FINAL AIR QUALITY MEMORANDUM

Florida Department of Transportation District Seven

SR 600 (US 92) Project Development & Environment Study Re-evaluation

From East of I-4 to East of County Line Road Hillsborough County, Florida

Work Program Item Segment No.: 435749-1 Federal Aid Project No.: MAF-212-1(34)

The Florida Department of Transportation, District Seven, conducted a Project Development and Environment Study Re-evaluation for the proposed widening of State Road 600 (US 92) from east of Interstate 4 to east of County Line Road in Hillsborough County, Florida. The total project length is approximately 18.1 miles. The environmental document that was reevaluated is a Type 2 Categorical Exclusion (Approved by the FHWA on March 24, 1994).

FINAL AIR QUALITY MEMORANDUM

Florida Department of Transportation District Seven

SR 600 (US 92) Project Development & Environment Study Re-evaluation

From East of I-4 to East of County Line Road
Hillsborough County, Florida

Work Program Item Segment No.: 435749-1 Federal Aid Project No.: MAF-212-1(34)

The Florida Department of Transportation, District Seven, conducted a Project Development and Environment Study Re-evaluation for the proposed widening of State Road 600 (US 92) from east of Interstate 4 to east of County Line Road in Hillsborough County, Florida. The total project length is approximately 18.1 miles. The environmental document that was reevaluated is a Type 2 Categorical Exclusion (Approved by the FHWA on March 24, 1994).

The environmental review, consultation, and other actions required by applicable federal environmental laws for this project are being, or have been, carried out by the Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) pursuant to 23 U.S.C. §327 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated December 14, 2016 and executed by the Federal Highway Administration and FDOT.

Any reference contained herein to the Project Development & Environment Manual is referring to the 2016 revision.

Date: April 17, 2017

To: Robin Rhinesmith, Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT)

From: Lindsay Baumaister, KB Environmental Sciences, Inc.

cc: Alex Hull P.E., Inwood Consulting Engineers

Subject: Final Air Quality Memorandum

SR 600 (US 92) Project Development & Environment Study

From East of I-4 to East of County Line Road

Hillsborough County, Florida

Work Program Item Segment No: 435749-1

The Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) conducted a Project Development and Environment Study Re-evaluation for the proposed widening of State Road 600 (US 92) from East of I-4 to east of County Line Road. The total project length is approximately 18.1 miles. This segment of US 92 is located in Hillsborough County, Florida, an area currently designated by the US Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) as being attainment for all of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS). Because the project is in an attainment area and the project would reduce congestion, it is not likely that the proposed improvements will have an impact on local or regional air pollutant/pollutant precursor emissions or concentrations.

The project Build and No-Build alternatives were analyzed for both the project's opening year and design year using the FDOT's air quality screening model, CO Florida 2012 (approved by the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) on April 12, 2013). CO Florida 2012 uses the EPA's MOVES and CAL3QHC emission rate and dispersion models to produce estimates of one- and eight-hour concentrations of carbon monoxide (CO) at default receptor locations. These concentrations can be directly compared to the one- and eight-hour NAAQS for CO (35 and 9 parts per million [ppm], respectively).

In the opening year (2020), the intersection forecasted to have the highest approach traffic volume for both the No-Build and Build alternatives was the US 92/Thonotosassa Road/Lemon Street intersection. In the design year (2040) the intersection forecast to have the highest approach traffic volume with the alternatives was the US 92/Williams Road intersection.

Estimates of CO were predicted at default receptor locations in all quadrants of the US 92/Thonotosassa Road/Lemon Street and US 92/Williams Road intersections. Based on the results from the screening model, shown in **Table 1**, the highest predicted CO one- and eight-hour concentrations would not exceed the NAAQS for this pollutant regardless of

alternative or year of analysis. Therefore, the project "passed" the screening test. The CO Florida 2012 output files are attached to this memorandum.

Table 1 CO Screening Results for the No-Build and Build Alternatives Opening Year (2020) and Design Year (2040)

		Maximum Predicted CO Levels (ppm)		
Year	Alternative	NAAQS One-Hr/ Project One-Hr	NAAQS Eight-Hr/ Project Eight-Hr	Passes Screening Test?
2020 ^a	No-Build	35 / 7.0	9 / 4.2	Yes
2020*	Build	35 / 7.0	9 / 4.2	Yes
20.40h	No-Build	35 / 7.6	9 / 4.6	Yes
2040 ^b	Build	35 / 7.5	9 / 4.6	Yes

^a Results for the year 2020 are for the US 92/Thonotosassa Road/Lemon Street intersection.

Notably, because the US 92 project is in an area that is designated attainment for all the NAAQS, the conformity requirements of the Clean Air Act were not applicable to the proposed improvements.

Green House Gas (GHG) Emissions

GHG emissions cause a global phenomenon in which heat is trapped in the earth's atmosphere. Because the atmospheric concentration of GHGs continues to climb, our planet will continue to experience climate-related phenomena. For example, warmer global temperatures can cause changes in precipitation and sea levels. The burning of fossil fuels and other human activities are adding to the concentration of GHGs in the atmosphere. Many GHGs remain in the atmosphere for time periods ranging from decades to centuries.

To date, no national standards have been established for GHGs, nor has EPA established criteria or thresholds for ambient GHG emissions pursuant to its authority to establish motor vehicle emission standards for carbon dioxide (CO₂) under the Clean Air Act. GHGs are different from other air pollutants evaluated in the Federal environmental reviews because their impacts are not localized or regional due to their rapid dispersion into the global atmosphere, which is characteristic of these gases. The affected environment for CO₂ and other GHG emissions is the entire planet. In addition, from a quantitative perspective, global climate change is the cumulative result of numerous and varied emissions sources (in terms of both absolute numbers and types), each of which makes a

^b Results for the year 2040 are for the US 92/Williams Road intersection.

relatively small addition to global atmospheric GHG concentrations. In contrast to broad scale actions, such as actions involving an entire industry sector or very large geographic areas, it is difficult to isolate and understand the GHG emissions impacts for a particular transportation project. Furthermore, presently there is no scientific methodology for attributing specific climatological changes to a particular transportation project's emissions.

Following the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), detailed environmental analysis should be focused on issues that are significant and meaningful to decision-making (Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Parts 1500.1(b), 1500.2(b), 1500.4(g), and 1501.7). FHWA has concluded, based on the nature of GHG emissions and the exceedingly small potential GHG impacts of the proposed action that the GHG emissions from the proposed action will not result in "reasonably foreseeable significant adverse impacts on the human environment" (40 CFR 1502.22(b)). The GHG emission from the project build alternatives will be insignificant, and will not play a meaningful role in a determination of the environmentally preferable alternative or the selection of the preferred alternative. More detailed information on GHG emissions "is not essential to a reasoned choice among reasonable alternatives" (40 CFR 1502.22(a)) or to making a decision in the best overall public interest based on a balanced consideration of transportation, economic, social, and environmental needs and impacts (23 CFR 771.105(b)).

Attachments

- 1. Traffic Data for Air Study Screening Test
- 2. Carbon Monoxide Screening Test Results

PD&E TRAFFIC DATA FOR AIR STUDY SCREENING TEST

PREPARED BY:

9-Mar-16 AMH

Financial Project Number(s):

Work Program Item No.:

Federal Aid Numbers (s): Project Description:

SR 600 (US 92) from East of I-4 to East of County Line Road

435749 1 22 01

NOTE:

Cruise Speed:

The most congested signalized intersection is the intersection with the highest total volume and lowest departure speeds and it could be two different intersections based on the "Build" vs. "No-Build" alternatives. The traffic volumes are to be the vph of the most congested leg approaching the intersection. The speeds are to be the cruise speed, also known as mid-block speed, for the most congested leg. If cruise speed is unknown, use the speed limit.

OPENING YEAR: 2020

Most Congested Signalized Intersection: Most Congested Signalized Intersection:

US 92 @ Thonotosassa Rd/Lemon ST US 92 @ Thonotosassa Rd/Lemon ST Peak hour traffic for ΑM Peak hour traffic for AM 1393 vph most congested approach leg: 1393 vph most congested approach leg:

WB WB Specify leg (NB, SB, EB, WB): Specify leg (NB, SB, EB, WB): 45 mph Cruise Speed: Cruise Speed: 45 mph

DESIGN YEAR: 2040

"Build" "No-Build"

45 mph

Most Congested Signalized Intersection: Most Congested Signalized Intersection:

US 92 @ Falkenburg Rd US 92 @ Falkenburg Rd MA Peak hour traffic for AM Peak hour traffic for most congested approach leg: 1893 vph most congested approach leg: 1893 vph Specify leg (NB, SB, EB, WB): WB Specify leg (NB, SB, EB, WB): WB

Cruise Speed:

50 mph

PD&E TRAFFIC DATA FOR AIR STUDY SCREENING TEST

DATE: PREPARED BY: AMH

PM

Financial Project Number(s): Work Program Item No .:

435749 1 22 01

Federal Aid Numbers (s):

SR 600 (US 92) from East of I-4 to East of County Line Road Project Description:

NOTE:

The most congested signalized intersection is the intersection with the highest total volume and lowest departure speeds and it could be two different intersections based on the "Build" vs. "No-Build" alternatives. The traffic volumes are to be the vph of the most congested leg approaching the intersection. The speeds are to be the cruise speed, also known as mid-block speed, for the most congested leg. If cruise speed is unknown, use the speed limit.

OPENING YEAR: 2020

"Build" "No-Build" Most Congested Signalized Intersection: Most Congested Signalized Intersection:

US 92 @ Williams Road US 92 @ Williams Road

PM

Peak hour traffic for Peak hour traffic for most congested approach leg: 1112 vph most congested approach leg: 1112 vph Specify leg (NB, SB, EB, WB): EΒ Specify leg (NB, SB, EB, WB): WB Cruise Speed: 45 mph Cruise Speed: 50 mph

DESIGN YEAR: 2040

"No-Build" "Build"

Most Congested Signalized Intersection: Most Congested Signalized Intersection:

US 92 @ Williams Road US 92 @ Williams Road Peak hour traffic for PM Peak hour traffic for PM 2159 vph 2159 vph most congested approach leg: most congested approach leg:

Specify leg (NB, SB, EB, WB): EB Specify leg (NB, SB, EB, WB): EB Cruise Speed: 45 mph Cruise Speed: 50 mph

Project Description

Project Title	US 92 PD&E: Garden to E of CL Rd	
Facility Name	US 92/Thonotosassa Rd/Lemon St	
User's Name	Lindsay Baumaister/KBE	
Run Name	2020 No Build	
FDOT District	7	
Year	2020	
Intersection Type	4 X 4	
Speed	Arterial 45 mph	
Approach Traffic	Arterial 1393 vph	

Environmental Data

Temperature	48.8 °F
Reid Vapor Pressure	13.3 psi
Land Use	Urban
Stability Class	D
Surface Roughness	175 cm
1 Hr. Background Concentration	5.0 ppm
8 Hr. Background Concentration	3.0 ppm

	Results	
(ppm, inclu	iding backgro	ound CO)
Receptor	Max 1-Hr	Max 8-H

Receptor	Max 1-Hr	Max 8-Hr
1	6.8	4.1
2	6.8	4.1
3	7.0	4.2
4	6.7	4.0
5	6.3	3.8
6	6.7	4.0
7	6.8	4.1
8	7.0	4.2
9	6.6	4.0
10	6.3	3.8
11	6.7	4.0
12	6.9	4.1
13	7.0	4.2
14	6.6	4.0
15	6.3	3.8
16	6.7	4.0
17	6.9	4.1
18	7.0	4.2
19	6.6	4.0
20	6.3	3.8

NO EXCEEDANCES OF NAAQ STANDARDS ARE PREDICTED

Project Description

Project Title	US 92 PD&E: Garden to E of CL Rd	
Facility Name	US 92/Thonotosassa Rd/Lemon St	
User's Name	Lindsay Baumaister/KBE	
Run Name	2020 Build	
FDOT District	7	
Year	2020	
Intersection Type	4 X 4	
Speed	Arterial 45 mph	
Approach Traffic	Arterial 1393 vph	

Environmental Data

Temperature	48.8 °F
Reid Vapor Pressure	13.3 psi
Land Use	Urban
Stability Class	D
Surface Roughness	175 cm
1 Hr. Background Concentration	5.0 ppm
8 Hr. Background Concentration	3.0 ppm

Results

	(ppm, including background CO)			
Max 1-Hr	Max 8-Hr			
6.8	4.1			
6.8	4.1			
7.0	4.2			
6.7	4.0			
6.3	3.8			
6.7	4.0			
6.8	4.1			
7.0	4.2			
6.6	4.0			
6.3	3.8			
6.7	4.0			
6.9	4.1			
7.0	4.2			
6.6	4.0			
6.3	3.8			
6.7	4.0			
6.9	4.1			
7.0	4.2			
6.6	4.0			
	6.8 6.8 7.0 6.7 6.3 6.7 6.8 7.0 6.6 6.3 6.7 6.9 7.0 6.6 6.3 6.7			

*NO EXCEEDANCES OF INAAQ STANDARDS ARE PREDICTED

Project Description

Project Title	US 92 PD&E: Garden to E of CL Rd	
Facility Name	US 92/Williams Road	
User's Name	Lindsay Baumaister/KBE	
Run Name	2040 No Build	
FDOT District	7	
Year	2040	
Intersection Type	4 X 4	
Speed	Arterial 50 mph	
Approach Traffic	Arterial 2159 vph	

Environmental Data

Temperature	48.8 °F
Reid Vapor Pressure	13.3 psi
Land Use	Urban
Stability Class	D
Surface Roughness	175 cm
1 Hr. Background Concentration	5.0 ppm
8 Hr. Background Concentration	3.0 ppm

Results

(ppm, including background CO)			
Receptor	Max 1-Hr	Max 8-Hr	
	7.0	4.2	
1	7.2	4.3	
2	7.3	4.4	
3	7.6	4.6	
4	7.1	4.3	
5	6.6	4.0	
6	7.2	4.3	
7	7.3	4.4	
8	7.5	4.5	
9	7.1	4.3	
10	6.6	4.0	
11	7.2	4.3	
12	7.3	4.4	
13	7.6	4.6	
14	7.1	4.3	
15	6.6	4.0	
16	7.2	4.3	
17	7.4	4.4	
18	7.5	4.5	
19	7.2	4.3	
20	6.6	4.0	

Project Description

Project Title	US 92 PD&E: Garden to E of CL Rd		
Facility Name	US 92/Williams Road		
User's Name	Lindsay Baumaister/KBE		
Run Name	2040 Build		
FDOT District	7		
Year	2040		
Intersection Type	4 X 4		
Speed	Arterial 45 mph		
Approach Traffic	Arterial 2159 vph		

Environmental Data

Temperature	48.8 °F
Reid Vapor Pressure	13.3 psi
Land Use	Urban
Stability Class	D
Surface Roughness	175 cm
1 Hr. Background Concentration	5.0 ppm
8 Hr. Background Concentration	3.0 ppm

Results

(ppm, including background CO)			
Receptor	Max 1-Hr	Max 8-Hr	

receptor	IVIAX 1-UI	IVIAX 0-11
1	7.1	4.3
2	7.2	4.3
3	7.6	4.6
4	6.9	4.1
5	6.5	3.9
6	7.1	4.3
7	7.2	4.3
8	7.5	4.5
9	6.9	4.1
10	6.5	3.9
11	7.1	4.3
12	7.2	4.3
13	7.5	4.5
14	6.9	4.1
15	6.5	3.9
16	7.1	4.3
17	7.3	4.4
18	7.5	4.5
19	7.0	4.2
20	6.5	3.9