

STATE OF FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
WATER QUALITY IMPACT EVALUATION CHECKLIST

650-050-37
ENVIRONMENTAL
MANAGEMENT
10/17

PART 1: PROJECT INFORMATION

Project Name:	SR 56 Southbound CD Roads/Ramps to I-75/I-275 PD&E Study from south of US 98 to SR 50
County:	Hillsborough and Pasco
FM Number:	430573-4-22-01
Federal Aid Project No:	TBD
Brief Project Description:	Construction of a southbound collector-distributor C-D) road and the addition of new ramps to improve the operations between the I-75/I-275 and I-75/SR 56 interchanges and eliminate undesirable weaving movements.

PART 2: DETERMINATION OF WQIE SCOPE

Does project discharge to surface or ground water? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Does project alter the drainage system? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Is the project located within a permitted MS4? ☐ Yes ☒ No
Name: _____

If the answers to the questions above are no, complete the applicable sections of Part 3 and 4, and then check Box A in Part 5.

PART 3: PROJECT BASIN AND RECEIVING WATER CHARACTERISTICS

Surface Water

Receiving water(s) names: Cypress Creek

Water Management District: Southwest Florida

Environmental Look Around meeting date: [Click here to enter a date.](#)
Attach meeting minutes/notes to the checklist.

Water Control District Name (list all that apply): None

Groundwater

Sole Source Aquifer (SSA)? ☐ Yes ☒ No
Name _____

If yes, complete Part 5, D and complete SSA Checklist shown in Part 2, Chapter 11 of the PD&E Manual

Other Aquifer? ☐ Yes ☒ No
Name _____

Springs vents? ☐ Yes ☒ No
Name _____

Well head protection area? ☐ Yes ☒ No
Name _____

Groundwater recharge? ☐ Yes ☒ No
Name _____

Notify District Drainage Engineer if karst conditions are expected or if a higher level of treatment may be needed due to a project being located within a WBID verified as Impaired in accordance with Chapter 62-303, F.A.C.

Date of notification: [Click here to enter a date.](#)

PART 4: WATER QUALITY CRITERIA

List all WBIDs and all parameters for which a WBID has been verified impaired, or has a TMDL in [Table 1](#). This information should be updated during each re-evaluation as required.

Note: If BMAP or RAP has been identified in [Table 1](#), [Table 2](#) must also be completed.
Attach notes or minutes from all coordination meetings identified in [Table 2](#).

EST recommendations confirmed with agencies? ☒ Yes ☐ No

BMAP Stakeholders contacted: ☐ Yes ☒ No
BMAP Not prepared for Waterbody at this time

TMDL program contacted: _____ ☐ Yes ☒ No

RAP Stakeholders contacted: ☐ Yes ☒ No

Regional water quality projects identified in the ELA ☐ Yes ☒ No

If yes, describe:

Potential direct effects associated with project construction and/or operation identified? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If yes, describe:

All floodplain impacts will be mitigated through addition of floodplain compensation sites.

Discuss any other relevant information related to water quality including Regulatory Agency Water Quality Requirements.

Projects discharging directly into Outstanding Florida Waters (OFW) shall be required to provide treatment for a volume 50 percent more than required for the selected treatment system (wet detention, detention with effluent filtration, on-line retention, or off-line retention). This requirement will apply to basins for this project that discharge directly into the Cypress Creek.

PART 5: WQIE DOCUMENTATION

- ☐ A. No involvement with water quality
- ☐ B. No water quality regulatory requirements apply.
- ☒ C. Water quality regulatory requirements apply to this project (provide Evaluator's information below). Water quality and stormwater issues will be mitigated through compliance with the design requirements of authorized regulatory agencies.
- ☐ D. EPA Ground/Drinking Water Branch review required. ☐ Yes ☒ No
Concurrence received? ☐ Yes ☐ No
If Yes, Date of EPA Concurrence: [Click here to enter a date.](#)
Attach the concurrence letter

The environmental review, consultation, and other actions required by applicable federal environmental laws for this project are being, or have been, carried out by FDOT pursuant to 23 U.S.C. § 327 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated December 14, 2016 and executed by FHWA and FDOT.

Evaluator Name (print): Chris Salicco

Title: Senior Environmental Scientist

Signature:



Date: 7/1/2022

Table 1: Water Quality Criteria

Receiving Waterbody Name (list all that apply)	FDEP Group Number / Name	WBID(s) Numbers	Classification (I,II,III,IIIL,IV,V)	Special Designations*	NNC limits**	Verified Impaired (Y/N)	TMDL (Y/N)	Pollutants of concern	BMAP, RA Plan or SSAC
Cypress Creek	2	1402	III	OFW	n/a	Yes	Yes	Total Coliform	None-

* ONRW, OFW, Aquatic Preserve, Wild and Scenic River, Special Water, SWIM Area, Local Comp Plan, MS4 Area, Other

** Lakes, Spring vents, Streams, Estuaries

Note: If BMAP or RAP has been identified in [Table 1](#), [Table 2](#) must also be completed.

Table 2: REGULATORY Agencies/Stakeholders Contacted

[illegible]

THIS FORM IS INTENDED TO FACILITATE AND GUIDE THE DIALOGUE DURING A PRE-APPLICATION MEETING BY PROVIDING A PARTIAL "PROMPT LIST" OF DISCUSSION SUBJECTS. IT IS NOT A LIST OF REQUIREMENTS FOR SUBMITTAL BY THE APPLICANT.



**SOUTHWEST FLORIDA WATER MANAGEMENT DISTRICT
RESOURCE REGULATION DIVISION
PRE-APPLICATION MEETING NOTES**

**FILE
NUMBER:**

PA 407927

Date:	09/16/2020
Time:	11:00
Project Name:	SB I-75/I-275 Ramps from SR 56 PD&E Study
District Engineer:	Scott VanOrsdale
District ES:	Lauren Greenawalt
Attendees:	Eric Nelson, PE, Chris Salicco

County:	Pasco	Sec/Twp/Rge:	26, 27, 34 & 35/26/19, 3 & 4/27/19
Total Land Acreage:	N/A	Project Acreage:	unknown acres

Prior On-Site/Off-Site Permit Activity:

- ERP – 43033020.004

Project Overview:

- PD&E/PSR phase of project. Proposing to widen I-75 r/w to the west to accommodate new SB Ramp from SR 56 to I-275, modifications to existing ramps at I-75/I-275 interchange. Will require SMF(s) to treat new impervious. Three permitted in the interchange will be modified from wet treatment to conservation ponds. FPC sites also required.
- Project will modify existing permit, Individual Major Modification.
- Discussed utilizing storage modeling to show no adverse floodplain impacts where cup for cup cannot be provided.
- Discussed digging three existing ponds deeper to function better and reduce maintenance issues. Provide justification for removing the littoral zone.

Environmental Discussion: (Wetlands On-Site, Wetlands on Adjacent Properties, Delineation, T&E species, Easements, Drawdown Issues, Setbacks, Justification, Elimination/Reduction, Permanent/Temporary Impacts, Secondary and Cumulative Impacts, Mitigation Options, SHWL, Upland Habitats, Site Visit, etc.)

- Provide the limits of jurisdictional wetlands and surface waters. Roadside ditches or other water conveyances, including permitted and constructed water conveyance features, can be claimed as surface waters per Chapter 62-340 F.A.C. if they do not meet the definition of a swale as stated under Rule 403.803 (14) F.S.
- Provide appropriate mitigation using UMAM for impacts, if applicable.
- The site is located in the Hillsborough River ERP Basin. Mitigation Banks that serve this area include Hillsborough River and North Tampa. For an interactive map of permitted mitigation banks and their service areas, use this [LINK](#).
- If the wetland mitigation is appropriate and the applicant is proposing to utilize mitigation bank credit as wetland mitigation, the following applies: Provide letter or credit availability or, if applicable, a letter of reservation from the wetland mitigation bank. The wetland mitigation bank current credit ledgers can be found out the following link: <https://www.swfwmd.state.fl.us/business/epermitting/environmental-resource-permit>, Go to "ERP Mitigation Bank Wetland Credit Ledgers"
- Demonstrate elimination and reduction of wetland impacts.
- Maintain minimum 15 foot, average 25 foot wetland conservation area setback or address secondary impacts.
- The project is proposing to attenuate/treat in wetlands. Please demonstrate that adverse impacts to the wetland hydro-periods will not occur by providing hydrographs of the 2.33 year mean annual storm. The graph should start and end at the pop-off elevation with Existing Condition and Proposed Condition hydrographs superimposed for comparison. Please provide a supporting narrative for the hydrographs explaining any variations that are shown. The invert of the agricultural ditches may be the existing 'pop-off' elevation, or SHWL of the wetland and may need to be considered when designing the storm water management system.
- Determine SHWL's at pond locations, wetlands, and OSWs.
- Determine normal pool elevations of wetlands.

- Determine 'pop-off' locations and elevations of wetlands.
- As of October 1, 2017, the District will no longer send a copy of an application that does not qualify for a State Programmatic General Permit (SPGP) to the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. If a project does not qualify for a SPGP, you will need to apply separately to the Corps using the appropriate federal application form for activities under federal jurisdiction. Please see the Corps' Jacksonville District Regulatory Division Sourcebook for more information about federal permitting. Please call your local Corps office if you have questions about federal permitting. Link: <http://www.saj.usace.army.mil/Missions/Regulatory/Source-Book/>

Site Information Discussion: (SHW Levels, Floodplain, Tailwater Conditions, Adjacent Off-Site Contributing Sources, Receiving Waterbody, etc.)

- Existing roadway/intersections – I-75, I-275; SR 54
- Watersheds – Cypress Creek
- WBIDs need to be independently verified by the consultant - WBID 1402 – Cypress Creek; not impaired at this time. Possible WBID 1440E – Cypress Creek (North); not impaired at this time. Possible WBID 1455 – Trout Creek; TMDL for Fecal Coliform and impaired for Escherichia.
- OFW – Cypress Creek, at least one pond will have a direct discharge.
- Document/justify SHWE's at pond locations, wetlands, and OSWs.
- Determine normal pool elevations of wetlands.
- Determine 'pop-off' locations and elevations of wetlands.
- Provide documentation to support tailwater conditions for quality and quantity design
- Proposed control structures in wetlands should be consistent with existing 'pop-off' elevations of wetlands; demonstrate no adverse impacts to wetland hydroperiod for up to 2.33yr mean annual storm.
- Minimum flows and levels of receiving waters shall not be disrupted.
- Contamination issues need to be resolved with the FDEP. Check FDEP MapDirect layer for possible contamination points within/adjacent to the project area. [FDEP MapDirect Link](#)
- FDEP Site ID No. **9101790** located within or adjacent to site. Please verify with FDEP if any have current contamination issues.
For known contamination within the site or within 500' beyond the proposed stormwater management system:
- after the application is submitted, please contact FDEP staff listed below and provide them with the ERP Application ID # along with a mounding analysis (groundwater elevation versus distance) of the proposed stormwater management system that shows the proposed groundwater mound will not adversely impact the contaminated area. FDEP will review the plans submitted to the District and mounding analysis to determine any adverse impacts. Provide documentation from FDEP that the proposed construction will not result in adverse impacts. This is required prior to the ERP Application being deemed complete.
- If a SWMS is to be constructed within a contamination zone area, a groundwater sample collected from the first aquifer water bearing zone (i.e. zone of saturation or first zone that the water table is encountered) will most likely be required.
FDEP Contacts:
- For projects located within Citrus, Hernando, Pasco, Hillsborough, Pinellas, Manatee, Polk and Hardee Counties: Yanisa Angulo yanisa.angulo@floridadep.gov
- Check for District owned lands over and adjacent to project area.
- Stormwater retention and detention systems are classified as moderate sanitary hazards with respect to public and private drinking water wells. Stormwater treatment facilities shall not be constructed within 100 feet of an existing public water supply well and shall not be constructed within 75 feet of an existing private drinking water well. Subsection 4.2, A.H.V.II.
- Any wells on site should be identified and their future use/abandonment must be designated.
- Are there any high water data, flooding complaints or issues onsite or nearby?
- District data collection site may be impacted by proposed construction. Contact data.maps@watermatters.org to coordinate relocation of District data collection site.

Water Quantity Discussions: (Basin Description, Storm Event, Pre/Post Volume, Pre/Post Discharge, etc.)

- Demonstrate that post development peak discharges from proposed project area will not cause an adverse impact for a 25-year, 24-hour storm event.
- Demonstrate that site will not impede the conveyance of contributing off-site flows.
- Demonstrate that the project will not increase flood stages up- or down-stream of the project area(s).
- Provide equivalent compensating storage for all 100-year, 24-hour riverine floodplain impacts if applicable. Providing cup-for-cup storage in dedicated areas of excavation is the preferred method of compensation- if no impacts to flood conveyance are proposed and storage impacts and compensation occur within the same

basin. In this case, tabulations should be provided at 0.5-foot increments to demonstrate encroachment and compensation occur at the same levels. Otherwise, storage modeling will be required to demonstrate no increase in flood stages will occur on off-site properties, using the mean annual, 10-year, 25-year, and 100-year storm events for the pre- and post-development conditions.

- Please be aware that if there is credible historical evidence of past flooding or the physical capacity of the downstream conveyance or receiving waters indicates that the conditions for issuance will not be met without consideration of storm events of different frequency or duration, applicants shall be required to provide additional analyses using storm events of different duration or frequency than the 25-year 24-hour storm event, or to adjust the volume, rate or timing of discharges. [Section 3.0 Applicant's Handbook Volume II]

Water Quality Discussions: (Type of Treatment, Technical Characteristics, Non-presumptive Alternatives, etc.)

- Replace treatment function of existing ditches to be filled.
- Presumptive Water Quality Treatment for Alterations to Existing Public Roadway Projects:
 - Refer to Section 4.5 A.H.V.II for Alterations to Existing Public Roadway Projects.
 - Refer to Sections 4.8, 4.8.1 and 4.8.2 A.H.V.II for Compensating Stormwater Treatment, Overtreatment, and Offsite Compensation.
 - All co-mingled existing & new impervious that is proposed to be connected to a treatment pond will require treatment for an area equal to the co-mingled existing & new impervious (times ½" for dry treatment or 1" for wet treatment). This applies whether or not equivalent treatment concepts are used.
 - However, if equivalent treatment concepts are used it is possible to strategically locate the pond(s) so that the minimum treatment requirement may be for an area equivalent to the new impervious area only. That is, co-mingled existing & new impervious that is not connected to a treatment pond may bypass treatment (as per Section 4.5(2), A.H.V.II); if the 'total impervious area' that is connected to the treatment pond(s) is at least equivalent to the area of new impervious only. The 'total impervious area' that is connected to the pond(s) may be composed of co-mingled existing & new impervious.
 - Offsite impervious not required to be treated; but may be useful to be treated when using equivalent treatment concepts.
 - Existing treatment capacity displaced by any road project will require additional compensating volume. Refer to Subsection 4.5(c), A.H.V.II.
- Will acknowledge compensatory treatment to offset pollutant loads associated with portions of the project area that cannot be physically treated.
- Provide additional 50% treatment for any direct discharges to OFW. Refer to ERP Applicant's Handbook Vol. II Subsection 4.1(f).
- Please be advised that although use of isolated wetlands for ERP treatment purposes is permissible as per Section 4.1(a)(3), A.H.V.II, use of isolated wetlands for treatment purposes may not necessarily meet US Army Corps criteria.

Sovereign Lands Discussion: (Determining Location, Correct Form of Authorization, Content of Application, Assessment of Fees, Coordination with FDEP)

- The project may be located within state owned sovereign submerged lands (SSSL). Be advised that a title determination will be required from FDEP to verify the presence and/or location of SSSL.
- If use of SSSL is proposed, authorization will be required. Refer to Chapter 18-21, F.A.C. and Chapter 18-20, F.A.C. for guidance on projects that impact SSSL and Aquatic Preserves.

Operation and Maintenance/Legal Information: (Ownership or Perpetual Control, O&M Entity, O&M Instructions, Homeowner Association Documents, Coastal Zone requirements, etc.)

- The permit must be issued to entity that owns or controls the property.
- Provide evidence of ownership or control by deed, easement, contract for purchase, etc. Evidence of ownership or control must include a legal description. A Property Appraiser summary of the legal description is NOT acceptable.

Application Type and Fee Required:

- SWERP Individual Major Modification – Sections A, C, and E of the ERP Application.
- < 40 acres of project area and < 3 wetland or surface water impacts - \$1,082.00 Online Submittal
- < 100 acres of project area and <10 acre of wetland or surface water impacts - \$1,245.75
- Consult the [fee schedule](#) for different thresholds.

Other: (Future Pre-Application Meetings, Fast Track, Submittal Date, Construction Start Date, Required District Permits – WUP, WOD, Well Construction, etc.)

- An application for an individual permit to construct or alter a dam, impoundment, reservoir, or appurtenant work, requires that a notice of receipt of the application must be published in a newspaper within the affected area. Provide documentation that such noticing has been accomplished. Note that the published notices of receipt for an ERP can be in accordance with the language provided in Rule 40D-1.603(10), F.A.C.
- Provide a copy of the legal description (of all applicable parcels within the project area) in one of the following forms:
 - a. Deed with complete Legal Description attachment.
 - b. Plat.
 - c. Boundary survey of the property(ies) with a sketch.
- The plans and drainage report submitted electronically must include the appropriate information required under Rules 61G15-23.005 and 61G15-23.004 (Digital), F.A.C. The following text is required by the Florida Board of Professional Engineers (FBPE) to meet this requirement when a digitally created seal is not used and must appear where the signature would normally appear:

ELECTRONIC (Manifest): *[NAME] State of Florida, Professional Engineer, License No. [NUMBER] This item has been electronically signed and sealed by [NAME] on the date indicated here using a SHA authentication code. Printed copies of this document are not considered signed and sealed and the SHA authentication code must be verified on any electronic copies*

DIGITAL: *[NAME] State of Florida, Professional Engineer, License No. [NUMBER]; This item has been digitally signed and sealed by [NAME] on the date indicated here; Printed copies of this document are not considered signed and sealed and the signature must be verified on any electronic copies.*

- Provide soil erosion and sediment control measures for use during construction. Refer to ERP Applicant's Handbook Vol. 1 Part IV Erosion and Sediment Control.
- Demonstrate that excavation of any stormwater ponds does not breach an aquitard (see Subsection 2.1.1, A.H.V.II) such that it would allow for lesser quality water to pass, either way, between the two systems. In those geographical areas of the District where there is not an aquitard present, the depth of the pond(s) shall not be excavated to within two (2) feet of the underlying limestone which is part of a drinking water aquifer. [Refer to Subsection 5.4.1(b), A.H.V.II]
- If lowering of SHWE is proposed, then burden is on Applicant to demonstrate no adverse onsite or offsite impacts as per Subsection 3.6, A.H.V.II. Groundwater drawdown 'radius of influence' computations may be required to demonstrate no adverse onsite or offsite impacts. Please note that new roadside swales or deepening of existing roadside swales may result in lowering of SHWE. Proposed ponds with control elevation less than SHWE may result in adverse lowering of onsite or offsite groundwater.

Disclaimer: The District ERP pre-application meeting process is a service made available to the public to assist interested parties in preparing for submittal of a permit application. Information shared at pre-application meetings is superseded by the actual permit application submittal. District permit decisions are based upon information submitted during the application process and Rules in effect at the time the application is complete.