE	KEEPER-NR ELIGIBILITY	<input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no		Date	
	SHPO-NR ELIGIBILITY	<input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no <input type="checkbox"/> potentially elig <input type="checkbox"/> insufficient info		Date	
DELIST DATE	LOCAL DESIGNATION			Date	
Local office					
National Register Criteria for Evaluation <input type="checkbox"/> a <input type="checkbox"/> b <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> c <input type="checkbox"/> d (See National Register Bulletin 15, p.2)					

HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM

Site # 8 C11095

Consult Guide to Historical Structure Forms for detailed instructions

HISTORY

Construction date: Exactly _____ (year) Approximately 1950 (year) Earlier than _____ (year) Later than _____ (year)

Architect (last name first): Unknown Builder (last name first): Unknown

Moves: yes no unknown Dates _____ Original address _____

Alterations: yes no unknown Dates c. 1970 Nature* windows replaced

Additions: yes no unknown Dates _____ Nature* _____

Original Use* (give date ranges) Private residence

Intermediate Uses* (give date ranges) Private residence

Present Use* (give date ranges) Vacant

Ownership History (especially original owner, dates, profession, etc.) _____

*Consult Guide to Historical Structure Forms for preferred descriptions (coded fields at the Site File).

RESEARCH METHODS (Check all choices that apply; if needed write others at bottom)

<input type="checkbox"/> formal archaeological survey	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> past surveys search at FMSF	<input type="checkbox"/> local library research	<input type="checkbox"/> Sanborn maps
<input type="checkbox"/> informal archaeological inspection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> past sites search at FMSF	<input type="checkbox"/> non-local library research	<input type="checkbox"/> subdivision maps
<input type="checkbox"/> Public Lands Survey (DEP)	<input type="checkbox"/> FL Archives (Gray Building)	<input type="checkbox"/> building permits	<input type="checkbox"/> plat maps
<input type="checkbox"/> tax records/property deeds	<input type="checkbox"/> FL Photo Archives (Gray Building)	<input type="checkbox"/> demolition permits	<input type="checkbox"/> local newspaper files
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> tax records only	<input type="checkbox"/> occupant/owner interview	<input type="checkbox"/> commercial permits	
<input type="checkbox"/> interior inspection	<input type="checkbox"/> neighbor interview	<input type="checkbox"/> occupation permits	
<input type="checkbox"/> other methods (specify) _____			

SURVEYOR'S EVALUATION OF SITE (Check one choice on each line)

Potentially eligible for local register? yes: name register at right no insufficient info Name of local register if eligible: _____

Individually eligible for National Register? yes no insufficient info _____

Potential contributor to Nat. Reg. district? yes no insufficient info _____

Area(s) of Historical Significance (See National Register Bulletin 15, p. 8 for categories: e.g. "architecture," "ethnic heritage," "community planning & development," etc.) _____

Community Planning and Development _____

Explanation of Evaluation (required, whether positive or not; limit to three lines; attach longer statement, if needed, on separate sheet) This structure is typical of Frame Vernacular style residences found throughout Citrus County. Limited historical data suggests no historical significance. Therefore, it is not considered NRHP eligible.

DOCUMENTATION (Photos, Plans, etc.)

Bibliographic References (Use Continuation Sheet, give FMSF Manuscript # if relevant) Citrus County Property Appraisers Office

Photographs (required) B&W print(s) at least 3x5, at least one main facade.
 Location of negatives & negative numbers ACI Roll 1/24

RECORDER

Name (last name first)/Address/Phone/Fax/Email/Affiliation Scupholm, Carrie; Norman Tesa
P.O. Box 5103; Sarasota, FL 34277-5103; 941/925-9906; 941/925-9767;
ACImainFL@cs.com; Archaeological Consultants Inc.



Remember: Use a Supplement for Site Forms or other continuation sheet for descriptions that do not fit in the spaces above.

REQUIRED: (1) USGS 7.5' MAP WITH STRUCTURE PINPOINTED IN RED
 (2) LARGE SCALE STREET OR PLAT MAP
 (3) PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, PREFER B&W, AT LEAST 3x5

PHOTOGRAPH



STREET OR PLAT MAP





9268 US 19 (SR 55)

US 19 (SR 55)



USGS MAP

Chassahowitzka, Fla. 1954, PR 1988



HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE

Site #8 CI1096

Recorder # 1/21

Field Date 1/4/02

Form Date 2/12/02

Version 3.0 11/96

Consult Guide To Historical Structure Forms for detailed instructions.

Original
 Update
(give site #)

Site Name(s) (address if none) Withlacoochee State Forest Office & Fire Tower Multiple Listing [DHR only] _____
Survey US 19 (SR 55) PD & E Study from South of US 98 to CR 488 Survey # _____
National Register Category (Please check one: consult with Site File before using last four): building structure district site object

LOCATION & IDENTIFICATION

Address (Include N,S,E,W;#;St.,Ave.,etc.) 7940 US 19 (SR 55)
Cross Streets (nearest/between) North of west Burnt Bridge Road on west
City/Town (within 3 miles) Homosassa In Current City Limits: y n unknown
County Citrus Tax Parcel #(s) 1320S17E11300
Subdivision name _____ Block _____ Lot _____
Ownership (Please check one): private-profit private-individual city county Native American
 private-nonprofit private-unspecified state federal foreign unknown
Name of Public Tract (e.g., park) _____
Route to (especially if no street address) _____

MAPPING

USGS 7.5' Map Name & Date Chassahowitzka, Fla. 1954, PR 1988
Township 20S Range 17E Section 13 1/4 section: NW SW SE NE Irregular-name: _____
Landgrant _____ UTM: Zone 16 17 Easting 0348299 Northing 3180831
Plat or other map (map's name, location) _____

DESCRIPTION

Style* Frame Vernacular Exterior Plan* rectangular Number of Stories 1
Structural System(s)* Wood, masonry
Foundation: Type(s)* slab Material(s)* concrete
Exterior Fabric(s)* Asbestos shingle, concrete block
Roof: Type(s)* gable Material(s)* Composition Shingle
Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.)* _____
Chimney: No. _____ Material(s)* _____ Location(s)* _____
Windows (types, materials, etc.)* 1/1 SHS, metal, paired and independent

Main Entrance (stylistic details) _____
Porches: #open 1 #closed _____ #incised _____ Location(s) Main facade
Porch roof type(s) gable
Exterior Ornament _____

Interior Plan* _____
Condition (Please check one): excellent good fair deteriorated ruinous
Surroundings (N=None, S=Some, M=Most, A=All/nearly all) M commercial S residential _____ institutional _____ undeveloped
Ancillary Features (No., type of outbuildings; major landscape features. Use continuation sheet for descriptions of interior, landscaping, etc) Steel frame fire tower, wood frame outbuildings located west and southeast of office

Archaeological Remains None observed Check if Archaeological Form completed
*Consult Guide to Historical Structure Forms for preferred descriptions (coded fields at the Site File).

DHR USE ONLY		OFFICIAL EVALUATIONS		DHR USE ONLY	
NR DATE	KEEPER: NR ELIGIBILITY	<input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no		Date	
	SHPO: NR ELIGIBILITY	<input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no <input type="checkbox"/> potentially elig. <input type="checkbox"/> insufficient info		Date	
DELIST DATE	LOCAL DESIGNATION			Date	
	Local office				
National Register Criteria for Evaluation: <input type="checkbox"/> a <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> b <input type="checkbox"/> c <input type="checkbox"/> d (See National Register Bulletin 15, p.2)					

HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM

Site # 8 CI1096

Consult Guide to Historical Structure Forms for detailed instructions

HISTORY

Construction date: Exactly _____ (year) Approximately 1950 (year) Earlier than _____ (year) Later than _____ (year)
 Architect (last name first): _____ Builder (last name first): _____
 Moves: yes no unknown Dates _____ Original address _____
 Alterations: yes no unknown Dates _____ Nature* _____
 Additions: yes no unknown Dates _____ Nature* _____
 Original Use* (give date ranges) Office-governmental
 Intermediate Uses* (give date ranges) Office-governmental
 Present Use* (give date ranges) Office-governmental
 Ownership History (especially original owner, dates, profession, etc.) U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (02/2002)

*Consult Guide to Historical Structure Forms for preferred descriptions (coded fields at the Site File).

RESEARCH METHODS (Check all choices that apply; if needed write others at bottom)

formal archaeological survey past surveys search at FMSF local library research Sanborn maps
 informal archaeological inspection past sites search at FMSF non-local library research subdivision maps
 Public Lands Survey (DEP) FL Archives (Gray Building) building permits plat maps
 tax records/property deeds FL Photo Archives (Gray Building) demolition permits local newspaper files
 tax records only occupant/owner interview commercial permits
 interior inspection neighbor interview occupation permits
 other methods (specify) _____

SURVEYOR'S EVALUATION OF SITE (Check one choice on each line)

Potentially eligible for local register? yes: name register at right no insufficient info Name of local register if eligible: _____
 Individually eligible for National Register? yes no insufficient info _____
 Potential contributor to Nat. Reg. district? yes no insufficient info _____
 Area(s) of Historical Significance (See National Register Bulletin 15, p. 8 for categories: e.g. "architecture," "ethnic heritage," "community planning & development," etc.)
 Conservation _____

Explanation of Evaluation (required, whether positive or not; limit to three lines; attach longer statement, if needed, on separate sheet) There is nothing remarkable about this standard plan U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service office and fire tower. In fact, there are identical complexes found throughout Florida. Therefore, it is not considered NRHP eligible.

DOCUMENTATION (Photos, Plans, etc.)

Bibliographic References (Use Continuation Sheet, give FMSF Manuscript # if relevant) Citrus County Property Appraisers Office

Photographs (required) B&W print(s) at least 3x5, at least one main facade.

Location of negatives & negative numbers ACI Roll 1/21-23


RECORDER

Name (last name first)/Address/Phone/Fax/Email/Affiliation Scupholm, Carrie; Norman Tesa
 P.O. Box 5103; Sarasota, FL 34277-5103; 941/925-9906; 941/925-9767;
 ACImainFL@cs.com; Archaeological Consultants Inc.


Remember: Use a Supplement for Site Forms or other continuation sheet for descriptions that do not fit in the spaces above.

REQUIRED: (1) USGS 7.5' MAP WITH STRUCTURE PINPOINTED IN RED
 (2) LARGE SCALE STREET OR PLAT MAP
 (3) PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, PREFER B&W, AT LEAST 3x5



PHOTOGRAPH



STREET OR PLAT MAP



7940 US 19 (SR 55)





US 19 (SR 55)



USGS MAP

Chassahowitzka, Fla. 1954, PR 1988



HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE

Site #8 CI1097
Recorder # 1/29
Field Date 1/4/02
Form Date 02/12/2002

Original
 Update
(give site #)

Version 3.0 11/96

Consult Guide To Historical Structure Forms for detailed instructions.

Site Name(s) (address if none) 6982 US19 (SR 55) Multiple Listing [DHR only] _____
Survey US 19 (SR 55) PD & E Study from South of US 98 to CR 488 Survey # _____
National Register Category (Please check one: consult with Site File before using last four): building structure district site object

LOCATION & IDENTIFICATION

Address (Include N,S,E,W;#;St.,Ave.,etc.) 6982 US 19 (SR 55)
Cross Streets (nearest/between) South of west Cardinal Street on west
City/Town (within 3 miles) Homosassa In Current City Limits: y n unknown
County Citrus Tax Parcel #(s) 1220S17E12320
Subdivision name _____ Block _____ Lot _____
Ownership (Please check one): private-profit private-individual city county Native American
 private-nonprofit private-unspecified state federal foreign unknown
Name of Public Tract (e.g., park) _____
Route to (especially if no street address) _____

MAPPING

USGS 7.5' Map Name & Date Homosassa, Fla. 1954, PR 1988
Township 20S Range 17E Section 12 1/4 section: NW SW SE NE Irregular-name: _____
Landgrant _____ UTM: Zone 16 17 Easting 0348307 Northing 3182449
Plat or other map (map's name, location) _____

DESCRIPTION

Style* Masonry Vernacular Exterior Plan* rectangular Number of Stories 1
Structural System(s)* masonry
Foundation: Type(s)* continuous Material(s)* concrete block
Exterior Fabric(s)* concrete block
Roof: Type(s)* hipped Material(s)* Composition Shingle
Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.)* _____
Chimney: No. _____ Material(s)* _____ Location(s)* _____
Windows (types, materials, etc.)* 3-light and 2-light awning, metal, independent and ribbon

Main Entrance (stylistic details) _____
Porches: #open _____ #closed 1 #incised _____ Location(s) Main facade
Porch roof type(s) Shed
Exterior Ornament _____

Interior Plan* _____
Condition (Please check one): excellent good fair deteriorated ruinous
Surroundings (N=None, S=Some, M=Most, A=All/nearly all) M commercial S residential - _____ institutional _____ undeveloped
Ancillary Features (No., type of outbuildings; major landscape features. Use continuation sheet for descriptions of interior, landscaping, etc) Wood frame
outbuildings are located to the east and west of the house.

Archaeological Remains None observed Check if Archaeological Form completed
*Consult Guide to Historical Structure Forms for preferred descriptions (coded fields at the Site File).

DHR USE ONLY		OFFICIAL EVALUATIONS		DHR USE ONLY	
NR DATE	KEEPER NR ELIGIBILITY: <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no			Date	
	SHPO-NR ELIGIBILITY: <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no <input type="checkbox"/> potentially elig. <input type="checkbox"/> insufficient info			Date	
DELIST DATE	LOCAL DESIGNATION:			Date	
	Local office				
National Register Criteria for Evaluation <input type="checkbox"/> a <input type="checkbox"/> b <input type="checkbox"/> c <input type="checkbox"/> d (See National Register Bulletin 15, p.2)					

HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM

Site # 8 CI1097

Consult Guide to Historical Structure Forms for detailed instructions

HISTORY

Construction date: Exactly _____ (year) Approximately 1947 (year) Earlier than _____ (year) Later than _____ (year)

Architect (last name first): Unknown Builder (last name first): Unknown

Moves: yes no unknown Dates _____ Original address _____

Alterations: yes no unknown Datesc. 1965 Nature* porch enclosed, windows replaced

Additions: yes no unknown Dates _____ Nature* _____

Original Use* (give date ranges) Private residence

Intermediate Uses* (give date ranges) Private residence

Present Use* (give date ranges) Private residence/ Abandoned

Ownership History (especially original owner, dates, profession, etc.) John and Jane Lee Etta Frost, (02/2002)

*Consult Guide to Historical Structure Forms for preferred descriptions (coded fields at the Site File).

RESEARCH METHODS (Check all choices that apply; if needed write others at bottom)

<input type="checkbox"/> formal archaeological survey	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> past surveys search at FMSF	<input type="checkbox"/> local library research	<input type="checkbox"/> Sanborn maps
<input type="checkbox"/> informal archaeological inspection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> past sites search at FMSF	<input type="checkbox"/> non-local library research	<input type="checkbox"/> subdivision maps
<input type="checkbox"/> Public Lands Survey (DEP)	<input type="checkbox"/> FL Archives (Gray Building)	<input type="checkbox"/> building permits	<input type="checkbox"/> plat maps
<input type="checkbox"/> tax records/property deeds	<input type="checkbox"/> FL Photo Archives (Gray Building)	<input type="checkbox"/> demolition permits	<input type="checkbox"/> local newspaper files
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> tax records only	<input type="checkbox"/> occupant/owner interview	<input type="checkbox"/> commercial permits	
<input type="checkbox"/> interior inspection	<input type="checkbox"/> neighbor interview	<input type="checkbox"/> occupation permits	
<input type="checkbox"/> other methods (specify) _____			

SURVEYOR'S EVALUATION OF SITE (Check one choice on each line)

Potentially eligible for local register? yes: name register at right no insufficient info Name of local register if eligible: _____

Individually eligible for National Register? yes no insufficient info _____

Potential contributor to Nat. Reg. district? yes no insufficient info _____

Area(s) of Historical Significance (See National Register Bulletin 15, p. 8 for categories: e.g. "architecture," "ethnic heritage," "community planning & development," etc.) _____

Community Planning and Development _____

Explanation of Evaluation (required, whether positive or not; limit to three lines; attach longer statement, if needed, on separate sheet) Limited historical research suggests no historical significance. Furthermore, this style is common throughout the area. It, therefore is not considered to be eligible for the NRHP.

DOCUMENTATION (Photos, Plans, etc.)

Bibliographic References (Use Continuation Sheet, give FMSF Manuscript # if relevant) Citrus County Property Appraisers Office

Photographs (required) B&W print(s) at least 3x5, at least one main facade.

Location of negatives & negative numbers ACI Roll 1/29

RECORDER

Name (last name first)/Address/Phone/Fax/Email/Affiliation Scupholm, Carrie; Norman Tesa

P.O. Box 5103; Sarasota, FL 34277-5103; 941/925-9906; 941/925-9767;

ACImainFL@cs.com; Archaeological Consultants Inc.


Remember: Use a Supplement for Site Forms or other continuation sheet for descriptions that do not fit in the spaces above.

- REQUIRED:**
- (1) USGS 7.5' MAP WITH STRUCTURE PINPOINTED IN RED
 - (2) LARGE SCALE STREET OR PLAT MAP
 - (3) PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, PREFER B&W, AT LEAST 3x5

PHOTOGRAPH



STREET OR PLAT MAP



6982 US 19 (SR 55)






US 19 (SR 55)



USGS MAP

Chassahowitzka, Fla. 1954, PR 1988





HISTORICAL CEMETERY FORM

Site #8 C1938

Original
 Update (give site # at right)

Florida Master Site File

Recorder # 1/30

Version 3.0: 8/98

Field Date 1/4/02

**Consult Guide to the Historical Cemetery Form for detailed instructions*

Form Date _____

LOCATION & IDENTIFICATION

Cemetery Name(s) Stage Stand Cemetery Multiple Listing [DHR only]
Project Name US 19 (SR 55) PD & E Study from South of US 98 to CR 488 FMSF Survey # _____
Address/Vicinity of/Route to US 19 (SR 55), on east, south of Homosassa

Nearest City/Town (within three miles) Homosassa Springs In Current City Limits? yes no unknown

County Citrus County Tax Parcel #(s) (optional) 3320S19E31000

Ownership Type (check exactly one) private-profit private-nonprofit private-unspecified city county
 state federal foreign Native American unknown

Public Tract Enclosing Cem., if any (e.g. park) N 1/2 of NE 1/4 of SW 1/4

MAPPING

USGS 7.5' Map Name and Date Homosassa, Fla. 1954, PR 1988

Township 19S Range 17E Section 27 1/4 section NW SW SE NE Irregular sec.-name: _____

Township _____ Range _____ Section _____ 1/4 section NW SW SE NE Irregular sec.-name: _____

Landgrant: _____ Plat or Other Map _____

HISTORY

Year Cemetery Established: 1905 Estimated Year _____ Ownership History (especially original owners) Citrus County

Year Burials Ceased, if applicable _____ Reason(s) Burials Ceased _____

Range of Death Dates Earliest 1905 Most Recent present (O)bserved or (R)esearched? 0

Acreage Expansions/Dates: _____

List People Important in Local, State, or National History Buried in Cemetery _____

Previous Attempts at Repair, Cleaning, or Restoration? _____

GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF CEMETERY

Type (Check all that apply) community company town epidemic family fraternal order
 memorial park military(not national) municipal national potter's field prison
 religious "Rural Movement" other (explain): _____

Ethnic Group(s) Interred (Check all that apply) White non-Hispanic Hispanic Asian Caribbean
 African American American Indian-tribe: _____ other (explain): _____

Current Status: used for burials maintained but not used abandoned Size: _____ ft X _____ ft or _____ acres

Total # Graves: 140 Does Total # Include Unmarked Graves?: yes no

Evidence/# of Unmarked Graves? _____

Condition: well maintained some areas maintained, others neglected poorly maintained
 not maintained, but can identify not maintained, hard to identify not identifiable but known to exist (explain): _____

Cemetery Boundary Type: fence wall hedge other (explain): _____

Describe Cem. Boundary (e.g. "cast iron fence", stone or brick wall, etc.) chain link fence

Historical Vegetation (trees, shrubs, flowers) _____

Grave Groupings (Check all that apply) family fraternal order military religious ethnic heritage other (explain): _____

Groupings Indicated By (Check all that apply) curbing fence hedge wall other (explain): _____

Public Access Unlimited Restricted: How? _____

Surroundings [use (N)one, (S)ome, (M)ost, (A)ll or nearly (A)ll] S Commercial Residential Institutional S Undeveloped

Threats (Check all that apply) abandonment agriculture desecration public development private development
 mining or timbering other (explain): _____

Associated Historical Properties/Archaeological (non-cemetery) Remains: _____

Check if Historical Structure Form completed

Check if Archaeological Site Form completed

HISTORICAL CEMETERY FORM

*Consult Guide to the Historical Cemetery Form for detailed instructions

GRAVES

If question requests N/S/M/A, estimate proportions by using a letter as follows: (N)one/Very Few, (S)ome, (M)ost, (A)ll/Nearly (A)ll.

Orientation (N/S/M/A) (complete all that apply) East/West A North/South Other:
(explain): _____

Marked Graves (N/S/M/A) (complete all that apply) A Headstones Marked with objects or plants (no headstone on grave)
 Graves mounded M Graves depressed

If Other Method(s) of Marking Graves Used, List and Give N/S/M/A _____

Marker Materials (Check all that apply) marble concrete/cement fieldstone granite wrought iron
 cast iron white bronze/zinc sandstone slate wood other (explain below): _____

Describe Grave Articles Found in Cemetery _____

Marker Conditions (N/S/M/A) S Sunken or tilted S Chipped, cracked, weathered, but standing
 Broken or in fragments Deliberately vandalized

Other Notable Conditions Observed and Proportions (N/S/M/A) _____

Inscriptions (N/S/M/A) M Legible inscriptions Illegible inscriptions No inscriptions

Distinctive Gravemarkers, Monuments, and/or Architectural Features _____

 A few Woodsmen of the World headstones

Signatures of Stone Carvers (Specify name, town if available) _____

RECORDER'S EVALUATION

Potentially Eligible for Local Designation? yes no insufficient information

Name of Local Register if Eligible _____

Individually Eligible for Nat. Register? yes no insufficient information

Potential Contributor to NR District? yes no insufficient information

Areas of Historical Significance (See *National Register Bulletin 15*, p. 8 for categories: e.g. "architecture", "ethnic heritage", etc.):

 Community Planning and Development

Explanation of Evaluation (required; limit to three lines; attach full statement on separate sheet):

As this is a county cemetery, it is not associated with any particular denomination. As a result, it does not appear to have attributes sufficient to qualify for NRHP-listing such as unique headstones or burial practices. Therefore, the Stage Stand Cemetery does not appear eligible for listing in the NRHP.

DOCUMENTATION

Research Methods (Consult Guide to the Historical Cemetery Form for detailed instructions) Observation, Citrus County
 Property Appraisers Office

Bibliographic References (Author, date, title, publication information. If unpublished, give FSF Manuscript Number, or location where available): Citrus County Property Appraisers Office

Local Contact: Name/Address/Phone # /Administrative Office _____

Recorder(Name/Address/Phone/Affiliation): Scupholm, Carrie; Tesa Norman

 P.O. Box 5103; Sarasota, FL 34277-5103; (941) 925-9906; (941) 925-9767; ACImainFL@cs.com; Archaeological Consultants Inc.

Photographs: Required. Request the use of B&W prints no smaller than 3x5. Photographs would be useful to document main gate or entrance, representative general views, representative or unusual monuments or markers, and damage or neglect.

Describe and Give Location/File Nos. of Notes, Records, or Photos: _____

DHR USE ONLY		OFFICIAL EVALUATIONS		DHR USE ONLY	
NR DATE	KEEPER-NR ELIGIBILITY: <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no	Date	/	/	/
DELIST DATE	SHPO-NR ELIGIBILITY: <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no <input type="checkbox"/> potentially elig. <input type="checkbox"/> insufficient info.	Date	/	/	/
	LOCAL DESIGNATION: _____	Date	/	/	/
	Local office				
National Register Criteria for Evaluation <input type="checkbox"/> a <input type="checkbox"/> b <input type="checkbox"/> c <input type="checkbox"/> d					


REQUIRED: Photocopy or Orig. 7.5' Map with Boundaries in Red

PHOTOGRAPH



USGS MAP

Homosassa, Fla. 1954, PR 1988



0

0.5 mile



HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE

Site #8 CI400

Original
 Update
(give site #)

Version 3.0 11/96

Consult Guide To Historical Structure Forms for detailed instructions.

Recorder # 1/17

Field Date 1/4/02

Form Date 2/11/02

Site Name(s) (address if none) 3862 US 19 (SR 55) Multiple Listing [DHR only] _____
Survey US 19 (SR 55) PD & E Study from South of US 98 to CR 488 Survey # _____
National Register Category (Please check one: consult with Site File before using last four): building structure district site object

LOCATION & IDENTIFICATION

Address (Include N,S,E,W;#;St.,Ave.,etc.) 3862 US 19 (SR 55)
Cross Streets (nearest/between) between NW corner of US 19 and CR 490
City/Town (within 3 miles) Homosassa Springs In Current City Limits: y n unknown
County Citrus Tax Parcel #(s) 2219S17E0010001200180
Subdivision name _____ Block _____ Lot _____
Ownership (Please check one): private-profit private-individual city county Native American
 private-nonprofit private-unspecified state federal foreign unknown
Name of Public Tract (e.g., park) _____
Route to (especially if no street address) _____

MAPPING

USGS 7.5' Map Name & Date Homosassa, Fla. 1954, PR 1988
Township 19S Range 17E Section 22 1/4 section: NW SW SE NE Irregular-name: _____
Landgrant _____ UTM: Zone 16 17 Easting 0346134 Northing 3187518
Plat or other map (map's name, location) _____

DESCRIPTION

Style* Mediterranean Revival Exterior Plan* irregular Number of Stories 1
Structural System(s)* wood
Foundation: Type(s)* Unknown Material(s)* Unknown
Exterior Fabric(s)* Stucco
Roof: Type(s)* gable, flat Material(s)* Composition Shingle
Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.)* _____
Chimney: No. 1 Material(s)* masonry Location(s)* South wall
Windows (types, materials, etc.)* 6/1 DHS, wood, paired and independent

Main Entrance (stylistic details) _____
Porches: #open _____ #closed _____ #incised _____ Location(s) _____
Porch roof type(s) _____
Exterior Ornament canales, shaped parapet

Interior Plan* Unknown
Condition (Please check one): excellent good fair deteriorated ruinous
Surroundings (N=None, S=Some, M=Most, A=All/nearly all) M commercial residential institutional undeveloped
Ancillary Features (No., type of outbuildings; major landscape features. Use continuation sheet for descriptions of interior, landscaping, etc) _____ Attached garage _____
and free standing canopies are located to the north, south and east of the building

Archaeological Remains None observed Check if Archaeological Form completed
*Consult Guide to Historical Structure Forms for preferred descriptions (coded fields at the Site File).

DHR USE ONLY		OFFICIAL EVALUATIONS		DHR USE ONLY	
NR DATE	KEEPER-NR ELIGIBILITY: <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no			Date	
	SHPO-NR ELIGIBILITY: <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no <input type="checkbox"/> potentially elig. <input type="checkbox"/> insufficient info			Date	
DELIST DATE	LOCAL DESIGNATION			Date	
	Local office				
National Register Criteria for Evaluation: <input type="checkbox"/> a <input type="checkbox"/> b <input type="checkbox"/> c <input type="checkbox"/> d (See National Register Bulletin 15, p.2)					

HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM

Site # 8 CI400

Consult Guide to Historical Structure Forms for detailed instructions

HISTORY

Construction date: Exactly _____ (year) Approximately 1928 (year) Earlier than _____ (year) Later than _____ (year)

Architect (last name first): Unknown Builder (last name first): Unknown

Moves: yes no unknown Dates _____ Original address _____Alterations: yes no unknown Dates Unknown Nature* extensive to accommodate commercial functionAdditions: yes no unknown Dates _____ Nature* _____

Original Use* (give date ranges) Private residence

Intermediate Uses* (give date ranges) Private residence

Present Use* (give date ranges) Commercial (Retail)

Ownership History (especially original owner, dates, profession, etc.) Nicholas R. Bryant Jr. (2/2002)

*Consult Guide to Historical Structure Forms for preferred descriptions (coded fields at the Site File).

RESEARCH METHODS (Check all choices that apply; if needed write others at bottom)

- | | | | |
|---|---|---|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> formal archaeological survey | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> past surveys search at FMSF | <input type="checkbox"/> local library research | <input type="checkbox"/> Sanborn maps |
| <input type="checkbox"/> informal archaeological inspection | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> past sites search at FMSF | <input type="checkbox"/> non-local library research | <input type="checkbox"/> subdivision maps |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Public Lands Survey (DEP) | <input type="checkbox"/> FL Archives (Gray Building) | <input type="checkbox"/> building permits | <input type="checkbox"/> plat maps |
| <input type="checkbox"/> tax records/property deeds | <input type="checkbox"/> FL Photo Archives (Gray Building) | <input type="checkbox"/> demolition permits | <input type="checkbox"/> local newspaper files |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> tax records only | <input type="checkbox"/> occupant/owner interview | <input type="checkbox"/> commercial permits | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> interior inspection | <input type="checkbox"/> neighbor interview | <input type="checkbox"/> occupation permits | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> other methods (specify) _____ | | | |

SURVEYOR'S EVALUATION OF SITE (Check one choice on each line)Potentially eligible for local register? yes: name register at right no insufficient info Name of local register if eligible: _____Individually eligible for National Register? yes no insufficient info _____Potential contributor to Nat. Reg. district? yes no insufficient info _____

Area(s) of Historical Significance (See National Register Bulletin 15, p. 8 for categories: e.g. "architecture," "ethnic heritage," "community planning & development," etc.)

Community Planning and Development

Explanation of Evaluation (required, whether positive or not; limit to three lines; attach longer statement, if needed, on separate sheet) This modest Mediterranean Revival style residence is common throughout Florida. Furthermore, alterations and additions have diminished architectural integrity. Therefore, this property does not appear eligible for the NRHP.

DOCUMENTATION (Photos, Plans, etc.)

Bibliographic References (Use Continuation Sheet, give FMSF Manuscript # if relevant) Citrus County Property Appraisers Office

Photographs (required) B&W print(s) at least 3x5, at least one main facade.

Location of negatives & negative numbers ACI 2/12

RECORDER

Name (last name first)/Address/Phone/Fax/Email/Affiliation Scupholm, Carrie; Norman Tesa


P.O. Box 5103; Sarasota, FL 34277-5103; 941/925-9906; 941/925-9767;

ACI@mainFL@cs.com; Archaeological Consultants Inc.

Remember: Use a Supplement for Site Forms or other continuation sheet for descriptions that do not fit in the spaces above.


REQUIRED: (1) USGS 7.5' MAP WITH STRUCTURE PINPOINTED IN RED
 (2) LARGE SCALE STREET OR PLAT MAP
 (3) PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, PREFER B&W, AT LEAST 3x5

PHOTOGRAPH



STREET OR PLAT MAP

3862 US 19 (SR 55)




US 19 (SR 55)



USGS MAP

Homosassa, Fla. 1954, PR 1988



HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE

Site #8 CI1098
Recorder # 1/19
Field Date 1/4/02
Form Date 02/12/02

Original
 Update
(give site #)

Version 3.0 11/96

Consult Guide To Historical Structure Forms for detailed instructions.

Site Name(s) (address if none) 3795-99 US 19 (SR 55) Multiple Listing [DHR only] _____
Survey US 19 (SR 55) PD & E Study from South of US 98 to CR 488 Survey # _____
National Register Category (Please check one: consult with Site File before using last four): building structure district site object

LOCATION & IDENTIFICATION

Address (Include N,S,E,W, #; St., Ave., etc.) 3795-99 US 19 (SR 55)
Cross Streets (nearest/between) North of CR 490 on east
City/Town (within 3 miles) Homosassa In Current City Limits: y n unknown
County Citrus Tax Parcel #(s) 2219S17E0010002000010
Subdivision name Unit 1 of Homosassa Block _____ Lot _____
Ownership (Please check one): private-profit private-individual city county Native American
 private-nonprofit private-unspecified state federal foreign unknown
Name of Public Tract (e.g., park) _____
Route to (especially if no street address) _____

MAPPING

USGS 7.5' Map Name & Date Homosassa, Fla. 1954, PR 1988
Township 19S Range 17E Section 22 1/4 section: NW SW SE NE Irregular-name: _____
Landgrant _____ UTM: Zone 16 17 Easting 0346195 Northing 3187584
Plat or other map (map's name, location) _____

DESCRIPTION

Style* Frame Vernacular Exterior Plan* rectangular Number of Stories 1
Structural System(s)* Wood, masonry
Foundation: Type(s)* Slab Material(s)* concrete
Exterior Fabric(s)* aluminum siding, brick and stucco
Roof: Type(s)* gable, flat Material(s)* composition shingle, built-up
Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.)* _____
Chimney: No. _____ Material(s)* _____ Location(s)* _____
Windows (types, materials, etc.)* 4/4 fixed, wood, independent; fixed commercial plate glass, wood, independent; obscured
Main Entrance (stylistic details) _____
Porches: #open _____ #closed _____ #incised _____ Location(s) _____
Porch roof type(s) _____
Exterior Ornament _____

Interior Plan* _____
Condition (Please check one): excellent good fair deteriorated ruinous
Surroundings (N=None, S=Some, M=Most, A=All/nearly all) M commercial _____ residential _____ institutional _____ S undeveloped
Ancillary Features (No., type of outbuildings; major landscape features. Use continuation sheet for descriptions of interior, landscaping, etc) _____

Archaeological Remains None observed Check if Archaeological Form completed
*Consult Guide to Historical Structure Forms for preferred descriptions (coded fields at the Site File).

DHR USE ONLY		OFFICIAL EVALUATIONS		DHR USE ONLY	
NR DATE	KEEPER-NR ELIGIBILITY <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no			Date	
	SHPO-NR ELIGIBILITY <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no <input type="checkbox"/> potentially elig. <input type="checkbox"/> insufficient info			Date	
DELIST DATE	LOCAL DESIGNATION			Date	
Local office					
National Register Criteria for Evaluation <input type="checkbox"/> a <input type="checkbox"/> b <input type="checkbox"/> c <input type="checkbox"/> d (See National Register Bulletin 15, p.2)					

HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM

Site # 8 C11098

Consult Guide to Historical Structure Forms for detailed instructions

HISTORY

Construction date: Exactly _____ (year) Approximately 1952 (year) Earlier than _____ (year) Later than _____ (year)
 Architect (last name first): Unknown Builder (last name first): Unknown
 Moves: yes no unknown Dates _____ Original address _____
 Alterations: yes no unknown Dates _____ Nature* _____
 Additions: yes no unknown Dates esc. 1967 Nature* concrete block addition
 Original Use* (give date ranges) Commercial
 Intermediate Uses* (give date ranges) Commercial
 Present Use* (give date ranges) Commercial
 Ownership History (especially original owner, dates, profession, etc.) Phyllis Grace Rabasco and Ronald G. Annett (02/2002)

*Consult Guide to Historical Structure Forms for preferred descriptions (coded fields at the Site File).

RESEARCH METHODS (Check all choices that apply; if needed write others at bottom)

- | | | | |
|---|---|---|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> formal archaeological survey | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> past surveys search at FMSF | <input type="checkbox"/> local library research | <input type="checkbox"/> Sanborn maps |
| <input type="checkbox"/> informal archaeological inspection | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> past sites search at FMSF | <input type="checkbox"/> non-local library research | <input type="checkbox"/> subdivision maps |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Public Lands Survey (DEP) | <input type="checkbox"/> FL Archives (Gray Building) | <input type="checkbox"/> building permits | <input type="checkbox"/> plat maps |
| <input type="checkbox"/> tax records/property deeds | <input type="checkbox"/> FL Photo Archives (Gray Building) | <input type="checkbox"/> demolition permits | <input type="checkbox"/> local newspaper files |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> tax records only | <input type="checkbox"/> occupant/owner interview | <input type="checkbox"/> commercial permits | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> interior inspection | <input type="checkbox"/> neighbor interview | <input type="checkbox"/> occupation permits | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> other methods (specify) _____ | | | |

SURVEYOR'S EVALUATION OF SITE (Check one choice on each line)

Potentially eligible for local register? yes: name register at right no insufficient info Name of local register if eligible: _____
 Individually eligible for National Register? yes no insufficient info _____
 Potential contributor to Nat. Reg. district? yes no insufficient info _____
 Area(s) of Historical Significance (See National Register Bulletin 15, p. 8 for categories: e.g. "architecture," "ethnic heritage," "community planning & development," etc.) _____
 Community Planning and Development _____

Explanation of Evaluation (required, whether positive or not; limit to three lines; attach longer statement, if needed, on separate sheet) This modest multi-use commercial structure, represents a building type common to Citrus County. Furthermore, available data did not suggest any significant historical associations. It does not appear to meet NRHP criteria.

DOCUMENTATION (Photos, Plans, etc.)

Bibliographic References (Use Continuation Sheet, give FMSF Manuscript # if relevant) Citrus County Property Appraisers Office;

Photographs (required) B&W print(s) at least 3x5, at least one main facade.
 Location of negatives & negative numbers ACI Roll 2/10


RECORDER

Name (last name first)/Address/Phone/Fax/Email/Affiliation Scupholm, Carrie; Norman Tesa
P.O. Box 5103; Sarasota, FL 34277-5103; 941/925-9906; 941/925-9767;
ACImainFL@cs.com; Archaeological Consultants Inc.


Remember: Use a Supplement for Site Forms or other continuation sheet for descriptions that do not fit in the spaces above.

REQUIRED: (1) USGS 7.5' MAP WITH STRUCTURE PINPOINTED IN RED
 (2) LARGE SCALE STREET OR PLAT MAP
 (3) PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, PREFER B&W, AT LEAST 3x5

PHOTOGRAPH





STREET OR PLAT MAP



USGS MAP

Homosassa, Fla. 1954, PR 1988



HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE

Site #8 C11099

Original
 Update
(give site #)

Version 3.0 11/96

Consult Guide To Historical Structure Forms for detailed instructions.

Recorder # 1/15

Field Date 1/4/02

Form Date 2/12/02

Site Name(s) (address if none) Bell Villa Motel Multiple Listing [DHR only] _____

Survey US 19 (SR 55) PD & E Study from South of US 98 to CR 488 Survey # _____

National Register Category (Please check one: consult with Site File before using last four): building structure district site object

LOCATION & IDENTIFICATION

Address (Include N,S,E,W,;#;St.,Ave.,etc.) 3430-50 US 19 (SR 55)

Cross Streets (nearest/between) North of Goshawk Lane on west

City/Town (within 3 miles) Homosassa Springs In Current City Limits: y n unknown

County Citrus Tax Parcel #(s) 2218S17E42300

Subdivision name _____ Block _____ Lot _____

Ownership (Please check one): private-profit private-individual city county Native American
 private-nonprofit private-unspecified state federal foreign unknown

Name of Public Tract (e.g., park) _____

Route to (especially if no street address) _____

MAPPING

USGS 7.5' Map Name & Date Homosassa, Fla. 1954, PR 1988

Township 18S Range 17E Section 22 1/4 section: NW SW SE NE Irregular-name: _____

Landgrant _____ UTM: Zone 16 17 Easting 0346139 Northing 3188185

Plat or other map (map's name, location) _____

DESCRIPTION

Style* Masonry Vernacular Exterior Plan* irregular Number of Stories 1

Structural System(s)* masonry

Foundation: Type(s)* slab Material(s)* concrete

Exterior Fabric(s)* concrete block

Roof: Type(s)* gable Material(s)* Composition Shingle

Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.)* _____

Chimney: No. 1 Material(s)* Concrete block Location(s)* West elevation

Windows (types, materials, etc.)* 4-light casement, metal, groups of 3; 2/2 SHS, metal flanked by 1/1 SHS metal; 3-light awning, metal, independent

Main Entrance (stylistic details) _____

Porches: #open 1 #closed _____ #incised _____ Location(s) Main facade of both buildings

Porch roof type(s) shed

Exterior Ornament _____

Interior Plan* 12 room motel

Condition (Please check one): excellent good fair deteriorated ruinous

Surroundings (N=None, S=Some, M=Most, A=All/nearly all) M commercial residential institutional undeveloped

Ancillary Features (No., type of outbuildings; major landscape features. Use continuation sheet for descriptions of interior, landscaping, etc) Mobile home park located immediately west of motel

Archaeological Remains None observed Check if Archaeological Form completed

*Consult Guide to Historical Structure Forms for preferred descriptions (coded fields at the Site File).

DHR USE ONLY		OFFICIAL EVALUATIONS		DHR USE ONLY	
NR DATE	KEEPER-NR ELIGIBILITY: <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no			Date	
	SHPO-NR ELIGIBILITY: <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no <input type="checkbox"/> potentially elig. <input type="checkbox"/> insufficient info			Date	
DELIST DATE	LOCAL DESIGNATION			Date	
Local office					
National Register Criteria for Evaluation: <input type="checkbox"/> a <input type="checkbox"/> b <input type="checkbox"/> c <input type="checkbox"/> d (See National Register Bulletin 15, p.2)					

HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM

Site # 8 CI1099

Consult Guide to Historical Structure Forms for detailed instructions

HISTORY

Construction date: Exactly _____ (year) Approximately 1943 (year) Earlier than _____ (year) Later than _____ (year)

Architect (last name first): Unknown Builder (last name first): Unknown

Moves: yes no unknown Dates _____ Original address _____

Alterations: yes no unknown Dates c. 1964 Nature* some windows replaced

Additions: yes no unknown Dates _____ Nature* _____

Original Use* (give date ranges) Motel

Intermediate Uses* (give date ranges) Motel

Present Use* (give date ranges) Motel

Ownership History (especially original owner, dates, profession, etc.) Veer Real Estate, Inc. (02/2002)

*Consult Guide to Historical Structure Forms for preferred descriptions (coded fields at the Site File).

RESEARCH METHODS (Check all choices that apply; if needed write others at bottom)

<input type="checkbox"/> formal archaeological survey	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> past surveys search at FMSF	<input type="checkbox"/> local library research	<input type="checkbox"/> Sanborn maps
<input type="checkbox"/> informal archaeological inspection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> past sites search at FMSF	<input type="checkbox"/> non-local library research	<input type="checkbox"/> subdivision maps
<input type="checkbox"/> Public Lands Survey (DEP)	<input type="checkbox"/> FL Archives (Gray Building)	<input type="checkbox"/> building permits	<input type="checkbox"/> plat maps
<input type="checkbox"/> tax records/property deeds	<input type="checkbox"/> FL Photo Archives (Gray Building)	<input type="checkbox"/> demolition permits	<input type="checkbox"/> local newspaper files
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> tax records only	<input type="checkbox"/> occupant/owner interview	<input type="checkbox"/> commercial permits	
<input type="checkbox"/> interior inspection	<input type="checkbox"/> neighbor interview	<input type="checkbox"/> occupation permits	
<input type="checkbox"/> other methods (specify) _____			

SURVEYOR'S EVALUATION OF SITE (Check one choice on each line)

Potentially eligible for local register? yes: name register at right no insufficient info Name of local register if eligible: _____

Individually eligible for National Register? yes no insufficient info _____

Potential contributor to Nat. Reg. district? yes no insufficient info _____

Area(s) of Historical Significance (See National Register Bulletin 15, p. 8 for categories: e.g. "architecture," "ethnic heritage," "community planning & development," etc.)
Community Planning and Development, Recreation

Explanation of Evaluation (required, whether positive or not; limit to three lines; attach longer statement, if needed, on separate sheet) This early 1940s motel, now consisting of 12 units, is typical of the type of tourist accommodation constructed to attract vacationers to the waterways of Citrus County. In addition, limited, research did not reveal any historical significance. Therefore, it does not appear NRHP eligible.

DOCUMENTATION (Photos, Plans, etc.)

Bibliographic References (Use Continuation Sheet, give FMSF Manuscript # if relevant) Citrus County Property Appraisers Office

Photographs (required) B&W print(s) at least 3x5, at least one main facade.
 Location of negatives & negative numbers ACI Roll 1/15

RECORDER

Name (last name first)/Address/Phone/Fax/Email/Affiliation Scupholm, Carrie; Norman Tesa
P.O. Box 5103; Sarasota, FL 34277-5103; 941/925-9906; 941/925-9767;
ACImainFL@cs.com; Archaeological Consultants Inc.


Remember: Use a Supplement for Site Forms or other continuation sheet for descriptions that do not fit in the spaces above.

REQUIRED: (1) USGS 7.5' MAP WITH STRUCTURE PINPOINTED IN RED
 (2) LARGE SCALE STREET OR PLAT MAP
 (3) PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, PREFER B&W, AT LEAST 3x5

PHOTOGRAPH





STREET OR PLAT MAP



USGS MAP

Homosassa, Fla. 1954, PR 1988



HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE

Site #8 CI401

Original
 Update
(give site #)

Version 3.0 11/96

Consult Guide To Historical Structure Forms for detailed instructions.

Recorder # 2/13
Field Date 1/4/02
Form Date 2/11/02

Site Name(s) (address if none) 2495 US 19 (SR 55) Multiple Listing [DHR only] _____
Survey US 19 (SR 55) PD & E Study from South of US 98 to CR 488 Survey # _____
National Register Category (Please check one: consult with Site File before using last four): building structure district site object

LOCATION & IDENTIFICATION

Address (Include N,S,E,W, #; St., Ave., etc.) 2495 US 19 (SR 55)
Cross Streets (nearest/between) Immediately south of W. Harbor Lane
City/Town (within 3 miles) Crystal River In Current City Limits: y n unknown
County Citrus Tax Parcel #(s) 1519S17E
Subdivision name _____ Block _____ Lot _____
Ownership (Please check one): private-profit private-individual city county Native American
 private-nonprofit private-unspecified state federal foreign unknown
Name of Public Tract (e.g., park) _____
Route to (especially if no street address) _____

MAPPING

USGS 7.5' Map Name & Date Homosassa
Township 19S Range 17E Section 15 1/4 section: NW SW SE NE Irregular-name: _____
Landgrant _____ UTM: Zone 16 17 Easting 0345820 Northing 3189660
Plat or other map (map's name, location) _____

DESCRIPTION

Style* Masonry Vernacular Exterior Plan* Rectangular Number of Stories 1
Structural System(s)* masonry, limestone
Foundation: Type(s)* Slab Material(s)* Unknown
Exterior Fabric(s)* stucco
Roof: Type(s)* Side gable Material(s)* Composition shingle
Roof secondary strucs. (domers etc.)* _____
Chimney: No. 1 Material(s)* stucco and limestone Location(s)* north side
Windows (types, materials, etc.)* 6/6 SHS, vinyl, independent

Main Entrance (stylistic details) _____
Porches: #open _____ #closed _____ #incised _____ Location(s) _____
Porch roof type(s) _____
Exterior Ornament _____

Interior Plan* Unknown
Condition (Please check one): excellent good fair deteriorated ruinous
Surroundings (N=None, S=Some, M=Most, A=All/nearly all) M commercial S residential institutional undeveloped
Ancillary Features (No., type of outbuildings; major landscape features. Use continuation sheet for descriptions of interior, landscaping, etc) _____

Archaeological Remains None observed Check if Archaeological Form completed
*Consult Guide to Historical Structure Forms for preferred descriptions (coded fields at the Site File).

DHR USE ONLY		OFFICIAL EVALUATIONS		DHR USE ONLY	
NR DATE	KEEPER-NR ELIGIBILITY: <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no			Date	
	SHPO-NR ELIGIBILITY: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no <input type="checkbox"/> potentially elig. <input type="checkbox"/> insufficient info			Date	
DELIST DATE	LOCAL DESIGNATION:			Date	
	Local office				
National Register Criteria for Evaluation: <input type="checkbox"/> a <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> b <input type="checkbox"/> c <input type="checkbox"/> d (See National Register Bulletin 16, p.2)					

HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM

Site # 8 CI401

Consult Guide to Historical Structure Forms for detailed instructions

HISTORY

Construction date: Exactly _____ (year) Approximately 1920 (year) Earlier than _____ (year) Later than _____ (year)

Architect (last name first): Unknown Builder (last name first): Unknown

Moves: yes no unknown Dates _____ Original address _____

Alterations: yes no unknown Datesc. 2001 Nature* completely remodeled

Additions: yes no unknown Datesc. 1990's Nature* addition on rear elevation

Original Use* (give date ranges) Train Station, Church, Shop

Intermediate Uses* (give date ranges) Commercial

Present Use* (give date ranges) Commercial (auto dealer)

Ownership History (especially original owner, dates, profession, etc.) Private Individual
John P. McKevley and Troy Calvin Matthewson

*Consult Guide to Historical Structure Forms for preferred descriptions (coded fields at the Site File).

RESEARCH METHODS (Check all choices that apply; if needed write others at bottom)

<input type="checkbox"/> formal archaeological survey	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> past surveys search at FMSF	<input type="checkbox"/> local library research	<input type="checkbox"/> Sanborn maps
<input type="checkbox"/> informal archaeological inspection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> past sites search at FMSF	<input type="checkbox"/> non-local library research	<input type="checkbox"/> subdivision maps
<input type="checkbox"/> Public Lands Survey (DEP)	<input type="checkbox"/> FL Archives (Gray Building)	<input type="checkbox"/> building permits	<input type="checkbox"/> plat maps
<input type="checkbox"/> tax records/property deeds	<input type="checkbox"/> FL Photo Archives (Gray Building)	<input type="checkbox"/> demolition permits	<input type="checkbox"/> local newspaper files
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> tax records only	<input type="checkbox"/> occupant/owner interview	<input type="checkbox"/> commercial permits	
<input type="checkbox"/> interior inspection	<input type="checkbox"/> neighbor interview	<input type="checkbox"/> occupation permits	
<input type="checkbox"/> other methods (specify) _____			

SURVEYOR'S EVALUATION OF SITE (Check one choice on each line)

Potentially eligible for local register? yes: name register at right no insufficient info Name of local register if eligible: _____

Individually eligible for National Register? yes no insufficient info _____

Potential contributor to Nat. Reg. district? yes no insufficient info _____

Area(s) of Historical Significance (See National Register Bulletin 15, p. 8 for categories: e.g. "architecture," "ethnic heritage," "community planning & development," etc.)
Community Planning and Development

Explanation of Evaluation (required, whether positive or not; limit to three lines; attach longer statement, if needed, on separate sheet) In 2001, the building was completely remodeled. Therefore, 8CI401 has lost its integrity. In fact, it now resembles a contemporary building. Based on these extensive alterations, 8CI401 does not appear eligible for the NRHP.

DOCUMENTATION (Photos, Plans, etc.)

Bibliographic References (Use Continuation Sheet, give FMSF Manuscript # if relevant) Citrus County Property Appraisers Office;

Photographs (required) B&W print(s) at least 3x5, at least one main facade.

Location of negatives & negative numbers ACI Roll 2/13-14

RECORDER

Name (last name first)/Address/Phone/Fax/Email/Affiliation Scupholm, Carrie; Norman Tesa
P.O. Box 5103; Sarasota, FL 34277-5103; 941/925-9906; 941/925-9767;
ACImainFL@cs.com; Archaeological Consultants Inc.


Remember: Use a Supplement for Site Forms or other continuation sheet for descriptions that do not fit in the spaces above.

REQUIRED: (1) USGS 7.5' MAP WITH STRUCTURE PINPOINTED IN RED
 (2) LARGE SCALE STREET OR PLAT MAP
 (3) PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, PREFER B&W, AT LEAST 3x5

PHOTOGRAPH




STREET OR PLAT MAP



USGS MAP

Homosassa, Fla. 1954, PR 1988



HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE

Site #8 CI1100

Original
 Update
(give site #)

Version 3.0 11/96

Consult Guide To Historical Structure Forms for detailed instructions.

Recorder # 2/19

Field Date 1/4/02

Form Date 2/12/02

Site Name(s) (address if none) 674 NE 5th Street (SR 44) Multiple Listing [DHR only] _____

Survey US 19 (SR 55) PD & E Study from South of US 98 to CR 488 Survey # _____

National Register Category (Please check one: consult with Site File before using last four): building structure district site object

LOCATION & IDENTIFICATION

Address (Include N,S,E,W;#;St.,Ave.,etc.) 674 NE 5th Street (SR 44)

Cross Streets (nearest/between) SW corner of NE 5th Street and NE 7th Avenue

City/Town (within 3 miles) Crystal River In Current City Limits: y n unknown

County Citrus Tax Parcel #(s) 2218S17E00201150

Subdivision name _____ Block _____ Lot _____

Ownership (Please check one): private-profit private-individual city county Native American
 private-nonprofit private-unspecified state federal foreign unknown

Name of Public Tract (e.g., park) _____

Route to (especially if no street address) _____

MAPPING

USGS 7.5' Map Name & Date Crystal River, Fla. 1954, PR 1988

Township 18S Range 17E Section 22 1/4 section: NW SW SE NE Irregular-name: _____

Landgrant _____ UTM: Zone 16 17 Easting 0345610 Northing 3197520

Plat or other map (map's name, location) _____

DESCRIPTION

Style* Masonry Vernacular Exterior Plan* rectangular Number of Stories 1

Structural System(s)* masonry

Foundation: Type(s)* continuous Material(s)* concrete block

Exterior Fabric(s)* concrete block

Roof: Type(s)* gable Material(s)* Composition Shingle

Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.)* _____

Chimney: No. 1 Material(s)* Concrete block Location(s)* West elevation

Windows (types, materials, etc.)* 1/1 DHS, wood, independent;

fixed commercial plate glass, wood, independent

Main Entrance (stylistic details) _____

Porches: #open _____ #closed 2 #incised _____ Location(s) Main facade, south elevation

Porch roof type(s) gable, hip

Exterior Ornament _____

Interior Plan* _____

Condition (Please check one): excellent good fair deteriorated ruinous

Surroundings (N=None, S=Some, M=Most, A=All/nearly all) M commercial S residential institutional undeveloped

Ancillary Features (No., type of outbuildings; major landscape features. Use continuation sheet for descriptions of interior, landscaping, etc) _____

Archaeological Remains None observed Check if Archaeological Form completed

*Consult Guide to Historical Structure Forms for preferred descriptions (coded fields at the Site File).

DHR USE ONLY OFFICIAL EVALUATIONS DHR USE ONLY

NR DATE	KEEPER NR ELIGIBILITY: <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no	Date
DELIST DATE	SHPO-NR ELIGIBILITY: <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no <input type="checkbox"/> potentially elig. <input type="checkbox"/> insufficient info	Date
	LOCAL DESIGNATION: _____	Date
	Local office _____	
National Register Criteria for Evaluation: <input type="checkbox"/> a <input type="checkbox"/> b <input type="checkbox"/> c <input type="checkbox"/> d (See National Register Bulletin 15, p.2)		

HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM

Site # 8 CI1100

Consult Guide to Historical Structure Forms for detailed instructions

HISTORY

Construction date: Exactly _____ (year) Approximately 1945 (year) Earlier than _____ (year) Later than _____ (year)
 Architect (last name first): Unknown Builder (last name first): Unknown
 Moves: yes no unknown Dates _____ Original address _____
 Alterations: yes no unknown Dates c. 1970 Nature* porch enclosed
 Additions: yes no unknown Dates _____ Nature* _____
 Original Use* (give date ranges) Private residence
 Intermediate Uses* (give date ranges) Private residence
 Present Use* (give date ranges) Commercial
 Ownership History (especially original owner, dates, profession, etc.) H and R Investors, (02/2002)

*Consult Guide to Historical Structure Forms for preferred descriptions (coded fields at the Site File).

RESEARCH METHODS (Check all choices that apply; if needed write others at bottom)

formal archaeological survey past surveys search at FMSF local library research Sanborn maps
 informal archaeological inspection past sites search at FMSF non-local library research subdivision maps
 Public Lands Survey (DEP) FL Archives (Gray Building) building permits plat maps
 tax records/property deeds FL Photo Archives (Gray Building) demolition permits local newspaper files
 tax records only occupant/owner interview commercial permits
 interior inspection neighbor interview occupation permits
 other methods (specify) _____

SURVEYOR'S EVALUATION OF SITE (Check one choice on each line)

Potentially eligible for local register? yes: name register at right no insufficient info Name of local register if eligible: _____
 Individually eligible for National Register? yes no insufficient info _____
 Potential contributor to Nat. Reg. district? yes no insufficient info _____
 Area(s) of Historical Significance (See National Register Bulletin 15, p. 8 for categories: e.g. "architecture," "ethnic heritage," "community planning & development," etc.)
 Community Planning and Development _____

Explanation of Evaluation (required, whether positive or not; limit to three lines; attach longer statement, if needed, on separate sheet) It appears that this building was originally a residence but was converted to an office circa 1970s. Limited historical research reveals no apparent significance. Additionally, this form is common throughout the area and modifications have diminished architectural integrity. It therefore is not considered NRHP eligible.

DOCUMENTATION (Photos, Plans, etc.)

Bibliographic References (Use Continuation Sheet, give FMSF Manuscript # if relevant) Citrus County Property Appraisers Office

Photographs (required) B&W print(s) at least 3x5, at least one main facade.

Location of negatives & negative numbers ACI Roll 2/19


RECORDER

Name (last name first)/Address/Phone/Fax/Email/Affiliation Scupholm, Carrie; Norman Tesa
 P.O. Box 5103; Sarasota, FL 34277-5103; 941/925-9906; 941/925-9767;
 ACImainFL@cs.com; Archaeological Consultants Inc.

Remember: Use a Supplement for Site Forms or other continuation sheet for descriptions that do not fit in the spaces above.


REQUIRED: (1) USGS 7.5' MAP WITH STRUCTURE PINPOINTED IN RED
 (2) LARGE SCALE STREET OR PLAT MAP
 (3) PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, PREFER B&W, AT LEAST 3x5

PHOTOGRAPH



STREET OR PLAT MAP

NE 5th Street (SR 44)



674 NE 5th Street (SR 44)


NE 7th Avenue

NE 4th Street



USGS MAP

Crystal River, Fla. 1954 PR 1988



HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE

Site #8 CI1101

Original
 Update
(give site #)

Version 3.0 11/96

Consult Guide To Historical Structure Forms for detailed instructions.

Recorder # 1/35
Field Date 1/4/02
Form Date 2/12/02

Site Name(s) (address if none) 855 NE 5th Street (SR 44) Multiple Listing [DHR only] _____
Survey US 19 (SR 55) PD & E Study from South of US 98 to CR 488 Survey # _____
National Register Category (Please check one; consult with Site File before using last four): building structure district site object

LOCATION & IDENTIFICATION

Address (Include N,S,E,W;#;St.,Ave.,etc.) 855 NE 5th Street (SR 44)
Cross Streets (nearest/between) East of NE 8th Ave on North
City/Town (within 3 miles) Crystal River In Current City Limits: y n unknown
County Citrus Tax Parcel #(s) 2218S17E00203760
Subdivision name _____ Block _____ Lot _____
Ownership (Please check one): private-profit private-individual city county Native American
 private-nonprofit private-unspecified state federal foreign unknown
Name of Public Tract (e.g., park) _____
Route to (especially if no street address) _____

MAPPING

USGS 7.5' Map Name & Date Crystal River, Fla. 1954, PR 1988
Township 18S Range 17E Section 22 1/4 section: NW SW SE NE Irregular-name: _____
Landgrant _____ UTM: Zone 16 17 Easting 0345900 Northing 3197580
Plat or other map (map's name, location) _____

DESCRIPTION

Style* Frame Vernacular Exterior Plan* rectangular Number of Stories 1
Structural System(s)* Wood
Foundation: Type(s)* Pier Material(s)* concrete block
Exterior Fabric(s)* Wood, drop siding
Roof: Type(s)* gable Material(s)* composition shingle
Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.)* _____
Chimney: No. _____ Material(s)* _____ Location(s)* _____
Windows (types, materials, etc.)* 6/6 SHS, metal, independent

Main Entrance (stylistic details) _____
Porches: #open 1 #closed _____ #incised _____ Location(s) Main facade
Porch roof type(s) Shed
Exterior Ornament _____

Interior Plan*
Condition (Please check one): excellent good fair deteriorated ruinous
Surroundings (N=None, S=Some, M=Most, A=All/nearly all) M commercial _____ residential _____ institutional _____ undeveloped _____
Ancillary Features (No., type of outbuildings; major landscape features. Use continuation sheet for descriptions of interior, landscaping, etc) Aluminum outbuilding to west

Archaeological Remains None observed Check if Archaeological Form completed
*Consult Guide to Historical Structure Forms for preferred descriptions (coded fields at the Site File).

DHR USE ONLY		OFFICIAL EVALUATIONS		DHR USE ONLY	
NR DATE	KEEPER-NR ELIGIBILITY: <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no			Date	
	SHPO-NR ELIGIBILITY: <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no <input type="checkbox"/> potentially elig <input type="checkbox"/> insufficient info			Date	
DELIST DATE	LOCAL DESIGNATION:			Date	
	Local office				
National Register Criteria for Evaluation: <input type="checkbox"/> a <input type="checkbox"/> b <input type="checkbox"/> c <input type="checkbox"/> d (See National Register Bulletin 15, p.2)					

HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM

Site # 8 CI1101

Consult Guide to Historical Structure Forms for detailed instructions

HISTORY

Construction date: Exactly _____ (year) Approximately 1952 (year) Earlier than _____ (year) Later than _____ (year)

Architect (last name first): Unknown Builder (last name first): Unknown

Moves: yes no unknown Dates _____ Original address _____

Alterations: yes no unknown Dates c. 1970 Nature* windows replaced, porch altered

Additions: yes no unknown Dates _____ Nature* _____

Original Use* (give date ranges) Residence

Intermediate Uses* (give date ranges) Residence

Present Use* (give date ranges) Residence

Ownership History (especially original owner, dates, profession, etc.) Strother Jones Natteal (02/2002)

*Consult Guide to Historical Structure Forms for preferred descriptions (coded fields at the Site File).

RESEARCH METHODS (Check all choices that apply; if needed write others at bottom)

<input type="checkbox"/> formal archaeological survey	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> past surveys search at FMSF	<input type="checkbox"/> local library research	<input type="checkbox"/> Sanborn maps
<input type="checkbox"/> informal archaeological inspection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> past sites search at FMSF	<input type="checkbox"/> non-local library research	<input type="checkbox"/> subdivision maps
<input type="checkbox"/> Public Lands Survey (DEP)	<input type="checkbox"/> FL Archives (Gray Building)	<input type="checkbox"/> building permits	<input type="checkbox"/> plat maps
<input type="checkbox"/> tax records/property deeds	<input type="checkbox"/> FL Photo Archives (Gray Building)	<input type="checkbox"/> demolition permits	<input type="checkbox"/> local newspaper files
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> tax records only	<input type="checkbox"/> occupant/owner interview	<input type="checkbox"/> commercial permits	
<input type="checkbox"/> interior inspection	<input type="checkbox"/> neighbor interview	<input type="checkbox"/> occupation permits	
<input type="checkbox"/> other methods (specify)			

SURVEYOR'S EVALUATION OF SITE (Check one choice on each line)

Potentially eligible for local register? yes: name register at right no insufficient info Name of local register if eligible: _____

Individually eligible for National Register? yes no insufficient info _____

Potential contributor to Nat. Reg. district? yes no insufficient info _____

Area(s) of Historical Significance (See National Register Bulletin 15, p. 8 for categories: e.g. "architecture," "ethnic heritage," "community planning & development," etc.) _____

Community Planning and Development _____

Explanation of Evaluation (required, whether positive or not; limit to three lines; attach longer statement, if needed, on separate sheet) This residence is typical of the modest Frame Vernacular style residences throughout the area. Moreover, limited research revealed no historically significant data. The structure, therefore, is not considered NRHP eligible.

DOCUMENTATION (Photos, Plans, etc.)

Bibliographic References (Use Continuation Sheet, give FMSF Manuscript # if relevant) Citrus County Property Appraisers Office;

Photographs (required) B&W print(s) at least 3x5, at least one main facade.

Location of negatives & negative numbers ACI Roll 2/17

RECORDER

Name (last name first)/Address/Phone/Fax/Email/Affiliation Scupholm, Carrie; Norman Tesa

P.O. Box 5103; Sarasota, FL 34277-5103; 941/925-9906; 941/925-9767;

ACImainFL@cs.com; Archaeological Consultants Inc.


Remember: Use a Supplement for Site Forms or other continuation sheet for descriptions that do not fit in the spaces above.

REQUIRED: (1) USGS 7.5' MAP WITH STRUCTURE PINPOINTED IN RED
 (2) LARGE SCALE STREET OR PLAT MAP
 (3) PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, PREFER B&W, AT LEAST 3x5

PHOTOGRAPH



STREET OR PLAT MAP




855 NE 5th Street (SR 44)

NE 5th Street (SR 44)



USGS MAP

Crystal River, Fla. 1954 PR 1988



HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE

Site #8 CI1102
Recorder # 2/15
Field Date 1/4/02
Form Date 2/12/02

Original
 Update
(give site #)

Version 3.0 11/96

Consult Guide To Historical Structure Forms for detailed instructions.

Site Name(s) (address if none) Serenity Memorial Funeral Home Multiple Listing [DHR only] _____
Survey US 19 (SR 55) PD & E Study from South of US 98 to CR 488 Survey # _____
National Register Category (Please check one: consult with Site File before using last four): building structure district site object

LOCATION & IDENTIFICATION

Address (Include N,S,E,W;#;St.,Ave.,etc.) 823 NE 5th Street (SR 44)
Cross Streets (nearest/between) East of NE corner of 8th Avenue on North
City/Town (within 3 miles) Crystal River In Current City Limits: y n unknown
County Citrus Tax Parcel #(s) 2218S17E00203730
Subdivision name _____ Block _____ Lot _____
Ownership (Please check one): private-profit private-individual city county Native American
 private-nonprofit private-unspecified state federal foreign unknown
Name of Public Tract (e.g., park) _____
Route to (especially if no street address) _____

MAPPING

USGS 7.5' Map Name & Date Crystal River, Fla. 1954, PR 1988
Township 18S Range 17E Section 22 1/4 section: NW SW SE NE Irregular-name: _____
Landgrant _____ UTM: Zone 16 17 Easting 345930 Northing 3197580
Plat or other map. (map's name, location) _____

DESCRIPTION

Style* Bungalow Exterior Plan* rectangular Number of Stories 1.5
Structural System(s)* wood
Foundation: Type(s)* Pier Material(s)* concrete block
Exterior Fabric(s)* stucco
Roof: Type(s)* intersecting gable Material(s)* composition shingle
Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.)* _____
Chimney: No. _____ Material(s)* _____ Location(s)* _____
Windows (types, materials, etc.)* 2/2 SHS, aluminum, independent; 1/1 SHS, aluminum, independent, ribbon

Main Entrance (stylistic details) _____
Porches: #open 1 #closed _____ #incised _____ Location(s) Main facade
Porch roof type(s) Hip
Exterior Ornament _____

Interior Plan*
Condition (Please check one): excellent good fair deteriorated ruinous
Surroundings (N=None, S=Some, M=Most, A=All/nearly all) M commercial S residential _____ institutional _____ undeveloped
Ancillary Features (No., type of outbuildings; major landscape features. Use continuation sheet for descriptions of interior, landscaping, etc) _____

Archaeological Remains None observed Check if Archaeological Form completed
*Consult Guide to Historical Structure Forms for preferred descriptions (coded fields at the Site File).

DHR USE ONLY		OFFICIAL EVALUATIONS		DHR USE ONLY	
NR DATE	KEEPER-NR ELIGIBILITY	<input type="checkbox"/> yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> no		Date	
	SHPO-NR ELIGIBILITY	<input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no <input type="checkbox"/> potentially elig. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> insufficient info		Date	
DELIST DATE	LOCAL DESIGNATION			Date	
	Local office				
National Register Criteria for Evaluation <input type="checkbox"/> a <input type="checkbox"/> b <input type="checkbox"/> c <input type="checkbox"/> d (See National Register Bulletin 15, p.2)					

HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM

Site # 8 CI1102

Consult Guide to Historical Structure Forms for detailed instructions

HISTORY

Construction date: Exactly _____ (year) Approximately 1930 (year) Earlier than _____ (year) Later than _____ (year)
 Architect (last name first): Unknown Builder (last name first): Unknown
 Moves: yes no unknown Dates _____ Original address _____
 Alterations: yes no unknown Dates c. 1970 Nature* windows replaced, porch altered
 Additions: yes no unknown Dates _____ Nature* _____
 Original Use* (give date ranges) Residence
 Intermediate Uses* (give date ranges) Residence
 Present Use* (give date ranges) Commercial (funeral home)
 Ownership History (especially original owner, dates, profession, etc.) Stephen Varnadoe (02/2002)

*Consult Guide to Historical Structure Forms for preferred descriptions (coded fields at the Site File).

RESEARCH METHODS (Check all choices that apply; if needed write others at bottom)

- | | | | |
|---|---|---|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> formal archaeological survey | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> past surveys search at FMSF | <input type="checkbox"/> local library research | <input type="checkbox"/> Sanborn maps |
| <input type="checkbox"/> informal archaeological inspection | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> past sites search at FMSF | <input type="checkbox"/> non-local library research | <input type="checkbox"/> subdivision maps |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Public Lands Survey (DEP) | <input type="checkbox"/> FL Archives (Gray Building) | <input type="checkbox"/> building permits | <input type="checkbox"/> plat maps |
| <input type="checkbox"/> tax records/property deeds | <input type="checkbox"/> FL Photo Archives (Gray Building) | <input type="checkbox"/> demolition permits | <input type="checkbox"/> local newspaper files |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> tax records only | <input type="checkbox"/> occupant/owner interview | <input type="checkbox"/> commercial permits | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> interior inspection | <input type="checkbox"/> neighbor interview | <input type="checkbox"/> occupation permits | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> other methods (specify) _____ | | | |

SURVEYOR'S EVALUATION OF SITE (Check one choice on each line)

Potentially eligible for local register? yes: name register at right no insufficient info Name of local register if eligible: _____
 Individually eligible for National Register? yes no insufficient info _____
 Potential contributor to Nat. Reg. district? yes no insufficient info _____
 Area(s) of Historical Significance (See National Register Bulletin 15, p. 8 for categories: e.g. "architecture," "ethnic heritage," "community planning & development," etc.)
 Community Planning and Development

Explanation of Evaluation (required, whether positive or not; limit to three lines; attach longer statement, if needed, on separate sheet) This Bungalow style residence was converted to a funeral home and as a result the building has lost integrity. In addition, many fine examples of the Bungalow style still exist throughout the town of Crystal River. It is therefore, not considered NRHP eligible.

DOCUMENTATION (Photos, Plans, etc.)

Bibliographic References (Use Continuation Sheet, give FMSF Manuscript # if relevant) Citrus County Property Appraisers Office;

Photographs (required) B&W print(s) at least 3x5, at least one main facade.

Location of negatives & negative numbers ACI Roll 2/15, 16, 18


RECORDER

Name (last name first)/Address/Phone/Fax/Email/Affiliation Scupholm, Carrie; Norman Tesa
 P.O. Box 5103; Sarasota, FL 34277-5103; 941/925-9906; 941/925-9767;
 ACImainFL@cs.com; Archaeological Consultants Inc.


Remember: Use a Supplement for Site Forms or other continuation sheet for descriptions that do not fit in the spaces above.

REQUIRED: (1) USGS 7.5' MAP WITH STRUCTURE PINPOINTED IN RED
 (2) LARGE SCALE STREET OR PLAT MAP
 (3) PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, PREFER B&W, AT LEAST 3x5

PHOTOGRAPH



STREET OR PLAT MAP



823 NE 5th Street (SR 44)




NE 5th Street (SR 44)



USGS MAP

Crystal River, Fla. 1954 PR 1988



HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE

Site #8 CI487

Original
 Update
(give site #)

Version 3.0 11/96

Consult Guide To Historical Structure Forms for detailed instructions.

Recorder # 2/20

Field Date 1/4/02

Form Date 2/11/02

Site Name(s) (address if none) Old Jail/Pump House Multiple Listing [DHR only] _____
Survey US 19 (SR 55) PD & E Study from US 98 to CR 488 Survey # _____
National Register Category (Please check one: consult with Site File before using last four): building structure district site object

LOCATION & IDENTIFICATION

Address (Include N,S,E,W, #; St., Ave., etc.) NE 1st Avenue & 5th Street
Cross Streets (nearest/between) _____
City/Town (within 3 miles) Crystal River In Current City Limits: y n unknown
County Citrus Tax Parcel #(s) 2118S17E01700110
Subdivision name Town of Crystal River Block _____ Lot _____
Ownership (Please check one): private-profit private-individual city county Native American
 private-nonprofit private-unspecified state federal foreign unknown
Name of Public Tract (e.g., park) _____
Route to (especially if no street address) _____

MAPPING

USGS 7.5' Map Name & Date Crystal River, Fla. 1954, PR 1988
Township 18S Range 17E Section 21 1/4 section: NW SW SE NE Irregular-name: _____
Landgrant _____ UTM: Zone 16 17 Easting 344840 Northing 3197640
Plat or other map (map's name, location) _____

DESCRIPTION

Style* Spanish Revival Exterior Plan* rectangular Number of Stories 1
Structural System(s)* Concrete block
Foundation: Type(s)* Slab Material(s)* concrete
Exterior Fabric(s)* Stucco
Roof: Type(s)* gable Material(s)* tile, barrel
Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.)* _____
Chimney: No. _____ Material(s)* _____ Location(s)* _____
Windows (types, materials, etc.)* 1/1 DHS, wood, paired and boarded over

Main Entrance (stylistic details) _____
Porches: #open _____ #closed _____ #incised _____ Location(s) _____
Porch roof type(s) _____
Exterior Ornament curved parapet

Interior Plan* Unknown
Condition (Please check one): excellent good fair deteriorated ruinous
Surroundings (N=None, S=Some, M=Most, A=All/nearly all) M commercial _____ residential _____ institutional _____ undeveloped _____
Ancillary Features (No., type of outbuildings; major landscape features. Use continuation sheet for descriptions of interior, landscaping, etc) 150 foot city water tower located immediatly south

Archaeological Remains None observed Check if Archaeological Form completed
*Consult Guide to Historical Structure Forms for preferred descriptions (coded fields at the Site File).

DHR USE ONLY		OFFICIAL EVALUATIONS		DHR USE ONLY	
NR DATE	KEEPER-NR ELIGIBILITY <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no			Date	
	SHPO-NR ELIGIBILITY <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no <input type="checkbox"/> potentially elig. <input type="checkbox"/> insufficient info			Date	
DELIST DATE	LOCAL DESIGNATION			Date	
Local office					
National Register Criteria for Evaluation <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> a <input type="checkbox"/> b <input type="checkbox"/> c <input type="checkbox"/> d (See National Register Bulletin 15, p.2)					

HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM

Site # 8 CI487

Consult Guide to Historical Structure Forms for detailed instructions

HISTORY

Construction date: Exactly _____ (year) Approximately 1928 (year) Earlier than _____ (year) Later than _____ (year)
 Architect (last name first): Unknown Builder (last name first): Unknown
 Moves: yes no unknown Dates _____ Original address _____
 Alterations: yes no unknown Dates 1992 Nature* all equipment removed
 Additions: yes no unknown Dates _____ Nature* _____
 Original Use* (give date ranges) Jail/Pump house
 Intermediate Uses* (give date ranges) Vacant
 Present Use* (give date ranges) Storage
 Ownership History (especially original owner, dates, profession, etc.) City of Crystal River (2/2002)

*Consult Guide to Historical Structure Forms for preferred descriptions (coded fields at the Site File).

RESEARCH METHODS (Check all choices that apply; if needed write others at bottom)

- | | | | |
|---|---|---|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> formal archaeological survey | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> past surveys search at FMSF | <input type="checkbox"/> local library research | <input type="checkbox"/> Sanborn maps |
| <input type="checkbox"/> informal archaeological inspection | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> past sites search at FMSF | <input type="checkbox"/> non-local library research | <input type="checkbox"/> subdivision maps |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Public Lands Survey (DEP) | <input type="checkbox"/> FL Archives (Gray Building) | <input type="checkbox"/> building permits | <input type="checkbox"/> plat maps |
| <input type="checkbox"/> tax records/property deeds | <input type="checkbox"/> FL Photo Archives (Gray Building) | <input type="checkbox"/> demolition permits | <input type="checkbox"/> local newspaper files |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> tax records only | <input type="checkbox"/> occupant/owner interview | <input type="checkbox"/> commercial permits | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> interior inspection | <input type="checkbox"/> neighbor interview | <input type="checkbox"/> occupation permits | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> other methods (specify) | | | |

SURVEYOR'S EVALUATION OF SITE (Check one choice on each line)

Potentially eligible for local register? yes: name register at right no insufficient info Name of local register if eligible: _____
 Individually eligible for National Register? yes no insufficient info _____
 Potential contributor to Nat. Reg. district? yes no insufficient info _____
 Area(s) of Historical Significance (See National Register Bulletin 15, p. 8 for categories: e.g. "architecture," "ethnic heritage," "community planning & development," etc.)
Community Planning and Development; Engineering

Explanation of Evaluation (required, whether positive or not; limit to three lines; attach longer statement, if needed, on separate sheet) Historically, the north side of the building contained pumps for the first city water system and the south end served as a jail. Unfortunately all pump equipment and cell partitions have been removed and the building is now boarded up and is used for storage. As a result, 8CI487, is no longer able to convey its historic function and does not appear eligible for NRHP listing.

DOCUMENTATION (Photos, Plans, etc.)

Bibliographic References (Use Continuation Sheet, give FMSF Manuscript # if relevant) Citrus County Property Appraisers Office; City of Crystal River

Photographs (required) B&W print(s) at least 3x5, at least one main facade.
 Location of negatives & negative numbers ACI Roll 2/20-22

RECORDER

Name (last name first)/Address/Phone/Fax/Email/Affiliation Scupholm, Carrie; Norman Tesa
P.O. Box 5103; Sarasota, FL 34277-5103; 941/925-9906; 941/925-9767;
ACImainFL@cs.com; Archaeological Consultants Inc.


Remember: Use a Supplement for Site Forms or other continuation sheet for descriptions that do not fit in the spaces above.

REQUIRED: (1) USGS 7.5' MAP WITH STRUCTURE PINPOINTED IN RED
 (2) LARGE SCALE STREET OR PLAT MAP
 (3) PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, PREFER B&W, AT LEAST 3x5

PHOTOGRAPH




STREET OR PLAT MAP



USGS MAP

Crystal River, Fla. 1954 PR 1988



HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE

Site #8 CI458

Original
 Update
(give site #)

Version 3.0 11/96

Consult Guide To Historical Structure Forms for detailed instructions.

Recorder # 2/3
Field Date 1/4/02
Form Date 02/12/2002

Site Name(s) (address if none) Sparkman Building Multiple Listing [DHR only] _____
Survey US 19 (SR 55) PD & E Study from South of US 98 to CR 488 Survey # _____
National Register Category (Please check one: consult with Site File before using last four): building structure district site object

LOCATION & IDENTIFICATION

Address (Include N,S,E,W;#;St.,Ave.,etc.) 568 N. Citrus Avenue
Cross Streets (nearest/between) _____
City/Town (within 3 miles) Crystal River In Current City Limits: y n unknown
County Citrus Tax Parcel #(s) 2118S17E00600680
Subdivision name Sylvan glen Addition Block _____ Lot 68
Ownership (Please check one): private-profit private-individual city county Native American
 private-nonprofit private-unspecified state federal foreign unknown
Name of Public Tract (e.g., park) _____
Route to (especially if no street address) _____

MAPPING

USGS 7.5' Map Name & Date Crystal River, Fla. 1954, PR 1988
Township 18S Range 17E Section 21 1/4 section: NW SW SE NE Irregular-name: _____
Landgrant _____ UTM: Zone 16 17 Easting 0344630 Northing 3197660
Plat or other map (map's name, location) _____

DESCRIPTION

Style* Masonry Vernacular Exterior Plan* Rectangular Number of Stories 1
Structural System(s)* masonry
Foundation: Type(s)* Slab Material(s)* concrete
Exterior Fabric(s)* brick
Roof: Type(s)* Flat Material(s)* built-up
Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.)* _____
Chimney: No. _____ Material(s)* _____ Location(s)* _____
Windows (types, materials, etc.)* fixed plate glass

Main Entrance (stylistic details) _____
Porches: #open _____ #closed _____ #incised _____ Location(s) _____
Porch roof type(s) _____
Exterior Ornament Brick parapet has simple raised corners, basketweave brick pattern in recessed band.

Interior Plan* Unknown
Condition (Please check one): excellent good fair deteriorated ruinous
Surroundings (N=None, S=Some, M=Most, A=All/nearly all) M commercial S residential institutional undeveloped
Ancillary Features (No., type of outbuildings; major landscape features. Use continuation sheet for descriptions of interior, landscaping, etc) _____

Archaeological Remains None observed Check if Archaeological Form completed
*Consult Guide to Historical Structure Forms for preferred descriptions (coded fields at the Site File).

DHR USE ONLY		OFFICIAL EVALUATIONS		DHR USE ONLY	
NR DATE	KEEPER-NR ELIGIBILITY	<input type="checkbox"/> yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> no		Date	
	SHPO-NR ELIGIBILITY	<input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no <input type="checkbox"/> potentially elig. <input type="checkbox"/> insufficient info		Date	
DELIST DATE	LOCAL DESIGNATION			Date	
	Local office				
National Register Criteria for Evaluation <input type="checkbox"/> a <input type="checkbox"/> b <input type="checkbox"/> c <input type="checkbox"/> d (See National Register Bulletin 15, p.2)					

HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM

Site # 8 CI458

Consult Guide to Historical Structure Forms for detailed instructions

HISTORY

Construction date: Exactly _____ (year) Approximately 1920 (year) Earlier than _____ (year) Later than _____ (year)

Architect (last name first): Unknown Builder (last name first): Unknown

Moves: yes no unknown Dates _____ Original address _____

Alterations: yes no unknown Dates c. 1970 Nature* main entrance altered

Additions: yes no unknown Dates _____ Nature* _____

Original Use* (give date ranges) Commercial (Restaurant)

Intermediate Uses* (give date ranges) Commercial

Present Use* (give date ranges) Commercial (Retail)

Ownership History (especially original owner, dates, profession, etc.) Gator Discount Sporting Goods (2002)

*Consult Guide to Historical Structure Forms for preferred descriptions (coded fields at the Site File).

RESEARCH METHODS (Check all choices that apply; if needed write others at bottom)

<input type="checkbox"/> formal archaeological survey	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> past surveys search at FMSF	<input type="checkbox"/> local library research	<input type="checkbox"/> Sanborn maps
<input type="checkbox"/> informal archaeological inspection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> past sites search at FMSF	<input type="checkbox"/> non-local library research	<input type="checkbox"/> subdivision maps
<input type="checkbox"/> Public Lands Survey (DEP)	<input type="checkbox"/> FL Archives (Gray Building)	<input type="checkbox"/> building permits	<input type="checkbox"/> plat maps
<input type="checkbox"/> tax records/property deeds	<input type="checkbox"/> FL Photo Archives (Gray Building)	<input type="checkbox"/> demolition permits	<input type="checkbox"/> local newspaper files
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> tax records only	<input type="checkbox"/> occupant/owner interview	<input type="checkbox"/> commercial permits	
<input type="checkbox"/> interior inspection	<input type="checkbox"/> neighbor interview	<input type="checkbox"/> occupation permits	
<input type="checkbox"/> other methods (specify)			

SURVEYOR'S EVALUATION OF SITE (Check one choice on each line)

Potentially eligible for local register? yes: name register at right no insufficient info Name of local register if eligible: _____

Individually eligible for National Register? yes no insufficient info _____

Potential contributor to Nat. Reg. district? yes no insufficient info _____

Area(s) of Historical Significance (See National Register Bulletin 15, p. 8 for categories: e.g. "architecture," "ethnic heritage," "community planning & development," etc.)

Community Planning and Development

Explanation of Evaluation (required, whether positive or not; limit to three lines; attach longer statement, if needed, on separate sheet) This modest brick commercial building (identical to CI459) is a typical example of the Masonry Vernacular style common in the early 20th century and still evidenced throughout Citrus County. Although it has served as a restaurant, limited data on the building have not demonstrated historical significance that would meet NRHP eligibility Criteria. Therefore, 8CI458 doesnot appear eligible for the NRHP.

DOCUMENTATION (Photos, Plans, etc.)

Bibliographic References (Use Continuation Sheet, give FMSF Manuscript # if relevant) Citrus County Property Appraisers Office

Photographs (required) B&W print(s) at least 3x5, at least one main facade.

Location of negatives & negative numbers ACI Roll 2/3

RECORDER

Name (last name first)/Address/Phone/Fax/Email/Affiliation Scupholm, Carrie; Norman Tesa

P.O. Box 5103; Sarasota, FL 34277-5103; 941/925-9906; 941/925-9767;

ACImainFL@cs.com; Archaeological Consultants Inc.


Remember: Use a Supplement for Site Forms or other continuation sheet for descriptions that do not fit in the spaces above.

- REQUIRED:** (1) USGS 7.5' MAP WITH STRUCTURE PINPOINTED IN RED
 (2) LARGE SCALE STREET OR PLAT MAP
 (3) PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, PREFER B&W, AT LEAST 3x5

PHOTOGRAPH



STREET OR PLAT MAP



US 19 (SR 55)

Citrus Avenue

568 N. Citrus Avenue



USGS MAP

Crystal River, Fla. 1954 PR 1988



HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE

Site #8 CI459

Original
 Update
(give site #)

Version 3.0 11/96

Consult Guide To Historical Structure Forms for detailed instructions.

Recorder # 2/2

Field Date 1/4/02

Form Date 2/11/02

Site Name(s) (address if none) Sparkman Building Multiple Listing [DHR only] _____
Survey US 19 (SR 55) PD & E Study from South of US 98 to CR 488 Survey # _____
National Register Category (Please check one: consult with Site File before using last four): building structure district site object

LOCATION & IDENTIFICATION

Address (Include N,S,E,W;#;St.,Ave.,etc.) 572 N. Citrus Avenue
Cross Streets (nearest/between) between US 19 and NW 5th Street
City/Town (within 3 miles) Crystal River In Current City Limits: y n unknown
County Citrus Tax Parcel #(s) 2118S17E00600680
Subdivision name Sylvan Glen Addition Block _____ Lot 68
Ownership (Please check one): private-profit private-individual city county Native American
 private-nonprofit private-unspecified state federal foreign unknown
Name of Public Tract (e.g., park) _____
Route to (especially if no street address) _____

MAPPING

USGS 7.5' Map Name & Date Crystal River, Fla. 1954, PR 1988
Township 18S Range 17E Section 21 1/4 section: NW SW SE NE Irregular-name: _____
Landgrant _____ UTM: Zone 16 17 Easting 0344630 Northing 3197660
Plat or other map (map's name, location) _____

DESCRIPTION

Style* Masonry Vernacular Exterior Plan* Rectangular Number of Stories 1
Structural System(s)* masonry
Foundation: Type(s)* Slab Material(s)* concrete
Exterior Fabric(s)* brick
Roof: Type(s)* Flat Material(s)* built-up
Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.)* _____
Chimney: No. _____ Material(s)* _____ Location(s)* _____
Windows (types, materials, etc.)* fixed commercial plate glass, wood, independent

Main Entrance (stylistic details) _____
Porches: #open _____ #closed _____ #incised _____ Location(s) _____
Porch roof type(s) _____
Exterior Ornament Brick parapet has simple raised corners, basketweave brick pattern in recessed band.

Interior Plan* Unknown
Condition (Please check one): excellent good fair deteriorated ruinous
Surroundings (N=None, S=Some, M=Most, A=All/nearly all) A commercial _____ residential _____ institutional _____ undeveloped _____
Ancillary Features (No., type of outbuildings; major landscape features. Use continuation sheet for descriptions of interior, landscaping, etc) _____

Archaeological Remains None observed Check if Archaeological Form completed
*Consult Guide to Historical Structure Forms for preferred descriptions (coded fields at the Site File).

DHR USE ONLY		OFFICIAL EVALUATIONS		DHR USE ONLY	
NR DATE	KEEPER-NR ELIGIBILITY <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> no			Date	
	SHPO-NR ELIGIBILITY <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> no <input type="checkbox"/> potentially elig <input type="checkbox"/> insufficient info			Date	
DELIST DATE	LOCAL DESIGNATION			Date	
National Register Criteria for Evaluation <input type="checkbox"/> a <input type="checkbox"/> b <input type="checkbox"/> c <input type="checkbox"/> d (See National Register Bulletin 15, p.2)					

HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM

Site # 8 CI459

Consult Guide to Historical Structure Forms for detailed instructions

HISTORY

Construction date: Exactly _____ (year) Approximately 1920 (year) Earlier than _____ (year) Later than _____ (year)
 Architect (last name first): Unknown Builder (last name first): Unknown
 Moves: yes no unknown Dates _____ Original address _____
 Alterations: yes no unknown Datesc. 1970 Nature* main entrance altered
 Additions: yes no unknown Dates _____ Nature* _____
 Original Use* (give date ranges) Commercial (Grocery store)
 Intermediate Uses* (give date ranges) Commercial
 Present Use* (give date ranges) Commercial (Retail)
 Ownership History (especially original owner, dates, profession, etc.) Gator Discount Sporting Goods (2002)

*Consult Guide to Historical Structure Forms for preferred descriptions (coded fields at the Site File).

RESEARCH METHODS (Check all choices that apply; if needed write others at bottom)

- | | | | |
|---|---|---|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> formal archaeological survey | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> past surveys search at FMSF | <input type="checkbox"/> local library research | <input type="checkbox"/> Sanborn maps |
| <input type="checkbox"/> informal archaeological inspection | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> past sites search at FMSF | <input type="checkbox"/> non-local library research | <input type="checkbox"/> subdivision maps |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Public Lands Survey (DEP) | <input type="checkbox"/> FL Archives (Gray Building) | <input type="checkbox"/> building permits | <input type="checkbox"/> plat maps |
| <input type="checkbox"/> tax records/property deeds | <input type="checkbox"/> FL Photo Archives (Gray Building) | <input type="checkbox"/> demolition permits | <input type="checkbox"/> local newspaper files |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> tax records only | <input type="checkbox"/> occupant/owner interview | <input type="checkbox"/> commercial permits | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> interior inspection | <input type="checkbox"/> neighbor interview | <input type="checkbox"/> occupation permits | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> other methods (specify) _____ | | | |

SURVEYOR'S EVALUATION OF SITE (Check one choice on each line)

Potentially eligible for local register? yes: name register at right no insufficient info Name of local register if eligible: _____
 Individually eligible for National Register? yes no insufficient info _____
 Potential contributor to Nat. Reg. district? yes no insufficient info _____
 Area(s) of Historical Significance (See National Register Bulletin 15, p. 8 for categories: e.g. "architecture," "ethnic heritage," "community planning & development," etc.)
 Community Planning and Development

Explanation of Evaluation (required, whether positive or not; limit to three lines; attach longer statement, if needed, on separate sheet) This modest brick commercial building (identical to CI458) is a typical example of the Masonry Vernacular style common in the early 20th century and still evidenced throughout Citrus County. Although it has served as a grocery store, limited data on the building have not demonstrated historical significance that would meet NRHP eligibility Criteria. Therefore, 8CI459 does not appear eligible for the NRHP.

DOCUMENTATION (Photos, Plans, etc.)

Bibliographic References (Use Continuation Sheet, give FMSF Manuscript # if relevant) Citrus County Property Appraisers Office

Photographs (required) B&W print(s) at least 3x5, at least one main facade.
 Location of negatives & negative numbers ACI 2/2

RECORDER

Name (last name first)/Address/Phone/Fax/Email/Affiliation Scupholm, Carrie; Norman Tesa
 P.O. Box 5103; Sarasota, FL 34277-5103; 941/925-9906; 941/925-9767;
 ACImainFL@cs.com; Archaeological Consultants Inc.

Remember: Use a Supplement for Site Forms or other continuation sheet for descriptions that do not fit in the spaces above.

- REQUIRED:**
- (1) USGS 7.5' MAP WITH STRUCTURE PINPOINTED IN RED
 - (2) LARGE SCALE STREET OR PLAT MAP
 - (3) PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, PREFER B&W, AT LEAST 3x5


PHOTOGRAPH



STREET OR PLAT MAP

US 19 (SR 55)

572 N. Citrus Avenue




Citrus Avenue



USGS MAP

Crystal River, Fla. 1954 PR 1988



HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE

Site #8 CI1103

Original
 Update
(give site #)

Version 3.0 11/96

Consult Guide To Historical Structure Forms for detailed instructions.

Recorder # 1/32
Field Date 1/4/02
Form Date 2/11/02

Site Name(s) (address if none) 103 US 19 (SR 55) Multiple Listing [DHR only] _____
Survey US 19 (SR 55) PD & E Study from US 98 to CR 488 Survey # _____
National Register Category (Please check one: consult with Site File before using last four): building structure district site object

LOCATION & IDENTIFICATION

Address (Include N,S,E,W;#;St.,Ave.,etc.) 103 US 19 (SR 55)
Cross Streets (nearest/between) West of NW 1st Avenue on North
City/Town (within 3 miles) Crystal River In Current City Limits: y n unknown
County Citrus Tax Parcel #(s) 2118S17E00600400
Subdivision name Sylvan Glen Addition Block _____ Lot _____
Ownership (Please check one): private-profit private-individual city county Native American
 private-nonprofit private-unspecified state federal foreign unknown
Name of Public Tract (e.g., park) _____
Route to (especially if no street address) _____

MAPPING

USGS 7.5' Map Name & Date Crystal River, Fla. 1954, PR 1988
Township 18S Range 17E Section 21 1/4 section: NW SW SE NE Irregular-name:
Landgrant _____ UTM: Zone 16 17 Easting 0342630 Northing 3197953
Plat or other map (map's name, location) _____

DESCRIPTION

Style* Masonry Vernacular Exterior Plan* rectangular Number of Stories 1
Structural System(s)* Masonry
Foundation: Type(s)* Slab Material(s)* concrete
Exterior Fabric(s)* Stucco
Roof: Type(s)* Flat Material(s)* built-up
Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.)* _____
Chimney: No. _____ Material(s)* _____ Location(s)* _____
Windows (types, materials, etc.)* fixed commercial plate glass, wood, independent
Main Entrance (stylistic details) broken pediment, incised columns
Porches: #open _____ #closed _____ #incised _____ Location(s) _____
Porch roof type(s) _____
Exterior Ornament _____
Interior Plan* Unknown
Condition (Please check one): excellent good fair deteriorated ruinous
Surroundings (N=None, S=Some, M=Most, A=All/nearly all) A commercial _____ residential _____ institutional _____ undeveloped
Ancillary Features (No., type of outbuildings; major landscape features. Use continuation sheet for descriptions of interior, landscaping, etc) _____

Archaeological Remains None observed Check if Archaeological Form completed
*Consult Guide to Historical Structure Forms for preferred descriptions (coded fields at the Site File).

DHR USE ONLY		OFFICIAL EVALUATIONS		DHR USE ONLY	
NR DATE	KEEPER-NR ELIGIBILITY <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no			Date	
DELIST DATE	SHPO-NR ELIGIBILITY <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no <input type="checkbox"/> potentially elig <input type="checkbox"/> insufficient info			Date	
	LOCAL DESIGNATION:			Date	
	Local office				
National Register Criteria for Evaluation: <input type="checkbox"/> a <input type="checkbox"/> b <input type="checkbox"/> c <input type="checkbox"/> d (See National Register Bulletin 15, p.2)					

HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM

Site # 8 C11103

Consult Guide to Historical Structure Forms for detailed instructions

HISTORY

Construction date: Exactly _____ (year) Approximately 1947 (year) Earlier than _____ (year) Later than _____ (year)
 Architect (last name first): Unknown Builder (last name first): Unknown
 Moves: yes no unknown Dates _____ Original address _____
 Alterations: yes no unknown Datesc. 1970 Nature* windows, doors, garage bays altered
 Additions: yes no unknown Datesc. 1970-80 Nature* on rear and side elevations
 Original Use* (give date ranges) Commercial (gas station)
 Intermediate Uses* (give date ranges) Commercial
 Present Use* (give date ranges) Commercial (retail)
 Ownership History (especially original owner, dates, profession, etc.) Bonnie Patterson and Albert R. Knights (02/2002)

*Consult Guide to Historical Structure Forms for preferred descriptions (coded fields at the Site File).

RESEARCH METHODS (Check all choices that apply; if needed write others at bottom)

- | | | | |
|---|---|---|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> formal archaeological survey | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> past surveys search at FMSF | <input type="checkbox"/> local library research | <input type="checkbox"/> Sanborn maps |
| <input type="checkbox"/> informal archaeological inspection | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> past sites search at FMSF | <input type="checkbox"/> non-local library research | <input type="checkbox"/> subdivision maps |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Public Lands Survey (DEP) | <input type="checkbox"/> FL Archives (Gray Building) | <input type="checkbox"/> building permits | <input type="checkbox"/> plat maps |
| <input type="checkbox"/> tax records/property deeds | <input type="checkbox"/> FL Photo Archives (Gray Building) | <input type="checkbox"/> demolition permits | <input type="checkbox"/> local newspaper files |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> tax records only | <input type="checkbox"/> occupant/owner interview | <input type="checkbox"/> commercial permits | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> interior inspection | <input type="checkbox"/> neighbor interview | <input type="checkbox"/> occupation permits | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> other methods (specify) | | | |

SURVEYOR'S EVALUATION OF SITE (Check one choice on each line)

Potentially eligible for local register? yes: name register at right no insufficient info Name of local register if eligible: _____
 Individually eligible for National Register? yes no insufficient info
 Potential contributor to Nat. Reg. district? yes no insufficient info
 Area(s) of Historical Significance (See National Register Bulletin 15, p. 8 for categories: e.g. "architecture," "ethnic heritage," "community planning & development," etc.)
Community Planning and Development

Explanation of Evaluation (required, whether positive or not; limit to three lines; attach longer statement, if needed, on separate sheet) Limited historical research reveals no apparent significance. Additionally, this particular "small box with canopy" gas station form was once common throughout the area and the additions and alterations to accommodate the new use have diminished its architectural integrity. It therefore is not considered NRHP eligible.

DOCUMENTATION (Photos, Plans, etc.)

Bibliographic References (Use Continuation Sheet, give FMSF Manuscript # if relevant) Citrus County Property Appraisers Office

Photographs (required) B&W print(s) at least 3x5, at least one main facade.
 Location of negatives & negative numbers ACI Roll 1/33


RECORDER

Name (last name first)/Address/Phone/Fax/Email/Affiliation Scupholm, Carrie; Norman Tesa
P.O. Box 5103; Sarasota, FL 34277-5103; 941/925-9906; 941/925-9767;
ACImainFL@cs.com; Archaeological Consultants Inc.

Remember: Use a Supplement for Site Forms or other continuation sheet for descriptions that do not fit in the spaces above.


- REQUIRED:**
- (1) USGS 7.5' MAP WITH STRUCTURE PINPOINTED IN RED
 - (2) LARGE SCALE STREET OR PLAT MAP
 - (3) PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, PREFER B&W, AT LEAST 3x5

PHOTOGRAPH



STREET OR PLAT MAP

US 19 (SR 55)




103 US 19 (SR 55)



USGS MAP

Crystal River, Fla. 1954 PR 1988



HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE

Site #8 CI460

Original
 Update

Version 3.0 11/96

Recorder # 2/1

Field Date 1/4/02

Form Date 2/11/02

(give site #)

Consult Guide To Historical Structure Forms for detailed instructions.

Site Name(s) (address if none) Crystal River Bank Multiple Listing [DHR only] _____
Survey US 19 (SR 55) PD & E Study from US 98 to CR 488 Survey # _____
National Register Category (Please check one: consult with Site File before using last four): building structure district site object

LOCATION & IDENTIFICATION

Address (Include N,S,E,W;#;St.,Ave.,etc.) 1 US 19 (SR 55)
Cross Streets (nearest/between) NW corner of US 19 and Citrus Avenue
City/Town (within 3 miles) Crystal River In Current City Limits: y n unknown
County Citrus Tax Parcel #(s) 2118S17E00600210
Subdivision name Sylvan Glen Addition Block _____ Lot _____
Ownership (Please check one): private-profit private-individual city county Native American
 private-nonprofit private-unspecified state federal foreign unknown
Name of Public Tract (e.g., park) _____
Route to (especially if no street address) _____

MAPPING

USGS 7.5' Map Name & Date Crystal River, Fla. 1954, PR 1988
Township 18S Range 17E Section 21 1/4 section: NW SW SE NE Irregular-name: _____
Landgrant _____ UTM: Zone 16 17 Easting 0344630 Northing 3197740
Plat or other map (map's name, location) _____

DESCRIPTION

Style* Masonry Vernacular Exterior Plan* rectangular Number of Stories 1
Structural System(s)* Masonry
Foundation: Type(s)* Unknown Material(s)* Unknown
Exterior Fabric(s)* Stucco, granite panels, corrugated metal
Roof: Type(s)* Flat Material(s)* built-up
Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.)* _____
Chimney: No. _____ Material(s)* _____ Location(s)* _____
Windows (types, materials, etc.)* 4-light awning, metal, independent; fixed commercial plate glass, metal, independent
Main Entrance (stylistic details) cut corner entrance
Porches: #open _____ #closed _____ #incised _____ Location(s) _____
Porch roof type(s) _____
Exterior Ornament Canopy along east elevation and canted entrance
Interior Plan* Unknown
Condition (Please check one): excellent good fair deteriorated ruinous
Surroundings (N=None, S=Some, M=Most, A=All/nearly all) M commercial _____ residential _____ institutional _____ undeveloped _____
Ancillary Features (No., type of outbuildings; major landscape features. Use continuation sheet for descriptions of interior, landscaping, etc) _____

Archaeological Remains None observed Check if Archaeological Form completed

*Consult Guide to Historical Structure Forms for preferred descriptions (coded fields at the Site File).

DHR USE ONLY OFFICIAL EVALUATIONS DHR USE ONLY

NR DATE	KEEPER-NR ELIGIBILITY: <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no	Date
DELIST DATE	SHPO-NR ELIGIBILITY: <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no <input type="checkbox"/> potentially elig. <input type="checkbox"/> insufficient info	Date
	LOCAL DESIGNATION: _____	Date
	Local office: _____	
National Register Criteria for Evaluation: <input type="checkbox"/> a <input type="checkbox"/> b <input type="checkbox"/> c <input type="checkbox"/> d (See National Register Bulletin 15, p.2)		

HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM

Site # 8 CI460

Consult Guide to Historical Structure Forms for detailed instructions

HISTORY

Construction date: Exactly _____ (year) Approximately 1907 (year) Earlier than _____ (year) Later than _____ (year)
 Architect (last name first): Unknown Builder (last name first): Harvey and Drew Edwards
 Moves: yes no unknown Dates _____ Original address _____
 Alterations: yes no unknown Dates c. 1973 Nature* windows replaced, granite, canopy installed
 Additions: yes no unknown Dates _____ Nature* _____
 Original Use* (give date ranges) Commercial (Bank)
 Intermediate Uses* (give date ranges) Commercial

Present Use* (give date ranges) Commercial (Retail)
 Ownership History (especially original owner, dates, profession, etc.) Ronald W & Sylvia J Longley (02/2002)

*Consult Guide to Historical Structure Forms for preferred descriptions (coded fields at the Site File).

RESEARCH METHODS (Check all choices that apply; if needed write others at bottom)

- | | | | |
|---|---|---|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> formal archaeological survey | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> past surveys search at FMSF | <input type="checkbox"/> local library research | <input type="checkbox"/> Sanborn maps |
| <input type="checkbox"/> informal archaeological inspection | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> past sites search at FMSF | <input type="checkbox"/> non-local library research | <input type="checkbox"/> subdivision maps |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Public Lands Survey (DEP) | <input type="checkbox"/> FL Archives (Gray Building) | <input type="checkbox"/> building permits | <input type="checkbox"/> plat maps |
| <input type="checkbox"/> tax records/property deeds | <input type="checkbox"/> FL Photo Archives (Gray Building) | <input type="checkbox"/> demolition permits | <input type="checkbox"/> local newspaper files |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> tax records only | <input type="checkbox"/> occupant/owner interview | <input type="checkbox"/> commercial permits | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> interior inspection | <input type="checkbox"/> neighbor interview | <input type="checkbox"/> occupation permits | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> other methods (specify) _____ | | | |

SURVEYOR'S EVALUATION OF SITE (Check one choice on each line)

Potentially eligible for local register? yes: name register at right no insufficient info Name of local register if eligible: _____
 Individually eligible for National Register? yes no insufficient info _____
 Potential contributor to Nat. Reg. district? yes no insufficient info _____
 Area(s) of Historical Significance (See National Register Bulletin 15, p. 8 for categories: e.g. "architecture," "ethnic heritage," "community planning & development," etc.)
Community Planning and Development

Explanation of Evaluation (required, whether positive or not; limit to three lines; attach longer statement, if needed, on separate sheet) This commercial structure was substantially altered in 1973 which degraded its historic integrity. Originally the Crystal River Bank, the building is no longer able to convey that historic association. Therefore, 8CI460 does not appear to meet NRHP eligibility criteria.

DOCUMENTATION (Photos, Plans, etc.)

Bibliographic References (Use Continuation Sheet, give FMSF Manuscript # if relevant) Citrus County Property Appraisers Office;

Photographs (required) B&W print(s) at least 3x5, at least one main facade.
 Location of negatives & negative numbers ACI Roll 2/1

RECORDER

Name (last name first)/Address/Phone/Fax/Email/Affiliation Scupholm, Carrie; Norman Tesa
P.O. Box 5103; Sarasota, FL 34277-5103; 941/925-9906; 941/925-9767;
ACImainFL@cs.com; Archaeological Consultants Inc.

Remember: Use a Supplement for Site Forms or other continuation sheet for descriptions that do not fit in the spaces above.


- REQUIRED:**
- (1) USGS 7.5' MAP WITH STRUCTURE PINPOINTED IN RED
 - (2) LARGE SCALE STREET OR PLAT MAP
 - (3) PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, PREFER B&W, AT LEAST 3x5

PHOTOGRAPH



STREET OR PLAT MAP

1 US 19 (SR 55)



Citrus Avenue

US 19 (SR55)



USGS MAP

Crystal River, Fla. 1954 PR 1988



HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE

Site #8 CI461
Recorder # 1/36
Field Date 1/4/02
Form Date 2/11/02

Original
 Update
(give site #)

Version 3.0 11/96

Consult Guide To Historical Structure Forms for detailed instructions.

Site Name(s) (address if none) 611 N Citrus Avenue (CR 495) Multiple Listing [DHR only] _____
Survey US 19 (SR 55) from South of US 98 to CR 488 Survey # _____
National Register Category (Please check one: consult with Site File before using last four): building structure district site object

LOCATION & IDENTIFICATION

Address (Include N,S,E,W, #; St., Ave., etc.) 611 N Citrus Avenue (CR 495)
Cross Streets (nearest/between) Noth of US 19 (SR 55) on east
City/Town (within 3 miles) Crystal River In Current City Limits: y n unknown
County Citrus Tax Parcel #(s) 2118S17E0170130
Subdivision name Town of Crystal River Block _____ Lot _____
Ownership (Please check one): private-profit private-individual city county Native American
 private-nonprofit private-unspecified state federal foreign unknown
Name of Public Tract (e.g., park) _____
Route to (especially if no street address) _____

MAPPING

USGS 7.5' Map Name & Date Crystal River, Fla: 1954, PR 1988
Township 18S Range 17E Section 21 1/4 section: NW SW SE NE Irregular-name:
Landgrant _____ UTM: Zone 16 17 Easting 0344680 Northing 3197750
Plat or other map (map's name, location) _____

DESCRIPTION

Style* Mediterranean Revival Exterior Plan* rectangular Number of Stories 1
Structural System(s)* Wood
Foundation: Type(s)* Unknown Material(s)* Unknown
Exterior Fabric(s)* Stucco
Roof: Type(s)* gable Material(s)* 5-V crimp metal
Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.)* _____
Chimney: No. _____ Material(s)* _____ Location(s)* _____
Windows (types, materials, etc.)* Multi-light fixed bay windows, vinyl, independent
Main Entrance (stylistic details) multi-light door
Porches: #open 1 #closed _____ #incised _____ Location(s) Main facade
Porch roof type(s) Shed
Exterior Ornament parapet is scalloped up to a rounded peak in center

Interior Plan* Unknown
Condition (Please check one): excellent good fair deteriorated ruinous
Surroundings (N=None, S=Some, M=Most, A=All/nearly all) A commercial residential institutional undeveloped
Ancillary Features (No., type of outbuildings; major landscape features. Use continuation sheet for descriptions of interior, landscaping, etc.) _____

Archaeological Remains None observed Check if Archaeological Form completed
*Consult Guide to Historical Structure Forms for preferred descriptions (coded fields at the Site File).

DHR USE ONLY		OFFICIAL EVALUATIONS		DHR USE ONLY	
NR DATE	KEEPER-NR ELIGIBILITY	<input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no		Date	
	SHPO-NR ELIGIBILITY	<input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no <input type="checkbox"/> potentially elig. <input type="checkbox"/> insufficient info		Date	
DELIST DATE	LOCAL DESIGNATION			Date	
	Local office				
National Register Criteria for Evaluation: <input type="checkbox"/> a <input type="checkbox"/> b <input type="checkbox"/> c <input type="checkbox"/> d (See National Register Bulletin 16, p.2)					

HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM

Site # 8 CI461

Consult Guide to Historical Structure Forms for detailed instructions

HISTORY

Construction date: Exactly _____ (year) Approximately 1920 (year) Earlier than _____ (year) Later than _____ (year)

Architect (last name first): Unknown Builder (last name first): Unknown

Moves: yes no unknown Dates _____ Original address _____

Alterations: yes no unknown Dates c. 1970 Nature* windows, door replaced, scalloped parapet added

Additions: yes no unknown Dates _____ Nature* _____

Original Use* (give date ranges) Commercial

Intermediate Uses* (give date ranges) Commercial

Present Use* (give date ranges) Commercial

Ownership History (especially original owner, dates, profession, etc.) Southern Heritage (02/2002)

*Consult Guide to Historical Structure Forms for preferred descriptions (coded fields at the Site File).

RESEARCH METHODS (Check all choices that apply; if needed write others at bottom)

<input type="checkbox"/> formal archaeological survey	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> past surveys search at FMSF	<input type="checkbox"/> local library research	<input type="checkbox"/> Sanborn maps
<input type="checkbox"/> informal archaeological inspection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> past sites search at FMSF	<input type="checkbox"/> non-local library research	<input type="checkbox"/> subdivision maps
<input type="checkbox"/> Public Lands Survey (DEP)	<input type="checkbox"/> FL Archives (Gray Building)	<input type="checkbox"/> building permits	<input type="checkbox"/> plat maps
<input type="checkbox"/> tax records/property deeds	<input type="checkbox"/> FL Photo Archives (Gray Building)	<input type="checkbox"/> demolition permits	<input type="checkbox"/> local newspaper files
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> tax records only	<input type="checkbox"/> occupant/owner interview	<input type="checkbox"/> commercial permits	
<input type="checkbox"/> interior inspection	<input type="checkbox"/> neighbor interview	<input type="checkbox"/> occupation permits	
<input type="checkbox"/> other methods (specify) _____			

SURVEYOR'S EVALUATION OF SITE (Check one choice on each line)

Potentially eligible for local register? yes: name register at right no insufficient info Name of local register if eligible: _____

Individually eligible for National Register? yes no insufficient info _____

Potential contributor to Nat. Reg. district? yes no insufficient info _____

Area(s) of Historical Significance (See National Register Bulletin 15, p. 8 for categories: e.g. "architecture," "ethnic heritage," "community planning & development," etc.) _____

Community Planning and Development _____

Explanation of Evaluation (required, whether positive or not; limit to three lines; attach longer statement, if needed, on separate sheet) Available data have not shown this commercial structure to be historically significant. In addition, unsympathetic alterations to the primary facade have diminished the historic appearance. When considering these aforementioned factors along with the commonality of the design, 8CI461 does not meet NRHP eligibility criteria.

DOCUMENTATION (Photos, Plans, etc.)

Bibliographic References (Use Continuation Sheet, give FMSF Manuscript # if relevant) Citrus County Property Appraisers Office

Photographs (required) B&W print(s) at least 3x5, at least one main facade.

Location of negatives & negative numbers ACI Roll 1/36

RECORDER

Name (last name first)/Address/Phone/Fax/Email/Affiliation Scupholm, Carrie; Norman Tesa

P.O. Box 5103; Sarasota, FL 34277-5103; 941/925-9906; 941/925-9767;

ACImainFL@cs.com; Archaeological Consultants Inc.


Remember: Use a Supplement for Site Forms or other continuation sheet for descriptions that do not fit in the spaces above.

REQUIRED: (1) USGS 7.5' MAP WITH STRUCTURE PINPOINTED IN RED
 (2) LARGE SCALE STREET OR PLAT MAP
 (3) PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, PREFER B&W, AT LEAST 3x5

PHOTOGRAPH




STREET OR PLAT MAP



USGS MAP

Crystal River, Fla. 1954 PR 1988



HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE

Site #8 C11104

Original
 Update
(give site #)

Version 3.0 11/96

Consult Guide To Historical Structure Forms for detailed instructions.

Recorder # 1/12
Field Date 1/4/02
Form Date 02/12/02

Site Name(s) (address if none) Hayes Motel Multiple Listing [DHR only] _____
Survey US 19 (SR 55) PD & E Study from South of US 98 to CR 488 Survey # _____
National Register Category (Please check one: consult with Site File before using last four): building structure district site object

LOCATION & IDENTIFICATION

Address (Include N,S,E,W, #; St., Ave., etc.) 1151 US 19 (SR 55)
Cross Streets (nearest/between) South of NW 6th Avenue on East
City/Town (within 3 miles) Crystal River In Current City Limits: y n unknown
County Citrus Tax Parcel #(s) 0618S17E22200
Subdivision name _____ Block _____ Lot _____
Ownership (Please check one): private-profit private-individual city county Native American
 private-nonprofit private-unspecified state federal foreign unknown
Name of Public Tract (e.g., park) _____
Route to (especially if no street address) _____

MAPPING

USGS 7.5' Map Name & Date Crystal River, Fla. 1954, PR 1988
Township 18S Range 17E Section 17 1/4 section: NW SW SE NE Irregular-name:
Landgrant _____ UTM: Zone 16 17 Easting 0343727 Northing 3198642
Plat or other map (map's name, location) _____

DESCRIPTION

Style* Masonry Vernacular Exterior Plan* U-shaped Number of Stories 1
Structural System(s)* masonry
Foundation: Type(s)* Slab Material(s)* concrete
Exterior Fabric(s)* brick
Roof: Type(s)* gable Material(s)* composition shingle
Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.)* _____
Chimney: No. _____ Material(s)* _____ Location(s)* _____
Windows (types, materials, etc.)* 4-light casement, metal, ribbon; 2/2 SHS, metal, independent; jalousie, metal, independent; 4-light awning, metal, independent
Main Entrance (stylistic details) _____
Porches: #open 1 #closed _____ #incised _____ Location(s) continuous shed roof porch extending length of building
Porch roof type(s) roof
Exterior Ornament _____

Interior Plan* motor court composed of approximately 31 rooms
Condition (Please check one): excellent good fair deteriorated ruinous
Surroundings (N=None, S=Some, M=Most, A=All/nearly all) M commercial S residential _____ institutional _____ undeveloped
Ancillary Features (No., type of outbuildings; major landscape features. Use continuation sheet for descriptions of interior, landscaping, etc) Swimming pool

Archaeological Remains None observed Check if Archaeological Form completed
*Consult Guide to Historical Structure Forms for preferred descriptions (coded fields at the Site File).

DHR USE ONLY		OFFICIAL EVALUATIONS		DHR USE ONLY	
NR DATE	KEEPER-NR ELIGIBILITY <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no			Date	
	SHPO-NR ELIGIBILITY: <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no <input type="checkbox"/> potentially elig. <input type="checkbox"/> insufficient info			Date	
DELIST DATE	LOCAL DESIGNATION:			Date	
	Local office				
National Register Criteria for Evaluation: <input type="checkbox"/> a <input type="checkbox"/> b <input type="checkbox"/> c <input type="checkbox"/> d (See National Register Bulletin 15, p.2)					

HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM

Site # 8 CI1104

Consult Guide to Historical Structure Forms for detailed instructions

HISTORY

Construction date: Exactly _____ (year) Approximately 1943 (year) Earlier than _____ (year) Later than _____ (year)

Architect (last name first): Unknown Builder (last name first): Unknown

Moves: yes no unknown Dates _____ Original address _____

Alterations: yes no unknown Datesc. 1970 Nature* windows replaced

Additions: yes no unknown Datesc. 1970 Nature* pool, office building expanded

Original Use* (give date ranges) Commercial (motel)

Intermediate Uses* (give date ranges) Commercial

Present Use* (give date ranges) Commercial (motel) and Ecclesiastical

Ownership History (especially original owner, dates, profession, etc.) Norvel L. Hayes (02/2002)

*Consult Guide to Historical Structure Forms for preferred descriptions (coded fields at the Site File).

RESEARCH METHODS (Check all choices that apply; if needed write others at bottom)

- formal archaeological survey
- past surveys search at FMSF
- local library research
- Sanborn maps
- informal archaeological inspection
- past sites search at FMSF
- non-local library research
- subdivision maps
- Public Lands Survey (DEP)
- FL Archives (Gray Building)
- building permits
- plat maps
- tax records/property deeds
- FL Photo Archives (Gray Building)
- demolition permits
- local newspaper files
- tax records only
- occupant/owner interview
- commercial permits
- interior inspection
- neighbor interview
- occupation permits
- other methods (specify) _____

SURVEYOR'S EVALUATION OF SITE (Check one choice on each line)

Potentially eligible for local register? yes: name register at right no insufficient info Name of local register if eligible: _____

Individually eligible for National Register? yes no insufficient info

Potential contributor to Nat. Reg. district? yes no insufficient info

Area(s) of Historical Significance (See National Register Bulletin 15, p. 8 for categories: e.g. "architecture," "ethnic heritage," "community planning & development," etc.)

Community Planning and Development; Recreation

Explanation of Evaluation (required, whether positive or not; limit to three lines; attach longer statement, if needed, on separate sheet)

DOCUMENTATION (Photos, Plans, etc.)

Bibliographic References (Use Continuation Sheet, give FMSF Manuscript # if relevant) Citrus County Property Appraisers Office

Photographs (required) B&W print(s) at least 3x5, at least one main facade.

Location of negatives & negative numbers ACI Roll 1/13

RECORDER

Name (last name first)/Address/Phone/Fax/Email/Affiliation Scupholm, Carrie; Norman Tesa


P.O. Box 5103; Sarasota, FL 34277-5103; 941/925-9906; 941/925-9767;

ACImainFL@cs.com; Archaeological Consultants Inc.


Remember: Use a Supplement for Site Forms or other continuation sheet for descriptions that do not fit in the spaces above.

- REQUIRED:**
- (1) USGS 7.5' MAP WITH STRUCTURE PINPOINTED IN RED
 - (2) LARGE SCALE STREET OR PLAT MAP
 - (3) PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, PREFER B&W, AT LEAST 3x5

PHOTOGRAPH





STREET OR PLAT MAP



USGS MAP

Crystal River, Fla. 1954 PR 1988



HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE

Site #8 CI1105

Original
 Update
(give site #)

Version 3.0 11/96

Consult Guide To Historical Structure Forms for detailed instructions.

Recorder # 1/8
Field Date 1/4/02
Form Date 2/12/02

Site Name(s) (address if none) 4690 US 19 (SR 55) Multiple Listing [DHR only] _____
Survey US 19 (SR 55) PD & E Study from South of US 98 to CR 488 Survey # _____
National Register Category (Please check one: consult with Site File before using last four): building structure district site object

LOCATION & IDENTIFICATION

Address (Include N,S,E,W;#;St.,Ave.,etc.) 4690 US 19 (SR 55)
Cross Streets (nearest/between) South of N. Champion Point on West
City/Town (within 3 miles) Crystal River In Current City Limits: y n unknown
County Citrus Tax Parcel #(s) 0818S17E43000
Subdivision name _____ Block _____ Lot _____
Ownership (Please check one): private-profit private-individual city county Native American
 private-nonprofit private-unspecified state federal foreign unknown
Name of Public Tract (e.g., park) _____
Route to (especially if no street address) _____

MAPPING

USGS 7.5' Map Name & Date Crystal River, Fla. 1954, PR 1988
Township 18S Range 17E Section 8 1/4 section: NW SW SE NE Irregular-name:
Landgrant _____ UTM: Zone 16 17 Easting 0342612 Northing 3201052
Plat or other map (map's name, location) _____

DESCRIPTION

Style* Masonry Vernacular Exterior Plan* rectangular Number of Stories 1
Structural System(s)* Masonry, concrete block
Foundation: Type(s)* Continuous Material(s)* concrete block
Exterior Fabric(s)* concrete block
Roof: Type(s)* gable Material(s)* composition shingle
Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.)* _____
Chimney: No. 2 Material(s)* brick, concrete Location(s)* north end near ridge; south end east slope
Windows (types, materials, etc.)* 3-light awning, metal, paired and independent; 2-light awning, metal, paired and independent; casement, metal, triple; casement flanking plate glass
Main Entrance (stylistic details) _____
Porches: #open 1 #closed 1 #incised _____ Location(s) Main facade
Porch roof type(s) gable, shed
Exterior Ornament _____
Interior Plan* _____
Condition (Please check one): excellent good fair deteriorated ruinous
Surroundings (N=None, S=Some, M=Most, A=All/nearly all) M commercial S residential _____ institutional _____ undeveloped
Ancillary Features (No., type of outbuildings; major landscape features. Use continuation sheet for descriptions of interior, landscaping, etc) Concrete block outbuilding; concrete block carport

Archaeological Remains None observed Check if Archaeological Form completed
*Consult Guide to Historical Structure Forms for preferred descriptions (coded fields at the Site File).

DHR USE ONLY		OFFICIAL EVALUATIONS		DHR USE ONLY	
NR DATE	KEEPER-NR ELIGIBILITY: <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no	Date			
	SHPO-NR ELIGIBILITY: <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no <input type="checkbox"/> potentially elig. <input type="checkbox"/> insufficient info	Date			
DELIST DATE	LOCAL DESIGNATION:	Date			
	Local office				
National Register Criteria for Evaluation <input type="checkbox"/> a <input type="checkbox"/> b <input type="checkbox"/> c <input type="checkbox"/> d (See National Register Bulletin 15, p.2)					

HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM

Site # 8 C11105

Consult Guide to Historical Structure Forms for detailed instructions

HISTORY

Construction date: Exactly _____ (year) Approximately 1952 (year) Earlier than _____ (year) Later than _____ (year)

Architect (last name first): Unknown Builder (last name first): Unknown

Moves: yes no unknown Dates _____ Original address _____

Alterations: yes no unknown Dates c.1970 Nature* windows replaced

Additions: yes no unknown Dates c.1970 Nature* concrete block addition on rear

Original Use* (give date ranges) Private residence

Intermediate Uses* (give date ranges) Private residence

Present Use* (give date ranges) Private residence

Ownership History (especially original owner, dates, profession, etc.) Willie Gamble and Linda Gamble Johnson (02/2002)

*Consult Guide to Historical Structure Forms for preferred descriptions (coded fields at the Site File).

RESEARCH METHODS (Check all choices that apply; if needed write others at bottom)

- | | | | |
|---|---|---|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> formal archaeological survey | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> past surveys search at FMSF | <input type="checkbox"/> local library research | <input type="checkbox"/> Sanborn maps |
| <input type="checkbox"/> informal archaeological inspection | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> past sites search at FMSF | <input type="checkbox"/> non-local library research | <input type="checkbox"/> subdivision maps |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Public Lands Survey (DEP) | <input type="checkbox"/> FL Archives (Gray Building) | <input type="checkbox"/> building permits | <input type="checkbox"/> plat maps |
| <input type="checkbox"/> tax records/property deeds | <input type="checkbox"/> FL Photo Archives (Gray Building) | <input type="checkbox"/> demolition permits | <input type="checkbox"/> local newspaper files |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> tax records only | <input type="checkbox"/> occupant/owner interview | <input type="checkbox"/> commercial permits | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> interior inspection | <input type="checkbox"/> neighbor interview | <input type="checkbox"/> occupation permits | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> other methods (specify) _____ | | | |

SURVEYOR'S EVALUATION OF SITE (Check one choice on each line)

Potentially eligible for local register? yes: name register at right no insufficient info Name of local register if eligible: _____

Individually eligible for National Register? yes no insufficient info _____

Potential contributor to Nat. Reg. district? yes no insufficient info _____

Area(s) of Historical Significance (See National Register Bulletin 15, p. 8 for categories: e.g. "architecture," "ethnic heritage," "community planning & development," etc.)
Community Planning and Development

Explanation of Evaluation (required, whether positive or not; limit to three lines; attach longer statement, if needed, on separate sheet) Many examples of this type of post-World War II residence exist throughout Citrus County. Furthermore, limited data suggests no historical significance. It is not considered NRHP eligible.

DOCUMENTATION (Photos, Plans, etc.)

Bibliographic References (Use Continuation Sheet, give FMSF Manuscript # if relevant) Citrus County Property Appraisers Office;

Photographs (required) B&W print(s) at least 3x5, at least one main facade.
 Location of negatives & negative numbers ACI Roll 1/8

RECORDER

Name (last name first)/Address/Phone/Fax/Email/Affiliation Scupholm, Carrie; Norman Tesa
P.O. Box 5103; Sarasota, FL 34277-5103; 941/925-9906; 941/925-9767;
ACImainFL@cs.com; Archaeological Consultants Inc.

Remember: Use a Supplement for Site Forms or other continuation sheet for descriptions that do not fit in the spaces above.


REQUIRED: (1) USGS 7.5' MAP WITH STRUCTURE PINPOINTED IN RED
 (2) LARGE SCALE STREET OR PLAT MAP
 (3) PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, PREFER B&W, AT LEAST 3x5

PHOTOGRAPH





STREET OR PLAT MAP

US 19 (SR 55)



USGS MAP

Crystal River, Fla. 1954, PR1988



HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE

Site #8 CI1107

- Original
- Update
(give site #)

Version 3.0 11/96

Consult Guide To Historical Structure Forms for detailed instructions.

Recorder # 1/8

Field Date 1/4/02

Form Date 2/12/02

Site Name(s) (address if none) 4609 N. Champion Point Multiple Listing [DHR only] _____
 Survey US 19 (SR 55) PD & E Study from South of US 98 to CR 488 Survey # _____
 National Register Category (Please check one: consult with Site File before using last four): building structure district site object

LOCATION & IDENTIFICATION

Address (Include N,S,E,W, #; St., Ave., etc.) 4609 N. Champion Point
 Cross Streets (nearest/between) West of US 19
 City/Town (within 3 miles) Crystal River In Current City Limits: y n unknown
 County Citrus Tax Parcel #(s) 0618S17E42210
 Subdivision name _____ Block _____ Lot _____
 Ownership (Please check one): private-profit private-individual city county Native American
 private-nonprofit private-unspecified state federal foreign unknown
 Name of Public Tract (e.g., park) _____
 Route to (especially if no street address) _____

MAPPING

USGS 7.5' Map Name & Date Crystal River, Fla. 1954, PR 1988
 Township 18S Range 17E Section 8 1/4 section: NW SW SE NE Irregular-name: _____
 Landgrant _____ UTM: Zone 16 17 Easting 0342632 Northing 3201096
 Plat or other map (map's name, location) _____

DESCRIPTION

Style* Frame Vernacular Exterior Plan* rectangular Number of Stories 1
 Structural System(s)* Wood
 Foundation: Type(s)* Continuous Material(s)* concrete block
 Exterior Fabric(s)* Asbestos shingle
 Roof: Type(s)* gable Material(s)* 5-V crimp metal
 Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.)* _____
 Chimney: No. _____ Material(s)* _____ Location(s)* _____
 Windows (types, materials, etc.)* 1/1 DHS, wood, independent

Main Entrance (stylistic details) _____
 Porches: #open _____ #closed 1 #incised _____ Location(s) Main facade
 Porch roof type(s) gable
 Exterior Ornament _____

Interior Plan* _____
 Condition (Please check one): excellent good fair deteriorated ruinous
 Surroundings (N=None, S=Some, M=Most, A=All/nearly all) M commercial S residential _____ institutional _____ undeveloped
 Ancillary Features (No., type of outbuildings; major landscape features. Use continuation sheet for descriptions of interior, landscaping, etc) _____

Archaeological Remains None observed Check if Archaeological Form completed
 *Consult Guide to Historical Structure Forms for preferred descriptions (coded fields at the Site File).

DHR USE ONLY		OFFICIAL EVALUATIONS		DHR USE ONLY	
NR DATE	KEEPER-NR ELIGIBILITY: <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no			Date	
	SHPO-NR ELIGIBILITY: <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no <input type="checkbox"/> potentially elig <input type="checkbox"/> insufficient info			Date	
DELIST DATE	LOCAL DESIGNATION:			Date	
	Local office				
National Register Criteria for Evaluation <input type="checkbox"/> a <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> b <input type="checkbox"/> c <input type="checkbox"/> d (See National Register Bulletin 15, p.2)					

HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM

Site # 8 CI1107

Consult Guide to Historical Structure Forms for detailed instructions

HISTORY

Construction date: Exactly _____ (year) Approximately 1946 (year) Earlier than _____ (year) Later than _____ (year)
 Architect (last name first): Unknown Builder (last name first): Unknown
 Moves: yes no unknown Dates _____ Original address _____
 Alterations: yes no unknown Dates _____ Nature* _____
 Additions: yes no unknown Dates _____ Nature* _____
 Original Use* (give date ranges) Private residence
 Intermediate Uses* (give date ranges) Private residence
 Present Use* (give date ranges) Private residence
 Ownership History (especially original owner, dates, profession, etc.) Willie Gamble and Linda Gamble Johnson (02/2002)

*Consult Guide to Historical Structure Forms for preferred descriptions (coded fields at the Site File).

RESEARCH METHODS (Check all choices that apply; if needed write others at bottom)

- | | | | |
|---|---|---|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> formal archaeological survey | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> past surveys search at FMSF | <input type="checkbox"/> local library research | <input type="checkbox"/> Sanborn maps |
| <input type="checkbox"/> informal archaeological inspection | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> past sites search at FMSF | <input type="checkbox"/> non-local library research | <input type="checkbox"/> subdivision maps |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Public Lands Survey (DEP) | <input type="checkbox"/> FL Archives (Gray Building) | <input type="checkbox"/> building permits | <input type="checkbox"/> plat maps |
| <input type="checkbox"/> tax records/property deeds | <input type="checkbox"/> FL Photo Archives (Gray Building) | <input type="checkbox"/> demolition permits | <input type="checkbox"/> local newspaper files |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> tax records only | <input type="checkbox"/> occupant/owner interview | <input type="checkbox"/> commercial permits | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> interior inspection | <input type="checkbox"/> neighbor interview | <input type="checkbox"/> occupation permits | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> other methods (specify) _____ | | | |

SURVEYOR'S EVALUATION OF SITE (Check one choice on each line)

Potentially eligible for local register? yes: name register at right no insufficient info Name of local register if eligible: _____
 Individually eligible for National Register? yes no insufficient info
 Potential contributor to Nat. Reg. district? yes no insufficient info
 Area(s) of Historical Significance (See National Register Bulletin 15, p. 8 for categories: e.g. "architecture," "ethnic heritage," "community planning & development," etc.)
Community Planning and Development

Explanation of Evaluation (required, whether positive or not; limit to three lines; attach longer statement, if needed, on separate sheet) Limited research did not reveal any historical significance. Additionally, many examples of this type of residence exist throughout Citrus County. Therefore, it is not considered NRHP eligible.

DOCUMENTATION (Photos, Plans, etc.)

Bibliographic References (Use Continuation Sheet, give FMSF Manuscript # if relevant) Citrus County Property Appraisers Office;

Photographs (required) B&W print(s) at least 3x5, at least one main facade.
 Location of negatives & negative numbers ACI Roll 1/10


RECORDER

Name (last name first)/Address/Phone/Fax/Email/Affiliation Scupholm, Carrie; Norman Tesa
P.O. Box 5103; Sarasota, FL 34277-5103; 941/925-9906; 941/925-9767;
ACImainFL@cs.com; Archaeological Consultants Inc.


Remember: Use a Supplement for Site Forms or other continuation sheet for descriptions that do not fit in the spaces above.

- REQUIRED:** (1) USGS 7.5' MAP WITH STRUCTURE PINPOINTED IN RED
 (2) LARGE SCALE STREET OR PLAT MAP
 (3) PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, PREFER B&W, AT LEAST 3x5

PHOTOGRAPH





STREET OR PLAT MAP



USGS MAP

Red Level, Fla. 1954, PR1988



HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE

Site #8 C11106

- Original
 - Update
- (give site #)

Version 3.0 11/96

Consult Guide To Historical Structure Forms for detailed instructions.

Recorder # 1/9
 Field Date 1/4/02
 Form Date 02/12/02

Site Name(s) (address if none) 4575 N. Champion Point Multiple Listing [DHR only] _____
 Survey US 19 (SR 55) PD & E Study from South of US 98 to CR 488 Survey # _____
 National Register Category (Please check one: consult with Site File before using last four): building structure district site object

LOCATION & IDENTIFICATION

Address (Include N,S,E,W;#;St.,Ave.,etc.) 4575 N. Champion Point
 Cross Streets (nearest/between) West of US 19
 City/Town (within 3 miles) Crystal River In Current City Limits: y n unknown
 County Citrus Tax Parcel #(s) 0618S17E42221
 Subdivision name _____ Block _____ Lot _____
 Ownership (Please check one): private-profit private-individual city county Native American
 private-nonprofit private-unspecified state federal foreign unknown
 Name of Public Tract (e.g., park) _____
 Route to (especially if no street address) _____

MAPPING

USGS 7.5' Map Name & Date Crystal River, Fla. 1954, PR 1988
 Township 18S Range 17E Section 8 1/4 section: NW SW SE NE Irregular-name: _____
 Landgrant _____ UTM: Zone 16 17 Easting 0342636 Northing 3201080
 Plat or other map (map's name, location) _____

DESCRIPTION

Style* Masonry Vernacular Exterior Plan* rectangular Number of Stories 1
 Structural System(s)* masonry
 Foundation: Type(s)* Continuous Material(s)* concrete block
 Exterior Fabric(s)* concrete block
 Roof: Type(s)* gable Material(s)* 5-V-crimp metal
 Roof secondary strucs. (domers etc.)* _____
 Chimney: No. _____ Material(s)* _____ Location(s)* _____
 Windows (types, materials, etc.)* plate glass, metal, independent; 6/6 DHS, wood, paired, and independent.

Main Entrance (stylistic details) _____
 Porches: #open _____ #closed 2 #incised _____ Location(s) Main facade; north elevation
 Porch roof type(s) gable
 Exterior Ornament _____

Interior Plan* _____
 Condition (Please check one): excellent good fair deteriorated ruinous
 Surroundings (N=None, S=Some, M=Most, A=All/nearly all) M commercial S residential _____ institutional _____ undeveloped
 Ancillary Features (No., type of outbuildings; major landscape features. Use continuation sheet for descriptions of interior, landscaping, etc) Attached carport on north elevation

Archaeological Remains None observed Check if Archaeological Form completed
 *Consult Guide to Historical Structure Forms for preferred descriptions (coded fields at the Site File).

DHR USE ONLY		OFFICIAL EVALUATIONS		DHR USE ONLY	
NR DATE	KEEPER-NR ELIGIBILITY <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no			Date	
	SHPO-NR ELIGIBILITY <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no <input type="checkbox"/> potentially elig. <input type="checkbox"/> insufficient info			Date	
DELIST DATE	LOCAL DESIGNATION			Date	
	Local office				
National Register Criteria for Evaluation <input type="checkbox"/> a <input type="checkbox"/> b <input type="checkbox"/> c <input type="checkbox"/> d (See National Register Bulletin 15, p.2)					

HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM

Site # 8 CI1106

Consult Guide to Historical Structure Forms for detailed instructions

HISTORY

Construction date: Exactly _____ (year) Approximately 1947 (year) Earlier than _____ (year) Later than _____ (year)
 Architect (last name first): Unknown Builder (last name first): Unknown
 Moves: yes no unknown Dates _____ Original address _____
 Alterations: yes no unknown Dates 1965 Nature* porch enclosed, windows replaced
 Additions: yes no unknown Dates _____ Nature* _____
 Original Use* (give date ranges) Private residence
 Intermediate Uses* (give date ranges) Private residence

Present Use* (give date ranges) Private residence
 Ownership History (especially original owner, dates, profession, etc.) Willie B. Gamble and Linda Gamble Johnson (02/2002)

*Consult Guide to Historical Structure Forms for preferred descriptions (coded fields at the Site File).

RESEARCH METHODS (Check all choices that apply; if needed write others at bottom)

- | | | | |
|---|---|---|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> formal archaeological survey | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> past surveys search at FMSF | <input type="checkbox"/> local library research | <input type="checkbox"/> Sanborn maps |
| <input type="checkbox"/> informal archaeological inspection | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> past sites search at FMSF | <input type="checkbox"/> non-local library research | <input type="checkbox"/> subdivision maps |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Public Lands Survey (DEP) | <input type="checkbox"/> FL Archives (Gray Building) | <input type="checkbox"/> building permits | <input type="checkbox"/> plat maps |
| <input type="checkbox"/> tax records/property deeds | <input type="checkbox"/> FL Photo Archives (Gray Building) | <input type="checkbox"/> demolition permits | <input type="checkbox"/> local newspaper files |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> tax records only | <input type="checkbox"/> occupant/owner interview | <input type="checkbox"/> commercial permits | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> interior inspection | <input type="checkbox"/> neighbor interview | <input type="checkbox"/> occupation permits | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> other methods (specify) _____ | | | |

SURVEYOR'S EVALUATION OF SITE (Check one choice on each line)

Potentially eligible for local register? yes: name register at right no insufficient info Name of local register if eligible: _____
 Individually eligible for National Register? yes no insufficient info _____
 Potential contributor to Nat. Reg. district? yes no insufficient info _____
 Area(s) of Historical Significance (See National Register Bulletin 15, p. 8 for categories: e.g. "architecture," "ethnic heritage," "community planning & development," etc.)
Community Planning and Development

Explanation of Evaluation (required, whether positive or not; limit to three lines; attach longer statement, if needed, on separate sheet) Many examples of this type of Masonry Vernacular style residence exist throughout Citrus County. Furthermore, limited data suggests no historical significance. It is therefore, not considered NRHP-eligible.

DOCUMENTATION (Photos, Plans, etc.)

Bibliographic References (Use Continuation Sheet, give FMSF Manuscript # if relevant) Citrus County Property Appraisers Office;

Photographs (required) B&W print(s) at least 3x5, at least one main facade.
 Location of negatives & negative numbers ACI Roll 1/9


RECORDER

Name (last name first)/Address/Phone/Fax/Email/Affiliation Scupholm, Carrie; Norman Tesa
P.O. Box 5103; Sarasota, FL 34277-5103; 941/925-9906; 941/925-9767;
ACImainFL@cs.com; Archaeological Consultants Inc.


Remember: Use a Supplement for Site Forms or other continuation sheet for descriptions that do not fit in the spaces above.

- REQUIRED:**
- (1) USGS 7.5' MAP WITH STRUCTURE PINPOINTED IN RED
 - (2) LARGE SCALE STREET OR PLAT MAP
 - (3) PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, PREFER B&W, AT LEAST 3x5

PHOTOGRAPH




STREET OR PLAT MAP



USGS MAP

Red Level, Fla. 1954, PR1988



0

0.5 mile



HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE

Site #8 CI1108
Recorder # 1/1
Field Date 1/4/02
Form Date 02/12/02

Original
 Update
(give site #)

Version 3.0 11/96
Consult Guide To Historical Structure Forms for detailed instructions.

Site Name(s) (address if none) 5832 US 19 (SR 55) Multiple Listing [DHR only] _____
Survey US 19 (SR 55) PD & E Study from South of US 98 to CR 488 Survey # _____
National Register Category (Please check one: consult with Site File before using last four): building structure district site object

LOCATION & IDENTIFICATION

Address (Include N,S,E,W, #; St., Ave., etc.) 5832 US 19 (SR 55)
Cross Streets (nearest/between) Citrus Tool Lane
City/Town (within 3 miles) Crystal River In Current City Limits: y n unknown
County Citrus Tax Parcel #(s) 0618S17E14240
Subdivision name _____ Block _____ Lot _____
Ownership (Please check one): private-profit private-individual city county Native American
 private-nonprofit private-unspecified state federal foreign unknown
Name of Public Tract (e.g., park) _____
Route to (especially if no street address) _____

MAPPING

USGS 7.5' Map Name & Date Crystal River, Fla. 1954, PR 1988
Township 18S Range 17E Section 6 1/4 section: NW SW SE NE Irregular-name: _____
Landgrant _____ UTM: Zone 16 17 Easting 0341852 Northing 3203009
Plat or other map (map's name, location) _____

DESCRIPTION

Style* Frame Vernacular Exterior Plan* irregular Number of Stories 1
Structural System(s)* Wood
Foundation: Type(s)* Pier Material(s)* native limerock, concrete block
Exterior Fabric(s)* Wood drop siding
Roof: Type(s)* gable Material(s)* Composition Shingle ; 5-V crimp metal
Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.)* _____
Chimney: No. 1 Material(s)* limerock Location(s)* West slope
Windows (types, materials, etc.)* 1/1 SHS, metal, paired and independent; jalousie, metal, independent; fixed plate glass, metal paired
Main Entrance (stylistic details) _____
Porches: #open 1 #closed 1 #incised _____ Location(s) Main facade
Porch roof type(s) gable, shed
Exterior Ornament _____

Interior Plan* _____
Condition (Please check one): excellent good fair deteriorated ruinous
Surroundings (N=None, S=Some, M=Most, A=All/nearly all) M commercial S residential _____ institutional _____ undeveloped
Ancillary Features (No., type of outbuildings; major landscape features. Use continuation sheet for descriptions of interior, landscaping, etc) Limerock outbuilding east of house, native limerock pillars at edge of property.

Archaeological Remains None observed Check if Archaeological Form completed
*Consult Guide to Historical Structure Forms for preferred descriptions (coded fields at the Site File).

DHR USE ONLY		OFFICIAL EVALUATIONS		DHR USE ONLY	
NR DATE	KEEPER NR ELIGIBILITY: <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> no	Date			
DELIST DATE	SHPO NR ELIGIBILITY: <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> no <input type="checkbox"/> potentially elig. <input type="checkbox"/> insufficient info	Date			
	LOCAL DESIGNATION: _____ Local office	Date			
National Register Criteria for Evaluation <input type="checkbox"/> a <input type="checkbox"/> b <input type="checkbox"/> c <input type="checkbox"/> d (See National Register Bulletin 15, p.2)					

HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM

Site # 8 CI1108

Consult Guide to Historical Structure Forms for detailed instructions

HISTORY

Construction date: Exactly _____ (year) Approximately 1949 (year) Earlier than _____ (year) Later than _____ (year)
 Architect (last name first): Unknown Builder (last name first): Unknown
 Moves: yes no unknown Dates _____ Original address _____
 Alterations: yes no unknown Datesc. 1970 Nature* windows replaced
 Additions: yes no unknown Datesc. 1970 Nature* addition on west elevation
 Original Use* (give date ranges) Private residence
 Intermediate Uses* (give date ranges) Private residence
 Present Use* (give date ranges) Private residence
 Ownership History (especially original owner, dates, profession, etc.) Wayne and Lori Moore (02/2002)

*Consult Guide to Historical Structure Forms for preferred descriptions (coded fields at the Site File).

RESEARCH METHODS (Check all choices that apply; if needed write others at bottom)

- | | | | |
|---|---|---|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> formal archaeological survey | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> past surveys search at FMSF | <input type="checkbox"/> local library research | <input type="checkbox"/> Sanborn maps |
| <input type="checkbox"/> informal archaeological inspection | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> past sites search at FMSF | <input type="checkbox"/> non-local library research | <input type="checkbox"/> subdivision maps |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Public Lands Survey (DEP) | <input type="checkbox"/> FL Archives (Gray Building) | <input type="checkbox"/> building permits | <input type="checkbox"/> plat maps |
| <input type="checkbox"/> tax records/property deeds | <input type="checkbox"/> FL Photo Archives (Gray Building) | <input type="checkbox"/> demolition permits | <input type="checkbox"/> local newspaper files |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> tax records only | <input type="checkbox"/> occupant/owner interview | <input type="checkbox"/> commercial permits | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> interior inspection | <input type="checkbox"/> neighbor interview | <input type="checkbox"/> occupation permits | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> other methods (specify) _____ | | | |

SURVEYOR'S EVALUATION OF SITE (Check one choice on each line)

Potentially eligible for local register? yes: name register at right no insufficient info Name of local register if eligible: _____
 Individually eligible for National Register? yes no insufficient info
 Potential contributor to Nat. Reg. district? yes no insufficient info
 Area(s) of Historical Significance (See National Register Bulletin 15, p. 8 for categories: e.g. "architecture," "ethnic heritage," "community planning & development," etc.)
 Community Planning and Development

Explanation of Evaluation (required, whether positive or not; limit to three lines; attach longer statement, if needed, on separate sheet) Given the similarity of this Frame Vernacular style residence to others in Citrus County and the lack of historic significance evidenced in the available data, it does not appear to meet the NRHP eligible criteria.

DOCUMENTATION (Photos, Plans, etc.)

Bibliographic References (Use Continuation Sheet, give FMSF Manuscript # if relevant) Citrus County Property Appraisers Office;

Photographs (required) B&W print(s) at least 3x5, at least one main facade.
 Location of negatives & negative numbers ACI Roll 1/1


RECORDER

Name (last name first)/Address/Phone/Fax/Email/Affiliation Scupholm, Carrie; Norman Tesa
 P.O. Box 5103; Sarasota, FL 34277-5103; 941/925-9906; 941/925-9767;
 ACImainFL@cs.com; Archaeological Consultants Inc.


Remember: Use a Supplement for Site Forms or other continuation sheet for descriptions that do not fit in the spaces above.

REQUIRED: (1) USGS 7.5' MAP WITH STRUCTURE PINPOINTED IN RED
 (2) LARGE SCALE STREET OR PLAT MAP
 (3) PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, PREFER B&W, AT LEAST 3x5

PHOTOGRAPH




STREET OR PLAT MAP



USGS MAP

Red Level, Fla. 1954, PR1988



APPENDIX B: Survey Log Sheet

APPENDIX B: Survey Log Sheet

FMSF USE ONLY

Form Date 1/28/02**Survey Log Sheet**

Florida Master Site File

Version 2.0 9/97

FMSF Survey #

Consult *Guide to the Survey Log Sheet* for detailed instructions.Recorder of Log Sheet Lee Hutchinson**Identification and Bibliographic Information**Survey Project (Name and project phase) US 19 (SR 55), Citrus CountyIs this a continuation of a previous project? No Yes Previous survey#(s)Report Title (exactly as on title page) Cultural Resource Assessment Survey, Project Development and Environment Study US 19 (SR 55) from South of US 98 to CR 488, Citrus County, FloridaWPI Segment No.: 405822 1; FAP No.:1852 007 P

Report Author(s) (as on title page-individual or corporate)

Archaeological Consultants, Inc.Publication Date (month/year) 4/02 Total Number of Pages in Report (Count text, figures, tables, not site forms) 96Publication Information (if relevant, series and no. in series, publisher, and city. For article or chapter, cite page numbers. Use the style of *American Antiquity*. See *Guide to the Survey Log Sheet*.) Archaeological Consultants, Inc.P.O. Box 5103, Sarasota, FL 34277-5103Supervisor(s) of Fieldwork (whether or not the same as author[s]) Lee Hutchinson, Joan DemingAffiliation of Fieldworkers (organization, city) Archaeological Consultants, Inc.Key Words/Phrases (Don't use the county, or common words like *archaeology, structure, survey, architecture*. Put the most important first. Limit each word or phrase to 25 characters). PD&E, Crystal River, Homosassa Springs, Chassahowitzka, Red Level, US 19

Survey Sponsors (corporation, government unit, or person who is directly paying for fieldwork)

Name Florida Department of Transportation, District SevenAddress/Phone 11201 North McKinley Drive, Tampa, FL 33612-6456**Mapping**Counties (List each one in which field survey was done-do not abbreviate) CitrusUSGS 1:24,000 Map(s): Names/Dates: Chassahowitzka, Fla. 1954, PI 1988, Crystal River, Fla. 1954, PR 1988; Homosassa, Fla. 1954, PR 1988, Red Level, Fla. 1954, PR 1988 MR 1992Remarks (Use supplementary sheet[s] if needed) Two newly identified and six previously recorded archaeological sites plus eight previously recorded and 17 new recorded historic resources are located within the project area of potential effect.**Description of Survey Area**Dates for Fieldwork: Start 12/26/01 End 1/11/02 Total Area Surveyed (fill in one) _____ hectares _____ acres

Number of Distinct Tracts or Areas Surveyed _____

If Corridor (fill in one for each) Width _____ meters _____ feet Length _____ kilometers 18.8 milesTypes of Survey (check all that apply) archaeological architectural historical/archival underwater other: _____

Survey Log Sheet of the Florida Master Site File

Research and Field Methods

Preliminary Methods (Check as many as apply to the project as a whole. If needed write others at bottom).

- Florida Archives (Gray Building)
- Florida Photo Archives (Gray Building)
- FMSF site property search
- FMSF survey search
- other (describe) _____
- library research - (local public)
- library-special collection- (non local)
- Public Lands Survey (maps at DEP)
- local informant(s)
- local property or tax records
- newspaper files
- literature search
- Sanborn Insurance maps
- windshield survey
- aerial photography

Archaeological Methods (Describe the proportion of properties at which method was used by writing in the corresponding letter. Blanks are interpreted as "None.")

F(-ew: 0-20%, S(-ome: 20-50%); M(-ost: 50-90%); or A(-ll, Nearly all: 90-100%). If needed write others at bottom.

Check here if NO archaeological methods were used.

- ___ surface collection, controlled
- ___ surface collection, uncontrolled
- A shovel test-1/4" screen
- ___ shovel test-1/8" screen
- ___ shovel test-1/16" screen
- ___ shovel test-unscreened
- ___ other (describe): _____
- ___ other screen shovel test (size: _____)
- ___ water screen (finest size: _____)
- ___ posthole tests
- ___ auger (size: _____)
- ___ coring
- ___ test excavation (at least 1x2 m)
- ___ block excavation (at least 2x2 m)
- ___ soil resistivity
- ___ magnetometer
- ___ side scan sonar
- ___ unknown

Historical/Architectural Methods (Describe the proportion of properties at which method was used by writing in the corresponding letter.

Blanks are interpreted as "None.")

F(-ew: 0-20%, S(-ome: 20-50%); M(-ost: 50-90%); or A(-ll, Nearly all: 90-100%). If needed write others at bottom.

Check here if NO historical/architectural methods were used.

- ___ building permits
- ___ commercial permits
- ___ interior documentation
- ___ other (describe): _____
- ___ demolition permits
- M exposed ground inspected
- S local property records
- S neighbor interview
- S occupant inter view
- ___ occupation per mits
- M subdivision maps
- M tax records
- ___ unknown

Scope/Intensity/Procedures Background Research, field survey, test pits dug systematically and judgmentally and plotted on aerial; soil screened and strata recorded; structures photographed; persons interviewed; report prepared

Survey Results (cultural resources recorded)

Site Significance Evaluated? Yes No If Yes , circle NR-eligible/significant site numbers below.


Site Counts: Previously Recorded Sites 14 Newly Recorded Sites 19

Previously Recorded Site #'s (List site #'s without "8." Attach supplementary pages if necessary) CI133, -139, -140, -141, -142, -158, -400, 401, -458, -459, -460, -461, -487, and -938

Newly Recorded Site #'s (Are you sure, all are originals and not updates? Identify methods used to check for updates, ie, researched the FMSF records). List site #'s without "8." Attach supplementary pages if necessary. CI1091 through -1108, 8CI1110


Site Form Used: SmartForm FMSF Paper Form Approved Custom Form: Attach copies of written approval from FMSF Supervisor and Supervisor-signed form.

DO NOT USE	SITE FILE USE ONLY	DO NOT USE
BAR Related <input type="checkbox"/> 872 <input type="checkbox"/> 1A32 <input type="checkbox"/> CARL <input type="checkbox"/> LFW		BHP Related <input type="checkbox"/> State Historic Preservation Grant <input type="checkbox"/> Compliance Review CRAT #




Location of US 19 Project Area (Township 20 South, Range 17 East, USGS Chassowitzka, Fla. 1954, PR 1988 and Homosassa, Fla. 1954, PR 1988).

US 19 (SR 55) PD&E Study
From South of US 98 to CR 488
Citrus County, Florida
WPI No.: 405822 1
FAP No.: 1852 007 P




Location of US 19 Project Area (Township 19 South, Range 17 East, USGS Chassowaitzka, Fla. 1954, PR 1988 and Homosassa, Fla. 1954, PR 1988).

US 19 (SR 55) PD&E Study
From South of US 98 to CR 488
Citrus County, Florida
WPI No.: 405822 I
FAP No.: 1852 007 P



Location of US 19 Project Area (Townships 18 and 19 South, Range 17 East, USGS Homosassa, Fla. 1954, PR 1988 and Crystal River, Fla. 1954, PR 1988).

US 19 (SR 55) PD&E Study
From South of US 98 to CR 488
Citrus County, Florida
WPI No.: 405822 I
FAP No.: 1852 007 P



Location of US 19 Project Area (Township 20 South, Range 17 East, USGS Chassowitzka, Fla. 1954, PR 1988 and Homosassa, Fla. 1954, PR 1988).

US 19 (SR 55) PD&E Study
From South of US 98 to CR 488
Citrus County, Florida
WPI No.: 405822 1
EAP No.: 1852 007 P