 NOTARY PUBLIC
I N D E X
Formal Presentation by Ming Gao...................... 3
Power Point Presentation............................. 10
Oral Comments........................................ 17
Court Reporter's Certificate......................... 19
Concordance Index................................(20-24)
Computer-Aided Transcription

## FORMAL PRESENTATION BY MING GAO

MR. GAO: Good evening. Welcome. My name is Ming Gao and I'm the Intermodal Systems Development Manager for District Seven of the FDOT. Welcome to the Public Hearing. This is the Public Hearing for U.S. 41 Project Development and Environment Study. This public hearing is for US 41 improvements on 12th Street to Kracker Avenue.

Today is Monday, March 30th, 2009, and it's about 6:00 p.m. We have gathered here at The Resort and Club at Little Harbor in Ruskin, Florida. This is your opportunity to receive information on the project and officially comment on the recommended "Build" Alternative and other documents available here tonight. The recommended "Build" Alternative is based on comprehensive environmental and engineering analyses completed to date, as well as on public comments that have been received.

The Project Development and Environment, or PD\&E study, and public hearing are being conducted under applicable Federal and State laws. Those citations are listed on the board next to the sign-in table.

When you arrived this evening you should have received an information packet containing an informational newsletter and a comment form. If you weren't able to sign in or did not receive the information packet, please stop by our sign-in table before leaving tonight.

You should have also had the opportunity to view the audio-visual presentation that is continuously running through this public hearing.

Those who wish to provide comments during this portion of the public hearing should complete a speaker's card and submit it to the Department representative. If you did not receive a card, please raise your hand and a Department representative will be happy to provide you with one.

In addition to making oral statements, you may also submit your comments to the District in writing. Comment forms may be placed in one of the comment boxes this evening, or you may complete the form at a later date and mail it to us at the pre-printed address located on the back of the sheet. Please keep in mind that written comments must be postmarked by Friday, April 10th, 2009, to be included in the official public hearing record.

On this project, we anticipate the relocation of zero families and one business. This relocation is marked on the aerial displays.

If you are required to make any type of move as a result of a Department of Transportation project, you can expect to be treated in a fair and helpful manner and in compliance with the uniform Relocation Assistance Act.

You will be contacted by an appraiser who will inspect your property. We encourage you to be present
during the inspection and provide information about the value of your property.

You may also be eligible for relocation advisory services and payment benefits. If you are being moved and you are unsatisfied with the Department's determination of your eligibility for payment or the amount of that payment, you may appeal that determination.

You will be promptly furnished necessary forms and notified of the procedures to be followed in making that appeal.

Just a reminder: If you move, if you move before you receive notification of the relocation benefits that you might be entitled to, your benefits may be jeopardized.

The relocation specialists who are supervising this project are Jim Futch, Zena Gallo, and Robert Hancock. They will be happy to answer your questions and will also furnish you with copies of relocation assistance brochures.

I guess y'all raise your hands. Those are the gentlemen. Okay.

Before I continue, I would like to recognize any elected officials or their representatives who are here tonight. I would like to ask them to please stand and
introduce themselves for the record. I don't see any.
Okay. At this time, we'll begin taking public comments. I will call each speaker in the order they requested to speak. In order to accomodate all requests to speak, we ask that each speaker keep their comments to three minutes. Those who wish to provide additional comments may return to the microphone following the last speaker, or you may present your additional comments directly to the court reporter at the end of tonight's hearing.

As I call your name, please step up to the microphone and state your name and address before making any comments. If you have questions, please see one of the Department representatives following the portion of the hearing.

John Paula.
MR. PAULA: I'm the first.
John Paula, Post Office Box 388, Ruskin. I've been to the Post Office and looked at your stuff, talked to your staff. They've been very helpful.

We very much want to do what's the right thing for Ruskin and Apollo Beach and Adamsville and the residents down here. I have mixed emotions about what I see. I've already asked the staff tonight and got some answers about the alternative that you have. And the main
question I asked was had you considered in your studies the existing roads that we have planned that are permitted, maybe not the word permitted, but are approved to be improved such as we've got two roads going through our corporate park out in Ruskin that parallels the interstate; that's 21st Street and 24 th Street. That's partially built out now.

They both will eventually be four-lane roads and then they run off on across 19th Avenue and go into the new development of Waterset. And they've got -- in the DRI there is -- it's planned for roads all the way to Big Bend.

Again, new land has the already built-out part of that for the south corridor, the four-lane road, probably it would join up with 24 th Street.

In addition, we have two east-west roads, Apollo Beach Boulevard to go across the railroad and tie into those two north-south roads, and then there's Adamsville and Waterset Drive that would build an overpass and go over the railroad and tie into these roads.

And my question was, has all of those roads been included in your study with how it will impact 41?

And I'm probably exceeding my three minutes, but let me add one other thing. I know we don't have the money and we're not going to get this done anytime soon, and I
know you only go to interchange -- or what's that, 12th or 14 th Street and to up to Kracker Road, but then we have Ruskin to worry about. I think that's been kicked around. I've been through all kinds of studies with various people and our little community plan the County has approved. The people said they don't want six lanes in Ruskin, and I'm not sure we want six lanes that's going to run into a dead end in Ruskin. So I don't know how people feel about that.

And in all your alternatives you have three alternatives. The one alternative that I think I like, and I'm not a professional, your engineers are smarter than me, but looks to me like what we need is just put in the proper turn lanes, acceleration lanes, and improve the existing road. And I'm not sure we want a lot of truck traffic going through Ruskin. We want the truck traffic out on the interstate.

I could go on and on, but I hope you'd be able to answer some of that.

MR. GAO: Thank you, Mr. Paula.
Anyone else who would like to make a statement? Okay.

The public hearing transcript, written statements, exhibits and reference materials will be available for public inspection at the District Seven Office, 11201

North McKinley Drive, Tampa, Florida, within three weeks.
It's approximately 6:10. I hereby officially close the formal portion of the public hearing for US 41 PD\&E Study. The Florida DOT appreciates you attending this meeting.

Thank you.

## POWER POINT PRESENTATION

Welcome to the Public Hearing for the US 41 State Road 45 Project Development and Environment, or PD\&E Study. At this hearing you will see information about the proposed improvements to the US 41 corridor between 12th Street and Kracker Avenue in southern Hillsborough County.

The Florida Department of Transportation, also known as the FDOT, is conducting this hearing in partnership with Hillsborough County. This presentation will give you a brief overview of this study and will provide interested citizens like yourselves a chance to ask questions and offer comments.

The Project Development and Environment Study process includes a comprehensive evaluation of the effects the proposed improvements may have on traffic operations, socio-economic, cultural, natural and physical environments of the area. The PD\&E process is used to develop feasible alternatives for roadway improvement projects. The study evaluates viable alternatives and design concepts including a "No-Build" Alternative. Once the recommended alternative is selected, a State Environmental Impact Report, or SEIR, will be submitted to the FDOT's District Secretary for approval.

The Hillsborough County Metropolitan Planning Organization's 2025 Long-Range Transportation Plan was adopted in November of 2004 and last amended in June of 2007. This plan identified a need for widening this portion of US 41 to six lanes. However, these improvements are not funded in the MPO's Cost-Affordable Plan.

The US 41 PD\&E Study began in March of 2008. Prior to the PD\&E Study, a traffic study was conducted to determine future traffic and level of service information and identify possible roadway and intersection improvements for the corridor.

During the PD\&E Study, the proposed build improvements were refined, costs developed and potential environmental effects were quantified. The purpose of today's public hearing is to present the recommended alternative and to receive comments for the record on the proposed project and its expected effects.

The existing US 41 facility is a four lane mostly rural roadway with twelve foot wide travel lanes with paved and lawn shoulders of varying widths. The existing right-of-way width varies from approximately 182 feet to 227 feet. Along this segment, US 41 is designated as an emergency evacuation route.

The annual average daily traffic is the average
amount of traffic that crosses a given point in a 24 -hour period. In 2007, the annual average daily traffic along this portion of US 41 was between about 21 thousand and 27 thousand vehicles per day.

We have determined that traffic will double. By the year 2030, traffic on US 41 is expected to grow to between 42 thousand vehicles per day and almost 48 thousand vehicles per day south of Big Bend Road. The existing four-lane roadway cannot handle these projected future traffic volumes without heavy traffic congestion. Based on this future traffic, we have determined that widening US 41 to a six-lane divided highway will be needed. In general, the additional travel lane will be added to the outside of the roadway. At both the Apollo Beach Boulevard and Big Bend Road intersections, an additional lane called an auxiliary lane will carry additional US 41 traffic though the intersections.

The Recommended Alternative is a six-lane "suburban" cross section with 12 -foot travel lanes, 5-foot outside paved shoulders and 4 -foot paved inside shoulders. The roadway is proposed to retain the existing 40 -foot median, and 5-foot sidewalks will be added in most areas where they do not exist.

Except for near the Apollo Beach and Big Bend intersections, in most areas no additional right-of-way
will be required for the roadway improvements. Additional right-of-way will be needed for constructing off-site stormwater management facilities.

North of Big Bend Road to Pembroke Road, US 41 is designated as a Strategic Intermodal System Connector route by the FDOT. This segment of US 41 connects I-75 to the Port of Tampa facilities. The typical section varies slightly here with wider inside and outside paved shoulders.

The study team evaluated the engineering and environmental factors involved with the recommended alternative. This matrix compares the cost and potential environmental effects of the No-Build Alternative with the Recommended Alternative. You can find the matrix in your handout.

This table shows the preliminary cost estimates for the Recommended Alternative. The total estimated cost is approximately $\$ 167$ million.

There are advantages and disadvantages associated with the Recommended Alternative.

The advantages include: Improved regional
connectivity; an increase in roadway capacity; improved safety with a decrease in future traffic congestion; and consistency with the needs component of the Hillsborough MPO Transportation Plan.

The disadvantages of the Recommended Alternative include: Costs associated with the design, land acquisition and construction; acquisition of additional right-of-way; temporary traffic disruptions as a result of these improvements; and minimal environmental effects.

The No-Build or No-Project Alternative is also considered a viable alternative and will remain so for the duration of the study. Under this alternative, no improvements would be made to US 41.

Advantages of the No-Build Alternative include: No new construction costs; no disruption to traffic due to construction activities; no right-of-way acquisition; and no disturbance to natural resources.

The disadvantages of the No-Build Alternative include: Increase in traffic congestion; increase in potential for traffic crashes due to increased congestion; and increase in roadway maintenance and user costs; an inconsistency with the needs component of the Hillsborough County long-range transportation plan.

Today's Public Hearing is an opportunity for you to comment on this project. Project representatives are on-hand for anyone who wishes to ask questions. Department representatives are also available to address questions concerning right-of-way acquisition and access management.

There are several ways to make a comment as part of the public hearing record. You can speak directly to the court reporter who is on-hand at this hearing.

The court reporter will also record comments stated at the public forum that begins at 6:00 p.m. An FDOT representative will moderate this formal public comment session and speaking time may be limited.

If you are interested in speaking publicly, please complete a green speaker's card and drop it in the box at the sign-in table.

You can also complete a Comment Form provided in the brochure and drop it in one of the "Comment" boxes; or you can mail written comments to the address listed on the back of the form. All submittals must be postmarked by April 10th, 2009, to become part of the Public Hearing Record. All the comments received, regardless of how they are submitted, will be reviewed and considered.

Following this hearing, the Project Team will review all public input. They will then document the preferred alternative and finalize the PD\&E documents. Since no federal funds are anticipated for this project, a State Environmental Impact report is being prepared. The PD\&E Study is expected to be completed in the next few months.

Typically, the project would then proceed to the Design Engineering Phase, followed by Right-of-Way

Acquisition, and Construction. Funding has not yet been identified for the recommended US 41 improvements. Design for this project will move forward when funding is identified. It is possible that some of these improvements will be constructed in conjunction with adjacent future land developments.

If you have any questions about the public hearing procedure, or the study in general, you may direct them to the Department staff members who are here today.

This concludes today's presentation. The Florida Department of Transportation thanks you for participating in today's public hearing and for your interest in this project.

## ORAL COMMENTS

Mr. Robert Daugherty
5253 Brighton Shore Drive
Apollo Beach, Florida 33572
Okay. I've learned that developers have backed out of the portion of the funding that they were going to give to FDOT and Hillsborough County to complete this construction project.

And candidly, and $I$ understand that since the building slump has occurred, but we're not going to see the kinds of levels of traffic volume that FDOT has predicted unless and until building starts again. And when that does, then $I$ think the County and FDOT could go back to the developers and say cough up, fellows.

And for that reason, $I$ don't think this project should be started until such time as development once again does pick up, because why should I, as a taxpayer and everyone else in this room as a taxpayer, have to fully fund this and later give the developers a free ride once FDOT has started it and the roads are built?

Candidly, there's too much giving in our society right now to big business and the load on the little guy, the guy in the middle, is getting quite heavy. I think FDOT needs to give some very, very serious thought to that.

Again, I would recommend that the project not go
through, not be commenced until such time as development again picks up and developers again agree to pay a fair share of the expense of this project.
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